

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

**4:00PM**

>>: Welcome to the meeting on Thursday, May 4, 2023. The meeting is called to order. Let's hear the disclosures.

The meeting is being recorded. By remaining, you consent to being recorded. Since conversations are not visible to people on the telephone and those who can't be here, the chat is limited to tech assistance. There will be no response or forwarding to committee members.

If members would like to provide comment, do so during the public comment period. Cart services are available for captions. You may lick access them by clicking on the stream text link in the chat.

When accessing Zoom, scroll to reactions. You will see raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom, scroll to more. You will see a drop down menu. Phone participants may press star nine. Star six to unmute.

For Spanish, click on the globe icon. JCOD at LA county.GOV. It should be submitted by 5:00 PM the day before the meeting. These public comments will be shared with the advisory committee members prior to the meeting. They will be reflected in the meeting minutes. Written comments after 5:00 PM through the end of the meeting will be made part to have public record.

Members may not have time to review the minutes. Back to you.

>>: Thank you. Please call the roll.

MEMBER ARMSTEAD: Present.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Present.

MEMBER CONTRERAS: Present.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

MEMBER CASTILLO: Present.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Present.

MEMBER EARLEY: Present.

MEMBER FERRER: Present.

MEMBER GHALY: Alternate. I will be in the room soon.

MEMBER LEWIS: Present.

MEMBER LOBIANCO: Present.

MEMBER SCHOONOVER: Here.

MEMBER SOTO: Alternate here.

>>: I am here. Present.

>>: Awesome. You made it on time.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Present.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Here.

>>: Wong.

>>: Alternate present.

>>: We have 17 in quorum.

MEMBER LEWIS: We want to recognize those whose lands we reside on. The original caretakers that still live and thrive amongst us. [FOREIGN LANGUAGE]. Peoples. Our chair had an unexpected responsibility to take care of. He has asked me to help to approve the recommended grant administrators plus the actual spending report. He will be able to come in electronically in about 30 minutes. I will guide you all today. Thank you.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

Let us get started. Remind ourselves of the community agreements. If those can be put on the screen. If we can share the responsibility.

>>: Be respectful of the diverse voices. Remain open minded.

>>: Be mindful of power dynamics in this space. Prioritize and defer to community throughout this process.

>>: Be mindful of the diverse audience. Make sure you speak with clarity.

>>: Be collaborative.

>>: Assume best intentions.

>>: Challenge the idea.

>>: Remember why we are here.

>>: Defer to community.

>>: Transparency and follow through.

>>: Let equity lead the way.

>>: Try to be synced in your thoughts and contributions.

>>: Make space for youth voices.

>>: Allow community members to finish their sentences and thoughts during public comment.

>>: Review community agreements before every meeting. Amend as needed.

>>: Start meetings with a land acknowledgment state. Recognizing the people of the land we now call LA county.

>>: Item 3 to take action on the April 27, 2023, minutes.

>>: I move.

>>: I second.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

MEMBER LEWIS: Any comments on item 3. The public comment period. The cochairs have one minute. For those online who would like to provide public comment, use the raise hand feature now. State your name for the minutes. Please dial star nine to raise your hand.

We will say your name or last digits when it is your turn. Dial star six to unmute yourself. We will now start public comment to approve the minutes for the April 27th meeting. Any public comment in the room? Back to you.

MEMBER LEWIS: Any comments for the meeting minutes? Let us call for the vote please.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Aye.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Aye.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Aye.

MEMBER EARLEY: Aye.

MEMBER FERRER: Aye.

>>: Abstain.

MEMBER GARCIA: Aye.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER LEWIS: Aye.

MEMBER SCHOONOVER: Abstain.

MEMBER SOTO: Aye.

MEMBER STEVENS: Aye.

>>: Member Williams.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>>: Aye.

>>: 13, yes. Three abstain.

>>: This is member Kibby. I wanted to vote Aye.

MEMBER LEWIS: I need to be a cohost to share my screen. Item 4.

Recommended grant administrators. Special thanks to our chair who has spent a lot of time updating and trying to refine the spending plan. He went through the documents to ensure it represents all genders.

