

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 383 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 (213) 974-1411 • FAX (213) 620-0636 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

GLORIA MOLINA

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY

DON KNABE

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

March 9, 2011

TO:

Lawrence Crocker, Executive Director

Civil Service Commission

FROM:

Sachi A. Hamai

Executive Officer

SUBJECT:

REPORT ON CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION HEARINGS

At the Board of Supervisors' meeting held March 8, 2011, the Board discussed Agenda Item No. 46 relating to a report by the Civil Service Commission regarding steps the Commission has taken or that could be taken by the Commission or the parties before it, to expedite the current appeals process and eliminate the current unacceptable delays in the resolution of petitioner appeals. During the discussion, Supervisor Molina requested you to take the following actions:

- Provide the Board with a public annual report at the conclusion of each fiscal
 year that provides relevant information including but not limited to the number
 and types of petitions filed, hearings granted, final decisions made, modified
 disciplinary decisions and pending open cases in comparison to the previous two
 fiscal years. The report should also include specific recommendations directed to
 the County and to employee advocates that will improve efficiency, case
 management and fairness in the appeals process;
- Communicate any new policies that are adopted by the Commission to the Department Heads; and
- Provide a brief statement of decisions to the Board in each appeal in which the Commission as a final decision chooses to modify or overturn a department's imposition of discipline.

Enclosed is a copy of the transcript to assist you in preparing your response.

SAH:ag

Enclosure

c: Each Supervisor

09030811_adminmemo_46



- 1 THOSE. THANK YOU FOR SUPPORT. SUPERVISOR KNABE, DO YOU STILL
- 2 WANT THIS CONTINUED OR DO YOU WANT TO SUPPORT IT? OKAY. WE
- 3 WILL CONTINUE IT FOR ONE WEEK; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 4 OKAY. ITEM NO. 46. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION WHO IS HERE. THIS
- 5 IS A REPORT ON THE ECONOMY EFFICIENCY COMMISSION'S REVIEW OF
- 6 THE H.R. AND CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION, WHICH WE HAD 15
- 7 RECOMMENDATIONS IN RESPONSE TO THE MOTIONS BY SUPERVISOR
- 8 MOLINA AND MYSELF. THE FIRST QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK: IN
- 9 EXAMINATION OF THE 10 CASES CLOSED IN 2009/2010 RELATIVE TO
- 10 THE AREA OF DELAY BETWEEN THE PARTIES SUBMITTING SELECTION OF
- 11 A HEARING OFFICER AND THE FIRST DAY OF THE HEARING, THAT WAS
- 12 APPROXIMATELY 253 DAYS TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION. IN THE NEW
- 13 ADOPTED RULES THAT WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
- 14 WILL THAT SHORTEN THE TIME FRAME?

15

16 LAWRENCE CROCKER: YES, MAYOR ANTONOVICH.

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: GIVE YOUR NAME.

19

- 20 LAWRENCE CROCKER: LAWRENCE CROCKER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
- 21 CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION. AND THE RULES WILL SHORTEN THE TIME
- 22 FRAME.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DO YOU HAVE AN IDEA HOW THEY SHORTEN
- 25 IT?



1

2 LAWRENCE CROCKER: WELL, CURRENTLY JUST BY ADOPTION OF OUR

- 3 RECENT PROCEDURAL RULES. WHEN WE GET DOWN TO THE POINT WHERE
- 4 WE CAN SCHEDULE WITHIN 45 BUSINESS DAYS, THEN THAT WILL
- 5 SHORTEN AT LEAST THAT MUCH ON THE FRONT END. IF THERE'S AN
- 6 ADOPTION OF THE RULE TO GO RIGHT TO NO PROPOSED DECISION BUT
- 7 RIGHT TO A DECISION TO THE COMMISSION TO TAKE OBJECTIONS, YOU
- 8 ARE PROBABLY GOING TO CUT OUT MAYBE ANYWHERE FROM 30 TO 60
- 9 DAYS FROM THE CURRENT PRACTICE OR WHAT'S SET FORTH IN THE
- 10 CIVIL SERVICE RULES.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS WAS TO
- 13 AMEND THE RULE REGARDING THE ABILITY OF THE CIVIL SERVICE
- 14 COMMISSION TO MODIFY A HEARING OFFICER'S-- THE CITY OF L.A.
- 15 SERVICE COMMISSION USES THE RULE. WHAT IS YOUR VIEW OF THE
- 16 IDEA OF LIMITING THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION'S ACTIONS TO
- 17 EITHER SUSTAINING OR OVERTURNING PERSONNEL ACTIONS OR
- 18 ACCEPTING SETTLEMENTS WHICH ARE AGREED UPON BY BOTH PARTIES?

