



THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BOARD MEETING TRANSCRIPT

EXECUTIVE OFFICE



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2023, 9:30 AM

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: GOOD MORNING. GOOD MORNING. MORNING, EVERYONE. WELCOME TO THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. WE WELCOME YOU TO OUR PUBLIC HEARING AND CLOSED SESSION MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. TODAY IS TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19TH, 2023. PLEASE NOTE THAT SUPERVISOR SOLIS WILL BE ABSENT FROM OUR MEETING TODAY. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE COUNTY COUNSEL, THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS ARE ALL HERE TO ASSIST. AND BEFORE WE BEGIN, I KNOW SUPERVISOR BARGER WOULD LIKE US TO TAKE A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTY RYAN CLINKUNBROOMER, WHO WAS MURDERED ON SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 16TH. WHY DON'T WE STAND AND HAVE THAT MOMENT OF SILENCE. [MOMENT OF SILENCE]

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE WILL BEGIN THE DAY'S BOARD MEETING WITH THE COUNTY'S LAND ACKNOWLEDGMENT. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RECOGNIZES THAT WE OCCUPY LAND ORIGINALLY AND STILL INHABITED AND CARED FOR BY THE TONGVA, THE TATAVIAM, SERRANO, KIZH, AND CHUMASH PEOPLES. WE HONOR AND PAY RESPECT TO THEIR ELDERS AND DESCENDANTS, PAST, PRESENT, AND EMERGING, AS THEY CONTINUE THEIR STEWARDSHIP OF THESE LANDS AND WATERS. WE ACKNOWLEDGE THAT SETTLER COLONIZATION RESULTED IN LAND SEIZURE, DISEASE, SUBJUGATION, SLAVERY, RELOCATION, BROKEN



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

PROMISES, GENOCIDE, AND MULTIGENERATIONAL TRAUMA. THIS
ACKNOWLEDGMENT DEMONSTRATES OUR RESPONSIBILITY AND COMMITMENT
TO TRUTH, HEALING, AND RECONCILIATION AND TO ELEVATING THE
STORIES, CULTURE, AND COMMUNITY OF THE ORIGINAL INHABITANTS OF
LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE ARE GRATEFUL TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
LIVE AND WORK ON THESE ANCESTRAL LANDS. WE ARE DEDICATED TO
GROWING AND SUSTAINING RELATIONSHIPS WITH NATIVE PEOPLES AND
LOCAL TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS, INCLUDING (IN NO PARTICULAR ORDER),
THE FERNANDENO TATAVIAM BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, THE
GABRIELINO TONGVA INDIANS OF CALIFORNIA TRIBAL COUNCIL, THE
GABRIELENO/TONGVA SAN GABRIEL BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, THE
GABRIELENO BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, KIZH NATION THE SAN MANUEL
BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, THE SAN FERNANDO BAND OF MISSION
INDIANS. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, NOW PLEASE CALL THE AGENDA.

CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. WE WILL BEGIN ON
PAGE 2, PUBLIC HEARINGS. ITEMS 1-6. ON ITEM 1, THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC HEALTH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO DECEMBER
12TH, 2023. ON ITEM 3, THE TREASURER- TAX COLLECTOR REQUESTS
THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE
REMAINING ITEMS WILL BE HELD FOR A HEARING. ON PAGE 6, NOTICES
OF CLOSED SESSION, ON ITEMS C.S.1, C.S.2, C.S.3, AND C.S.4,
SUPERVISOR HAHN REQUESTS THAT THESE ITEMS BE CONTINUED ONE
WEEK TO SEPTEMBER 26TH, 2023, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 AGENDA. THE REQUESTS FOR REFER BACK AND CONTINUANCES ARE
2 BEFORE YOU.

3
4 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MITCHELL AND
5 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BARGER TO APPROVE THESE ITEMS, THAT
6 WILL BE THE ORDER. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE NOW PLAY THE CODE
7 OF CONDUCT.

8
9 **[RECORDING]:** LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MAY I PLEASE HAVE YOUR
10 ATTENTION. THE MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF
11 SUPERVISORS IS ABOUT TO COMMENCE. A CODE OF CONDUCT WILL NOW
12 BE READ, AND WE REQUEST THAT YOU COMPLY WITH IT TO ENSURE THE
13 EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE MEETING. MEMBERS OF THE
14 PUBLIC, IT IS YOUR RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN TODAY'S BOARD
15 HEARING, AND THE BOARD ENCOURAGES SUCH PARTICIPATION. HOWEVER,
16 THE RIGHT OF THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD MUST BE BALANCED
17 WITH THE NEED TO ENSURE THAT PUBLIC COMMENT DOES NOT INTERFERE
18 WITH THE ORDERLY COURSE OF THE BOARD'S BUSINESS. ALL ARE
19 REMINDED TO ABIDE BY THE FOLLOWING RULES: SPEAKERS MUST CEASE
20 SPEAKING IMMEDIATELY WHEN THEIR TIME HAS ENDED. PUBLIC COMMENT
21 ON AGENDA ITEMS MUST RELATE TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THAT
22 ITEM. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT IS LIMITED TO SUBJECTS WITHIN THE
23 JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD. PUBLIC COMMENT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE
24 RIGHT TO ENGAGE IN A DIALOGUE WITH BOARD MEMBERS OR STAFF.
25 PLEASE REMAIN RESPECTFUL OF THE FORUM AND REFRAIN FROM



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

UTTERING, WRITING, OR DISPLAYING PROFANE, PERSONAL,
THREATENING, DEROGATORY, DEMEANING, OR OTHER ABUSIVE
STATEMENTS TOWARD THE BOARD, ANY MEMBER THEREOF, STAFF, OR ANY
OTHER PERSON. MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE SHOULD BE RESPECTFUL OF
THE VIEWS EXPRESSED BY SPEAKERS, STAFF, AND BOARD MEMBERS AND
MAY NOT CLAP, CHEER, WHISTLE, OR OTHERWISE DISRUPT THE ORDERLY
CONDUCT OF THE MEETING. ANY PERSON ENGAGING IN CONDUCT THAT
DISRUPTS THE MEETING IS SUBJECT TO BEING REMOVED FROM THE
BOARD MEETING. AND FINALLY, IF YOU WITNESS CONDUCT OR BEHAVIOR
BY OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT DISRUPTS YOUR ABILITY TO
REMAIN ENGAGED OR PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, PLEASE NOTIFY
THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS OR OTHER COUNTY STAFF. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
COOPERATION. BEFORE WE BEGIN WITH OUR PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS,
EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE READ THE CALL IN INFORMATION THAT
WAS PROVIDED ON THE AGENDA, AND PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SPEAKING
RULES.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: THANK YOU. FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
WISHING TO PARTICIPATE REMOTELY, AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA,
PLEASE CALL (877) 692-8955 AND USE PARTICIPANT CODE NUMBER
443-3663. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING REMOTELY AND IN
THE BOARDROOM, YOU WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE
BOARD THROUGHOUT THE MEETING. YOU WILL RECEIVE UP TO ONE
MINUTE TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON EACH OF THE PUBLIC HEARING
ITEMS AND ONE ADDITIONAL MINUTE FOR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC JOINING US REMOTELY, WHEN THE BOARD
2 MOVES TO THE ITEM YOU WISH TO ADDRESS, PROMPTLY PRESS 1 THEN 0
3 TO BE ENTERED INTO THE SPEAKING QUEUE. REMEMBER TO TURN DOWN
4 THE VOLUME ON YOUR DEVICE AS SOON AS THE MODERATOR CALLS ON
5 YOU, OR THERE WILL BE AN ECHO. YOU WILL NEED TO PRESS 1 THEN 0
6 FOR EACH ITEM ON WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE DO SPEAK. TO ENSURE WE
7 HEAR FROM BOTH IN-PERSON AND TELEPHONIC SPEAKERS, WE WILL
8 ALTERNATE BETWEEN THE TWO QUEUES. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO
9 ARE IN ATTENDANCE, WHEN YOU HEAR OR SEE YOUR NAME DISPLAYED ON
10 THE SCREEN, PLEASE COME DOWN TO THE FRONT OF THE BOARD- ROOM,
11 AND STAFF WILL ASSIST YOU. PLEASE DO NOT APPROACH THE PODIUM
12 UNTIL DIRECTED TO DO SO. WE WILL BEGIN BY CALLING IN-PERSON
13 SPEAKERS, AND WHILE THEY ARE COMING FORWARD, WE WILL TAKE
14 TELEPHONIC SPEAKERS.

15
16 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** NOW, EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE SWEAR IN THE
17 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, WHO ARE REQUESTING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD
18 ON PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS.

19
20 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND. IN
21 THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE BEFORE THIS BOARD, DO YOU SOLEMNLY
22 SWEAR TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE
23 TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? THANK YOU. YOU MAY BE SEATED.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO BEGIN WITH ITEM 2. FOR
2 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO ARE ON THE TELEPHONE, PLEASE PRESS 1
3 THEN 0 NOW TO COMMENT ON THIS ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE
4 READ IN THE SHORT TITLE FOR ITEM 2.

5
6 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** ITEM 2 IS A HEARING ON ADOPTION OF A
7 RESOLUTION CERTIFYING THAT NO NONPROFIT TRANSIT PROVIDERS ARE
8 READILY AVAILABLE TO PROVIDE PARATRANSIT SERVICES FOR ELDERLY
9 AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES IN CERTAIN UNINCORPORATED AREAS
10 OF EAST LOS ANGELES, WHITTIER, AND WILLOWBROOK. NO
11 DEPARTMENTAL STATEMENT WAS SUBMITTED, AND CORRESPONDENTS WAS
12 RECEIVED.

13
14 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY, ARE THERE ANY SUPERVISORS THAT WOULD
15 LIKE TO MAKE REMARKS ON ITEM 2? OKAY, SEEING NONE, EXECUTIVE
16 OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAVE SIGNED
17 UP TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

18
19 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** WILL THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS PLEASE COME
20 FORWARD, AND STAFF WILL ASSIST YOU. NO MEMBERS ARE SIGNED UP
21 TO SPEAK, MADAM CHAIR.

22
23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** MODERATOR, MAY WE HAVE THE FIRST REMOTE
2 SPEAKER, PLEASE.

3
4 **MODERATOR:** OUR FIRST PARTICIPANT IS ERIC PREVEN. YOU MAY
5 BEGIN.

6
7 **ERIC PREVEN:** THANK YOU. AND GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF
8 SUPERVISORS. THIS IS A FINDING THAT THERE'S NO NON-FOR-PROFIT
9 OPERATORS WHO COULD HELP MOVE AROUND SOME OF THE ELDERLY FOLKS
10 AND ALSO WITH DISABILITIES. SO HERE I THINK WE ARE FINDING A
11 WAY TO HIRE SOMEBODY WHO IS A FOR-PROFIT. THAT'S WHAT I THINK,
12 A LITTLE BIT MURKY, BUT IT IS SO NICE TO SEE PUBLIC WORKS AND
13 MR. PESTRELLA, WHO HAS BEEN RUNNING THAT OPERATION FOR 10
14 YEARS SINCE GAYLE LEFT, STEPPING FORWARD ABOUT THE COUNTY
15 FLOOD DISTRICT, I HOPE, IN STUDIO CITY BECAUSE I AM GETTING
16 VERY, VERY WORRIED THAT THESE ARE FALLING ON DEAF EARS, THE
17 COMMENT ABOUT HOW A PIECE OF LAND -- BY THE WAY, I LOVE THE
18 ACKNOWLEDGMENT, SUPERVISOR HAHN. IT IS SO BEAUTIFUL, FOLLOWED
19 BY THE CODE OF CONDUCT. AND IT WORRIES ME THAT ARE INDIGENOUS
20 PEOPLE ARE BEING RESPECTED EVEN AS WE GO FORWARD? CERTAINLY,
21 IN THE PAST, THE EGREGIOUS MISSTEPS ARE CLEAR, AND WE ARE SO
22 APOLOGETIC.

23
24 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. YOU KNOW, ERIC, I LOVE YOU, BUT YOU
25 ARE OFF TOPIC.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **ERIC PREVEN:** NO, NO, NO. YOU KNOW WHAT? THEN LET'S WRAP IT UP
3 FOR THIS ONE. I WILL COME BACK ON THE NEXT ONE. I AM TRYING
4 TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THIS IS NOT A JOKE-Y THING FOR ME.

5

6 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

7

8 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. YOU MAY
9 BEGIN.

10

11 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** YES, GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF
12 SUPERVISORS. OKAY. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I THINK THAT ITEM IS
13 VERY IMPORTANT, AND I AM REALLY PLEASED THAT YOU ARE LOOKING
14 AT IT IN DETAIL AND TO PREVENT ANY MIS-MEANING IN THE FUTURE.
15 THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

18

19 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS JONATHAN FOSTER. YOU MAY
20 BEGIN.

21

22 **JONATHAN FOSTER:** HELLO. I AM JONATHAN FOSTER, AND I WAS
23 CALLING ABOUT A CLIP I HEARD ON THE RADIO, WHERE JANICE IS
24 TALKING ABOUT RAISING PAY FOR -- IN RESTAURANTS. IS THIS THE
25 RIGHT TOPIC?



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** YEAH, NO. THIS IS NOT THE ITEM WE ARE ON
3 NOW, BUT THANK YOU.

4

5 **JONATHAN FOSTER:** WHICH ONE SHOULD I GET ON?

6

7 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** WE ARE ON ITEM 2 RIGHT NOW, HEARING ON
8 PARATRANSIT SERVICE GRANT FUNDING.

9

10 **JONATHAN FOSTER:** OH, MY APOLOGY. WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO DO
11 NUMBER 1? NUMBER 1 HAS BEEN CONTINUED UNTIL DECEMBER 12TH, I
12 BELIEVE. IS THAT CORRECT? YES, NUMBER 1 IS CONTINUED.

13

14 **JONATHAN FOSTER:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.

15

16 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY.

17

18 **JONATHAN FOSTER:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.

19

20 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. OKAY, NEXT
21 SPEAKER, PLEASE.

22

23 **MODERATOR:** MADAM CHAIR, THERE ARE NO OTHER REMOTE SPEAKERS IN
24 THE QUEUE TO ADDRESS THE BOARD.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY, SO, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO CLOSE
2 THE PUBLIC HEARING AND VOTE ON THIS ITEM. ITEM 2 IS BEFORE US
3 NOW, COLLEAGUES. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR HORVATH, SECONDED BY
4 SUPERVISOR BARGER TO APPROVE THE ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
5 PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

6
7 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** ITEM 2 IS BEFORE YOU, SUPERVISOR MITCHELL.

8
9 **SUP. MITCHELL:** AYE.

10
11 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, AYE. SUPERVISOR
12 HORVATH.

13
14 **SUP. HORVATH:** AYE.

15
16 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HORVATH, AYE. SUPERVISOR
17 BARGER.

18
19 **SUP. BARGER:** AYE.

20
21 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR BARGER, AYE. SUPERVISOR HAHN.

22
23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AYE.

24
25 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HAHN, AYE. MOTION CARRIES 4-0.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. LET'S MOVE ON TO ITEM 4. FOR MEMBERS
3 OF THE PUBLIC ON THE TELEPHONE, PLEASE PRESS 1 THEN 0 NOW TO
4 COMMENT ON THIS ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE READ IN THE
5 SHORT TITLE FOR ITEM 4.

6

7 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.::** ITEM 4 IS A HEARING ON THE APPEAL OF
8 PROJECT 2017-003465-3 TO AUTHORIZE THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 2,241
9 SQUARE FOOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE ON A 0.5-ACRE PARCEL
10 LOCATED AT 661 COLD CANYON RD., WITHIN THE MONTE NIDO RURAL
11 VILLAGE IN THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF THE SANTA MONICA
12 MOUNTAINS COASTAL ZONE, APPLIED BY STEPHEN MONTOYA. NO
13 DEPARTMENTAL STATEMENT WAS SUBMITTED, AND CORRESPONDENCE WAS
14 RECEIVED.

15

16 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. I SEE THAT AMY BODEK, OUR DIRECTOR OF
17 REGIONAL PLANNING, IS WITH US THIS MORNING. MS. BODEK, DID YOU
18 WANT TO MAKE A PRESENTATION?

19

20 **AMY BODEK:** I WOULD, MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU.

21

22 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY.

23

24 **AMY BODEK:** MADAM CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I WANTED TO
25 GIVE YOU A BRIEF UPDATE ON THIS PROJECT BECAUSE THIS IS NOT



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

THE FIRST TIME THIS PROJECT HAS COME TO YOUR BOARD. THIS PROJECT ACTUALLY DATES BACK TO PUBLIC HEARING THAT WAS HELD IN MAY OF 2020 AT THE HEARING OFFICER. THIS PROJECT WAS THEN APPEALED TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, AND THEN IT CAME TO YOUR BOARD IN JANUARY OF 2023. AT THAT TIME, THERE WERE SOME ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED THAT WERE NOT KNOWN TO STAFF AT THE TIME. AND THEY WERE RELATED TO SOME ARCHAEOLOGICAL ISSUES THAT WERE ALLEGED TO BE ON SITE. THAT WAS A SERIOUS ISSUE TO THE BOARD AND BOTH TO STAFF, AND SO THE BOARD REMANDED THE ISSUE BACK TO STAFF FOR US TO LOOK AT IT. YOU ACTUALLY CONTINUED THE HEARING AND HAD US COME BACK. SO SINCE THAT TIME, THIS IS A SINGLE FAMILY HOME IN AN EXISTING DEVELOPED NEIGHBORHOOD. WE DID HIRE -- NOT WE, THE APPLICANT HIRED AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONSULTANT, WHO IS CERTIFIED TO DO THESE TYPES OF INVESTIGATIONS. THEY COMPLETED THEIR ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW AND DETERMINED THAT THERE WERE NO ARCHAEOLOGICAL ARTIFACTS OF CONCERN ON THE PROPERTY. AS SUCH, WE ARE NOW HERE TODAY TO ASK YOU TO HOLD THE HEARING AND DENY THE APPEAL AND ALLOW THIS PROJECT TO PROCEED. I AM HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: I SEE SUPERVISOR HORVATH ON THE QUEUE.

SUP. HORVATH: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU, AMY, FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. IS IT STANDARD THAT THE APPLICANT WOULD PAY FOR



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THE CONSULTANT WHO DOES THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDY? IS THAT HOW
2 IT IS TYPICALLY DONE?

3

4 **AMY BODEK:** YES, IT IS. THE CONSULTANT PAYS FOR IT, AND THEN IT
5 IS SUBMITTED TO US, AND THEN WE ACTUALLY REVIEW IT, AND THEN
6 IF WE HAVE QUESTIONS, WE WORK DIRECTLY WITH THE CONSULTANT TO
7 MODIFY OR FINALIZE THAT REPORT AS NECESSARY.

8

9 **SUP. HORVATH:** OKAY, SO THAT'S OUR STANDARD PROCESS. I WANT TO
10 THANK YOU FOR REVIEWING THAT REPORT BECAUSE THAT WAS A CONCERN
11 OF MINE EARLIER THIS YEAR, THAT WE WEREN'T DOING THINGS IN THE
12 RIGHT ORDER. I I THINK WE ACKNOWLEDGE AT THE BEGINNING OF THE
13 MEETING THE LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND THE IMPORTANCE OF LAND,
14 AND THEN WE WERE GOING TO KIND OF SKIP WHAT I THIS IS WAS AN
15 IMPORTANT STEP, SO I AM GLAD WE TOOK THE TIME TO DO THAT. THAT
16 YOUR STAFF HAS REVIEWED THAT REPORT, I AM SENSITIVE TO THE
17 CONCERNS OF THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS REGION, ESPECIALLY THE
18 IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. WE KNOW THAT
19 THIS AREA IS UNIQUE AND HAS SENSITIVE BIOLOGICAL HABITAT FOR
20 OUR REGION, WITH A GREAT BIO- DIVERSITY, AND SO WE WANT TO
21 MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS PROTECTED. WE HAVE A LOCAL COASTAL PLAN
22 THAT FOCUSES ON THAT PROTECTION, WHICH HAS BEEN APPROVED BY
23 THE COASTAL COMMISSION AND SETS BOUNDARIES FOR DEVELOPMENT IN
24 THIS AREA. AND WE KNOW THAT YOUR TEAM WORKS VERY HARD TO
25 ENFORCE THAT LOCAL COASTAL PLAN AND TO ENSURE THAT WE ARE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 CONSIDERING ALL THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS. I KNOW THAT THE LAST
2 TIME WE SPOKE, YOU TALKED ABOUT VISIBILITY OF THIS PROJECT.
3 AND SO, I JUST WANTED TO REITERATE HERE IS, FROM YOUR STUDY,
4 CAN ANY ASPECT OF THIS PROJECT BE SEEN FROM THE SCENIC
5 RESOURCE AREA?

