1	
2	
3	
4	
5	LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF
6	CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT COMMISSION
7	MARCH 7, 2024
8	
9	SPECIAL HEARING ON DEPUTY GANGS IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
10	
11	MEMBERS
12	COMMISSION CHAIR SEAN KENNEDY
13	COMMISSIONER JAMON HICKS
14	COMMISSIONER ROBERT BONNER
15	COMMISSIONER IRMA HAGANS COOPER
16	COMMISSIONER LUIS GARCIA
17	COMMISSIONER PATTI GIGGANS
18	COMMISSIONER JP HARRIS
19	COMMISSIONER HANS JOHNSON
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	ATTENDEES	
3	Commission Chair, Sean Kennedy	
4	COMMISSIONERS:	
5	Jamon Hicks	
6	Robert Bonner	
7	Irma Hagans Cooper	
8	Patti Giggans	
9	JP Harris	
10	Hans Johnson	
11	Luis Garcia	
12	Special Counsel: Anthony Pacheco; Spertus, Landes &	
13	Josephs, LLP	
14	Witnesses	Page
15	1 Timothy Murakami, LASD Undersheriff, retired	3
16	Public Comment	Page
17	Unidentified Speaker	134
18	Michele Infante	136
19	Mark Brown	138
20	Cindy Navarro	140
21	Salina Cole	141
22	Jody Martinez	142
23		
24		
25		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: so you are sworn under penalty of
3	perjury, and we can begin.
4	MR. PACHECO: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, commissioners.
5	I'm Anthony Pacheco with Spertus, Landes, and Josephs. I'm
6	accompanied by my colleagues Maura Riley and Julia Corbin. And
7	with your permission, we will proceed.
8	Mr. Murakami, thank you for being here today. We
9	appreciate your time.
10	You're here pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct?
11	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
12	MR. PACHECO: And that subpoena was issued in June of
13	2022; is that correct?
14	MR. MURAKAMI: That, I can't recall.
15	MR. PACHECO: But you're here pursuant to a court order
16	following the service of that subpoena?
17	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
18	MR. PACHECO: How long were you employed with the
19	Sheriff's Department?
20	MR. MURAKAMI: I believe a little over 43 years.
21	MR. PACHECO: Forty-three years; is that what
22	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
23	MR. PACHECO: And you were the former undersheriff of the
24	Department?
25	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.

```
MR. PACHECO: And that was the highest rank that you
 1
 2
     achieved in the Department?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: And as the undersheriff, you were the second
 5
     in command of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And at times, you were the acting sheriff?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: And that meant when you were acting sheriff,
 9
10
     you were the highest-ranking member in the Sheriff's
11
     Department; is that correct?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: Sir, have you been in communication with
14
     Matthew Burson about his testimony before the commission?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Are you aware that Matthew Burson testified
16
17
     that he has known you for decades?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
18
19
          MR. PACHECO: And, if fact, you have known Matthew Burson
     for decades?
20
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And are you aware that he testified before
22
23
     this commission that you have a Caveman tattoo?
24
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
25
          MR. PACHECO: And he testified that you were a member of a
```

```
subgroup or clique in the East LA station; is that correct?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know if he said that or not, but he
 3
     did say I had a tattoo.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: Let me ask you this: During your tenure in
 5
     the Sheriff's Department, were you ever a member of a subgroup
 6
     called the Cavemen?
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: What do you mean by "member"?
          MR. PACHECO: Well, did you ever affiliate in any way
 8
     whatsoever with a group called the Cavemen in East LA?
9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Well, what was your relationship with the
11
12
     Cavemen?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Can you (inaudible) the question
14
     (inaudible) the Cavemen?
15
          MR. PACHECO: What did you do with the Cavemen?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Nothing.
17
          MR. PACHECO: Do you have a Caveman tattoo?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, I don't.
19
          MR. PACHECO: At any time during your tenure in the
20
     Department, did you ever have a Caveman tattoo?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I did.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Let's talk a little bit about how you got
23
     that tattoo. How is it that you got that tattoo?
          MR. MURAKAMI: It would be the same way that --
24
25
     (inaudible) a party explaining (inaudible) tattoo at Civil
```

It had to deal with, basically, taking pride and 1 Service. 2 being recognized as a hardworking deputy. 3 MR. PACHECO: How was the tattoo applied to your body? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: It was on the right calf area. 5 MR. PACHECO: And to this day, do you have that tattoo? 6 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 7 MR. PACHECO: Did you remove the tattoo? MR. MURAKAMI: It's no longer there, sir. 8 MR. PACHECO: Did you alter the tattoo? 9 10 MR. MURAKAMI: It's no longer there, sir. 11 MR. PACHECO: When did you remove the tattoo? 12 MR. MURAKAMI: It's been probably five years. 13 MR. PACHECO: Why did you remove the tattoo? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: Because of the negative media and pressure 15 just being applied of having a tattoo. MR. PACHECO: Other than removing the tattoo because of 16 17 the negative media, is there any other reason why you removed 18 the tattoo? 19 MR. MURAKAMI: What do you mean "another reason"? MR. PACHECO: Was there any other reason other than 20 21 negative media that you removed the tattoo? MR. MURAKAMI: Well, (inaudible) to do with the fact like 22 23 a hearing like this where it becomes a negative (inaudible). So better not to have it. 24 25 MR. PACHECO: So did you think that there was anything

```
1
     wrong with having a Caveman tattoo on your ankle?
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: And, to this day, as you sit here now, do
 4
     you think there was anything wrong about having a Caveman
 5
     tattoo on your ankle?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
 7
          MR. PACHECO: When the tattoo was applied to your ankle,
 8
     who was present?
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I couldn't recall. It's been
 9
10
     probably 40-plus years.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Was it more than one person?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: Was it less than ten people?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
15
          MR. PACHECO: And where was the location? And by that, I
16
     mean physical location. Not on your body, but the physical
17
     location where you had the tattoo applied.
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was a tattoo shop on Whittier Boulevard.
19
          MR. PACHECO: And the one to ten other people that were
20
     with you when the tattoo was applied, were they deputy
21
     sheriffs?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
23
          MR. PACHECO: And in that group of one to ten people, were
24
     there any women?
25
          MR. MURAKAMI:
                         No, sir.
```

In that group of one to ten people, were 1 MR. PACHECO: 2. there any black people? 3 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 4 MR. PACHECO: In that group of one to ten people, were 5 there any gay people? 6 MR. MURAKAMI: Not that I recall. MR. PACHECO: In that group of ten people, were there any people in the command staff from the Sheriff's Department 8 present when you got this ink -- this tattoo? 9 10 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 11 MR. PACHECO: Who knows that you have a Caveman tattoo or 12 had a Caveman tattoo on your ankle when you were a member of 13 the Department? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure. 15 MR. PACHECO: But we know that there was the testimony of 16 Mr. Burson that you had a tattoo; correct? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 18 MR. PACHECO: Did the former sheriff, Alex Villanueva, 19 know that you had a tattoo on your ankle? 20 MR. MURAKAMI: I believe he did. 21 MR. PACHECO: Did you have any other tattoos on your body 22 associated with any subgroup or clique in the Department? 23 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. MR. PACHECO: Did you keep the tattoo a secret from 24 25 members of the Department?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: Can you describe your tattoo?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was essentially a Caveman carrying a
 4
     club, I believe "East LA" either across the stomach or their
 5
     chest.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
          MR. MURAKAMI: It had "ELA" -- East LA either across the
     stomach or the chest.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
9
               What color was the tattoo?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was just standard -- it wasn't colored,
12
     sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: Was it blue?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: Blue or black. I'm not sure of the color.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
16
               Did the tattoo -- anywhere near the tattoo have a
17
     number?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: And what was that number?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Ten.
21
          MR. PACHECO: Ten.
22
               And what did that number signify?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: The tenth person to have a Caveman tattoo.
          MR. PACHECO: Does that ten signify that you were the
24
25
     tenth member of the Cavemen?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: The tenth person to get a tattoo.
          MR. PACHECO: Does that mean that you were a member of a
 2
 3
     group called the Cavemen?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's difficult when you use the word
 5
     "member" like you're in some kind of club. (Inaudible) club.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Was it a subgroup of people?
          MR. MURAKAMI: That could be probably more accurate,
 7
8
     because there was no club, per se.
          MR. PACHECO: How many members of the Caveman were there?
9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Can you estimate?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, I can't.
13
          MR. PACHECO: Was it more than ten?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think so.
15
          MR. PACHECO: You know so because you were the tenth
16
     number to get inked?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
18
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Was it more than 50?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think it was, but I couldn't tell you for
20
     sure.
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, did you see other people in
21
22
     the East LA station that had the Caveman tattoo from time to
23
     time?
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
24
25
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: You never saw another person,
```

```
1
     another deputy at the East LA station in the locker room or
 2.
     otherwise that had the tattoo of a Caveman; is that your
 3
     testimony?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, when you say "the locker room or
 4
 5
     otherwise" --
 6
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, any place at the East LA
 7
     station, sir. I mean, I'm asking you a question. Did you ever
     see any other deputy with a Caveman tattoo other than yourself?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: Can you do me a favor and not yell at me?
 9
10
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: No, I can't.
11
               Can you do me a favor and just -- you know, look, if
12
     you give an answer, you said -- Mr. Murakami, (inaudible), you
13
     basically said, under oath, that you've never seen another
14
     person, deputy with a Caveman tattoo at the East LA station.
15
     Is that your testimony, or do you want to correct it right now,
16
     sir?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah, that, I don't recall, because as far
     as the locker room, I've never seen any of it in the locker
18
19
     room. I haven't seen it in there. (Inaudible). I couldn't
20
     tell you where or when.
21
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: So you've seen some deputy
22
     (inaudible) Caveman tattoo?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
```

```
COMMISSIONER BONNER: You wouldn't know?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: I wouldn't guess.
 3
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) seen more than one
 4
     deputy other than yourself that had a Caveman tattoo?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Probably, sir.
 6
               It's been over 40 years, so I don't -- you know, it's
 7
     kind of hard to recall all of these things.
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, (inaudible).
 9
10
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's been over 40 years, sir.
12
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: What other deputies do you believe
13
     are Cavemen that have a Caveman tattoo and stuff like that?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't recall, sir.
15
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Don't recall a single one?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
17
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Don't recall (inaudible) in the
18
     Cavemen?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
20
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Why did you have a tattoo?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's what I've testified before, sir.
22
     Because it signified station pride and being a good worker.
23
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Would you recognize that at least
     some deputy cliques that had tattoos (inaudible)?
24
25
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah.
```

```
I think our plan was to have our very able special
 1
 2
     counsel finish the questioning, and then we can fully open it
 3
     up for all the commissioners to ask any supplemental questions.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: On the Caveman tattoo that you had, were
 5
     there any (inaudible) or other markings on that tattoo?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And just to follow-up on one of the
     commissioner's questions, you don't remember a single name of
 8
     any deputy who had a Caveman tattoo as you sit here today?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not that I recall, sir.
11
          MR. PACHECO: What was the selection criteria to become a
12
     Caveman, if you know?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Essentially, as to what I said before, it
     had to do with being identified as a good worker.
14
          MR. PACHECO: And who made this identification of
15
16
     potential members as good workers?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know.
          MR. PACHECO: Did a potential member have to have a
18
19
     certain number of arrests in order to become a member of the
20
     Cavemen?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible.)
          MR. PACHECO: Did a prospect, a potential member of the
22
23
     Cavemen, have to have a number of shootings before they could
     be selected to the Cavemen?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: I've never heard that, sir.
```

```
In order for a prospect to become a member
          MR. PACHECO:
 1
 2.
     of the Cavemen, did he have to engage in a certain number of
 3
     fights with people on the street?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: "No, sir," you don't know, or "No, sir,"
 6
     that wasn't --
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Was there a fee or a tax in order to become
 8
     a Caveman member?
9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen in East LA gather at any
12
     time together as a group?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Excuse me?
14
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen ever gather as a group in
     East LA?
15
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
17
          MR. PACHECO: Did they engage in sports together?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: That's possible. We did have a softball
19
     team and a flag football team.
20
          MR. PACHECO: Are there any events that the Cavemen
21
     engaged in that you know about?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
23
          MR. PACHECO: Who came up with the name of the Cavemen?
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: Was it expected that the Cavemen would have
```

```
each other's backs in the Department, support each other?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Were the Cavemen loyal to each other?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe that's called (inaudible) deputy
 5
     sheriffs.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: But did the Cavemen have a special law where
 7
     they were especially loyal to one another?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Was it expected that the Cavemen would keep
 9
10
     secrets from the community?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen publish a roster about their
13
     membership anywhere?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: No. Not that I know of, sir.
15
          MR. PACHECO: On the sheriff's website, did it list the
16
     Cavemen as a recognized group in the Department?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
18
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen sometimes subscribe to the
19
     practices sometimes referred to as a Code of Silence?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
21
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen oppose civilian oversight?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe they existed back then.
23
          MR. PACHECO: When did you first get the tattoo again?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Probably 40 years ago.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: And you removed it ten years ago?
```

MR. MURAKAMI: I believe five. 1 2. MR. PACHECO: Five years. 3 COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) I just want to know. 4 Did any deputy at the East LA station back then (inaudible)? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible), sir. 6 MR. PACHECO: In terms of the Cavemen, do you know whether 7 they ever appeared in any public events? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: What do you mean by "appeared at public events, " sir? 9 MR. PACHECO: Were they ever known to the public, as far 10 11 as you know? 12 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 13 MR. PACHECO: What was the highest rank that you've had in 14 East LA? 15 MR. MURAKAMI: I was deputy sheriff, sir. MR. PACHECO: Did you have a rank beyond that in -- when 16 17 you were in East Los Angeles? 18 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 19 MR. PACHECO: At the time that you got the tattoo in East 20 Los Angeles, who was your supervisor? 21 MR. MURAKAMI: That, I couldn't recall, sir. MR. PACHECO: For what period of time -- during what years 22 23 were you in East Los Angeles? MR. MURAKAMI: I believe it was 1983 to 1987. 24 25 MR. PACHECO: And in that timeframe, 1983 to 1987, what

was your role? And by that, I mean did you serve on patrol? 1 2. What were your job functions in East Los Angeles from 1983 to 3 1987? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: I believe the most (inaudible) Gang 5 Suppression. 6 MR. PACHECO: So from 1983 to 1987, at the time when you 7 had a Cavemen gang tattoo on your ankle, you worked in Gang Suppression? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I want to refer to that. I kind of 9 object to the comment referring to my tattoo as a gang tattoo 10 11 as what I have, because it is not. 12 MR. PACHECO: I don't think I referred that way. 13 MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah, you did. 14 MR. PACHECO: I just asked you the question whether or 15 not, from 1983 to 1987, whether you worked on the Gang 16 Suppression, and if, at that time, you had a tattoo on your 17 ankle of a Caveman. 18 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) referred to it as a gang 19 tattoo, is what you called it; and it was not. 20 MR. PACHECO: The answer is --21 MR. MURAKAMI: I did have a tattoo when I was on the Gang 22 Unit. 23 MR. PACHECO: So I heard that in East Los Angeles there was a logo in the station of Fort Apache or something like 24 25 that. Do you remember that logo?

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: And I understand that that logo that you
     removed from the station when former Sheriff McDonnell was
 3
 4
     appointed -- or elected the Sheriff; is that correct?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: And then when Sheriff Villanueva took
 7
     office, the logo of Fort Apache was returned to the East LA
     station; is that correct?
 8
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
10
          MR. PACHECO: And it was -- where was it displayed?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not quite sure, sir.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Well, if you walk into the station, you can
13
     see it. So was it in the back with the deputies?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: It would be somewhere in the back.
15
          MR. PACHECO: And what did it signify, if you know?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, this is what I've been told:
17
     (Inaudible) that the saying Fort Apache was found at East LA
18
     (inaudible), and it's because the station was kind of up on a
19
     small hill. So somewhere, somehow some deputies called the
20
     station Fort Apache.
               And then the logo of the helmet and the boot had to
21
22
     do with something negative, calling the deputies people of low
23
     profile. So somebody put a helmet on a boot, you know,
     signifying that is a low profile.
24
25
               I mean, that's what I've been told, but I don't know
```

```
if it's true.
 1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: So did this Fort Apache logo, near it or on
 3
     it, have a helmet on a boot?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: And help me. What does that mean?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: What it means is it signifies having a low
 7
     profile.
          MR. PACHECO: A helmet is something that one wears in the
 8
     military?
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: No. It would be a sheriff's helmet, sir.
10
          MR. PACHECO: Sheriff's helmet.
11
12
               And does the -- let me ask you this: Is having such
13
     a logo in a police station, is it consistent with the
14
     fundamental principles of professional policing in your
15
     opinion?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I don't see a problem with it.
17
          MR. PACHECO: If you're a member of the community, you see
18
     a Fort Apache logo on your police station, are you concerned
19
     that maybe the members of the station view themselves as
20
     different than the community?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) station members.
22
          MR. PACHECO: How else can one take it?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: I mean, I can understand (inaudible) logo.
          MR. PACHECO: Who is responsible for putting the logo back
24
25
     up in Sheriff Villanueva's tenure?
```

MR. MURAKAMI: That, I'm not sure, sir. 1 MR. PACHECO: Let me go back to the Cavemen. 2. 3 Were the Cavemen senior deputies or younger deputies? 4 Do you know? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: As far as I know, it would've been a 6 mixture, but I couldn't tell you for sure. MR. PACHECO: Were any of the Cavemen at the rank of lieutenant or above? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir. 9 10 MR. PACHECO: Were any of the Cavemen at the rank of 11 captain or above? 12 MR. MURAKAMI: At the station? 13 MR. PACHECO: Correct. 14 MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir. 15 MR. PACHECO: Did Cavemen get better work shifts than sort 16 of non-subgroup member? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 18 MR. PACHECO: During 1983 to 1987, do you remember who the 19 scheduling deputy was in East Los Angeles? 20 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 21 MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen have a shot caller or a 22 leader? 23 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen get better promotions than 24 25 non-Cavemen subgroup members?

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 2
          MR. PACHECO: (Inaudible.)
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, none of (inaudible) being called a
 4
     leader. (Inaudible).
 5
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) called the leader?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 7
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen get better assignments or
     favoritism than non-Cavemen subgroup members?
8
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
9
10
          MR. PACHECO: How do you know?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: I was assuming that they had (inaudible).
12
          MR. PACHECO: Did you talk to the other Cavemen?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: So you got this tattoo, but you didn't speak
15
     to them frequently?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: What's the point in getting the tattoo?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: Again, it's involved with, basically,
19
     station pride and hard work. There was no, I guess -- I don't
     know if you call it a fellowship for how often we were getting
20
21
     together.
22
          MR. PACHECO: And there were no women in this group of
23
     Cavemen; correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I heard there was, but I couldn't confirm
24
25
     it.
```

```
MR. PACHECO: And there were no black members in the
 1
 2
     Cavemen; correct?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not that I know of, no.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: And there were no gay members of the
 5
     Cavemen; is that correct?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I wouldn't know. Some people prefer
 7
     not to reference their sexual orientation.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: So this is a group based on hard work and
     (inaudible)?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Correct me then.
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Hard work and, basically, it's station
13
     pride. But when I said I think there were no (inaudible).
14
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
15
               Were there black deputies in East Los Angeles?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: Why weren't they included as Cavemen?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: Wouldn't black deputies potentially have
20
     station pride in East Los Angeles?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Wouldn't black deputies be hardworking in
22
23
     East Los Angeles?
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). I don't know if there were
24
25
     any members that were black. That's what I said. There
```

could've been. I don't know. 1 2. MR. PACHECO: But you would agree that there were 3 hardworking, faithful members of the Department who worked in 4 Los Angeles who were black? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 6 MR. PACHECO: And you would agree that there were women 7 who worked in East Los Angeles who had pride in the station and were hardworking; is that true? 8 9 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 10 MR. PACHECO: And yet, those groups don't seem to be 11 represented in a group of Cavemen; is that correct? 12 MR. MURAKAMI: I believe they are, but I couldn't tell you 13 for sure. 14 MR. PACHECO: So as you sit here now, telling me under 15 oath, that you think that there were women and black people who 16 were members of the Cavemen? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 18 MR. PACHECO: As you sit here now, could you give me a 19 name of any woman or any black person who is a member of the 20 Cavemen? 21 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 22 MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen retaliate against any 23 non-gang members? MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). 24 25 MR. PACHECO: Did the Cavemen commit violence against any

```
non-gang members of the group?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: As undersheriff, you were responsible for
 4
     reviewing promotions and suspensions; is that correct?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Did you use your position to promote
 7
     subgroup members over non-subgroup members?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you use your position as undersheriff to
 9
     deny promotions to deputies who did not do what subgroup
10
     members wanted them to do?
11
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: Why would a young deputy want to become a
14
     Caveman, as far as you know?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Again, it would be, basically, being young,
15
16
     being honored with being able to recognize the Department
17
     (inaudible).
18
          MR. PACHECO: Do you envision or know about circumstances
19
     where senior deputies pressured younger deputies to join the
20
     Cavemen?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Did anyone pressure you to become a Caveman?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: You did it voluntarily?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
```

1	MR. PACHECO: And you did it at a local tattoo shop
2	accompanied by one to ten other deputies; is that right?
3	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
4	MR. PACHECO: Did you do it during work hours?
5	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
6	MR. PACHECO: Were you wearing your uniform when you went
7	into the tattoo shop to get your Caveman tattoo?
8	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
9	MR. PACHECO: In your job functions at East LA, as to your
10	attire, did you sometimes wear shorts?
11	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
12	MR. PACHECO: Was the Caveman tattoo ever visible when you
13	were out on patrol in your functions at East LA?
14	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
15	MR. PACHECO: Would you have wanted the community to see
16	your Caveman tattoo while you were on duty as a deputy sheriff?
17	MR. MURAKAMI: That is kind of an (inaudible) statement.
18	But as far as the policies are, you can't show any tattoos.
19	MR. PACHECO: I'm not sure why it's an (inaudible)
20	statement, so I'll try to rephrase the question.
21	As you were a deputy sheriff and you were in the
22	community, would you have wanted community members to have seen
23	you revealing your ankle tattoo of a Caveman?
24	MR. MURAKAMI: I would never do that, sir.
25	MR. PACHECO: Why?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: It's not for that purpose, to flaunt it.
 1
 2
     My tattoos are private.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Interesting choice of words. You said you
 4
     wouldn't want to flaunt the tattoo. Was the caveman tattoo a
 5
     badge of honor (inaudible)?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: You can call it a badge of honor, but,
 7
     again, it's something -- again, indicative of station pride and
     being recognized as a hardworking deputy.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: But you don't know who recognized you as a
 9
10
     hardworking guy, who opened up membership to you in this
11
     subgroup?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: When you say (inaudible), it's been over 40
13
     years; I don't recall anyone, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: Is this Caveman tattoo the only tattoo that
15
     you had on your body? Do you have other tattoos?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I do.
17
          MR. PACHECO: Any other -- what kind of tattoos?
18
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would object. This is
19
     (inaudible) deputy gangs.
20
          CHAIR KENNEDY: There is an objection to this (inaudible)
     that deal with the deputy gangs. The question is: "Do you
21
22
     have other tattoos?" We don't know what the tattoos signify,
23
     and so I would ask you to answer that question.
          MR. MURAKAMI: It has nothing to do with the station;
24
25
     that's (inaudible) in terms of any type of department
```

```
affiliation.
 1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: What are the other tattoos?
 3
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'd object. I think it's a privacy
 4
     issue.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: I'm not asking for the location of the
 6
     tattoos; I'm asking whether you have other tattoos.
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'll follow the advice of my counsel
     (inaudible). I'll admit that I do have a tattoo. It's a
 8
     private matter and doesn't have anything to do with the
9
10
     Sheriff's Department.
11
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, your counsel objected. He didn't
12
     tell you not to answer the question; so maybe he can clarify.
13
               Are you telling him not to answer?
14
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I am.
15
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
16
               We'll be back here again.
17
          MR. PACHECO: So the question that I have for you on this
18
     other tattoo, can you tell me the location on your body where
19
     that tattoo is located?
20
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My same objection -- ongoing
     objection (inaudible).
21
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'll follow advice of my counsel, sir.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Under the advice of your counsel, he's
     instructing you not to answer, just so the record is clear; is
24
25
     that correct?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 2
          CHAIR KENNEDY: And then the instruction is: "Don't
 3
     answer based on privacy"?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
 5
          CHAIR KENNEDY: (Inaudible) I just wanted to make sure we
 6
     have a record. The basis is privacy.
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. The basis is privacy.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: (Inaudible).
 8
          MR. PACHECO: So the record's clear, you acknowledge you
 9
10
     have another tattoo other than the Caveman tattoo; you won't
11
     tell us what it is; and you won't tell us where on your body
12
     it's located; is that correct, sir?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: In your time at East Los Angeles, were you a
15
     training deputy?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever provide training or guidance to
18
     deputies in the Department at any time when you were in the
19
     Department?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: In what capacity, sir?
21
          MR. PACHECO: In any capacity.
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: I have worked with younger deputies, yes.
23
          MR. PACHECO: And did you provide training to them?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not as a training officer.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: Were you a mentor to any of the deputies in
```

```
East Los Angeles or beyond?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: And did you expect younger deputies to learn
 4
     from you and from your experience as a deputy?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: And part of your job as a senior deputy was
 7
     to provide some guidance to the junior deputies; is that
 8
     correct?
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And did you ever train at the academy by any
10
11
     chance?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: And did you always want deputies, younger
14
     and even more senior, to adhere to the fundamental principles
15
     of professional policing while they were working with the
16
     Department?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
18
          MR. PACHECO: And part of that adherence to those
19
     principles is to deescalate certain situations when deputies
20
     intervene with the policy; is that correct?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And one of the goals is to preserve life if
22
23
     you're a deputy; it's that correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: So if there was a conflict situation, you
```

expected that they deescalate the situation so as to preserve 1 2. life as best could be achieved in that particular circumstance; 3 is that right? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: It wouldn't be to preserve life. It had to 5 do, basically, calling for positive -- trying to identify a 6 safe type of confrontation. MR. PACHECO: And also to save lives by minimizing confrontation; is that right? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: If you take it to the extreme, yes. 9 MR. PACHECO: I want to talk about some of these other 10 11 logos that we've learned about with this commission. Let's 12 focus your attention on one, the Grim Reapers. 13 Did you ever hear about the Grim Reaper logo and the 14 subgroup? 15 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 16 MR. PACHECO: And you've heard that they were in the 17 Lennox Station; is that correct? MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 18 19 MR. PACHECO: The Lennox Station no longer exists; is that 20 right? 21 MR. MURAKAMI: Correct, sir. 22 MR. PACHECO: And so we were talking about the fundamental 23 principles of professional policing. And you've seen the Grim Reaper logo; is that right? 24 25 MR. MURAKAMI: I could've, but I couldn't tell you for

```
1
     sure.
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: Right.
 3
               And it's a reaper; right? It's a menacing reaper
 4
     with some kind of sickle; is that right?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I couldn't tell you.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: And the Grim Reaper represents death; right?
 7
     Is that right?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And how would that symbol support the
 9
     fundamental principles of professional policing?
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe it wouldn't.
11
12
          MR. PACHECO: Well, if a menacing tattoo, and if a sheriff
13
     deputy approaches a member of the public and has a tattoo of a
14
     Grim Reaper theme, isn't the community member going to maybe be
     afraid of that?
15
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: So Grim Reaper is inconsistent with
18
     community policing, isn't it?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think the context you're putting it in as
     far as showing it to the community (inaudible). All tattoos
20
     are not shown (inaudible). But would they be considered to be
21
22
     a positive image? The answer would be no.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Let's think about the mentality of having a
     Grim Reaper tattoo on one's body.
24
25
               If you're a deputy and you have a Grim Reaper affixed
```

```
to your body, does that convey the mentality of community
1
 2.
     policing?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think your (inaudible) into First
 4
     Amendment rights. Because, ultimately, now tattoos are a lot
 5
     more popular amongst the younger people. So, again, the policy
 6
     we have is that they can't be shown to any of the public. They
 7
     need to be covered.
          MR. PACHECO: Let's turn to Exhibit 14.
 8
               Julia, if we can put that up on the screen.
 9
10
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
11
          MR. PACHECO: And that binder that's in front of you,
12
     Mr. Murakami, if you turn to Exhibit Number 14. In it, you'll
13
     see a Grim Reaper logo, Lennox and South LA stations.
14
               And do you see that one? Looks like it would be a
15
     patch that would be attached to a uniform, and do you see that
16
     the other seems to be a medallion that would hang as a
17
     necklace.
18
               Do you think any of -- do you remember seeing that
19
     patch?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
21
          MR. PACHECO: Would it surprise you if that patch had been
22
     attached to a sheriff's uniform?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: That would never happen, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: That would never happen?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
```