- 20 LEN ADKINS: FIRST OF ALL, LEN ADKINS, I'M A MEMBER OF THE
- 21 CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION AND CURRENTLY PRESIDENT OF THE
- 22 COMMISSION. WE, AS YOU KNOW IN OUR FORMAL STATEMENT TO YOU
- 23 FROM THE COMMISSION, THAT WE DID NOT SPEAK TO THE CIVIL
- 24 SERVICE RULES PER SE. AND THE REASON WE DIDN'T DO THAT IS THAT
- 25 BECAUSE WE INTERPRET THE RULES AND THAT WE HAVE TO ISSUE THE



- 1 FINDINGS OF THOSE RULES, THAT WE FELT WE WOULD TRY TO KEEP OUR
- 2 NEUTRALITY IN THAT CASE. AND THEREFORE FOR SO-CALLED, A
- 3 FIREWALL, IF YOU WOULD, FOR THE PEOPLE WHO MAKE THE RULES,
- 4 WHICH IS YOU, AND FOR THOSE OF US WHO INTERPRET THE RULES. SO
- 5 WE DID NOT, AS A COMMISSION, TALK TO THE CIVIL SERVICE RULES
- 6 PER SE. WE TALKED TO THE PROCEDURAL RULES AND OTHER THINGS,
- 7 MR. MAYOR.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE REPORT THAT WE RECEIVED STATED
- 10 THAT THE COMMISSION'S INCREASED CASELOAD COMES PRIMARILY IN
- 11 NON-DISCIPLINARY MATTERS. YOU ALSO STATED THAT IT DOES NOT
- 12 ROUTINELY GRANT DISCRETIONARY HEARINGS. WHAT TYPE OF NON-
- 13 DISCRETIONARY, NON-DISCIPLINARY APPEALS ARE DRIVING THE
- 14 INCREASED CASELOAD?

15

- 16 LEN ADKINS: MR. MAYOR, THE ONES THAT I'VE SEEN, A.P.'S
- 17 CERTAINLY ARE PROBABLY A HIGH NUMBER OF THEM.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: A.P., YOU MEAN?

20

- 21 LEN ADKINS: I'M SORRY. APPRAISAL OF PROMOTABILITY. SO THOSE
- 22 ARE NUMBER ONE.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO APPRAISALS OF BEING PROMOTED FROM
- 25 ONE LEVEL TO ANOTHER.



1

- 2 LEN ADKINS: AND A MANAGER OR SUPERVISOR WOULD WRITE, WHICH IS
- 3 SUBJECTIVE, AND WOULD WRITE AN A.P. ON THEM. THEY FEEL THAT IT
- 4 PROBABLY WASN'T DONE CORRECTLY. AND UNLESS THERE'S A RULE 25,
- 5 WHICH IS A NON-MERIT REASON FOR IT, WE DON'T NORMALLY GRANT
- 6 THOSE UNLESS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT-- WE ONLY GRANTED THREE
- 7 IN 2010 OUT OF 192 DISCRETIONARY. BUT MOST OF THEM ARE THOSE
- 8 KIND OF THINGS, RATING OF RECORDS AND THINGS FOR
- 9 PROMOTABILITY.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOW THE E&E HAD RECOMMENDED
- 12 ELIMINATING A.P.'S.

13

- 14 LEN ADKINS: AND WHATEVER YOU WOULD DECIDE, WE WOULD
- 15 CERTAINLY...

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S OUR DECISION.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YOU HAVE NO POSITION ON IT?

20

- 21 LEN ADKINS: WE HAVE NO POSITION. BUT WE'D CERTAINLY INTERPRET
- 22 AND ENFORCE ANYTHING THAT YOU DECIDED ON.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER ADKINS, FOR
- 2 COMING AND BEING SO PATIENT THROUGH OUR MEETING HERE.

