

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331 Telephone: (626) 458-5100 http://dpw.lacounty.gov

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: P.O. BOX 1460 ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

IN REPLY PLEASE

REFER TO FILE: WM-2

June 18, 2013

TO: Each Supervisor

FROM: Gail Farber

Director of Public Works

REPORT ON MARCH 12, 2013, MOTION ON PROPOSED CLEAN WATER, CLEAN BEACHES MEASURE

At the conclusion of the Public Hearing held March 12, 2013, the Board directed the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Department of Public Works to take a number of actions (Attachment A). Public Works has prepared this report to update the Board on the findings and action taken in response to that direction.

bil Sarber

Letter to the Regional Water Quality Control Board

As directed by the Board, the CEO sent a letter to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) on May 21, 2013, requesting that the Regional Board collaborate with the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD) and work with the cities in the County of Los Angeles to educate the public about stormwater pollution (Attachment B). Several such workshops have already been held, and Public Works has participated in them.

Continued Communications with Stakeholders

The CEO and Public Works have continued to work with the business community, school districts, nonprofits, and municipalities to address their concerns.

Business Community

Business group representatives have indicated that the majority of business owners understand the need to reduce pollution in stormwater and urban runoff, although they are still uncertain about what specific measures are required to comply with the new Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit. They believe that specific projects must be identified and priced prior to deciding the details of any potential revenue source and that any tax or fee program, should include a sunset date of no

Each Supervisor June 18, 2013 Page 2

more than 30 years and up to a 100 percent reduction program for parcels with qualifying onsite treatment, or subject to a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Stormwater Permit. In addition, members of the business community expressed the need to explore other funding options, including a sales tax or use of existing funds. They also want to have a designated business community representative added to the oversight committee and should an alternate funding source be pursued, they desire to be engaged early on in order to participate in establishing program governance and project selection criteria. They believe that project selection criteria should include strong cost effectiveness criteria and prioritize addressing stormwater pollution, not ancillary benefits. Business groups also feel that any measure must be approved through a general election among registered voters and not a mail only ballot of parcel owners.

School Districts

Discussions with representatives from the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD), as the largest school district in the County of Los Angeles, continue to be productive. Public Works and the LAUSD discussed a conceptual program that allows public school districts to provide inkind services to offset their fee amounts. Qualifying inkind services would include activities such as curriculum and the costs of onsite treatment. The program is still in development and further discussion with the LAUSD and other public school districts, is necessary to further refine it prior to consideration by the Board or school district Boards. The LAUSD's staff expressed a desire to see an alternative form of financing such as a property tax or a sales tax where school districts could be exempted or not impacted by a water quality fee.

Municipalities

Public Works has actively participated in numerous municipal workshops to continue outreaching to city elected officials and municipal staff. Highlights include participation at the California Contract Cities Association at its annual conference, the League of California Cities' City Managers Steering Committee, the Los Angeles Permit Group (a group that includes over 60 municipalities as members), and the Greater Los Angeles County and Gateway Integrated Regional Water Management Groups. In addition, Public Works actively participated in a series of stormwater permit workshops hosted by Councils of Government for their elected officials, which included a question and answer session with staff from the Regional Board.

Public Works has also conducted a survey of County of Los Angeles municipalities to gauge their awareness of the MS4 Permit and their plans for financing activities required under the Permit. The results of the survey indicate there is a growing

Each Supervisor June 18, 2013 Page 3

awareness and understanding among Public Works' Directors and City Managers of the pressing need for additional revenue for stormwater quality efforts; however, there continues to be limited awareness of these issues amongst elected officials. Most cities expressed uncertainty of how they would finance the required activities in the absence of a sustained funding source, suggesting they would probably have to rely on general funds. Some cities indicated they may pursue water quality fees for their individual jurisdiction or explore other revenue options, such as development fees, to finance future water quality projects.

Some municipalities, such as Signal Hill, are currently working to organize a meeting of City Managers with the intent of exploring options for regional or subregional financing of water quality projects. We understand that the initial meeting of City Managers is planned for June 27, 2013, to discuss challenges related to increasing awareness among elected officials and changes that could be made to the LACFCD's program to allow it to continue moving forward.