Some of the things that said men and women only. The main thing, our chair has fielded his recommendation on whether it should be a third-party administrator.

We will go through. Is Angela here? I want to have the most up to date version. Mine has blanks. We will go through the main exercise. We will vote on it. Thereafter, I know it was late, I hope you looked at the draft spinning report with images and more than just narrative in it. Next week our chair will submit our spending plan recommendations and report to the CEO's office. Within a month or so, it will go to the board after that submission. Right? This is an exciting time. Take a deep breath. There have been a lot of meetings.

We will be done with our part in terms of approval and incite. I see your hand is up.

MEMBER FERRER: Thanks. I am trying to figure out where I will find the document. The one I printed doesn't have any recommendations for county department. Am I looking at the wrong document? I am just trying to orient myself.

MEMBER LEWIS: I know they were working on the hyper link. Can we confirm whether it has the most current?

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>>: It doesn't.

MEMBER LEWIS: Okay. Can you speak into the microphone?

>>: We checked the links were working. He sent over edits late in the day. I will double check right now. Can we put the link in the chat. It seems to be the updated copy.

>>: I will go back and figure out why. I just printed it out. I will go back and make sure I have the latest link. Let us drop the link into the PDF if we can.

I will share my screen. I won't go project to project. I will call it out. If you have something that you feel should be administered by a county department or other administrator, speak up and say something. Project named. If you have something to say, there are 45 recommendations. Does that work? Any other questions or clarify?

The specific county department is not named. County versus TPA. That has come up a bit.

>>: Can you hear me? If it is all health related or from mental health, it could do the TPA. If it is all related to business development, the county department that handles business will be able to acquire the TPA. They can leverage dollars in ways a separate TPA can't do.

MEMBER LEWIS: I am concerned about the time frame. The bureaucracies. It could be a disaster. Can we talk about will there be some standardization.

>>: There can be requests for contracting. Some has been done before. We can include that in the board letter.

>>: Could you share about what was done previously and how well that worked?

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>>: In the first board letter, there were provisions that allowed them to contract in a more streamlined way. The intent was that things that the timeline has been shortened. They could expand contracts.

All the steps would take some time. It makes sense to allow them to leverage their resources. Instead of one department handling the TPA, it would make sense to have some maintained. The rest could go to the departments.

>>: I wanted to hear more about outcomes. What is the success?

>>: Separate questions. This was about the TPA. You were asking about the contracting.

>>: You were using this as an example.

>>: Streamline contracted.

>>: Departments would contract based on the area.

MEMBER LEWIS: I have concerns about that. The tone of each is very different. The TPAs can look different. The point is to get access to improve access to the unfunded. I have a lot of concerns. What is the reason if we select a couple of TPAs, the reason the level of coordinate still can't happen?

>>: Other departments can speak up. I would let them have the space to do that.

MEMBER LEWIS: We should be getting to that anyway. Why does your department have to select the TPA and have our providers go through three different processes.

>>: Why did the other departments have to have their own TPA?

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

MEMBER LEWIS: A couple I understand are categorical to access the leverage opportunities. You don't control it, you won't leverage. That doesn't work here. Let us think about it differently. Other county departments.

>>: Not sure I understand the constructs. It would be helpful to clarify.

>>: Around TPA. I recommend that the departments that specialize in that area, that that department could manage it. Leverage their own internal dollars. I don't know if departments agree.

>>: I am trying to process it. It depends on the program. Economies of scale depending on the program. We have the largest access. Maybe the three of us use a shared TPA. I don't see why that wouldn't be possible. It depends on a case by case basis on the work itself.

MEMBER LEWIS: I think we can pick it up. I will go through each one. If you have something to say, raise your hand. Here, speak up. I will read the project Id and name. I will offer an opportunity for a hybrid approach as well. One project that was going to be administering. The third party administering others.

Can you all see my screen. Number ten. Defining youth for funding. Project ID number 13. Seeking equity TPA. 19. Food clothing and social services. County. Project 23. Project 21. Expanding community. Project ID 23. I see your hand up.