6

7 **AMY BODEK:** SO YOU ARE CORRECT THAT THIS IS IN THE COASTAL
8 ZONE, AND THAT'S WHY PART OF THEIR APPLICATION REQUIRES A
9 MINOR COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A
10 SINGLE FAMILY HOME. IN THIS CASE, BECAUSE IT IS IN THE COASTAL
11 ZONE, WE DID REQUIRE THE ERECTION OF STORY POLES, AND THOSE
12 ARE POLES THAT YOU PUT UP WITH A LITTLE RIBBON OR ON TOP SO
13 THAT YOU CAN SEE HOW TALL THIS BUILDING IS GOING TO BE AND
14 WHETHER THERE ARE GOING TO BE VISUAL IMPACTS. THERE IS A
15 SCENIC ROUTE THAT IS IDENTIFIED, BUT IT IS ABOUT A MILE AWAY.
16 IT'S PIUMA. AND SO, WHAT WE DID, IN RESPONSE TO THE CONCERNS
17 THAT THIS WOULD BE AN IMPACT TO SCENIC TRAILS AND SCENIC
18 VIEWS, IS, IN JUNE OF THIS YEAR, WE ACTUALLY HAD STAFF GO OUT
19 AND DRIVE UP AND DOWN AROUND THE WHOLE NEIGHBORHOOD WHILE THE
20 STORY POLES WERE UP TO MAKE SURE THERE WAS NO VISIBILITY FROM
21 THE SCENE ROUTE. ADDITIONALLY, STAFF HIKED ABOUT A MILE OF THE
22 BACKBONE THE TRAIL THAT GOES AROUND THAT NEIGHBORHOOD AS WELL,
23 AND STILL COULD FIND NO EVIDENCE THAT THEY COULD SEE THE STORY
24 POLES. SO WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT THE VISUAL IMPACTS ARE NOT AS
25 ALLEGED, AND WE COULD NOT FIND ANY EVIDENCE OF VISUAL IMPACTS.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HORVATH:** THANK YOU FOR THAT. I KNOW THAT THE ENFORCEMENT
3 OF THE LOCAL COASTAL PLAN IS VERY IMPORTANT, NOT ONLY TO ME,
4 BUT ESPECIALLY TO THE FOLKS WHO LIVE IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD AND
5 WHO WOULD BE IMPACTED. AND I KNOW THIS PROPOSED PROJECT IS A
6 SINGLE FAMILY HOME THAT IS IN A COMMUNITY THAT IS ALREADY
7 DEVELOPED, SO THIS SINGLE IN-FILL HOME IS REALLY WHAT WE ARE
8 TALKING ABOUT HERE TODAY. AND YOU HAVE STUDIED WITH YOUR HOME
9 TO ENSURE THAT THE LOCAL COASTAL PLAN HAS BEEN ENFORCED IN
10 TERMS OF THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT. SO I AM INCLINED TO, AT
11 THIS TIME, DENY THE APPEAL AND APPROVE THE PLANNING
12 COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATIONS BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF YOUR
13 TEAM AND WHAT WE HAVE SEEN IN THE REPORT HERE TODAY AND TO
14 APPROVE THE MINOR C.D.P. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. OKAY, ANY
15 OTHER SUPERVISORS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? IF NOT, I WILL NOW CALL
16 ON THE APPELLANT AND THE APPLICANT, SEPARATELY. AND WE WILL
17 FIRST CALL ON THE APPELLEE, ALICIA GONZALEZ, WHO WILL BE
18 GIVEN A TOTAL OF TWO MINUTES. MS. GONZALES, WELCOME.

19

20 **ALICIA GONZALEZ:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS ALICIA
21 GONZALEZ. I AM PRESIDENT OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE MONTE NIDO
22 VALLEY COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, AND I AM HERE TODAY TO REPRESENT
23 OUR RURAL VILLAGE OF APPROXIMATELY 400 HOMES IN THE CENTER OF
24 THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS. LOCATION IS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT
25 WHEN CONDUCTING A PHASE ONE ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDY. THE REPORT



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO YOU, AND JUST RECENTLY MADE AVAILABLE TO
2 US, CONFUSES THE LOCATION OF THE PARCEL THAT IS SLATED FOR
3 DEVELOPMENT IN OUR COMMUNITY. THE AUTHOR INDICATES THAT MONTE
4 NIDO IN THE CITY OF MALIBU, REPEATEDLY. IT IS A FLAWED AND
5 SEEMINGLY CURSORY DOCUMENT. IT IS ALSO HIGHLY EDITORIALIZED.
6 WE REQUEST THAT YOU CONTINUE THE HEARING ON THIS PROJECT UNTIL
7 AN ACCURATE REPORT CAN BE PREPARED. LOCATION IS THE MAIN ISSUE
8 WITH THIS PROJECT. TIME AND AGAIN, I AM IN DISBELIEF THAT WE,
9 THE COMMUNITY, THE DEVELOPER, AND THE PLANNERS ARE REFERRING
10 TO THE SAME PROPERTY. THE DESIGN FOR THE SINGLE FAMILY
11 RESIDENCE WOULD BE FINE SOMEWHERE ELSE, INCLUDING SOMEWHERE
12 ELSE IN MONTE NIDO, BUT NOT WHERE IT IS LOCATED. I CANNOT
13 IMPRESS UPON YOU ENOUGH THE UNIQUE AND CHALLENGING TOPOGRAPHY
14 OF THIS PARCEL. IT IS A KNOB THAT SINGULARLY STANDS OUT ABOVE
15 ALL THE SURROUNDING LANDSCAPE. BECAUSE OF ITS ELEVATION, IT IS
16 VISIBLE FROM THE BACKBONE TRAIL. AND A TWO STORY HOME THERE
17 WILL TOWER OVER THE NEIGHBORING RESIDENCES BECAUSE OF ITS
18 GEOLOGY, AND ANCIENT VOLCANIC PLUG, IT HAS PROVEN DIFFICULT,
19 INCLUDING 20 YEARS OF ATTEMPTS BY THE PREVIOUS OWNERS, A LOCAL
20 FAMILY, TO FIND A LOCATION FOR AN ON-SITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT
21 SYSTEM THAT CAN PERCOLATE. WE BELIEVE, AT A MINIMUM, THE
22 C.D.P. SHOULD BE AMENDED TO ADDRESS THE IMPACT OF STRUCTURE
23 HEIGHT AND THE VIABILITY OF A SEPTIC SYSTEM. WE OPPOSE THE
24 PROJECT AS PRESENTED AND ASK THAT YOU SUPPORT OUR APPEAL.
25 THANK YOU.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. AND I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE THE
3 APPLICANT HERE, STEPHEN MONTOYA. YOU WILL ALSO BE GIVEN A
4 TOTAL OF TWO MINUTES TO SPEAK. GO AHEAD, THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

5

6 **RUSSELL HAMREL:** HI, I AM RUSSELL HAMREL.

7

8 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OH, IS THAT? I AM SORRY. WE WERE LOOKING FOR
9 THE APPLICANT, STEPHEN MONTOYA.

10

11 **STEPHEN MONTOYA:** HI THERE, I AM HERE.

12

13 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. GO AHEAD.

14

15 **STEPHEN MONTOYA:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS STEPHEN
16 MONTOYA, AND I AM THE APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
17 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 661 COLD CANYON.

18

19 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** HOLD ON A SECOND. GET A LITTLE BIT CLOSER TO
20 THE MIC. PULL IT DOWN.

21

22 **STEPHEN MONTOYA:** HOW ABOUT THAT? IS THAT BETTER?

23

24 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THERE. MUCH BETTER.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **STEPHEN MONTOYA:** OH, MY APOLOGIES.

2

3 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** WAIT. START THE TIME OVER AGAIN. OKAY, GO.

4

5 **STEPHEN MONTOYA:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS STEPHEN MONTOYA. I
6 AM THE APPLICANT AND REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE PROPERTY AT 661
7 COLD CANYON. THERE ARE SOME DOCUMENTS THAT YOU MAY HAVE
8 RECEIVED IN YOUR PACKET, MORE SPECIFICALLY A CORRESPONDENCE
9 FROM THE STEVENSON FAMILY STATING THAT THE PROPERTY OWNER IS
10 BUILDING ON THIS LOT FOR RESALE. I WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE
11 THIS CANNOT BE FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH. MS. SHAMAM, THE OWNER
12 OF THE PROPERTY, AND HER HUSBAND, PLAN TO BUILD AND RETIRE IN
13 THIS AREA. THEY WANT TO BE PART OF THE COMMUNITY AND ENJOY THE
14 SERENE ENVIRONMENT JUST LIKE MANY OTHER MONTE NIDO NEIGHBORS.
15 I REALIZE I ONLY HAVE TWO MINUTES, SO I'M GOING TO BE QUICK. I
16 HAVE A 6-POINT BULLET LIST. I JUST WANT TO REITERATE THAT
17 THERE'S MULTIPLE COUNTY AND DEPARTMENT APPROVALS AND/OR
18 CLEARANCES THAT WERE ISSUED FOR THIS PROJECT, MORE
19 SPECIFICALLY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
20 HEALTH, SEPTIC SYSTEM, PUBLIC WORKS, AND FORESTRY DIVISION.
21 PLEASE NOTE THAT THE TANK, THE SEEPAGE PITS, ASSOCIATED PIPING
22 ARE ALL OUTSIDE THE PROTECTED ZONES OF THE EXISTING OAK TREES.
23 AGAIN, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PROVIDED A
24 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT AND FOUND IT DEEMED
25 COMPLETE, AND THEREFORE MAY PROCEED IN THE PERMIT PROCESS.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 EXISTING DEVELOPMENT ADJACENT TO AND SURROUNDING THE PROPERTY
2 IS CLUSTERED WITH OVERLAPPING FUEL MODIFICATION ZONES. THE
3 PROPOSED LOCATION OF THE HOUSE WAS CHOSEN TO BEST FIT THIS
4 MODEL. THE ENTIRE AND PROPERTY AND FUEL MODIFICATION OR BRUSH
5 THINNING FOR THE RESIDENCE WILL EXTEND INTO EXISTING FUEL
6 MODIFIED AREAS AS THERE IS SEVERAL PROPERTIES THAT ARE
7 DIRECTLY ADJACENT TO THE EAST, THE WEST, AS WELL AS TO THE
8 NORTH AND THE SOUTH. BULLET POINT 3, THE PROJECT IS
9 COMMENSURATE WITH REGARDS TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD AS IT RELATES TO
10 SIZE, BULK, SQUARE FOOTAGE, AND NUMBER OF STORIES. AND I WOULD
11 DEFER YOU TO REFER TO THE STAFF REPORT WHERE TYLER MONTGOMERY
12 DID A PRETTY COMPREHENSIVE STUDY IN TERMS OF THAT. I JUST WANT
13 TO LASTLY TALK ABOUT THE HEARING BACK IN JANUARY, WHERE IT WAS
14 CONCLUDED THAT WE HAD TO DO A PHASE ONE, WHICH IS WE DID, AND
15 WE ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH DR. LEWIS'S FINDINGS AND HIS
16 RECOMMENDATIONS. AND TO -- IF THERE'S ANY CULTURAL RESOURCES
17 ENCOUNTERED DURING CONSTRUCTION, A QUALIFIED ARCHAEOLOGIST
18 WOULD BE REQUIRED.

19
20 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

21
22 **STEPHEN MONTOYA:** THANK YOU.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, NOW CALL THE
2 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON THIS
3 ITEM, ITEM 4.

4
5 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** WOULD THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS PLEASE COME
6 FORWARD, AND STAFF WILL ASSIST YOU. ERIC SHAMAM, JOAN
7 SLOVAKASKI, AND ROGER PUGLIASI, PLEASE COME FORWARD, AND STAFF
8 WILL ASSIST YOU. MODERATOR, MAY WE HAVE THE FIRST REMOTE
9 SPEAKER, PLEASE.

10
11 **MODERATOR:** OUR FIRST PARTICIPANT IS KIM LAMORE. YOU MAY BEGIN.

12
13 **KIM LAMORE:** THANK YOU, GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS KIM LAMORE,
14 AND I AM PRESIDENT OF THE LAS VIRGENES HOMEOWNERS FEDERATION.
15 HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, ON BEHALF OF THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
16 STAKEHOLDERS, WE SUPPORT MONTE NIDO'S APPEAL APPEAL AND THE
17 REQUEST TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM WITH ADD CONDITIONS. WE
18 RESPECTFULLY ASK YOU TO SEND THE B.O.S. DIRECTED SO-CALLED
19 STUDY BACK TO THE APPLICANT TO PRODUCE AN ACCURATE
20 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT. THE FACT IS, AND WHEN DISAGREE WITH
21 REGIONAL PLANNING ON THIS, IT IS INCOMPLETE, INFORMATION
22 MANGLED AND INTERJECTED OVERRIDING ALL ELSE, NOT ONCE, BUT
23 TWICE WITH PERSONAL OPINIONS, ACCUSATORY AND INFLAMMATORY
24 ABOUT A COMMUNITY MEMBER BASED ON HEARSAY, THE ARCHAEOLOGIST'S
25 FEELINGS, CONJECTURE, AND MORE, NOTHING TO DO WITH ARCHEOLOGY.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 FURTHER, IT IS LACED, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, WITH
2 INCONSISTENCIES WITH FIVE PAGES OF PROJECTS AND ZONING
3 ORDINANCES IN THE CITY OF MALIBU, WHICH HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH
4 THIS REPORT. THIS IS MONTE NIDO IN UNINCORPORATED COUNTY,
5 ADJACENT TO THE CITY OF CALABASAS. THE AREA IS RIFE WITH RICH
6 CULTURAL RESOURCES, AND THERE ARE LARGE BEDROCK IN THE
7 VICINITY. THE PROJECT SITE IS LOCATED IN A TRIBAL CULTURAL
8 RESOURCE AREA.

9
10 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. THANK YOU.
11 NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

12
13 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS ERIC PREVEN. YOU MAY BEGIN.

14
15 **ERIC PREVEN:** THANK YOU, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. AND I'D LIKE TO
16 THANK SUPERVISOR HORVATH FOR ASKING THE VERY GOOD QUESTION IS
17 IT STANDARD THAT THE APPLICANTS WOULD PAY FOR THEIR OWN
18 ARCHITECTURAL STUDY. WELL, THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION BECAUSE YOU
19 GET WHAT YOU PAY FOR IS KIND OF WHAT MANY OF US OUT IN THE
20 REAL WORLD THINK OF. SO, HOW DOES THAT WORK? WELL, THAT WORKS
21 BECAUSE THE PRIOR ADMINISTRATION, LED BY W.R. SLOWSKI AND
22 OTHERS, DON'T WANT TO PAY FOR ANYTHING, THEMSELVES, LEST IT
23 DENT THE BUDGET. SO JACK UP THE PRICE OF THE APPLICANTS ALL
24 OVER THE PLACE. BUT HERE, I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO BRING UP
25 THE CONFLICT. IT IS A LITTLE CONFLICTING, BUT WE ACCEPT IT.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 IT'S LIKE PEOPLE PAY FOR THEIR OWN A.I.A.R. VERY UNFORTUNATE.
2 BUT IMAGINE IF ON THE -- IF BODEK, WHO IS GOING A GREAT JOB,
3 BY THE WAY. AMY, THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR SERVICE. IMAGINE IF
4 SHE HAD WORKED FOR OR GONE TO SCHOOL AT THE PROPERTY. THAT'S
5 WHAT IS HAPPENING AT CITY OF L.A. AND HARVARD-WESTLAKE, WHERE
6 SIATHAN MILLMAN AND CAROLNE KOOEY ARE GRADUATES OF THE
7 SCHOOL. THEY WERE PUT ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY
8 BY ERIC GARCETTI, A GRADUATE OF THE SCHOOL. WILL ANYBODY STAND
9 UP TO THESE BILLIONAIRES UP ON THE HILL?

10

11 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MR. PREVEN.
12 YOUR TIME IS EXPIRED. NEXT SPEAKER. WE'RE GOING IN-PERSON.
13 WELCOME.

14

15 **JOAN SLOVAKASKI:** THANK YOU. MY NAME IS JOAN SLOVAKASKI. THE
16 SMALL LOTS OF MONTE NIDO WERE CARVED UP AND BUILT ON AS EARLY
17 AS THE 1920S. THE PROPERTY AND PROJECT BEFORE YOU IS ONE OF
18 THESE LOTS. LOGICALLY, IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN BUILT ON BY NOW,
19 EXCEPT FOR ONE FACT. IT IS NOT A BUILDABLE LOT. THERE'S PLENTY
20 OF LOTS THAT FALL INTO THIS CATEGORY. AND I WOULD URGE YOU TO
21 SUPPORT THAT OUR APPEAL AND HELP US SET AN EXAMPLE THAT,
22 DESPITE WHAT DEVELOPERS THINK AND WISH, AND PRESS COUNTY
23 PLANNERS TO APPROVE, CONDITIONS WERE APPROVED ON THIS, BUT
24 THEY WILL BE VERY HARD TO ENFORCE. PLEASE SUPPORT US. HELP SET



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 AN EXAMPLE ONCE AND FOR ALL THAT THERE ARE SUCH THINGS AS NON-
2 DEVELOPABLE LOTS IN MONTE NIDO.

3
4 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU.

5
6 **JOAN SLOVAKASKI:** THANK YOU.

7
8 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

9
10 **ROGER PUGLIASI:** MY NAME IS ROGER PUGLIASI. I'M THE VICE
11 PRESIDENT OF THE LAS VIRGENES HOMEOWNERS FEDERATION AND THE
12 CHAIR OF THE TOPANGA ASSOCIATION FOR A SCENIC COMMUNITY.
13 RATHER THAN TO BELABOR THE POINT SOME MORE, I REFER YOU TO THE
14 FIVE PAGE DOCUMENT THAT WAS SUBMITTED FOR EVIDENCE IN
15 REFERENCE TO SUPPORTING THE APPEAL. I THINK THAT THE --IT
16 SEEMS TO ME THE BIG BONE OF CONTENTION HERE HAPPENS TO BE THE
17 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY THAT WAS DONE. IT IS INADEQUATE, NUMBER
18 ONE. NUMBER TWO, IT WAS ADDRESSED TO MALIBU, AS OPPOSED TO THE
19 SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS. AND I WOULD REQUEST THAT -- BOTH
20 ORGANIZATIONS WOULD REQUEST THAT YOU SUPPORT THE APPEAL. THANK
21 YOU.

22
23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR HORVATH, YOU ARE ON
24 THE QUEUE NOW. DID YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING, OR ARE YOU GOING
25 TO WAIT? OKAY, NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **IRI SHAMAM:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS IRI SHAMAM. MY HUSBAND
3 AND I ARE THE OWNERS OF 661 COLD CANYON. WE PURCHASED IT IN
4 2017 WITH THE PURPOSE OF BUILDING OUR HOME. WE ARE -- LOVE THE
5 ENVIRONMENT. WE LOVE NATURE. WE ARE NOT DEVELOPERS. I AM A
6 NURSE, AND MY HUSBAND IS A RETIRED MECHANIC. WE HAVE BEEN
7 THROUGH MANY, MANY STAGES, AND WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT
8 YOU APPROVE THE COASTAL PERMIT. THANK YOU.

9

10 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. ARE THERE OTHER SPEAKERS EITHER
11 HERE OR ON THE QUEUE?

12

13 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** NO OTHER SPEAKERS, MADAM CHAIR.

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR HORVATH, DID YOU WANT TO
16 SAY SOMETHING?

17

18 **SUP. HORVATH:** YES, MADAM CHAIR, THANK YOU. DIRECTOR BODEK, I
19 WANTED TO ADDRESS THE CONTENTION THAT WAS MADE DURING PUBLIC
20 COMMENT REGARDING THE REPORT INDICATING THE CITY OF MALIBU. I
21 WANT TO MAKE SURE, IT SOUNDED LIKE YOUR STAFF REVIEWED THIS
22 REPORT, SO CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT THE IMPLICATIONS ARE OF HOW IT
23 IS ADDRESSED, MAKING SURE THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT THE RIGHT
24 PARCEL --

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **AMY BODEK:** CERTAINLY.

2

3 **SUP. HORVATH:** THAT WE HAVE CONSIDERED WHAT HAS BEEN INDICATED
4 AS IT RELATES TO THE REPORT INDICATING THE CITY OF MALIBU VS.
5 WHERE WE KNOW THIS IN MONTE NIDO.

