



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
31.		Favor	Amber Santos	
			Edwin A Miranda	
			Francisco Corona	My neighbors and my self are tired of the current parking enforcement department they are not doing there job are streets have never been so dirty, there is no control in our streets cars park on the side walks on red in pedestrians crossing double park and don't even move during the days the street sweeper passes. It is just out of control we need parking enforcement working round the clock to enforce all the laws.
			Isis Hernandez	
			Isis Hernandez	
			Juntos Florence-Firestone Together	Juntos Florence-Firestone Together is a grassroots community-led group based in the unincorporated community of Florence-Firestone in the Second District dedicated to educating, empowering, and uplifting our community. We support the transfer of parking enforcement services from the Sheriff's Department to the Department of Public Works. Florence-Firestone has had major problems with the parking enforcement or lack thereof. The most common issues faced related to parking are double parking, parking in alleys that block access, cars parked during street sweeping services, and parking in the middle of the street. Under the Sheriff's Department, our community has seen little to no parking enforcement. Common complaints from community members are that there is no parking enforcement along streets in our neighborhood and that there is no enforcement of rules on parking signs. When community members call to report parking concerns, they are always told that there is no staff available to come out. We need new ideas and solutions - transferring parking enforcement services from the Sheriff's Department to Public Works is a big step in the right direction. Thank you and we ask you to please move as quickly as possible to transfer parking enforcement services to the Department of Public Works so we can finally begin to see improvements to this important County service. We deserve so much better than what the Sheriff's Department is giving us.
		Monisha Parker	I agree with the report.	
		Oppose	Adriana Jimenez	Parking enforcement should stay with the sheriff. I don't understand how Public Works could be an enforcement agency. It's a bad idea.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
31.		<b>Oppose</b>	Angila Romious	Parking Enforcement should remain under budget line item and supervisory purview of LA County Sheriff Dept. Officer safety and PED having direct and immediate contact with station/county dispatch is among our concerns. This plan will cost taxpayers >\$48 million without providing the service that is already in place. We RECOMMEND that BOS reallocate/assign and reinvest 10% of the proposed total budget back into PED and supply PED with the additional staffing and equipment they need to successfully fulfill their job responsibility and support current services within our unincorporated communities.
			B Citizen	
			Ceci Delgado	
			Christopher J Zapata	The decision to remove Parking Enforcement Detail (PED) from the Sheriff's Department will only make matters worse. Public Works is NOT a law Enforcement Agency thus unable to handle all the variables that will arise. Civil Engineers in charge?? The PW Bus Plan shows inadequacies in logistics, further hindering PED. It will not go well. My biggest concern is the tax monies being spent to make this happen. You already have a system in place. Why would you place so much burden upon the people? Work with PED and if spent 1/3 of that money to PED it would help elevate their service. You ladies are putting \$48 million dollars (and more) on the backs of those you represent. Shame on you.  A Prayer. Almighty God and Father, are the source of all wisdom, and there is no wisdom but that which comes from You. So please come upon those here who are the stewards over the business of our County with Your wisdom which comes from above and with Your holy fear knowing that Your coming day of judgment draws near when all who have been and are now in authority will answer to You, the great judge of heaven and of Earth. For the decisions that they make here in this place, I offer this prayer to You, Father, in the name of Jesus Christ, Your Son, and our crucified Savior and resurrected Lord. In Jesus' name. Amen.
			Daniel Tascian	Moving Parking Enforcement from Sheriff Department will negatively impact the communities they serve. Leaving the members of the community to deal with longer waiting times in order deal with their parking issues, thus creating more stress for the front line deputies. Also not to mention the safety factor that Parking Enforcement Officers will have to face on daily basis without proper back up from Deputies.
Elizabeth Mejia	Moving parking enforcement from sheriff department will negatively impact the communities they serve as well as their safety.			