>>: Sorry if there is background noise. I only offer this one. An earlier conversation, it could be county. If we focus on building community health worker job training pathways and outreach, our departments is in investing.

MEMBER LEWIS: Number 23? 21.

>>: 21. Sorry about that.



**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

MEMBER LEWIS: You suggest it changes?

>>: There will be investments. There is potential to leverage those opportunities.

>>: I support that.

>>: I do too. Any objections?

MEMBER LEWIS: I will update in real time. Moving onto 24. Mommy mentor network. TPA. 28 and 108. 102. General access to funding. TPA. Number 32. Child care county. 41. Vibing with cultural leafs.

>>: Thank you. I was looking at number 28.

MEMBER LEWIS: Which number?

>>: 28102. They are together. I offered as potentially through the county. There is a program right now. It will be investing in entrepreneurship. Is that better? Sorry I couldn't be there today. There is an American rescue plan program.

We will be investing in capital access and other assistance. A chance to align with that in our rescue plan in the county.

MEMBER LEWIS: Member Williams.

>>: 41. County be the TPA with the native American division.

MEMBER LEWIS: I will come back to 41 in a second. Member Stevens.

>>: I don't support this going to county. It a great chance for community to do this work. I don't support the county.

MEMBER LEWIS: I understand what was said. Excited to see this in the hands of a TPA.

>>: That may be a good chance for a hybrid.

MEMBER LEWIS: How much is it?

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>>: I go to hybrid as well.

>>: Can I offer to put people at ease? All the dollars will go out for direct service through community-based organizations.

>>: Did you mean nonprofits in general? Smaller nonprofits that have a harder time doing business with the county?

>>: Exactly. I believe this is one opportunity to serve community without the county contracting. I believe we need to take that. Take advantage of this moment. Allow it to happen in community.

>>: Thinking about, with this idea that we may do other TPAs. How would we select the one it would go to?

>>: Old structure or proposed structure? If this was to go through a TPA, it could happen several ways. We could work and do a joint TPA. The other thing is that I know two departments do this. We can have them get the money out to community. The one on the phone and the one sitting here.

>>: We do have a program. (Inaudible) developing capacity to serve the immigrant community. We could definitely, I could see this working as a hybrid with the two departments working together.

>>: I agree with that.

MEMBER LEWIS: Any objection to a hybrid approach is this.

>>: I won't say I object. I am not clear about the process. We are not creating barriers for people to apply. I am suggesting that it stay in the TPA because of that. If it goes that direction, how can we ensure the county, hybrid or not, will actually be flexible and meet the community as to why we are here in the first place this is about

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

community. Ensuring we are not, it is no challenge for people to apply and receive those dollars.

MEMBER LEWIS: That speaks to every county department. We are asking that you make your procurement processes a low barrier as possible. Some streamlining is ahead of that. Getting in the hands of the unfunded safety net. Not attaching policies that may not be helpful that come from your federal and state funding to CFCI dollars to see some transformative changes. Do you have TPAs you work with?

MEMBER LOBIANCO: We do. We run procurements where we try to make it as accessible as possible for smaller CDOs to participate. I wanted to lift that up. I hear the committee. I agree that is the objective of every dollar we put out for all these concepts.

MEMBER LEWIS: I changed it. I will go back to 41. Member Williams said you want this to change to county. Number 41. Cultural leafs one?

>>: I was trying to look at it and see if it was native American.

>>: I have collapsed it on my screen so you can see it.

>>: I was trying to see it. I couldn't.

>>: If we could do it through the LA city native American commission or county.

>>: Project 32. Child care. 3 million. Is 41 the one you are referring to? Have you confirmed that is the one to consider? I don't see any reference.

>>: I don't either. I thought it had. I am good where it is at. I don't think this is the one.

>>: Thank you. Project 32. Childcare. Grant administrator. I would like to recommend it go to a third party.

MEMBER LEWIS: Support or objections to that?

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>>: There is a whole childcare unit that funds much of the childcare work that happens across the county. I think that was the intent. Millions of dollars going through well-established mechanisms. I never heard anyone complain about the same kind of issues there.