Environmental and Community Groups

Discussions with key nongovernmental, environmental, and community organizations suggest that these groups continue to be strongly supportive of establishing a dedicated funding source for improving water quality in stormwater and urban runoff. They remain committed to championing a program that provides both quantifiable water quality benefits with clear metrics and wherever possible, other benefits including water supply augmentation, urban greening, habitat, and other community benefits. Ensuring opportunities for community and stakeholder input in any stormwater program remains another priority of these groups.

Education of elected officials and the public regarding the urgency of securing stormwater funding has emerged as a key focus for the environmental and community groups. Some of the nongovernmental organizations along with some business and community interests have formed a coalition-Coalition for Our Water Future-to build awareness of the issue, support for stormwater funding, and explore funding options.

Feasibility of Placing the Measure on a General Election Ballot

The CEO submitted to the Board a May 8, 2013, report detailing the steps required to add the Clean Water, Clean Beaches Measure to the General Election Ballot for June 2014 or November 2014. A copy of this report is included as Attachment C.

Quarterly Report on the Regional Water Quality Control Board's Actions

Public Works is preparing a report on the status of the Regional Board's implementation and enforcement of the MS4 Permit. The first quarterly report will be submitted in July 2013.

Designation of an Unincorporated Areas Stormwater Manager

As directed by the Board, Public Works has designated Principal Engineer, Angela George, as the Unincorporated Areas Stormwater Manager. Public Works will be reporting to the Board on a quarterly basis on stormwater compliance in the unincorporated areas.

Public Works will continue educating stakeholders about the impacts of stormwater and urban runoff pollution to the environment and will continue working collaboratively with municipalities, businesses, and environmental and community groups to address MS4 Permit requirements. In addition, we will continue collaborating with other partner water resource agencies to continue to explore opportunities for stormwater capture as a means to address pollution and increase local water supplies.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (626) 458-4002 or your staff may contact Mark Pestrella, Assistant Director, at (626) 458-4001 or at mpestrella@dpw.lacounty.gov.

RB:sw

P:\wmpub\Secretarial\2013 Documents\Letter\WQFI BL 2013-06-10 v8.docx\C13179

Attach.

cc: Chief Executive Office (Rita Robinson)
County Counsel
Executive Office



STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES HELD IN ROOM 381B OF THE KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

Tuesday, March 12, 2013

9:30 AM

S-1. 11:00 a.m.

Hearing on the proposed Clean Water, Clean Beaches Fee; acting as the Governing Body of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, consider all protests against the proposed Clean Water, Clean Beaches Fee made by owners of parcels upon which the fee is proposed for imposition; instruct the Director of Public Works, in her capacity as the Chief Engineer, of the County Flood Control District to return to the Board with a final tabulation of written protests; if there is no majority protest, instruct the Chief Engineer to return to the Board at a future date with a recommendation as to the type of election to conduct on the Clean Water Clean Beaches Fee; if there is a majority protest, refer the matter back to the Department of Public Works. (Department of Public Works) (Continued from meeting of 1-15-13) (12-5638)

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisors Molina and Knabe: Close the Protest Hearing and not proceed with the Clean Water, Clean Beaches Measure at this time and take the following actions:

- Instruct the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to send a letter to the Regional Water Quality Control Board requesting that the Board work with the Director of Public Works (DPW) and the cities in the County to educate the public about stormwater pollution;
- 2. Instruct the CEO and DPW to continue to work with the business community, school districts, and non-profits to address their concerns, such as including a 30-year sunset date and making further refinements to the rate reduction program;
- 3. Direct the CEO to report back regarding the necessary steps the County must take should the Board decide to place this item on a general election ballot, and determine potential future election dates to ensure

transparency to the public.