MR. PACHECO: And you believe that, why? 1 2. MR. MURAKAMI: Because a patch (inaudible). 3 MR. PACHECO: And how about the -- so but you don't know 4 whether or not anyone wore that patch on their uniform while in 5 the building; is that right? 6 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). MR. PACHECO: You don't know whether over time, anyone would wear this medallion or key chain at all, whether they 8 were in the state -- whether they were in the community as 9 deputies serving as deputies; is that correct? 10 11 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). 12 MR. PACHECO: Are you not, sir, passively conveying to 13 young deputies that they are not tasked to preserve life, that 14 they are in a war zone, and that they do not have to follow the fundamental principles of professional policing, if there are 15 16 subgroups out there like the Grim Reapers and other subgroups? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.) 18 MR. PACHECO: Sheriff Villanueva made some statements 19 during his testimony before this very body. I'd like to review 20 some of those statements with you. We can turn to Exhibit 4. 21 Do you have that number before you? It's an article 22 23 from Rolling Stone. Do you have that in front of you? 24 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 25 MR. PACHECO: And they recount that the Sheriff, under

```
oath, compared deputy gangs in the Sheriff's Department to
 1
 2.
     softball teams, and he admits that he never seriously
 3
     investigated them.
 4
               As you sit here now, under oath, do you think that
 5
     sheriff deputy gangs are the equivalent of a softball team?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure if I agree that that's what he
 7
     stated, but I think he's just trying to convey the fact that
     they were (inaudible).
 8
          MR. PACHECO: Well, sheriffs have badges and guns,
 9
     softball teams don't. You would agree to that; right?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible.)
12
          MR. PACHECO: There's fundamental differences, you would
13
     agree, between sheriff deputy gangs, cliques, exclusionary
14
     subgroups, and softball teams, wouldn't you?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe he was referring to the
15
16
     Department's softball team.
17
          MR. PACHECO: So the departmental softball teams are like
18
     sheriff deputy gangs (inaudible) exclusionary clubs?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe he tried to make it (inaudible)
20
     by the Department's softball team.
          MR. PACHECO: Sitting here today, does that comparison
21
22
     make any sense to you?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Explain that to me. How does it make sense
24
25
     to you to have that comparison?
```

```
MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). And I think he's trying to
 1
 2.
     (inaudible) on nefarious and (inaudible) a deputy subgroup.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: But as you sit here, you don't see any
 4
     problem comparing the Grim Reapers, Executioners, Banditos, or
 5
     any of these subgroups to softball teams in the Department?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: I understand how the statement somehow may
 7
     be taken out of context.
          MR. PACHECO: Put the statement aside. I'm just asking
 8
     you the question.
 9
               Do you see any problems with referring to sheriff
10
11
     deputy gangs, exclusionary subgroups, or cliques being compared
12
     to softball teams, sir?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe the way he's trying to convey the
14
     message, I think that's what he (inaudible) not show that they
15
     have a nefarious purpose.
16
          MR. PACHECO: As a member of the Department, did the
17
     softball teams play publicly?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: And did the sheriff deputy gangs ever
20
     announce themselves publicly, as far as you know?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: You keep using the term "gangs," and so
22
     I'll call it out again. If you call them subgroups
23
     (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: So that's a big difference; right? Between
24
25
     softball teams in the Sheriff's Department and these cliques or
```

```
exclusionary subgroups is that the subgroups operate
 1
     (inaudible)?
 2.
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know if (inaudible) would be the
 4
     right terminology.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: How about confidentially?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: People are pretty (inaudible) nonpublic, as
 7
     opposed to confidential.
          MR. PACHECO: Interesting choice of words. Nonpublic,
 8
     meaning only people in the Department (inaudible) public
 9
     speech. The (inaudible) Department didn't know about the
10
11
     subgroups; is that right?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
13
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think it's important that the public
14
     know about the existence of the subgroups in a robust way so
     that they can understand what's going on within the Department?
15
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think it's been (inaudible) clarify the
17
     nature.
          MR. PACHECO: I am not sure what you said, sir. Would you
18
19
     please repeat that part?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) the public right now in terms
21
     of the media and groups like this (inaudible) hard to clarify
22
     (inaudible) the public.
23
          MR. PACHECO: But you can do that (inaudible)?
               Tell us more about these groups so that the public
24
25
     can know about them. How many of these exclusionary subgroups
```

```
or cliques are there in the Department?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: How many members of subgroups -- these
 4
     exclusionary subgroups or cliques are in the Department?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Is it 5,000?
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Can you give us any estimate as to the scope
 8
     of the problem in terms of the number of subgroup members or
 9
10
     clique members in the Department?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, when you refer to "the problem,"
12
     (inaudible) the problem (inaudible) as far as the total of
13
     subgroups and everything, I couldn't tell you.
14
          MR. PACHECO: When Sheriff Villanueva testified before
15
     this body that if the Department was to get rid of sheriff
16
     deputy gangs, it would be a public safety issue of gargantuan
17
     proportions. And that's as reported in this Rolling Stones
18
     article:
19
               "In a revealing exchange, Villanueva declared that if
20
          LASD rooted out all deputies based on their deputy gang
21
          tattoo affiliations, it would spark a gargantuan public
22
          safety crisis."
23
               Do you agree with that statement? I'm not asking if
     you if you agree with this report; if you agree with that
24
25
     statement despite who made that statement or didn't make that
```

```
1
     statement.
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: It'd be hard to speculate (inaudible).
 3
          MR. PACHECO:
                        Is there any basis for that statement that
 4
     you know about as the former and second highest-ranking member
 5
     of the Department?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's just his personal opinion, sir,
 7
     (inaudible) as far as (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: But as you sit here today, you don't know
 8
     anyone to quantify the support of that statement, one way or
 9
     the other?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: There is a way to quantify, but it means a
12
     violation of their (inaudible).
13
          MR. PACHECO: In what way?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: You can't walk up to deputies and
15
     (inaudible).
16
          MR. PACHECO: Can you assume if they were gang members?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: When you use the terminology "gang member,"
18
     the answer would be no.
19
          MR. PACHECO: Can you go up to them and ask them if
20
     they're in compliance with Penal Code 13670?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, what happens then (inaudible)
22
     Oversight Commission has it, (inaudible) has it, (inaudible)
23
     has it. It involves looking into (inaudible). There was
     nothing (inaudible) about at the station. And a group of
24
25
     (inaudible) Internal Affairs on the entire station,
```

```
(inaudible). And it included (inaudible) that either had no
 1
 2
     personal knowledge or the (inaudible).
 3
          CHAIR KENNEDY: We don't have the copy of that. We have
 4
     been asking for almost a year, the Department, to have that to
 5
     us, and they haven't responded.
 6
               Stating that for the record.
               Go ahead.
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
 8
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: That's (inaudible), sir.
10
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: You've heard that?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
12
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) called the Banditos
13
     (inaudible) station?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
15
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
17
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: And are you saying that it would not
18
     be permitted if you asked a deputy sheriff whether or not they
19
     associated (inaudible)?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure as far as legally what we can
20
21
     or cannot do, but what was done by April Tardy as chief, he
22
     gave her direction to basically investigate people that they
23
     believed were members of the Banditos subgroup. And with the
     union's permission, we talked to each one, and then it involved
24
25
     transferring (inaudible) from the station.
```

```
COMMISSIONER BONNER: So as far as (inaudible)?
 1
 2
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think that he was trying to say the
 3
     investigation would be (inaudible) questions.
 4
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, let's say that (inaudible)?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: If you're referring to the (inaudible).
 6
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, let's (inaudible)?
          MR. MURAKAMI: At the time of that investigation, I'm not
     sure, because, again, because of the (inaudible). But now, I
 8
     believe under the current law (inaudible), I think you can.
 9
10
     (Inaudible).
11
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't (inaudible).
13
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) you've never heard
14
     (inaudible)?
          MR. MURAKAMI: It would be March of 2018.
15
16
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible)?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: December of 2017, I believe.
18
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: Okay. All right.
19
          MR. PACHECO: Thank you.
               So, Mr. Murakami, I think this is a interesting area
20
21
     to talk about the Banditos. And you had testified just moments
     ago that certain members of the Banditos were transferred out
22
23
     of the East LA station; is that correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe they were (inaudible).
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: When those individuals were transferred out
```

```
of East LA station, where did they go?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: They went to different assignments; I
 3
     (inaudible).
 4
          MR. PACHECO: So they were moved to other assignments in
 5
     the same department of the Sheriff's Department; is that
 6
     correct?
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Let's talk a little bit about Kennedy Hall.
 8
               Do you know about an event that happened at Kennedy
 9
     Hall on September 28th, 2018?
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: I do.
11
12
          MR. PACHECO: Did you participate in investigating the
13
     events at Kennedy Hall at all?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
15
          MR. PACHECO: How did you hear about the events at Kennedy
16
     Hall?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: Probably from the Sheriff.
18
          MR. PACHECO: In 2018, what was your rank in the
19
     Department?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Eventually, just a sheriff deputy and the
     undersheriff.
21
22
          MR. PACHECO: And (inaudible) in the Sheriff's Department
23
     in 2018; is that correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think there was any legitimate reason
```

```
why ICIB did not investigate the motive behind the assault
 1
 2.
     which occurred in Kennedy Hall, September 28th, 2018?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe they did, sir.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: Did they investigate the motive for the
 5
     assault?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe they did, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And did that investigation point you to
     exclusionary subgroups like the Banditos at all?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
 9
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think that was a full and wholesome
10
11
     investigation into the events at Kennedy Hall?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
13
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think that was a full and wholesome
     investigation into the existence of the Banditos in East LA who
14
15
     had a role in the Kennedy Hall assault?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not quite sure what you're (inaudible).
17
          MR. PACHECO: The Banditos were not investigated as a
     result of the Kennedy Hall matter; correct?
18
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
20
          MR. PACHECO: And the Banditos had a hand in what happened
21
     in the Kennedy Hall matter; correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe there were people that had a
22
23
     tattoo that were involved in a fight, yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: But despite that opportunity, the Sheriff's
24
25
     Department did not investigate the Bandito subgroup?
```

```
MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you instruct Chief Burson not to
 3
     investigate the Bandito gang connection regarding the violence
 4
     at Kennedy Hall?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, I didn't, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: I'm going to ask that we turn to Exhibit 12.
 7
               And, sir, I'm going to ask that you turn to the fifth
     page of Exhibit 12, which is up on the screen.
8
               And if you can make that bigger. Thank you. There
 9
10
     we go.
11
               Sir, I want -- are you there?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
14
               I want you to -- do you recognize this particular
15
     document?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe I've seen it a couple times
17
     before.
18
          MR. PACHECO: And these are the investigator's notes from
19
     Investigator Chow?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
21
          MR. PACHECO: Investigator Chow was the investigator who
22
     looked at the Kennedy Hall incident; is that right?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And there's an entry on November 27, 2018.
24
25
     Do you see that at "1300 hours"?
```

1	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes.
2	MR. PACHECO: And you see the language:
3	"Cancel interviews due to Captain/Chief Burson. He
4	wanted to make sure I did not have to ask questions about
5	subculture groups at East LA station."
6	Do you see that language? or "ELA" Do you see
7	that?
8	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
9	MR. PACHECO: Did you direct Chief Burson to not ask
10	questions about subculture groups at the East LA station in
11	connection with the Kennedy Hall investigation?
12	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
13	MR. PACHECO: Do you know whether anybody gave direction
14	to Chief Burson not to investigate the subculture groups in
15	East Los Angeles station?
16	MR. MURAKAMI: Not to my knowledge, sir.
17	MR. PACHECO: Do you know who instructed Chief Burson to
18	instruct Inspector Chow not to investigate subgroups in the
19	East LA station?
20	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
21	MR. PACHECO: Do you know any reason why a competent
22	investigator wouldn't look at the subculture groups at East LA
23	station if those groups were involved in the Kennedy Hall
24	assault?
25	MR. MURAKAMI: Number one, it involves a case that spanned

```
that question whether or not certain (inaudible).
 1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: Well, if the Banditos are involved in the
 3
     assault that happened at Kennedy Hall, how can the Department
 4
     not look at the subgroup from which they were a member?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) as far as (inaudible).
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Do you actually remember when I asked you
 7
              "Did anyone look at the motivation for what happened
     before:
     in the East Los -- " (inaudible) --
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) was concluded as a drunken
 9
     brawl between deputies. (Inaudible) the Banditos being
10
11
     (inaudible).
12
          MR. PACHECO: There were members who participated in the
     brawl who had the Banditos tattoo; correct?
13
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, sir.
15
          MR. PACHECO: But no one asked questions about the
16
     Banditos as a subgroup to determine whether that was the motive
     for the assault that occurred in Kennedy Hall; correct?
17
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: Have you reviewed the reports with regard to
20
     Kennedy Hall and its investigation?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: I could've, but I can' t recall, sir.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Would it surprise you that of the
23
     approximately 73 witnesses who were identified by ICIB, that
     only one was questioned about the Banditos? Would that
24
25
     surprise you?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 2
          MR. PACHECO: Would it surprise you to learn that seven of
 3
     the interviewees used the term "gang" or "Bandito," and of
 4
     those seven, ICIB asked only two of the interviewees follow-up
 5
     questions about the Banditos? Would that surprise you?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
          MR. PACHECO: Would that cause you to have concern about
     the fullness and completeness of this investigation of Kennedy
 8
     Hall?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
11
          MR. PACHECO: As far as you know, based on the exhibit
12
     that I put up on the screen, do you think there was a Code of
13
     Silence to keep this information from the public about the
14
     Banditos?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so, sir.
16
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think there was an effort by the
17
     Department not to reveal the existence of the Banditos and
18
     their potential role, if not direct role, in this fight that
19
     happened in Kennedy Hall?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so, sir.
21
          MR. PACHECO: Judge Bonner asked you about the
     Executioners' investigation at the Compton station.
22
23
               Did you participate in that investigation?
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: When did that investigation occur?
```

```
MR. MURAKAMI: Probably, (inaudible).
 1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: (Inaudible) participated in that
 3
     investigation?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: It would be the (inaudible). Those are
 5
     Internal Affairs that (inaudible) investigate (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Was this under Sheriff Villanueva's tenure
 6
 7
     in the Department?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And were any deputies fired as a result of
 9
     that investigation?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so, sir.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Were any deputies transferred as a result of
13
     that investigation?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not as a result of the investigation.
          MR. PACHECO: Now, the focus was whether some of the
15
16
     deputies were Executioners or not; is that correct?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
18
          MR. PACHECO: What was the focus in the investigation?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe it was about the (inaudible), and
     some other person that alleged that (inaudible) they called the
20
     Executioners to find out if (inaudible) deputy was. And that'
21
22
     s why they (inaudible) the entire station.
23
          MR. PACHECO: So was the point in the investigation to
     determine whether there, in fact, was a subgroup and
24
25
     (inaudible) Executioners?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: No. (Inaudible).
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
 3
               There wasn't an effort to determine if there was a
 4
     exclusionary subgroup or clique in the Compton station. It was
 5
     really to look at a more limited scope of what the actual
 6
     misconduct the particular deputy --
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I think you're (inaudible).
     (Inaudible). As far as Compton South, again, I gave direction
 8
     to April Tardy who had permission (inaudible) that had a tattoo
 9
     were (inaudible) station (inaudible).
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: Where'd they go?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: New assignments.
13
          MR. PACHECO: All over the Department?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
15
          MR. PACHECO: So people with an Executioner tattoo were
16
     just reassigned? That was what was imposed to resolve this
17
     investigation.
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: That wasn't part of the investigation, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
               But as a result of the investigation, what happened
20
21
     is certain deputies were transferred out but no deputies were
22
     terminated?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, it's -- the point of our asking is
     the investigation doesn't deal with transfers. That was their
24
25
     investigation. As far as the terminations, there was nothing
```

to take action because there was no misconduct. So it came to 1 2. a point involving East LA and Compton (inaudible) deputies now 3 because they're associated with having a tattoo (inaudible). 4 MR. PACHECO: I'm going to go back to what's up on the 5 screen as Exhibit 12. 6 COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) it talks to every deputy 7 at the Compton station. And no deputy knew of the existence of any other deputy who was (inaudible) Executioner? I just want 8 to make sure that's the depth of it. 9 MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so. I believe -- I don't know if 10 11 they were (inaudible) or what the line of questioning was, but 12 the way it appeared to me that it will stop some deputies 13 (inaudible) and the (inaudible). So I guess the conclusion was 14 April Tardy concluded (inaudible). 15 COMMISSIONER BONNER: So, I mean, the -- the investigation 16 (inaudible) that there was no deputies there that -- who was 17 associated with the Executioners? I'm just trying to 18 (inaudible). There were people with an Executioners tattoo 19 allowed to, essentially, transfer within the Sheriff's Department. I'm trying to reconcile that investigation showed 20 21 nothing, but yet, apparently after the investigation, there 22 were a bunch of people that were transferred. 23 First of all, let me ask you first: How many people transferred out of the Compton station (inaudible) Executioners 24 25 tattoo?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 1
 2.
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: To the best of your knowledge.
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah. (Inaudible). We never actually
 4
     (inaudible) a tattoo (inaudible). We just believed that they
 5
     did have tattoos because they were never there (inaudible).
 6
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: I didn't say they were.
 7
               But my question is (inaudible) approximately how many
     deputies (inaudible) out of the Compton station because they
 8
 9
     might have had a Executioners tattoo?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe maybe a dozen or so.
10
11
     (Inaudible).
12
          COMMISSIONER BONNER: (Inaudible) I'm not going to ask you
     questions (inaudible).
13
14
               Go ahead.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Thank you.
16
               Do you know Lieutenant Waldie?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: What do you mean? I know who he is, yes.
18
          MR. PACHECO: And you know that he was assigned to
19
     Compton?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
21
          MR. PACHECO: You know that he testified before this
22
     commission?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And do you know that he testified that he
24
25
     was a Gladiator in Compton?
```

MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 1 2. MR. PACHECO: And do you know that he testified that 3 Executioners existed in Compton? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 5 MR. PACHECO: Do you know whether this investigation interviewed him to talk about the existence of Executioner 6 7 members in Compton? MR. MURAKAMI: That, I (indiscernible). 8 MR. PACHECO: I want to turn to Exhibit 5 in your book. 9 This I will represent to you is a photograph of a leg tattoo on 10 11 a sheriff deputy of the Executioners logo. Have you ever seen 12 that subgroup logo before? 13 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I have. 14 MR. PACHECO: And is that an Executioner logo? MR. MURAKAMI: According to the newspapers. I haven't 15 16 seen it on an actual person. 17 MR. PACHECO: Okay. 18 So the only way you know that this is an Executioners 19 logo is because you read it in the newspaper? 20 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 21 MR. PACHECO: Do you know what deputy's leg that is, by 22 any chance? 23 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. MR. PACHECO: Would it surprise you if it was 24 25 Deputy Aldama?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: It could be him. (Inaudible). Yeah.
1
                                                                 Ιt
 2.
     could have been him.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: And is that a fairly menacing tattoo?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: I guess I would say it is.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: Has fire and a skeletal figure; is that
 6
     right? Is that what that is?
 7
               Or what is that? Can you tell? It's fire --
     right? -- there's flames. Is that a helmet that that
 8
     (inaudible) or whatever (inaudible)?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
11
          MR. PACHECO: But you never seen that before in all of
12
     your time in the Department?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: I've seen it through the pictures on
14
     (inaudible).
15
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
16
               Do you know Jaime Juarez?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
18
          MR. PACHECO: You don't know if whether or not he was a
19
     deputy then?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: I know the name, sir.
21
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know that he also testified in
22
     litigation that he was an Executioner?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know that he had on his truck --
24
25
     we've seen other evidence in front of this commission of that
```

```
figure on a flag, that Executioner, on his truck?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Did anyone in the investigation at Compton
 4
     interview Jaime Juarez?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Did you know whether or not Jaime Juarez was
 7
     a scheduling deputy in Compton during this investigation or the
     time of the report?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's hard to know. There was, at some
 9
     point in time, he was a scheduling deputy, yes.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: And as a scheduler, he had the ability to
12
     assign shift work for certain deputies; is that correct?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes and no.
14
          MR. PACHECO: Why yes and no? I mean, he was the
15
     scheduling deputy; right?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: But there's a chain of command (inaudible).
17
          MR. PACHECO: So he has an important voice though in what
18
     shift work certain deputies get; correct?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And if he was, as he's testified, a member
20
     of the Executioners, he could favor that subgroup over
21
22
     non-subgroup members in connection with their shift work;
23
     correct?
24
          MR. MURAKAMI: In theory, yes.
25
          MR. PACHECO: I want to go back to Exhibit 11. Actually,
```

not back. Let's go to Exhibit 11. 1 2 This is testimony in a case by -- it says, "case of 3 Waldie versus County of Los Angeles," but it is testimony that 4 I want to read to you by one of the Department's deputies. 5 Question: "Is there a voting process for determining 6 who gets to put that tattoo on their body? Jaime Juarez' response: "I wouldn't consider it a voting process, no. 8 "What is the deliberative process for who gets that 9 10 tattoo? 11 "So the deputies that work patrol, these are the 12 deputies that are assigned to patrol, they would 13 roundtable. Let's say, if they see a deputy that's 14 working the field that, you know, is out there doing --15 creating arrests, out there serving the public with honor 16 and respect, conducting ethical, proactive police work, 17 that's a leader at the station who mentors younger 18 deputies and treats them both, you know, the public and 19 other department members with respect. Those are the 20 deputies that they would consider to be -- to offer them that tattoo." 21 So is that how you came about your Caveman tattoo? 22 23 Was that the same deliberative roundtable process that you --24 do you know? 25 MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.

```
MR. PACHECO: Does it surprise you that Jaime Juarez, when
 1
 2.
     he testified that way about the Executioner tattoo that he
 3
     received?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: I have no knowledge (inaudible).
 5
          MR. PACHECO: But some of this testimony overlaps with
 6
     some of your own; it's hardworking, good deputies that that's
 7
     how they're selected or come to a conclusion on (inaudible) how
 8
     they get a tattoo.
          MR. MURAKAMI: You keep using the term "deputy gang,"
 9
     (inaudible) term, I won't respond to it.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: So are you just refusing to answer the
12
     question?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: If you keep referring to deputy gangs.
14
          MR. PACHECO: (Inaudible). Let's make it even.
15
               How about consistent with Penal Code 13670, were
16
     there any -- what was the deliberative process, as far as you
17
     know, for selecting for people who get a tattoo? Did it
18
     involve this roundtable process that is referenced here in this
19
     testimony?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not to my knowledge, sir.
21
          MR. PACHECO: To get a tattoo, was there a voting process
22
     before somebody could get a tattoo?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I wouldn't know, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: What would happen if someone got a tattoo
24
25
     and it hadn't been voted upon?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you know of any deputies who had
 3
     unauthorized tattoos?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
 5
          MR. PACHECO: The testimony continues:
 6
               "Who is 'they'? Who are the 'they' that consider
          whether or not that deputy will get the tattoo?
                        "The deputies that have the tattoo that
               Answer:
 8
          still work Compton patrol.
 9
               "Is that voting done in person or via phone?
10
11
               "(Inaudible) that I'm aware of were in person."
12
               So that talks about a fairly deliberative process.
13
     You don't remember any of that when you got your tattoo;
14
     correct?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible), sir.
16
          MR. PACHECO: Was getting a tattoo a big deal to you at
     the time?
17
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: It's a memorable event; correct?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: I want to focus back on the exhibit that we
21
22
     had up before, Exhibit 12, please. If we can go back to the
23
     entry on 11/27/2018 at 1300 hours.
               I believe in connection with that entry you said that
24
25
     you did not speak with Captain -- or Chief Burson; is that
```

```
1
     correct?
 2
          MR. MURAKAMI: Correct.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever speak with Chief Burson about
 4
     his elevation to chief from captain?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: On his promotion, yes.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: And did you recommend to the former sheriff
 7
     that Chief Burson be elevated?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And did you talk to former
 9
     Sheriff Villanueva about that promotion in person?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Other than the two of you, was anyone else
13
     present?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't recall.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Do you remember what was said?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And Chief Burson testified before this body
17
18
     that he was promoted one day before he received the order to
19
     not investigate gangs in the Kennedy Hall investigation. Do
20
     you know that that (inaudible) testimony?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: I am aware of that, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Would it surprise you that that was his
22
23
     testimony that he was promoted one day before he received the
     order to not investigate gangs, law enforcement (inaudible)?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, it would, sir.
```

```
MR. PACHECO: Do you understand how the community might be
 1
 2
     suspicious of the planning of Chief Burson's elevation and
 3
     direction to not investigate sheriff deputy gang groups?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: Possibly, yes.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: I want to take us back to East Los Angeles.
 6
     Are you familiar with the gang by the name of the Little Red
 7
     Devils?
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 8
          MR. PACHECO: Were they a exclusionary "subclique"?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: Hard to know, sir.
11
          MR. PACHECO: And did they precede the Cavemen, or did
12
     they come after?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: They preceded, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: And do you know who would've seated the
15
     Little Red Devils?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure. Maybe (inaudible).
17
          MR. PACHECO: So it's the Little Red Devils, the Cavemen,
18
     and then the Banditos in terms of order in East Los Angeles; is
19
     that right?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
21
          MR. PACHECO: And were any sheriff's deputies members of
22
     both the Little Red Devils, the Banditos, and the Caveman all
23
     at the same time?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe it's possible.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: And is it that it's not possible because the
```

```
different gangs timed out after a certain period of time?
1
 2.
     Like, those Little Red Devils would go for a certain period of
 3
     time, and then other (inaudible) would come along; is that how
 4
     that worked?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) transition to different groups.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
 7
               Do you know how big the Little Red Devils were?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And do you know the name of any one Little
 9
     Red Devil member?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know the name of any one Bandito
13
     member?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
15
          MR. PACHECO: You never got a tattoo with the Little Red
16
     Devils or the Banditos?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
18
          MR. PACHECO: Were you ever a member of the subgroup
19
     Little Red Devils or the Banditos?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Was I ever a member of that group?
21
          MR. PACHECO: Yes.
22
               So just so we're clear. You weren't a member of the
23
     Little Red Devils or the Banditos?
24
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
25
          MR. PACHECO: How big were the Little Red Devils in terms
```

```
of membership?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I couldn't speculate.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: How big were the Banditos in terms of
 4
     membership?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Would it surprise you if it was approaching
 7
     60 members?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not quite sure.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: Are any members of the Little Red Devils
 9
10
     still in the Department, generally?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
11
12
          MR. PACHECO: Are any members of the Banditos still in the
13
     Department?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Who are they?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: You don't know, or you won't say?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: Are there any members of the Caveman
20
     subgroup that are still in the Department?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Did former Sheriff Villanueva know whether
23
     or not you were a member of the subgroup the Cavemen when he
     elevated you to assistant chief?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
```

MR. PACHECO: Did you ever talk with him about it? 1 2 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 3 MR. PACHECO: And when Mr. Villanueva elevated you to 4 undersheriff, do you know whether he knew whether you had been 5 a member of the subgroup the Cavemen? 6 MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir. 7 MR. PACHECO: And you don't recall him asking about your 8 membership in the Cavemen? MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 9 10 MR. PACHECO: Before you promoted any deputy or suspended 11 any deputy, did you ask them whether they were a member of any 12 exclusionary subgroup or clique? 13 MR. MURAKAMI: That wouldn't be my role, sir. 14 MR. PACHECO: Okay. 15 MR. MURAKAMI: That wouldn't be my role. 16 MR. PACHECO: So the answer is no? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). MR. PACHECO: But you never asked a deputy sheriff whether 18 19 they were a member of an exclusionary subgroup or clique? 20 MR. MURAKAMI: That is not my role, sir. MR. PACHECO: So the answer is no? 21 22 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). 23 MR. PACHECO: Let's shift our attention to the time that you were City of Industry. 24 25 How long were you in Industry?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: I believe (inaudible) in different ranks.
 1
 2
          MR. PACHECO: Huh?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Maybe 20 years through different ranks.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: Twenty years.
 5
               What was your highest rank in the City of Industry?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Station captain.
          MR. PACHECO: Station captain, the equivalent of a, like,
 7
     a watch commander (inaudible)?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) station.
 9
10
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
               So what is the role of the station commander?
11
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Essentially, you're supposed to be like the
13
     chief of police for (inaudible) areas and contract cities.
14
          MR. PACHECO: So your title was station captain of
15
     Industry? That was your highest rank?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: At the station?
17
          MR. PACHECO: Yes.
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
               Who did you report to?
20
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: It would be -- they changed over
22
     (inaudible) Chief Terracaro (phonetic), Commander Tescroggin
23
     (phonetic), and (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: At the time you were at City of Industry,
24
25
     did you ever investigate the existence of exclusionary
```

```
subgroups or cliques at the Industry station?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO:
                        In your many years in the City of Industry
 4
     and at that station, did you ever hear of a subgroup by the
 5
     name of the Industry Indians?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: As you sit here now, do you know who is a
 8
     member of the Industry Indians?
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
10
          MR. PACHECO: Can you give us a single name of any member
11
     of the Industry Indians?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: And is that because you refuse, or you don't
14
     know the name of any member of the Industry Indians?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know their names, sir.
16
          MR. PACHECO: Were you a member of the Industry Indians?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
18
          MR. PACHECO: Did you interact, as far as you know, with
19
     any member of the Industry Indians?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Did the Industry Indians have a tattoo?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
22
23
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever see the tattoo?
24
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
25
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know what the tattoo was?
```

MR. MURAKAMI: As far as I know (inaudible). 1 2 MR. PACHECO: Had you seen a deputy bearing that tattoo on 3 his hands, face, neck, or navel, what would you have done? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: Nothing, sir. 5 MR. PACHECO: You know how long the Industry Indians 6 existed at the Industry station? MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. MR. PACHECO: Do you know whether the Industry Indians 8 still exist at the Industry station? 9 10 MR. MURAKAMI: As far as I know, yes, sir. 11 MR. PACHECO: That they continue to exist at the Industry 12 station. 13 MR. MURAKAMI: As far as I know, sir. 14 MR. PACHECO: How many members are there in this subgroup, the Industry Indians? 15 16 MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir. 17 MR. PACHECO: Recently there was some press about the Industry Indians. Have you seen that press? 18 19 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. MR. PACHECO: Are you aware that there was a confrontation 20 21 between some individuals, deputies who've had tattoos of 22 Industry Indians and members of the community? Are you aware 23 of that? MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 24 25 MR. PACHECO: And you're aware that there was a violent

```
incident in February of 2022 with off-duty deputies from
1
 2.
     Industry?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Are you referring to the second incident?
 4
          MR. PACHECO: Yes.
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: And those off-duty deputies were involved in
 7
     an altercation at the Montclair Bowling Alley; is that right?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: And were you aware of the incident in 2022?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
11
          MR. PACHECO: When did you first become aware of the
12
     incident?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: In the newspaper, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: No one in the Department told you about this
15
     incident until you read it in the newspaper?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: (Inaudible)?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: What happens at the (inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: Who would been tasked to investigate that
20
     Industry Indians altercation?
          MR. MURAKAMI: More than likely, it'd either be
21
22
     (inaudible) or Internal Affairs if anyone was told to
23
     investigate it.
          MR. PACHECO: Are you aware that one of the deputies
24
25
     flashed a handgun at teenagers?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: In the newspaper, sir.
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: Are you aware in the newspaper that the
 3
     group of deputies had been drinking?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: According to the news media, yes, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: When you read that article, did you decide
 6
     to learn more about the event?
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: So the first that you learned about it is
 8
     once you were retired?
9
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: And did you read in the newspaper that the
12
     allegation is that a deputy punched a teenager in the face?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: And you're aware in the press that the
15
     deputy and sergeant had tattoos linked to that Industry Indians
16
     subgroup?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: According to the media, sir?
18
          MR. PACHECO: Correct.
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
20
          MR. PACHECO: And you don't know those particular
21
     deputies?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) online.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know whether or not those deputies,
     in fact, had Industry Indians tattoos?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
```

MR. PACHECO: Were those deputies hardworking deputies? 1 2. MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so. 3 MR. PACHECO: Are you aware of testimony by 4 Chief of Staff Larry Del Mese before this commission where he 5 testified that he had been a member of the Grim Reapers, and he 6 removed his tattoo from his ankle? 7 MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, sir, yes. 8 MR. PACHECO: Do you know any single person who is a Grim Reaper? 9 10 MR. MURAKAMI: According to what you're saying, Larry Del 11 Mese, so yes, sir. 12 MR. PACHECO: Other than Chief of Staff Larry Del Mese, do 13 you know the name of any other single Grim Reaper now? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 15 MR. PACHECO: Let's go to Exhibit 6. 16 Have you ever heard of a subgroup by the name of the 17 Jump Out Boys? 18 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 19 MR. PACHECO: Do you know is that an exclusionary 20 subgroup? 21 MR. MURAKAMI: As far as I know, sir, yes. 22 MR. PACHECO: And is Exhibit 6, in front of you and also 23 on the screen, the logo for the Jump Out Boys? MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir. 24 25 MR. PACHECO: You know where they were located?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: From what I was told, they were members of
 1
 2
     the Gang Enforcement Team.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: In what station?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe it's countywide.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: Countywide?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 7
          MR. PACHECO: And do you find that logo particularly
 8
     menacing with a skeleton pointing a gun?
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know what the significance of the two
10
11
     cards are?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: I'm not a poker player, but is that the dead
14
     man's hand?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
16
          MR. PACHECO: What does OSS stand for?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: Operation Safe Streets.
18
          MR. PACHECO: Operation Safe Streets?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
20
          MR. PACHECO: Would that be a logo consistent with the
21
     fundamental policies of professional policing?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: The logo, no, sir.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Want to turn your attention to an event that
     happened in 2015, the off-duty shooting of a
24
25
     Deputy Travis Jonsen. Did you have any involvement with that
```

```
at all?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Did you investigate or oversee the
 4
     investigation with regards to those events at all?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Where did it occur?
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Kern County.
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Have you learned about this or heard about
 8
     this in the media about the off-duty shooting in 2015, Deputy
 9
     Travis Jonsen at all, in the media or otherwise? Did you hear
10
     about that?
11
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
13
          MR. PACHECO: You didn't hear about it?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
16
               Before I put up the next exhibit, if anybody's
17
     particularly squeamish, turn away. I guess you would consider
18
     this a trigger warning.
19
               If you can look at this Exhibit 7, please. We'll get
20
     it up on the screen.
21
               Have you ever seen this particular photo before?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know what this photo is?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe it's a (inaudible).
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: (Inaudible). This was in the newspaper, as
```

```
far as you remember?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: And this is Deputy Jonsen's ankle, and looks
 3
 4
     like a qunshot wound to his tattoo; is that correct?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know weather this was investigated by
 7
     the Department, either IAB or ICIB?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know. It should've been, yes, sir.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: And do you know whether or not the tattoo
 9
     was shot because it was an unauthorized tattoo?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I couldn't say, sir.
12
          MR. PACHECO: We can take that down off the screen.
13
               Was there a exclusionary subgroup in the county's
14
     jail system called the 2000 Boys?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: According to news reports, yes.
16
          MR. PACHECO: As far as you know, you don't have any other
17
     information other than what you read in the newspaper about the
18
     2000 Boys?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
20
          MR. PACHECO: How about the 3000 Boys? Were they a
21
     subgroup in the county's jail system, and do you know anything
22
     about them other than what you read in the newspapers?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. Just read it in the newspapers.
24
          MR. PACHECO: Once you read about them in the newspapers,
25
     did that trigger any inquiry in your mind to investigate the
```

```
2000 or 3000 Boys?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Can you say that again, sir?
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Sure. Fair enough.
 4
               Did you ever investigate the 2000 Boys, whether they
 5
     actually existed?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever investigate whether the 3000
 7
 8
     Boys actually existed?
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. (Inaudible) I believe (inaudible)
 9
     administration. So it happened before we came into office.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
12
               But did you ever investigate the jails to determine
13
     whether they had any active exclusionary subgroups or cliques?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you and Sheriff Villanueva ever make a
15
16
     conscious decision not to inform the public about the existence
17
     of cliques or exclusionary subgroups in the Department?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: At any time during your tenure as the
20
     undersheriff, did you initiate an investigation into the
21
     existence of subgroups or cliques in the Department?
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) in the IA interviews at Compton
22
23
     station.
          MR. PACHECO: The Internal Affairs of the Compton station
24
25
     was the closest. But that, I believe you testified before,
```

```
wasn't connected (inaudible) to the existence of subgroups in
 1
 2.
     Compton; am I wrong about that?
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was in terms of finding out the
 3
 4
     existence of the group and then (inaudible).
 5
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
 6
               But other than that, there was no other investigation
 7
     that you initiated into the existence of subgroups or cliques
     in the Department?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible), sir.
 9
          MR. PACHECO: And anytime during your tenure as the
10
11
     undersheriff, you never investigated to find out the number of
12
     people involved in exclusionary subgroups or cliques?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: When I say "you," I mean the Department.
                                                                   Is
15
     that clear?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: That's clear, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: And at any time in your tenure as the
18
     undersheriff, you never investigated the impacts on the
19
     community that exclusionary subgroups or cliques have on the
20
     Department?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so, sir.
22
          MR. PACHECO: At any time during your tenure as the
23
     undersheriff, did you facilitate the Office of Inspector
     General's investigation into the existence or membership of
24
25
     subgroups, cliques?
```

```
We provided information to them, but I'm
          MR. MURAKAMI:
1
 2
     not sure what you mean by "facilitate."
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Well, at any time during your tenure in the
 4
     Department, did you obstruct or hinder the inspector general's
 5
     investigation into exclusionary subgroups or cliques?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Can you -- do you need a break?
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 8
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Mr. Pacheco, would this be a good time to
 9
     take a quick -- short break?
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: Let's take a break.
12
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
13
               So why don't we take a 15-minute break.
14
                     (A 15-minute recess was taken.)
15
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Can we get started?
16
               I guess Mr. Bonner had to leave, but I think the rest
17
     of us are here.
18
               (Inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: Thank you.
20
               Sir, do you understand that you're still under oath?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
22
          MR. PACHECO: I'm going to ask you turn to
23
     Exhibit Number 8, and that's up on the screen, in part. And I
     will represent to you -- but I want you to look at it -- that
24
     it is a letter from the Office of Inspector General to the
25
```

```
former sheriff, dated January 19, 2022, requesting detailed
 1
 2
     information about, in their language, deputy gangs. Do you see
 3
     that letter?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: Do you remember receiving that letter?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 7
          MR. PACHECO: Do you acknowledge that that letter is
     seeking information about deputy gangs?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 9
          MR. PACHECO: Do you acknowledge that that letter is
10
11
     seeking information about deputy gangs?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
13
          MR. PACHECO: And we're going to turn to Exhibit Number 9.
14
               You can turn to that in the binder, and then it'll
15
     be, in part, on the screen. It's a letter from you, dated
16
     February 10, 2022, to Max Huntsman, the inspector general. Do
17
     you see that letter?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
19
          MR. PACHECO: And do you see that it's in response to the
20
     January 19, 2022 letter?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
21
          MR. PACHECO: And do you see that it's a letter affixing
22
23
     on page 2, your signature: Timothy K. Murakami?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: And it's signed in your role as
```

```
undersheriff.
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: And in that -- does that help you remember
 4
     the January 19 request?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Do you remember this letter? The February
     10, 2022 letter.
 7
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Do you remember refusing to provide
 9
     information to the Office of Inspector General with regard to
10
11
     deputy gangs?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe -- well, I think all the
13
     information that he requested had already been provided.
14
          MR. PACHECO: So the way you read your February 10 letter
15
     was that you had already provided the information so you
16
     weren't going to provide it again?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, but I couldn't tell you
18
     (inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: And then I'm going to ask you to turn to
20
     Exhibit Number 10 in your binder. We'll put that on our own
21
     screen. And I will represent to you that Exhibit 10 is a
22
     letter dated March 21st, 2022, from the Office of Inspector
23
     General. It is signed by the inspector general, Max Huntsman,
     requesting the same information being requested in January of
24
25
     that year, to which the Department responded in your letter
```