3

4 LEN ADKINS: THANK YOU.

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: AND I APPRECIATE YOU JOINING US AS WELL AS MR.
- 7 CROCKER ON THIS ISSUE. I THINK THAT THERE ARE MANY POSITIVE
- 8 STEPS THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE. I APPRECIATE THE COMMISSION HAS
- 9 TAKEN AND CHANGED ITS OWN PROCEDURAL RULES, AND HOPEFULLY
- 10 THAT'S GOING TO PUT US ON THE PATHWAY TO LIMITING THE NUMBER
- 11 OF DAYS AND MONTHS AND YEARS OF OUR HEARINGS, BUT THERE'S
- 12 STILL-- AND I KNOW THAT IT'S KIND OF AN AWKWARD SITUATION
- 13 BECAUSE THE CIVIL SERVICE PROCESS IS SUPPOSED TO BE AN
- 14 UNBIASED, MIDDLE GROUND BETWEEN EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYERS. AND I
- 15 APPRECIATE IT. AND CERTAINLY I'M THE EMPLOYER. AND WHEN MANY--
- 16 WHEN THOSE ADOPTION OF MANY OF THOSE RULES THAT WE DECIDED TO
- 17 UNDERTAKE AT THAT TIME WERE APPROVED, MANY OF THE ADVOCATES
- 18 WERE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT WE WERE DOING. BUT I THINK THAT
- 19 IT DOESN'T SERVE US WELL ONE WAY OR THE OTHER WHEN WE SEE
- 20 THESE KINDS OF CASES THAT ARE GOING ON FOR MONTHS, FOR YEARS
- 21 AND WITH THE STATUS OF SORT OF NOBODY REALLY KNOWS WHAT'S
- 22 GOING ON WITH THESE EMPLOYEES. THEY THEMSELVES DON'T KNOW
- 23 WHAT'S GOING ON. AND SO ANYTHING WE CAN DO TO EXPEDITE THE
- 24 PROCESS I THINK IS A REAL PLUS FOR BOTH EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE.
- 25 SO I'M GLAD YOU'VE ADOPTED A GROUP OF PROCEDURAL RULES THAT I



- 1 THINK WILL FACILITATE THAT PROCESS, AS WELL. BUT THERE'S STILL
- 2 A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT I'D LIKE TO SEE AND I'D LIKE TO ASK
- 3 THE COMMISSION TO SEE IF THEY COULD CARRY OUT. EVEN IN THE
- 4 ADOPTION OF THE RULES, AND WE'RE MONITORING IT, AS WELL,
- 5 THEY'RE STILL NOT ADHERING TO THE 45-DAY RULE. NOW IS THAT A
- 6 PROCESS THAT IS ENCUMBERED BY WHAT? NOT HAVING AVAILABILITY OF
- 7 HEARING OFFICERS? NOT HAVING AVAILABILITY OF ROOMS? CAN YOU
- 8 ENLIGHTEN ME AS TO WHY THAT'S NOT BEING CARRIED OUT?

- 10 LEN ADKINS: CERTAINLY. AND YOU'RE RIGHT ON. THAT'S EXACTLY
- 11 WHAT'S GOING ON. WE TRIED TO GET THAT INTO 45 DAYS TO GET A
- 12 FIRST HEARING. WE'VE GOTTEN 45 DAYS TO SCHEDULE THE MEETING
- 13 BUT NOT TWO OF THE THREE I THINK MADE IT AND ONE DIDN'T. ONE'S
- 14 BEEN ALREADY PUT OVER TO JULY. THERE'S A NUMBER OF THINGS. AND
- 15 ONE OF THE THINGS I'VE OBSERVED THE LAST FEW YEARS AND I'M THE
- 16 NEWEST MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION, BUT I'VE OBSERVED THIS THE
- 17 LAST FEW YEARS WAS THAT ONCE THE HEARING OFFICER HAS DATES
- 18 AVAILABLE, THAT TO GET THE PARTY, BOTH SIDES, TO GET THEIR
- 19 AVAILABILITY TO COME TO AN AGREEMENT ON WHEN THE HEARING DATES
- 20 SHOULD BE IS SOMETIMES PROBLEMATIC. AND THIS IS NOT TO POINT
- 21 FINGERS AT EITHER SIDE, BECAUSE THEY ARE RUNNING. I HAVE
- 22 TALKED TO D.H.R., I'VE TALKED TO THE APPELLANTS, I'VE TALKED
- 23 TO THE UNIONS, ABOUT, CAN WE GET MORE PEOPLE AND MAKE SURE WE
- 24 CAN FOLLOW-UP ON THIS. AND IT'S NOT FROM A LACK OF TRYING ON
- 25 THEIR PART AND NOT FROM A LACK OF PEOPLE. AND I CERTAINLY