I think we should take advantage of that infrastructure. It works with slots to get slots with providers and give vouchers to families.

>>: Mine was an old hand.

>>: Thank you. Something coming up is in alignment with what was shared. They haven't heard of any complications in that department. I guess it is a process question for me. What are the mechanisms for feedback with regard to county contracting? It is arbitrary. We are thinking about how the dollars are getting out the door for both. I am curious about the mechanisms to make it formed decisions about which should administer the funds.

There is a coalition that works closely with that office. Providers and residents. I am happy to get more information. It is such a huge network. Vast. The entire aim is to be able to support family day care providers as well as center-based day care providers. Accelerate communities to get forms of support.

I am happy to pull this together. It has a vast well-represented group.

MEMBER LEWIS: The childcare that DPS administers?

>>: DPH. It is vast. Most of the funding for childcare in one way or another comes through that unit again with set aside depending on the funder for all kinds of particular projects and groups. It does have the huge infrastructure.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

I think it was right to note if there is no formal mechanisms, how do we know with whether or not there are issues. With programs that involve the amount of money going through the office and has these standing groups that advise the county and the state on childcare issues. I think we would hear that through those organizations that fully participate in advising on the paths forward. I am just noting.

It gives out vouchers and slots. It works closely with providers. I am agnostic to consensus. To do this well and get money out in stipends or vouchers, you will need an infrastructure to support the childcare providers out there. Just need help with money that would offset the cost of families wanting to use their services.

MEMBER LEWIS: Thank you for that. I don't know that many of us understood DPH. The only thing I would offer, and let us hear from the rest of the group. I do think it makes sense. With these funds, if they go through the county, we ask for targeting for childcare providers from the groups we talked about.

>>: I think that is fine. Almost all the money is targeted. All these funders. This could be the first office to note that. They fully embrace the intent.

MEMBER LEWIS: Thank you for sharing that incite. Any support for shifting it?

>>: What about hybrid? Some of that is new news to me. There is still a gap in childcare. If there are millions in place, how is it a social service provider is still struggling at accessing that for the community that I am here to represent?

There is a system within the county. I am here to represent the others without access.

>>: I am just wondering, the people that don't have access. Is it families or providers? There may be millions of dollars. Most families can't afford childcare. I agree

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

with you. Not enough money. Unmet needs. I am trying to better understand how a TPA would help meet the unmet need.

I don't think there is unmet need because there is an intentional, some groups are not being included. I think we don't have enough money in the system.

MEMBER LEWIS: A couple of hands up.

>>: Did we figure out who the TPA scenario will be? I missed it.

MEMBER LEWIS: If it shifted to the county? There is still a lot of conversation around one or multiple TPAs.

>>: I got cut off.

MEMBER LEWIS: There is no final. We haven't gotten to it. We don't know who it may be.

>>: I would be in support of a TPA. Selecting would be different than the county. We can all do better.

>>: When you were talking about being in favor versus the county.

MEMBER LEWIS: If you can move the microphone closer.

>>: Clearly an unmet need. I am wondering if it is because when the county has to administer funds related to childcare, this are rules that are definitely in place to do that. Clear rules. You have to be licensed. This and that. Would it be any different? I would need the question answered before I can give a clear vote.

>>: They are public dollars. They are public funds.

>>: Speaking from someone with experience. Being able to engage a trusted community organization that has funds and access. Is a fabric of the community. It will be easier to access. I am glad the county has systems. It is intimidating.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>>: Not being informed about these dollars I sat here thinking she is talking about crystal stairs. Department of public health. It is fantastic. How is that message? I want to support department of public health. Is there names? Can you give more information?

MEMBER LEWIS: There was not support. Not enough support to switch it to TPA. The offering of hybrid came up. Member Ferrer, do you work with a TPA right now?

MEMBER FERRER: We have tons. Given COVID-19. We had to get money out so quickly.

MEMBER LEWIS: Is there is support for a hybrid approach? I don't want to discount your questions. Is there support for hybrid?

>>: I support it.