- Instruct DPW to provide the Board with quarterly reports on the status of the Regional Water Quality Control Board's implementation and enforcement of the Municipal Storm Water permit; and
- Instruct DPW to designate a staff person within the department to act as the Unincorporated Stormwater Manager to be responsible for reporting to the Board quarterly on stormwater compliance in the unincorporated areas including status of projects, budget expenditures and budget forecasting. (13-1312)

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisor Yaroslavsky: Acting as the Governing Body of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, take the following actions with respect to the proposed Clean Water, Clean Beaches Fee:

Close the public hearing, and determine not to proceed at this time with the Clean Water, Clean Beaches Measure as proposed; and

Direct the Flood Control District, working in close consultation with County Counsel and with business, environmental, government agency and other stakeholders, to prepare a ballot measure for either the June 2014 or the November 2014 ballot that would seek voter support for a stable and long-term regional funding mechanism to finance the construction, operations and maintenance of local and regional projects that address stormwater and urban runoff pollution. (13-1315)

All persons wishing to testify were sworn in by the Executive Officer of the Board. Russ Bryden, Civil Engineer, representing the Department of Public Works, made a presentation and testified.

Opportunity was given for interested persons to address the Board. Councilmember Timben Boydston, City of Santa Clarita, Laurene Weste, Mayor Pro Tem for the City of Santa Clarita, Councilmember John Capoccia, City of Sierra Madre, Cristina Sanchez, City of Hacienda Heights, Councilmember Larry Forester, City of Signal Hill, Tom Modica, Director of Governmental Affairs for the City of Long Beach, Maria Mehranian, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Kim Lamorie, representing Senator Fran Pavley, Andy Lipkis and other interested persons addressed the Board. Correspondence was received.

After discussion, on motion of Supervisor Yaroslavsky, seconded by Supervisor Knabe, the public hearing was closed and the Board directed the tabulation of protests.

Supervisor Yaroslavsky made a suggestion to amend Supervisors Molina and Knabe's motion by adding Recommendation No. 3 of his own motion to theirs, which would:

Direct the Flood Control District, working in close consultation with County Counsel and with business, environmental, government agency and other stakeholders, to prepare a ballot measure for either the June 2014 or the November 2014 ballot that would seek voter support for a stable and long-term regional funding mechanism to finance the construction, operations and maintenance of local and regional projects that address stormwater and urban runoff pollution.

Supervisor Knabe suggested adding the language of "with a goal" for either the June 2014 or the November 2014 ballot.

Samuel Unger, Executive Officer, California Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region, responded to questions posed by the Board.

After discussion, Supervisor Knabe made a motion to amend his and Supervisor Molina's motion to include the following language under Recommendation No. 3:

Direct the Chief Executive Officer to report back regarding the necessary steps the County must take should the Board decide to place this on the election ballot and determine future election dates, with June 2014 or November 2014 as a goal, to ensure transparency to the public.

Supervisor Molina accepted Supervisor Knabe's amendment.

Supervisor Yaroslavsky requested a progress report from the Director of Public Works in approximately 90 days at the Board meeting of June 11, 2013, on the progress that has been made, including parameters and vehicles for accomplishing the goals and what are the Board's options.

Supervisor Ridley-Thomas requested that after the initial 90-day report, the Director to provide quarterly status reports to the Board.

Further, Supervisor Antonovich requested that the report include all existing revenues the department can utilize for the project.

The Executive Officer of the Board reported that there was no majority protest against the project.

John F. Krattli, County Counsel, addressed the Board.

After discussion, Supervisors Molina and Knabe's motion, as amended, was duly carried by the following vote to:

- Instruct the Chief Executive Officer to send a letter to the Regional Water Quality Control Board requesting that the Board work with Director of Public Works and the cities in the County to educate the public about stormwater pollution;
- 2. Instruct the Chief Executive Officer and the Director of Public Works to continue to work with the business community, school districts, and non-profits to address their concerns, such as including a 30-year sunset date and making further refinements to the rate reduction program;
- 3. Direct the Chief Executive Officer to report back regarding the necessary steps the County must take should the Board decide to place this item on a general election ballot, and determine potential future election dates, with June 2014 or November 2014 as a goal, to ensure transparency to the public;
- 4. Instruct Director of Public Works to provide the Board with quarterly reports on the status of the Regional Water Quality Control Board's implementation and enforcement of the Municipal Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit;
- 5. Instruct the Director of Public Works to designate a staff person within the department to act as the Unincorporated Stormwater Manager. This person shall be responsible for reporting to the Board quarterly on stormwater compliance in the unincorporated areas including status of projects, budget expenditures and budget forecasting; and
- 6. Instruct the Director of Public Works to provide a report to the Board in approximately 90 days as a Set Matter on June 11, 2013 on the progress that has been made, including parameters and vehicles for accomplishing

the goals and what are the Board's options, and all existing revenues the Department can utilize for the project; and

7. Instruct the Director of Public Works to provide quarterly status reports to the Board after the initial 90-day report.