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
31.		<b>Oppose</b>	Frank Rubio	Parking should stay with LASD, WASTING TAX PAYERS MONEY ON A TRANSFER MOVE.PW WILL NOT PROVIDE SAFETY FOR the officers
			Frederick A DAguilar	Public Works does NOT have the legal authority granted by the state to enforce parking laws. That is not their function, neither can they perform in that capacity. This proposal does a disservice to the county taxpayers. We pay for services to keep our streets clean and safe and this proposal does the exact opposite. It just misuse and theft of public funds. Additionally, its legality can be questioned.
			Gabriella Tascian	moving parking enforcement from sheriff department will negatively impact the communities they serve as well as their safety
			Gisela Jimenez	They should stay with sheriff department
			Hagop Babayan	The move will leave many communities served by parking enforcement in a state of chaos. Vehicles blocking driveways to abandoned vehicles issues will not be addressed in a timely manner.
			Henry Porter	DIAMETRICALLY OPPOSED.This proposed move is obviously politically motivated as it is not supported by any"FACTS" such as results from a pole, survey, etc. but only the accusation by one Supervisor' contention of CONSTITUENTS COMPLAINTS. Transferring this law enforcement function to Public Works is without a doubt a receipt for a drastic reduction in service and ultimate failure. The amount of money projected to be spent in phase one alone could enhance parking services functions tremendously had the Board not snookered the voters to approve measure "J".The tragedy here is that your current Board will create a mess that County residents will be forced to live with after you have moved on to another elected position somewhere.THIS IS A BAD IDEA! DO NOT PURSUE IT!
			James Perry	I do not believe its a good idea, The parking enforcement works tightly with the sheriffs department is on radio communication with them. The public identifies them with law enforcement they are trained, for that job. Public works will not get the respect from the public. I do not believe the could handle the job
			Jennifer Caire	I am 100% opposed, do to the fact that in my area parking enforcement deal with irate people in which Sheriff deputies are called and involved. I feel that making any changes will infringe on their safety and cause liability issues which can result in lawsuits.
			Joel Delgado	Parking Enforcement should stay with the Sheriffs Department because of their community services they do and help deputies with calls for service. Also working with Sheriffs Dept it's a law enforcement agency which backs up Parking officers against any threats/dangers out in the field.
Juan Jimenez	Public works is not a law enforcement agency and should not be in charge of enforcement. It's a horrible idea destined to fail.			



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
31.		<b>Oppose</b>	Leticia Tascian	Moving Parking Enforcement from the Sheriff Department will negatively impact the communities they serve as well as their safety.
			Luis M Ochoa Jr	Moving parking enforcement from sheriff department will negatively impact the communities they serve as well as their safety.
			Margaret Fuentes	The Sherriffs provide a critical role in keeping our neighborhoods safe and clear of situations that arise from densely populated areas like UELA. When in need of enforcement and support it would be best to have access to the Sherriffs office and information. This is for the betterment of all involved in our communities.
			Maria I Cipres	
			Marisa Tomlinson	I oppose the transfer of parking enforcement from the Sheriff's Dept. To Public Works. This needless and apparently costly plan has no real benefit to citizens or current employees, who rely on their Sheriff partners to ensure their safety while they work among the public every day. Thank you for your time.
			Martha Gutierrez	Parking Enforcement should stay the way they are with The Los Angeles County Sheriffs because they work hard every day enforcing Vehicles Codes and handling calls for service from residents.
			Mike Jones	As an East Los Angeles resident I COMPLETELY oppose Agenda item 31 of the transfer of our Parking Enforcement. I don't understand why the County wants to spend \$50,000,000 dollars just to begin the process. It's dilusional! We need to try other avenues before committing and spending this enormous amount of Tax Payer funds. I would rather test run Hilda Solis' parking permit idea she had a few years ago. A study was done and we figured out the parking issue is a HUGE problem in East L.A. in addition to that, we have to deal with developers and charter schools continuing to INVADE our city. This causes more traffic, more parking problems. Especially the continuing free for all for developers. WE HAVE NO MORE SPACE HERE! Why can't the board understand that problem? We are building on top of each other. STOP IT ALREADY! If we didn't have developers getting the okay to build we would have a bit more parking. East Los Angeles continues to get bullied by the County while I see other County cities not being treated like this. Tons of money poured into other county cities but not ours. It's ridiculous and it's BULLYING. The Board needs to try other ideas before spending \$50M on this idea. Use this money for other things in the community like Belvedere Park. It's run down and looks like crap! Pour money there to beautify our Parks! \$50M will do amazing things for our parks where we can enjoy families. I completely oppose the transfer of Parking Enforcement Department. East Los Angeles Resident.