>>: Can I clarify? One thing. The childcare planning committee oversees the childcare office here. That is the group you were talking about. Consumers and parents. Public agencies. Community representatives. 50 alternates.

They make a lot of the decisions. There was a lot of people who were wondering what this office is. What it can does. It used to be in the CEOs. It got moved to be linked.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

-- used to be in the C and got moved maybe five years ago to DPH so it could be linked to, again transparency and ability to do more connected work in the community. I am fine with doing a hybrid and I just want to make sure we connect the dots with the child care planning group and this office. --

Next up is project 42 fair chance project county. The next project is the ones that were combined.

>> VERONICA: 43, 76, 79, 84 and 124 all pair on one line. 130, 135 and 133. General job training and employment. County.

>> KELLY: I have my hand up. It was a bit hybrid but it was hybrid county that 84 would be with JCOD and others DEO it dealt with substance use disorder as a focus of the job training purpose.

>> VERONICA: Okay since it is chair chose to do the generalities, we will leave that with the county and let you talk it out at the CEO level. If that is okay. Okay. Item number 50. Housing project. 10 million. TPA. I see your reaction. Project number 55. Yes?

>> I want to make a comment on that one.

>> VERONICA: Number 50?

>> A lot of these overlap with Medicaid initiative and we should be sure that the TPA has capacity to leverage or at least partner with the Medicaid dollar and you want to put that out there it is a suggestion that change because the county doesn't administer it the health plans do and I think the TPA health plan relationship will be critical to maximize the dollars that come into the space.



**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: That is important and I want to uplift this one doesn't have behavioral health requirements. It is still related and had those folks may be able to benefit. But the actual rental subsidy ss the primary focus of this and I think attaching it makes sense.

>> They need to be connected if the subsidies come with case management services they can do more and there is also community supports program that is considered just to provide six months of housing subsidies especially in transition or from incarceration and those are the two buckets and there is some overlap potentially although not approved by CMS yet.

>> VERONICA: Thank you for raising that.

>> If we decide not to go with the TPA maybe do a hybrid. The county does do an and good job of the rental assistance housing and vouchers in some instances. Not (Indistinct) the county I think we gave out (Indistinct). During COVID and it was successful. Maybe a hybrid that is all.

>> VERONICA: To clarify yes and I saw a cup of hands this is time limited subsidies and different from vouchers and I saw a couple of different hands. Member Stevens and did I see somebody? I will share my thoughts for last. Emergency vouchers?

>> VERONICA: You are thinking long term subsidies. Emergency vouchers expire in ten years but we know they are going to make them long term. This is time limit. I don't know if we have the length of time but I would say 12 to 24 months. Very different and not long term. Not a deep subsidy.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> REBA: I am confused about the idea of hybrid on this one. I would lean towards this being a county 100 percent without. A couple of reasons when you look at the short-term subsidy that requires the county to cover it and carry the individual. Isn't that true?

>> VERONICA: I will say this we administer all of the versions. Shallow. Medium and long term. And partnership and etc. and unfortunately all of the time limit subsidies no matter where the money is coming from. State. Federal government. Local. We have hundreds of people about to fall off of the clock the rental subsidies are about to end with a section of \$9 million a year for a small population to catch them. We are in crisis right now and it doesn't matter whether it is the county. This is not like the flexible housing subsidy pool. This is time limited subsidy and any time limited subsidy including measure H and other dollars once it is over it is over there is no strategy to carry people forward and that is another conversation that is important for the body to have and understand at a later date in terms of strategy and I want to be clear they are not going to absorb and say we are not going to let them fall. That is not happening and we will take your comment. We have a recommendation for hybrid and I don't support that but that is okay if you all do, we can move forward.

>> I would say the housing structures are fragmented as it is and there is coordination across it and not knowing deeply why this was created and I believe it was created to fill gaps which is something that is different and that is why I didn't put it forward it should be county per se although we have an infrastructure to support that and embed and I think the intent felt different at least in the conversations that I was in and I don't know.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> REBA: My concern is that going hybrid on this I am not quite, maybe I am not grasping how that would look like and would it be beneficial to the provider who would receive those services and I would think not. So, I can't, I don't think this should be hybrid.