- 1 WOULDN'T SAY DURING THESE BUDGET CONSTRAINTS, THAT WE ADD MORE
- 2 PEOPLE OR THAT WE PAY FOR HEARING ROOMS OR WHATEVER. THERE'S
- 3 SOMETIMES ARE COSTS FOR HEARING ROOMS, FOR SECURITY. CERTAINLY
- 4 WE COULD USE MORE HEARING ROOMS. WE COULD MOVE IT UP. BUT
- 5 AGAIN THERE'S A BUDGETARY CONSTRAINT. BUT I THINK YOU'RE ON
- 6 THE RIGHT TRACK OF THIS. WE'VE GOT TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO GET
- 7 MORE HEARINGS GOING FASTER. AND WE HAVE ASKED OUR EXECUTIVE
- 8 DIRECTOR TO WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT. D.H.R. HAS BEEN GOOD
- 9 GIVING US IDEAS WHERE TO FIND ROOMS. AND EVEN OVER AT THE
- 10 COURTHOUSE, THEY HAVE EMPTY ROOMS. BUT WHEN WE SEND MR.
- 11 CROCKER OVER THERE, THEY COME BACK AND SAY "WELL YOU GOT TO
- 12 PAY FOR SECURITY AND YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR THIS,
- 13 THAT AND THE OTHER." SO IT'S A TRADEOFF ON THAT. BUT WE
- 14 CERTAINLY HAVE TO MOVE FORWARD.

- 16 LAWRENCE CROCKER: IF I MIGHT, I WOULD FIRST CLARIFY THAT IT'S
- 17 45 BUSINESS DAYS, NOT JUST 45 DAYS. SO YOU'RE TALKING ROUGHLY
- 18 2-1/2 MONTHS. BUT I WOULD AGREE THAT PROBABLY THE BIGGEST
- 19 LOGISTICAL CONSTRAINT IS ROOMS. WE HAVE ONE DEDICATED HEARING
- 20 ROOM. AND WE SCROUNGE FOR THE REST THAT WE USE. SO IF WE HAD
- 21 MORE ROOMS, WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE A NUMBER OF-- WE HAVE 59 HEARING
- 22 OFFICERS THAT WE CURRENTLY USE. WE COULD RUN MORE HEARINGS.
- 23 BUT THAT'S OUR MAJOR CONSTRAINT AT THE MOMENT. AND OF COURSE
- 24 AS PRESIDENT ADKINS POINTED OUT, YOU HAVE THE ISSUES WITH THE
- 25 APPLICANT'S AVAILABILITY.



1

SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. WELL THAT LEADS TO MY NEXT-- FIRST OF 2 3 ALL, I APPRECIATE YOU WANTING -- AND AGAIN WHEN WE LOOK AT THIS, I THINK IT'S THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT WHEN YOU COME BEFORE 4 5 THIS BOARD AND CERTAINLY AS A COMMISSION IT RARELY HAS. AND WE ALWAYS HAVE TO BE CAREFUL BECAUSE, AGAIN, IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE 6 A MECHANISM WHERE IT'S AN UNBIASED OPPORTUNITY SO THAT THESE 7 8 ISSUES CAN BE ADJUDICATED. AND THERE'S ALL KINDS OF RULES AS TO WHETHER WE CAN EVEN INTERFACE WITH THE COMMISSION ITSELF 9 AND ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT CASES. I'M NOT INTERESTED SO MUCH IN 10 ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT CASES. BUT YOU DO KNOW THAT -- AND I'M 11 SURE THE COMMISSION FEELS THAT WAY THAT AT THE END OF THE DAY, 12 YOU'RE THE WHIPPING BOY FOR EVERYBODY, THE EMPLOYEES AND THE 13 EMPLOYER IF WE DON'T GET OUR WAY; RIGHT? BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT 14 15 WE NEED TO DO. WHAT WE NEED TO FIND IS A MECHANISM OF HOW WE 16 COULD IMPROVE IT SO THAT THERE ARE QUICK, SPEEDY HEARINGS THAT ARE DONE THAT ARE COMPREHENSIVE AND UNDERSTOOD. I ASKED -- AND 17 18 I WENT TO THE COMMISSION ABOUT EIGHT TO TEN YEARS AGO AND 19 ASKED THEM IF THEY WOULD DO US A FAVOR. AND AT THAT TIME IT WAS IGNORED. AND I'D LIKE TO ASK IT AGAIN. I THINK THAT IT 20

WOULD BE WORTHWHILE FOR THE COMMISSION TO PRODUCE A REPORT,

KIND OF AN ANNUAL REPORT, OF WHAT WE AS QUOTE, THE EMPLOYER,

COULD DO BETTER. AND THIS WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU TO