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:	
31.		<b>Oppose</b>	Norma Sifuentes	Definitely opposed. As as a resident , I do not understand why the Board is willing to spend over \$48 million dollars to move Parking Control to Public Works when there is already a system with LASD that works. The Board should spend that money helping Parking Enforcement with hiring more people and getting updated equipment. Public Works is NOT a law enforcement agency, how would they help us solve the parking issues. What I really have a problem with, is the money you are putting upon us as taxpayers. Just like the \$1.4 billion you have spent on homelessness and the problem has been exacerbated. Parking Enforcement is a valuable asset to our various law enforcement agencies. We do not need our taxes raised because of your wasteful spending I hope you rethink this decision and spend our tax payer money wisely.. if these changes happen, perhaps I should rethink my votes at the next election.  expenditure of our tax dollars to place upon the people of LA County.
			Paulino Gonzalez	Public works is always behind in work they cannot handle County Parking Enforcement they are not a law enforcement agency, they just can't. I oppose to the move.
			Rocio Delgado	
			Silvia Loza	Parking enforcement helps the sherif to do a better job.
			Tanisha K Byd	
			Therese Olaes	
			Valerie Ramirez	This is a dangerous scenario to put parking enforcement in, they will not have proper resources of protection. This is a sensitive career that requires proper protection. Please consider the lives and safety of our fellow parking enforcement officers. Thank you!
			<b>Other</b>	Deloris Martin
		<b>Item Total</b>	<b>39</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>39</b>		

Frederick A. D'Aguilar  
15614 South Lorella Avenue  
Gardena CA 90248

March 18, 2024

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

Reference: "Moving Parking Enforcement Services from Sheriff's Department to the Department of Public Works – Business plan (Item No. 10, Agenda October 17. 2023)"

This proposal outlined in the Chief Executive Office study, proposes to move parking enforcement services to Public Works.

This proposal presents cost and service issues. Public works maintains the roads and streets while parking enforcement is an arm of the Sheriff's department and their responsibility is to enforce parking laws.

Public works DOES NOT have the legal authority to enforce parking laws. That is not their function. And if that role is ever foisted on them, they will not perform that duty effectively, or at all. Public works is inundated with their present duties and can barely maintain the roads let alone enforce parking laws. This proposal is a disservice to the public and a disaster.

This transfer of duties to Public Works will cost the county taxpayers an INCREASED financial burden. Phase one will cost \$23.2 million in ongoing costs and \$1.8 million initially and phase two will cost \$14.8 million in ongoing funding and initially \$10.5 million cost. A total of 50.3 million. An additional \$38.2 million dollars is estimated, as outlined in the chief executive report by the county, will be needed to fund this transfer. This proposal represents a waste of public resources. Transferring other duties to an agency, outside of their authority, is inane and will result in loss of services which they cannot provide, but will also be a complete waste of taxpayers' money and malfeasance on behalf of the Board of Supervisors. Funds could be better spent by allocating this \$38 million dollar to parking enforcement so they can enforce the law on the books and better serve the community.

The Board of Supervisors issued "mandates" not to enforce parking laws during covid, essentially tying the hands of law enforcement from doing their jobs. In our neighborhoods we have an acute problem of RV's illegally parked on public streets creating health and safety problems for law abiding tax paying residents. We have to deal with filth and trash on a daily basis. We look at it everyday on our public streets which the BOS refuses to address. They continually generate excuses rather than solutions. Now we have this ridiculous proposal before us, wanting to steal money from us and to make the situation worse.

This horrible proposal represents the worse garbage the board could ever conjure up in 2023 and present to the county taxpayers. Why would you spend \$38 million dollars on a transfer which will not

work? Only the current board of supervisors would propose such nonsense to waste money and exasperate the problem in so doing.