>> VERONICA: It would need to be one or the other. We house 1100. Paying millions of dollars. It would be a nightmare and support it needs to be one of the other.

>> REBA: I move go county to satisfy that.

>> I recommend it stay with a third-party administrator.

>> REBA: Okay I will support a third-party administrator but not hybrid.

>> VERONICA: Anybody that supports hybrid? Listen does anybody support hybrid. I didn't ask that question and we don't have to take it off. Anybody support a hybrid approach?

>> Depends what it looks like to your point with the cliff there has to be high level of coordination with the resources that already exist and I can see not that we want to take on this. We do some of the work through the stay house light program. To the extent that the department or agency has it is integrated in the overall system and not fragmented and they can stack and take advantage of all of the resources, for example one of the programs (Indistinct) will provide up to \$12,000 of subsidies for housing and we are allowed to stack that and working with DHS and their program and stack our money on top of that and I see a scenario stacking this on top of that ask spreading the money. Whether it is TPA or department. There should be significant integration and we as a body should be responsible to make sure the connections happen.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: So, the components are housing and navigation. Paying of security. Identifying the landlords and all of that stuff. And paying the rent and utilities and etc. Other moving costs and case management and the post placement. We talk about hybrid tell me what would be the do we say we have it for the sake of having it or eliminate some of the funds. Those are the functions or activities when we talk about a program like this. Tell me what pieces one body would take verses the other.

>> We have to ensure the coordination to make sure it is effective. With me I am agnostic if it is TPA or the department as long as it is there a way to make sure they are integrated into each other.

>> VERONICA: Okay who supported the hybrid approach? Okay. No support. Anything else on this is currently we are still on number 50. TPA and I am sorry just to be clear somebody that recommend it go to county so I can ask about that too? I will keep going.

>> LISA: Number six. Health care payment and assistance for low income. TPA. Number 77 multicultural diverse and intensive outpatient project. County. And, again, just speak up or raise your hand if you are on zoom and have something to add.

>> MICHELLE: Ready to rise AB. That is crossed out and not supposed to be there. Number 78 training and recruitment. This one is not named. Training and recruitment of community members for county jobs and this is number 78. County. 81 sanctuaries of hope. TPA. 85 CFCI. Project was established. That is broad. I will read a little bit. Establish facility dedicate today serving fresh meals. T and 85 is TPA.

>> (Indistinct).

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: It is not named.

>> [Away from microphone].

>> KELLY: I think the reason why it is not name when we had a meeting a cup of weeks ago you proposed to be changing to county pipelines program because it was something narrower and we had talked about integrating with a number of county programs that it supports. Like diverse path ways into the county and we have a number of those which is why we voted on keeping it for county and FYI I think there was a change in name.

>> I think the one you are referring to is project.

>> KELLY: 78.

>> [Away from microphone].

>> KELLY: Yeah, there was commentary.

>> VERONICA: 85. It is not named -- we can pull out some words. From the description to have a more descriptive project name. No. Right, I am just saying. Member Lobianco do have you a comment I see the chair is here.

>> KELLY: Old hand.

>> VERONICA: I will keep going. 90. Resource center. TPA. 92 fresh fruit market. 96 school-based development and support. TPA. Project ID number 104. Support for small and cooperatively owned businesses. County. 112. Housing and placements and support of the gender expansive youth in LA county. 116 college persistent for foster and BIPOC youth. County. 117 rapid engagement and housing program. County. 123. 24\7, 265 youth centers. TPA. 134 liberated learning

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

community. TPA. 141 ODR expansion of lead county. 144 park programs at the (Indistinct) AI and art for the community. County. Numbers 106 and 29 combine community mentoring leaders' program TPA. Numbers 113 and 11 youth development and diversion. County.

>> 113 and 11 I would like for it to be administered by a TPA.

>> VERONICA: Any support for that? 113 and 11 what we are referring to youth development and diversion through sports. Comments on that or support of that?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I want on the other side of that I think the department of youth development at least the way it works and that is the direction this concept was written to work with. Just because of the connections to some of the organizations that DYD already has and the TPA probably won't have to build up something to something that has already gone with the county itself. I actually would say to keep it with the county and with the idea of it being the department of youth development.