MAYBE-- BECAUSE IF IT IS OUR-- AND WE DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS

OF WHEN OUR DEPARTMENTS ARE CONTINUING THESE ITEMS. AND THEY

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

21

22

23

24



- 1 HAVE A TENDENCY NOT TO REMIND US BUT TO POINT THE FINGER AND
- 2 SAY "WELL, THEY DIDN'T SCHEDULE IT," RIGHT? SO IT WOULD BE
- 3 MUCH EASIER IF YOU COULD TELL US, IN SOME GENERAL TERMS, NOT
- 4 BY THE PERSON'S NAME, BUT MAYBE THAT THERE'S SOME SLUGGISHNESS
- 5 OR OTHER KINDS OF ISSUES THAT ADVOCATES FOR THE DEPARTMENTS OR
- 6 THE PERSONNEL FROM THE DEPARTMENT ARE NOT PREPARED AND MAYBE
- 7 NEED A CONTINUANCE BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T BRUSHED UP ON THE FILE
- 8 OR WHATEVER IT IS? I'VE KNOWN THOSE ISSUES HAVE HAPPENED. AND
- 9 ALL OF THE THINGS THAT CAUSE DELAYS. I THINK IT WOULD BE
- 10 WORTHWHILE AS WELL AS FOR THE ADVOCATES.

11

12 LAWRENCE CROCKER: CERTAINLY.

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: BECAUSE I THINK THEY NEED TO KNOW, AS WELL, IF
- 15 THEY COME UNPREPARED OR MAYBE IF THEY'RE THE ONES THAT ARE
- 16 CREATING THESE DELAYS. I THINK IT'S A FAIR ANALYSIS THAT COULD
- 17 BE PROVIDED, BOTH EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE, OF WHAT WE COULD DO
- 18 MORE EFFECTIVELY WHEN WE GO BEFORE THE COMMISSION, I MEAN
- 19 ABOUT BEING PREPARED, READY TO GO AND ALL THAT. NOW, I
- 20 UNDERSTAND AT THE END OF THE DAY THERE MIGHT BE ISSUES WITH
- 21 NOT HAVING ENOUGH HEARING ROOMS, HEARING OFFICERS OR OTHER
- 22 KINDS OF ISSUES, BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE. NOW LAST
- 23 TIME NOBODY RESPONDED TO IT BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T FEEL THEY OWED
- 24 US ANYTHING. I'M NOT SAYING THAT I CAN'T PASS A MOTION AND
- 25 FORCE YOU TO GIVE ME AN ANNUAL REPORT. I GUESS I COULD. BUT



- 1 THAT'S NOT WHERE I WANT TO GO. I'D RATHER THAT YOU WOULD SEE
- 2 IT AS A TOOL THAT WOULD BETTER EQUIP US AS EMPLOYERS. THE
- 3 OTHER THING IS THAT I KNOW THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN POLICIES
- 4 THAT YOU KIND OF ADOPTED AND THEY'RE BUT SOMEHOW ARE NEVER
- 5 COMMUNICATED DIRECTLY TO OUR DEPARTMENT HEADS. I MEAN,
- 6 PROGRESSIVE DISCIPLINE IS SOMETHING THAT IS KIND OF-- IS A
- 7 LESSON THAT'S NOT LEARNED ACROSS THE BOARD. AND I THINK NOW
- 8 EVERYBODY'S GETTING IT. BUT IT SEEMS AS THOUGH IT'S A POLICY
- 9 THAT YOU'VE ADOPTED, AND A FAIR POLICY IN REGARD TO THOSE
- 10 ISSUES. BUT IT'S AGAIN THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT I THINK IT
- 11 WOULD BE WORTHWHILE. AND THEN, FINALLY, THE LAST ISSUE-- AND
- 12 THIS IS PROBABLY THE TOUGHEST THING TO DO, BECAUSE I KNOW
- 13 JUDGES AND CLERKS ALL LOOK AT YOU FOR BEING FOR THE MOST PART
- 14 JUDGES, DON'T LIKE TO TELL US WHY THEY DID WHAT THEY DID. BUT
- 15 IT WOULD BE VERY WORTHWHILE IN SOME OF THESE ISSUES TO AT
- 16 LEAST GET SOME KIND OF, I DON'T KNOW, A SET OF FINDINGS BUT IN
- 17 GENERAL TERMS AS TO WHY YOU OVERRULED A HEARING OFFICER, WHY
- 18 YOU OVERRULED THE DEPARTMENT, WHY YOU TOOK A DISCHARGE AND
- 19 MADE IT A 15 OR A 30-DAY. YOU KNOW, I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY THE
- 20 DEPARTMENT HAS FOUND IT WITHIN ITS FRAMEWORK TO SAY WE NEED TO
- 21 DISCHARGE THIS EMPLOYEE FOR WHATEVER IT WAS. AND YOU DECIDE OH
- 22 NO, WE'RE ONLY GOING TO MAKE IT A 15-DAY WHATEVER. OR CHANGE
- 23 THE DISCIPLINE. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW BY NAME OR BY ACTION. I'M
- 24 MORE INTERESTED IN KIND OR THE RATIONALE, IF IT WASN'T
- 25 PROGRESSIVE DISCIPLINE OR THE RECORDS ARE NOT COMPLETE OR