that they would not provide. 1 2 And it also addresses on page 4 that they have not 3 received the information that you -- that the Department said 4 it had already produced. In fact, in the second line on page 4 5 of the second paragraph, it says -- Well, the first line: 6 "Undersheriff Murakami's letter contends that the OIG is requesting records it already possesses or has viewed. This is inaccurate because OIG is requesting many records 8 that it does not have. Moreover, the Sheriff's Department 9 is required to produce all records related to OIG's 10 11 Section 13670 investigation, including references provided 12 previously so OIG can ensure that production is complete 13 and that there is a clear trail of documents and 14 information produced to the Department pertaining to this investigation." 15 So, sir, do you contend that the Department provided 16 17 the materials despite the OIG's March 21 correspondence? 18 MR. MURAKAMI: I believe we did. Yes, sir. 19 MR. PACHECO: So in response to this March 21st letter, the Department did not provide any additional materials to the 20 OIG; is that correct? 21 MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so, sir. 22 MR. PACHECO: Was this a instance where the Department 23 refused to provide materials to the OIG in its investigation of 24 25 deputy gangs?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I believe that he was provided
 1
 2.
     information. I'm not sure it goes to the extent that he is
 3
     requesting. But I know that I believe it was (inaudible). I
 4
     think we had to get it back and try and (inaudible) and OIG.
 5
     (Inaudible) they said they didn't receive some, if we could
 6
     verify what's been sent.
          MR. PACHECO: So do you believe Mr. Huntsman's statement
     that this is inaccurate because OIG is requesting many
 8
     documents it does not have -- is inaccurate? Do you think his
 9
     statement's inaccurate in that letter?
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so. (Inaudible).
11
12
          MR. PACHECO: Did you write back to him in response to
13
     Mr. Huntsman's March 21, 2022 letter?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't recall, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever provide additional documents
15
16
     (inaudible) the Department to the OIG in connection with its
17
     investigation of deputy gangs?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I'm not sure exactly what we sent
19
     them. They said (inaudible).
20
          MR. PACHECO: Did you oversee the production of any
21
     documents in connection with this body's investigation of
22
     deputy gangs?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Are you respectful of the Civilian Oversight
24
25
     over law enforcement?
```

MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). 1 2. MR. PACHECO: Do you believe civilians have a value in 3 responsibility to oversee law enforcement? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir. 5 MR. PACHECO: And do you believe that they should have 6 access to important documents and materials so that they can 7 exercise that function responsibly? MR. MURAKAMI: It depends upon the (inaudible) what they 8 9 can or cannot see. MR. PACHECO: A (inaudible) personnel records or things 10 11 permitted by law, isn't there (inaudible) that the Department 12 can provide that will shed some light on this issue of deputy 13 gangs? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: I think so. 15 MR. PACHECO: And yet, the Department didn't provide that 16 information to this file? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: That, I'm not sure, sir. 18 MR. PACHECO: And the Department did not bend over 19 backwards to provide information to the Office of Inspector General. In fact, in response to their written inquiries, did 20 not provide additional material; is that true? 21 22 MR. MURAKAMI: That, I'm not sure, sir. 23 MR. PACHECO: And do you acknowledge that Penal Code 13670 requires the Department to provide records as requested by the 24 25 Office of Inspector General, don't you?

1	MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure what the statute requires.
2	MR. PACHECO: Let's take a look at it. It is Exhibit 3.
3	And let's go to the bottom focus on the bottom.
4	I'm better reading it from this copy. It says, in
5	part, sir, toward the end of that statute, Penal Code 13670:
6	"A law enforcement agency shall cooperate in any
7	investigation into these gangs" their word, not
8	mine "by an inspector general" like Huntsman "the
9	attorney general, or any other authorized agency."
10	Do you see that language?
11	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
12	MR. PACHECO: So at the when this law was passed, this
13	is a law that the Department focused on; right? This was a
14	relatively recent law and caused some review by the Department.
15	MR. MURAKAMI: I think that the problem that we run into
16	may be a difference of opinion within the highlighted area, the
17	definition of what a deputy gang is.
18	MR. PACHECO: Well, let's I'm glad you mentioned that.
19	Let's go up to the first part.
20	And so, "Law enforcement gang is defined as a group
21	of peace officers within a law enforcement agency who may
22	identify themselves by name and may be associated with an
23	identifying symbol, including but not limited to, matching
24	tattoos, and who engage in a pattern of on-duty behavior
25	that intentionally violates the law or fundamental

1	principles of professional policing."
2	Do you see that language?
3	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
4	MR. PACHECO: That's pretty broad language; right?
5	MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
6	MR. PACHECO: So this would apply to any instances, you
7	would agree, that where there's a negative impact on the
8	fundamental principles of professional policing; correct?
9	MR. MURAKAMI: That's a matter of interpretation, sir.
10	MR. PACHECO: Where? Let's look at that language. It's
11	pretty clear isn't it? that it applies to any gang who
12	engage in a pattern of on-duty behavior that intentionally
13	violates the law or fundamental principles of professional
14	policing. You see that language.
15	MR. MURAKAMI: It goes further, sir.
16	MR. PACHECO: Oh.
17	"Including but not limited to excluding, harassing,
18	or discriminating against any individual based on
19	protected category under federal or state
20	antidiscrimination laws, engaging in or promoting conduct
21	that violates the rights of other employees or members of
22	the public, violating agency policy, the persistent
23	practice on unlawful detention or use of excessive force
24	in circumstances where it is known to be unjustified."
25	And it keeps going. That's pretty broad; is that

```
1
     not?
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: That doesn't go toward what they mean by
 3
     professional policing (inaudible).
 4
          MR. PACHECO: So your view with this is a narrow statute?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Say again.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: It's in your view that this is a narrow
 7
     statute, that it doesn't apply to deputy gangs? I'm just
     trying to understand your view.
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: Based upon how you define deputy gangs,
 9
     you're using a broad definition which doesn't apply.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: But isn't law enforcement gangs a broad
12
     definition under Penal Code --
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
14
          MR. PACHECO: -- 13670?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
16
          MR. PACHECO: I see.
17
               Is that why you didn't investigate subgroups and
18
     cliques because this language, you think, is not precise?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: As far as a investigation, (inaudible) some
     type of criminal operation or violation of policy in order to
20
     look into (inaudible).
21
          MR. PACHECO: But it doesn't require a violation of
22
23
     policy. Yes, it does require, in some instances, if there's a
     violation of law, it applies. But it also applies if there is
24
25
     a violation of fundamental principles of professional policing;
```

```
right?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes. But it's defined in the following
 3
     sentence what they mean by that.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: Right. In a very broad way; right?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's (inaudible) of what they're talking
 6
     about in terms of professional policing (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: I think we'll part company, but we'll leave
     it to the language that this statute is being very broad.
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 9
10
          MR. PACHECO: Sorry. What's that?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: We can agree to disagree.
12
          MR. PACHECO: I think we're disagreeing. This seems to be
13
     a very broad statute, but you're saying that you read it very
14
     limited way. And because you read it in a limited way, did you
15
     then refuse to produce documents to the OIG; is that --
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: We gave them documents (inaudible).
17
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever go to county counsel to get
18
     some guidance on the legal interpretation of this particular
19
     statute?
20
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm going to object. (Inaudible).
21
          MR. PACHECO: I'm not going to ask what the county counsel
22
     told you. But did you go to them to ask for their
23
     interpretation of California Penal Code 13670?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so. The constitution of policing
24
25
     person and (inaudible).
```

```
MR. PACHECO: Did you go to the constitutional policing
1
 2
     person?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: And who's that person?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: That'd be (Inaudible).
 6
          MR. PACHECO: When did you go to that person?
 7
     (Inaudible).
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
 8
          MR. PACHECO: Did you go to county counsel to discuss
 9
     California Penal Code 13670?
10
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so, sir.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Who, at county counsel's office, did you
13
     speak with about Section 13670?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: I couldn't say for sure. I'm assuming it
     would've been (Inaudible).
15
16
          MR. PACHECO: Come again.
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
18
          MR. PACHECO: Anybody else at the County Counsel's Office
19
     that you spoke to about 13670?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
21
          MR. PACHECO: Did you have any emails, texts, Post-it
22
     notes, or other communications with county counsel about the
23
     application of California Penal Code Section 13670?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: Did you have any text, emails, written
```

```
communication with the Office of the Inspector General, other
 1
 2.
     than the letters we've seen, about the breadth of California
     Penal Code Section 13670?
 3
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't know, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: And you're not a lawyer?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Excuse me?
 7
          MR. PACHECO: You're not a lawyer?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: During your time at the Department, did
 9
     Sheriff Villanueva ever direct you not to investigate the
10
11
     existence, scope, membership, or actions of subcliques or
12
     subgroups in the Department?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: And by the way, since that language is up on
     law enforcement...
15
16
               You see the language that -- where it says that a law
17
     enforcement gang -- it says "who may identify themselves by
18
     name and may be associated with an identifying symbol,
19
     including but" -- this language -- "but not limited to..."
               So when it says "but not limited to," that's a
20
21
     broader expression of that language, rather than a narrower
22
     expression of the language. Would you agree?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not quite sure, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: During your time at the Department, did
24
25
     former Sheriff Villanueva ever direct you to not investigate
```

```
the impacts of sheriff deputy gangs, subgroups, cliques, or law
1
 2
     enforcement gangs on the community?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: Certain sheriff personnel have spoken to the
 5
     press, such as The New Yorker, Knock LA, The LA Times, and to
 6
     this body how they've been targeted by exclusionary subgroups
 7
     or cliques in the Department. Are you aware of any of those
     types of allegations?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 9
          MR. PACHECO: And what did you do in response to any
10
11
     allegations of retaliation on deputies by any subgroups or
12
     cliques?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe that each (inaudible) was
14
     investigated by Internal Affairs.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Did you direct any of those investigations?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
17
          MR. PACHECO: What were the findings of any of those
18
     investigations?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe they came out with no evidence
     stating that (inaudible). And I believe all those allegations
20
     (inaudible).
21
          MR. PACHECO: Was any deputy or any personnel in the
22
23
     Sheriff's Department harassed as a result of their cooperation
24
     with this body?
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
```

```
MR. PACHECO: And do you know of any deputies or staff in
 1
 2
     the Department who were harassed as a result of their
 3
     cooperation in any inquiries about subgroups or cliques?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know whether or not former
 6
     Sheriff Villanueva ever attempted to become a member of a
 7
     subgroup or a clique?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 9
          MR. PACHECO: No knowledge?
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: No knowledge.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think it's inconsistent with the
12
     fundamental principles of professional policing to have groups
13
     and the Department that exclude women, black people, and gay
14
     people?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: I never heard of anybody being excluded.
16
     It would be wrong, if that's true.
17
          MR. PACHECO: And it would be wrong such that it would
18
     violate the fundamental principles of professional policing;
19
     correct?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes.
21
          MR. PACHECO: And you think that it enhances community
22
     police relations for the Department to have groups that exclude
23
     women, black people, or gay people?
          MR. MURAKAMI: You're making it sound (inaudible).
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think it's illegal for cliques
```

operating in the Sheriff's Department to exclude women? 1 2. know. Again, you're not a lawyer. But do you know if it's 3 illegal for cliques operating in the Sheriff's Department to 4 exclude women? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: I don't think it'd be illegal, but 6 (inaudible). I'm not sure why, but I don't think it's against 7 the law. It's a private (inaudible). MR. PACHECO: Well, do you think it's illegal for cliques 8 operating in the Department to exclude black people? 9 MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure if the word "illegal" would 10 11 apply. 12 MR. PACHECO: Same question. Do you think it's illegal 13 for cliques operating in the Sheriff's Department to exclude 14 any member of the LGBTO community? 15 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. That'd be illegal, sir. 16 MR. PACHECO: Do you think it's consistent with the 17 fundamental principles of professional policy to investigate 18 complaints about the existence of subgroups that exclude black 19 people, women, or gay people? 20 MR. MURAKAMI: Say again -- can you ask that again? 21 MR. PACHECO: Of course. 22 Do you think that it's inconsistent with the 23 fundamental principles of professional policing to investigate complaints about the existence of subgroups that exclude black 24 25 people, women, and gay people?

```
MR. MURAKAMI: I believe that if a complaint of that
1
 2
     nature was received, they should investigate.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Would you view this commission's actions as
 4
     that kind of a complaint?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
 6
          MR. PACHECO: (Inaudible) desire to investigate?
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: You're making it -- there's no official
     allegation of exclusion of any group (inaudible). April Tardy
 8
     testified about the exclusion of blacks (inaudible) station
 9
     tattoo. So that in itself is (inaudible).
10
          MR. PACHECO: Let's talk about that then. You said that
11
12
     April Tardy has a station tattoo; correct?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: What's the tattoo of?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's a Temple -- it's a Temple V
16
     (inaudible) station tattoo.
17
          MR. PACHECO: Is Temple V a exclusionary subgroup?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know why she got that tattoo?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: She stated that same thing that the
21
     (inaudible) said; it was station pride and being considered a
22
     deputy.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever talk to April Tardy about the
     tattoo?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
```

MR. PACHECO: Did you ever hear from her why she got the 1 2 tattoo or didn't you get the tattoo? 3 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 4 MR. PACHECO: Did you ever see her tattoo? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 6 MR. PACHECO: Was the subgroup in Temple a violent 7 subgroup? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: Not that I know, sir, no. MR. PACHECO: Do you know any members of the subgroup in 9 Temple station? 10 11 MR. MURAKAMI: I just stated one, but other than that, I'm 12 not sure, sir. 13 MR. PACHECO: So other than what you're saying about 14 April Tardy, do you know any other member of the Temple 15 subgroup? 16 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 17 MR. PACHECO: And you've never looked into it further to 18 investigate members of the Temple subgroup? 19 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 20 MR. PACHECO: Would you agree that subgroups --21 exclusionary subgroups undermine the chain of command and 22 discipline in the Department? 23 MR. MURAKAMI: They should not impact chain of command or discipline. 24 25 MR. PACHECO: Have you ever heard of subgroups engaging in

```
work slowdowns in stations if they don't get what they want?
 1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, if you're referring to East LA and
 3
     Compton by April Tardy, these are facts, and it was proven that
 4
     it wasn't (inaudible).
 5
          MR. PACHECO: And who investigated that? You said April
 6
     Tardy?
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: April Tardy.
               And she did direct that at both East LA and Compton
 8
     because there were allegations of work slowdown, and I had her
 9
     look into it. And both of them showed there was no work
10
     slowdown.
11
12
          MR. PACHECO: Was that in a written report?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
14
          MR. PACHECO: And what group was involved with
15
     April Tardy investigating work slowdown?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: It would be her chain of command, the
17
     lieutenant commander or captain giving direction as to
18
     (inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: Did Internal Affairs or any other group look
     into work slowdown issues?
20
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever initiate an investigation into
23
     subgroups and their use of excessive force against citizens?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Did I ever initiate it, sir? No, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know whether anybody in the
```

```
Department initiated an investigation about subgroups and their
 1
 2.
     use of excessive force against citizens?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: There is -- besides making sure (inaudible)
 4
     investigation (inaudible) excessive force, but (inaudible)
 5
     subgroup, I'm not sure (inaudible). But I did have Risk
 6
     Management Bureau look into the correlation between officer-
 7
     involved shootings and the alleged members who were in
     subgroups, and they found no correlation.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: They found a correlation?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
11
          MR. PACHECO: No correlation.
12
               And was that a written report?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
14
          MR. PACHECO: And who conducted that investigation?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: It'd be Risk Management.
16
          MR. PACHECO: Who at Risk Management conducted that
17
     investigation?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: Every member -- (inaudible).
19
          MR. PACHECO: When was that conducted?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Probably years ago.
21
          MR. PACHECO: And what did -- a particular station or
22
     stations that were --
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) look at it over -- I believe it
     had to do with civil suits, but I couldn't confirm that.
24
25
     (Inaudible).
```

```
MR. PACHECO: So was it conducted in connection with civil
 1
 2.
     suit but not a larger inquiry into the issue?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think so, (inaudible).
 4
          MR. PACHECO: As undersheriff or at any time in the
 5
     Department, you didn't refer law enforcement gangs, subgroups,
 6
     cliques to the California Attorney General for investigation;
 7
     is that right?
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 8
                        "No, sir," you did not refer them?
 9
          MR. PACHECO:
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
11
          MR. PACHECO: And you did not refer any subgroup or clique
12
     issue or issues to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for
13
     investigation?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe -- well, I think that we
15
     discussed both the allegation at Compton station and East LA
16
     station to the FBI and gave them whatever data we had.
17
          MR. PACHECO: But did you ever go to the FBI and say, "Can
18
     you assist and look at this greater issue about exclusionary
19
     subgroups or cliques in the Department"?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: The Department is (inaudible) at East LA
21
     and Compton together.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Do you think that the Department can
23
     capably, fully, completely investigate subgroups and cliques in
     the Department, generally, internally?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: Can you say that again?
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: Sure.
 2
               Do you think that the Department can fully,
 3
     completely, capably investigate itself as it relates to
 4
     subgroups and cliques?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think there could be (inaudible)
 6
     investigation. But, again, you run into the problem with
 7
     employee rights, you know, where you're going to run into
     issues.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: All right. So, no. I hear you about
 9
     challenges to investigation, but my question is slightly
10
11
     different, and that is: Do you think that the Department can
12
     police itself, in that, can it investigate subgroups and
13
     cliques fully and fairly?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I do.
15
          MR. PACHECO: Who in the Department -- what group would be
16
     responsible for such an investigation if one was ever to
17
     investigate?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, the Internal Affairs, if there's
19
     anything involving policy violation (inaudible) criminal
20
     investigations.
          MR. PACHECO: The investigations that you think of though
21
22
     related to specific misconduct, as opposed to be sort of a
23
     general inquiry about whether subgroups and cliques exist in
     the Department; is that right? Is that how you --
24
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
25
```

```
MR. PACHECO: You never directed and don't know about any
 1
 2.
     investigation overall about subgroups or cliques in the
 3
     Department; correct?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: Correct.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: And do you think that the Department will
 6
     ever be in a place where it will investigate itself fully and
 7
     completely as to subgroups and cliques?
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I'm not sure, sir.
 8
 9
          MR. PACHECO: And you're not sure, why?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Because of the fact that it's
10
11
     Sheriff Luna's department now, and all he's concerned about is
12
     legal (inaudible). I think there's (inaudible).
13
          MR. PACHECO: So do you think an outside agency is needed
14
     in order to get to the bottom of this problem in the Sheriff's
15
     Department about subgroups and cliques?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Could be. Like I said, (inaudible) to both
17
     East LA and Compton. In East LA and Compton, (inaudible) so
18
     it's an open investigation.
19
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever look for any power in your role
     in the Sheriff's Department to the Los Angeles District
20
     Attorney's Office for investigation?
21
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: In connection with what?
23
          MR. PACHECO: Connection with subgroups.
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
24
25
          MR. PACHECO:
                        And as undersheriff, did you ever refer any
```

```
1
     subgroup matter to the LA District Attorney's Office for
 2
     prosecution?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Not my role, sir.
 4
          MR. PACHECO: When you were the undersheriff, were any
 5
     deputies terminated for participation in sheriff deputy gangs,
 6
     subgroups, or exclusionary groups?
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: As undersheriff, did you ever direct ICIB or
 8
     IAB to question deputies about their participation in
 9
     exclusionary subgroups or cliques?
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
11
12
          MR. PACHECO: Did Sheriff Villanueva do so?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
14
          MR. PACHECO: When were you promoted to assistant chief of
15
     patrol operations?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think you mean the Sheriff (inaudible)?
17
          MR. PACHECO: Yes.
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: That was in December, 2017, I believe.
19
          MR. PACHECO: When did you first learn that you had been
20
     promoted to assistant sheriff?
          MR. MURAKAMI: It wasn't that much before that
21
22
     (inaudible).
23
          MR. PACHECO: Who told you that you would be promoted?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Sheriff Villanueva.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: When did you actually first start in your
```

```
role as assistant sheriff?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: That would be (inaudible) December of 2017.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: And you were promoted three ranks at once
 4
     from captain to assistant sheriff; is that correct?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: And then shortly after that, you were
 7
     elevated to undersheriff in March of 2019?
          MR. MURAKAMI: 2018, sir.
 8
          MR. PACHECO: 2018.
 9
10
               When were you told that you would be --
11
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: (No audible response.)
12
          MR. PACHECO: -- sorry.
13
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: (Inaudible) when Alex Villanueva was
     elected Sheriff. (Inaudible) elected Sheriff in December of
14
     2018, served as Sheriff from '19, '20, '21, '22. December '22,
15
16
     he lost the election, at which time, Sheriff Luna became
17
     Sheriff. So, you know, (inaudible) I want to say when he was
18
     elected Sheriff, he wasn't Sheriff in December, 2017 -- it's
19
     '18, '19, 2020, and then (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: When were you told that you were first
20
     elevated to undersheriff?
21
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: That would've been March of (inaudible).
23
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: (Inaudible).
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah, I believe 2018 -- '19?
24
25
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: '19.
```

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: Okay.
               2019.
 2
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Yeah, thanks.
 4
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Absolutely.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: Who told you that would first be elevated to
 6
     undersheriff?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Sheriff Villanueva.
          MR. PACHECO: At some point, Sheriff Villanueva appointed
 8
9
     you to the Truth and Reconciliation panel; correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: And you oversaw that panel?
12
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) has to do with deputy
13
     gangs.
14
          MR. PACHECO: It had to do with deputy gangs too.
15
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (No audible response.)
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: Okay.
17
               Yes, he did.
18
          MR. PACHECO: Do you know Carl Mandoyan?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I do.
20
          MR. PACHECO: Was he a Grim Reaper?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
22
          MR. PACHECO: Let's go to Exhibit 14. And Exhibit 14 was
23
     the logo we looked at before; that's a Grim Reaper logo.
               Do you know whether Mr. Mandoyan had a Grim Reaper
24
25
     tattoo?
```

```
MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't know, sir.
 1
 2
          MR. PACHECO: And Mr. Mandoyan was affiliated in South
 3
     Los Angeles station of the Sheriff's Department?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: And his matter came up for review before
 6
     you; correct?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Say again.
          MR. PACHECO: His matter came before review in front of
 8
     you on the Truth and Reconciliation?
 9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Who sat on the Truth and Reconciliation
12
     panel with you?
13
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was myself -- it's hard to recall right
     now, but the assistant sheriffs, Chief Vera (phonetic), all of
14
     our Internal Affairs, (inaudible).
15
16
          MR. PACHECO: How frequently did it meet?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
18
          MR. PACHECO: How frequently did it meet?
19
          MR. MURAKAMI: That's up to the person (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Who came up with the name, the Truth and
20
     Reconciliation Committee?
21
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe the Sheriff.
23
          MR. PACHECO: Sheriff Villanueva?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
24
25
          MR. PACHECO: And was this Truth and Reconciliation
```

```
Committee created just to handle the Mandoyan matter?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Did it handle other matters?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 5
          MR. PACHECO: It was disbanded at some point; correct?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 7
          MR. PACHECO: Why?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Because of the -- I believe the County
 8
     entered a lawsuit, or somebody did, regarding Carl Mandoyan.
 9
10
          MR. PACHECO: And what was the issue with regard to
11
     Mr. Mandoyan in the Truth and Reconciliation Committee?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Whether or not that he got a fair review,
13
     and whether exculpatory evidence was being excluded.
          MR. PACHECO: Was it the review of his history of criminal
14
     violations?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: We didn't look at anything additional. We
16
17
     just looked at the case itself.
18
          MR. PACHECO: And what did the case itself involve?
19
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Let me put it to you this way: Had
20
21
     Mr. Mandoyan been terminated from the Department at some point?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
23
          MR. PACHECO: And then, he appeared before the panel that
     you chaired, and he was reinstated; is that correct?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: He didn't appear. But the investigation
```

```
was under review, and then, yes, he was reinstated.
1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: And you knew at the time that he was
 3
     reinstated that he was a member of the Grim Reapers.
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: Even when you asked me did I know, I can't
 5
     say I know, but that's what people are saying. I think it
 6
     might have been in the media reports.
          MR. PACHECO: At the time that you were chairing this
     panel, had you known he was a Grim Reaper, would you have
 8
 9
     reinstated?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
10
11
          MR. PACHECO: In your time at LASD, did you ever
12
     discriminate against someone on the basis of race?
                 (Mr. Murakami and his counsel confer.)
13
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: No.
          MR. PACHECO: Did you ever use racial epithets or ethnic
15
16
     slurs to describe your subordinates?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
18
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. You're going to answer the
19
     question.
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) court order
20
21
     (inaudible).
22
          CHAIR KENNEDY: (Inaudible) law enforcement gangs
23
     discriminate based on race and religion?
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) racial epithets
24
25
     (inaudible).
```

```
MR. PACHECO: Let me ask you this way: Are you aware of
 1
 2
     two public lawsuits, one by Carlos Parga and one by a
 3
     Tracy Stewart, against the Department based on their work at
 4
     the Industry station and their involvement with you?
 5
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) two protective orders
 6
     that are in place for each of those not to discuss the content
 7
     of (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Why don't we --
 8
          CHAIR KENNEDY: This is publicly available information.
 9
     You don't need to have (inaudible) --
10
11
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The complaints were (inaudible).
12
          CHAIR KENNEDY: This was widely reported.
13
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm just telling you there are
     protective orders that are in place for you (inaudible) issued
14
15
     by the Court.
16
          MR. PACHECO: Just so that we're clear here, did
17
     Mr. Murakami sign onto those protective orders?
18
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I believe his counsel did.
19
          MR. PACHECO: And so you have counsel in connection with
     the (inaudible)?
20
21
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not working with anyone.
22
     been given direction by his counsel that involves (inaudible)
23
     what he can answer; so (inaudible).
          MR. PACHECO: Here's what I would recommend: Let's now
24
25
     take our 10-minute break and we'll come back, because I have
```

```
some thoughts about that.
 1
 2.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Sure. It's (inaudible).
 3
          MR. PACHECO: Thank you.
 4
                     (A 10-minute recess was taken.)
 5
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Mister -- Counsel, whenever you're ready.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Thank you.
               Mr. Chairman, we have one additional document. We're
     going to label it in order in our binder as number 17, and
 8
     we'll hand it out now.
 9
10
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Sure.
11
          MR. PACHECO: Mr. Murakami, I have enough time for you and
12
     your counsel to review what's been marked as Exhibit Number 17,
13
     and I'll ask you questions about it.
               I will just represent for purposes of the record, it
14
15
     is a letter from county counsel, dated March 6, 2024, to the
16
     commission's chair in response to a Public Records Act request,
17
     attaching information that was provided in response to that
18
     inquiry.
19
                 (Mr. Murakami and his counsel confer.)
          MR. PACHECO: Sir, have you had the opportunity to review
20
     Exhibit 17?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
22
23
          MR. PACHECO: And I know that you wouldn't have seen the
     March 6, '24 letter. I just wanted you to see it, that it is
24
25
     material produced in response to a Public Records Act request.
```

```
1
               Do you see that letter?
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: And attached to it is the Sheriff's
 4
     Department -- there's two documents and those are -- have you
 5
     ever see those two documents before?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so. No, sir.
          MR. PACHECO: Okay.
               And are you familiar with the County Equity Oversight
 8
     panel?
9
10
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I am.
11
          MR. PACHECO: What is that?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's a panel that reviews allegations of
13
     policy and equity (inaudible).
14
          MR. PACHECO: And in September of 2020, that panel
15
     concluded you'd violated the Department's policy against racial
16
     discrimination and harassment; is that correct?
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't believe that (inaudible)
17
18
     what it provided (inaudible) these documents are a part of his
19
     personnel file, which is protected (inaudible) as well as
     everything (inaudible) consent dealing with (inaudible).
20
          MR. PACHECO: Mr. Murakami, I want you to look at the
21
22
     document dated September 15, 2020, from Scott Gage, the
23
     commander of South Patrol Division to the County Equity
     Oversight panel. And there were two allegations against you
24
25
     that the panel considered; is that correct?
```

```
MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
 1
 2.
          MR. PACHECO: And one of the charges was unfounded, the
 3
     policy of equality discrimination based on race and sexual
 4
     orientation; is that correct?
                 (Mr. Murakami and his counsel confer.)
 5
 6
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) objection that these
 7
     are public documents related to his personnel file (inaudible)
     being questioned about this (inaudible).
 8
          CHAIR KENNEDY: This is a public document that was
 9
10
     produced last night by the county counsel pursuant to a public
11
     record that requests a public document.
12
          MR. PACHECO: Mr. Murakami, does this document reflect
13
     that the panel found that it was unfounded that you had
14
     violated the policy of equality discrimination based on race
     and sexual orientation?
15
16
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Once again, while it hasn't been
17
     provided, I agree with the county counsel determination
18
     (inaudible) that it's still exempt and privileged pursuant to
19
     852.7, as well as Government Code Section 3300 et seq.
20
     (Inaudible).
21
          MR. PACHECO: Yes or no?
22
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
23
          MR. PACHECO: Are you refusing to answer based on
     instruction from your counsel?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
```

1	MR. PACHECO: And I'm going to ask you a series of other
2	questions. If you follow the instruction, that's fine. We'll
3	just make it clear on the record.
4	Is it true that on September 15, 2020, or thereabout,
5	that the County Equity Oversight found that the charge against
6	you for policy of equality inappropriate conduct toward others
7	based on race was unfoundable?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have the same objection on that
9	(inaudible).
10	MR. PACHECO: Again, do you refuse to answer the question?
11	MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
12	MR. PACHECO: Do you see where the panel had recommended a
13	written reprimand to the Sheriff's Department about you based
14	on their findings? Do you see that?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Same objection and advice to my
16	client.
17	MR. PACHECO: Are you following the advice of you counsel
18	not to respond?
19	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
20	MR. PACHECO: And is it true that despite the
21	recommendation of the County Equity Oversight panel to
22	institute discipline in the form of a written reprimand for
23	violation of the policy, inappropriate conduct toward others
24	based on race, that the Department, nonetheless, refused to
25	impose any discipline?

```
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Once again, I'll impose the same
 1
 2
     objection and advice to my client.
 3
          MR. PACHECO: So based on your advice of counsel, you're
 4
     not going to respond; is that correct?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 6
          MR. PACHECO: Mr. Kennedy, I don't have further questions
 7
     at this time.
 8
          CHAIR KENNEDY:
                          Okay.
               So I guess some (inaudible) county counsel's
 9
     (inaudible). (Inaudible) reason for all kinds of things today
10
11
     that being in a hearing, county counsel's produced these
12
     documents (inaudible) public records (inaudible) not going to
13
     answer questions about (inaudible). For the record,
14
     (inaudible).
15
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As I am personal counsel,
16
     Mr. Chairman, my interpretation is completely different than
17
     yours. So while I expect (inaudible) follow by my (inaudible).
18
          CHAIR KENNEDY: No, I understand, and I'm glad you're
19
     here. (Inaudible) if it's been released as a public record.
20
          MS. KIRBY: (Inaudible).
21
          CHAIR KENNEDY: (Inaudible)?
          MS. KIRBY: Good afternoon. Amara Kirby from County
22
23
     Counsel (inaudible) in the letter from county counsel
     (inaudible) signed off (inaudible).
24
25
          CHAIR KENNEDY: So there is no bar to simply releasing
```

```
this public record if a witness will not answer about and
 1
 2.
     (inaudible). This is a public record.
 3
          MS. KIRBY: Yes. (Inaudible) I do believe that
 4
     (inaudible).
 5
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So make it a part of the record.
 6
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) that typically,
 7
     something like this, there would be notice of release so that
     we would be able to object to any release of it. That was not
 8
     (inaudible) notified. (Inaudible) considered for release
 9
     (inaudible) a violation of his rights under 832.7, again.
10
11
     (inaudible) release of this.
12
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, I (inaudible) and that (inaudible).
13
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Now I'm aware of both my
14
     objections, but I don't (inaudible).
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, I guess we'll find out one way or
15
16
     another later on. So I'm going to treat it as a public record
17
     and make it part of our record here.
18
               And, Mr. Pacheco, thank you so much. Do you have
19
     anything else?
20
          MR. PACHECO: Nothing further.
21
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner questions?
22
          COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes.
23
               Sir, you mentioned that you received your invite to
     join the deputy gang (inaudible), but did you ever consider who
24
25
     recommended you or how you got the award?
```