Ayes: 4 - Supervisor Molina, Supervisor Yaroslavsky,

Supervisor Knabe and Supervisor Ridley-Thomas

Noes: 1 - Supervisor Antonovich

The foregoing is a fair statement of the proceedings of the meeting held March 12, 2013, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles and ex officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies and authorities for which said Board so acts.

Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer Executive Officer-Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By Sachi C. Hamai

Sachi A. Hamai Executive Officer



County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

May 21, 2013

Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

DON KNABE Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

Mr. Samuel Unger, P.E. Executive Officer California Regional Water Quality Control Board – Los Angeles Region 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200 Los Angeles CA 90013-2343

Dear Mr. Unger:

URBAN RUNOFF AND STORM WATER QUALITY PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAM

As you know, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Board) has been working for years with our cities and interested parties to formulate the Clean Water, Clean Beaches Measure – a salient piece of the puzzle to improve our storm water discharge into the ocean, and an essential measure to meet the mandates of the Clean Water Act and the Environmental Protection Agency consent decree.

On June 11, 2013, the Board will take up this measure and decide whether it is a property tax levy voted upon by property owners or a general tax voted upon by all County residents. In either case, there is a massive and urgent need to educate the public on all aspects of the storm water problem, the likely benefits of the Storm Water, Clean Beaches Measure, and the consequences for all our residents and businesses if we do nothing.

I am writing this letter at the direction of the Board who, on March 12, 2013, asked that we invite the Regional Water Quality Control Board to join with the Flood Control District, Los Angeles County and our cities to assume a direct role to help lead an effective public education campaign about our storm water pollution and the anticipated measures to reduce it. The Board believes that this is a natural and fundamental role for the Regional Water Quality Control Board, and looks forward to your participation in this collaborative effort. We understand the Regional Water Quality Control Board is already meeting with cities in Los Angeles County to review the requirements for the new permit, but we need more collaboration on outreach efforts throughout the County.

Mr. Samuel Unger May 21, 2013 Page 2

The public, businesses, and our varied communities need to better understand that storm water pollution poses very significant risks to public health, that it impacts the quality of life for all County residents, and will have a growing impact on tourism and business in our region. We must develop and implement a multi-faceted public education campaign to outreach to our residents, our schools, our business communities, and we must do it by pooling resources and working in a collaborative manner if we are to succeed.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience so that we may discuss how the Regional Water Quality Control Board can contribute to the public education effort. Our Department of Public Works and the Flood Control District look forward to working with you in a team effort on this important campaign for the region's future.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA Chief Executive Officer

WTF:RLR DSP:os

c. Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chair and Second District Supervisor Gloria Molina, First District Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky, Third District Supervisor Don Knabe, Fourth District Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich, Fifth District Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Office, Board of Supervisors John F. Krattli, County Counsel Gail Farber, Director of Public Works



County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

> Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

DON KNABE Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH Fifth District

May 8, 2013

To:

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky Supervisor Don Knabe

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From:

William T Fujioka

Chief Executive Officer

CLEAN WATER, CLEAN BEACHES MEASURE GENERAL ELECTION MILESTONE SCHEDULES (ITEM NO. S-1, AGENDA OF MARCH 12, 2013)

On March 12, 2013, the Board of Supervisors (Board) directed the Chief Executive Officer to report back regarding the necessary steps that the County must take should the Board decide to place the Clean Water, Clean Beaches Measure (Measure) on a general election ballot, and determine potential future election dates, with June 2014 or November 2014 as a goal, to ensure transparency to the public.