- 1 POLICY DOESN'T MATCH WITH WHATEVER. BECAUSE I'VE SEEN THOSE
- 2 THINGS WHERE WE'VE HAD A DISCHARGE AND THERE'S NOT A POLICY IN
- 3 PLACE THAT REALLY ALLOWS THAT TO HAPPEN. SO I'M ONLY
- 4 RECOMMENDING THAT IF THERE COULD BE SOME KIND OF A REPORT, I
- 5 THINK IT'LL GO A LONG WAY TO HELP NOT ONLY US AS THE EMPLOYER.
- 6 WE'RE TRYING TO BE EQUITABLE AND FAIR WITH OUR EMPLOYEES. THEY
- 7 DON'T LOOK AT IT THAT WAY. BUT I KNOW I WANT TO KNOW WHY WE
- 8 ARE NOT SUCCEEDING IN ACHIEVING THESE GOALS AND HAVE TO GO TO
- 9 THIS LEVEL OF ADJUDICATION. IT DOESN'T BODE WELL FOR THEM OR
- 10 US, PARTICULARLY WHEN THOSE HEARINGS ARE SO LONG. SO I'D
- 11 APPRECIATE IT IF WE COULD DO THOSE KINDS OF THINGS AND ALSO
- 12 GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW AND WHY THE 45-DAY RULE IS
- 13 NOT REALLY BEING MET. I THINK IT JUST WOULD LET US BETTER
- 14 UNDERSTAND HOW YOU'RE CARRYING OUT THAT WORK. AND THEN I THINK
- 15 THAT IF YOU DID IT IN AN ANNUAL REPORT, IT WOULD GO A LONG
- 16 WAY. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'VE FOUND IN TWO OTHER GROUPS
- 17 THAT ARE INDEPENDENT BUT AT THE SAME TIME THEY ARE VERY
- 18 HELPFUL, ONE IS THE MERIT BOB REPORT THAT IS PROVIDED TO US BY
- 19 WHO DOES A REVIEW OF MANY OF THE OPERATIONS WITHIN THE
- 20 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT? IT IS VERY, VERY HELPFUL TO US WHEN HE
- 21 DOES A REPORT. AND WE DON'T TELL HIM WHAT HE'S SUPPOSED TO
- 22 LOOK AT OR WHATEVER. BUT HE COMES BACK WITH A LIST OF
- 23 RECOMMENDATIONS AND IT GIVES US A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO DIALOGUE
- 24 WITH THE SHERIFF AND HIS OPERATIONS AS TO WHETHER HE'S GOING
- 25 TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OR WHETHER HE THINKS THE



- 1 RECOMMENDATION ISN'T VERY GOOD FOR WHATEVER, IT GIVES US AN
- 2 OPPORTUNITY, WHILE WE CAN'T TELL THE SHERIFF WHAT TO DO, IT
- 3 GIVES US A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF MAYBE SOME OF THE PROBLEMS
- 4 THAT ARE GOING ON WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT, WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S
- 5 DEPARTMENT. AND SO THOSE ARE VERY HELPFUL. I MEAN, THE O.I.R.
- 6 DEPARTMENTS, NR. NAKO DOES THE SAME THING IN GIVING US IDEAS
- 7 OF MAYBE WHAT COULD BE IMPROVED WITHIN A DEPARTMENT AS FAR AS
- 8 INVESTIGATIONS OR THINGS OF THAT SORT. SO AN ANNUAL REPORT
- 9 WOULD WORK VERY, VERY WELL. SO WHILE I DON'T NECESSARILY WANT
- 10 TO PUT IT IN A MOTION, I DO HAVE A MOTION AVAILABLE. IS IT
- 11 SOMETHING THAT YOU COULD DO? OR IS IT SOMETHING THAT YOU THINK
- 12 WOULD BE BETTER--