1 MR. MURAKAMI: No. 2 COMMISSIONER COOPER: So you just received the award, had 3 no idea who gave it to you, who recommended, what criteria? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.) 5 COMMISSIONER COOPER: And then I had another question. 6 You constantly referred to the permission of the 7 I am very concerned about who was running the Department. You as "under deputy" or the union? 8 MR. MURAKAMI: That's a misinterpretation. Sorry that you 9 10 took it that way. 11 When we deal with a union, they have employee rights. 12 We can't violate their rights. (Inaudible) comply. But we're not afraid of the unions. But, again, if -- the cause is not 13 to violate any personal rights, which I think you'd respect 14 (inaudible) investigation (inaudible) itself. 15 16 COMMISSIONER COOPER: Management rights is what I'm 17 talking about. You kept making statements, "I have to get an 18 approval from the union to manage a department unit." Unions 19 don't tell companies or corporations how to manage employees or 20 manage their business. MR. MURAKAMI: Again, I think you're misinterpreting what 21 22 was said. They're not following some legal (inaudible) on 23 every decision they made. There's certain things where -there's a Peace Officer Bill of Rights. And if we infringe 24 25 upon it, one, it's going to be tossed out, and, two, we're

going to be held to civil liability. 1 2. COMMISSIONER COOPER: Now, the other question I have: 3 made statements that you weren't aware of a lot of things going 4 on in your department like the Kennedy stuff, you wasn't aware, 5 the Compton stuff. But as the undersecretary (inaudible), 6 under deputy and the top, how can you not know what's going on 7 in the Department? MR. MURAKAMI: It depends upon what matter because what 8 happens, and the other staff -- we have 18,000 employees and 9 there's a chain of command. Certainly, like when Mr. Pacheco 10 11 talked about something dealing with a deputy, it doesn't rise 12 to my level. It went to our command team in the Department. 13 Most things are handled by captains, commanders, and chiefs, and it depends upon the level of the issue (inaudible) before 14 15 it ever reaches my desk. COMMISSIONER COOPER: Well, when things hit the newspaper 16 17 and the world, you mean you're not concerned about looking at 18 the reports and reading them for yourselves and then 19 questioning what really happened? 20 MR. MURAKAMI: We did, ma'am. 21 COMMISSIONER COOPER: I didn't get that based on your 22 answers. 23 MR. MURAKAMI: Well, what happens is that, one thing, (inaudible) in social media, but the report is not always the 24 25 truth. For instance, our panel did a level of investigations

or maybe in the command staff, which I don't think looked at 1 2. the (inaudible) whether it's true or untrue. 3 COMMISSIONER COOPER: (Inaudible) stand that. But the 4 fact you do get a written report, you get a brief, you read it, 5 do you question some of the stuff in there? 6 Secondly, when they asked you about whether or not 7 the deputy gangs were investigated, did it seem unusual? Was the leg tattoo shot off? Was it investigated? Your answers 8 was, like, "I'm the second top man in command, but I don't 9 10 know." 11 MR. MURAKAMI: The thing about the allegation in the 12 shooting the tattoo, I think it happened in 2015. 13 COMMISSIONER COOPER: Way before you? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah, way before me. So I'm unaware of it. 15 And if -- based upon the media report, that's insane. You 16 know, if that -- these allegations are true, that's insane. 17 What happens is that they're suddenly feeling like -say, for instance, there was an incident in Compton station 18 19 where two deputies got in a fight. Those allegations might have implied that one was (inaudible). We investigated. We 20 even give things to the FBI. You know, so a lot of these 21 things that are controversial, (inaudible) are initiated, 22 23 were bringing the FBI in because we wanted things (inaudible) to hold us accountable, and maybe we all could offer them to 24 25 take it over, you know, (inaudible) if they see a civil

violation at the federal level, they can kick back to us. 1 2. (Inaudible.) 3 COMMISSIONER COOPER: Now when you transferred people from 4 the Compton station and the East LA station, did you get 5 permission from the union before you transferred those people? 6 MR. MURAKAMI: What happened is -- the problem we have is 7 that there is no proof of misconduct, whether illegal or violation of policy. (Inaudible) best interests of everybody 8 if they move from the area of the station. And then what 9 happens then, we had (inaudible) as to their employee rights. 10 11 We can't force them to leave. So all the moves that were out 12 of Compton and East LA (inaudible) were voluntary. You know, 13 that's where the unions became involved (inaudible) employee 14 rights. 15 COMMISSIONER COOPER: No other questions. Thank you. 16 CHAIR KENNEDY: Patti? 17 COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Yeah. I just want to follow up on 18 the volunteers. There was -- that was very important 19 information I received about the movement of those out of Compton and East LA station. So when you say "it's voluntary," 20 how does a -- I mean, how do a group of people decide 21 22 voluntarily that they don't want to be there anymore? "Send me 23 somewhere else." What's that process? There must be some discussion. "It's not working here. You're not working here." 24 25 Well, we have to take this -- we recommend you consider --

```
could you explain that process?
1
 2.
          MR. MURAKAMI: Well, at the time, April Tardy was the
 3
     chief over Central Patrol both at Compton and East LA station.
 4
     I talked to her about what we needed to do. She personally
 5
     went down there and met the deputies, along with the union
 6
     person. The union person (inaudible) used their (inaudible)
 7
     conversation (inaudible). We just wanted the deputies to know
     that it's probably better for us, better for the Department, if
 8
     they go somewhere else, another station. So that's what's
 9
     voluntary. We didn't force them. We couldn't force them. But
10
11
     they left voluntarily.
12
          COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: So (inaudible) by that kind of
13
     behavior?
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
          COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: You know, (inaudible) recommending
15
16
     that you leave, but you can do it on your own volition, which
17
     is fair. The union is there to make sure there is no real
18
     coercion, but they're seems to be -- there seems to be an
19
     argument, some coercion, even if it's informal, and that
20
     because -- in a sense because there was -- there were reasons.
21
               How many people were transferred? Do you remember?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I'd be guessing at best, but maybe a dozen.
22
23
          COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: A dozen, yeah. I think that's
24
     (inaudible) too.
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: Somewhere in there.
```

```
1
          COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you.
 2.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Jamon?
 3
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: (Inaudible).
 4
               When you said that when you got to the (inaudible)?
 5
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes.
 6
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: (Inaudible).
 7
          MR. MURAKAMI: You're talking 40 years ago, and no insult
     to anybody. I'm on medication. That's why I'm an old man
 8
     (inaudible).
 9
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: Well, you remembered getting the
10
11
     tattoo; right?
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, I do.
13
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: Have you ever heard of a "inking
14
     party"?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: Just in the media. I've been following
16
     that, but I never heard of the term before that.
17
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: Never heard the term "inking party"?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
19
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: And the people present when you were
     getting the tattoo, you know, they thought it was like a inking
20
21
     party?
22
          MR. MURAKAMI: Say again?
23
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: (Inaudible)?
          MR. MURAKAMI: There was just the ten that were present,
24
25
     but they didn't label it anything. It was a tattoo parlor.
```

COMMISSIONER HICKS: What's the difference between, in 1 2. your mind, the difference between the Cavemen and the 3 Executioners? 4 MR. MURAKAMI: Well, there are a few based upon what I 5 read in the media. 6 COMMISSIONER HICKS: No, outside the media. In your mind, 7 what's the difference between a Caveman and an Executioner? MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not quite sure because I don't know 8 much about the Executioners. You know, as far as what they --9 they're accused of doing refuse to do certain things, but I'm 10 11 not fully aware of what the circumstances were. As far as some 12 of its activities, there's no allegations of anything. That's 13 what the difference would be, I think. COMMISSIONER HICKS: These transfers that you were talking 14 about, how is that fixing the problem if you just transfer 15 16 these people to another station (inaudible)? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: Well, in our belief, it's just a couple of things. If they truly wanted (inaudible) a recommendation that 18 19 a station move him somewhere new, you know, they carry the same recommendation. But also the fact that if they ever got into 20 21 any type of trouble, you know, the fact that they have a station tattoo will multiply the problem. 22 COMMISSIONER HICKS: But doesn't it send a message to 23 other deputies that "If you misbehave, the worst thing that 24 25 will happen is you're transferred to another station"

```
(inaudible)?
 1
 2.
           MR. MURAKAMI: The problem you have is that you can't
 3
     discipline them for having a tattoo. It's not a violation.
 4
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: What if there's a violation of a
 5
     policy in the Department?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: Then they will face discipline.
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: I think there was a question about
     "Code of Silence." Is that a media term as well?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: It's an old term, sir.
 9
10
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: Have you heard of it within law
     enforcement?
11
12
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: It exists within law enforcement?
13
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: You said I heard of it.
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: Does it exist within law enforcement?
15
16
     Is there, like, a Code of Silence involved, or is that the
17
     media?
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think in any profession, even the medical
19
     profession, there's some limit of people that don't want to
20
     talk.
          COMMISSIONER HICKS: So in law enforcement there's a Code
21
22
     of Silence?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible) say anything (inaudible).
          COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Murakami, you mentioned, here
24
25
     in your testimony here today, six times, the name of
```

April Tardy, and you made a seventh reference to her in the 1 2. answer to a question just now. And I'm curious, is your 3 reference to her repeatedly, is that accidental, or is it 4 (inaudible)? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: It's factual. 6 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And is your reference to her and 7 her alleged gang tattoo, is that in reference to anything that you have observed directly, or is that just what you've read in 8 the media about her tattoo? 9 MR. MURAKAMI: Can you rephrase that question? 10 11 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Is your repeated reference to 12 April Tardy a reference to what you've read about her or 13 anything you have heard directly about her from subordinates, 14 previously or from your observations? 15 MR. MURAKAMI: No personal observation. I saw a post 16 after she came there and was questioned about station groups. 17 And then, I heard that she went to Civil Service and 18 (inaudible) and explained what it was. 19 You know, and then some are just the fact that I heard that people that worked the station were unhappy because 20 21 of the fact that maybe she had a tattoo, you know. So that's 22 what it is. 23 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And when you were sheriff (sic), was she underneath you in the chain of command, or was she 24 25 above you in the chain of command?

1	MR. MURAKAMI: She was below me.
2	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yeah.
3	And who was above you in the chain of command during
4	the period when you were undersheriff from 2019 to 2022?
5	MR. MURAKAMI: The Sheriff.
6	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And what was his name?
7	MR. MURAKAMI: Alex Villanueva.
8	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And were you undersheriff during
9	the period of February, 2022 when the off-duty incident of
10	deputies in a drunken brawl in Montclair occurred?
11	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And your testimony was that you had
13	no awareness of that episode whatsoever?
14	MR. MURAKAMI: No, because you're talking about a drunken
15	fight at a bowling alley. So that doesn't go to the attention
16	of my level. It's handled by mostly the chief. In fact, the
17	review was sent out by the chief at the end (inaudible). I
18	think Sheriff Luna was advised after that of what we call case
19	review. But he didn't know about it 'til after case review
20	himself, or so I was told.
21	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Was the (inaudible) to be aware or
22	respond to (inaudible) April Tardy's fault as well?
23	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And was there any follow-up about
25	that incident whatsoever, which occurred on your watch, during

1	the remainder of your tenure on the force in the chain of
2	command?
3	MR. MURAKAMI: Again, I'm not aware of any follow-up. The
4	case was fully investigated by Internal Affairs. And, again,
5	the level of the offense never rose to notify me.
6	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Regarding the Indian tattoos that
7	existed at the Industry station, do you wish to add at all to
8	your testimony or your answers to questions about awareness of
9	those Indian tattoos today?
10	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And, for the record, your answer:
12	"If you had seen an Indian tattoo, what would you
13	have done?"
14	And your answer was: "Nothing, sir."
15	Do you wish to add to that at all?
16	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
17	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you.
18	No further questions.
19	CHAIR KENNEDY: JP?
20	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Yeah.
21	Undersheriff, thank you for being here today.
22	Appreciate you being here and answering our questions.
23	Just to clarify the record (inaudible), have you
24	(inaudible) you were, at that point, (inaudible) captain in
25	Industry station?

1	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
3	When you relocated, did you skip a rank where you
4	went from captain of Industry station to undersheriff or chief?
5	What was your (inaudible)?
6	MR. MURAKAMI: I went from captain to assistant sheriff
7	with the idea that I did my time as a commander even though I
8	had the duties of assistant sheriff.
9	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay. If you can give me the
10	timeline.
11	So Alex is sworn in in December.
12	MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: When did you become you go to be
14	the captain at Industry station?
15	MR. MURAKAMI: I did. What day did I leave Industry
16	station?
17	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: No.
18	Once Alex got sworn in, when did he elevate you?
19	MR. MURAKAMI: At a separate
20	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: So the same time he was sworn in?
21	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Bumped up to and you went to
23	you then became assistant sheriff in December, 2018?
24	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
25	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: So you were assistant sheriff over

```
the investigation (inaudible) ongoing into the Kennedy Hall,
1
 2
     essentially?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 4
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Were you in the promotional process
 5
     of Chief Burson -- were you -- did you have some say in the
 6
     promotion of Chief Burson from captain to chief?
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: How did that happen?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: That, I don't recall.
9
10
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
12
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
13
               Can we just go back and (inaudible). Go back to when
14
     you got the (inaudible). Was that something you got at the
15
     conclusion of your training or some -- like , after you've been
16
     off training from the union, (inaudible) peers?
17
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was after training, but how long
18
     afterward, I don't recall. (Inaudible).
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
19
               But it wasn't the kind of thing, like, "I got off
20
     training." "Good for me." "I didn't go down," and your
21
22
     Caveman tattoo? That's not the situation; correct?
23
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
24
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
25
               So it was after you showed whatever you needed to
```

```
show that (inaudible) after you'd been off training that you
1
 2
     then went to the (inaudible) and got the Caveman tattoo?
 3
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 4
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Did you ever (inaudible) East LA '87
 5
     or Industry?
 6
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 7
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: (Inaudible)?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I went to recruitment in '87.
 8
9
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Oh, okay.
10
               How long were you there?
11
          MR. MURAKAMI: A couple of years.
12
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
               (Inaudible). When did you get your (inaudible)?
13
14
          MR. MURAKAMI: (No audible response.)
15
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: (Inaudible)?
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
17
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: (Inaudible).
18
          MR. MURAKAMI: At least maybe 14 years in, so maybe '93.
19
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
20
               And you (inaudible)?
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
22
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: So you never worked Industry
23
     together?
24
          MR. MURAKAMI: Never.
25
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
```

(Inaudible). 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2. CHAIR KENNEDY: Luis?

> COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I wanted just to go back to the Fort Apache (inaudible), and correct me if I'm wrong, but as far as I think the record reflected, the logo was really born out of (inaudible) East LA residents and (inaudible) East LA and (inaudible) LA Sheriff station and the (inaudible) were protesters in the 1970s shown (inaudible). So (inaudible) that history context, I mean, shortly afterward, (inaudible) then Sheriff Pitchess talked about taking a low profile.

(Inaudible).

So I raise that now because when we hear it, in my time as commissioner, spent time in and out of county jail. You know, I go back to 1992 with Coats (phonetic) Report, and we have the 2011 CCJV report, the RAND report. We had Sarah Gupta (phonetic) who sat before the Board of Supervisors and -that don't like (inaudible). And I have personal (inaudible) in law enforcement. I have a little experience with jail and prison. And when I hear the discussion of station tattoos and those tattoos that meet the legal definition of PC 13670, in every station that there is, if it was up on the wall, that would be a company (inaudible) description of what this, you know, (inaudible) has in Century and has a emblem or logo that's painted on the wall. I think every station has one (inaudible).

And from my understanding, with, like, April Tardy, 1 2. she got a station tattoo that matches the station's identifying logo that's found on (inaudible) substation. 3 Now, per the -- this administration, the policy for 4 5 deputy gangs in the Sheriff's Department is out of line with PC 6 13670, is in this meet-and-confer process. 7 And you're retired (inaudible), you know, by both (inaudible) both retired after 35 years, and so I wish you all 8 the best in retirement. 9 As a private citizen now, already from Los Angeles 10 11 County, given this history of this issue, whether it's 12 subgroups or blah, blah, blah, whatever it is, there's a 13 problem that (inaudible) by various county commissions, various 14 reports. Do you think this policy with this administration, 15 16 when it comes down and is enforced within the Department -- do 17 you think that will begin to differentiate between the station 18 tattoo and what's a tattoo that meets legal criteria of 19 PC 13670? MR. MURAKAMI: I think they're walking a difficult line 20 trying to decide what's a good tattoo, what's a bad tattoo. 21 22 That's why, in general, will the Department ban tattoos? 23 (Inaudible) upon a regular tattoo different from one with a (inaudible). Well, we couldn't differentiate. So, again, we 24 25 came up with a policy that they couldn't be showing any

```
1
     tattoos. So any time the Department's going to try to decide,
 2
     well, this group's okay, that one is bad, is going to open a
 3
     can of worms to the extent of lawsuits, because the criteria
 4
     (inaudible), or you deal with it as it comes.
 5
               And, again, we looked at quantity as: "If they're in
 6
     violation (inaudible), then (inaudible) associated with a group
 7
     (inaudible) in the policy."
               But a tattoo itself, alone, you know, there's a lot
 8
     of street gangs. And I said, "If a guy walked in here with a
 9
10
     "18th Street" tattoo, I'd identify him as a gang member."
11
     There's certain criteria that need to be met. (Inaudible)
12
     identifying tattoos. Well, there's (inaudible) that need to be
13
     checked off because that (inaudible) the soon-to-be (inaudible)
14
     Sheriff Luna is walking that line to say, "This one's okay, and
     this one's bad." You know, (inaudible). If he can figure out,
15
16
     hats off to him. But if not, you need to (inaudible).
17
          CHAIR KENNEDY:
                          (Inaudible).
18
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Two questions.
19
               Back to Cavemen. Is there any correlation between
     the tattoo (inaudible) watch at the station? For instance, the
20
21
     morning people have Caveman tattoos; the p.m. people have
22
     Banditos, (inaudible). Was there any correlation, meaning,
23
     that that tattoo is watch (inaudible)?
          MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe so.
24
25
          COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
```

Based on when -- you've been in law enforcement a 1 2 long time. (Inaudible) a new deputy sheriff any advice if he 3 came to you and said, "Hey, you know, I'm getting a tattoo"? 4 What would your advice be --MR. MURAKAMI: Well, in light of what's going on there, we 5 6 had chiefs, myself, command staff, (inaudible) with the patrol 7 (inaudible) stations discouraging getting a tattoo, whether they think they're good or bad is not -- it's not a good thing. 8 You know, it's not sending out the message that you want. So 9 10 we're strongly telling them not to get a tattoo. And that's 11 our advice. 12 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Good. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So in light of that, Mr. Murakami, as the former second in command of the sheriff's station, is it 14 15 your opinion that you can't fire or discipline a sworn law 16 enforcement member who has a KKK tattoo or a Nazi tattoo? 17 MR. MURAKAMI: I don't think we can. CHAIR KENNEDY: So deputy sheriffs can walk around with a 18 19 swastika tattoo on their body, and that's not -- no one can do anything about that? 20 21 MR. MURAKAMI: I think as long as it's covered or -- I 22 mean, that's my belief. I could be wrong though. 23 CHAIR KENNEDY: If they shoot members of public, people who are of color, it's irrelevant, and you can't do anything 24 25 when they have a KKK tattoo because that's their First

Amendment right, in your view? 1 2. MR. MURAKAMI: I think you're taking it to another level. 3 CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, I'm asking you a question. 4 MR. MURAKAMI: Yeah, I know. 5 As far as the criminal aspect, no. But in terms of 6 liability, it'd be a mess. CHAIR KENNEDY: What about firing? disciplining? Like, "I don't want you in my law enforcement agency policing the public 8 with a swastika tattoo." 9 MR. MURAKAMI: I'm not sure if you could. 10 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. 11 12 And you said that whether you can -- or you can't use 13 the tattoo as evidence, really, of anything; right? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: What do you mean? 15 CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, you said that you can't arrest a 16 gang member with an 18th Street tattoo because a tattoo is not 17 enough. 18 MR. MURAKAMI: Right. 19 CHAIR KENNEDY: But isn't it true that it is one of the 20 five or six things you'd use to make the opinion that someone 21 is gang-involved? 22 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). 23 CHAIR KENNEDY: One. That's what I said. One of the five or six criteria; right? 24 25 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes.

CHAIR KENNEDY: So why isn't a deputy gang tattoo on an 1 2. officer one of the criteria that could be used to decide 3 whether they're violating Penal Code 13670, which prohibits 4 members of any law enforcement gang -- and that's the 5 Legislature's term, not mine. 6 MR. MURAKAMI: I don't believe you can. It's within their rights under the First Amendment. Again, you're drawing it out 7 further than it should be. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: So you can use the tattoo as 9 circumstantial evidence of proof that a young person is in a 10 11 criminal street gang, but you can't use the tattoo as 12 circumstantial evidence that a member of the Sheriff's 13 Department may be a member of a law enforcement gang? 14 MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible). Honestly, I'm offended that 15 you're comparing (inaudible). 16 CHAIR KENNEDY: I'm going to -- I'm actually going to 17 (inaudible). I'm going to ask you, are you alleging the 18 statute refers to groups that associate together under a common 19 symbol, and it states a tattoo. And you're telling me, as the sworn second in command of the Sheriff's Department, that you 20 cannot use that as evidence of anything with respect to law 21 22 enforcement gangs? 23 MR. MURAKAMI: Well, I'm telling you that's my belief, and if Sheriff Luna believes otherwise, he can do what he wants to 24 25 do now. I'm done. But --

1	CHAIR KENNEDY: I understand that.
2	And do you think well, first I should say, is this
3	the first time, Mr. Murakami, that you've publicly admitted
4	that you have a Caveman tattoo?
5	MR. MURAKAMI: I believe so.
6	CHAIR KENNEDY: And, in fact, in the past, haven't you
7	denied that you were affiliated with the Cavemen?
8	MR. MURAKAMI: I wasn't responsive.
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: I'm sorry.
10	MR. MURAKAMI: Unresponsive.
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: I don't understand your answer.
12	Have you, in the past, denied that you were
13	affiliated with the Cavemen?
14	MR. MURAKAMI: I wasn't responsive; I didn't respond.
15	CHAIR KENNEDY: You were unresponsive.
16	So you were trying to deliberately evade answering
17	that question?
18	MR. MURAKAMI: That's a personal question, so yes,
19	(inaudible).
20	CHAIR KENNEDY: And is that were you trying to evade
21	answering the question because you knew it would be perceived
22	as a improper thing for a law enforcement officer to do?
23	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
24	CHAIR KENNEDY: No.
25	Do you think that you, as the undersheriff, suffered

1	from a conflict of interest in making decisions about whether
2	or not to investigate the deputy gang within the ranks?
3	MR. MURAKAMI: Again, (inaudible) your use of the word
4	"deputy gangs."
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Law enforcement gangs. I'll use the
6	statutory language.
7	Do you think that you have a conflict of interest in
8	making decisions whether or not to investigate a law
9	enforcement gang because you yourself have a Caveman tattoo?
10	MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Now, I think in 2020 you said that you
12	removed all of your Caveman tattoo; is that right?
13	MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: And you did that because you no longer
15	wanted to be associated with the Cavemen?
16	MR. MURAKAMI: I guess you could say that.
17	CHAIR KENNEDY: And after you altered the Cavemen tattoo
18	to make it a different tattoo, did you recall ever bragging to
19	other individuals in a gym in Industry that they, quote, "Can't
20	get me now," unquote? Did you say that?
21	MR. MURAKAMI: Never, sir.
22	CHAIR KENNEDY: Did you tell three other law enforcement
23	members in a gym in Industry that you had removed the tattoo so
24	that nobody could get you now, they couldn't accuse you of
25	being a Caveman? Did you say that?

```
1
          MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir.
 2
          CHAIR KENNEDY: So if somebody said you said that, they
 3
     would be lying?
 4
          MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, sir.
 5
          CHAIR KENNEDY: And then my final question for you --
 6
     because you've been here a long time, and I do appreciate you
 7
     finally coming here -- is: Did you say that the Department had
     done a study of tattoos and shootings and that the list
 8
     (inaudible) found that there was no correlation?
 9
          MR. MURAKAMI: I believe, basically, there's -- it was
10
11
     based on the lawsuits. So they looked into officer motive.
12
     don't exactly know the details, but it was trying to look into
13
     a correlation between allegations of deputy subgroups and
14
     shootings, and they found no correlation.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: First of all, who did this?
15
          MR. MURAKAMI: It was (inaudible). He's the one who was
16
17
     fired. I can't remember his name. But you should be able to
18
     get it on PRA.
19
          CHAIR KENNEDY: What does he look like?
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: Male, white, kind of balding --
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Could be a lot of people.
21
          MR. MURAKAMI: -- not very tall. I can't remember his
22
23
     name.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: In this year? Year, about?
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: Probably -- I can give it a guess -- 2020,
```

```
maybe.
 1
 2.
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
               And I ask how could the commander of
 3
 4
     Risk Management Bureau conduct a study (inaudible) in the
 5
     correlation between having law enforcement gang tattoos and
 6
     shootings and find no correlation if none of you asked anybody
 7
     in the Department if they have any tattoo that's more a tattoo
     affiliated with a law enforcement gang?
 8
          MR. MURAKAMI: I think he did it based upon the
 9
     allegations from the lawsuits.
10
11
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. But my question is: How can we
12
     conduct any kind of valid study of having a deputy or law
13
     enforcement gang tattoo and police shootings when you've
14
     already told us that none of you asked anybody if they have a
     tattoo? So how can that study be valid?
15
16
          MR. MURAKAMI: If I'm not mistaken, I said (inaudible)
17
     based upon lawsuits.
18
          CHAIR KENNEDY: But how can you look into it? You just
19
     told us you won't ask anyone those questions.
20
          MR. MURAKAMI: I've stated my response and keep repeating
21
     it (inaudible), sir.
22
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, I know, and I'm trying to be
23
     quarrelsome, I'm just trying figure out how the study could be
     done.
24
25
          MR. MURAKAMI: (Inaudible).
```

CHAIR KENNEDY: And it's your claim as you sit here today 1 2 that no leader in the LASD can ask any deputy whether or not 3 they have one of these tattoos that are associated with a law 4 enforcement gang? 5 MR. MURAKAMI: I think it's changed now, and they are 6 asking. But I'm not positive (inaudible). But at the time we 7 were dealing with it, there was a concern over violating employee rights. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Do you ever worry about members of the 9 public who had to interact with deputies who have an 10 11 Executioner tattoo? 12 MR. MURAKAMI: No, sir. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: (Inaudible). 14 Patti? 15 COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: It looks like -- because, you know, 16 law's involved, personnel wellness is involved, 17 cultural beliefs involved. 18 Now, you made a decision to I think, in a sense, 19 alter your Caveman tattoo. You made that decision from your own volition. Nobody asked you to do that, and you decided to 20 21 do that. And did you say because of the kind of atmosphere, 22 groups like this, it became -- even though you may not have had 23 the intention when you got the tattoo -- it became something different? 24 25 MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: (Inaudible)? 1 2. MR. MURAKAMI: Yes, ma'am. 3 COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Now that you know you had all this 4 experience (inaudible) came to the Department and (inaudible) 5 to the community (inaudible), do you now -- would you say from 6 a reasonable person's perspective, that this whole issue of law 7 enforcement gangs, these tattoos that have menacing names --Executioners, Banditos -- these are not, like, Mickey Mouse 8 clubs. They don't have, you know, those kind of types. 9 They're not friendly. They're not warm and friendly titles. 10 11 They're kind of menacing. 12 So would you -- how do you feel now in your 13 retirement, as a citizen, what you've been through and watching 14 the Department, (inaudible) about this issue, that giving 15 (inaudible) that the public's trust has been violated by the --16 even the controversy, whether it's been proved 100 percent that there's evil behind it or there's been bad behavior or 17 18 actionable criminal activity, that the public community's trust 19 in the Department (inaudible) has been violated with this issue that we can't seem to dig our way out of? 20 21 MR. MURAKAMI: I think the intent of the deputies involved 22 is not anything bad, but the way it's being perceived now, 23 (inaudible), you know. That's why, you know, I recommend people not only 24 25 remove them, I definitely encourage the deputies, if they were

```
to get one -- because it's not sending out a positive message.
 1
 2
     And that was not the intent, you know.
 3
               But, again, the intent versus perception are two
 4
     different things, and public perception is important.
 5
               So, again, you know, it's -- we're talking about
 6
     (inaudible) don't do it anymore. It's foolish, and it is not
     achieving what they think it is. That's why I (inaudible).
 7
          COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you.
 8
 9
          CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Patti.
10
               Mr. Murakami, I wish I could say that your
11
     obligations are completely over as a (inaudible) but,
12
     hopefully, we can work them out. But your obligations to this
13
     commission today are certainly over, and I appreciate you
14
     coming and engaging in this difficult testimony of an issue
15
     that is equally hard for our community. So thank you.
16
               And then we're going to take public comment.
17
          MR. DELGADILLO: Public comment.
18
          CHAIR KENNEDY: We're going to take public comment.
19
          MR. DELGADILLO: (Inaudible), Michele Infante.
20
                          (Inaudible).
          CHAIR KENNEDY:
21
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good afternoon. You all know,
22
     (inaudible). I'm here really to, once again, amplify and keep
23
     in your minds Niani Finlayson, who was shot and murdered by
     Deputy Ty Shelton on December 4th. (Inaudible) her home, she
24
     called 911 to tell there was a violent situation, and this
25
```

happened in front of her nine-year-old daughter. 1 2. The reason I'm bringing this up is that 3 Deputy Ty Shelton has alleged ties to deputy gangs, and that's 4 what we're here to discuss. As far as which one, I am 5 uncertain. In the Antelope Valley, probably the Rattlesnakes 6 or the Cowboys. But I know they don't have a lot of 7 information about what happens up in the northern part of LA 8 County. What I can tell you is that he fits the pattern. back in the summer of 2020, he did the same thing, responded to 10 a domestic violence call, shot and killed an elder in the 11 12 community, Michael Thomas. 13 After he was on desk duty for several months was 14 returned to the streets, unleashed back on the residents of the 15 Antelope Valley. He was also promoted to the position of 16 training officer. 17 He seemed to have a certain type of community member 18 that he targets, both being black and always involved in 19 domestic violence call. I, unfortunately, and I say "unfortunately" watched 20 the video of (inaudible). I had to move away (inaudible) 21 22 myself because I was watching someone being murdered. 23 So the videos show -- the body cam shows that when the officer arrived as they were trying to kick down the door, 24 25 they heard some type of altercation in the home -- in the

They never announced that they were law 1 apartment. 2 enforcement. Would you answer the door if someone is kicking 3 at your door and you don't know who they are? Niani did open 4 the door. She had a knife in her hand, but that knife was 5 given to her by her nine-year-old daughter to protect herself 6 (inaudible) that was abusing her and put hands on her daughter. 7 In the 16 minutes it took them to get there, she never used it on the gentleman. If she was going to (inaudible) him, then 8 she would've done it before they arrived. 9 They come into the apartment, the deputy, actually --10 11 Shelton -- tosses aside the Taser, and in less than a few 12 minutes, shoots Niani four times in front of her daughter. 13 So I'm asking this body to please put independent 14 eyes on that. Do it for her. And also please dig in deeper to what's going on with the Rattlesnakes and the Cowboys. 15 16 Thank you. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 17 18 MR. DELGADILLO: Michele Infante, followed by Mark Brown. 19 MS. INFANTE: Good afternoon. My name is Michele Infante. I'm with Dignity and Power Now. 20 21 It's always interesting to sit up here during these 22 deputy gang hearings to watch a pathological liar come up 23 there, continuously talk and lie in front of all these people. It's interesting to watch a sociopath and a narcissist sit up 24 25 there and only do what's best for them. He had 43 years to do

something about what he saw, and he did nothing. And after 43 years, this man comes up here and wants us to believe that he can't remember anything.