6

7 **AMY BODEK:** RIGHT. SO MANY OF THE PARCELS IN UNINCORPORATED
8 SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS ARE REFERRED TO AS MALIBU OR HAVING
9 MALIBU POSTAL ADDRESS. SO THERE ARE SOMETIMES CONFUSION WHEN
10 THEY ARE TRULY IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA WHEN THEY HAVE
11 ACTUALLY A MALIBU POSTAL ADDRESS. THERE ARE ALSO MALIBU
12 GUIDELINES, PARTICULARLY IN THE COASTAL ZONE, THAT WE ALSO DO
13 LOOK AT JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE CONSISTENT WITH OUR OWN
14 GUIDELINES, PARTICULARLY THOSE PROPERTIES THAT ARE ON THE EDGE
15 OF THE CITY OF MALIBU PROPER. MORE IMPORTANTLY, REGARDLESS OF
16 THE FACT THAT MALIBU IS MENTIONED IN THE REPORT, CULTURAL
17 RESOURCES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED HAVE AN IDENTIFYING NUMBER
18 THAT IS ASSIGNED TO THEM. AND THERE IS A WHOLE RESOURCE CENTER
19 AT CAL STATE FULLERTON, WHERE ALL ARCHAEOLOGISTS IN THE STATE
20 GO TO TO SEE IF THERE IS ANY PRIOR STUDIES RELATED TO THAT
21 ARTIFACT. AND SO, IN THIS INSTANT, THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO
22 CONFUSION THAT THE ARTIFACT THAT WAS RAISED IN JANUARY IS
23 ARTIFACT L.A.N.-506. AND THAT IS THE ARTIFACT THAT WAS
24 ANALYZED IN THIS REPORT. THAT IS THE ARTIFACT THAT REMAINS --
25 A RECORD OF THOSE ARTIFACTS IS IN CAL STATE FULLERTON. AND



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THAT IS THE RESEARCH THAT WAS DONE. SO REGARDLESS OF WHETHER
2 SOMEONE INADVERTENTLY WROTE THE CITY OF MALIBU OR
3 UNINCORPORATED LOS ANGELES, THE STUDY IS ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC
4 ARTIFACT. THE ARTIFACT WAS IDENTIFIED AS NOT BEING ON THIS
5 SITE. THE REPORT FROM THE CONSULTANT EXPRESSED GRAVE CONCERNS
6 THAT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WERE TO KNOW ABOUT THE POTENTIAL
7 LOCATION OF THE ARTIFACT BECAUSE, IF IT IS A CULTURAL
8 ARTIFACT, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO KNOW THAT.
9 ONLY QUALIFIED ARCHAEOLOGISTS AND OTHER QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS
10 ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE ACCESS TO THAT INFORMATION. SO WE DON'T
11 KNOW EXACTLY WHERE THAT ARTIFACT IS, BUT WE KNOW THAT IT IS
12 NOT ON THE SITE, AND IT IS ABOUT 800 TO 1,000 FEET AWAY. WE
13 DON'T KNOW WHAT DIRECTION THAT ARTIFACT IS IN. BUT WE DO KNOW,
14 BASED ON THE REPORT, THAT IT IS NOT ON THE BOUNDARIES OF THIS
15 PROPERTY.

16
17 **SUP. HORVATH:** OKAY. SO GOING FORWARD, I CAN APPRECIATE THAT
18 WHEN YOU LIVE IN THIS COMMUNITY, IF YOU READ A REPORT THAT
19 MISIDENTIFIES THE NEIGHBORHOOD IN WHICH YOU LIVE, ESPECIALLY
20 THIS NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE EVERYBODY KNOWS EVERYBODY, AND
21 EVERYBODY KNOWS EVERY INCH OF THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, THAT WE
22 ESTABLISH PRACTICES THAT UP THE BAR IN TERMS OF HOW OUR
23 REPORTS ARE COMING FORWARD, THAT WE ARE MORE PRECISE IN OUR
24 LANGUAGE. I AM HEARING THAT YOUR TEAM HAS REVIEWED IT, BUT I
25 THINK, GOING FORWARD, WE SHOULD, THROUGH OUR PLANNING



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

DEPARTMENT, MAKE SURE THAT THESE REPORTS ACCURATELY REFLECT EXACTLY, PRECISELY, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE WE HAVE TO BE PRECISE TO THE FOOT, TO THE T, TO THE LETTER OF THE LAW, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE, GOING FORWARD, THAT THAT SORT OF DISCREPANCY DOESN'T HAPPEN. I AM CLEAR THAT YOU ARE SAYING THAT YOUR TEAM HAS REVIEWED THIS REPORT, SO THERE ISN'T A LEGAL ISSUE OR ANY CONFUSION AS TO THE ANALYSIS THAT WAS DONE FOR THIS PARTICULAR SITE. SO I REMAIN COMMITTED TO DENYING THE APPEAL AND MOVING FORWARD, BUT I DO THINK THAT, AS A DEPARTMENT, WE NEED TO UP THE GAME IN TERMS OF THE REPORTS WE HAVE MOVING FORWARD.

AMY BODEK: AGREED.

SUP. HORVATH: THANK YOU.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: OKAY, THANK YOU. AT THIS TIME, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND VOTE ON THIS ITEM. SO COLLEAGUES, ITEM 4 IS NOW BEFORE US. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR HORVATH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MITCHELL TO APPROVE THE ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.: ITEM 4 IS BEFORE YOU, WHICH DENIES THE APPEAL AND UPHOLDS THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT IS BEFORE YOU. SUPERVISOR MITCHELL.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. MITCHELL:** AYE.

2

3 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, AYE. SUPERVISOR
4 HORVATH.

5

6 **SUP. HORVATH:** AYE.

7

8 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HORVATH, AYE. SUPERVISOR
9 BARGER.

10

11 **SUP. BARGER:** AYE.

12

13 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR BARGER, AYE. SUPERVISOR HAHN.

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AYE.

16

17 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HAHN, AYE. MOTION CARRIES 4-0.

18

19 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY, LET'S MOVE ON TO ITEM 5. FOR MEMBERS
20 OF THE PUBLIC ON THE TELEPHONE, PLEASE PRESS 1 THEN 0 NOW TO
21 COMMENT ON THIS ITEM, ITEM 5, EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE READ
22 IN THE SHORT TITLE.

23

24 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** ITEM 5 IS A HEARING ON THE APPEAL OF
25 PROJECT 2019-000686-3 TO AUTHORIZE THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 15-



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE ON A 16 LOT LOCATED AT THE 25-600 AND
2 25-700 BLOCK OF PUMA RD. WITHIN THE MONTE NIDO RURAL VILLAGE
3 IN THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
4 COASTAL ZONE APPLIED FOR BY THE CALIFORNIA PACIFIC HOMES. NO
5 DEPARTMENTAL STATEMENT WAS SUBMITTED, AND CORRESPONDENCE WAS
6 RECEIVED.

7

8 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AND WE HAVE MS. BODEK STILL HERE, DIRECTOR
9 OF REGIONAL PLANNING, AND I BELIEVE YOU WILL MAKE A
10 PRESENTATION ON THIS ITEM AS WELL.

11

12 **AMY BODEK:** MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. YES, I AM HERE
13 AGAIN. THIS IS RELATED TO ANOTHER PROJECT IN THE MONTE NIDO
14 NEIGHBORHOOD. THIS ONE IS ON PIUMA RD. IT CONSISTS OF 15 LOTS
15 THAT WERE GRADED UNDER A LEGITIMATE GRADING PERMIT PRIOR TO
16 THE ENACTION OF OUR COASTAL -- OUR LOCAL COASTAL PLAN. SO I
17 WANT THE SET THE STAGE FOR THIS. THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN AROUND
18 FOR QUITE A WHILE. AS I JUST SAID, THERE IS WERE SOME 15
19 LEGALLY GRADED LOTS THAT WERE CREATED PRIOR TO THE ADOPTION OF
20 OUR COASTAL PLAN. AND THIS HAS GONE THROUGH MANY FITS AND
21 STARTS. THE MOST RECENT ATTEMPT HAS BEEN, STARTING IN 2018,
22 WHERE I ALSO GOT INVOLVED IN TRYING TO MOVE THIS PROJECT
23 FORWARD IN A COLLABORATIVE WAY WITH THE APPLICANT. THE
24 APPLICANT IS PROPOSING THESE 15 HOUSES IN SOME VERY
25 CHALLENGING AND TOPOGRAPHIC AND ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 AREAS ON PIUMA ROAD. THERE ARE CHALLENGE WITH EACH OF THE
2 INDIVIDUAL SITES, AND WE WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH THE
3 APPLICANT TO ATTEMPT TO FIND A WAY FOR EACH OF THOSE
4 INDIVIDUAL PARCELS TO COMPLY WITH THE COASTAL PLAN. THE
5 COASTAL PLAN REQUIRES THAT YOU SITE A HOME NOT WITHIN THE MOST
6 SENSITIVE HABITAT WITHIN THE COASTAL PLAN, WHICH IS H1
7 HABITAT. THE COASTAL PLAN ALSO SETS FORTH AN H1 HABITAT
8 BUFFER. THE COASTAL PLAN ALSO SETS FORTH BUFFERS FOR IMPACTS
9 TO OAK TREES AS WELL AS BUFFERS FROM ANY OTHER EXISTING STATE
10 OR NATIONAL PARKLAND. ALSO IT SETS FORTH BUFFERS AGAINST ANY
11 STREAMS AS WELL STREET FRONTAGE REQUIREMENTS. WITHIN ALL OF
12 THESE CONTEXTS, WE BELIEVE THAT THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
13 CONSTRUCT INDIVIDUAL HOMES ON EACH OF THOSE 15 LOTS THAT WOULD
14 ACTUALLY COMPLY WITH ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COASTAL PLAN.
15 THE PROJECT, AS SUBMITTED, INCLUDES 15 INDIVIDUAL COASTAL
16 DEVELOPMENT PERMITS FOR EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL HOMES AND WOULD
17 HAVE REQUIRED 12 VARIANCES, WHICH IN GENERAL WE DO NOT SUPPORT
18 VARIANCES WITHIN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. SOME OF THE
19 VARIANCES LIKELY COULD HAVE BEEN SUPPORTED BECAUSE THEY WERE
20 RELATED TO STREET FRONTAGES, AND BECAUSE OF THE SENSITIVE
21 HABITAT, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR US TO RETAIN THE
22 HABITAT IF WE DID NOT GRANT THOSE VARIANCES. SO IN OUR
23 DISCUSSIONS WITH THE DEVELOPER, WE WERE ABSOLUTELY WILLING TO
24 CONSIDER THE ABILITY TO MAKE FINDINGS FOR VARIANCES IF THEY
25 WERE FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL -- THE SENSITIVE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

SPECIES THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT. HOWEVER, A COUPLE OF YEARS
AGO, WE CAME TO A STALEMATE WITH THE APPLICANT, AND THE
APPLICANT HAS CHOSEN NOT TO MAKE ANY ADDITIONAL CHANGES TO THE
PLAN. AND THEREFORE, WE CANNOT FIND ANY ONE OF THESE HOMES
CONSISTENT WITH THE COASTAL PLAN. WE SUGGESTED TO THE
APPLICANT THAT WE WOULD BE PROCEEDING WITH A DENIAL OF THE
PROJECT IF THEY DID NOT MAKE ADDITIONAL CHANGES, AND THEY
CHOSE NOT TO MAKE ADDITIONAL CHANGES. SO WE WENT THROUGH THE
PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS TO DENY THEIR PROJECT, STARTING AT THE
HEARING OFFICER, FOLLOWING UP WITH THE REGIONAL PLANNING
COMMISSION, WHO ALSO SUPPORTED THE STAFF POSITION, AND NOW WE
ARE HERE ON AN APPEAL BY THE APPLICANT AS TO WHETHER OR NOT
THESE HOMES SHOULD CONTINUE. IT IS STAFF'S CONTENTION, AND
COUNTY COUNSEL WAS INVOLVED IN MANY MEETINGS, AND I WAS
PERSONALLY INVOLVED IN MANY OF THESE DESIGN MEETINGS, IT IS
OUR CONTENTION THAT THERE REMAINS ECONOMIC USE FOR THESE
PARCELS INDIVIDUALLY IF THEY WERE TO REDESIGN THEIR PROJECT TO
BE CONSISTENT WITH AND COASTAL PLAN. SO, THEREFORE, WE DO ASK
THAT YOU DENY THE APPEAL AND APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE
REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION TO DENY THIS PROJECT. I AM
AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR HORVATH?



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

SUP. HORVATH: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, AND THANK YOU TO STAFF, ONCE AGAIN, FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS PROJECT. AS I INDICATED ON THE LAST CONVERSATION, THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS ARE A VERY UNIQUE AND PRECIOUS PART OF OUR COUNTY. THEY FEATURE SOME OF OUR MOST SENSITIVE BIOLOGICAL HABITAT IN THE REGION, AND THEY FACE SERIOUS RISK FROM WILDFIRE, WHICH IS WHY THE TERMS OF THE LOCAL COASTAL PLAN ARE SO CRITICALLY IMPORTANT. THIS PLAN WAS APPROVED BY THE COASTAL COMMISSION. IT SETS BOUNDARIES FOR DEVELOPMENT, AND, IN THIS CASE, PLANNING STAFF, INCLUDING OUR DIRECTOR, HELD NUMEROUS MEETINGS DIRECTLY WITH THE DEVELOPER FROM ALL THE WAY BOX TO 2019. STAFF PROVIDED SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS, AS YOU HEARD IN DIRECTOR BODEK'S PRESENTATION HERE TODAY, RECOMMENDATIONS OF CHANGES THAT THE APPELLANT COULD MAKE TO THEIR DEVELOPMENT PLANS TO STILL HAVE A VIABLE PROJECT THAT RESPECTED OUR LOCAL COASTAL PLAN. AND THE DEVELOPER, IN THIS CASE, CHOSE TO IGNORE THE GUIDANCE OF THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT, WHICH DISRESPECTS OUR RULES AND OUR PROCESSES, AND I JUST WANT TO RESTATE FOR THE RECORD, I HAVE NEVER SEEN THIS NUMBER OF VARIANCES REQUESTED. IT WAS MORE THAN 10, I BELIEVE, RIGHT? CAN YOU TELL ME THE NUMBER OF VARIANCES? AND IS THIS TYPICAL FOR DEVELOPMENTS THAT YOU HAVE SEEN COME TO THE COUNTY?

AMY BODEK: SO THE COUNTY, IN GENERAL, DOES NOT SUPPORT VARIANCES BECAUSE THE FINDINGS TO MAKE THOSE VARIANCES ARE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 EXTREMELY HIGH. IN THIS, CASE IT WAS ASKING FOR 12 VARIANCES.
2 MOST OF THEM WERE RELATED TO STREET FRONTAGE REQUIREMENTS,
3 WHERE ONLY A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF THE HOME COULD BE VIEWED
4 WITHIN THE LINEAR FOOTAGE OF YOUR STREET FRONTAGE. SOME OF
5 THESE ARE VERY NARROW. AND SO, THE WIDTH OF THE HOME WOULD
6 HAVE REQUIRED NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE STREET FRONTAGE. HOWEVER,
7 THERE ARE WAYS TO REDUCE THAT STREET FRONTAGE REQUIREMENT -- I
8 SHOULD SAY IMPACT NOT REQUIREMENT, INCLUDING A REDUCTION IN
9 THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF THE HOMES. WE WERE AGAIN, AS I SAID,
10 WILLING TO CONSIDER SOME OF THOSE BECAUSE THE HIGHER STANDARD
11 OF PROTECTING H1 HABITAT IS MORE VALUABLE TO THE COUNTY THAN
12 IT IS TO GET EVERY SINGLE STREET FRONTAGE REQUIREMENT MET. SO
13 IT WAS A BALANCING ACT THAT WE WERE ATTEMPTING TO MAKE AS A
14 CONCESSION TO ALLOWING THE DEVELOPMENT TO PROCEED IN CERTAIN
15 LOTS, BUT I CAN GUARANTEE THAT THERE WAS -- WE WOULD NOT BE
16 ABLE TO MAKE THE FINDING FOR ALL 12 VARIANCES THAT THEY
17 REQUESTED.

18
19 **SUP. HORVATH:** AND WHAT I HEAR YOU SAY EARLIER IS, EVEN THOUGH
20 YOU JUST SAID THAT THE COUNTY TYPICALLY DOESN'T ACCEPT ANY
21 VARIANCES, YOU WERE STILL WILLING TO WORK WITH THIS DEVELOPER
22 ON SOME OF THE VARIANCES THAT WERE PROPOSED, BUT. AS YOU ALSO
23 STATED, 12 VARIANCES WERE REQUESTED IN THIS PROPOSAL, WHICH IS
24 NOT TYPICAL. AND I THINK IN AN AREA THAT HAS THIS VERY
25 CAREFULLY LAID OUT LOCAL COASTAL PLAN, WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THAT WE ARE FOLLOWING THE TERMS, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE OF THE
2 FIRE PRONE NEIGHBORHOODS IN THIS AREA, THE BIOLOGICALLY
3 SENSITIVE RESOURCES. SO I AM INCLINED TO AGREE WITH YOUR
4 POSITION AND ENSURE THAT WE ARE REJECTING THE APPEAL AND
5 CONCUR WITH PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO REJECT THIS
6 PROJECT, THOUGH I WILL HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC AS WELL ON THIS
7 ITEM.

8

9 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. OKAY. WE WILL NOW FIRST HEAR FROM
10 THE APPLICANT AND THEN THE APPELLANT. IS THE APPLICANT AND THE
11 APPELLANT ARE THE SAME PERSON. I SEE THAT. MICHAEL SCHOENFELD,
12 YOU WILL BE GIVEN -- STILL JUST A TOTAL OF TWO MINUTES, EVEN
13 THOUGH HE IS BOTH? OKAY, TWO MINUTES.

14

15 **MICHAEL SCHOENFELD:** CHAIR HAHN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. TWO
16 MINUTES IS REALLY NOT ENOUGH TIME TO MAKE SOME POINTS THAT ARE
17 NECESSARY FOR THE RECORD, BUT I DID WANT TO REFERENCE OUR
18 LETTER OF YESTERDAY, WHICH WENT TO EACH OF YOU. IT IS DATED
19 SEPTEMBER 18TH, 2023. I ALSO WANTED TO SPEAK TO SOME OF THE
20 COMMENTS MADE BY THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND SUPERVISOR HORVATH.
21 THERE'S BEEN A THEME THAT'S BEEN DEVELOPED THROUGH THESE
22 HEARINGS THAT SOMEHOW WE DIDN'T DO OUR HOMEWORK, THAT WE WERE
23 "DISRESPECTFUL." THAT'S NOT MY WORD. THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THE
24 FACT IS WE HAD BEEN WORKING VERY HARD WITH STAFF. WE DO
25 APPRECIATE AMY BODEK AND HER WORK AND COUNTY COUNSEL. EVERYONE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 WAS AT THESE MEETINGS. WE CAME TO A SCREECHING HALT ON THESE
2 MEETINGS WHEN IT BECAME CLEAR THAT YOU CAN'T BUILD ANYTHING ON
3 THESE LOTS, NOT ONE THING. THEY ARE RESIDENTIAL LOTS. THEY ARE
4 IN AN R.C. ZONE, BUT YOU CAN'T PUT A HOUSE ON THEM. IT
5 DOESN'T MATTER HOW SMALL THEY ARE OR HOW SMALL YOUR STREET
6 FRONTAGE IS. YOU JUST CAN'T BUILD ON THEM. WHY? BECAUSE EACH
7 STRUCTURE REQUIRES A 200 FOOT FUEL MOD RADIUS. AND 200 FEET
8 REACHES INTO H1 NO MATTER HOW SMALL YOUR BUILDING IS. YOU
9 COULD BUILD A BROOM CLOSET, AND YOU HAVE A 200 FOOT FUEL
10 MODIFICATION RADIUS. IT IS GOING TO TOUCH H1. LONG STORY
11 SHORT, THIS IS PROBABLY THE ONLY INSTANCE OF OUR AWARENESS OF
12 A COASTAL COMMISSION APPROVED SUBDIVISION THAT PREDATES THE
13 L.C.P., BUT THE L.C.P. TAKES THIS PROPERTY AWAY. WE CAN'T
14 BUILD ON IT. IT TURNS RESIDENTIAL LOTS INTO A PUBLIC PARK,
15 THAT'S THE EFFECT, TO PROTECT H1. AND WE WANT TO PROTECT H1,
16 BUT THESE WERE RESIDENTIAL LOTS THAT WERE DUALY APPROVED BY
17 THE COASTAL COMMISSION AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. A LONG
18 TIME AGO, YES, BUT THEY ARE RESIDENTIAL LOTS IN A RESIDENTIAL
19 ZONE. YOU CAN'T BUILD ON THEM. AND WE ALL CAME TO THAT
20 CONCLUSION BEFORE THE E.R.B. HEARING. GOING INTO THE HEARING
21 OFFICER, IT BECAME AN ISSUE, A THEME, THAT SOMEHOW WE DIDN'T
22 DO OUR HOMEWORK. THAT'S INCORRECT. I HAVE TO MAKE THAT POINT
23 FOR THE RECORD, AND MY TIME IS ALREADY UP.