13

- 14 LEN ADKINS: I GUARANTEE YOU WE WILL NOT IGNORE YOU. IF
- 15 SOMEBODY IGNORED YOU 10 YEARS AGO, HOPEFULLY THEY'RE NOT STILL
- 16 THERE. BUT WE WON'T IGNORE YOU. YES, WE COULD DO THOSE.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: IN OTHER WORDS, I DON'T NEED TO DO A MOTION THAT
- 19 WOULD DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND MAYBE IF WE WANT TO TALK
- 20 ABOUT HOW-- I THINK IT JUST GIVES US A BETTER UNDERSTANDING. I
- 21 DON'T THINK IT VIOLATES ANYTHING IF WE NEVER USE A NAME OR--

22

- 23 LEN ADKINS: WE'LL REVIEW THAT WITH COUNTY COUNSEL TO MAKE SURE
- 24 WE'RE NOT--



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: THAT WOULD BE GOOD. SO WE'RE NOT VIOLATING ANY
- 2 RULES AS FAR AS OUR RESPONSIBILITY AND YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO
- 3 EMPLOYEES. I'M TRYING TO THINK IF THERE'S ANYTHING ELSE. I
- 4 THINK THAT FOR THE MOST PART COVERS IT. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO--
- 5 AND HOPEFULLY IF THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH ANY OF IT,
- 6 PARTICULARLY IF COUNTY COUNSEL FINDS THAT THERE'S A PROBLEM,
- 7 IF YOU WOULD LET US KNOW, I'D LIKE TO KNOW A BETTER
- 8 UNDERSTANDING, AS WELL, AS TO HOW WE COULD UNDERTAKE THESE
- 9 RESPONSIBILITIES.

10

11 LEN ADKINS: WE CAN DO THAT, SUPERVISOR.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR? YEAH, A COUPLE THINGS. IN REVIEWING THE
- 14 LAST SEVERAL YEARS THAT RELATES TO THE DISCRETIONARY HEARINGS?
- 15 I MEAN, WOULDN'T IT BE BETTER IF YOU-- I MEAN LIKE THIS PAST
- 16 YEAR YOU DID 192 DISCRETIONARY HEARINGS, YOU ONLY AUTHORIZED
- 17 THREE, WOULDN'T IT BE BETTER TO CONCENTRATE ON THE MANDATORY
- 18 HEARING PROCESS SO THAT -- WOULDN'T THAT HELP IN YOUR TIME-
- 19 RELATED ISSUES AND PREPARATION? I MEAN, BECAUSE TO GO THROUGH
- 20 ALL THAT AND THEN ONLY GRANT THREE HEARINGS--

- 22 LEN ADKINS: WELL, SUPERVISOR KNABE, THAT SHOULD BE DONE
- 23 THROUGH THE RULES MECHANISM THAT YOU HAVE THE POWER TO CHANGE.
- 24 THOSE ARE CIVIL SERVICE RULES. THAT WOULD BE FINE WITH THE



- 1 COMMISSION. I DON'T THINK YOU'D GET ANY ARGUMENT. BUT THAT
- 2 WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO DO UP HERE.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: WE WOULD NEED TO DO THAT? I AM BEING TOLD THAT WE
- 5 DON'T-- THAT THAT'S WITHIN YOUR PURVIEW ALREADY TO DECIDE
- 6 WHAT'S MANDATORY AND DISCRETIONARY. I THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT
- 7 THAT. WE'LL BE GLAD TO DO IT IF THAT'S WHAT IT TAKES. BUT I
- 8 WAS TOLD-- (OFF MIC. COMMENT).