It's not a culture. It's a way of life. It's not a culture. It's a way of life. They live it every day. They're killing people every day.

And I think that most of you that have been up here for a long time, with the exception of a few you, know that this is an organization they think's independent, but we need to have independent people, agencies, come in to be able to look at this kind of stuff.

Time after time and question after question was:

"What did you do about any of this stuff?" And they said they didn't have anything to substantiate. You have absolutely nothing after 43 years of families coming to you, complaint after complaint, complaining. It's not just the beating that these families are taking, that their loved ones are taking. These people's lives are being taken. They're not lost; they're being taken. These are homicides. These are homicides. These are homicides.

And you cannot come up here and say that you have a tattoo that only means pride. You had 43 years to show your pride and you didn't. What you showed us was that you're a sociopath.

You people are relentless; you have no remorse;

you're deceitful; you qaslight; and you don't care about 1 2 anyone. And every one of you know that what I'm saying is a 3 fact because all you have to go back and do is look year after 4 year at what these people are doing. 5 These people are dying, not from Sickle Cell Anemia. 6 They're not dying from hardening of the arteries. We have 16 7 bullets in the back of someone who's running away. So how many more times do we need to bring these 8 people up here? It's the same story. And the same families 9 10 are saying the same thing. 11 These people cannot investigate themself and it's 12 apparent. We need independent investigations just to look over 13 your own department because you can't do it. Everything is that you cannot substantiate. It's not that you can't 14 15 substantiate; it's that you're a fucking liar. That's what it 16 is. You're a liar. And you want to cover what's criminal. 17 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 18 MR. DELGADILLO: Mark Brown (phonetic), followed by Cindy 19 Navarro (phonetic). 20 MR. BROWN: I just have a couple of comments on the 21 testimony today that I heard. And I agree with the prior speaker that there seems 22 23 to be an element of all these professionals in the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department where there is a sociopathic element to 24 25 being unable to recognize the danger of a gang of professionals 1 | that carry weapons (inaudible).

2.

So the names we heard today of these groups were Grim Reaper, Executioners, Banditos, Red Devils. Those are not the names of any softball team I've ever heard. I think the testimony today, as the prior person said, it is embarrassingly sociopathic not to recognize that. Any child would recognize what those names mean.

The tattoos we've seen today: Skulls, guns pointed at you, dead man's hands. These are not logos for a softball team.

And I think it's absurd that the prior sheriff,

Villanueva, and this guy sitting in public and pretend as he
said, quote, "The intent of these deputies is not anything
bad."

You have those names, you have those graphic skull, guns pointed at you. "Not anything bad"? Again, I agree it's sociopathic. And I don't know how you're going to break this down in this group of professionals.

He agrees that they're menacing, but it's not anything bad. He agrees that this is for pride and hard work.

And it's all of these names and all of these designs.

We saw an ankle with a tattoo with a bullet hole in it today. But "this is not anything bad" said the second in command of the Sheriff's Department. That's what we're dealing with.

1	You all were professional and fantastic today, but
2	it's hard to watch.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
5	MR. DELGADILLO: Cindy Navarro.
6	MS. NAVARRO: Good afternoon, everybody.
7	I wanted to make it known it's just I believe that
8	the gangs within the police department (inaudible). And the
9	reason I'm saying this is because on my own personal matter, my
10	case, there's a judge involved. For a judge to backdate a
11	warrant on a plane boarding to Hawaii, that I found out is on
12	vacation, he wouldn't risk this job.
13	So I'm just letting you guys and the public aware of
14	my theory which involves other agencies and entities that are
15	involved in this.
16	Also, let me (inaudible) the Temple City Sheriff's
17	Department, Rubén Gonzalez, Steven Fielder, and
18	Marco Villanueva, who (inaudible) for your guidance.
19	(Inaudible).
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
22	MR. DELGADILLO: We have some online public comment.
23	First of all, we have Salina Cole (phonetic).
24	Can you please unmute yourself. Salina Cole, you can
25	go ahead?

Can you please unmute yourself Salina Cole? 1 2. MS. COLE: Yes. Can you hear me? 3 MR. DELGADILLO: Yes, we can. 4 MS. COLE: Okay. 5 First of all, I want to thank you guys for taking the 6 time out and listen to us as a community. I just want to say it's deep embedded within the County. As an employee, I see the union and the County. They 8 check and balance themselves as they make these rules and 9 policies that they don't follow within the Department. You 10 11 know, they use the union to protect themselves. But when the 12 union is responsible to check back to the County, it seems like 13 nothing is getting done, and I say that because I'm an affected 14 family. 15 And as we create these new policies, I really want 16 y'all to think about timeframe because in 2015, my daughter's 17 life was ruined by what LA County Sheriff's Department did in 18 the Antelope Valley. And we're on year eight, and she is still 19 (inaudible), and she is still having mental health issues trying to maintain her family. 20 21 And as a mother, to work for Los Angeles County and 22 to be a steward of SEIU 721 for 22 years and to witness the 23 behavior -- even in 2020, LA County Sheriffs holding my daughter for 14 days, playing with her life, as I'm calling on 24 25 the phone, frantically, identifying myself as a mother, as a

LA County employee, which department, and still being affected 1 2. because the people on the phone can only do so much when the 3 people in control are doing things that are criminal. 4 And so I say think about the cause and effect of 5 this. We are on year eight. 6 My grandson is 14 years old. He lost both of his 7 parents for the first five years of this death after the police killed -- and now it says on his death certificate, "homicide." 8 So it's not a question. It's a fact that he was murdered --9 right? -- by the police, and he got victimized. 10 But all of that don't even matter. What matters is 11 12 the people that are left behind in the recovery that we are 13 trying to recover from, and them still acting like this is not 14 a human rights issue for everybody involved, because it is. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. DELGADILLO: Next up is Jody Martinez (phonetic). 17 You've been unmuted. Please unmute yourself. 18 MS. MARTINEZ: I'd like to speak to the commission. 19 you for this examination of how deeply embedded deputy gangs, gang members with badges are embedded in the largest sheriff 20 21 department in our nation. The second in command has continued to deny deputy 22 23 gangs. And as the testimony revealed, he was not truthful when 24 asked questions prior. 25 Thank you to Commissioner Kennedy for drawing

attention to the fact that young youth in our community are held liable and received criminal enhancements when they contain a gang tattoo on their body.

2.

And yet, Murakami was unable to draw the connection that he couldn't utilize the same criteria based on the penal code, which identified gang tattoos. And he refused to by saying "How dare you draw a comparison between sworn deputies and the general public or a criminal," when, in fact, he alone, should know how deep the criminality is within his former department. He should know how many people who were formerly working for the Sheriff's Department were convicted of a crime.

I do have a unique perspective. I am the grandmother of a child who was shot and murdered by a member of the Banditos gang in East LA.

At the time of my grandson's murder, in June of 2019, different community members approached our family and identified the officer, Saavedra, from the East LA station, as being a member of a deputy gang. And yet, we had no proof until a very brave whistleblower came forward in an interview and identified Saavedra.

So our problem, those of us who grew up in East LA, have ties to East LA, and all of LA County, we're still dealing with deputy gang members that are still active, actively involved.

And it is a fallacy that these deputy gang members do

not wear their prejudice and their hate and their racism on 1 2. their bodies. And it is a fallacy that when they're out in the 3 community, they're not prejudiced against the communities that 4 they have identified with by their gang affiliation. 5 I don't know what needs to change except that these 6 deputy gang members be fired immediately. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. MR. DELGADILLO: This concludes our public comment. 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. I think that concludes this 10 11 hearing. 12 Anthony, Maura, Julia, I just wanted to thank you for 13 assisting this volunteer commission. We could never have 14 pulled off an examination like this without all of your hours of work. And with -- thank the firm that's (inaudible) for 15 16 donating their time to help us develop this evidence. We 17 really appreciate it. 18 That's going to conclude this hearing. I wish I 19 could announce the date of our regular hearing, but it isn't set (inaudible). We are going to be at Saint Anne's on the 20 13th, which is next Wednesday, and have our regular meeting. 21 22 I wish I could say that this concludes our special 23 hearings on deputy gangs, but as we all know, the witness refused -- sat down for some questions that relate to 24 25 discrimination claims that were sustained against him. So I'm

```
going to leave this open, and we may or may not have further
 1
 2
     hearings at a later date.
 3
                Thank you, everyone.
                       (The hearing was concluded.)
 4
 5
                                    -000-
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	) ss.
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
4	
5	I, LAURA GRIFFIN, AAERT CERT CET-1709, do hereby certify:
6	That said digitally recorded audio of the Civilian
7	Oversight Commission Hearing, March 7, 2024, was transcribed
8	into computer-generated text under my direction and
9	supervision, and I hereby certify the foregoing transcript to
10	the best of my ability.
11	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor
12	related to any party to said action nor in any way interested
13	in the outcome thereof.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name
15	this 17th day of April, 2024.
16	
17	
18	Raura Criffin
19	
20	LAURA GRIFFIN, AAERT CERT CET-1709
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

WORD INDEX	<b>2018</b> 40: <i>15</i> 41: <i>10</i> ,		106:2, 3 125:2, 4,	Amendment 32:4
	18, 23 42:2 43:24	<9>	11	126:1 127:7
<1>	96:8, 9, 15, 24	9 74:13	advised 117:18	<b>amplify</b> 134:22
1 2:15	119:23	<b>911</b> 134:25	Affairs 38:25	<b>Anemia</b> 138:5
<b>10</b> 74:16 75:7, 14,	<b>2019</b> 96:7 97:2	<b>93</b> 121: <i>18</i>	47:5 65:22 71:24	ANGELES 1:5
20, 21	117:4 143:15	75 121.10	85:14 90:19	4:5 16:17, 20, 23
<b>100</b> 133:16	<b>2020</b> 96:19	< A >	93:18 98:15	17:2, 23 20:19
<b>100</b> 133.70 <b>10-minute</b> 101:25		<b>AAERT</b> 146:5, 20	118:4	
	103:14, 22 105:4	-		22:15, 20, 23 23:4,
102:4	129:11 130:25	ability 53:11	affiliate 5:8	7 28:14 29:1
<b>11</b> 53:25 54: <i>1</i>	135:10 141:23	146:10	affiliated 98:2	44:15 54:3 58:5,
<b>11/27/2018</b> 56:23	<b>2022</b> 3:13 65:1, 9	<b>able</b> 13:1 24:16	128:7, 13 131:8	18 94:20 98:3
<b>12</b> 43:6, 8 49:5	74:1, 16, 20 75:7,	107:8 130:17	affiliation 27:1	123:10 138:23
56:22	22 77:13 117:4, 9	137:10	144:4	141:21 146:3
<b>1300</b> 43:25 56:23	<b>2024</b> 1:7 102: <i>15</i>	Absolutely 97:4	affiliations 37:21	<b>ankle</b> 7:1, 5, 7
<b>134</b> 2: <i>17</i>	146: <i>7</i> , <i>15</i>	137: <i>14</i>	affixed 31:25	8:12, 19 17:7, 17
<b>136</b> 2:18	<b>21</b> 76:17 77:13	<b>absurd</b> 139: <i>11</i>	affixing 74:22	25:23 67:6 70:3
<b>13670</b> 38:20	96: <i>15</i>	abusing 136:6	<b>afraid</b> 31:15	139:22
55:15 76:11	<b>21st</b> 75:22 76:19	academy 29:10	108:13	Anne's 144:20
78:2 <i>3</i> 79:5 81: <i>14</i>	<b>22</b> 96: <i>15</i> 141:22	access 78:6	afternoon 106:22	announce 35:20
82:23 83:10, 13,	<b>24</b> 102:24	accidental 116:3	134:21 136:19	144:19
19, 23 84:3	<b>27</b> 43:24	137:20	140:6	announced 136:1
122:20 123:6, 19	<b>28th</b> 41:10 42:2	accompanied 3:6	<b>afterward</b> 120:18	answer 11:12
127:3	20th 41.10 42.2	25:2	122:9	17:20 26:23
<b>138</b> 2:19	<3>	accountable	agencies 137:10	27:12, 13, 24 28:3
13th 144:21	<b>3</b> 2:15 79:2	110:24	140:14	31:22 38:18
	l .			
<b>14</b> 32:8, <i>12</i> 97:22	<b>3000</b> 70:20 71:1,	accurate 10:7	agency 79:6, 9, 21	55:11 56:8 61:16,
121:18 141:24	7	accuse 129:24	80:22 94:13	21 100:18 101:23
142:6	<b>3300</b> 104: <i>19</i>	accused 114:10	126:8	104:23 105:10
<b>140</b> 2:20	<b>35</b> 123:8	achieved 4:2 30:2	<b>ago</b> 15:24, 25	106: <i>13</i> 107: <i>1</i>
<b>141</b> 2:2 <i>1</i>		achieving 134:7	40:22 91:20	116:2 118:11, 14
<b>142</b> 2:22	<4>	acknowledge 28:9	113:7	128:11 136:2
<b>15</b> 103:22 105:4	<b>4</b> 33:21 76:2, 4	74:7, 10 78:23	<b>agree</b> 23:2, 6	answering 118:22
<b>15-minute</b> 73: <i>13</i> ,	<b>40</b> 12:6, 11 15:24	<b>Act</b> 102:16, 25	34:6, 10, 13 37:23,	128: <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>
14	26:12 113:7	<b>acting</b> 4:7, 9	24 80:7 82:11	answers 109:22
<b>16</b> 136:7 138:6	<b>40-plus</b> 7:10	142:13	84:22 89:20	110:8 118:8
<b>17</b> 102:8, 12, 21	<b>43</b> 3:20 136:25	<b>action</b> 49:1	104:17 138:22	Antelope 135:5,
<b>17th</b> 146: <i>15</i>	137:1, 15, 22	146:12	139: <i>16</i>	15 141:18
<b>18</b> 96: <i>1</i> 9	<b>4th</b> 134:24	actionable 133:18	agrees 139:19, 20	Anthony 2:12
<b>18,000</b> 109:9		actions 84:11	ahead 39:7 50:14	3:5 144:12
<b>18th</b> 124:10	<5>	88:3	140:25	antidiscrimination
126:16	<b>5</b> 51:9	active 71:13	<b>Aldama</b> 51:25	80:20
<b>19</b> 74:1, 20 75:4	<b>5,000</b> 37:6	143:23	Alex 8:18 96:13	anybody 44:13
96:15, 19, 24, 25	<b>50</b> 10: <i>18</i>	actively 143:23	117:7 119: <i>11</i> , <i>18</i>	83:18 86:15
1970s 122:8	20 10.10	activities 114:12	allegation 66:12	90:25 113:8
<b>1983</b> 16:24, 25	<6>	activity 133:18	88:8 92:15	131:6, 14
17:2, 6, 15 20:18	<b>6</b> 67:15, 22	actual 48:5 51:16	110:11	anybody's 69:16
	I .	1		
<b>1987</b> 16:24, 25	102:15, 24	add 118:7, 15	allegations 85:8,	anymore 111:22
17:3, 6, 15 20:18	<b>60</b> 60:7	additional 76:20	11, 20 90:9	134:6
<b>1992</b> 122: <i>14</i>		77:15 78:21	103:12, 24 110:16,	anytime 72:10
	<7>	99:16 102:7	<i>19</i> 114: <i>12</i> 130: <i>13</i>	<b>Apache</b> 17:24
<2>	<b>7</b> 1:7 69:19	addresses 76:2	131:10	18:7, 17, 20 19:2,
<b>2</b> 74:23	146:7	<b>adhere</b> 29: <i>14</i>	alleged 47:20	18 122:4
<b>20</b> 62:3 96:15	<b>721</b> 141:22	adherence 29:18	91:7 116:7 135:3	apartment 136:1,
<b>2000</b> 70:14, 18	<b>73</b> 45:23	administration	<b>alleging</b> 127:17	10
71:1, 4		71:10 123:4, 15	<b>Alley</b> 65:7 117:15	<b>apparent</b> 138:12
<b>2011</b> 122: <i>15</i>	< 8 >	admit 27:8	allowed 49:19	apparently 49:21
<b>2015</b> 68:24 69:9	<b>8</b> 73:23	admits 34:2	<b>alter</b> 6:9 132:19	appear 99:25
110:12 141:16	<b>832.7</b> 107:10	admitted 128:3	altercation 65:7,	<b>appeared</b> 16:7, 8
<b>2017</b> 40: <i>17</i> 95: <i>18</i>	<b>852.7</b> 104: <i>19</i>	advice 27:7, 22,	20 135:25	49:12 99:23
96:2, 18	<b>87</b> 121:4, 8	23 105:15, 17	<b>altered</b> 129:17	application 83:23
			Amara 106:22	
	I	I	100.22	I

<b>applied</b> 6:3, 15 7:7, 17, 20
applies 80:11 81:24
<b>apply</b> 80:6 81:7, 10 87:11
<b>appointed</b> 18:4
appreciate 3:9
118:22 130:6 134: <i>13</i> 144: <i>17</i> approached
143:16 approaches 31:13
approaching 60:6 approval 108:18
<b>approximately</b> 45:23 50:7
<b>April</b> 39:21 48:9 49:14 88:8, 12, 23
89: <i>14</i> 90: <i>3</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>7</i> ,
15 112:2 116:1, 12 117:22 123:1 146:15
<b>area</b> 6:4 40:20 79:16 111:9
areas 62:13 argument 112:19
arrest 126:15 arrests 13:19
54: <i>15</i> arrived 135:24
136:9 <b>arteries</b> 138:6
<b>article</b> 33:22 37:18 66:5
<b>aside</b> 35:8 136:11 <b>asked</b> 17:14
39: <i>18</i> 45: <i>6</i> , <i>15</i> 46: <i>4</i> , <i>21</i> 61: <i>18</i>
100:4 110:6 131:6, 14 132:20
142:24 <b>asking</b> 11:7 27:5,
6 35:8 37:23 39:4 48:23 61:7
126:3 132:6 136: <i>13</i>
<b>aspect</b> 126:5 <b>assault</b> 42:1, 5, 15
44:24 45:3, 17 assign 53:12
assigned 50:18 54:12
assignments 21:7 41:2, 4 48:12
assist 92:18 assistant 60:24
95:14, 20 96:1, 4 98:14 119:6, 8, 23,

```
25
assisting 144:13
associate 127:18
associated 8:22
39:19 49:3, 17
79:22 84:18
124:6 129:15
132:3
assume 38:16
assuming 21:11
83:14
atmosphere
132:21
attached 32:15, 22
103:3
attaching 102:17
attempted 86:6
ATTENDEES 2:2
attention 30:12
61:23 68:23
117:15 143:1
attire 25:10
attorney 79:9
92:6
Attorney's 94:21
95:1
audible 15:17
21:9 28:4 33:17
46:6, 10 52:10
56:4 59:24 63:24
88:5 96:11 97:15
98:17 108:4
121:14
audio 146:6
authorized 79:9
available 101:9
award 107:25
108:2
aware 4:16, 22
56:11 57:21
64:20, 22, 25 65:9,
11, 24 66:2, 14
67:3 85:7 101:1
107:13 109:3, 4
114:11 117:21
118:3 140:13
awareness 117:13
118:8
< B >
back 15:22 16:4
18:13, 14 19:24
20:2 27:16 49:4
53:25 54:1 56:21,
22 58:5 77:4, 12
101:25 111:1
120:13 122:3, 14
```

124:19 135:10, 14

138:3, 7 141:12

**backdate** 140:10