Background on the Clean Water, Clean Beaches Measure

The fee that is contemplated by the Measure is expressly authorized by the Los Angeles County Flood Control Act, as amended by AB 2554 (Chapter 602, Stats 2011), which provides in Section 2, subsection 8a, that the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (FCD) has the power to "impose a fee or charge, in compliance with the applicable provisions of article XIIID of the California Constitution, to pay the costs and expenses of carrying out projects and providing services to improve water quality and reduce stormwater and urban runoff pollution in the district." Subsection 8c requires the governing board of the FCD to adopt an ordinance to implement the authority granted pursuant to subsection 8a.

Article XIIID, Section 6 of the California Constitution sets forth a two-step procedure with which, the FCD must comply in order to impose the fee. First, the FCD is required to conduct a public hearing and consider all protests against the fee.

If there is no majority written protest, then in order for the Board to adopt the proposed fee, the fee must be submitted to and approved by either (1) a majority vote of the owners of the properties that would be subject to the fee or, (2) at the option of the agency, by a two-thirds vote of the electorate (i.e., registered voters) residing in the affected area.

The Board conducted a public hearing on the Measure, which was closed on March 12, 2013, and there was not a majority written protest against the proposed fee. This memo serves to identify the key steps to conducting an election, the election costs, and the fee levy and collection timeframes should the Board desire to submit the Measure to a vote of registered voters in a general election.

Key Steps to Conducting an Election

June 3. 2014 Statewide Direct Primary Election

If the Board intends to submit the Measure to the voters at the June 3, 2014 Statewide Direct Primary Election, the Elections Code requires the Board to adopt a resolution giving notice of, and consolidating the election with the primary no later than 88 days before the date of election. March 4, 2014 is the last Board meeting prior to the 88 day deadline, which is March 7, 2014.

In addition, if the Board desires the implementation ordinance required by AB 2554 to be part of the ballot materials considered by the voters when voting on the Measure, the ordinance language must be included in the resolution adopted by the Board by March 4, 2014, as well.

The tentative Calendar of Events for the June 3, 2014 Statewide Direct Primary Election, (Attachment I), identifies the deadlines established by the California Elections Code, or actions otherwise identified by the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk (RR/CC) that the County and/or FCD must take should the Board decide to place the Measure on the ballot in June 2014. The first critical action date is March 7, 2014, which is 88 days prior to the June 3, 2014 election, and is the last day for the:

- 1) FCD to file a resolution with the County Board of Supervisors and RR/CC requesting consolidation with the Statewide Direct Primary Election.
- 2) Board to place the Measure on the Statewide Direct Primary Election ballot and, if it so chooses, direct the Auditor-Controller (A-C) to determine its fiscal impact and prepare a statement.

Other critical dates are:

- 1) March 12, 2014: Deadline for Amendment or Withdrawal of the Measure
- 2) March 14, 2014: Publication Date Fixed to Submit Arguments
- 3) March 17, 2014: Last Day to Submit Arguments, and Last Day to Submit Impartial Analysis
- 4) March 18-27, 2014: Public Examination Period for the Ballot Measure Materials
- 5) March 27, 2014: Last Day to Submit Rebuttals
- 6) March 28 April 7, 2014: Public Examination Period of Rebuttals

November 4, 2014 General Election

If the Board intends to submit the Measure to the voters at the November 4, 2014 Statewide General Election, the Elections Code requires the Board to adopt a resolution giving notice of, and consolidating the election with the primary no later than 88 days before the date of election. August 5, 2014 is the last Board meeting prior to the 88 day deadline, which is August 8, 2014.

In addition, if the Board desires the implementation ordinance required by AB 2554 to be part of the ballot materials considered by the voters when voting on the Measure, the ordinance language must be included in the resolution adopted by the Board by August 8, 2014, as well.

The tentative Calendar of Events for the November 4, 2014 General Election, (Attachment II), identifies the deadlines established by the California Elections Code, or actions otherwise identified by the RR/CC, that the County and/or FCD must take should the Board decide to place the Measure on the ballot in November 2014. The first critical action date is August 8, 2014, which is 88 days prior to the November 4, 2014 election, and is the last day for the:

1) FCD to file a resolution with the Board and RR/CC requesting consolidation with the General Election.

2) Board to place the Measure on the ballot and, if it so chooses, direct the A-C to review the Measure to determine its financial effect and prepare a fiscal impact statement.

