

MOTION BY MAYOR MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

AUGUST 30, 2011

Set Item #1:

The plan before us today represents many hours of hard work by many stakeholders over a very brief time-frame. That said, modifications are necessary to further refine and clarify the plan.

To ensure that the plan offered by the Community Corrections Partnership can rise to the huge challenges being placed on the county, the following recommendations are offered:

- To ensure maximum flexibility and fairness, the plan should be free of identifying or referencing any specific vendor, provider or community/faith based organization. Specifically, there are numerous references to the "Courage 2 Change," which is a component of Probation's current adult supervision program.
- The roles and responsibilities of the various stakeholders should be clearly and accurately reflected under Roles and Responsibilities. Specifically, a separate line item should be added for the Sheriff who has a countywide role and responsibility as it relates to absconders as well as his lead role in creating an integrated database system for the post-release community supervision population. Finally, the roles and responsibilities of the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee (CCJCC) and CEO are not reflected on the list.
- The plan interchangeably uses the term "N3" for the post-release community supervision population and those who will begin serving sentences in county custody. Although both involve non-violent, non-serious, non-sexual offenders, the former group can have a prior history of serious, violent or sex offenses. The custody group cannot.

MOTION

MOLINA _____

RIDLEY-THOMAS _____

YAROSLAVSKY _____

KNABE _____

ANTONOVICH _____

- The plan should avoid duplication of efforts and inefficiencies. Specifically, the CEO is tasked with data collection to refine and guide the implementation; however, since similar responsibilities are already assigned to the CCJCC in a separate section of the plan, the CEO should be tasked with budget responsibilities, including analyzing and assessing the funding with recommendations to the Board as necessary and requested.
- Finally, the law is silent on the continued role of CCP as it relates to realignment. However, the plan proposes that the CCP serve in an advisory capacity to monitor the implementation through the end of the fiscal year. Effective October 1, that role can best be served by the CCJCC's Public Safety Realignment Team (PSRT) which was established by the Board on February 8, 2011. The PSRT, comprised largely of the same members as the CCP, met numerous times to evaluate and assess the initial realignment proposal and provided reports directly to the Board of Supervisors. However, to ensure continuity of the work done by the CCP, the PSRT membership and structure should be modified to mirror that of the CCP.

To correct inaccuracies and modify the plan, the law mandates the board to first reject it and request the CCP to resubmit it.

I, THEREFORE, MOVE that the Board of Supervisors reject the public safety realignment plan as currently submitted by the CCP and request the CCP to consider the modifications specified herein in their revised plan due in three weeks.

#

MDA:apg