```
backs 15:1
backwards 78:19
bad 123:21 124:2,
15 125:8 133:17,
22 139:14, 16, 20,
23
badge 26:5, 6
badges 34:9
142:20
balance 141:9
balding 130:20
ban 123:22
Bandito 42:25
43:3 46:3 59:12
Banditos 35:4
39:12, 23 40:21,
22 42:8, 14, 17, 20
45:2, 10, 13, 16, 24
46:5, 14, 17 58:18,
22 59:16, 19, 23
60:3, 12 124:22
133:8 139:3
143:14
bar 106:25
based 22:8 28:3
37:20 46:11
80:18 81:9
100:23 101:3
104:3, 14, 23
105:7, 13, 24
106:3 109:21
110:15 114:4
125:1 130:11
131:9, 17 143:5
basically 6:1
11:13 21:18
22:12 24:15 30:5
39:22 130:10
basis 28:6, 7 38:3
100:12
bearing 64:2
beating 137:16
behavior 79:24
80:12 112:13
133:17 141:23
belief 114:17
125:22 127:23
beliefs 132:17
believe 3:20 8:20
9:4 12:12 15:4,
22 16:1, 24 17:4
23:12 29:24
31:11 33:1 34:15,
19 35:13 40:9, 17,
24 42:3, 6, 22
43:16, 20 45:14
46:15, 20 47:11,
19 49:10 50:10
52:23 53:19
56:24 57:11
58:24 60:11, 14,
```

21 62:1 63:22 67:2, 7 68:4 69:24 70:5 71:9, 25 72:21 75:12, 17 76:18, 22 77:1, 3, 7, 11 78:2, 5 82:24 83:3, 11, 20 85:13, 19, 20 88:1 90:13 91:23 92:14 95:11, 18 96:24 97:21 98:4, 22 99:8 101:18 103:6, 17 104:1 107:3 124:24 127:6 128:5 130:10 137:2 140:7 **believed** 39:23 50:4 **believes** 127:24 **bend** 78:18 best 30:2 50:2 111:8 112:22 123:9 136:25 146:10 better 6:24 20:15. 24 21:7 79:4 112:8 **beyond** 16:16 29:1 big 35:24 56:16 59:7, 25 60:3 bigger 43:9 **Bill** 108:24 **binder** 32:11 74:14 75:20 102:8 **bit** 5:22 41:8 black 8:2 9:14 22:1, 15, 19, 22, 25 23:4, 15, 19 86:13, 23 87:9, 18, 24 135:18 blacks 88:9 **blah** 123:12 **blue** 9:13, 14 **Board** 122:16 **boarding** 140:11 **bodies** 144:2 **body** 6:3 7:16 8:21 26:15 27:18 28:11 31:24 32:1 33:19 37:15 54:6 57:17 85:6, 24 125:19 135:23 136:13 143:3 **body's** 77:21 **BONNER** 1:14 2:6 10:18, 21, 25 11:6, 10, 21, 24 12:1, 3, 8, 10, 12,

*15*, *17*, *20*, *23* 16:*3* 21:5 39:8, 10, 12, 15, 17 40:1, 4, 6, 11, 13, 16, 18 46:21 49:6, 15 50:2, 6, 12 73:16 **book** 51:9 **boot** 18:21, 23 19:3 **born** 122:5 **bottom** 79:3 94:14 **Boulevard** 7:18 Bowling 65:7 117:15 Bovs 67:17, 23 70:14, 18, 20 71:1, 4,8 **bragging** 129:*18* brave 143:19 brawl 45:10, 13 117:10 breadth 84:2 break 73:7, 10, 11, 13 101:25 139:17 **brief** 110:4 **bring** 138:8 **bringing** 110:23 135:2 **broad** 80:4, 25 81:10, 11 82:4, 8, 13 **broader** 84:21 **Brown** 2:19 136:18 138:18, 20 building 33:5 **bullet** 139:22 **bullets** 138:7 **Bumped** 119:22 **bunch** 49:22 **Bureau** 91:6 92:12 131:4 Burson 4:14, 16, 19 8:16 43:2 44:3, 9, 14, 17 56:25 57:3, 7, 17 120:5, 6 Burson's 58:2 **business** 108:20 < C > **calf** 6:4

<C> calf 6:4
California 82:23
83:10, 23 84:2
92:6 146:1
call 21:20 26:6
35:22 117:18
135:11, 19
called 5:6, 9 10:3
15:4 17:19 18:19
21:3, 5 39:12

47:20 70:14
134:25
<b>caller</b> 20:21
calling 18:22
30:5 141:24
cam 135:23
Cancel 44:3
capably 92:23
93:3
capacity 28:20, 21 captain 20:11
<b>captain</b> 20:11
56.25 57.4 62.6
7, 14 90:17 96:4
118:24 119:4, 6,
14 120:6
Captain/Chief
44:3
<b>captains</b> 109: <i>13</i>
cards 68:11
care 138:1
Carl 97:18 99:9
Carlos 101:2
carry 114:19
139:1
carrying 9:3
case 44:25 54:2
99:17, 18 117:18,
19 118:4 140:10
category 80:19
cause 46:7
108: <i>13</i> 142: <i>4</i>
caused 79:14
Caveman 4:23
5:17 20 7:1 4
5:17, 20 7:1, 4 8:11, 12 9:3, 23 10:9, 22 11:2, 8,
0.11, 12 9:3, 23
10:9, 22 11:2, 8,
14, 22 12:4, 13
13:4, 9, 12 14:9
17:17 24:14, 22
25:7, 12, 16, 23
26:4, 14 28:10
54:22 58:22
60:19 114:7
120:22 121:2
124:2 <i>1</i> 128: <i>4</i>
129:9, 12, 25
132:19
Cavemen 5:6, 9,
12, 14, 15 9:25
10:3 12:13, 18
13:20, 23, 24 14:2,
11, 14, 20, 23, 25
15:3, 6, 9, 12, 16,
18, 21 16:6 17:7
20:2, 3, 7, 10, 15,
21, 24 21:7, 12, 23
1 22:2, 5, 17 23:11.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22:2, 5, 17 23:11, 16, 20, 22, 25
16, 20, 22, 25 24:20 58:11, 17
16, 20, 22, 25 24:20 58:11, 17 60:23 61:5. 8
16, 20, 22, 25 24:20 58:11, 17 60:23 61:5, 8 114:2 124:19
16, 20, 22, 25 24:20 58:11, 17 60:23 61:5, 8 114:2 124:19

128:7, 13 129:15, 17 CCJV 122:15 **Cell** 138:5 **Central** 112:*3* **Century** 122:23 **CERT** 146:5, 20 **certain** 13:19 14:2 29:19 40:22 45:1 48:21 53:12, 18 59:1, 2 85:4 108:23 114:10 124:11 135:17 Certainly 109:10 134:*13* certificate 142:8 **certify** 146:5, 9, 11 **CET-1709** 146:5, 20 **chain** 33:8 53:16 89:21, 23 90:16 109:10 116:24, 25 117:*3* 118:*1* **CHAIR** 1:12 2:3 3:2 12:25 26:20 27:11, 15 28:2, 5, 8 39:3 73:7, 9, 12, *15* 100:*18*, 22 101:9, 12 102:2, 5, 10, 16 104:9 106:8, 18, 21, 25 107:5, 12, 15, 21 111:16 113:2 118:19 122:2 124:17 125:13, 18, 23 126:3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23 127:1, 9, 16 128:1, 6, 9, 11, 15, 20, 24 129:5, 11, *14*, *17*, *22* 130:2, *5*, 15, 19, 21, 24 131:2, 11, 18, 22 132:1, 9, 13 134:9, 18, 20 136:17 138:17 140:4, 21 144:8, 10 **chaired** 99:24 chairing 100:7 Chairman 3:4 102:7 106:16 challenges 93:10 **chance** 29:11 51:22 **change** 144:5 **changed** 62:21 132:5 **charge** 105:5 charges 104:2 check 141:9, 12 **checked** 124:13 **chest** 9:5, 8

**chief** 39:21 43:2 44:9, 14, 17 56:25 57:3, 4, 7, 17 58:2 60:24 62:13, 22 67:4, 12 95:14 98:14 112:3 117:*16*, *17* 119:*4* 120:5, 6 **chiefs** 109:13 125:6 **child** 139:6 143:*13* choice 26:3 36:8 Chow 43:19, 21 44:18 **Cindy** 2:20 138:18 140:5 circumstance 30:2 circumstances 24:18 80:24 114:11 circumstantial 127:10, 12 **cities** 62:13 citizen 123:10 133:*13* **citizens** 90:23 91:2 City 61:24 62:5, 24 63:3 140:16 Civil 5:25 91:24 92:*1* 109:*1* 110:25 116:17 CIVILIAN 1:6 15:21 77:24 146:6 civilians 78:2 **claim** 132:1 **claims** 144:25 **clarify** 27:12 36:16, 21 118:23 clear 27:24 28:9 59:22 72:15, 16 76:13 80:11 101:16 105:3 **client** 105:16 106:2 clique 5:1 8:22 37:10 48:4 61:12, 19 86:7 92:11 **cliques** 12:24 34:13 35:11, 25 37:1, 4 63:1 71:13, 17, 21 72:7, 12, 19, 25 73:5 81:18 85:1, 7, 12 86:3, 25 87:3, 8, 13 92:6, 19, 23 93:4, 13, 23 94:2, 7, 15 95:10

**closest** 71:25 **club** 9:4 10:5, 8 **clubs** 34:18 133:9 Coats 122:14 Code 15:19 38:20 46:12 55:15 78:23 79:5 81:12 82:23 83:10, 23 84:3 104:19 115:8, 16, 21 127:3 143:6 coercion 112:18, 19 Cole 2:21 140:23, 24 141:1, 2, 4 colleagues 3:6 color 9:10, 14 125:24 colored 9:11 come 55:7 58:12 59:3 83:16 101:25 136:10, 22 137:10, 21 comes 123:16 124:4 137:2 **coming** 130:7 134:14 137:15 command 4:5 8:8 53:16 89:21, 23 90:16 109:10, *12* 110:*1*, 9 116:24, 25 117:3 118:2 125:6, 14 127:20 139:24 142:22 commander 62:8, 11, 22 90:17 103:23 119:7 131:3 commanders 109:13 **Comment** 2:*16* 17:10 134:16, 17, 18 140:22 144:9 comments 138:20 COMMISSION 1:6, 12 2:3 4:14, 23 30:11 38:22 50:22 52:25 67:4 134:13 142:18 144:13 146:7 COMMISSIONER 1:13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 10:18, 21, 25 11:6, 10, 21, 24 12:1, 3, 8, 10, 12, *15*, *17*, *20*, *23* 16:*3* 21:5 39:8, 10, 12, *15, 17* 40:*1, 4, 6*, 11, 13, 16, 18 49:6,

*15* 50:2, *6*, *12* 

96:11, 13, 23, 25 97:4 107:21, 22 108:2, 5, 16 109:2, *16*, *21* 110:*3*, *13* 111:3, 15, 17 112:12, 15, 23 113:1, 3, 6, 10, 13, *17*, *19*, *23* 114:*1*, *6*, 14, 23 115:4, 7, 10, 13, 15, 21, 24 116:6, 11, 23 117:2, 6, 8, 12, 21, 24 118:6, 11, 17, 20 119:2, 9, 13, 17, 20, 22, 25 120:4, 8, 10, 12, 19, 24 121:4, 7, 9, 12, 15, 17, 19, 22, 25 122:3, 13 124:18, 25 125:12 132:15 133:1, 3 134:8 142:25 COMMISSIONER **S** 2:4 3:4 13:3 commissioner's 13:8 commissions 123:13 commission's 88:3 102:16 **commit** 23:25 Committee 98:21 99:1, 11 **common** 127:18 communication 4:13 84:1 communications 83:22 communities 144:3 community 15:10 19:17, 20 25:15, 22 31:14, 18, 20 32:1 33:9 58:1 64:22 72:19 85:2 86:21 87:14 133:5 134:15 135:12, 17 141:6 143:1, 16 144:3 community's 133:18 **companies** 108:*19* company 82:7 122:22 compared 34:1 35:11 comparing 35:4 127:*15* comparison 34:21, 25 143:7 competent 44:21

1
complaining
137:16
<b>complaint</b> 88:1, 4
137:15, 16
complaints 87:18,
24 101:11
complete 76:12
<b>completely</b> 92:23 93:3 94:7 106:16
93:3 94:7 106:16
134: <i>11</i>
completeness 46:8
compliance 38:20
100.12
<b>comply</b> 108:12
Compton 46:22
48:4, 8 49:2, 7, 24
50:8, 19, 25 51:3,
7 53:3, 7 56:9
71:22, 24 72:2
90:3, 8 92:15, 21
94:17 109:5
110:18 111:4, 12,
20 112:3
computer-
generated 146:8
concern 46:7
132:7
concerned 19:18
94:11 108:7
109: <i>17</i>
<b>conclude</b> 144: <i>18</i>
concluded 45:9
49:14 103:15
145:4
concludes 144:9,
10, 22
conclusion 49:13
55:7 120:15
conduct 80:20
105:6, 23 131:4,
12
<b>conducted</b> 91:14,
16, 19 92:1
conducting 54:16
<b>confer</b> 100:13
102:19 104:5
confidential 36:7
confidentially 36:5
confirm 21:24
91:24
conflict 29:25
129:1, 7
confrontation
30:6, 8 64:20
connected 72:1
connection 43:3
44:11 53:22
56:24 77:16, 21
92:1 94:22, 23
101: <i>19</i> 143: <i>4</i>
conscious 71:16
<b>consent</b> 103:20

**consider** 54:7, 20 56:6 69:17 107:24 111:25 considered 31:21 88:21 103:25 107:9 consistent 19:13 55:15 68:20 87:16 constantly 108:6 constitution 82:24 constitutional 83:1 **contain** 143:*3* **contend** 76:16 contends 76:6 content 101:6 **context** 31:19 35:7 122:9 **continue** 64:*11* continued 142:22 continues 56:5 continuously 136:23 **contract** 62:13 **control** 142:3 controversial 110:22 controversy 133:16 conversation 112:7 convey 32:1 34:7 35:13 conveying 33:12 convicted 143:11 **COOPER** 1:*15* 2:7 107:22 108:2, 5, 16 109:2, 16, 21 110:3. 13 111:3. 15 cooperate 79:6 cooperation 85:23 86:3 copy 39:3 79:4 Corbin 3:6 corporations 108:19 **correct** 3:10, 13 4:11 5:1 8:16 11:15 18:4, 8 20:13 21:23 22:2, 5, 11 23:11 24:4 27:25 28:12 29:8, 20, 23 30:17, 21 33:10 40:23 41:6, 23 42:18, 21 45:13, 17 47:16 53:12, 18, 23 56:14, 19 57:1, 2 66:18 70:4 76:21 80:8 86:19 88:12 94:3, 4 96:4 97:9

98:6 99:5, 24 103:16, 25 104:4 106:4 120:22 122:4 correlation 91:6, 8, 9, 11 124:19, 22 130:9, 13, 14 131:5, 6 correspondence 76:17 could've 23:1 30:25 45:21 Counsel 2:12 13:2 27:7, 11, 22, 23 82:17, 21 83:9, 22 100:13 101:18, 19, 22 102:5, 12, 15, 19 104:5, 10, 17, 24 105:17 106:3, 15, 23 146:11 counsel's 83:12, 18 106:9, 11 COUNTY 1:5 54:3 69:6 82:17, 21 83:9, 12, 18, 22 99:8 102:15 103:8, 23 104:10, 17 105:5, 21 106:9, 11, 22, 23 122:13 123:11, 13 135:8 141:8, 12, *17*, *21*, *23* 142:*1* 143:22 146:3 county's 70:13, 21 countywide 68:4, 5 **couple** 43:16 114:17 121:11 138:20 **course** 87:21 **court** 3:15 100:20 101:15 cover 138:16 covered 32:7 125:2*1* Cowboys 135:6 136:15 create 141:15 created 99:1 creating 54:15 **crime** 143:11 criminal 81:20 93:19 99:14 126:5 127:11 133:18 138:16 142:3 143:2, 8 criminality 143:9 **crisis** 37:22 criteria 13:11 108:3 123:18

127:2 143:5 **cultural** 132:17 **culture** 137:4, 5 curious 116:2 current 40:9 <D> danger 138:25 dare 143:7 **data** 92:16 date 144:19 145:2 dated 74:1, 15 75:22 102:15 103:22 daughter 135:1 136:5, 6, 12 141:24 daughter's 141:16 **day** 6:5 7:3 57:18, 23 119:15 137:5, 6 146:15 days 141:24 **dead** 68:13 139:9 **deal** 6:1 26:21 48:24 56:16 108:11 124:4 **dealing** 103:20 109:11 132:7 139:24 143:22 death 31:6 142:7, 8 decades 4:17, 20 deceitful 138:1 **December** 40:17 95:18 96:2, 14, 15, 18 119:11, 23 134:24 decide 66:5 111:2*1* 123:2*1* 124:*1* 127:2 **decided** 132:20 **decision** 71:16 108:23 132:18, 19 **decisions** 129:1, 8 declared 37:19 deep 141:7 143:9 **deeper** 136:14 **deeply** 142:19 deescalate 29:19 30:*1* define 81:9 **defined** 79:20 82:2 definitely 133:25 definition 79:17 81:10, 12 122:20 **Del** 67:4, 10, 12 **DELGADILLO** 134:17, 19 136:18 138:18 140:5, 22

124:3, 11 126:24

141:3 142:16 144:9 deliberately 128:16 deliberative 54:9, 23 55:16 56:12 **denied** 128:7, 12 deny 24:10 142:22 DEPARTMENT 1:9 3:19, 24 4:2, 5, 11 5:5, 20 8:8, 13, 22, 25 15:1, 16 23:3 24:16 26:25 27:10 28:18, 19 29:16 34:1 35:5, 16, 25 36:9, 10, 15 37:1, 4, 10, 15 38:5 39:4 41:5, 19, 22 42:25 45:3 46:17 47:7 48:13 49:20 52:12 54:19 60:10, 13, 20 65:14 70:7 71:17, 21 72:8, 14, 20 73:4 75:25 76:3, 9, 14, 16, 20, 23 77:16 78:11, 15, 18, 24 79:13, 14 84:9, 12, 24 85:7, 23 86:2, 13, 22 87:1, 3, 9, 13 89:22 91:1 92:5, 19, 20, 22, 24 93:2, 11, 15, 24 94:3, 5, 11, 15, 20 98:3 99:21 101:3 103:4 105:13, 24 108:8, 18 109:4, 7, *12* 112:8 115:5 123:5, 16, 22 127:13, 20 130:7 131:7 133:4, 14, 19 138:13, 24 139:24 140:8, 17 141:10, 17 142:1, 21 143:10, 11 departmental 34:17 **Department's** 34:16, 20 54:4 103:15 124:1 depends 78:8 109:8, 14 **depth** 49:9 **deputies** 12:12 18:13, 19, 22 20:3 22:15, 19, 22 24:10, 19 25:2 28:18, 22, 25 29:3, 7, 13, 19 33:10, 13

l
37:20 38:14
45.10 47.0 12 16
45:10 47:9, 12, 16
48:21 49:2, 12, 16
50.8 53.12 18
48:21 49:2, 12, 16 50:8 53:12, 18 54:4, 11, 12, 18, 20
54:4, 11, 12, 18, 20
55.6 56.2 8
55:6 56:2, 8 58:21 64:21 65:1,
58:21 64:21 65:1,
6, 24 66:3, 21, 23
0,24 00.3,21,23
67:1 85:11 86:1
95:5, 9 110: <i>1</i> 9
112:5, 7 114:2 <i>4</i>
117:10 132:10
133:21, 25 139:13
143:7
<b>DEPUTY</b> 1:9 6:2 7:20 11:1, 8, 14,
7.20 11.1 8 14
7.20 11.1, 0, 14,
21 12:4, 24 13:9
15:4 16:4, 15
20:19 24:13
25:16, 21 26:8, 19,
25.10, 21 20.0, 19,
21 28:15 29:4, 6,
23 31:13, 25 34:1,
25 51.15, 25 54:1,
5, 13, 18 35:2, 11,
19 37:16, 20
39:18 41:20
17.21 19.6 10.6
47:21 48:6 49:6,
7, 8 51:11, 25
52.10 52.7 10 15
52:19 53:7, 10, 15 54:13 55:9, 13
54:13 55:9, 13
56.7 50.2 61.10
30:7 38:3 01:10,
56:7 58:3 61:10, 11, 18 64:2 66:12,
15 68:25 69:9
70:3 74:2, 8, 11
75:11 76:25
77:17, 22 78:12
79:17 81:7, 9
85:1, 22 88:22
95:5 97:12, 14
107:24 108:8
109:6, <i>11</i> 110:7
109.0, 11 110.7
123:5 125:2, 18
123:5 125:2, 18
123:5 125:2, <i>18</i> 127: <i>1</i> 129:2, <i>4</i>
123:5 125:2, 18 127:1 129:2, 4 130:13 131:12
123:5 125:2, 18 127:1 129:2, 4 130:13 131:12
132:2 134:24
132:2 134:24
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18,
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18,
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17 105:20
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17 105:20
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17 105:20 detailed 74:1
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17 105:20 detailed 74:1 details 130:12
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17 105:20 detailed 74:1 details 130:12
132:2 134:24 135:3 136:10, 22 142:19, 22 143:18, 23, 25 144:6, 23 deputy's 51:21 describe 9:2 100:16 description 122:22 designs 139:21 desire 88:6 desk 109:15 135:13 despite 37:25 42:24 76:17 105:20 detailed 74:1

determination 104:17 determine 45:16 47:24 48:3 71:12 determining 54:5 **develop** 144:16 **Devil** 59:10 **Devils** 58:7, 15, 17, 22 59:2, 7, 16, 19, 23, 25 60:9 139:3 difference 35:24 79:16 114:1, 2, 7, differences 34:12 different 19:20 41:2 59:1, 5 62:1, 3 93:11 106:16 123:23 129:18 132:24 134:4 143:16 differentiate 123:17, 24 difficult 10:4 123:20 134:14 dig 133:20 136:14 digitally 146:6 **Dignity** 136:20 direct 44:9 46:18 84:10, 25 85:15 90:8 95:8 directed 94:1 direction 39:22 44:13 48:8 58:3 90:17 101:22 146:8 **directly** 116:8, 13 disagree 82:11 disagreeing 82:12 disbanded 99:5 discipline 89:22, 24 105:22, 25 115:3, 6 125:15 disciplining 126:7 discouraging 125:7 discriminate 100:12, 23 discriminating 80:18 discrimination 103:16 104:3, 14 144:25 discuss 83:9 101:6 135:4 discussed 92:15 discussion 111:24 122:19 displayed 18:10 **District** 94:20 95:1 **Division** 103:23

**document** 43:15 102:7 103:22 104:9, 11, 12 documents 76:13 77:9, 15, 21 78:6 82:15, 16 103:4, 5, *18* 104:7 106:*1*2 **doing** 54:14 114:10 138:4 142:3 domestic 135:11, 19 don 12:14 77:14 **donating** 144:*16* door 135:24 136:2, 3, 4 dozen 50:10 112:22, 23 **draw** 143:4, 7 drawing 127:7 142:25 drinking 66:3 drunken 45:9 117:10, 14 **due** 44:3 **duties** 119:8 duty 25:16 135:*13* dying 138:5, 6 <E> East 5:1, 9 9:4, 7 10:22 11:1, 6, 14 14:11, 15 16:4, 14, *17*, *19*, *23* 17:2, *23* 18:7, 17 20:19 22:15, 20, 23 23:7 25:9, 13 28:14 29:1 40:23 41:1 42:14 44:5, 10, 15, 19, 22 45:8 49:2 58:5, 18 90:2, 8 92:15, 20 94:17 111:4, 12, 20 112:3 121:4 122:6 143:14, 17, 21, 22 **effect** 142:4 **effort** 46:16 48:3 eight 141:18 142:5 **either** 9:4, 7 39:1 65:21 70:7 **ELA** 9:7 44:6 elder 135:11 elected 18:4 96:14, 18 **election** 96:16 **element** 138:23, 24 **elevate** 119:18

elevated 57:7 60:24 61:3 96:7, 21 97:5 elevation 57:4 58:2 **emails** 83:21, 25 embarrassingly 139:5 **embedded** 141:7 142:19, 20 **emblem** 122:23 employed 3:18 employee 93:7 108:11 111:10, 13 132:8 141:8 142:1 employees 80:21 108:19 109:9 encourage 133:25 **enforced** 123:16 enforcement 57:24 68:2 77:25 78:3 79:6, 20, 21 81:11 84:15, 17 85:2 92:5 100:22 115:11, 13, 15, 21 122:18 125:1, 16 126:8 127:4, 13, 22 128:22 129:5. 9. 22 131:5, 8, 13 132:4 133:7 136:2 engage 14:2, 17 79:24 80:12 **engaged** 14:21 engaging 80:20 89:25 134:14 enhancements 143:2 enhances 86:21 **ensure** 76:12 entered 99:9 **entire** 38:25 47:22 **entities** 140:14 entry 43:24 56:23, 24 envision 24:18 **episode** 117:*13* **epithets** 100:15, 24 **equality** 104:3, 14 105:6 **equally** 134:15 Equity 103:8, 13, 23 105:5, 21 equivalent 34:5 62:7 especially 15:7 essentially 9:3 13:13 49:19 62:12 120:2

**estimate** 10:11 37:8 et 104:19 **ethical** 54:16 **ethnic** 100:15 evade 128:16, 20 event 41:9 56:19 66:6 68:23 events 14:20 16:7, 9 41:13, 15 42:11 69:4 Eventually 41:20 everybody 111:8 140:6 142:14 evidence 52:25 85:19 99:13 126:13 127:10, 12, 21 144:16 evil 133:17 **exactly** 77:18 130:12 examination 142:19 144:14 exception 137:8 excessive 80:23 90:23 91:2, 4 exchange 37:19 exclude 86:13, 22 87:1, 4, 9, 13, 18, 24 **excluded** 86:15 99:13 excluding 80:17 exclusion 88:8, 9 exclusionary 34:13, 18 35:11 36:1, 25 37:4 42:8 48:4 58:9 61:12, 19 62:25 67:19 70:13 71:13, 17 72:12. 19 73:5 85:6 88:17 89:21 92:18 95:6, 10 exculpatory 99:13 **Excuse** 14:13 84:6 Executioner 48:15 49:8 51:6, 14 52:22 53:1 55:2 114:7 132:11 Executioners 35:4 46:22 47:16, 21, 25 49:17, 18, 24 50:9 51:3, 11, 18 53:21 114:3, 9 133:8 139:3 **exempt** 104:18 exercise 78:7 Exhibit 32:8, 12 33:21 43:6, 8

gay 8:5 22:4

46:11 49:5 51:9 53:25 54:1 56:21, 22 67:15, 22 69:16, 19 73:23 74:13 75:20, 21 79:2 97:22 102:12, 21 exist 64:9, 11 93:23 115:15 existed 15:22 51:3 64:6 71:5, 8 118:7 existence 36:14 42:14 46:17 49:7 51:6 62:25 71:16, 21 72:1, 4, 7, 24 84:11 87:18, 24 **exists** 30:19 115:*13* **expect** 29:3 106:17 expected 14:25 15:9 30:*1* experience 29:4 122:18 133:4 **Explain** 34:24 112:1 **explained** 116:18 explaining 5:25 expression 84:21, 22 extent 77:2 124:3 extreme 30:9 eyes 136:14 < F >

**face** 64:3 66:12 115:6 facilitate 72:23 73:2 fact 4:19 6:22 34:7 47:24 66:24 76:4 78:20 94:10 110:4 114:20, 21 116:19, 21 117:16 128:6 138:3 142:9 143:1,8 **facts** 90:3 **factual** 116:5 **Fair** 71:3 99:12 112:17 **fairly** 52:3 56:12 93:13 faithful 23:3 **fallacy** 143:25 144:2 familiar 58:6 103:8 **families** 137:15, *17* 138:9

**family** 141:14, 20 143:16 fantastic 140:1 **far** 11:17 16:10 20:5 24:14 25:18 31:20 35:20 37:12 38:7 39:20 40:1 45:5 46:11 48:8, 25 55:16 63:18 64:1, 10, 13 67:21 70:1, 16 81:19 114:9, 11 122:5 126:5 135:4 fault 117:22 **favor** 11:9, 11 53:21 favoritism 21:8 **FBI** 92:16, 17 110:21, 23 February 65:1 74:16 75:6, 14 117:9 **federal** 80:19 92:12 111:1 **fee** 14:8 **feel** 133:12 **feeling** 110:17 fellowship 21:20 **field** 54:14 **Fielder** 140:17 **fifth** 43:7 fight 42:23 46:18 110:19 117:15 **fights** 14:3 **figure** 52:5 53:1 124:15 131:23 **file** 78:16 103:19 104:7 **final** 130:5 **finally** 130:7 **find** 47:21 68:7 72:11 107:15 131:6 **finding** 72:*3* findings 85:17 105:14 **fine** 105:2 **finish** 13:2 **Finlayson** 134:23 fire 52:5, 7 125:15 **fired** 47:9 130:17 144:6 **firing** 126:7 **firm** 144:15 **first** 15:23 32:3 49:23 65:11 66:8 76:5 79:19 95:19, 25 96:20 97:5

125:25 127:7

128:2, *3* 130:*15* 140:23 141:5 142:7 **fits** 135:9 **five** 6:12 16:1, 2 126:20, 23 142:7 **fixing** 114:*15* **flag** 14:19 53:1 **flames** 52:8 flashed 65:25 **flaunt** 26:1, 4 focus 30:12 47:15, 18 56:21 79:3 **focused** 79:13 follow 27:7, 22 33:14 105:2 106:17 111:17 141:10 **followed** 136:18 138:18 following 3:16 82:2 105:17 108:22 113:15 follow-up 13:7 46:4 117:24 118:3 **foolish** 134:6 **football** 14:19 **force** 80:23 90:23 91:2, 4 111:11 112:*10* 118:*1* foregoing 146:9 form 105:22 former 3:23 8:18 18:3 38:4 57:6, 9 60:22 74:1 84:25 86:5 125:14 143:9 **formerly** 143:*10* Fort 17:24 18:7. 17, 20 19:2, 18 122:4 Forty-three 3:21 **forward** 143:19 **found** 18:17 91:8, 9 104:13 105:5 123:3 130:9, 14 140:11 **four** 136:12 frantically 141:25 frequently 21:15 98:16, 18 **friendly** 133:10 front 32:11 33:23 52:25 67:22 98:8 135:*1* 136:*1*2, *23* **fucking** 138:15 **full** 42:10.13 fullness 46:8

**fully** 13:2 92:23 93:2, 13 94:6 114:11 118:4 function 78:7 functions 17:2 25:9, 13 **fundamental** 19:14 29:14 30:22 31:10 33:15 34:12 68:21 79:25 80:8, 13 81:25 86:12, 18 87:17, 23 **further** 80:15 89:17 106:6 107:20 118:18 127:8 145:*1* 146:11 <G> Gage 103:22 Gang 17:4, 7, 10, 15, 18, 21 37:20 38:16, 17 43:3 46:3 55:9 58:3, 6 68:2 79:17, 20 80:11 84:17 107:24 116:7 124:10 126:16 127:1, 4, 11, 13 129:2, 9 131:5, 8, 13 132:4 136:22 138:25 142:20 143:3, 6, 14, 18, 23, 25 144:4, 6 gang-involved 126:2*1* GANGS 1:9 26:19, 21 34:1, 5, 13, 18 35:11, 19, 21 37:16 55:13 57:19, 24 59:1 74:2, 8, 11 75:11 76:25 77:17, 22 78:13 79:7 81:7, 9, 11 85:1, 2 92:5 95:5 97:13, 14 100:22 110:7

123:5 124:9

133:7 135:3

**GARCIA** 1:16

gaslight 138:1

gather 14:11, 14

2:11 122:3

144:23

21

127:22 129:4, 5

140:8 142:19, 23

gargantuan 37:16,

86:13, 23 87:19, **General** 73:25 74:16 75:10, 23 78:20, 25 79:8, 9 84:1 92:6 93:23 123:22 143:8 generally 60:10 92:24 General's 72:24 73:4 gentleman 136:8 getting 21:17, 20 56:16 113:10, 20 125:3, 7 141:13 GIGGANS 1:17 2:8 111:17 112:12, 15, 23 113:1 132:15 133:1, 3 134:8 give 11:12 23:18 37:8 63:10 110:21 119:9 130:25 **given** 101:22 123:11 136:5 **giving** 90:17 133:14 glad 79:18 106:18 Gladiator 50:25 **go** 20:2 38:19 39:7 41:1 43:10 48:11 49:4 50:14 53:25 54:1 56:22 59:2 67:15 79:3, 19 81:2 82:17, 22 83:1, 6, 9 92:17 97:22 112:9 117:15 119:13 120:13, 21 122:3. 14 138:3 140:25 goals 29:22 goes 77:2 80:15 **going** 31:14 36:15 43:6, 7 49:4 50:12 73:22 74:13 75:16, 19 80:25 82:20, 21 93:7 100:18 102:8 105:*1* 106:4, 12 107:16 108:25 109:1, 3, 6 124:1, 2 125:5 127:16, 17 134:16, *18* 136:8, *15* 139:17 144:18, 20 145:*1* **Gonzalez** 140:*17* Good 3:4 12:22 13:14, 16 55:6

73:9 106:22 120:21 123:21 125:8, 12 134:21 136:19 140:6 Government 104:19 grandmother 143:12 grandson 142:6 **grandson's** 143:15 graphic 139:15 **greater** 92:18 grew 143:21 **GRIFFIN** 146:5, Grim 30:12, 13, 24 31:6, 14, 17, 24, 25 32:13 33:16 35:4 67:5, 8, 13 97:20, 23, 24 100:3, 8 139:2 **group** 5:9 7:23 8:1, 4, 7 10:3 14:12, 14 15:16 21:22 22:8 23:11 24:1 38:24 59:20 66:3 72:4 79:20 88:8 90:14, 19 93:15 111:21 124:6 139:18 groups 23:10 36:21, 24 44:5, 10, 14, 22, 23 58:3 59:5 86:12, 22 95:6 116:16 127:18 132:22 139:2 **group's** 124:2 guess 12:2 21:19 49:13 52:4 69:17 73:16 106:9 107:15 129:16 130:25 guessing 112:22 guidance 28:17 29:7 82:18 140:18 **gun** 68:8 guns 34:9 139:8, 16 gunshot 70:4 **Gupta** 122:16 guy 26:10 124:9 139:12 guys 140:13 141:5 gym 129:19, 23 <H> **HAGANS** 1:15 2:7

**Hall** 41:8, 10, 13, 16 42:2, 11, 15, 18, 21 43:4, 22 44:11, 23 45:3, 17, 20 46:9, 19 57:19 120:*1* hand 42:20 68:14 102:9 136:4 **handgun** 65:25 **handle** 99:1, 3 **handled** 109:13 117:16 hands 64:3 136:6 139:9 hang 32:16 HANS 1:19 2:10 happen 32:23, 24 55:24 114:25 120:8 happened 41:9 42:20 45:3, 7 46:19 48:20 68:24 71:10 109:19 110:12 111:6 135:*1* **happens** 38:21 65:18 109:9, 23 110:17 111:10 135:7 harassed 85:23 86:2 harassing 80:17 harassment 103:16 **hard** 12:7 21:19 22:8, 12 36:21 38:2 53:9 58:10 98:13 134:15 139:20 140:2 hardening 138:6 hardworking 6:2 22:22 23:3, 8 26:8, 10 55:6 67:*1* **HARRIS** 1:18 2:9 96:11, 13, 23, 25 97:4 118:20 119:2, 9, 13, 17, 20, 22, 25 120:4, 8, 10, *12*, *19*, *24* 121:4, *7*, 9, 12, 15, 17, 19, 22, 25 124:18, 25 125:12 **hate** 144:1 hats 124:16 **Hawaii** 140:11 **health** 141:19 **hear** 9:6 30:13 41:15 63:4 69:10, 13 89:1 93:9 122:12, 19 141:2

**heard** 13:25 17:23 21:24 30:16 39:10 40:13 67:16 69:8 86:15 89:25 113:13, 16, 17 115:10, 14 116:13, 17, 20 135:25 138:21 139:2, 4 HEARING 1:9 6:23 106:11 144:11, 18, 19 145:4 146:7 hearings 136:22 144:23 145:2 **held** 109:1 143:2 **helmet** 18:21, 23 19:3, 8, 10, 11 52:8 **help** 19:5 75:3 144:16 **hereunto** 146:14 Hey 125:3 HICKS 1:13 2:5 113:3, 6, 10, 13, 17, 19, 23 114:1, 6, 14, 23 115:4, 7, 10, 13, 15, 21 **highest** 4:1 16:13 62:5, 15 highest-ranking 4:10 38:4 highlighted 79:16 **hill** 18:19 **hinder** 73:4 **history** 99:14 122:9 123:11 hit 109:16 hold 110:24 **holding** 141:23 hole 139:22 **home** 134:24 135:25 homicide 142:8 homicides 137:19, 20 **Honestly** 127:14 honor 26:5, 6 54:15 **honored** 24:16 **hopefully** 134:*12* hours 25:4 43:25 56:23 144:14 Huh 62:2 human 142:14 **Huntsman** 74:16 75:23 79:8 Huntsman's 77:7, 13

< I > **IA** 71:22 IAB 70:7 95:9 **ICIB** 42:1 45:23 46:4 70:7 95:8 idea 108:3 119:7 identification 13:15 identified 13:14 45:23 143:6, 17, 20 144:4 identify 30:5 79:22 84:17 124:10 identifying 79:23 84:18 123:2 124:12 141:25 illegal 86:25 87:3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 15 111:7 **image** 31:22 immediately 144:6 **impact** 80:7 89:23 **impacts** 72:18 85:*1* **implied** 110:20 **important** 36:13 53:17 78:6 111:18 134:4 **impose** 105:25 106:*1* **imposed** 48:16 **improper** 128:22 inaccurate 76:8 77:8, 9, 10 inappropriate 105:6, 23 inaudible 5:13, 14, 25 6:22, 23 10:5 11:12, 19, 22, 23, 24 12:3, 8, 9, 10, 17, 24 13:5, 21 14:7, 16, 22 15:2, 4, 20 16:3, 4, 5 17:4, 18 18:17, 18 19:21, 23 20:23 21:2, 3, 4, 5, 11 22:9, 13, 24 23:24 24:17 25:17, 19 26:5, 12, 19, 20, 25 27:8, 21 28:5, 8 31:20, 21 32:3, 10 33:2, 6, 11 34:8, 11, 18, 19 35:1, 2, 14, 23 36:2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 12, 16, 20, 21, 22, 23 37:7, 12 38:2, 7, 12, 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 39:1, 2, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15,

*19*, *25* 40:*1*, *3*, *4*, *5*, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 24 41:3, 7, 22 42:12, 16, 19 45:1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 18 46:1 47:1, 2, 4, 5, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25 48:1, 7, 8, 9, 10 49:2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 24 50:1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 52:1, 9, 14 53:16 55:4, 7, 10, 14 56:1, 11, 15, 18 57:20, 24 58:8, 16, 20 59:3, 5 61:17, 22 62:1, 8, 9, 13, 18, 22, 23 63:20 64:1 65:17, 18, 22 66:7, 22 69:12, 24, 25 71:9, 22 72:1, 4, 9 73:8, 18 74:9 75:18 77:3, 4, 5, 11, 16, 19 78:1, 8, 10, 11 80:5 81:3, 15, 19, 21 82:5, 6, 9, 16, 20, 25 83:5, 7, 8, 15, 17 85:13, 20, 21 86:24 87:6, 7 88:6, 8, 9, 10, 16, 18, 21 90:4, 18 91:3, 4, 5, 18, 23, 25 92:3, 20 93:5, 19, 25 94:12, 16, 17, 24 95:7, 13, 16, 22 96:2, 13, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23 97:12 98:15, 19 99:19 100:17, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25 101:5, 7, 10, 11, 14, 20, 22, 23 102:2 103:13, 17, 18, 19, 20 104:6, 7, 8, 18, 20, 22 105:9, 11 106:9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24 107:2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, *14*, *24* 108:*12*, *15*, 22 109:5, 14, 24 110:2, 3, 20, 22, 23, 25 111:2, 8, 10, 12, *13* 112:6, 7, *12*, *14*, *15*, *24* 113:*3*, *4*, *6*, 9, 23 114:16, 18 115:1, 23 116:4, 18 117:17, 21, 22 118:23, 24 119:5 120:1, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18 121:1, 2, 4, 7, 13, 15, 16, 17, 20

122:1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 17, 22, 23, 25 123:3, 7, 8, 13, 23, 24 124:4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23 125:2, 6, 7 126:22 127:14, 15, 17 128:19 129:3 130:9, 16 131:4, 16, 21, 25 132:6, 13 133:1, 4, 5, 14, 15, 19, 23 134:6, 7, 11, 19, 20, 22, 24 135:21 136:6, 8 139:*1* 140:8, 16, 18, 19 141:19 144:15, 20 incident 43:22 65:1, 3, 9, 12, 15 110:18 117:9, 25 included 22:17 39:*1* including 76:11 79:23 80:17 84:19 inconsistent 31:17 86:11 87:22 independent 136:13 137:9, 10 138:12 **Indian** 118:6, 9, 12 **Indians** 63:5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 64:5, 8, 15, 18, 22 65:20 66:15, 24 indicative 26:7 indiscernible 51:8 individual 80:18 individuals 40:25 64:21 129:19 Industry 61:24, 25 62:5, 15, 24 63:1, 3, 5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 64:5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 15, 18, 22 65:2, 20 66:15, 24 101:4 118:7, 25 119:4, 14, 15 121:5, 22 129:19, 23 **Infante** 2:18 134:19 136:18, 19 **inform** 71:16 **informal** 112:19 information 46:13 70:17 73:1 74:2, 8, 11 75:10, 13, 15, 24 76:3, 14 77:2 78:16, 19 101:9 102:17 111:19

135:7 **infringe** 108:24 **initiate** 71:20 90:22, 24 initiated 72:7 91:*1* 110:22 ink 8:9 **inked** 10:16 inking 113:13, 17, inquiries 78:20 86:*3* **inquiry** 70:25 92:2 93:23 102:18 insane 110:15, 16 Inspector 44:18 72:23 73:4, 25 74:16 75:10, 22, 23 78:19, 25 79:8 84:1 **instance** 76:23 109:25 110:18 124:20 instances 80:6 81:23 institute 105:22 instruct 43:2 44:18 instructed 44:17 instructing 27:24 instruction 28:2 104:24 105:2 **insult** 113:7 **intent** 133:21 134:2, 3 139:13 **intention** 132:23 intentionally 79:25 80:12 interact 63:18 132:10 **interest** 129:1, 7 interested 146:12 **Interesting** 26:3 36:8 40:20 136:2*1*, 24 interests 111:8 Internal 38:25 47:5 65:22 71:24 85:14 90:19 93:18 98:15 118:4 internally 92:24 interpretation 80:9 82:18, 23 106:16 intervene 29:20 interview 53:4 143:19 interviewed 51:6

interviewees 46:3, interviews 44:3 71:22 investigate 39:22 42:1, 4, 25 43:3 44:14, 18 47:5 57:19, 24 58:3 62:25 65:19, 23 69:3 70:25 71:4, 7, 12 81:17 84:10, 25 87:17, 23 88:2, 6 89:18 92:23 93:3, 12, 17 94:6 129:2, 8 138:11 investigated 34:3 42:17 70:6 72:11, 18 85:14 90:5 110:7, 8, 20 118:4 investigating 41:12 90:15 investigation 40:3, 7 42:7, 11, 14 44:11 45:20 46:8, 22, 23, 25 47:3, 10, 13, 14, 18, 23 48:17, 18, 20, 24, 25 49:15, 20, 21 51:5 53:3, 7 57:19 69:4 71:20 72:6, 24 73:5 76:11, 15, 24 77:17, 21 79:7 81:19 90:22 91:1, 4, 14, 17 92:6, 12, 13 93:6, 10, 16 94:2, 18, 21 99:25 108:15 120:1 investigations 85:15, 18 93:20, *21* 109:25 138:*12* Investigator 43:19, 21 44:22 investigator's 43:18 **invite** 107:23 **involve** 55:18 99:18 **involved** 21:18 39:24 42:23 44:23 45:2 65:6 72:12 90:14 91:7 111:13 115:16 132:16, 17 133:21 135:18 140:10, 15 142:14 143:24 involvement 68:25 101:4 involves 38:23 44:25 101:22

involving 49:2 93:19 IRMA 1:15 2:7 irrelevant 125:24 issue 27:4 37:16 78:12 92:2, 12, 18 99:10 109:14 123:11 133:6, 14, 19 134:14 142:14 **issued** 3:12 101:14 issues 90:20 92:12 93:8 141:19 It'd 38:2 65:21 87:5 91:15 126:6 it'll 74:14 its 45:20 76:24 77:16 114:12 < J > jail 70:14, 21 122:13, 18 jails 71:12 Jaime 52:16 53:4, 6 54:7 55:*1* **JAMON** 1:13 2:5 113:2 **January** 74:1, 20 75:4, 24 **job** 17:2 25:9 29:6 140:12 Jody 2:22 142:16 **JOHNSON** 1:19 2:10 115:24 116:6, 11, 23 117:2, 6, 8, 12, 21, 24 118:6, 11, 17 join 24:19 107:24 **Jonsen** 68:25 69:10 **Jonsen's** 70:*3* **Josephs** 2:13 3:5 **JP** 1:18 2:9 118:19 Juarez 52:16 53:4, 6 54:7 55:1 **Judge** 46:21 140:10 Julia 3:6 32:9 144:12 **Jump** 67:17, 23 June 3:12 143:15 junior 29:7 < K > keep 8:24 15:9 35:21 46:13 55:9, 13 131:20 134:22

keeps 80:25

**KENNEDY** 1:12 2:3 3:2 12:25 26:20 27:11, 15 28:2, 5, 8 39:3 41:8, 9, 13, 15 42:2, 11, 15, 18, 21 43:4, 22 44:11, 23 45:3, 17, 20 46:8, 19 57:19 73:7, 9, 12, 15 100:18, 22 101:9, 12 102:2, 5, 10 104:9 106:6, 8, 18, 21, 25 107:5, 12, 15, 21 109:4 111:16 113:2 118:19 120:1 122:2 124:17 125:13, 18, 23 126:3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 23 127:1, 9, 16 128:1, 6, 9, 11, 15, 20, 24 129:5, 11, 14, 17, 22 130:2, 5, 15, 19, 21, 24 131:2, 11, 18, 22 132:1, 9, 13 134:9, 18, 20 136:17 138:17 140:4, 21 142:25 144:8, 10 kept 108:17 **Kern** 69:6 key 33:8 kick 111:1 135:24 kicking 136:2 **killed** 135:11 142:8 **killing** 137:6 **killings** 137:20 kind 10:5 12:7 17:9 18:18 25:17 26:17 31:4 88:4 112:12 120:20 130:20 131:12 132:21 133:9, 11 137:*11* kinds 106:10 **KIRBY** 106:20, 22 107:3 KKK 125:16, 25 knew 49:7 61:4 100:2 128:2*1* **knife** 136:4 Knock 85:5 know 5:2 8:15, 19 10:10, 15 11:11 12:1, 6 13:12, 17 14:5, 21, 24 15:14 16:3, 6, 11 18:15, 23, 25 20:4, 5, 9, 14 21:10, 20 22:3, 6,

140:14

18, 24 23:1 24:14, 18 26:9, 22 31:16 33:3, 7 35:20 36:3, 10, 14, 25 37:2, 5 38:4, 8 41:9 42:9 43:1 44:13, 17, 21 46:11 49:10 50:16, 17, 18, 21, 24 51:2, 5, 18, 21 52:16, 18, 20, 21, 24 53:2, 5, 6, 9 54:14, 18, 24, 25 55:17, 23 56:2 57:20 58:10, 14 59:7, 9, 12 60:5, 16, 17, 18, 22, 25 61:4, 6 63:7, 14, 15, 18, 25 64:1, 5, 8, 10, 13, 16 66:20, 23 67:8, 13, 19, 21, 24, 25 68:10, 15 69:23 70:6, 8, 9, 16, 21 77:3 83:24 84:4 86:1, 5 87:2 88:19 89:8, 9, 14 90:25 93:7 94:1 96:17 97:18, 24 98:1 100:4, 5 102:23 109:6 110:10, 16, 21, 25 111:12 112:7, 15 113:20 114:8, 9, 19, 21 116:19, 21 117:19 122:14, 23 123:7 124:8, 15 125:3, 9 126:4 130:12 131:22 132:15 133:3, 9, 23, 24 134:2, 5, 21 135:6 136:3 137:8 138:2 139:17 141:11 143:9, 10 144:5, 23 knowledge 39:2 44:16 50:2 55:4, 20 86:9, 10 known 4:17, 19 16:10 80:24 100:8 140:7 knows 8:11

<L>
LA 5:1, 9 9:4, 7
10:22 11:1, 6, 14
14:11, 15 16:4, 14
18:7, 17 25:9, 13
32:13 40:23 41:1
42:14 44:5, 10, 19,
22 49:2 85:5

90:2, 8 92:15, 20 94:17 95:1 111:4, *12, 20* 112:*3* 121:4 122:6, 7 135:7 141:17, 23 142:1 143:14, 17, 21, 22 **label** 102:8 113:25 Landes 2:12 3:5 **language** 44:2, 6 74:2 79:10 80:2, 4, 10, 14 81:18 82:8 84:14, 16, 19, 21, 22 129:6 larger 92:2 largest 142:20 Larry 67:4, 10, 12 **LASD** 2:15 37:20 100:11 132:2 **LAURA** 146:5, 20 law 15:6 40:9 57:24 77:25 78:3, 11 79:6, 12, 13, 14, 20, 21, 25 80:13 81:11, 24 84:15, 16 85:1 87:7 92:5 100:22 115:10, 13, 15, 21 122:*18* 125:*1*, *15* 126:8 127:4, 13, 21 128:22 129:5, 8, 22 131:5, 8, 12 132:3 133:6 136:*1* laws 80:20 law's 132:16 lawsuit 99:9 lawsuits 101:2 124:3 130:11 131:10, 17 lawyer 84:5, 7 87:2 leader 20:22 21:4, 5 54:17 132:2 learn 29:3 46:2 66:6 95:19 **learned** 30:11 66:8 69:8 leave 73:16 82:7 111:11 112:16 119:*15* 145:*1* **left** 112:11 142:12 leg 51:10, 21 110:8 legal 82:18 94:12 108:22 122:20 123:18 legally 39:20

Legislature's

127:5 legitimate 41:25 **Lennox** 30:17, 19 32:13 **letter** 73:25 74:3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 17, 20, 22 75:6, 7, 14, 22, 25 76:6, 19 77:10, 13 102:15, 24 103:1 106:23 **letters** 84:2 **letting** 140:13 level 109:12, 14, 25 111:1 117:16 118:5 126:2 **LGBTQ** 87:14 **liability** 109:*1* 126:6 **liable** 143:2 liar 136:22 138:15, 16 **lie** 136:23 lieutenant 20:8 50:16 90:17 **life** 29:22 30:2, 4 33:13 137:4, 5 141:17, 24 light 78:12 125:5, 13 limit 115:19 limited 48:5 79:23 80:17 82:14 84:19, 20 line 49:11 76:4, 5 123:5, 20 124:14 linked 66:15 list 15:15 130:8 **listen** 141:6 litigation 52:22 little 3:20 5:22 41:8 58:6, 15, 17, 22 59:2, 7, 9, 15, 19, 23, 25 60:9 122:18 **live** 137:5 lives 30:7 137:18 **LLP** 2:13 local 25:1 **located** 27:19 28:12 67:25 location 7:15, 16, 17 27:5, 18 **locker** 11:1, 4, 18 logo 17:24, 25 18:2, 7, 21 19:2, 13, 18, 23, 24 30:13, 24 32:13 51:11, 12, 14, 19 67:23 68:7, 20, 22 97:23 122:5, 23

123:*3* logos 30:11 139:9 long 3:18 61:25 64:5 120:17 121:10 125:2, 21 130:6 137:8 longer 6:8, 10 30:19 129:14 look 11:11 44:22 45:4, 7 48:5 69:19 73:24 79:2 80:10 81:21 90:10, 19 91:6, 23 92:18 94:19 99:16 103:21 130:12, 19 131:18 137:11 138:3, 12 **looked** 43:22 89:17 97:23 99:*17* 110:*1* 124:5 130:11 **looking** 38:23 109:*17* Looks 32:14 70:3 132:15 **LOS** 1:5 4:5 16:17, 20, 23 17:2, 23 20:19 22:15, 20, 23 23:4, 7 28:14 29:1 44:15 45:8 54:3 58:5, 18 94:20 98:3 123:10 138:23 141:2*1* 146:*3* **lost** 96:16 137:18 142:6 lot 32:4 109:3 110:21 124:8 130:21 135:6 **loved** 137:17 low 18:22, 24 19:6 122:10 loyal 15:3, 7 LUIS 1:16 2:11 122:2 **Luna** 96:16 117:18 124:14 127:24 Luna's 94:11 lying 130:3 < M > **ma'am** 109:20 132:25 133:2 maintain 141:20 **making** 86:24 88:7 91:3 108:17 129:1, 8 **Male** 130:20

man 110:9 113:8

137:2

manage 108:18, 19, 20 Management 91:6, 15, 16 108:16 131:4 Mandoyan 97:18, 24 98:2 99:1, 9, 11, 21 man's 68:14 139:9 **MARCH** 1:7 40:15 75:22 76:17, 19 77:13 96:7, 22 102:15, 24 146:7 Marco 140:18 Mark 2:19 136:18 138:18 marked 102:12 markings 13:5 Martinez 2:22 142:16, 18 matches 123:2 matching 79:23 **material** 78:21 102:25 materials 76:17, 20, 24 78:6 **matter** 27:9 42:18, 21 80:9 95:1 98:5, 8 99:1 109:8 140:9 142:11 matters 99:3 142:*11* Matthew 4:14, 16, Maura 3:6 144:12 Max 74:16 75:23 McDonnell 18:3 mean 5:7 6:19 7:16 10:2 11:7 16:8 17:*1* 18:25 19:5, 23 49:15 50:17 53:14 72:14 73:2 81:2 82:3 95:16 109:17 111:21 122:9 125:22 126:14 139:7 meaning 36:9 124:22 means 19:6 38:11 137:22 **meant** 4:9 medallion 32:16 33:8 media 6:14, 17, 21 36:21 66:4, 17 69:9, 10 100:6

100-24 110-15
109:24 110:15
113:15 114:5, 6
115:8, 17 116:9
medical 115:18
medication 113:8
meet 98:16, 18
122:20
meet-and-confer
123:6
meeting 144:21
meets 123:18
<b>member</b> 4:10, 25 5:5, 7 8:12 9:25
10:2, 5 13:18, 19, 22 14:1, 9 19:17
20.16 22.10
20:16 23:19
31:13, 14 35:16
38:4, 17 45:4 53:20 59:10, 13,
18, 20, 22 60:23
61:5, 11, 19 63:8,
10, 14, 16, 19 67:5
86:6 87:14 89:14
91:18 100:3
124:10 125:16
124.10 123.10
126: <i>16</i> 127: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> 135: <i>17</i> 143: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i>
MEMBERS 1.11
<b>MEMBERS</b> 1:11
10.10 21 20.25
8:25 10:9 13:16 19:19, 21 20:25 21:8 22:1, 4, 25
23:3, 16, 23 24:1,
7, 11 25:22 37:3,
9, 10 38:16 39:23
40:22 45:12 51:7
53:22 54:19
58:21 60:7, 9, 12,
19 64:14, 22 68:1
80:21 89:9, 18
91:7 125:23
127: <i>4</i> 129:23 132:9 142:20
143:16, 23, 25
143.70, 23, 23
membership
15:13 26:10 60:1,
4 61:8 72:24
84:11
memorable 56:19
menacing 31:3, 12
52:3 68:8 133:7,
11 139:19
mental 141:19
mental 141.19 mentality 31:23
32: <i>1</i>
mentioned 79:18
107:23 115:24
mentor 28:25
mentor 28.23 mentors 54:17
Mese 67:4, 11, 12
mess 126:6
11055 120.0

message 35:14 114:23 125:9 134:*1* met 112:5 124:11 Michael 135:12 Michele 2:18 134:19 136:18, 19 **Mickey** 133:8 military 19:9 mind 70:25 114:2, 6 minds 134:23 mine 79:8 127:5 minimizing 30:7 minutes 136:7, 12 misbehave 114:24 misconduct 48:6 49:1 93:22 111:7 misinterpretation 108:9 misinterpreting 108:21 mistaken 131:16 **Mister** 102:5 mixture 20:6 **moments** 40:21 Montclair 65:7 117:10 months 135:13 morning 3:4 124:21 **mother** 141:21, 25 motivation 45:7 motive 42:1, 4 45:16 130:11 Mouse 133:8 **move** 111:9 114:19 135:21 moved 41:4 **movement** 111:19 moves 111:11 **multiply** 114:22 Murakami 2:15 3:8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 22, 25 4:3, 6, 8, 12, *15*, *18*, *21*, *24* 5:2, 7, 10, 13, 16, 18, 21, 24 6:4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 19, 22 7:2, 6, 9, 12, 14, 18, 22, 25 8:3, 6, 10, 14, 17, 20, 23 9:1, 3, 7, 11, *14*, *18*, *20*, *23* 10:*1*, 4, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 24 11:4, 9, 12, 17, 23, 25 12:2, 5, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 13:6, 10, 13, 17, 21, 25 14:4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 18, 22, 24 15:2, 4, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20,

22, 24 16:1, 5, 8, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24 17:4, 9, 13, 18, 21 18:1, 5, 9, 11, 14, 16 19:4, 6, 10, 16, 21, 23 20:1, 5, 9, 12, 14, 17, 20, 23 21:1, 3, 6, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 24 22:3, 6, 10, 12, 16, 18, 21, 24 23:5, 9, 12, 17, 21, 24 24:2, 5, 8, 12, 15, 21, 23, 25 25:3, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 24 26:1, 6, 12, 16, 24 27:7, 22 28:1, 4, 13, 16, 20, 22, 24 29:2, 5, 9, 12, 17, 21, 24 30:4, 9, 15, 18, 21, 25 31:5, 8, 11, 16, 19 32:3, 12, 20, 23, 25 33:2, 6, 11, 17, 24 34:6, 11, 15, 19, 23 35:1, 6, 13, 18, 21 36:3, 6, 12, 16, 20 37:2, 5, 7, 11 38:2, 6, 11, 14, 17, 21 39:9, 11, 14, 16, 20 40:2, 5, 7, 12, 15, 17, 20, 24 41:2, 7, 11, 14, 17, 20, 24 42:3, 6, 9, 12, 16, 19, 22 43:1, 5, 12, 16, 20, 23 44:1, 8, 12, 16, 20, 25 45:5, 9, 14, 18, 21 46:1, 6, 10, 15, 20, 24 47:1, 4, 8, 11, 14, 17, 19 48:1, 7, 12, 14, 18, 23 49:10 50:1, 3, 10, 17, 20, 23 51:1, 4, 8, 13, 15, 20, 23 52:1, 4, 10, 13, 17, 20, 23 53:2, 5, 9, 13, 16, 19, 24 54:25 55:4, 9, 13, 20, 23 56:1, 4, 15, 18, 20 57:2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 21, 25 58:4, 8, 10, 13, 16, 20, 24 59:5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 24 60:2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 18, 21, 25 61:2, 6, 9, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22 62:1, 3, 6, 9, 12, *16*, *18*, *21* 63:2, *6*, 9, 12, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24 64:1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 24 65:3,

21 66:1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 17, 19, 22, 25 67:2, 7, 10, 14, 18, 21, 24 68:1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 19, 22 69:2, 5, 7, 12, 14, 22, 24 70:2, 5, 8, 11, 15, 19, 23 71:2, 6, 9, 14, 18, 22 72:3, 9, 13, 16, 21 73:1, 6, 8, 21 74:4, 6, 9, 12, 18, 21, 23, 24 75:2, 5, 8, 12, 17 76:18, 22 77:1, 11, 14, 18, 23 78:1, 4, 8, 14, 17, 22 79:1, 11, 15 80:3, 5, 9, 15 81:2, 5, 9, 13, 15, 19 82:2, 5, 9, 11, 16, 24 83:3, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 24 84:4, 6, 8, 13, 23 85:3, 9, 13, 16, 19, 25 86:4, 8, 10, 15, 20, 24 87:5, 10, 15, 20 88:1, 5, 7, 13, 15, 18, 20, 25 89:3, 5, 8, 11, 16, 19, 23 90:2, 7, 13, 16, 21, 24 91:3, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20, 23 92:3, 8, 10, 14, 20, 25 93:1, 5, 14, 18, 25 94:4, 8, 10, 16, 22, 24 95:3, 7, 11, 13, 16, 18, 21, 24 96:2, 5, 8, 22, 24 97:1, 7, 10, 16, 19, 21 98:1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 17, 19, 22, 24 99:2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 22, 25 100:4, 10, 13, 14, 17 101:17 102:11, 19, 22 103:2, 6, 10, 12, 21 104:1, 5, 12, 25 105:11, 19 106:5 108:1, 4, 9, 21 109:8, 20, 23 110:11, 14 111:6 112:2, 14, 22, 25 113:5, 7, 12, 15, 18, 22, 24 114:4, 8, 17 115:2, 6, 9, 12, 14, 18, 23, 24 116:5, 10, 15 117:1, 5, 7, *11*, *14*, *23* 118:*3*, 10, 16 119:1, 6, 12, 15, 19, 21, 24 120:3, 7, 9, 11, 17,

5, 8, 10, 13, 16, 18,

23 121:3, 6, 8, 11, 14, 16, 18, 21, 24 123:20 124:24 125:5, 13, 17, 21 126:2, 4, 10, 14, 18, 22, 25 127:6, 14, 23 128:3, 5, 8, 10, 14, 18, 23 129:3, 10, 13, 16, 21 130:1, 4, 10, 16, 20, 22, 25 131:9, 16, 20, 25 132:5, 12, 25 133:2, 21 134:10 143:4 Murakami's 76:6 murder 143:15 **murdered** 134:23 135:22 142:9 143:13

< N >name 13:8 14:23 23:19 52:20 58:6 59:9, 12 63:5, 10, 14 67:13, 16 79:22 84:18 98:20 115:25 117:6 130:17, 23 136:*19* 146:*14* names 63:15 133:7 139:2, 4, 7, 15, 21 narcissist 136:24 **narrow** 81:4, 6 narrower 84:21 **nation** 142:21 nature 36:17 88:2 Navarro 2:20 138:19 140:5, 6 **navel** 64:3 Nazi 125:16 near 9:16 19:2 neck 64:3 necklace 32:17 need 32:7 73:7 101:10 124:11, 12, 16 137:9 138:8, 12 **needed** 94:13 112:4 120:25 **needs** 144:5 **nefarious** 35:2, 15 **negative** 6:14, 17, 21, 23 18:22 80:7 **neither** 146:11 never 10:25 11:13, 18 13:25 25:24 32:23, 24 34:2 40:13 50:3, 5 52:11 59:15

61:18 72:11, 18

86:15 89:17 94:1 113:16, 17 118:5 121:22, 24 129:21 136:1, 7 144:13 New 48:12 85:5 114:19 125:2 141:15 news 66:4 70:15 newspaper 51:19 65:13, 15 66:1, 2, 11 69:25 70:17 109:16 newspapers 51:15 70:22, 23, 24 **Niani** 134:23 136:3, 12 **night** 104:10 nine-vear-old 135:*1* 136:*5* non-Cavemen 20:25 21:8 **non-gang** 23:23 24:1 **nonpublic** 36:6, 8 non-subgroup 20:16 24:7 53:22 northern 135:7 notes 43:18 83:22 **notice** 107:7 notified 107:9 **notify** 118:5 November 43:24 number 9:17, 19, 22 10:16 13:19, 23 14:2 32:12 33:22 37:9 44:25 72:11 73:23 74:13 75:20 102:8, 12

< 0 > **o0o** 145:5 oath 11:13 23:15 34:1, 4 73:20 **object** 17:10 26:18 27:3 82:20 107:8 objected 27:11 objection 26:20 27:20, 21 104:6 105:8, 15 106:2 objections 107:14 obligations 134:11, 12 observation 116:*15* observations 116:*14* observed 116:8 obstruct 73:4

occur 46:25 69:5

occurred 42:2 45:17 117:10, 25 off-duty 65:1, 6 68:24 69:9 117:9 **offended** 127:14 **offense** 118:5 **offer** 54:20 110:24 office 18:7 71:10 72:23 73:25 75:10, 22 78:19, 25 83:12, 18 84:1 94:21 95:1 officer 28:24 91:6 108:24 127:2 128:22 130:11 135:16, 24 143:17 **officers** 79:21 official 88:7 **Oh** 80:16 121:9 **OIG** 76:6, 8, 12, 21, 24 77:4, 8, 16 82:15 **OIG's** 76:10, 17 Okay 9:15 22:14 27:15 40:18 43:13 51:17 61:14 62:19 69:15 71:11 73:12 97:1, 16 100:18 103:7 106:8 107:5 119:2, 9 120:10, 12, 19, 24 121:9, 12, 19, 25 124:2, 14, 25 125:13 126:11 131:2, 11 141:4 144:10 **old** 113:8 115:9 142:6 once 66:9 70:24 96:3 104:16 106:1 119:18 134:22 on-duty 79:24 80:12 ones 137:17 one's 31:24 124:14, 15 **ongoing** 27:20 120:*1* **online** 66:22 140:22 open 13:2 94:18 124:2 136:3 145:*1* **opened** 26:10 operate 36:1

**operating** 87:1, 3,

9, 13

Operation 68:17, 18 81:20 operations 95:15 **opinion** 19:*15* 38:6 79:16 125:15 126:20 opportunity 42:24 102:20 **oppose** 15:21 opposed 36:7 93:22 order 3:15 13:19 14:1, 8 57:18, 24 58:18 81:20 94:14 100:20 102:8 orders 101:5, 14, organization 137:9 orientation 22:7 104:4, 15 **OSS** 68:16 other's 15:1 **outcome** 146:13 **outside** 94:13 114:6 overall 94:2 overlaps 55:5 **oversaw** 97:11 oversee 69:3 77:20 78:3 **OVERSIGHT** 1:6 15:21 38:22 77:24 103:8, 24 105:5, 21 146:7 < P > **p.m** 124:21 Pacheco 2:12 3:4, 5, 12, 15, 18, 21, 23 4:1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25 5:4, 8, 11, 15, 17, 19, 22 6:3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 20, 25 7:3, 7,

11, 13, 15, 19, 23 8:1, 4, 7, 11, 15, 18, 21, 24 9:2, 6, 9, 13, *15*, *19*, *21*, *24* 10:2, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15 13:4, 7, 11, 15, 18, 22 14:1, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, 25 15:3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 23, 25 16:2, 6, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25 17:6, 12, 14, 20, 23 18:2, 6, 10, 12, *15* 19:2, 5, 8, *11*, 17, 22, 24 20:2, 7, 10, 13, 15, 18, 21,

24 21:2, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 22 22:1, 4, 8, 11, 14, 17, 19, 22 23:2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 25 24:3, 6, 9, 13, 18, 22, 24 25:1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 19, 25 26:3, 9, 14, 17 27:2, 5, 17, 23 28:9, 14, 17, 21, 23, 25 29:3, 6, 10, 13, 18, 22, 25 30:7, 10, 16, 19, 22 31:2, 6, 9, 12, 17, 23 32:8, 11, 21, 24 33:1, 3, 7, 12, 18, 25 34:9, 12, 17, 21, 24 35:3, 8, 16, 19, 24 36:5, 8, 13, 18, 23 37:3, 6, 8, 14 38:3, 8, 13, 16, 19 40:19, 25 41:4, 8, 12, 15, 18, 22, 25 42:4, 7, 10, 13, 17, 20, 24 43:2, 6, 13, 18, 21, 24 44:2, 9, 13, 17, 21 45:2, 6, 12, 15, 19, 22 46:2, 7, 11, 16, 21, 25 47:2, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 23 48:2, 11, 13, 15, 19 49:4 50:15, 18, 21, 24 51:2, 5, 9, 14, 17, 21, 24 52:3, 5, 11, 15, 18, 21, 24 53:3, 6, 11, 14, 17, 20, 25 55:1, 5, 11, 14, 21, 24 56:2, 5, 16, 19, 21 57:3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 22 58:1, 5, 9, 11, 14, 17, 21, 25 59:6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 25 60:3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 19, 22 61:1, 3, 7, 10, 14, *16*, *18*, *21*, *23* 62:2, 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, 19, 24 63:3, 7, 10, 13, 16, 18, 21, 23, 25 64:2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 25 65:4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 17, 19, 24 66:2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 18, 20, 23 67:1, 3, 8, 12, 15, 19, 22, 25 68:3, 5, 7, 10, 13, 16, 18, 20, 23 69:3, 6, 8, 13, 15, 23, 25 70:3, 6, 9, 12, 16, 20, 24 71:3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 24 72:5, 10,

14, 17, 22 73:3, 9, 11, 19, 22 74:5, 7, 10, 13, 19, 22, 25 75:3, 6, 9, 14, 19 76:19, 23 77:7, 12, 15, 20, 24 78:2, 5, 10, 15, 18, 23 79:2, 12, 18 80:4, 6, 10, 16 81:4, 6, 11, 14, 16, 22 82:4, 7, 10, 12, 17, 21 83:1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 16, 18, 21, 25 84:5, 7, 9, 14, 24 85:4, 10, 15, 17, 22 86:1, 5, 9, 11, 17, 21, 25 87:8, 12, 16, 21 88:3, 6, 11, 14, 17, 19, 23 89:1, 4, 6, 9, 13, 17, 20, 25 90:5, 12, 14, 19, 22, 25 91:9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 92:1, 4, 9, 11, 17, 22 93:9, 15, 21 94:1, 5, 9, 13, 19, 23, 25 95:4, 8, 12, 14, 17, 19, 23, 25 96:3, 6, 9, 12, 20 97:3, 5, 8, 11, 14, 18, 20, 22 98:2, 5, 8, 11, 16, 18, 20, 23, 25 99:3, 5, 7, 10, 14, 18, 20, 23 100:2, 7, 11, 15 101:1, 8, 16, 19, 24 102:3, 6, 11, 20, 23 103:3, 7, 11, 14, 21 104:2, 12, 21, 23 105:1, 10, 12, 17, 20 106:3, 6 107:18, 20 109:10 Page 2:14, 16 43:8 74:23 76:2, **painted** 122:24 panel 97:9, 11 98:12 99:23 100:8 103:9, 12, 14, 24, 25 104:13 105:12, 21 109:25 paragraph 76:5 parents 142:7 **Parga** 101:2 **parlor** 113:25 part 29:6, 18 36:19 48:18 73:23 74:15 79:5, 19 82:7 103:18 107:5, 17 135:7 participate 41:12 46:23

participated
45:12 47:2 <b>participation</b> 95:5
9
particular 30:2
43:14 48:6 66:20
69:21 82:18
91:21
particularly 68:7
69:17
<b>party</b> 5:25 113:14, 17, 21
113:14, 17, 21
passed 79:12
passed 79.12 passively 33:12
patch 32:15, 19,
21 33:2, 4
pathological
136:22
patrol 17:1 25:13
54:11, 12 56:9
95:15 103:23
95: <i>15</i> 103: <i>23</i> 112: <i>3</i> 125: <i>6</i>
pattern 79:24
80:12 135:9
<b>PATTI</b> 1:17 2:8
111:16 132:14
134:9
<b>PC</b> 122:20 123:5,
19
<b>peace</b> 79:21
108:2 <i>4</i>
peers 120:16
<b>Penal</b> 38:20 55:15 78:23 79:5 81:12 82:23
55:15 78:23 79:5
81:12 82:23
83:10, 23 84:3
127:3 143:5
<b>penalty</b> 3:2 <b>people</b> 7:13, 19,
23 8:1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 10:6, 21 14:3
18:22 22:6 23: <i>15</i>
32:5 36:6, 9
39:22 42:22
48:15 49:18, 22,
23 55:17 72:12
86:13, 14, 23 87:9
<i>19</i> , <i>25</i> 100: <i>5</i>
111: <i>3</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>21</i>
112:2 <i>1</i> 113: <i>19</i>
114:16 115:19
116:20 124:2 <i>1</i>
125:23 130:2 <i>1</i>
133:24 136:23
137:6, 10, 25
138:4, 5, 9, 11
142:2, <i>3</i> , <i>12</i> 143: <i>10</i>
people's 137:18
people 5 137.10
İ

**perceived** 128:21 133:22 **percent** 133:16 perception 134:3, **period** 16:22 59:1, 2 117:4, 9 perjury 3:3 permission 3:7 39:24 48:9 108:6 111:5 permitted 39:18 78:11 persistent 80:22 **person** 7:11 9:23 10:1, 25 11:14 23:19 47:20 51:16 56:10, 11 57:10 67:8 82:25 83:2, 4, 6 98:19 112:6 127:10 139:5 personal 38:6 39:2 106:15 108:14 116:15 122:17 128:18 140:9 personally 112:4 personnel 78:10 85:4, 22 103:19 104:7 132:16 **person's** 133:6 perspective 133:6 143:12 pertaining 76:14 **phone** 56:10 141:25 142:2 **phonetic** 62:22. 23 98:14 122:14, 16 138:18, 19 140:23 142:16 **photo** 69:21, 23 photograph 51:10 physical 7:16 **pictures** 52:13 **Pitchess** 122:*10* **place** 11:6 94:6 101:6, 14 **plan** 13:1 **plane** 140:11 planning 58:2 **play** 35:17 **player** 68:13 **playing** 141:24 please 36:19 56:22 69:19 136:13, 14 140:24 141:1 142:17 point 21:17 42:7 47:23 48:23 49:2

53:10 97:8 99:5, 21 118:24 **pointed** 139:8, 16 pointing 68:8 **poker** 68:13 police 19:13, 18 54:16 62:13 86:22 93:12 131:13 140:8 142:7, 10 policies 25:18 68:21 141:10, 15 **policing** 19:*14* 29:15 30:23 31:10, 18 32:2 33:15 68:21 80:1, 8, 14 81:3, 25 82:6, 24 83:1 86:12, 18 87:23 126:8 policy 29:20 32:5 80:22 81:20, 23 87:17 93:19 103:13, 15 104:3, *14* 105:6, 23 111:8 115:5 123:4, 15, 25 124:7 popular 32:5 **position** 24:6, 9 135:15 positive 30:5 31:22 132:6 134:1 possesses 76:7 possible 14:18 58:24, 25 Possibly 58:4 **post** 116:15 **Post-it** 83:21 potential 13:16. 18, 22 46:18 potentially 22:19 **power** 94:19 136:20 **PRA** 130:18 practice 80:23 practices 15:19 **precede** 58:11 **preceded** 58:13 **precise** 81:*18* prefer 22:6 prejudice 144:1 prejudiced 144:3 **present** 7:8 8:9 57:13 113:19, 24 preserve 29:22 30:1, 4 33:13 press 64:17, 18 66:14 85:5

pressure 6:14 24:22 pressured 24:19 **pretend** 139:12 pretty 36:6 80:4, 11, 25 previously 76:12 116:14 **pride** 6:1 12:22 21:19 22:13, 20 23:7 26:7 88:21 137:22, 23 139:20 principles 19:14 29:14, 19 30:23 31:10 33:15 80:1, 8, 13 81:25 86:12, 18 87:17, 23 **prior** 138:22 139:5, 11 142:24 **prison** 122:19 privacy 27:3 28:3, 6, 7 **private** 26:2 27:9 87:7 123:10 privileged 104:18 proactive 54:16 probably 6:12 7:10 10:7 12:5 15:24 41:17 47:1 91:20 112:8 130:25 135:5 **problem** 19:*16* 35:4 37:9, 11, 12 79:15 93:6 94:14 111:6 114:15, 22 115:2 123:13 143:21 problems 35:10 proceed 3:7 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 **process** 54:5, 8, 9, 23 55:16, 18, 21 56:12 111:23 112:1 120:4 123:6 **produce** 76:10 82:15 **produced** 76:4, 14 102:25 104:10 106:*11* **production** 76:12 77:20 profession 115:18, professional 19:14 29:15 30:23 31:10 33:15 68:21 80:1, 8, 13 81:3, 25 82:6

86:12, 18 87:17, 23 140:1 professionals 138:23, 25 139:18 **profile** 18:23, 24 19:7 122:10 prohibits 127:3 promote 24:6 promoted 57:18, 23 61:10 95:14, 20, 23 96:3 135:*15* promoting 80:20 promotion 57:5, *10* 120:6 promotional 120:4 promotions 20:24 24:4, 10 **proof** 111:7 127:10 143:18 **proportions** 37:17 prosecution 95:2 prospect 13:22 14:*1* protect 136:5 141:11 protected 80:19 103:19 protective 101:5, 14, 17 protesters 122:8 **proved** 133:16 **proven** 90:3 provide 28:17, 23 29:7 75:9, 16 76:1, 20, 24 77:15 78:12, 15, 19, 21, provided 73:1 75:13, 15 76:11, 16 77:1 102:17 103:18 104:17 **Public** 2:16 16:7, 8, 10 31:13 32:6 36:9, 13, 20, 22, 24 37:16, 21 46:13 54:15, 18 71:16 80:22 101:2 102:16, 25 104:7, 9, 10, 11 106:12, 19 107:1, 2, 16 125:23 126:8 132:10 133:18 134:4, 16, 17, 18 139:12 140:13, 22 143:8 144:9 publicly 35:17, 20 101:9 128:3 **public's** 133:15 **publish** 15:12

pulled 144:14 punched 66:12 purpose 26:1 35:15 purposes 102:14 pursuant 3:10, 15 104:10, 18 put 18:23 32:9 35:8 46:12 54:6 69:16 75:20 99:20 136:6, 13 putting 19:24 31:19

< 0 > quantify 38:9, 11 quantity 124:5 quarrelsome 131:23 question 5:13 11:7 17:14 25:20 26:21, 23 27:12, 17 35:9 45:1 50:7 54:5 55:12 87:12 93:10 95:9 100:19 105:10 108:5 109:2 110:5 115:7 116:2, 10 126:3 128:17, 18, 21 130:5 131:11 137:12 142:9 questioned 45:24 104:8 116:16 questioning 13:2 49:11 109:19 questions 13:3, 8 40:3 44:4, 10 45:15 46:5 50:13 102:13 105:2 106:6. 13 107:21 111:15 118:8, 18, 22 124:18 131:19 142:24 144:24 quick 73:10 quite 18:11 42:16 60:8 84:23 114:8 **quote** 129:19 139:*13* 

<R>
race 100:12, 23
104:3, 14 105:7, 24
racial 100:15, 24
103:15
racism 144:1
raise 122:12
RAND 122:15

133:24

rank 4:1 16:13, 16 20:7, 10 41:18 62:5, 15 119:3 ranks 62:1, 3 96:3 129:2 Rattlesnakes 135:5 136:15 reaches 109:15 read 51:19 54:4 65:15 66:5, 11 70:17, 22, 23, 24 75:14 82:13, 14 110:4 114:5 116:8, 12 reading 79:4 109:18 **ready** 102:5 real 112:17 **really** 48:5 109:19 122:5 126:13 134:22 141:15 144:17 Reaper 30:13, 24 31:3, 6, 14, 17, 24, 25 32:13 67:9, 13 97:20, 23, 24 100:8 139:3 **Reapers** 30:12 33:16 35:4 67:5 100:3 reason 6:17, 19, 20 41:25 44:21 106:10 135:2 140:9 reasonable 133:6 reasons 112:20 reassigned 48:16 recall 3:14 7:9 8:6 11:17 12:7, 14, 15, 17 13:10 16:21 26:13 45:21 57:14 61:7 77:14 98:13 120:9, 18 129:18 receive 77:5 received 55:3 57:18, 23 76:3 88:2 107:23 108:2 111:19 143:2 receiving 74:5 recess 73:14 102:4 recognize 12:23 24:16 43:14 138:25 139:6 recognized 6:2 15:16 26:8, 9 recommend 57:6 101:24 111:25

recommendation 105:21 114:18, 20 recommended 105:12 107:25 108:*3* recommending 112:15 reconcile 49:20 Reconciliation 97:9 98:9, 11, 21, 25 99:11 record 27:24 28:6 39:6 102:14 104:11 105:3 106:13, 19 107:1, 2, 5, 16, 17 118:11, 23 122:5 recorded 146:6 records 76:7, 8, 10 78:10, 24 102:16, 25 106:12 record's 28:9 recount 33:25 recover 142:13 recovery 142:12 recruitment 121:8 **Red** 58:6, 15, 17, 22 59:2, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 25 60:9 139:3 **refer** 17:9 37:11 92:5, 9, 11 94:25 reference 22:7 116:1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 12 referenced 55:18 references 76:11 **referred** 15:19 17:12, 18 108:6 referring 17:10 34:15 35:10 40:5 55:13 65:3 90:2 refers 127:18 **reflect** 104:12 reflected 122:5 **refuse** 63:13 82:15 105:10 114:10 refused 76:24 105:24 143:6 144:24 refusing 55:11 75:9 104:23 regard 45:19 75:10 99:10 regarding 43:3 99:9 118:6 regards 69:4 **regular** 123:23 144:19, 21

reinstated 99:24 100:1, 3, 9 relate 144:24 **related** 76:10 93:22 104:7 146:12 relates 93:3 relations 86:22 relationship 5:11 relatively 79:14 release 107:7, 8, 9, 11 **released** 106:19 releasing 106:25 relentless 137:25 **religion** 100:23 relocated 119:3 remainder 118:1 remember 13:8 17:25 20:18 32:18 45:6 56:13 57:15 70:1 74:5 75:3, 6, 9 112:21 130:17, 22 137:3 remembered 113:10 **remorse** 137:25 **remove** 6:7, 11, 13 133:25 **removed** 6:17, 21 15:25 18:3 67:6 129:12, 23 removing 6:16 repeat 36:19 **repeated** 116:*11* repeatedly 116:3 repeating 131:20 rephrase 25:20 116:10 **report** 37:24 53:8 62:20 90:12 91:12 109:24 110:4, 15 122:14, 15 reported 37:17 101:12 **reports** 45:19 70:15 100:6 109:18 123:14 represent 51:10 73:24 75:21 102:14 represented 23:11 represents 31:6 **reprimand** 105:13, 22 request 75:4 102:16, 25 requested 75:13, 24 78:24

requesting 74:1 75:24 76:7, 8 77:3,8 **requests** 104:11 **require** 81:22, 23 required 76:10 requires 78:24 79:1 residents 122:6 135:14 **resolve** 48:16 respect 54:16, 19 108:14 127:21 respectful 77:24 **respond** 55:10 105:18 106:4 117:22 128:14 responded 39:5 75:25 135:10 response 15:17 21:9 28:4 33:17 46:6, 10 52:10 54:7 56:4 59:24 63:24 74:19 76:19 77:12 78:20 85:10 88:5 96:11 97:15 98:17 102:16, 17, 25 108:4 121:14 131:20 responsibility 78:3 responsible 19:24 24:3 93:16 141:12 responsibly 78:7 responsive 128:8, 14 rest 73:16 result 42:18 47:9, 12, 14 48:20 85:23 86:2 retaliate 23:22 retaliation 85:11 retired 2:15 66:9 123:7, 8 retirement 123:9 133:13 returned 18:7 135:14 reveal 46:17 revealed 142:23 revealing 25:23 37:19 review 33:19 79:14 98:5, 8 99:12, 14 100:1 102:12, 20 117:17, reviewed 45:19 reviewing 24:4

**reviews** 103:12 **rid** 37:15 **right** 6:4 11:15 25:2 30:3, 8, 20, 24 31:2, 3, 4, 6, 7 33:5 34:10 35:24 36:4, 11, 20 40:18 43:22 52:6, 8 53:15 58:19 65:7 79:13 80:4 82:1, 4 92:7 93:9, 24 98:13 113:11 126:1, 13, 18, 24 129:12 142:10 **rights** 32:4 80:21 93:7 107:10 108:11, 12, 14, 16, 24 111:10, 14 127:7 132:8 142:*14* **Riley** 3:6 rise 109:11 **Risk** 91:5, 15, 16 131:4 140:12 **ROBERT** 1:14 2:6 **robust** 36:14 role 17:1 42:15 46:18 61:13, 15, 20 62:11 74:25 94:19 95:3 96:1 **Rolling** 33:23 37:17 **room** 11:1, 4, 18, 19 **rooted** 37:20 rose 118:5 roster 15:12 roundtable 54:13, 23 55:18 **Rubén** 140:17 ruined 141:17 **rules** 141:9 run 79:15 93:6, 7 **running** 108:7 138:7 < S > **Saavedra** 143:*17*, 20 **safe** 30:6 68:17, 18 safety 37:16, 22

Saint 144:20 **Salina** 2:21 140:23, 24 141:1 **Sarah** 122:*15* sat 98:11 122:16 144:24 save 30:7

saw 10:25 116:15 137:1 139:22 saving 18:17 39:17 67:10 82:13 89:13 100:5 138:2, 10 140:9 143:7 says 54:2 76:5 79:4 84:16, 17, 20 142:8 scheduler 53:11 scheduling 20:19 53:7, 10, 15 scope 37:8 48:5 84:11 **Scott** 103:22 screen 32:9 43:8 46:12 49:5 67:23 69:20 70:12 73:23 74:15 75:21 **se** 10:8 **SEAN** 1:12 2:3 **seated** 58:14 second 4:4 38:4 65:3 76:4, 5 110:9 125:14 127:20 139:23 142:22 Secondly 110:6 **secret** 8:24 secrets 15:10 **Section** 76:11 83:13, 23 84:3 104:19 see 9:9 10:21 11:8 18:13 19:16, *17* 25:*15* 32:*13*. 14, 15 35:3, 10 43:25 44:2, 6 48:2, 19 52:15 54:13 59:6 62:10 63:23 72:5 74:2, 17, 19, 22 78:9 79:10 80:2, 14 81:16 84:16 89:4 102:24 103:1, 5 105:12, 14 110:25 141:8 **seeing** 32:18 seeking 74:8, 11 seen 11:13, 18, 19, 21 12:3 25:22 30:24 43:16 51:11, 16 52:11, 13, 25 64:2, 18 69:21 84:2 102:23 118:12 139:8

**SEIU** 141:22

selected 13:24 55:7 selecting 55:17 selection 13:11 **Send** 111:22 114:23 sending 125:9 134:*1* senior 20:3 24:19 29:6, 14 sense 34:22, 24 112:20 132:18 sent 77:6, 18 117:17 sentence 82:3 **separate** 119:*19* September 41:10 42:2 103:14, 22 105:4 seq 104:19 sergeant 66:15 series 105:1 seriously 34:2 **serve** 17:*1* **served** 96:15 **service** 3:16 6:1 116:*17* **serving** 33:10 54:15 set 144:20 seven 46:2, 4 **seventh** 116:*1* sexual 22:7 104:3, 15 **shed** 78:12 **Shelton** 134:24 135:3 136:11 SHERIFF 1:5 4:7, 9 8:18 16:15 18:3, 4, 6 19:25 25:16, 21 31:12 33:18, 25 34:5, 13, 18 35:10, 19 37:14, 15 39:18 41:17, 20 47:6 51:11 57:6, 10 58:3 60:22 61:18 71:15 74:1 84:10, 25 85:1, 4 86:6 94:11 95:5, 12, 16, 20, 24 96:1, 4, 14, *15*, *16*, *17*, *18* 97:7, 8 98:22, 23 116:23 117:5, 18 119:6, 8, 23, 25 122:7, 10 124:14 125:2 127:24 139:11 142:20 **sheriffs** 7:21 15:5 34:9 98:14

SHERIFF'S 1:9 3:19 4:5, 10 5:5 8:8 15:15 19:10, 11 27:10 32:22 34:*1* 35:25 41:5. 22 42:24 49:19 58:21 76:9 85:23 87:1, 3, 13 94:14, 20 98:3 103:3 105:13 123:5 125:14 127:12, 20 138:24 139:24 140:16 141:17 143:11 **shift** 53:12, 18, 22 61:23 **shifts** 20:15 **shoot** 125:23 shooting 68:24 69:9 110:12 shootings 13:23 91:7 130:8, 14 131:6, *13* **shoots** 136:12 **shop** 7:18 25:1, 7 **short** 73:10 shortly 96:6 122:9 **shorts** 25:10 **shot** 20:21 70:10 110:8 134:23 135:11 143:13 should've 70:8 **show** 25:18 35:14 121:*1* 135:23 137:22 **showed** 49:20 90:10 120:25 137:23 **showing** 31:20 123:25 **shown** 31:21 32:6 122:8 shows 135:23 sic 116:23 **sickle** 31:4 138:5 **sign** 101:17 signature 74:23 **signed** 74:25 75:23 106:24 significance 68:10 signified 12:22 signifies 19:6 signify 9:22, 24 18:15 26:22 signifying 18:24 **Silence** 15:19 46:13 115:8, 16, 22 **simply** 106:25

**single** 12:*15* 13:8 63:10 67:8, 13 sir 3:11, 17, 22, 25 4:3, 6, 8, 12, 13, 15, 18, 21, 24 5:10 6:6, 8, 10 7:12, 14, 22, 25 8:3, 10, 17, 23 9:1, 12, 18 10:10, 17, 24 11:7, 16, 25 12:5, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21 13:6, 10, 25 14:4, 5, 10, 24 15:8, 11, 14 16:5, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 18:1, 5, 9, 11 19:4, 10 20:1, 9, 14, 17, 20 21:1, 6, 13, 16 22:10, 16, 18, 21 23:5, 9, 17, 21 24:2, 5, 8, 12, 21, 23, 25 25:3, 5, 8, 11, 14, 24 26:13 27:22 28:1, 12, 13, 16, 20 29:2, 5, 9, 12, 17, 21 30:15, 18, 21 31:8, 16 32:20, 23, 25 33:12, 24 34:23 35:12, 18 36:18 37:2, 5 38:6 39:9, 11, 14, 16 41:14, 24 42:3, 6, 9, 23 43:1, 5, 7, 11, 12, 23 44:8, 12, 16, 20 45:14, 21 46:15, 20, 24 47:8, 11 48:14, 18 50:20, 23 51:1, 4, 20, 23 52:17, 20, 23 53:2, 5, 19 54:25 55:20, 23 56:15, 20 57:8, 16, 21, 25 58:10, 13 59:8, 11, 14, 17 60:16, 18, 25 61:2, 6, 9, 13, 20 63:2, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17 64:4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 24 65:5, 8, 10, 13, 16 66:1, 4, 10, 13, 17, 19, 25 67:7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 24 68:6, 9, 12, 15, 19, 22 69:2, 7, 22 70:2, 5, 8, 11, 19, 23 71:2, 6, 9, 14, 18 72:9, 13, 16, 21 73:6, 20, 21 74:4, 6, 12, 18, 21, 24 75:2, 5, 8 76:16, 18, 22 77:14, 23 78:4, 17, 22 79:5, 11 80:3,

125:18 141:23

9, 15 83:11, 24 84:4, 8, 13, 23 85:3, 9, 16, 25 86:4, 8 87:15 88:13, 25 89:3, 5. 8, 12, 16, 19 90:24 91:13 92:8, 9 94:8 95:3 96:5, 8 97:10 98:1, 24 99:2, 4, 6, 22 100:10 102:20, 22 103:2, 6 104:25 105:19 106:5 107:23 113:18 115:9, 12 117:11, 23 118:10, 14, 16 119:1, 21, 24 120:3, 7, 23 121:3, *6*, *21* 128:23 129:10, 13, 21 130:1, 4 131:21 132:12 sit 7:3 13:9 23:14, 18 34:4 35:3 38:8 63:7 132:1 136:21, 24 **Sitting** 34:21 139:12 situation 29:25 30:1 120:22 134:25 situations 29:19 six 115:25 126:20, 24 skeletal 52:5 skeleton 68:8 **skip** 119:*3* skull 139:15 **Skulls** 139:8 **slightly** 93:10 slowdown 90:9. 11, 15, 20 slowdowns 90:1 slurs 100:16 **small** 18:*19* social 109:24 sociopath 136:24 137:24 sociopathic 138:24 139:6, 17 **softball** 14:18 34:2, 5, 10, 14, 16, 17, 20 35:5, 12, 17, 25 139:4, 9 somebody 18:23 55:22 99:9 130:2 **soon-to-be** 124:*13* sorry 9:6 82:10 96:12 108:9 128:9

sort 20:15 93:22 **sound** 86:24 South 32:13 48:8 98:2 103:23 **spanned** 44:25 **spark** 37:21 **speak** 21:14 56:25 57:3 83:13 142:18 Speaker 2:17 26:18 27:3, 14, 20 28:7 32:10 82:20 97:12, 15 99:19 100:20, 24 101:5, 11, 13, 18, 21 103:17 104:6, 16, 22 105:8, 15 106:1, 15 107:6, *13* 134:21 138:22 SPECIAL 1:9 2:12 13:1 15:6 144:22 specific 93:22 speculate 38:2 60:2 **speech** 36:10 **spent** 122:13 **Spertus** 2:12 3:5 **spoke** 83:19 **spoken** 85:4 **sports** 14:17 squeamish 69:17 ss 146:2 staff 8:8 67:4, 12 86:*1* 109:9 110:*1* 125:6 stand 68:16 110:3 standard 9:11 start 95:25 **started** 73:15 state 33:9 80:19 146:*1* stated 34:7 88:20 89:11 131:20 statement 25:17, 20 35:6, 8 37:23, 25 38:1, 3, 9 77:7 statements 33:18, 20 108:17 109:3 statement's 77:10 states 127:19 Stating 39:6 85:20 station 5:1 10:22 11:1, 7, 14 12:22 16:4 17:24 18:3, 8, 12, 18, 20 19:13, 18, 19, 21 20:12 21:19 22:12, 20 23:7 26:7, 24 30:17, 19 38:24,

25 39:13, 25 40:23 41:1 44:5, 10, 15, 19, 23 46:22 47:22 48:4, 10 49:7, 24 50:8 54:17 62:6, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16 63:1, 4 64:6, 9, 12 68:3 71:23, 24 88:9, 12, 16, 21 89:10 91:21 92:15, 16 98:3 101:4 110:18 111:4, 9, 20 112:3, 9 114:16, 19, 22, 25 116:16, 20 118:7, 25 119:4, 14, 16 122:7, 19, 21, 24 123:2, 17 124:20 125:14 143:17 **stations** 32:13 90:1 91:22 125:7 station's 123:2 **statute** 79:1, 5 81:4, 7 82:8, 13, 19 127:18 statutory 129:6 **Steven** 140:17 **steward** 141:22 **Stewart** 101:3 stomach 9:4, 8 **Stone** 33:23 **Stones** 37:17 stop 49:12 story 138:9 street 14:3 124:9, 10 126:16 127:11 **Streets** 68:17. 18 135:14 strongly 125:10 **study** 130:8 131:4, 12, 15, 23 stuff 12:13 109:4, 5 110:5 137:11, 13 subclique 58:9 subcliques 84:11 subculture 44:5, 10, 14, 22 **subgroup** 5:1, 5 8:22 10:6 20:25 21:8 24:7, 10 26:11 30:14 35:2 37:9 39:23 42:25 45:4, 16 47:24 48:4 51:12 53:21 59:18 60:20, 23 61:5, 12, 19 63:4 64:14 66:16 67:16, 20 70:13, 21 86:7 88:17

89:6, 7, 9, 15, 18 91:5 92:11 95:1 subgroups 33:16 34:14 35:5, 11, 22 36:1, 11, 14, 25 37:3, 4, 13 42:8 44:18 63:1 71:13, 17, 21 72:1, 7, 12, 19, 25 73:5 81:17 84:12 85:1, 6, 11 86:3 87:18, 24 89:20, 21, 25 90:23 91:1, 8 92:5, 19, 23 93:4, 12, 23 94:2, 7, 15, 23 95:6, 10 123:12 130:13 subordinates 100:16 116:13 subpoena 3:10, 12, 16 subscribe 15:18 subscribed 146:14 substantiate 137:14 138:14, 15 substation 123:3 **suddenly** 110:17 **suffered** 128:25 **suit** 92:2 suits 91:24 **summer** 135:10 supervision 146:9 supervisor 16:20 **Supervisors** 122:16 supplemental 13:3 **support** 15:1 31:9 38:9 **supposed** 62:12 Suppression 17:5, 8, 16 **sure** 8:14 9:14 10:20 18:11 20:1, 6 23:13 25:19 28:5 31:1 34:6 36:18 39:20 40:8 42:16 44:4 49:9 58:16 60:8 69:14 71:3 73:2 77:2, 18 78:17, 22 79:1 83:14 84:23 87:6, 10 89:12 91:3, 5 93:1 94:8, 9 102:2, 10 112:17 114:8 126:10 surprise 32:21 45:22, 25 46:2, 5 51:24 55:1 57:22 60:6 suspended 61:10

suspensions 24:4 suspicious 58:2 sustained 144:25 swastika 125:19 126:9 **sworn** 3:2 119:11, 18, 20 125:15 127:20 143:7 **symbol** 31:9 79:23 84:18 127:19 system 70:14, 21 < T > take 19:22 30:9 49:1 58:5 70:12 73:10, 11, 13 79:2 101:25 110:25 111:25 134:16, 18 taken 35:7 73:14 102:4 137:18, 19 talk 5:22 21:12 30:10 40:21 41:8 51:6 57:9 61:1 88:11, 23 115:20 136:23 talked 39:24 109:11 112:4 122:10 talking 30:22 82:5 108:17 113:7 114:14 117:14 134:5 talks 49:6 56:12 tall 130:22 **Tardy** 39:21 48:9 49:14 88:8, 12, 23 89:14 90:3, 6, 7, 15 112:2 116:1, *12* 123:*1* **Tardy's** 117:22 targeted 85:6 targets 135:18 **Taser** 136:11 **tasked** 33:13 65:19 tattoo 4:23 5:3, 17, 20, 23, 25 6:3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 21 7:1, 5, 7, 17, 18, 20 8:9, 11, 12, 16, 19, 24 9:2, *10*, *16*, *23* 10:*1*, *22* 11:2, 8, 14, 22 12:4, 13, 20 13:4, 5, 9 15:23 16:19 17:7, 10, 16, 19, 21 21:14, 17 25:1, 7,

12, 16, 23 26:4, 14

27:8, 18, 19 28:10

31:12, 13, 24

27.21.42.22
37:21 42:23
45:13 48:9, 15
49:3, 18, 25 50:4,
9 51: <i>10</i> 52: <i>3</i> 54: <i>6</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>22</i>
54:6, 10, 21, 22
55:2, 8, 17, 21, 22,
24 56:7, 8, 13, 16
59:15 63:21, 23,
25 64:2 67:6
70:4, 9, 10 88:10,
12, 14, 16, 19, 24
89:2, 4 97:25
110:8, 12 113:11,
20, 25 114:22
115:3 116:7, 9, 21
118:12 120:22
118:12 120:22 121:2 123:2, 18, 21, 23 124:8, 10, 20, 23 125:3, 7, 10,
21, 23 124:8, 10,
20, 23 125:3, 7, 10,
16, 19, 25 126:9,
13, 16 127:1, 9, 11,
19 128:4 129:9,
12, 17, 18, 23
131:7, 13, 15
132:11, 19, 23
137:22 139:22
143:3
tattoos 8:21
12:24 25:18 26:2,
15, 17, 22 27:2, 6
31:20 32:4 50:5
56:3 64:21 66:15,
24 79:24 118:6, 9
122:19, 20 123:22
124:1, 12, 21
130:8 131:5
132:3 133:7
139:8 143:6
tax 14:8
team 14:19 34:5,
16, 20 68:2
109:12 139:4, 10 teams 34:2, 10, 14,
teams 34:2, 10, 14,
17 35:5, 12, 17, 25
teenager 66:12
teenagers 65:25
tell 10:19 11:20
20:6 23:12 27:12,
18 28:11 30:25
31:5 36:24 37:13
52:7 75:17
108.19 120.22
124.25 125.0
134.43 133.9
. OHIDA 14.17
27.12 101.12
108:19 129:22 134:25 135:9 <b>telling</b> 23:14 27:13 101:13
125:10 127:19, 23
125:10 127:19, 23 <b>Temple</b> 88:15, 17
125:10 127:19, 23 <b>Temple</b> 88:15, 17 89:6, 10, 14, 18
125:10 127:19, 23 <b>Temple</b> 88:15, 17 89:6, 10, 14, 18 140:16
125:10 127:19, 23 <b>Temple</b> 88:15, 17 89:6, 10, 14, 18 140:16 <b>ten</b> 7:13, 19, 23
125:10 127:19, 23 <b>Temple</b> 88:15, 17 89:6, 10, 14, 18 140:16