Other critical dates are:

- 1) August 13, 2014: Deadline for Amendment or Withdrawal of the Measure
- 2) August 15, 2014: Publication Date Fixed to Submit Arguments
- 3) August 18, 2014: Last Day to Submit Arguments and Last Day to Submit Impartial Analysis
- 4) August 19-28, 2014: Public Examination Period for the Ballot Measure Materials
- 5) August 28, 2014: Last Day to Submit Rebuttals
- 6) August 29 September 9, 2014: Public Examination Period of Rebuttals and/or Impartial Analyses

After November 14, 2014, the next possible date for conducting an election would be June 2, 2015.

Election Costs

The cost to the FCD to conduct an election would vary significantly depending on whether the election on the Measure is, or is not a part of a consolidated Statewide ballot. The estimated cost of conducting an election on the Measure would be much lower in June 2014 or November 2014, because these dates correspond to consolidated Statewide elections, enabling the FCD to share costs with other participating agencies.

The RR/CC provided the following estimated election costs:

Election Date	Estimated Cost
June 3, 2014	\$10.3 million (consolidated Statewide election)
November 4, 2014	\$10.0 million (consolidated Statewide election)
June 2, 2015	\$33.0 million (special, non-consolidated election)

Fee Levy and Collection Timeframes

The election date that is selected would impact the FCD's corresponding schedule for levying and collecting fees, should the Measure be approved by voters. The following table summarizes levy and collection timeframes by fiscal year (FY) for the possible election dates identified above:

Election Date	Fee Levy	Fee Collection
June 3, 2014	October 2014	FY 2014-15
November 4, 2014	October 2015	FY 2015-16
June 2, 2015	October 2015	FY 2015-16

The schedule assumes that the FCD provides data for the approximately 2.2 million parcels subject to the fee within the timeframes required by the A-C.

If you have any questions, please contact me, or your staff may contact Dorothea Park at (213) 974-4283, or via email at dpark@ceo.lacounty.gov.

WTF:RLR:DSP BK:acn

Attachments (2)

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Auditor-Controller
Public Works
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk
Treasurer and Tax Collector



TENTATIVE CALENDAR OF EVENTS

STATEWIDE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION JUNE 3, 2014

IMPORTANT NOTICE

All documents are to be filed with and duties performed by the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk unless otherwise specified.

DATES	EVENTS
MAR. 7 (F) 5:00 P. M. E-88	CONSOLIDATION OF ELECTIONS Last day for local jurisdictions to file a resolution with the Board of Supervisors and Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk requesting consolidation with the Statewide Direct Primary Election. (E. C. §§ 10400 - 10403)
	COUNTY MEASURES - FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT Last day for the Board of Supervisors to place a county measure on the Statewide Direct Primary Election ballot and direct the county auditor to determine its fiscal impact and prepare a statement. (E. C. § 9160)
MAR. 12 (W) E-83	AMENDMENT OR WITHDRAWAL OF MEASURE - DEADLINE Last day for county elections official to receive a resolution from a legislative body requesting to withdraw or amend a measure previously submitted for placement on the ballot. (E. C. § 9605)
MAR. 14 (F) E-81	DATE FIXED TO SUBMIT ARGUMENTS — PUBLICATION Recommended last day to publish date fixed for submitting arguments for or against a county, school or district measure. (E. C. §§ 9163 and 9502)

DATES	EVENTS
MAR. 17 (M) 5:00 P.M. E-78	ARGUMENTS – LAST DAY TO SUBMIT Last day to submit arguments for or against any county, district or school measure. Arguments may not exceed 300 words in length. (E. C. § 9162, 9163, 9315, 9316, 9501 and 9502)
	EXCEPTION : Provisions regarding word limit do not apply to measures for school district reorganization. (Ed. § 35758)
	IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS – LAST DAY TO SUBMIT Recommended last day for County Counsel to transmit impartial analysis of a county, district or school measure. (E. C. §§ 9160, 9313 and 9500)
MAR. 18 (Tu) MAR. 27 (Th) E-77 E-68	PUBLIC EXAMINATION PERIOD FOR BALLOT MEASURE MATERIALS During this period, the elections official shall make available for public examination a copy of the ballot measure text, arguments, impartial analysis or bond statement for any county, district or school

	(E. C. §§ 9190, 9380 and 9509)
MAR. 27 (Th) 5:00 P.M. E-68	REBUTTALS – LAST DAY TO SUBMIT Last day for authors of arguments for and against any county, district or school measure to submit rebuttals. Rebuttals may not exceed 250 words in length. (E. C. §§ 9167, 9317 and 9504)

measure. A fee may be charged to any candidate/person obtaining a copy of the materials. During this period, any person may file a writ of mandate or an injunction to require any or all of the

material/data to be amended or deleted.