24



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** MR. SCHOENFELD. I FEEL LIKE SINCE YOU ARE
2 THE APPLICANT AND APPELLANT, I AM WILLING TO GIVE YOU ANOTHER
3 TWO MINUTES JUST TO MAKE SURE YOU FEEL LIKE THIS IS A FAIR
4 HEARING FOR YOU.

5

6 **MICHAEL SCHOENFELD:** THANK YOU, CHAIR HAHN. I APPRECIATE THAT
7 VERY MUCH. SO, I DO APPRECIATE THE TIME THAT WE SPENT WITH
8 STAFF. WE DO APPRECIATE THE TIME TO PRESENT A PROJECT THAT
9 TRIED TO ADHERE AS CLOSELY TO THE L.C.P. AS POSSIBLE. WE DID
10 LOTS OF SITE WALKS. L.A. COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT WAS OUT THERE,
11 AND THERE WAS THIS INITIAL UNDERSTANDING THAT WE COULD AVOID
12 H1 AND NOT HAVE TO DO FUEL MOD IN H1. THAT GAVE US HOPE THAT
13 WE COULD DESIGN A PROJECT. NOW H1, AGAIN, IS IN THE 200-FOOT
14 RADIUS OF ANYTHING YOU BUILD ON AND OF THESE 16 LOTS, BUT IF
15 WE COULD AVOID THINNING IN H1, WE COULD CONCEIVABLY WORK WITH
16 STAFF. AND THAT'S THE ASSUMPTION THAT WE WENT FORWARD WITH. WE
17 FOUND OUT IN THE WAKE -- OR RIGHT AT THE HEELS OF THE E.R.B.
18 HEARING THAT THE L.A. COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT WOULD NOT ALLOW
19 US TO AVOID THINNING IN H1, AND THAT CAME -- THAT WAS THE END
20 OF THIS PROJECT. IT WOULD BE FUTILE ENDEAVOR TO GO BACK AND
21 REDESIGN ANYTHING THAT WAS SMALLER AFTER THAT. IT TAKES A LOT
22 OF MONEY AND A A LOT OF TIME TO PUT A SITE PLAN TOGETHER. AND
23 A LOT OF MONEY WAS SPENT ON THIS PROJECT. 12 VARIANCES WERE
24 NECESSARY, NOT BECAUSE WE ARE ASKING FOR THE MOON, BUT BECAUSE
25 OF ALL THE BUFFERS THAT WE WERE ENCOUNTERING ON EACH OF THESE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 LOTS. WE PRESENTED A PROJECT TO THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2 BEFORE THE L.C.P. OKAY SO, WE HAVE BEEN TRYING AT THIS BEFORE
3 THE L.C.P. EVEN EXISTED. WE CAME INTO THE L.C.P. THE L.C.P.
4 TAKES OUR PROPERTY. THIS IS PRIVATE PROPERTY. THESE ARE
5 PRIVATE LOTS. YOU CAN'T BUILD ON THEM. THAT'S JUST THE END OF
6 THE STORY. IT IS VERY SIMPLE. IT'S BECOME VERY COMPLEX THROUGH
7 THE VARIOUS STAFF REPORTS UP TO DATE, BUT IT IS A VERY SIMPLE
8 ISSUE. WE ARE SORRY THAT'S THE CASE. AND AGAIN, WE ARE
9 APPRECIATIVE OF STAFF, BUT THIS IS WHAT WE WERE FACED WITH. I
10 APPRECIATE YOUR TIME. I THANK YOU FOR THE EXTRA TIME, AND WE
11 ARE HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

12
13 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU, MR. SCHOENFELD. I BELIEVE IT IS
14 NOW -- EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE MEMBERS OF THE
15 PUBLIC WHO HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM, ITEM 5.

16
17 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** WOULD THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS PLEASE COME
18 FORWARD, ALICIA GONZALEZ, BRENT ALLEN, CARRY BALTAN, DOUG
19 ROSEN, JOAN SLOVAKASKI, LESLIE KEITH, PATRICK SHERMAN, ROGER
20 PUGLIAGSI, RUSS HAMREL, SALLY LIVINGSTON, AND TINA PAYNE
21 PLEASE COME FORWARD, AND STAFF WILL ASSIST YOU. MODERATOR, MAY
22 WE OF THE FIRST REMOTE SPEAKER, PLEASE.

23
24 **MODERATOR:** OUR FIRST PARTICIPANT IS PAUL EDELMAN. YOU MAY
25 BEGIN.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **PAUL EDELMAN:** HI, I'M PAUL EDELMAN. I'M THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF
3 NATURAL RESOURCES AND PLANNING FOR THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS
4 CONSERVANCY. THE CONSERVANCY SUPPORTS THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION
5 FULLY TO DENY THE APPEAL. UNLIKE WHAT THE APPLICANT SAID, THIS
6 IS NOT A BLACK AND WHITE ISSUE. THIS IS A SUPER SENSITIVE SITE
7 THAT DEMANDS SUPER SENSITIVE DESIGN, AND THE APPLICANT CLEARLY
8 FAILED TO WORK WITH THAT AND TO PROVIDE THAT LEVEL OF DESIGN.
9 THANK YOU. WE FULLY SUPPORT THE APPEAL.

10

11 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

12

13 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS GARY BARDOVI. YOU MAY
14 BEGIN.

15

16 **GARY BARDOVI:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. I DID SUBMIT A
17 LETTER. I AM NOT GOING TO GO INTO THE DETAIL BECAUSE I THINK
18 IT'S BEEN ADEQUATELY COVERED SO FAR. IT HAS TO DO WITH THE
19 SHEER NUMBER AND EXTENT OF THE VARIANCES, BUT I DO WANT TO
20 POINT OUT THAT THE APPLICANT ACQUIRED THIS LAND IN 2011, WELL
21 IN ADVANCE OF THE EVENTUAL ADOPTION OF THE L.C.P. IN OCTOBER
22 OF 2014. SO ANY CHALLENGES OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS
23 OF L.C.P. IS A SELF-IMPOSED ONE AND NOT, AS THE APPLICANT
24 WOULD HAVE YOU BELIEVE, THE RESULT OF THE MISAPPLICATION OF



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THE L.C.P.'S PROVISIONS. SO, I, AGAIN, IMPLORE YOU SUPPORT THE
2 DENIAL OF THE APPEAL.

3

4 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

5

6 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS KIM LAMORE. YOU MAY BEGIN.

7

8 **KIM LAMORE:** HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, KIM LAMORE, PRESIDENT OF
9 THE LAS VIRGENES HOMEOWNERS FEDERATION. WE CONCUR WITH
10 REGIONAL PLANNING AND RESPECTFULLY ASK YOU TO DENY THE APPEAL
11 AND UPHOLD THE PLANNING COMMISSION DENIAL. IN 55 YEARS, WE
12 HAVE WITNESSED DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS THAT FLAUNT THE
13 REGULATIONS, BUT THIS HOUSING TRACT'S EXCESS IS IN A CATEGORY
14 ALL ITS OWN. IT'S INCONSISTENT WITH THE L.C.P., OVERSIZED, AND
15 EXCEEDING 15 MEGA MANSIONS, 12 VARIANCE REQUESTS FOR 12 OF THE
16 RESIDENCES, BUT IN DIFFERENT CATEGORIES WITH AND IN 6
17 DIFFERENT CATEGORIES WITH A WHOPPING 148,000 SQUARE FEET OF
18 BUILDING SITE AREA SMACK DAB IN THE HEART OF OUR MOST
19 SENSITIVE AND PRISTINE COASTAL HABITAT AREAS AND ON A WINDING,
20 PICTURESQUE, COUNTY-DESIGNATED SCENIC ROUTE, PIUMA. MOREOVER,
21 THE APPLICANT IS UTILIZING AN OLD ENTITLEMENT. NEW
22 SUBDIVISIONS HAVE BEEN PROHIBITED SINCE 2014 BECAUSE OF THE
23 CUMULATIVE NEGATIVE IMPACT. CLAIMS TO THE CONTRARY, THEY CAN
24 BUILD, BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO BUILD WITHIN THE PERIMETERS --

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. THANK YOU.
2 NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

3

4 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:** AS A FINAL REMINDER FOR PARTICIPANTS ON
5 THE TELEPHONE, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS ITEM 5, IF YOU
6 HAVE NOT ALREADY DONE SO, PLEASE PRESS 1 THEN 0 NOW TO BE
7 PLACED IN THE SPEAKER QUEUE. MODERATOR, NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

8

9 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. YOU MAY
10 BEGIN.

11

12 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** YES, GOOD MORNING. I AM ALWAYS
13 CONCERNED ABOUT THOSE ISSUES. IT SEEMS THAT SOMETIMES THEY ARE
14 OVER- RESTRICTIVE. AND I THINK MAYBE WE NEED TO LOOK AT SOME
15 OF THOSE AREAS. AND WE PENALIZE PEOPLE BECAUSE THERE IS 12 OR
16 WHATEVER. WE SEEMED FOCUSED ON THE NUMBER. MAYBE WE SHOULD
17 FOCUS ON WHAT IS REALLY ACCEPTABLE OR NOT ACCEPTABLE, AND I'M
18 NOT SEEING THAT IN THAT PROJECT. AND I AM CONCERNED THAT,
19 WHERE WE COULD HAVE SOME SINGLE INDIVIDUAL HOUSING BUILT, WE
20 CANNOT DO IT BECAUSE OF THOSE RESTRICTIONS. SO MAYBE LOOK AT
21 IT A LITTLE MORE WITH OPEN EYES. THANK YOU.

22

23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

24

25 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS ERIC PREVEN. YOU MAY BEGIN.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **ERIC PREVEN:** THANK YOU. IT'S ERIC PREVEN. AND WHAT A
3 COMPLICATED MATTER, I HAVE TO ADMIT. THIS ONE MAKES ME
4 TREPIDATIOUS ABOUT BLOWING HARD AS I OFTEN DO, BUT IT SEEMS
5 LIKE THE WHOLE COUNTY AND ALL THE BIG GUYS FROM SAN MONICA
6 CONSORTIA ARE LINING UP AGAINST THIS GUY, WHO OWNS PROPERTY
7 THAT HE CANNOT BUILD ON THAT WAS PRIOR TO THE L.C.P. IT'S A
8 BIT OF A HEADACHE. AND HE'S THE GUY APPEALING THE APPEAL THAT
9 WAS DENIED. SO, IF YOU CAN FIGURE THAT OUT, YOU CAN GO TO A
10 VERY GOOD SCHOOL IN AMERICA OR EVEN ABROAD. YOU COULD PROBABLY
11 PROBABLY GET IN. LOOK, WE HAVE A SERIOUS PROBLEM WHEN WE MAKE
12 VARIANCES, AND I THINK THE COUNTY'S POLICY NOT GIVING
13 VARIANCES WAS AMENDED WITH OUR EYES WIDE OPEN. AND THOSE
14 LITTLE DEVILS FROM COUNTY COUNSEL WERE AT ALL THOSE MEETINGS.
15 MAYBE THERE'S A WAY TO GO IN TO THE BACK ROOM AND MAKE A DEAL,
16 FIND A WAY TO GET SOME OF THESE THINGS -- I DON'T WANT TO DO
17 DAMAGE TO THE ENVIRONMENT, BUT I ADMIT ALL OF THE LAYERING
18 OVER OF REGULATORY NONSENSE HAS PUT PEOPLE LIKE --

19

20 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU, MR. PREVEN. NO BACK ROOM DEALS
21 HERE. OKAY. WE'RE GOING GO IN-PERSON.

22

23 **ALICIA GONZALEZ:** THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, AGAIN, HONORABLE
24 SUPERVISORS. ALICIA GONZALEZ, PRESIDENT OF MONTE NIDO VALLEY
25 COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION. I REPRESENT APPROXIMATELY 400



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

HOMEOWNERS, WHO ARE ALSO INVESTED STAKEHOLDERS IN THE SAN
MONICA MOUNTAINS. AT A TIME IN OUR NATION WHEN ESTABLISHED LAW
IS BEING OVERTURNED STATE BY STATE AND RIGHTS ARE BEING ERODED
PIECEMEAL, IT IS CLEAR THAT THE ORANGE COUNTY DEVELOPERS,
CALIFORNIA PACIFIC HOMES, INTEND TO DO THE SAME WITH REGARD
TO LAND USE. THEY DO NOT WANT TO WORK WITHIN THE REGULATIONS.
THEY WANT TO ELIMINATE THEM. THEY DISMISS THE WORK AND
RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE L.A. COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
PLANNING. THEY ARE THE VICTIMS, AND THE L.C.P. IS THE VILLAIN.
AND THEY ARE ON THE ATTACK AGAINST THE LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM
ITSELF. WE URGE YOU TO HOLD FAST THE VISION AND MISSION OF THE
L.C.P. AND CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT ITS POLICIES. WE REQUEST THAT
YOU DENY THE APPLICANT'S APPEAL. THANK YOU.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

CARRY BALTON: HI, MY NAME IS CARRY BALTON. AND FOR MOST OF MY
LIFE, I HAVE HIKE THE SAN MONICA MOUNTAINS, AND FOR THE PAST
40 YEARS, I HAVE LIVED IN WHAT SOME CALL A RURAL VILLAGE, SOME
EVEN SAY A HAMLET, AND OTHERS CALL THIS AREA MONTE NIDO. WE
HAVE AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO OPPOSE ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT DOES
NOT COMPLY WITH THE L.C.P. REGIONAL PLANNING HAS DENIED THESE
MEGA MANSIONS BECAUSE THEY DO NOT COMPLY WITH THE L.C.P. AND
THEY DO NOT COMPLY WITH THE RURAL VILLAGE LAND USE
DESIGNATION. I URGE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO FOLLOW THE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 RECOMMENDATION TO DENY THIS PROJECT AS REGIONAL PLANNING HAS
2 STATED. THANK YOU.

3
4 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

5
6 **BRENT BALTON:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS BRENT
7 BALTON. MY SPOUSE AND I DISCOVERED THE AREA OF MONTE NIDO WHEN
8 WE LIVED IN AN APARTMENT IN NORTH HOLLYWOOD AS NEWLYWEDS. WE
9 WERE ELATED THAT THIS AREA WAS SUCH A CLOSE PROXIMITY TO URBAN
10 CENTERS AND ACCESSIBLE FOR EVERYONE TO ENJOY WITHOUT SPENDING
11 HOURS IN A CAR. VISITORS TO THIS UNIQUE AREA COME HERE TO BE
12 CLOSER TO THE RURAL ENVIRONMENT OF MOUNTAIN STREAMS, OAKS, AND
13 WILDLIFE. THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF CALIFORNIA PACIFIC HOMES
14 WILL CHANGE THIS ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREA PER THEIR
15 PROPOSED PLAN FOREVER. IT JUST DOESN'T MEET THE L.C.P. AND,
16 FOR THAT REASON ALONE, SHOULD BE DENIED. I DO HAVE ONE
17 QUESTION TO ADDRESS TO CAL PACIFIC THAT I'VE BEEN CURIOUS
18 ABOUT. WHY DO YOU CALL YOUR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT THE OAKS OF
19 MONTE NIDO WHEN YOU'RE ASKING PERMISSION TO ENCROACH ON OVER
20 100 LIVE OAKS? PERHAPS AN ATTEMPT AT LEVITY? THANK YOU.

21
22 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

23
24 **JOAN SLOVAKASKI:** THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, AGAIN, SUPERVISORS.
25 I'M JOAN SLOVAKASKI. FIRST AND FOREMOST, YOU HAVE HEARD ALL OF



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THIS AGAIN ABOUT IT'S NOT COMPLIANT WITH THE L.C.P. MANY OF US
2 HAVE WORKED DECADES FOR THE APPROVAL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
3 L.C.P., BUT I WANT TO SPEAK MOST PERSONALLY ABOUT THE BACKBONE
4 TRAIL. THIS ICONIC RESOURCE, USED BY NOT JUST THOSE OF US
5 CALLED N.I.M.B.Y.S. I MET TWO DIFFERENT COUPLES THIS PAST
6 SATURDAY HIKING, NOT FROM MONTE NIDO, NOT EVEN FROM THIS
7 STATE. THIS IS AN ICONIC RESOURCE THAT WILL BE SEVERELY
8 IMPACTED, AND I THANK YOU AND URGE YOU TO SUPPORT THE DENIAL
9 OF THIS APPEAL. THANK YOU.

10

11 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

12

13 **SALLY LIVINGSTON:** HELLO, I'M SALLY LIVINGSTON. I AM A RESIDENT
14 OF MONTE NIDO FOR OVER 18 YEARS AND A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF
15 THE MONTE NIDO VALLEY COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR 10. DURING MY
16 TENURE, I HAVE PRIORITIZED HELPING OUR RESIDENTS UNDERSTAND
17 THE VERY GRAVE IMPORTANCE OF WILDFIRE PREPAREDNESS VIA
18 WORKSHOPS INVOLVING STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING L.A. COUNTY FIRE
19 DEPARTMENT, OUR DIGITAL AND OTHER NEWSLETTERS, WORD OF MOUTH.
20 WE HAVE WORKED HARD. IT SEEMS THE IDEA OF INSTALLING THESE
21 NON-COMPLIANT, OVERSIZED FOR THE LOT, BUILDINGS RIGHT UP
22 AGAINST, AS JOAN SAID, THE BACKBONE TRAIL IS INSANE. AND I GET
23 IT THAT THE REGION NEEDS TO DEVELOP HOUSING. THIS IS NOT THE
24 ANSWER. SO, THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE, AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR
25 ATTENTION. PLEASE DENY THIS APPEAL.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

3

4 **PATRICK SHERMAN:** HI. MY NAME IS PATRICK SHERMAN. I'M THE
5 PRESIDENT OF THE EDENWILDE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, WHICH IS
6 WITHIN THE MONTE NIDO COMMUNITY. AND I SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE
7 38 HOMES IN THAT AREA. I WANT TO THANK THE STAFF FOR THE
8 CORRECT INTERPRETATION IN DENYING THE 12 VARIANCES. WE ASK
9 THAT YOU PLEASE UPHOLD THESE DENIALS. THIS SUBDIVISION IS
10 PROHIBITED AND VIOLATES THE SAN MONICA MOUNTAINS LOCAL COASTAL
11 PLANS. THE ORANGE COUNTY DEVELOPERS ARE ATTEMPTING TO
12 KNOWINGLY USE AN OLD AND INVALID ENTITLEMENT IN ORDER TO
13 BYPASS THE CURRENT REGULATIONS. THIS DEVELOPMENT IS IN A VERY
14 HIGH FIRE HAZARD SEVERITY ZONE. THE WATER, FIREFIGHTING, AND
15 INFRASTRUCTURE RESOURCES WILL NOT SUPPORT ANY ADDITIONAL LARGE
16 SCALE 5400 SQUARE FOOT MEGA MANSIONS AND CREATE AN UNSOLVABLE
17 BURDEN ON OUR ABILITY TO PROTECT THE AREA FROM FIRES. PLEASE
18 SUPPORT THE DENIAL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

19

20 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

21

22 **RUSSELL HAMRELL:** HI, I'M RUSSELL HAMREL. AND I'M -- JUST WANT
23 TO SAY THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR DEDICATION TO PROTECTING THE
24 SAN MONICA MOUNTAINS. I KNOW THAT THE BOARD IS REALLY
25 DEDICATED TO DOING THAT, AND IT'S A RESOURCE FOR THE REGION,



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 JUST AS OUR BEACHES ARE. I'M A HIKER. I'VE HIKED THE ENTIRE 67
2 MILES OF THE BACKBONE TRAIL. IT TOOK ME 13 WEEKENDS IN
3 SEGMENTS, BUT THIS PARTICULAR SEGMENT HAS [INDISCERNIBLE]
4 CANOPY FOR MAYBE TWO MILES. IT'S VERY THICK. IT'S TOTALLY
5 SHADED. THESE ARE GOING TO BACK RIGHT UP AGAINST THAT BACKBONE
6 TRAIL. WITH THE DAMAGE TO THE OAK TREES, THERE'S 120 OAK TREES
7 ON THESE PROPERTIES. WE NEED TO PROTECT THIS. IT'S GOING TO BE
8 AN EYESORE. THE TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE IS 9,000 SQUARE FEET WITH
9 BASEMENTS, GUEST HOUSES, AND GARAGES. IT'S TOO BIG OF A
10 FOOTPRINT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

11

12 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

13

14 **TINA PAYNE:** HI, MY NAME IS TINA PAYNE, AND I LIVE IN MONTE
15 NIDO. AND THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS ON THE NORTH SLOPE
16 MOUNTAINSIDE AREA THAT IS A KNOWN NURSERY TO GENERATIONS OF
17 THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAIN LIONS THAT ARE NOW CLASSIFIED AS
18 ENDANGERED. THERE ARE CAVES ON THIS HILLSIDE ABOVE AND JUST TO
19 THE WEST, WHERE THE FEMALE COUGARS BIRTH AND RAISE THEIR
20 YOUNG. LAST YEAR, ON AN ADJACENT PROPERTY, AN IMMATURE LION
21 WAS TRAGICALLY DEPRECATED AS A RESULT OF INTERACTIONS WITH A
22 CHILD. NOW, AS ENDANGERED, ANY NEW DEVELOPMENT CLOSE TO THEIR
23 PRIMARY HOME BASE MUST BE CURTAILED. THEIR ABILITY TO
24 SUCCESSFULLY BIRTH AND RAISE THEIR YOUNG MUST TRUMP THE
25 GRANTING OF ANY VARIANCES THAT ALLOW OVER DEVELOPMENT ON THIS



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 TRACT. WOULD IT NOT BE A SHORTSIGHTED TRAVESTY AT THIS TIME TO
2 ALLOW DEVELOPMENT THAT SURELY WILL THREATEN ANY POSSIBLE
3 SUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO RECOVER AN ENDANGERED SPECIES. PLEASE
4 SUPPORT THE DENIAL OF THIS APPEAL.