```
24 10:13 15:25
25:2 113:24
tenth 9:23, 25
10:1, 15
tenure 5:4, 19
19:25 47:6 71:19
72:10, 17, 22 73:3
118:1
term 35:21 46:3
55:9, 10 113:16,
17 115:8, 9 127:5
terminated 48:22
95:5 99:21
terminations 48:25
terminology 36:4
38:17
terms 16:6 26:25
36:20 37:9 58:18
59:25 60:3 72:3
82:6 126:5
Terracaro 62:22
Tescroggin 62:22
testified 4:16, 22,
25 12:21 37:14
40:21 50:21, 24
51:2 52:21 53:20
55:2 57:17 67:5
71:25 88:9
testimony 4:14
8:15 11:3, 15
33:19 54:2, 3
55:5, 19 56:5
57:20, 23 67:3
115:25 117:12
118:8 134:14
138:21 139:5
142:23
text 83:25 146:8
texts 83:21
thank 3:8 40:19
43:9 50:15 73:19
102:3, 6 107:18
111:15 113:1
118:17, 21 134:8,
9, 15 136:16, 17
138:17 140:3, 4,
20, 21 141:5
142:15, 18, 25
144:7, 8, 12, 15
145:3
thanks 97:3
That'd 83:5 87:15
theme 31:14
themself 138:11
theory 53:24
140:14
thereabout 105:4
thereof 146:13
thing 88:20
109:23 110:11
114:24 120:20
```