9

10 LEN ADKINS: YOU BET, WE'LL BE HAPPY TO DO IT.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE: AND THEN THE OTHER THING, I ALWAYS GET FRUSTRATED
- 13 SOMETIMES WHEN I HEAR, WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT AN OUTCOME, AND
- 14 OBVIOUSLY THE C.E.O. AND OTHERS HAVE TO DEAL WITH THIS, AS
- 15 WELL, BUT YOU INDICATED FROM TIME TO TIME AND PERIODICALLY OUR
- 16 DEPARTMENTS ARE NOT ATTENDING THE HEARINGS AS REQUIRED AND
- 17 REALLY CAUSE UNNECESSARY CONTINUANCES. AND SO I DON'T KNOW
- 18 WHAT WE CAN DO TO FACILITATE THAT, BUT THAT CERTAINLY SHOULD
- 19 NOT BE HAPPENING. I MEAN THE ONLY REASON I COULD THINK OF IS
- 20 THEY'RE NOT PREPARED FOR THE HEARING, AND THAT'S NOT GOOD,
- 21 EITHER. SO BILL, I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THAT,
- 22 BUT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE REALLY NEED TO DEAL WITH. THAT
- 23 CAN'T BE TOLERABLE.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THERE'S A COUPLE OF ISSUES. ONE, WE WERE TOLD
- 2 THAT COUNTY EMPLOYEES WERE SUBPOENAED AND ASKED TO TESTIFY AT
- 3 THE HEARINGS OFTENTIMES DO NOT SHOW UP. WE'LL BE SENDING OUT A
- 4 MEMO WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS MAKING IT VERY CLEAR THAT
- 5 WHEN AN EMPLOYEE IS SUBPOENAED TO TESTIFY, THAT BECOMES A
- 6 PRIMARY DUTY ASSIGNMENT FOR THAT DAY. FOR EVERY DAY, AS WE ALL
- 7 KNOW, WHERE THE HEARING IS DELAYED, WE'RE PAYING SALARY FOR
- 8 SOMEBODY. MOST LIKELY THE PERSON WHO'S BEEN EITHER SUSPENDED
- 9 OR DISCHARGED. SO WE WILL BE DOING THAT. WE'LL ALSO-- WE'LL
- 10 WORK WITH THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION, AND WE'LL DO WHAT WE
- 11 CAN TO INVENTORY, WHETHER IT'S THE HEARING ROOMS OR CONFERENCE
- 12 ROOMS IN THIS BUILDING TO SEE IF WE CAN DEVELOP A BETTER
- 13 SCHEDULING METHOD TO SEE IF THEY COULD USE SOME OF THOSE
- 14 ROOMS. IT'S REAL, REAL HARD, THOUGH, BECAUSE SPACE IS SUCH A
- 15 PREMIUM IN THIS BUILDING. I THINK THE LAST THING IS WE'LL ALSO
- 16 TALK TO STAFF MAKING SURE THEY MEET THE SCHEDULE FOR ALL THE
- 17 HEARINGS FOR THEIR ADVOCATES.

- 19 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BECAUSE YOU CAN HAVE ALL THE ROOM IN THE
- 20 WORLD BUT IF PEOPLE AREN'T SHOWING UP YOU SPEND A LOT OF TIME
- 21 DOING DISCRETIONARY HEARINGS VERSUS MANDATORY HEARINGS,
- 22 THERE'S GOT TO BE A BETTER MANAGEMENT OF THE SYSTEM. SO WE
- 23 JUST NEED TO FIND OUT WHAT WE CAN OR CAN'T DO AS RELATES TO
- 24 THE DISCRETIONARY PIECE WHAT THEY CAN OR CAN'T DO AND THEN
- 25 ALSO DEAL WITH OUR DEPARTMENTS.



1

- 2 ANDREA ORDIN: ALSO I'D LIKE TO REVISIT THAT ISSUE WITH THE
- 3 COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT TO SEE IF THERE IS SOME WAY THAT WE DO
- 4 NOT HAVE TO HAVE AS MUCH SECURITY AS APPARENTLY THEY SAID WE
- 5 DID. SO I WILL TRY AND REVISIT THAT. IF THEY DO HAVE AVAILABLE
- 6 ROOMS, WE CERTAINLY WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO USE THEM.

7

8 SUP. KNABE: OKAY.

9

10 LEN ADKINS: THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

11

12 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I THINK IF THERE'S AN AGREEMENT THAT THEY CAN
- 15 COME BACK WITH THAT KIND OF REPORT, I THINK THAT'S A GREAT
- 16 THING. AND THEN PARTICULARLY ON SOME OF THESE ISSUES AS FAR AS
- 17 TRYING TO MEET THE 45 DAYS I THINK IS A REAL POSITIVE STEP.
- 18 AND EVERY ONE OF THEM WE SHOULD AT LEAST TRY TO MEET THAT
- 19 FIRST 45-DAY RESPONSIBILITY.

20

21 **LEN ADKINS:** AGREED.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU FOR JOINING US HERE.



- 1 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO. THANK YOU FOR
- 2 YOUR PATIENCE TODAY.