5
6 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

7
8 **DOUG ROSEN:** DOUG ROSEN. IS IT NOT IMPORTANT TO ALL MUNICIPAL
9 AND STATE EMPLOYEES THAT THEY WORK IN CONSORT, I.E., ONE
10 FACTION SPENDING HERCULEAN EFFORTS TO PROTECT ENDANGERED
11 WILDLIFE, WHILE OTHER ENTITIES PURPOSEFULLY ALLOW DEVELOPMENT
12 THAT CREATES KNOWN HUMAN THREATENING OPPORTUNITIES IN THE
13 EXACT PLACES THAT ARE SO CLOSE TO KNOWN LION CUB TRAINING
14 AREAS. IT IS ON THIS EXACT TRACT OF LAND THAT MOTHER CATS
15 TEACH THEIR YOUNG TO HUNT RABBITS AND SQUIRRELS AND DEER. AND
16 WITH YOUR DECISIONS TODAY, MAYBE EVEN A CHILD. IF YOU ALLOW
17 THIS, THE FUTURE PROBABILITY IS THAT NO ONLY MAY CHILDREN ON
18 THESE PROPERTIES BE ATTACKED AND HURT AGAIN, BUT THAT THE
19 EFFORTS TO PREVENT EXTINCTION OF THE SAN MONICA MOUNTAIN LIONS
20 POPULATION MAY ALSO FAIL. WE IMPORE YOU TO SCALE BACK THIS
21 DEVELOPMENT TO PROTECT BOTH FUTURE CHILDREN HERE AND ALLOW THE
22 CHANCE OF SUCCESSFUL RESTORATION OF THE SAN MONICA MOUNTAIN
23 LION.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. I WAS SO ENGAGED, I MISSED THAT
2 YOUR TIME WAS UP.

3

4 **ROGER PUGLIASI:** MY NAME IS ROGER PUGLIASI. I'M THE VICE
5 PRESIDENT OF THE LAS VIRGENES HOMEOWNERS FEDERATION AS WELL
6 AS THE CHAIR OF THE TOPANGA ASSOCIATION FOR A SCENIC
7 COMMUNITY. WE'RE ALSO ON THE SIDE OF DENYING THE APPEAL. WE
8 COMMEND REGIONAL PLANNING FOR DOING AN EXTENSIVE JOB IN
9 LOOKING AT THIS PROJECT, TRYING TO WORK WITH THE DEVELOPER,
10 BUT COMING UP WITH ZERO. WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND ALSO THAT WE
11 HAVE THE SAN MONICA MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY, AND WE HAVE ALL OF
12 THESE RESIDENT THAT HAVE COME HERE TO DENY THE APPEAL AS WELL,
13 AS OUR ESTEEMED SUPERVISOR LINDSEY HORVATH AS WELL. SO WE
14 STAND ON THAT SIDE, AND HOPEFULLY YOU WILL ALL DENY THE
15 APPEAL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

16

17 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. I SEE NO OTHER SPEAKERS HERE. IS
18 THERE ANYBODY ELSE ON THE TELEPHONE? OKAY, SUPERVISOR HORVATH,
19 I KNOW --

20

21 **SUP. HORVATH:** THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I JUST WANTED TO REJECT
22 THE IMPLICATION THAT WAS STATED HERE TODAY BY A COUPLE OF THE
23 SPEAKERS THAT THE POLICY, THE LOCAL COASTAL PLAN THAT THE
24 COUNTY HAS DEVELOPED, WAS DEVELOPED TO PREVENT ANYONE'S
25 ABILITY FROM DEVELOPING HOUSING. WE HEARD HERE TODAY FROM OUR



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

DIRECTOR THAT HOUSING IS ABLE TO BE DEVELOPED ON THESE PARCELS. AND THE INTENTION OF DEVELOPING THIS LOCAL COASTAL PLAN IS NOT TO PREVENT THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOUSING. IT'S TO PROTECT THE SAFETY OF THIS REGION, ESPECIALLY IN THE WAKE OF THE FIRE TRAGEDIES THAT WE HAVE HAD IN THIS REGION. AND IT'S NOT ONLY COUNTY LAW THAT HAS CHANGED, BUT STATE LAW HAS CHANGED IN THE WAKE OF THESE REALITIES. SO, I WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR WHY THIS PLAN EXISTS. AND SO, RECOGNIZING THAT, I JUST WANTED TO OFFER TO THE APPELLANT, GIVEN THE TESTIMONY HERE TODAY AND THE EVALUATION HERE, THE PRESENTATION BY OUR DIRECTOR, DO YOU HAVE ANY INTEREST IN GOING BACK TO WORK ON THIS DESIGN, OR WOULD YOU LIKE TO PROCEED AS IS WITH YOUR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT?

MICHAEL SCHOENFELD: SUPERVISOR HORVATH, JUST TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, I APPRECIATE IT. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING HARD. WE'VE COME BACK -- WE'VE COME BACK WITH VARIOUS PERMUTATIONS OF A DESIGN GOING BACK MANY YEARS. WHAT I APPARENTLY DIDN'T MAKE CLEAR ENOUGH IN MY PRESENTATION EARLIER IS THERE IS NO REDESIGN POSSIBLE ON THESE LOTS BECAUSE OF THE H1. OKAY? SO IF YOU BUILD, LIKE I SAY, A BROOM CLOSET, YOU HAVE TO HAVE A FIELD MOD ZONE OF THAT BROOM CLOSET FROM THE EXTERNAL PERIMETER 200 FEET. THERE IS A ZONE A, B, AND C. ZONE C REQUIRES THINNING. ZONE A REQUIRES IRRIGATION. ZONE C IS THINNING.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HORVATH:** SO, WHAT I HEAR YOU SAYING IS THAT YOU DO NOT
3 WANT TO PROPOSE A NEW DEVELOPMENT?

4

5 **MICHAEL SCHOENFELD:** WE WOULD LOVE TO, BUT THE L.C.P. DOES NOT
6 ALLOW THAT. SO, I'M GOING TO HAVE TO OBJECT TO ANY INSINUATION
7 THAT WE SOMEHOW ARE LAZY OR DISRESPECTFUL.

8

9 **SUP. HORVATH:** THOSE WORDS WERE NOT USED HERE TODAY, SIR, SO I
10 WANT TO BE -- I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A DIRECT QUESTION IF YOU
11 WOULD LIKE TO REVISE THIS PLAN OR NOT.

12

13 **MICHAEL SCHOENFELD:** WE WOULD LOVE TO IF THE L.C.P. WOULD ALLOW
14 US TO, BUT IT DOESN'T.

15

16 **SUP. HORVATH:** THANK YOU. DIRECTOR, DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENT?

17

18 **AMY BODEK:** I WOULD LIKE TO DISPUTE THE ASSERTION THAT THE FUEL
19 MOD ZONE CANNOT BE MODIFIED. IT HAS BEEN MODIFIED IN THE
20 COASTAL ZONE BEFORE, AND I'M CONFIDENT THAT ECONOMIC VALUE TO
21 EACH INDIVIDUAL LOT CAN BE ACHIEVED. THESE ARE HOMES THAT
22 RANGE FROM 4500 FEET TO 5400 FEET -- EXCUSE ME, 6400 FEET. SO
23 THE AVERAGE IS 5300 FEET OF HOME. THERE IS AN ABILITY TO
24 CONSTRUCT SOMETHING LARGER THAN A BROOM CLOSET AND SMALLER
25 THAN AN AVERAGE OF 5300 SQUARE FEET. THERE IS AN ABILITY TO



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 MODIFY THE FUEL ZONE WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BECAUSE THE FIRE
2 DEPARTMENT HAS DONE THAT IN ORDER TO RETAIN ECONOMIC USE OF
3 PROPERTIES THROUGHOUT THE SAN MONICA MOUNTAINS. THAT CONCLUDES
4 MY STATEMENT.

5
6 **SUP. HORVATH:** THANK YOU. AND WITH THAT, I WILL REMAIN
7 COMMITTED TO REJECTING THE APPEAL CONCURRING WITH THE PLANNING
8 COMMISSION'S DECISION TO REJECT THIS PROJECT.

9
10 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY, THANK YOU. AT THIS TIME, IT WOULD BE
11 APPROPRIATE TO CLOSE THIS PUBLIC HEARING AND VOTE ON THIS
12 ITEM. COLLEAGUES, ITEM 5 IS NOW BEFORE US, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
13 HORVATH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR BARGER TO APPROVE THE ITEM.
14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

15
16 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** ITEM 5 IS BEFORE YOU, WHICH DENIES THE
17 APPEAL AND UPHOLDS THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S DENIAL
18 OF THE PROJECT. SUPERVISOR MITCHELL.

19
20 **SUP. MITCHELL:** AYE.

21
22 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, AYE. SUPERVISOR
23 HORVATH.

24
25 **SUP. HORVATH:** AYE.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HORVATH, AYE. SUPERVISOR
3 BARGER?

4

5 **SUP. BARGER:** AYE.

6

7 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR BARGER, AYE. SUPERVISOR HAHN?

8

9 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AYE.

10

11 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HAHN, AYE. MOTION CARRIES 4-0.

12

13 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. NOW WE'RE ONTO ITEM 6. FOR MEMBERS OF
14 THE PUBLIC ON THE TELEPHONE PRESS 1 THEN 0 NOW TO COMMENT ON
15 THIS ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE READ IN THE SHORT TITLE.

16

17 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** ITEM 6 IS HEARING ON PROJECT NUMBER 2022-
18 004173 TO APPROVE THE GREEN ZONE TECHNICAL UPDATE, WHICH
19 AMENDS COUNTY CODE TITLE 22 PLANNING AND ZONING TO INCUR MINOR
20 AND TECHNICAL CHANGES TO THE GREEN ZONE ORDINANCE FOR CLARITY
21 AND EASE OF USE TO ADD THE GREEN ZONE COMBINING ZONE IN ITS
22 INDUSTRIAL ZONE PARCELS SUBJECT TO THE EXISTING GREEN ZONES
23 REGULATION IN THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES OF WEST CARSON
24 AND WEST WHITTIER-LOS NIETOS. NO DEPARTMENTAL STATEMENT WAS
25 SUBMITTED, AND CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. AMY BODEK IS STILL HERE, AND SHE'S
3 STILL THE DIRECTOR OF REGIONAL PLANNING, AND SHE'S STILL
4 AVAILABLE IF WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. ARE THERE ANY SUPERVISORS
5 -- I SEE SUPERVISOR MITCHELL HAS REQUESTED TO SPEAK.
6 SUPERVISOR MITCHELL?

7

8 **SUP. MITCHELL:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU
9 VERY MUCH, MS. BODEK, YOU AND YOUR TEAM, FOR THE WORK ON
10 UPDATING THE GREEN ZONE ORDINANCE. I APPRECIATE THAT. I
11 APPRECIATE THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING'S WORK TO
12 ENSURE THE COUNTY'S LAND USE AND ZONING ADDRESSES OUR PRIORITY
13 OF IMPROVING THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT FOR HEALTH AND WELLNESS
14 OF OUR RESIDENTS, PARTICULARLY IN COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE BORNE
15 THE BRUNT OF CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS AND SOURCES OF
16 POLLUTION. OVER HALF, SIX, OF THE 11 OF THE DESIGNATED GREEN
17 ZONES ARE IN THE DISTRICT THAT I REPRESENT. WE HEAR REGULARLY
18 FROM CONSTITUENTS ABOUT HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS DUE
19 TO NEARBY INDUSTRY-RELATED ACTIVITIES, MANY OF WHOM WOULD LIKE
20 TO LEARN MORE ABOUT HOW THE GREEN ZONE POLICY IMPACTS THEIR
21 OWN NEIGHBORHOODS. THIS IS ALSO AN ISSUE OF MANAGING CO-
22 PRIORITIES SINCE THE BUSINESSES IN THESE AREAS PROVIDE JOBS
23 THAT CONTRIBUTE TO OUR REGIONAL LOCAL ECONOMY. SO THIS UPDATE
24 PROVIDES CLARITY ON THE STANDARDS FOR BOTH BUSINESS OWNERS AND
25 RESIDENTS TO UNDERSTAND THE EXPECTATIONS OF DOING BUSINESS ON



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE GREEN ZONES. SO, ONE QUESTION I
HAVE FOR YOU, DIRECTOR, CAN YOU SHARE WITH US HOW THIS UPDATE
AND ONGOING IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS WILL BE COMMUNICATED TO MY
CONSTITUENTS, BOTH RESIDENTS AS WELL AS BUSINESS OWNERS?

AMY BODEK: CERTAINLY, CHAIR HAHN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AND
SUPERVISOR MITCHELL THANK YOU. IRONICALLY, THIS IS NOT IN THE
SAN MONICA MOUNTAINS, EVEN THOUGH ITS TITLE IS CALLED "GREEN
ZONES." AND THERE IS A REASON FOR THAT. IT IS BECAUSE WE ARE
COMMITTED TO GREENING INDUSTRY --

SUP. MITCHELL: I WAS ABOUT TO SAY THESE ARE COMMUNITIES THAT
NEED GREEN. THAT'S THE POINT.

AMY BODEK: THEY ARE, ACTUALLY. THESE ARE ENVIRONMENTALLY
JUSTICE IMPACTED COMMUNITIES. AND THE BOARD TOOK ACTION IN
2022 TO ADOPT A VERY PROGRESSIVE GREEN ZONES ORDINANCE TO
TRANSITION LEGITIMATE INDUSTRIAL JOBS TO A MORE
ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY FUTURE. AND THIS IS PART OF YOUR OWN
PERSPECTIVE OF TRYING TO CHANGE INDUSTRY OVER THE NEXT 20
YEARS TO MAKE IT MORE GREEN, BUT IT IS A BALANCE BETWEEN
PROTECTING ADJACENT RESIDENTIAL ISSUES AND CONCERNS RAISED BY
ADJACENT RESIDENCES WITH PROTECTING JOBS. AND SO, AS THE
SUPERVISOR RIGHTLY SAID, THESE ARE NECESSARY JOBS AND
IMPORTANT FOR OUR LOCAL ECONOMY, BUT THEY SHOULD NOT COME AT



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THE IMPACT OF THE RESIDENTS WHO LIVE ADJACENT TO THAT. WE HAVE
2 HEARD MANY CONCERNS FROM RESIDENCES, AND, IN ADDITION, WE'LL BE
3 HOLDING, TO ANSWER SPECIFICALLY YOUR QUESTION, CONTINUOUS
4 ENFORCEMENT AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES OVER THE COURSE OF THE
5 NEXT FIVE YEARS TO SEVEN YEARS TO EDUCATE THOSE INDUSTRIES
6 THAT MUST RAISE THEIR STANDARDS OR NEED TO FIND A DIFFERENT
7 LOCATION FOR THEIR INDUSTRY. SO, SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE
8 DOING TO EXISTING INDUSTRIES, WHICH WILL STILL BE ALLOWED TO
9 REMAIN, IS ENCOURAGING THEM IN WORKING WITH THE D.E.O., THE
10 DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY, TO FIND WAYS TO HELP
11 IMPLEMENT THE CHANGES THAT BUSINESSES WILL NEED TO MAKE AS
12 THEY MAYBE ADD ADDITIONAL AIR FILTERS, MAYBE AS THEY SWITCH
13 THEIR DIESEL FORKLIFTS TO ELECTRIC VEHICLE FORKLIFTS, AND
14 THOSE OPPORTUNITIES. SO, IT IS AN EDUCATION PROCESS THAT IS
15 GOING TO BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF OUR LAND USE REGULATION
16 ENFORCEMENT TEAM. THEY ARE THE ONES THAT WILL BE ACTUALLY
17 IMPLEMENTING THE GREEN ZONES. WE HAVE DEVELOPED AN
18 IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE SPECIFICALLY FOR BUSINESSES, THAT WE WILL
19 BE USING AS THAT TOOL THAT WE CAN REACH OUT TO THE BUSINESSES
20 DIRECTLY. FOR RESIDENTIAL FOLKS WHO HAVE A CONCERN ABOUT THOSE
21 USES, WE WILL CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE IN NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS
22 AROUND THOSE GREEN ZONES TO TRY TO EDUCATE THEM ON THE TYPES
23 OF BUSINESSES THAT WILL BE ALLOWED TO REMAIN, THE TYPES OF
24 BUSINESSES THAT WILL HAVE TO TRANSITION IN THE NEXT FIVE OR
25 SEVEN YEARS, AND THEN THOSE BUSINESSES THAT REALLY ARE NOT



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 APPROPRIATE, AND ONCE THEIR C.U.P.'S HAVE EXPIRED, WILL BE
2 LOOKING FOR NEW SITES.

3
4 **SUP. MITCHELL:** I APPRECIATE THAT. WILL YOU BE INITIATING AND
5 HOSTING MEETING FOR RESIDENTS OR JUST PARTICIPATING IN
6 PREEXISTING MEETINGS?

7
8 **AMY BODEK:** IT'S A COMBINATION OF BOTH. WE TEND TO NOT
9 ATTRACT PEOPLE TO OUR MEETINGS, SO WE PREFER TO GO TO
10 PREEXISTING COMMUNITY MEETINGS THAT ARE ESTABLISHED, BUT IF
11 THERE IS AN INTEREST IN EACH DISTRICT, WE WILL HOST OUR OWN
12 MEETINGS. ONE OF OUR MAP GOALS FOR THE NEXT YEAR IS ADDITIONAL
13 IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR COMMUNITY OUTREACH, FRANKLY. IT'S NOT JUST
14 FROM A LANGUAGE ACCESS PERSPECTIVE, BUT IT'S ABOUT AN
15 INCLUSIVITY ASPECT. WE NEED TO DO OUTREACH THAT IS
16 EXPERIENTIAL SO THAT IT'S EASIER AND BETTER FOR US, FRANKLY,
17 TO GO TO THE COMMUNITY THAN IT IS FOR THE COMMUNITY TO COME TO
18 US. AND SO, THOSE ARE THINGS THAT WE'LL BE TRYING TO ADDRESS
19 OVER THE NEXT YEAR. WE'RE PRETTY CONFIDENT IN OUR LANGUAGE
20 ACCESS. I THINK WE DO A PRETTY GOOD JOB ON THAT BECAUSE WE DO
21 DO MULTIPLE TRANSLATIONS, AND WE HAVE PHYSICAL AND ORAL
22 TRANSLATION. BUT I DON'T -- I THINK THERE IS ALWAYS ROOM FOR
23 IMPROVEMENT ON EXPERIENTIAL OUTREACH, AND THAT'S WHAT WE ARE
24 GOING TO BE TRYING TO ACHIEVE THE NEXT YEAR. AND THE GREEN
25 ZONES IS ONE OF THOSE AREAS WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING IT IN.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. MITCHELL:** I APPRECIATE THAT. COUPLE OF QUICK THOUGHTS,
3 MADAM CHAIR. FIRST OF ALL, FOR THE C.E.O. AS WE STAND UP OUR
4 NEW WEBSITE AND COMMUNICATIONS FOCUSED ON UNINCORPORATED
5 COMMUNITIES, MAKING SURE THAT THE -- THAT WE'RE INCLUDING
6 INFORMATION ABOUT THE GREEN ZONES BECAUSE IT IS SOMETHING I
7 HEAR ABOUT CONSISTENTLY FROM MY DISTRICT. AND JUST TO MAKE
8 SURE EVERYBODY IS FRONT OF MIND WHERE THE GREEN ZONES ARE. IN
9 S.D.2, IT'S THE COMMUNITIES OF EAST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ,
10 FLORENCE-FIRESTONE, WEST ATHENS-WESTMONT, WEST CARSON, WEST
11 RANCHO DOMINGUEZ, VICTORIA, AND WILLOWBROOK. IN S.D.1, IT'S
12 AVOCADO HEIGHTS, EAST L.A., AND SOUTH SAN JOSE HILLS. IN
13 S.D.4, IT'S WALNUT PARK AND WEST WHITTIER-LOS NIETOS. THIS IS
14 AN ONGOING CHALLENGE. I HAVE THE PRIVILEGE OF REPRESENTING THE
15 BOARD ON THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. AND
16 AS WE TALK ABOUT VARIOUS JUST TRANSITIONS, THE PORTS, RAIL
17 YARDS, HOW WE ARE GOING TO MOVE INTO THE NEXT CENTURY
18 RECOGNIZING THAT THERE ARE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE BEEN
19 DISPROPORTIONATELY HARMED BY INDUSTRY OF ALL SIZES AND HOW WE
20 CREATE THAT BALANCE. AS WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT OIL DRILLING IN
21 THE COUNTY, WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT A JUST TRANSITION FOR THOSE
22 INDUSTRIES. AND AGAIN, I APPRECIATE YOU SAYING NOT ON THE
23 BACKS OF COMPROMISING THE HEALTH OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE LIVED AND
24 CONTINUE TO THRIVE IN THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS. SO THANK YOU VERY
25 MUCH. WE WILL CONTINUE TO PARTNER WITH YOUR DEPARTMENT. I



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 APPRECIATE YOUR DEPARTMENT COMING TO MEETINGS THAT WE HAVE
2 CALLED. SO, PERHAPS US WORKING TOGETHER, WE CAN CONTINUE TO
3 GET -- NOT GET THE ATTENTION OF THE RESIDENTS. THEY KNOW IT
4 AND ARE ORGANIZING ACROSS THIS COUNTY IN A POWERFUL WAY AROUND
5 FINDING THEIR OWN AGENCY AROUND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ISSUES.
6 AND SO, MAKING SURE THEY KNOW WE'RE A PARTNER, PARTICULARLY
7 MAKING SURE THEY UNDERSTAND THE ROLE OF GREEN ZONES AND HELP
8 US GET THERE QUICKER.