```
125:8 128:22
135:10 138:10
things 12:7 78:10
106:10 108:23
109:3, 13, 16
110:21, 22, 23
114:10, 18 126:20
134:4 142:3
think 6:25 7:4
10:14, 19 13:1
17:12 22:13
23:15 27:3 31:19,
23 32:3, 18 34:4,
7 35:1, 14 36:13,
16 40:2, 9, 20
41:25 42:10, 13
46:12, 16 48:7
73:16 75:12 77:4,
9 78:14 79:15
81:18 82:7, 12
86:11, 21, 25 87:5,
6, 8, 12, 16, 22
92:3, 14, 22 93:2,
5, 11, 21 94:5, 12,
13 95:16 100:5
108:14. 21 110:1.
12 112:23 114:13
115:7, 18 117:18
122:5, 24 123:15,
17, 20 125:8, 17,
21 126:2 128:2,
25 129:7, 11
131:9 132:5, 18
133:21 134:7
137:7 139:4, 11
141:16 142:4
144:10
think's 137:9
Thomas 135:12
thought 113:20
thoughts 102:1
three 96:3 129:22
ties 135:3 143:22
time 3:9 5:19
10:22, 23 14:12
16:19, 22 17:6, 16
28:14, 18 33:7
40:7 52:12 53:8,
10 56:17 58:23
59:1, 3 61:23
62:24 71:19
72:17, 22 73:3, 9
84:9, 24 92:4
96:16 100:2, 7, 11
102:11 106:7
112:2 119:7, 20
122:13 124:1
125:2 128:3
130:6 132:6
137:8, 12 141:6
```

```
143:15 144:16
timed 59:1
timeframe 16:25
141:16
timeline 119:10
times 4:7 43:16
85:5 115:25
136:12 138:8
Timothy 2:15
74:23
title 62:14
titles 133:10
today 3:8 13:9
34:21 38:8
106:10 115:25
118:9, 21 132:1
134:13 138:21
139:2, 5, 8, 23
140:1
told 18:16, 25
65:14, 22 68:1
82:22 95:23
96:10, 20 97:5
117:20 131:14, 19
top 109:6 110:9
tossed 108:25
tosses 136:11
total 37:12
Tracy 101:3
trail 76:13
train 29:10
training 28:15, 17,
23, 24 120:15, 16,
17, 21 121:1
135:16
transcribed 146:7
transcript 146:9
transfer 49:19
114:15
transferred 40:22,
25 47:12 48:21
49:22, 24 111:3, 5
112:21 114:25
transferring 39:25
transfers 48:24
114:14
transition 59:5
Travis 68:25
69:10
treat 107:16
treats 54:18
tried 34:19
trigger 69:18
70:25
trouble 114:21
truck 52:24 53:1
true 19:1 23:8
78:21 86:16
105:4, 20 110:2,
16 126:19
```

truly 114:18 trust 133:15, 18 **Truth** 97:9 98:9, 11, 20, 25 99:11 109:25 **truthful** 142:23 try 25:20 77:4 124:*1* trying 30:5 34:7 35:1, 13 40:2 49:17, 20 81:8 123:21 128:16, 20 130:12 131:22, 23 135:24 141:20 142:13 turn 32:8, 12 33:21 43:6, 7 51:9 68:23 69:17 73:22 74:13, 14 75:19 Twenty 62:4 two 46:4 57:12 68:10 101:2, 5 103:4, 5, 24 108:25 110:19 124:18 134:3 **Tv** 134:24 135:3 **type** 26:25 30:6 81:20 114:2*1* 135:17, 25 types 85:8 133:9 typically 107:6 < U > ultimately 32:4 **unable** 138:25

143:4 unauthorized 56:3 70:10 **unaware** 110:*14* uncertain 135:5 undermine 89:21 underneath 116:24 undersecretary 109:5 **Undersheriff** 2:15 3:23 4:4 24:3, 9 41:21 61:4 71:20 72:11, 18, 23 75:1 76:6 92:4 94:25 95:4, 8 96:7, 21 97:6 117:4, 8 118:21 119:4 128:25 understand 18:2 19:23 35:6 36:15 58:1 73:20 81:8 106:18 128:1, 11 understanding 123:*1* 

unfortunately 135:20 unfoundable 105:7 unfounded 104:2, 13 **unhappy** 116:20 Unidentified 2:17 26:18 27:3, 14, 20 28:7 32:10 82:20 97:12, 15 99:19 100:20, 24 101:5, 11, 13, 18, 21 103:17 104:6, 16, 22 105:8, 15 106:1, 15 107:6, 13 134:21 uniform 25:6 32:15, 22 33:4 **union** 108:7, 8, 11, 18 111:5 112:5, 6, 17 120:16 141:8, 11, 12 unions 108:13, 18 111:13 union's 39:24 **unique** 143:12 Unit 17:22 108:18 unjustified 80:24 **unlawful** 80:23 unleashed 135:14 unmute 140:24 141:1 142:17 **unmuted** 142:17 **unquote** 129:20 Unresponsive 128:10, 15 **untrue** 110:2 unusual 110:7 use 10:4 24:6, 9 38:17 80:23 90:23 91:2 100:15 126:12, 20 127:9, 11, 21 129:3, 5 141:11 **utilize** 143:5

<br/>
< V ><br/>
vacation 140:12<br/>
valid 131:12, 15<br/>
Valley 135:5, 15<br/>
141:18<br/>
value 78:2<br/>
various 123:13<br/>
Vera 98:14<br/>
verify 77:6<br/>
versus 54:3 134:3<br/>
victimized 142:10<br/>
video 135:21<br/>
videos 135:23<br/>
view 19:19 81:4,

6, 8 88:3 126:1 viewed 76:7 Villanueva 8:18 18:6 33:18 37:14, 19 57:10 60:22 61:3 71:15 84:10, 25 86:6 95:12, 24 96:13 97:7, 8 98:23 117:7 139:12 140:18 Villanueva's 19:25 47:6 **violate** 86:18 108:12, 14 **violated** 103:15 104:14 133:15, 19 violates 79:25 80:13, 21 violating 80:22 127:3 132:7 violation 38:12 81:20, 22, 24, 25 93:19 105:23 107:10 111:1,8 115:3, 4 124:6 violations 99:15 violence 23:25 43:3 135:11, 19 **violent** 64:25 89:6 134:25 **visible** 25:12 voice 53:17 **volition** 112:*16* 132:20 voluntarily 24:24 111:22 112:11 voluntary 111:12, 20 112:10 **volunteer** 144:*13* volunteers 111:18 voted 55:25 **voting** 54:5, 8 55:21 56:10 < W > **Waldie** 50:16

55:21 56:10

< W >
Waldie 50:16
54:3
walk 18:12 38:14
125:18
walked 124:9
walking 123:20
124:14
wall 122:21, 24
want 11:15 16:3
17:9 24:13 26:4
29:13 30:10
43:11, 14 49:8
51:9 53:25 54:4
56:21 58:5 68:23
73:24 90:1 96:17
103:21 111:17, 22

115:19 125:9 126:8 138:16 141:5, 7, 15 wanted 24:11 25:15, 22 28:5 44:4 102:24 110:23 112:7 114:18 122:3 129:15 140:7 144:12 wants 127:24 137:2 war 33:14 warm 133:10 **warning** 69:18 warrant 140:11 **watch** 62:8 117:25 124:20, 23 136:22, 24 140:2 **watched** 135:20 **watching** 133:13 135:22 way 5:8, 24 17:12 35:13 36:14 38:9, 11, 13 49:12 51:18 55:2 75:14 82:4, 14 84:14 99:20 101:1 107:15 108:10 110:13, 14 133:20, 22 137:4, 5 146:12 **weapons** 139:1 wear 25:10 33:8 144:*1* wearing 25:6 wears 19:8 weather 70:6 **website** 15:15 Wednesday 144:21 Well 5:8, 11 6:22 10:21 11:4, 6 17:9 18:12, 16 19:16 21:3 27:11 31:12 34:9 37:11 38:21 40:4, 6 45:2 48:7, 23 73:3 75:12 76:5 77:1 79:18 87:8 90:2 92:14 93:18 103:19 104:19 107:12, 15 109:16, 23 111:25 112:2 113:10 114:4, 17 115:8 117:22 123:24 124:2, 12 125:5 126:3, 15 127:23 128:2 131:22

went 25:6 41:2 109:12 112:5 116:17 119:4, 6, 22 121:2, 8 we're 59:22 74:13 82:12 101:16 102:7 108:12, 25 125:10 134:5, 16, 18 135:4 139:24 141:18 143:22 we've 30:11 52:25 84:2 139:8 whatsoever 5:9 117:13, 25 Where'd 48:11 WHEREOF 146:*14* whistleblower 143:19 white 130:20 Whittier 7:18 wholesome 42:10, 13 who've 64:21 widely 101:12 wish 118:7, 15 123:8 134:10 144:18, 22 **witness** 107:*1* 141:22 144:23 146:14 Witnesses 2:14 45:23 woman 23:19 women 7:24 21:22 23:6, 15 86:13, 23 87:1, 4, 19, 25 word 10:4 79:7 87:10 129:3 words 26:3 36:8 wore 33:4 work 20:15 21:19 22:8, 12 25:4 53:12, 18, 22 54:11, 16 56:9 90:1, 9, 10, 15, 20 101:3 134:12 139:20 141:21 144:15 worked 17:7, 15 23:3, 7 28:22 59:4 116:20 121:22 worker 12:22 13:14 workers 13:16 **working** 29:15

54:14 101:21

111:24 143:11 world 109:17 worms 124:3 worry 132:9 worst 114:24 would've 20:5 58:14 83:15 96:22 136:9 wound 70:4 write 77:12 written 78:20 83:25 90:12 91:12 105:13, 22 110:4 wrong 7:1, 4 72:2 86:16, 17 122:4 125:22 < Y > **y'all** 141:*16* 

Yeah 11:17 12:25 17:13 50:3 52:1 96:24 97:3 110:14 111:17 112:23 117:2 118:20 119:12 126:4 year 39:4 75:25 130:24 138:3, 4 141:18 142:5 years 3:20, 21 6:12 7:10 12:6, 11 15:24, 25 16:2, 22 26:13 62:3, 4 63:3 91:20 113:7 121:11, 18 123:8 136:25 137:2, 15, 22 141:22 142:6. **vell** 11:9 Yorker 85:5 young 24:13, 15 33:13 127:10 143:*1* younger 20:3 24:19 28:22 29:3, 13 32:5 54:17 youth 143:1

<**Z**> **zone** 33:14

wellness 132:16