DATES		EVENTS
MAR. 28 (F) E-67	APR. 7* (M) E-57*	PUBLIC EXAMINATION PERIOD – REBUTTALS During this period the county elections official shall make available for public examination a copy of the rebuttals for any county, district or school measure. A fee may be charged to any candidate/person obtaining a copy of the materials. During this period any person may file a writ of mandate or an injunction to require any or all of the material/data to be amended or deleted. (E. C. §§ 9190, 9380 and 9509)

^{*} Date adjusted due to weekend and/or holiday.



CALENDAR OF EVENTS

GENERAL ELECTION – NOVEMBER 4, 2014

IMPORTANT NOTICE

All documents are to be filed with and duties performed by the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk unless otherwise specified.

DATES	EVENTS
AUG. 8 (F) 5:00 P. M. E-88	CONSOLIDATION OF ELECTIONS Last day for local jurisdictions to file a resolution with the Board of Supervisors requesting consolidation with the General Election. (E. C. §§ 10401 and 10403)
	COUNTY MEASURES - FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT Last day for the Board of Supervisors to order a county measure to appear on the ballot and direct the county auditor to review the county measure to determine its financial effect and prepare a fiscal impact statement. (E. C. § 9160)
AUG. 13 (W) E-83	AMENDMENT OR WITHDRAWAL OF MEASURE - DEADLINE Last day for county elections official to receive a resolution from a legislative body requesting to withdraw or amend any measure previously submitted for placement on the ballot. (E. C. § 9605)
AUG. 15 (F) E-81	DATE FIXED TO SUBMIT ARGUMENTS — PUBLICATION Not later than this date a notice shall be published once in a newspaper of general circulation setting forth the date fixed to submit arguments for and against any county or school measure. (E. C. § 9163, 9502 and Govt. Code § 6061)

EVENTS

AUG. 18 (M) 5:00 P. M. E-78

ARGUMENTS - LAST DATE TO SUBMIT

Last day to submit arguments for or against any county, district or school measure. Arguments may not exceed 300 words in length.

(E. C. §§ 9162, 9163, 9315, 9316, 9501 and 9502)

EXCEPTION: These provisions regarding word limitation do not apply to a measure on school district reorganization.

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS - LAST DAY TO SUBMIT

Recommended last day for County Counsel to transmit impartial analysis of a county, district or school measure.

(E. C. § 9160,9313 and 9500)

AUG. 19 (Tu) AUG. 28 (Th) E-77 E-68

PUBLIC EXAMINATION PERIOD FOR BALLOT MEASURE MATERIALS

During this period the clerk shall make available for public examination a copy of any ballot measure text, arguments, and bond statement for any county, district or school measure. A fee may be charged to any person obtaining a copy of the materials. During this period any person may file a writ of mandate or an injunction to require any or all of the material/data be amended or deleted.

(E. C. §§ 9190, 9380 and 9509)

AUG. 28 (Th) E-68

REBUTTALS - LAST DAY TO SUBMIT

Last day for authors of arguments for or against a county, district or school district measure to submit rebuttals. Rebuttals may not exceed 250 words in length.

(E. C. §§ 9167, 9317 and 9504)

DATES		EVENTS
AUG. 29 (F) E-67	SEPT. 9 (Tu) E-56	PUBLIC EXAMINATION PERIOD FOR REBUTTALS/IMPARTIAL ANALYSES During this period, rebuttals and/or impartial analyses for any county, district or school measure shall be open for public examination. A fee may be charged to any person obtaining a copy of the material. During this period any person may file a writ of mandate or an injunction to require any or all of the material/data to be amended or deleted. (E. C. §§ 9190, 9380 and 9509)