9

10 **AMY BODEK:** I DO APPRECIATE THAT. THESE NEIGHBORHOODS ARE
11 IMPORTANT TO ME. I COME FROM AN INDUSTRIAL CITY AS WELL. SO I
12 UNDERSTAND THE STRUGGLES THAT ARE OCCURRING. AND SO, I DO
13 AGREE THAT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT EACH AND EVERY INDIVIDUAL
14 PROJECT AND BALANCE THE NEEDS OF THE RESIDENTS AS WELL AS THE
15 WORKFORCE THAT'S GOING TO BE THERE. THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. MITCHELL:** THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

18

19 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. RIGHT. BECAUSE WE CAN HAVE CLEAN
20 AIR AND GOOD JOBS. WE DON'T HAVE TO SACRIFICE ONE FOR THE
21 OTHER, BUT WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS A BALANCE THERE
22 FOR THE JOBS IN THESE INDUSTRIES AS WELL AS PROTECTING THE AIR
23 FOR THOSE WHO HAVE SUFFERED AS A RESULT OF SOME OF THESE MAJOR
24 INDUSTRIES IN OUR AREA. MS. BODEK, I SEE THAT WE'RE APPROVING
25 TECHNICAL UPDATES TO THE GREEN ZONE, AND WE'RE ALSO ADDING, I



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 SEE IN MY DISTRICT, A COUPLE OF PARCELS IN WESTWOOD, LOS
2 NIETOS, TWO AREAS THAT WOULD BE REQUIRING A C.U.P. FOR ADDING
3 DRIVE-THROUGHS AND SERVICE STATIONS. IS THAT CORRECT? AND I
4 WAS ALSO READING THAT THE DRIVE-THROUGH AND SERVICE STATIONS,
5 WHICH WERE OMITTED IN ERROR. COULD YOU ELABORATE ON WHAT I
6 JUST --?

7

8 **AMY BODEK:** CERTAINLY, CHAIR. WE DID HAVE A MOTION BY DISTRICT
9 1 MAYBE A YEAR OR SO AGO THAT ASKED US TO INCLUDE GREEN ZONES
10 -- EXCUSE ME, TO INCLUDE DRIVE-THROUGHS AS A USE THAT WOULD
11 REQUIRE A C.U.P. BECAUSE OF THE POTENTIAL NUISANCE IMPACTS
12 THAT THEY WOULD HAVE ON ADJACENT RESIDENTIAL USES WITH IDLING
13 CARS AND TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIAN CONFLICTS. SO THAT WAS
14 INADVERTENTLY LEFT OUT OF A DIFFERENT PLAN THAT WE BROUGHT
15 FORWARD. SO WE COMMITTED THAT WE WOULD PUT IT IN THIS GREEN
16 ZONES ORDINANCE TO CORRECT THAT OMISSION.

17

18 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AND SO, IS THAT THE REASON THERE'S ADDING
19 SOME PARCELS IN LOS NIETOS?

20

21 **AMY BODEK:** YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

22

23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AND AGAIN, I WAS LISTENING VERY INTENTLY TO
24 SUPERVISOR MITCHELL TALKING ABOUT THE OUTREACH, THE
25 ENGAGEMENT, SINCE THIS IS KIND OF A NEW DIRECTION, A GOOD



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 DIRECTION, A RIGHT DIRECTION, BUT NEW. AND JUST VERY
2 INTERESTED AND MAYBE LOOK FORWARD TO YOU WORKING WITH MY
3 OFFICE --

4

5 **AMY BODEK:** ABSOLUTELY.

6

7 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** -- AS WE REACH OUT TO THESE NEW --

8

9 **AMY BODEK:** WE DID HAVE A LOT OF OUTREACH PRIOR TO THE ADOPTION
10 OF THE GREEN ZONES, BUT WHEN IT WENT INTO PLACE --

11

12 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** BUT NOW YOU'RE ADDING --

13

14 **AMY BODEK:** NOW WE'RE DOING IMPLEMENTATION --

15

16 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** BUT SOMEBODY MIGHT NOT HAVE PAID ATTENTION
17 IF THEIR PARCEL WAS NOT PART OF IT. SO ADDING PARCELS, I'M
18 KIND OF THINKING IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT APPLICATION.

19

20 **AMY BODEK:** UNDERSTOOD.

21

22 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. OKAY. LET'S SEE. EXECUTIVE
23 OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAVE SIGNED
24 UP TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** MADAM CHAIR, THERE ARE NO MEMBERS OF THE
2 PUBLIC IN-PERSON THAT HAVE SIGNED UP FOR THIS ITEM.

3
4 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** IS THERE ANYBODY ON THE PHONE?

5
6 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** YES. MODERATOR, MAY WE HAVE THE FIRST
7 REMOTE SPEAKER, PLEASE.

8
9 **MODERATOR:** OUR FIRST PARTICIPANT IS GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. YOU
10 MAY BEGIN.

11
12 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** HI, GENEVIEVE.

13
14 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** YES, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

15
16 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** YES, LOUD AND CLEAR.

17
18 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** I'M GLAD. I AM VERY GLAD TO SEE YOU
19 REVIEW SOME OF THOSE ISSUES THAT COME UP BECAUSE I THINK SOME
20 ARE OUT- DATED AND WE NEED TO MAYBE REVIEW THEM IN MORE OPEN
21 MANNER BECAUSE I SEE A LOT ARE OLD-FASHIONED AND NOT LOOKING
22 AT WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON NOW. OKAY? THANK YOU.

23
24 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. ARE YOU DONE, GENEVIEVE? IS SHE
25 DONE? OKAY. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS ERIC PREVEN. YOU MAY BEGIN.

3

4 **ERIC PREVEN:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THIS, SUPERVISORS. AND
5 YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT WE MADE A TEENY BIT OF PROGRESS BY
6 HAVING THESE MEETINGS, THE PUBLIC HEARING, WHERE THERE
7 ACTUALLY ARE SOME ISSUES THAT ARE WORKED OUT ON THE TUESDAY,
8 BUT I DO STILL THINK IT'S BEST TO MEET EVERY TUESDAY, AND YOU
9 KNOW, TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT EVERY TUESDAY BECAUSE YOU LEARN A
10 LOT. YOU LEARN A LOT ABOUT THE GREEN ZONE. YOU LEARN A LOT
11 ABOUT THE BLUE ZONE. AND YOU LEARN A LOT ABOUT THE RED ZONE IN
12 STUDIO CITY, WHICH IS WHERE HARVARD- WESTLAKE IS PLANNING TO -
13 -

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** I SAW YOU TRYING TO TRANSITION. THIS IS NOT
16 A JUST TRANSITION.

17

18 **ERIC PREVEN:** I'M A TRANSITIONER. I'M TRANSITIONING. THAT'S
19 CORRECT. DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING ABOUT THAT?

20

21 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** STAY ON ITEM 6.

22

23 **ERIC PREVEN:** I AM TRANSITIONING, SUPERVISOR. PLEASE DON'T
24 INTERRUPT. THAT'S VERY RUDE. WE HAVE TO BE RESPECTFUL HERE.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** STAY ON THIS ITEM.

2

3 **ERIC PREVEN:** THANK YOU. ALRIGHT, I WILL. I WILL DEFER NOW
4 BECAUSE I KNOW THAT BY BEING RESPECTFUL AND KIND, YOU'RE GOING
5 TO GET THE SUPERVISOR TO STAND UP AND HELP. THANK YOU.

6

7 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

8

9 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS JULEP THORNTON. YOU MAY
10 BEGIN.

11

12 **JULEP THORNTON:** HI.

13

14 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** YES. GO AHEAD.

15

16 **JULEP THORNTON:** I ACTUALLY THINK I'M IN THE WRONG PLACE IN THE
17 QUEUE.

18

19 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY.

20

21 **JULEP THORNTON:** I'M NOT SPEAKING ON ITEM --

22

23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** YOU'RE NOT ON ITEM 6? OKAY. MAYBE PUBLIC
24 COMMENT. SO YOU'LL COME BACK. OKAY, NEXT SPEAKER ON ITEM 6.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** MADAM CHAIR, THERE ARE NO OTHER SPEAKERS
2 IN THE QUEUE.

3

4 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. AND SEEING NO OTHER SUPERVISORS
5 WANTING TO COMMENT, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO CLOSE THE
6 PUBLIC ITEM AND VOTE ON THIS ITEM. ITEM 6 IS NOW BEFORE US.
7 MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, I'LL SECOND IT TO APPROVE THE
8 ITEM. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

9

10 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** ITEM 6 IS BEFORE YOU. SUPERVISOR MITCHELL?

11

12 **SUP. MITCHELL:** AYE.

13

14 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, AYE. SUPERVISOR
15 HORVATH?

16

17 **SUP. HORVATH:** AYE.

18

19 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HORVATH, AYE. SUPERVISOR
20 BARGER?

21

22 **SUP. BARGER:** AYE.

23

24 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR BARGER, AYE. SUPERVISOR HAHN?

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AYE.

2

3 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HAHN, AYE. MOTION CARRIES 4-0.

4

5 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. WE'RE NOW GOING ON TO SPECIALS. IT'S
6 MY UNDERSTANDING, SUPERVISOR BARGER, YOU HAVE AN URGENCY
7 MOTION?

8

9 **SUP. BARGER:** I DO, THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. COLLEAGUES, I HAVE
10 BEFORE YOU TODAY AN URGENCY MOTION, AND I HOPE TO HAVE YOUR
11 SUPPORT. ON SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 16TH, 2023, AT APPROXIMATELY
12 5:57 P.M., LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTY RYAN
13 CLINKUNBROOMER WAS SHOT IN HIS PATROL VEHICLE AT THE
14 INTERSECTION OF SIERRA HIGHWAY AND EAST AVENUE Q WHILE ON
15 DUTY. DEPUTY CLINKUNBROOMER WAS FOUND BY A GOOD SAMARITAN, WHO
16 ALERTED THE PALMDALE SHERIFF STATION. DEPUTY CLINKUNBROOMER
17 WAS TAKEN TO ANTELOPE VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER IN GRAVE CONDITION
18 AND LATER PRONOUNCE ANNOUNCED DEAD. DEPUTY CLINKUNBROOMER, A
19 THIRD GENERATION LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, IS SURVIVED BY HIS
20 FIANCEE, MOTHER, FATHER, GRANDPARENTS, AND THE MEN AND WOMAN
21 OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. I TRULY AM
22 HEARTBROKEN. THE LOSS OF DEPUTY RYAN CLINKUNBROOMER HAS
23 UNLEASHED SHOCK, ANGER, AND GRIEF THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY.
24 IT'S UNMANAGEABLE TO BEGIN TO UNDERSTAND THE EMOTIONS HIS
25 LOVED ONES AND COLLEAGUES ARE FEELING AT THIS MOMENT, AND THEY



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

DO DESERVE ANSWERS. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATORS ARE ACTIVELY WORKING ON THE CASE AND HAVE OBTAINED A PERSON OF INTEREST THAT COMMITTED THIS HEINOUS ACT. I'M INTRODUCING A REWARD TODAY TO TO ENCOURAGE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO CONTACT INVESTIGATORS WITH ANY AND ALL INFORMATION THAT WILL BRING SWIFT JUSTICE TO THIS CASE. THE MOTION DIRECTIVE READS AS FOLLOWS: I, THEREFORE, MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, BY AND PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54954.2B2, THAT THERE IS NEED TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION, AND THE NEED TO TAKE THIS ACTION CAME TO THE ATTENTION BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SUBSEQUENT TO THE POSTING OF THE AGENDA FOR TODAY'S BOARD MEETING AND OFFER REWARD THAT EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17TH, 2023 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$100,000 IN EXCHANGE FOR INFORMATION LEADING TO THE APPREHENSION AND CONVICTION OF THE PERPETRATOR OR PERPETRATORS RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS HEINOUS MURDER OF DEPUTY RYAN CLINKUNBROOMER. THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES, FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION IN SUPPORT OF THIS URGENCY MOTION. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BARGER. IT WAS AN INCIDENT THAT SHOCKED ALL OF US, AND OUR THOUGHTS AND CERTAINLY OUR PRAYERS ARE WITH THE FAMILY. IT WAS A MULTIGENERATIONAL FAMILY THAT GIVE THEIR SERVICE TO OUR L.A. COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. SUPERVISOR BARGER, WE ARE GOING TO VOTE ON THE NEED TO PLACE THIS MOTION ON TODAY'S AGENDA, AND THEN WE'LL VOTE ON THE REWARD MOTION AFTER PUBLIC COMMENT.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 SO THIS URGENCY FINDING IS NOW BEFORE US. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
2 BARGER. I'LL SECOND IT. EXECUTIVE OFFICE, PLEASE CALL THE
3 ROLL.

4

5 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** THE URGENCY DIRECTIVE IS BEFORE YOU.
6 SUPERVISOR MITCHELL?

7

8 **SUP. MITCHELL:** AYE.

9

10 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, AYE. SUPERVISOR
11 HORVATH.

12

13 **SUP. HORVATH:** AYE.

14

15 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HORVATH, AYE. SUPERVISOR
16 BARGER.

17

18 **SUP. BARGER:** AYE.

19

20 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR BARGER, AYE. SUPERVISOR HAHN?
21 AYE.

22

23 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HAHN, AYE. MOTION CARRIES 4-0.

24



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. WE WILL NOW HEAR FROM MEMBERS OF THE
2 PUBLIC WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT
3 OR ON THIS REWARD MOTION. FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON THE
4 TELEPHONE, PLEASE PRESS 1 AND THEN 0 NOW TO COMMENT ON THESE
5 ITEMS. EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE MEMBERS OF THE
6 PUBLIC WHO HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK ON THIS THESE ITEMS.

7
8 **EXECUTIVE OFFICER:** WOULD THE FOLLOW INDIVIDUALS PLEASE COME
9 FORWARD, AND STAFF WILL ASSIST YOU. FRANCISCO GUERRERO, CARRY
10 HORTON, JOAQUIN BELTRAN, CAROLINA MONTES, MICHAEL BALLENTINE,
11 MELODY MORA, ANJELICA FRANCISCO, IRIS MARTINEZ, GABRIELLA
12 ORONA, MIRIAM ZAMORA, CLAUDIA MORENO, PILAR CASTIGLIONE,
13 ARISELLI SANCHEZ, CESAR BENITES, ANGELIQUE JOHNSON, BRYAN
14 THOMAS, MICHAEL PINKTON, ERIC SHERMAN, AND SARAH GLEESER
15 PLEASE COME FORWARD, AND STAFF WILL ASSIST YOU. MODERATOR, MAY
16 WE HAVE THE FIRST REMOTE SPEAKER, PLEASE.

17
18 **MODERATOR:** OUR FIRST PARTICIPANT IS GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. YOU
19 MAY BEGIN.

20
21 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** WELL, I AM TOTALLY IN SUPPORT OF WHAT
22 SUPERVISOR BARGER IS DOING IN THAT ISSUE. IT'S ALMOST
23 UNBELIEVABLE THAT HUMAN BEINGS CAN DO THOSE KINDS OF ACTIONS,
24 AND IT'S BECOMING WORSE AND WORSE. AND SOME STEPS HAVE TO BE
25 TAKEN BECAUSE IT'S TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE. I'M SURE PEOPLE WHO



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 KNEW THE PERSON WHO KILLED THAT SHERIFF KNEW ALL ALONG HOW
2 DAMAGED HE WAS, AND INSTEAD OF GIVING SUPPORT [INDISCERNIBLE]
3 I AM JUST APPALLED WITH THIS KIND OF BEHAVIOR, AND IT'S
4 HAPPENING MORE AND MORE EVERY DAY IN OUR CITY AROUND IN U.S.
5 WE NEED TO IMPROVE THE WAY WE DEAL WITH THE SAFETY OF THE
6 INDIVIDUAL. AND I THINK WHEN YOU KNOW AN INDIVIDUAL IS SICK
7 AND NEEDS HELP, YOU NEED TO REPORT IT. THAT'S THE ONLY THING
8 TO DO.

9

10 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU, GENEVIEVE. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

11

12 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS HEATHER DETWEILLER. YOU MAY
13 BEGIN.

14

15 **HEATHER DETWEILLER:** MY NAME IS HEATHER DETWEILER FROM SHERMAN
16 OAKS. I'M HERE TODAY TO, AGAIN URGE, YOU TO BRING BACK MASK
17 REQUIREMENTS IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS. ANOTHER WEEK HAS GONE BY
18 WHERE NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE, AND PEOPLE ARE SICK, DISABLED, OR
19 DEAD BECAUSE OF IT. GETTING COVID LEAVES YOU AT GREATER RISK
20 TO HORRIBLE PROBLEMS AFTER THE ACUTE INFECTION. CHANCES OF
21 GETTING LONG COVID ARE AT LEAST 1 IN 5 INFECTIONS AND
22 INCREASES WITH EACH NEW INFECTION. LONG COVID INCLUDES
23 STROKES, HEART ATTACKS, DIABETES, SEVERE NEUROLOGICAL
24 PROBLEMS, FULL ON DISABILITY, AND DEATH. VACCINES DO NOT STOP
25 LONG COVID AND ORGAN DAMAGE, AND THERE ARE CURRENTLY NO



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

TREATMENTS FOR LONG COVID. DOCTORS SHOULD NOT BE SPREADING A
LEVEL THREE BIOHAZARD PATHOGEN TO PATIENTS. WHAT HAPPENED TO
DO NO HARM? MOST PEOPLE WON'T DO THE RIGHT THING IF THERE'S NO
MANDATE. YESTERDAY, I CALLED 13 ORTHODONTIC OFFICES, AND MOST
SAID THEY'RE NOT MASKING BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE TO ANYMORE.
THEY'RE STILL SANITIZING SURFACES IN BETWEEN PATIENTS, BUT
THAT DOESN'T HELP WHEN IT COMES TO AN AIRBORNE PATHOGEN. IT'S
UNCONSCIONABLE THAT MASKS WERE REMOVED JUST AS CASES STARTED
SURGING IN L.A. AND HAVEN'T BEEN BROUGHT BACK. PLEASE DO THE
RIGHT THING AND BRING BACK MASKS IN HEALTHCARE.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

MODERATOR: OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS PAUL HENNESSEY. YOU MAY
BEGIN.

PAUL HENNESSEY: MY NAME IS PAUL HENNESSEY. I'M HERE AGAIN TO
URGE THE BOARD TO BRING BACK MASKS IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS.
HEALTHCARE WORKERS OVERWHELMINGLY SUPPORT MASKS TO PROTECT
THEMSELVES AND PATIENTS. PATIENTS DESERVE PROTECTION FROM ALL
AIRBORNE ILLNESSES, AND NOT REQUIRING MASKS WILL PUT THEM IN
HARM'S WAY. TWO WAY MASKING IS MUCH MORE EFFECTIVE THAN
PUTTING THE BURDEN ON THE MOST VULNERABLE TO MASK. VACCINES
ALONE ARE ONLY ONE LAYER OF PROTECTION. WE MUST GO FURTHER,
BUT SIMPLY RECOMMENDING MASKS WILL NOT HELP, AS THERE ARE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 PEOPLE WHO DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW AIRBORNE TRANSMISSION WORKS
2 AND DON'T CARE ABOUT ANYONE BUT THEMSELVES. MASKS MUST BE
3 REQUIRED. CURRENT STRAINS OF COVID ARE THE MOST TRANSMISSIBLE
4 YET. THERE ARE COUNTLESS COVID OUTBREAKS TIED TO HOSPITALS.
5 MASKS BELONG IN HEALTHCARE THE SAME WAY THAT HANDWASHING AND
6 GLOVES DO. REINSTATE THE MASK MANDATE IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS.
7 IT WILL SAVE LIVES. THANK YOU.

