

**County of Los Angeles** 

April 9, 2024

Dawyn R. Harrison County Counsel

**Board of Supervisors** 

Supervisor, First District

Supervisor, Second District

Supervisor, Fourth District

Supervisor, Fifth District

Hilda L. Solis

Holly Mitchell

Janice Hahn

Kathryn Barger

Lindsey P. Horvath Supervisor, Third District TO: JEFF LEVINSON

Interim Executive Officer
Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM: ADRIENNE M. BYERS

Litigation Cost Manager

RE: Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda

County Claims Board Recommendation

<u>Jerry Mostad v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u>

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV43700

CALIFORNIA.

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

AMB:rm

Attachment

## Board Agenda

### MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Jerry Mostad v. County of Los Angeles</u>, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV43700, in the amount of \$2,750,000, and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Department of Public Works' budget.

This lawsuit arises from injuries allegedly sustained in an automobile accident involving a Department of Public Works employee.

#### CASE SUMMARY

## INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Jerry Mostad v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER 20STCV43700

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED November 13, 2020

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Department of Public Works

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ 2,750,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ROBERT P. SIEVERS, ESQ.

Sievers Law Firm P.C.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY RICHARD K. KUDO

**Principal Deputy County Counsel** 

NATURE OF CASE

This case involves a vehicle intersection collision

that occurred on February 1, 2020, in the City of Whittier, when a County owned Ford pickup truck driven eastbound on Slauson Avenue by an on-duty

employee of the Department of Public Works

entered the Slauson Avenue/Santa Fe Springs Road

intersection against a red signal light and

broadsided the passenger side of Jerry Mostad's ("Plaintiff's") Nissan sedan, which was traveling southbound on Santa Fe Springs Road and had a green signal light. Plaintiff claims to have suffered injuries and damages from the accident. Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final

settlement of the case is warranted.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE \$ 18,201

PAID COSTS, TO DATE \$ 80,869

# **Summary Corrective Action Plan**



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	February 1, 2020
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	On February 1, 2020, a Public Works Laborer with Road Maintenance Division was driving a County vehicle eastbound on Slauson Avenue when he entered an intersection on a red light. As a result, the employee collided with another vehicle traveling southbound on Santa Fe Springs Road. The Traffic Collision Report found the employee at fault for entering an intersection on a red light, which is in violation of California Vehicle Code Section 21453(a).

- 1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:
- A. The collision occurred due to the employee entering an intersection on a red light, which is in volation of California Vehicle Code Section 21453(a).
- B. The employee claimed the sun obstructed his view and, as a result, he failed to stop at the red light.
- 2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
- 1. On November 16, 2020, the employee received a Written Reprimand memorandum.
- 2. On June 8, 2020, the employee completed the 8-hour Commercial Driver Brush-Up and Evaluation training.
- 3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system issues?
  - ☐ Yes The corrective actions address department-wide system issues.
  - No The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.

AEN

Name: (Acting Risk Manager) Jeffrey Howard			
Signature: Deffrey Howard	Date: 12/5/2023		
Name: (Deputy Director) Steve Burger			
Signature:	Date:		
/ 00/N	12/28/23		
Name: (Department Head) Mark Pestrella			
Signature. W Fully	Date: 1/4/2024		
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY			
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County?			
☐ No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this department.			
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)			
Daniela Prowizor			
Signature:	Date:		
Danisla Prowizor	1/8/2024		