8

9 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

10

11 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS ELIZABETH Z. YOU MAY BEGIN.

12

13 **ELIZABETH Z.:** HI, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** YES, GO AHEAD.

16

17 **ELIZABETH Z.:** OKAY. YES, HI. I'M CALLING ON YOU TO REINSTATE
18 THE UNIVERSAL MASK REQUIREMENT IN HEALTHCARE. I'M
19 IMMUNOCOMPROMISED, AND IMMUNOCOMPROMISED PEOPLE CAN CHOOSE TO
20 AVOID MANY PLACES, BUT WE CANNOT CHOOSE TO AVOID HEALTHCARE.
21 AND IT'S CURRENTLY A VERY, VERY HIGH RISK PLACE FOR PEOPLE TO
22 GO. THERE IS AMPLE EVIDENCE THAT TWO WAY MASKING IS MUCH MORE
23 EFFECTIVE THAN ONE WAY MASKING. I KNOW MANY PEOPLE WHO HAVE
24 RECENTLY GOTTEN COVID IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS, AND I'VE BEEN
25 AVOIDING HEALTHCARE BECAUSE I CAN'T AFFORD TO GET COVID, AND



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THE HEALTHCARE SETTINGS JUST ARE NOT SAFE RIGHT NOW WITHOUT
2 MASK REQUIREMENTS IN PLACE. THINGS ARE SURGING, AND WE ALSO DO
3 NOT HAVE THE TOOLS. PEOPLE HAVE THAT WANING IMMUNITY RIGHT
4 NOW. IT'S VERY HARD TO GET THE NEW BOOSTER. A LOT OF PEOPLE
5 ARE NOT SUFFICIENTLY BOOSTED, AND THERE'S LESS PUBLICLY
6 AVAILABLE DATA NOW IN L.A. THAN EVER BEFORE --

7

8 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU. THANK YOU. YOUR TIME
9 HAS EXPIRED. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

10

11 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS GRETA HASSAKAS. YOU MAY
12 BEGIN.

13

14 **GRETA HASSAKAS:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS GRETA HASSAKAS. I'M
15 FROM THE CITY OF TORRANCE. THIS IS A MOMENT THAT REQUIRES
16 COURAGE. OUR SUMMER WAVE OF COVID INFECTIONS HAS JUST KEPT
17 INCREASING WEEK OVER WEEK THIS SUMMER. NOW, THE SUMMER WAVE
18 WILL GO DIRECTLY INTO THE WINTER WAVE THAT IS COMING. IN NEW
19 YORK STATE, THE TEST POSITIVITY RATE, PER WALGREEN'S, IS
20 62.7%, A STAGGERING NUMBER, THE HIGHEST EVER RECORDED BY
21 WALGREEN'S SINCE THEY BEGAN TESTING OVER TWO YEARS AGO. THE
22 NEW YORK INFECTION RATES IS THE EARLY WARNING SYSTEM FOR
23 CALIFORNIA, AND IT DOESN'T LOOK GOOD. IF MASKS AREN'T
24 REINSTATED IN HEALTHCARE, OUR ALREADY HIGH COVID NUMBERS WILL
25 GET HIGHER. WHAT WILL HAPPEN WHEN L.A. GENERAL AND HARBOR



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 U.C.L.A. HOSPITALS ARE FULL, AND THE STAFF IS OUT SICK? PLEASE
2 WORK WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO REINSTATE MASKS IN
3 HEALTHCARE SETTINGS. THANK YOU.

4
5 **SUP. HORVATH:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

6
7 **MODERATOR:** OUR NEXT PARTICIPANT IS ERIC PREVEN. YOU MAY BEGIN.

8
9 **ERIC PREVEN:** THANK YOU. THIS IS A GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT, IS
10 THAT RIGHT?

11
12 **SUP. HORVATH:** YES.

13
14 **ERIC PREVEN:** YES. OKAY. GOOD. SO THEN, THANK YOU. SO MY
15 GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT IS I JUST WANT TO APPLAUD THE BOARD FOR
16 LEANING IN AND LISTENING AND TRYING TO BE RESPECTFUL OF THE
17 COMMUNITIES. I LOVE THAT WE'RE STARTING THESE MEETINGS WITH
18 THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, WHICH IS A KIND OF A WIDE NET WHICH
19 EXPLAINS HOW IT IS IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER WHAT WE'RE DOING AND
20 WHAT WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST. AND AS WE LOOK FORWARD ALONG
21 THE L.A. COUNTY FLOOD DISTRICT, WE JUST HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT
22 WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD BE DISRUPTING A NEIGHBORHOOD -- THE
23 REASON IS VERY SIMPLE, IT'S VERY, VERY SIMPLE, MONEY. MONEY,
24 BUT IT'S NOT REALLY MONEY THAT WOULD ALLOW A \$42 MILLION
25 INVESTMENT BY A BILLIONAIRE TO TURN INTO A \$100 MILLION



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 PROJECT THAT PUTS A LARGE BUILDING IN OUR QUIET, PEACEFUL
2 PLACE WITH LITTLE CREATURES, BEAUTIFUL LITTLE CREATURES, AND
3 BIRDS AND NEIGHBORS WALKING AROUND AND PLAYING GOLF A LITTLE
4 BIT AND TENNIS AND ENJOYING THE SPACE AS IT IS, NOT LOOKING
5 FORWARD TO BULLDOZERS --

6
7 **SUP. HORVATH:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. NEXT SPEAKER,
8 PLEASE. WE'RE GOING TO THE HALL? GO RIGHT AHEAD.

9
10 **TIFFANY:** GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS
11 TIFFANY, AND I'M A STREET VENDOR ORGANIZER WITH COMMUNITY
12 POWER COLLECTIVE. MOST OF THE VENDORS HERE TODAY COME FROM THE
13 SPECIAL VENDING DISTRICT IN EAST LOS ANGELES, DISTRICT 1. AND
14 WE WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEM 1 ON
15 TODAY'S AGENDA. WE UNDERSTAND THIS ITEM IS BEING CONTINUED AT
16 A LATER DATE, AND WE APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE
17 WORKING WITH THE COUNTY ON THE AMENDED HEALTH ORDINANCE.
18 VENDORS WANT TO OBTAIN A COUNTY HEALTH PERMIT TO FORMALIZE
19 THEIR BUSINESSES, BUT DUE TO LIMITED INCOME, THEY CANNOT
20 AFFORD TO PAY THE HIGH PERMIT FEES THAT ARE PROPOSED BY THE
21 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE HEALTH
22 DEPARTMENT NEEDS TO RECOVER COSTS TO OPERATE THE PROGRAM, AND
23 FOR THAT REASON, WE URGE THE COUNTY LEADERS TO IDENTIFY
24 SUSTAINABLE FUNDING TO HELP VENDORS LIKE THEM PAY FOR THIS
25 PERMIT. WE CONTINUE TO AFFIRM THEIR DESIRE TO FULLY FORMALIZE



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 THEIR SMALL BUSINESSES, BUT WE NEED YOUR SUPPORT TO MAKE
2 THIS A REALITY. ADDITIONALLY, WE URGE LEADERSHIP TO HELP US
3 IDENTIFY FREE TO LOW COST COMMISSARIES AND KITCHENS THAT WE
4 CAN --

5

6 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. THANK YOU.
7 NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

8

9 **GABRIELLA ORONA:** GOOD MORNING TO THE BOARD. IT'S AN A PLEASURE
10 TO BE HERE. MY NAME IS GABRIELLA ORONA. I AM A STREET VENDOR
11 IN LOS ANGELES DISTRICT, DISTRICT 1, AND I SELL DESSERTS. I AM
12 A WIDOW, AND I HAVE SPECIAL NEEDS, INCLUDING A 17 YEAR OLD
13 SON. THE COST OF THE PERMIT AFFECTS ME BECAUSE IT'S A VERY
14 ELEVATED COST. THE COST OF THE PERMITS AS WELL AS THE COST OF
15 THE CARTS ALSO AFFECT ME. I ASK YOU WITH ALL MY HEART THAT YOU
16 WOULD TAKE INTO OUR ACCOUNT OUR NEEDS, AND THAT YOU THINK
17 ABOUT EACH ONE OF US, SINCE WE ALL HAVE TO WORK TO BE ABLE TO
18 BRING NOURISHMENT TO OUR HOMES. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR
19 LISTENING TO US. IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE HERE. THANK YOU.

20

21 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

22

23 **CARRY HORTON:** GOOD MORNING. I AM CARRY HORTON, AND I WOULD
24 LIKE TO SPEAK ABOUT AIRB.N.B. AND THE NEW REGULATIONS. MY
25 FAMILY OF FOUR BOUGHT A FIXER-UPPER IN TOPANGA 20 YEARS AGO.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 I'M A STAY AT HOME MOM, AND MY HUSBAND WORKS AS A SOUND EDITOR
2 IN THE FILM INDUSTRY. HE HAS HAD NO WORK FOR FOUR MONTHS
3 BECAUSE OF THE WRITERS' STRIKE. AIRB.N.B. HAS KEPT IN IN OUR
4 HOME. MY FATHER IS 86, AND MY MOM IS 82, AND THEY HAVE A HOME
5 THEY BOUGHT IN 1965. THEY HAVE A HOME BUT NOT MONEY. AIRB.N.B.
6 GIVES THEM SOCIAL CONNECTION AND FUNDING TO KEEP THEM IN THEIR
7 HOME. AIRB.N.B. GUESTS VISIT US FROM ALL OVER THE CITY AND
8 ENJOY TOPANGA'S BEAUTY ON A WEEKEND OR A FEW DAYS. WE HAVE MET
9 PEOPLE FROM ALL WALKS OF LIFE, OF IN ALL INCOME LEVELS, ALL
10 OVER OUR CITY. PLEASE CONTINUE ALLOWING AIRB.N.B. FOR FAMILIES
11 AND OUR ELDERLY. PLEASE CONSIDER REGULATING THE AIRB.N.B. BAD
12 ACTORS AND PLEASE HELP TO WRITE THE NEW AIRB.N.B. REGULATIONS
13 --

14
15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NEXT
16 SPEAKER, PLEASE.

17
18 **CATALINA MONTES:** GOOD MORNING TO THE BOARD. MY NAME IS
19 CATALINA MONTES, AND I COME FROM DISTRICT 1. I'M GRATEFUL FOR
20 THE OPPORTUNITY, AND I WOULD LIKE TO -- CONTINUE TO THANK YOU
21 GUYS FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE WORKING WITH THE COUNTY
22 MODIFYING THE PERMITS AND MODIFYING THE CARTS. I WOULD LIKE
23 FOR THE PRICES TO BE MODIFIED. THEY ARE VERY HIGH. I'M A
24 MOTHER, AND I'M ONLY THE ONLY ONE SUSTAINING MY CHILDREN.
25 WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR ANYTHING FREE, BUT WE WOULD LIKE FOR TO



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 YOU MODIFY THE PRICE OF THE PERMITS. WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
2 PERMITS AND TO BE ABLE TO HAVE OUR OWN BUSINESS. WE WOULD LIKE
3 TO BE ABLE TO PAY TAXES. I DO PAY TAXES, BUT I WANT TO BE ABLE
4 TO DO THAT WITH A PERMIT LEGALLY. THANK YOU.

5

6 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

7

8 **MELODY MORA:** GOOD MORNING, BOARD. MY NAME IS MELODY MORA. I'M
9 20 YEARS OLD, AND I'M A STREET VENDOR IN EAST L.A. I WOULD
10 LIKE PROVIDE GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEM NUMBER 1 ON
11 TODAY'S AGENDA. MY MOM AND I ARE BOTH STREET VENDORS. AT THE
12 MOMENT, IT'S OUR ONLY SOURCE OF INCOME. WITH THIS, I SAVE UP
13 FOR MY COLLEGE FUNDS. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS ITEM IS BEING
14 CONTINUED AT A LATER DATE. WE APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
15 CONTINUE WORKING WITH THE COUNTY ON THE AMENDED HEALTH
16 ORDINANCE. I WANT TO OBTAIN A COUNTY HEALTH PERMIT TO
17 FORMALIZE MY BUSINESS, BUT DUE TO MY LIMITED INCOME, I CANNOT
18 AFFORD TO PAY THE HIGH PERMIT FEES THAT ARE PROPOSED BY THE
19 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE HEALTH
20 DEPARTMENT NEEDS TO RECOVER COSTS TO OPERATE THE PROGRAM, AND
21 FOR THAT REASON, WE URGE COUNTY LEADERS TO IDENTIFY
22 SUSTAINABLE FUNDING TO HELP VENDORS LIKE ME HELP PAY FOR THE
23 PERMITS. WE CONTINUE TO AFFIRM OUR DESIRE TO FULLY FORMALIZE
24 OUR SMALL BUSINESSES AND NEED YOUR SUPPORT TO MAKE THIS A
25 REALITY. THANK YOU.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

3

4 **CLAUDIA MORENO:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS CLAUDIA
5 MORENO. AND I AM A VENDOR FROM RANCHO DOMINGUEZ. I ALSO
6 ORGANIZE IN THE AREA. A LOT OF US VENDORS, WE HAVE NEEDS, BUT
7 WE WANT TO LEGALIZE OURSELVES. THAT'S WHY WE'RE ASKING FOR
8 YOUR LEADERSHIP TO BE ABLE TO HELP US WITH THE HEALTH PERMITS.
9 SINCE IT IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO LEGALIZE OURSELVES AND FOR
10 THAT TO BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO BE ENFORCED, WE ASK YOU, FOR
11 THAT REASON, TO HELP US WITH COMMISSARIES THAT WOULD BE LOW
12 COST, ALSO ACCESSIBLE CARTS. WE ALSO NEED YOU TO APPROVE LAWS
13 FOR US TO BE ABLE TO COOK IN OUR HOMES. THIS WOULD GIVE US A
14 FINANCIAL RELIEF BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF NEEDS FOR OUR
15 FAMILIES, SINCE WE ARE THE ONES WHO ARE SUSTAINING THEM. AND
16 OUR FAMILY DEPENDS ON US AND WHAT WE SELL ON THE STREETS. SO
17 BECAUSE OF THAT, WE ASK YOU TO WORK TOGETHER WITH US, AND WE
18 ARE GRATEFUL. THANK YOU FOR WORKING WITH US AND FOR HELPING
19 OUR STREET VENDORSHIP BE ABLE TO BECOME LEGALIZED. THANK YOU
20 SO MUCH.

21

22 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

23

24 **BRYAN THOMAS:** GOOD MORNING, MY NAME IS BRYAN THOMAS, AND I'M
25 HERE FOR TWO DISTINCT ISSUES. ONE INVOLVES THE METRO TRANSIT



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 AUTHORITY. I WAS ASSAULTED ON ONE OF YOUR BUSES, BUT THAT'S
2 NOT THE GIST OF WHAT I'M HERE FOR. SUBSEQUENTLY, THE OPERATOR
3 OF THE BUS TOOK POSSESSIONS OF MINE, TO WHICH I'VE UNABLE TO
4 RECOVER. I'VE BEEN DOWN TO THE METRO TOWER ON SEVERAL
5 OCCASIONS, AND THERE'S NOTHING BEING DONE ON THAT. AND I WOULD
6 KIND OF LIKE SOMETHING TO BE DONE. THIS HAPPENED ON JULY THE
7 3RD, AND IT'S BEEN QUITE A WHILE. THE SECOND ISSUE INVOLVES ME
8 BEING AT THE EL MONTE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES,
9 WHERE I HAD AN EXTENSIVE INJURY TO MY RIGHT ANKLE, AND I ASKED
10 THE DEPUTY TO CALL 911 FOR ME, AND HE OUTRIGHT REFUSED. THIS
11 HAPPENED ON 9-3-2021. AND EVENTUALLY, I WAS LEFT THERE AFTER
12 THE BUILDING CLOSED, AND EVENTUALLY, EL MONTE POLICE
13 DEPARTMENT CAME AND RESCUED ME FROM THERE. AND I'D LIKE
14 SOMETHING TO BE DONE ABOUT THAT --

15
16 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** SIR, WHERE DO YOU LIVE?

17
18 **BRYAN THOMAS:** I STAY AT 4026 --

19
20 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** DO YOU KNOW WHICH SUPERVISOR IS YOUR
21 SUPERVISOR? DO YOU KNOW WHICH ONE OF US REPRESENTS YOU?

22
23 **BRYAN THOMAS:** NO, I DO NOT. THIS IS MY FIRST TIME HERE AFTER
24 READING SO MUCH --



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** SO, FINISH -- WHERE DO YOU LIVE?

2

3 **BRYAN THOMAS:** 4026 WEST BOULEVARD, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA,
4 90008. THAT'S MS. MITCHELL IS YOUR -- YOU'RE SO LUCKY TO HAVE
5 SUPERVISOR MITCHELL. SHE'S GOING TO HAVE HER STAFF REACH OUT
6 TO YOU AND SEE IF WE CAN HELP YOU WITH THE TWO ISSUES THAT YOU
7 CAME FOR.

8

9 **BRYAN THOMAS:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

10

11 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

12

13 **ARISELLA SANCHEZ:** GOOD MORNING, MY NAME IS ARISELLA SANCHEZ. I
14 BELONG TO DISTRICT 1, AND I'M A STREET VENDOR FROM EAST LOS
15 ANGELES. I AM A HOMEMAKER, AND I HAVE CHILDREN THAT I NEED TO
16 HELP MOVE FORWARD. AND MY WORRY IS THE HIGH COST OF THE
17 PERMITS. THEY'RE VERY HIGH FOR ME AND FOR MY NEED. MY NEED IS
18 TO WORK AND TO BE ABLE TO OBTAIN A PERMIT TO BE ABLE TO WORK
19 AND TO BE ABLE TO WORK AND TO BE ABLE TO HELP MOVE MYSELF SELF
20 AND MY FAMILY FORWARD. SO BECAUSE OF THAT, I ASK YOU TO BE
21 FLEXIBLE IN REGARD TO THE COST OF THE PERMITS FOR STREET
22 VENDORS TO BE ABLE TO WORK AND TO BE ABLE TO DO SO IN A FREE
23 MANNER WITHOUT HAVING OBSTACLES AND WITHOUT HAVING TO PAY
24 FINES. I'M GRATEFUL FOR YOUR ATTENTION TO US, AND I'M HOPEFUL
25 FOR YOUR HELP. THANK YOU.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

3

4 **SAMANTHA JACOBUR:** HI. I'M HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE SHORT TERM
5 RENTAL ORDINANCE. MY NAME IS SAMANTHA JACOBUR, AND I'M A
6 WRITER AND DIRECTOR LIVING IN TOPANGA. I WAS A RENTER MY
7 ENTIRE ADULT LIFE UNTIL LAST YEAR WHEN I WAS ABLE TO PURCHASE
8 MY HOME BECAUSE IT HAD A LEGAL STRUCTURE ON THE PROPERTY THAT
9 I COULD USE AS A SHORT TERM RENTAL. I USE THE SPACE AS A PART
10 TIME OFFICE, FAMILY VISITS, AND FOR SHORT TERM RENTALS. AND I
11 WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO BE A HOMEOWNER WITHOUT THAT SHORT TERM
12 RENTAL INCOME. I'M NOT A BAD ACTOR. I'M ALWAYS ON SITE. I
13 EDUCATE MY GUESTS ON FIRE SAFETY, NO LOUD PARTIES, RESPONSIBLE
14 PARKING. I PAY MY TAXES. I HAVE A 7 YEAR OLD IN TOPANGA
15 ELEMENTARY. AND I'M VERY INVOLVED IN MY COMMUNITY. SO, I'M
16 ASKING THE BOARD TO PLEASE DRAW A DISTINCTION. THERE ARE
17 ABSENTEE SPECULATORS AND INVESTORS, WHO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE
18 SYSTEM, AND THERE ARE LAW-ABIDING HOMEOWNERS LIKE ME. THE
19 ARTISTS, THE GIG WORKERS, THE WRITERS, THE ACTORS, THE
20 ENVIRONMENTALISTS, THE THE MUSICIANS, THE RETIREES ALL TRYING
21 TO GET A FOOTHOLD OR HOLD ON IN THIS UNBELIEVABLY EXPENSIVE
22 STATE. I'M ASKING YOU TO PLEASE NOT KNOCK THE KNEES OUT FROM
23 YOUNG FAMILIES, NEW HOMEOWNERS, AND THE ELDERLY IN OUR
24 COMMUNITIES WITH THIS ORDINANCE AS WRITTEN.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

2

3 **IRIS MARTINEZ:** GOOD MORNING, HONORED MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY
4 NAME IS IRIS MARTINEZ, AND I BELONG TO THE STREET VENDORS
5 GROUP FROM EAST LOS ANGELES. AND I'D LIKE TO MAKE A GENERAL
6 PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT ITEM NUMBER 1. I WANT AND DESIRE FOR YOU
7 TO GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE TO OBTAIN A HEALTH
8 PERMIT AND, IN THAT MANNER, TO BE ABLE TO FORMALIZE MY SMALL
9 BUSINESS. AND THAT IS THE WAY THAT I PROVIDE INCOME AND
10 SUPPORT MY FOUR CHILDREN TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THEM WITH THE
11 NECESSARY THINGS AND A BETTER FUTURE. THEREFORE, BOARD OF
12 SUPERVISORS, I MAKE A CALL FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO ASSUME
13 LEADERSHIP TO BE ABLE TO SUPPORT OUR PROPOSALS FOR LOW COST
14 PERMITS, HEALTH PERMITS. WE ARE JUST PEOPLE WHO WORK IN A VERY
15 ARDUOUS WAY, AND WE DO THAT TO BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD, BUT WE
16 WANT TO DO THAT ACCORDING TO THE LAW. THANK YOU, AND HAVE A
17 GOOD DAY.

18

19 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

20

21 **ANGELIQUE JOHNSON:** YES, GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY
22 NAME IS ANGELIQUE JOHNSON. I'M IN HOLLY MITCHELL'S DISTRICT,
23 BUT MY MOST COMPLAINT IS WITH JANICE HAHN. IN THE GREAT AND
24 POWERFUL WORDS OF FANNIE LOU HAMER, I AM SICK AND TIRED OF
25 BEING SICK AND TIRED OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERVISORS



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

PARK AND REC DEPARTMENT AND MOST OF ALL THE COUNTY GOLF DEPARTMENT. I AM FILING FOR A FULL REPORT INVESTIGATION ON THE HIRING PRACTICES OF THE L.A. COUNTY GOLF DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS THE PARK AND REC DEPARTMENT, IN GENERAL. I HAVE WORKED IN THIS COMMUNITY FOR OVER 30 YEARS. I HAVE FILED DISAGREEMENT WITH AMERICAN GOLF. I SUED THEM. THEY HAD TO SETTLE WITH ME. AND I'M TIRED OF GIVING MY LIFE TO THIS COMMUNITY FOR HALF OF MY LIFE, OVER 30 YEARS, AND I'M TIRED OF THE CORRUPTION AND CRONYISM BETWEEN AMERICAN GOLF AND L.A. COUNTY. BECAUSE THE COUNTY IS HERE PROTECT THE PEOPLE, AND THEY'RE RAISING ALL THE PRICES BECAUSE IT'S COLLUDED, AND IT'S JUST INCESTUAL IS WHAT IT IS. IT'S A DOWNRIGHT SHAME, AND I WANT TO TALK TO BOTH OF YOU LADIES, TODAY.

SUP. HAHN, CHAIR: OKAY. ALRIGHT. THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

CESAR BENITES: A WARM GOOD MORNING TO EACH OF YOU. I AM FROM DISTRICT 1. MY NAME IS CESAR BENITES. AND I AM STREET VENDOR FROM EAST LOS ANGELES, WHITTIER BOULEVARD. I'M A SENIOR CITIZEN. AND I WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT WITH RESPECT TO ITEM NUMBER 1 FROM TODAY'S AGENDA. I KNOW THAT THIS ITEM WILL BE DISCUSSED AS IT HAS BEEN CONTINUED. I BELONG TO A GROUP OF STREET VENDORS, AND WE HAVE ORGANIZED. AND WE ARE WORKING TO BE ABLE TO LEGALIZE OUR BUSINESSES, BUT IT'S A VERY LONG ROAD



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 WITH LOTS OF OBSTACLES. YOU HAVE THE POWER TO MAKE THIS ROAD
2 AN EASIER ONE. SO BECAUSE OF THAT, I ASK YOU TO HELP US TO
3 FIND THE FUNDS FOR LICENSES AND PERMITS THAT IT WOULD BE LOW
4 COST AND THAT WOULD YOU ADOPT THE M.E.C.O. PROGRAM. THAT WOULD
5 HELP US SO THAT THE COSTS DO NOT INCREASE AS WE ARE TODAY. I
6 WANT YOU TO CONSIDER THAT WE ARE A MICRO- ENTERPRISE. WE WANT
7 TO BE PART OF THE SOLUTION, NOT THE PROBLEM. WHAT WE WANT IS
8 TO CONTINUE SUPPORTING THE ECONOMY AND NOT BE PART OF A
9 BURDEN, WHETHER IT'S ECONOMICAL OR SOCIETAL FOR YOU GUYS.

10

11 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. GRACIAS.

12

13 **CESAR BENITES:** THANK YOU TO EACH OF YOU.

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

16

17 **ANJELITA FRANCISCO:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS ANJELITA
18 FRANCISCO. GOOD MORNING TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS. I'M
19 GRATEFUL FOR THE TIME THAT YOU GIVE US AS VENDORS. I'M PART OF
20 THE VENDORS OF EAST LOS ANGELES. AND ALSO, AS WAS MENTIONED, I
21 AM A SINGLE MOTHER. AND I'M THE ONE SUSTAINING MY FAMILY. AND
22 AS VENDORS, WE'RE ORGANIZING OURSELVES. WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO
23 CONTRIBUTE TO THE STATE IN THE MANNER AND THE WAYS THAT THEY
24 ASK OF US, BUT BECAUSE OF THE PRICES AND THE FINES THAT THEY
25 SOMETIMES GIVE US, IT SEEMS IMPOSSIBLE TO US. BECAUSE OF THAT,



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 WE THANK YOU FOR THE SUPPORT THAT YOU GIVE US, AND I AM IN
2 AGREEMENT THAT YOU WOULD PROVIDE ACCESSIBLE PRICES SO WE CAN
3 CONTRIBUTE TO THE STATE AND YOU GUYS. WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO
4 COMPLY WITH THE LAW AND CONTRIBUTE AS VENDORS, AND WE DON'T TO
5 HAVE TO LEAVE THIS COUNTRY. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE TIME
6 THAT YOU GIVE US.

7

8 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

9

10 **MICHAEL JOSEPH PITKEN:** MICHAEL JOSEPH PITKEN. I AM A NEW
11 CITIZEN OF SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA. I AM H.I.V. POSITIVE 20
12 YEARS, OVER HALF IN L.A. COUNTY. I HAVE BEEN THROUGH EVERY
13 H.I.V. PROGRAM AND AGENCY IN L.A. COUNTY. I HAVE SENIORITY. IN
14 SANTA MONICA, I WAS TOLD TO MY FACE THE BEACH AREA IS THE
15 GOLDEN AREA. I HAVE TO BE UNHOUSED THERE FOR FIVE YEARS BEFORE
16 I WILL RECEIVE HELP. I WAS APPLIED AND WAS DENIED FROM ALL
17 HELP WHEN I DID THE L.A.H.S.A.'S H.M.I.S.S. COORDINATED ENTRY
18 SYSTEM, C.E.S. I SHOULD BE RECEIVING THE SAME CARE IN SANTA
19 MONICA AS I RECEIVE IN SKID ROW. TODAY, THIS IS THIS BODY'S
20 NOTICE. CEASE AND DESIST THIS UNEQUAL DISCRIMINATION AND
21 REVERSE DISCRIMINATION IN THE WEST L.A. AND BEACH CITIES.
22 FOLLOW THE GRANT MONIES ALL GOING TO SKID ROW. EVERY AREA AND
23 EVERY CITY NEEDS ITS OWN SHELTER AVAILABILITY. AND COME INTO
24 COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL LAW MARTIN VS. BOISE. UNHOUSED AND
25 DISABLED --



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU. YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED.
3 THANK YOU FOR SPEAKING TO US. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

4

5 **PILAR CASTIGLONE:** GOOD MORNING. GOOD MORNING TO ALL OF THE
6 SUPERVISORS FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. MY NAME IS PILAR
7 CASTIGLONE. I LIVE IN DISTRICT 1, AND I AM PROUD OF BELONGING
8 TO THAT DISTRICT. I AM HERE TO ASK THAT, AS VENDORS, THAT WE
9 WOULD HAVE ACCESSIBILITY TO LOW COST PERMITS, SINCE FOR YEARS
10 EACH ONE OF US HAS BEEN PAYING OUR CORRESPONDING TAXES.
11 BECAUSE OF THIS, WE ARE NOT A BURDEN TO THE GOVERNMENT OR TO
12 ANYONE. I'M HERE TO ASK FOR REASONABLE ACTION FOR EACH ONE OF
13 US. MY SELLING IS MY ONLY MEANS OF ECONOMIC INCOME. I AM A
14 SENIOR CITIZEN, AND WE ASK FOR YOUR HELP FOR MORE EDUCATION
15 AND FINANCING AND ACCESS TO CARTS, TO SCHOLARSHIPS, AND ALSO
16 TO PERMITTED KITCHENS. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

17

18 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GRACIAS. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

19

20 **JOAQUIN BELTRAN:** HI, MY NAME IS JOAQUIN BELTRAN. I AM CITY
21 FROM DOWNEY. I AM WITH ACTIONCAREEQUITY.ORG. I AM HERE TO
22 DEMAND, ON BEHALF OF OUR COMMUNITY WHO HAS SENT OVER 13,000
23 LETTERS AND MADE OVER 1,000 CALLS TO YOUR OFFICES, THAT L.A.
24 COUNTY REINSTATE THE MASK REQUIREMENT IN THE HEALTHCARE
25 SETTINGS. LAST MONTH, WE HAD ON AVERAGE ONE DEATH DAILY. NOW,



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 IT IS FOUR DEATHS DAILY. A FOUR X INCREASE MEANS 30 L.A.
2 RESIDENTS WERE DYING MONTHLY, AND NOW IT IS 120 WHO ARE DYING
3 MONTHLY. AND WE HAVEN'T EVEN REACHED PEEK DEATHS, AND MANY
4 MORE ARE GETTING LONG COVID. HOW CAN HOSPITALIZATION ONLY
5 DOUBLE OVER THE LAST MONTH, WHILE DEATHS INCREASED BY FOUR
6 TIMES? WE ARE UNDER TESTING, WHICH MEANS WE HAVE HIGHER CASES,
7 HIGHER HOSPITALIZATIONS, HIGHER DEATHS THAN ARE BEING
8 REPORTED. BY DEFINITION, EVERYONE IN THE HOSPITAL IS
9 VULNERABLE, NEEDS CLEAN INDOOR AIR SO THAT THEY CAN AND
10 BETTER, NOT GET SICK FROM A PREVENTABLE, DISABLING, DEADLY
11 DISEASE. WHY SHOULD THE RESIDENTS OF L.A. COUNTY, LIKE MY
12 GRANDMA, BE SUSCEPTIBLE TO HEALTHCARE-ACQUIRED INFECTIONS
13 BECAUSE OF YOUR POLICY NEGLIGENCE. BE A LEADER, BRING BACK
14 MASK REQUIREMENTS IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS. LIVES ARE ON THE
15 LINE.

16

17 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

18

19 **MIRIAM SAMORA:** A VERY GOOD WARM TO THE SUPERVISORS COMMITTEE.
20 MY NAME IS MIRIAM SAMORA. I AM A STREET VENDOR FROM DISTRICT 1
21 IN EAST LOS ANGELES. WE ARE HERE FOR YOU TO GIVE US YOUR
22 SUPPORT. MY COLLEAGUES HAVE BEEN SPEAKING ABOUT M.E.C.O. AND
23 ALSO THE CARTS AND SCHOLARSHIPS AND OTHER NECESSARY THINGS
24 THAT WE NEED. TODAY, I WANT TO ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT WITH
25 REGARD TO THE SHARED KITCHENS, SINCE WE KNOW THAT, IN OUR



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 COMMUNITIES, WE HAVE CHURCHES AND SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY
2 CENTERS THAT HAVE THOSE, BUT THEY STILL HAVEN'T GIVEN US THE
3 OPPORTUNITY TO USE THEM. SO THAT'S WHY I AM HERE WITH YOU, SO
4 THAT YOU GUYS CAN DO SOMETHING, SO THAT THEY WOULD GIVE US
5 THAT PERMISSION TO USE THOSE KITCHENS, SINCE THE CARTS ARE AT
6 A VERY HIGH PRICE AND ALSO THE PERMITS.

7
8 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GRACIAS.
9 NEXT SPEAKER, PLEASE.

10
11 **SHARINA JOHNS:** HI, MY NAME IS SHARINA JOHNS, AND I AM HERE TO
12 TALK TO SOMEONE ABOUT HELPING ME GET MY KIDS BACK FROM C.P.S.
13 THEY WERE ILLEGALLY TAKEN FROM ME. AND I HAVE TRIED TO TALK TO
14 THE OMBUDSMAN. I'VE TRIED TO TALK TO EVERYBODY, AND NOBODY IS
15 HELPING ME. AND I REALLY NEED HELP.

16
17 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. BACK THERE, THERE'S A PERSON WAVING AT
18 YOU. IT SAYS CONSTITUENT ASSISTANCE. LET'S TRY ONE MORE TIME
19 TO SEE IF WE CAN HELP.

20
21 **SHARINA JOHNS:** OKAY, THANK YOU.

22
23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. ANY OTHER SPEAKERS?
24



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** NO OTHER SPEAKERS, MADAM CHAIR. SUPERVISOR
2 BARGER, I SEE YOU.
3
4 **SUP. BARGER:** YEAH. MADAM CHAIR, YOU KNOW -- THE ISSUE THAT'S
5 BEING -- BEFORE US TO BE CONTINUED TO DECEMBER ON THE
6 ORDINANCE REGARDING THE OUTDOOR VENDING, YOU KNOW, FOR ME, IN
7 MY DISTRICT, I CAN ONLY SPEAK FOR MY DISTRICT, ONE OF THE
8 ISSUES THAT IS PROBLEMATIC THAT IS NOT GOING TO BE COVERED
9 UNDER THIS IS THE BIG VENDOR, WHO IS NOT ALLOWED TO BE
10 PERMITTED UNDER NEW STATE LAW. AND THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC
11 OPPORTUNITY HAS CONTINUED TO PROMISE US THEY ARE GOING TO
12 BRING FORWARD AN ORDINANCE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT
13 OF PUBLIC HEALTH. I WAS TOLD OCTOBER, AND NOW I AM BEING TOLD
14 DECEMBER. SO I AM GOING TO ASK THE C.E.O. I AM A LITTLE
15 FRUSTRATED THAT NOW IT IS DECEMBER BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN
16 DISCUSSING THIS. IT'S BEEN ON THE AGENDA BEGINNING IN MAY. AND
17 NOW, WE ARE CONTINUING IT TO DECEMBER 12TH. AND MY
18 UNDERSTANDING IS IT IS IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE DEPARTMENT OF
19 ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY TO BRING IN AN ORDINANCE IN CONJUNCTION
20 WITH. I WAS TOLD OCTOBER, WHICH IS WHY I GOT THROWN OFF BY
21 THIS DATE IN DECEMBER BECAUSE NOW WE ARE MOVING IT TO
22 DECEMBER. AND I HAVE CONSTITUENTS, QUITE FRANKLY, THAT ARE
23 BEING IMPACTED IN ALTADENA THAT HAVE EXPRESSED CONCERN. AND
24 AGAIN, IT IS NOT OVER PEOPLE THAT ARE HERE TODAY, IT IS OVER
25 THE -- WHAT THEY CALL THE OUTDOOR VENDORS THAT BRING IN



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 BASICALLY A KITCHEN AND SET UP ON THE SIDEWALK. AND TO DATE,
2 WE HAVE NOTHING ON THE BOOKS AS IT RELATES TO ENFORCEMENT, AT
3 LEAST --

4

5 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** SO, I KNOW. THIS IS NOT ON THE AGENDA TODAY,
6 SO --

7

8 **SUP. BARGER:** NO. I UNDERSTAND, SO I AM ASKING FOR A REPORT
9 BACK BECAUSE I AM GOING TO REFUSE TO VOTE TO CONTINUE TO
10 DECEMBER WHEN, ON THIS ITEM, WHEN, IN FACT, I HAVE BEEN TOLD
11 THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY WAS GOING TO HAVE
12 IT FOR US IN OCTOBER. YEAH, IT SAYS CONTINUE TO DECEMBER 12TH,
13 2023.

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** RIGHT.

16

17 **SUP. BARGER:** AND THAT DATE CONCERNS ME BECAUSE I AM BEING TOLD
18 OCTOBER IS --

19

20 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** I DON'T THINK WE ARE VOTING ON CONTINUING
21 IT.

22

23 **SUP. BARGER:** YEAH, WE ARE. IT SAYS RIGHT HERE PUBLIC --

24

25 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** WE ALREADY VOTED.



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1

2 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** WE ALREADY VOTED.

3

4 **SUP. BARGER:** OKAY. WELL, THEN I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MY VOTE
5 RECONSIDERED, AND I WILL VOTE ON CONTINUING IT TO DECEMBER. I
6 THOUGHT THAT WAS PART OF WHAT WE WERE VOTING ON RIGHT NOW.

7

8 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** WE VOTED EARLIER --

9

10 **SUP. BARGER:** OKAY. THEN I WOULD ASK, SINCE I VOTED IN FAVOR, I
11 CAN ASK FOR A RECONSIDERATION, AND I WANT TO BE REFLECTED AS
12 VOTING NO, BASED ON THE FACT THAT IF WE CONTINUE TO BE
13 PROMISED OCTOBER. AND SO, I AM GOING TO VOTE NO.

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** DO WE NEED TO -- COUNTY COUNSEL, SHE CAN
16 JUST -- OKAY. ALRIGHT, THANK YOU.

17

18 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** REFLECT THAT AS A NO.

19

20 **SUP. BARGER:** THANK YOU.

21

22 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** THANK YOU.

23

24 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** DO YOU STILL WANT YOUR REPORT BACK.

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. BARGER:** YES.

2

3 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** ALRIGHT. GOT THAT.

4

5 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AND THAT WHEN IS THE REPORT COMING BACK?

6

7 **SUP. BARGER:** WHENEVER FESIA CAN FIGURE OUT WHEN SHE CAN GET
8 IT. I MEAN I AM JUST INCREDIBLY -- I'M INCREDIBLY FRUSTRATED.

9

10 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. BUT YOU WOULD LIKE THAT REPORT BACK
11 BEFORE WE HEAR THIS AGAIN ON DECEMBER 12TH.

12

13 **SUP. BARGER:** YES.

14

15 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** GOT THAT?

16

17 **SUP. BARGER:** ALTHOUGH I BET IT WILL BE CONTINUED AGAIN. YES.

18

19 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY.

20

21 **FESIA DAVENPORT, C.E.O.:** I AM WORKING ON IT.

22

23 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY, THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, THAT CONCLUDES
24 OUR TIME FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT SUPERVISOR BARGER'S READ IN
25 MOTION ABOUT THE REWARD IS NOW BEFORE US, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 BARGER, I WILL SECOND IT TO APPROVE THE ITEM. EXECUTIVE
2 OFFICER, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

3

4 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** THE REWARD MOTION IS BEFORE YOU.
5 SUPERVISOR MITCHELL.

6

7 **SUP. MITCHELL:** AYE.

8

9 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR MITCHELL, AYE. SUPERVISOR
10 HORVATH.

11

12 **SUP. HORVATH:** AYE.

13

14 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HORVATH, AYE. SUPERVISOR
15 BARGER.

16

17 **SUP. BARGER:** AYE.

18

19 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR BARGER, AYE. SUPERVISOR HAHN.

20

21 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** AYE.

22

23 **CELIA ZAVALA, E.O.:** SUPERVISOR HAHN, AYE. MOTION CARRIES 4-0.

24



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 **SUP. HAHN, CHAIR:** OKAY. THAT CONCLUDES TODAY'S MEETING. AND
2 THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING OF THIS BOARD WILL BE HELD ON
3 TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2023 AT 9:30 A.M. THANK YOU AGAIN TO
4 ALL WHO TOOK THE TIME TO COME DOWN AND SPEAK TO US THIS
5 MORNING. HAVE A GOOD REST OF YOUR DAY.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS

1 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
2 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
3 hereby certify:

4 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5 Angeles County Board of Supervisors September 19, 2023, were
6 thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction and
7 supervision;

8 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9 in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
10 the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
11 me.

12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13 related to any party to the said action; nor in anywise
14 interested in the outcome thereof.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 2nd
16 day of October 2023, for the County records to be used only
17 for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts as
18 on file of the office of the reporter.

19
20 JENNIFER A. HINES

21 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22
23
24
25