>> VERONICA: Thank you chair. Any support to shift to a TPA.

>> Thank you for the comments but the project type is new and I am confused by what comments you have?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: No doubt what I say is a lot of the organizations that do support play work already have relationships with DYD. Right? Even some of them have a relationship with the parks department too and what this prompt is asking to do is be the have a third-party member with DYD that organizes all of the sports play across the entire county and main thing I am saying is that it was written for it to be a relationship with DYD

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

and that is the reason why it was recommended for it to be the county rather than being the TPA.

>> Thank you.

>> VERONICA: I am on a different sheet. Sorry. Anybody tell us what page 113 and 11 is? Thank you. Last time any support for changing this 113 and 11 from county to TPA. Any support for that? No. Okay. You got one more comment to try and convince us and we are going to move on.

>> It clearly says to me that sport and play are viable support to help prevent poor mental and physical health. Justice system involvement and help build resilience in the face of traumatic environments. Through a third-party administrator working with the department of youth development this project will provide resources to youth and it goes on. I don't see where it is building the relationship with the county. Can we come back?

>> VERONICA: No, we are not coming back. Chair Steele said that to work with TPA and said that in the comments. He did say that.

>> You want to go to a county department to work with TPA.

>> VERONICA: Reba is asking from county being moved and she read the description that reference TPA and try to go get clarity why it says TPA and it is not TPA.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: For clarification. I know you are trying to go move forward what it is saying is that the department of youth development would work together with the TPA to actually be the one to facilitate the resources the to all of the organizations. Remember there was a whole bunch of organizations that came and said they were if going to support. That is because they are all part of a network directed to

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

the organization that submitted this concept and so they would actually work with department of youth development to be the TPA and get the dollars out to people who do sports play across the nation. AB to long beach from the west side --

>> VERONICA: Does it make sense to say TPA and county then? We made some of the changes already for hybrid so you know for other ones.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will ask the question to how you did that does TPA and county mean amity gets part and county gets a r part of it?

>> VERONICA: The county and we had a conversation earlier which we will discuss more when we get to the report in a few minutes it could be respective county departments have TPA they work with and get the dollars pass through the TPA and the other ones are going to do as well.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: The if that is the case it is TPA slash county if that is what the definition of TPA slash county is.

>> VERONICA: It would say TPA and go through the county.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Okay.

>> VERONICA: Vice chair Soto and judge Armstead.

>> I remember this particular one and maybe (Indistinct) [away from microphone]. I know we are combining some things but I think in some cases what we combine we change the concept completely that particular TPA from what I understand is an organization that provides youth programming throughout a few regions. It is just a question at this point because we combine and change the concept does that mute the point of the TPA or is there opportunity to go back in a little bit of clean up there.



**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: I want to remind and had clarify no commitment to the organization that submitted the concept.

>> I think. Not the commitment to the individual organization that submitted the concept but the concept that a TPA that work ins regional, that type of strategy of regional alliances can deliver the outcomes they are proposing. Right? Regardless of who the TPA is, that is what I am getting at.

>> VERONICA: Are you on the change to TPA team or keep as county team?

>> My head went back to the conversation.

>> VERONICA: Judge Armstead.

>> SONGHAI: If you say TPA and county half is going to the county or whatever the division. If you say TPA can go to current department and exercise relationship with TPA. Or JCOD and has all of the option available.

>> VERONICA: It say county.

>> I would like to make a recommendation it is a TPA that the county works with in this case.

>> Getting back to member Stevens and I want to make sure does that help if it goes back to the they can still work with TPA I think your p point is not let the funds get locked up.

>> VERONICA: Is there support to shift the to the TPA given the context it was supposed the to be done in and member Steven's request is there a support the to shift from county to TPA?

>> Yes.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: Objection?

>> I want to clarify my understanding is the funds go to the youth development department.

>> VERONICA: We are not describing. The CEO will make the decision.

>> VERONICA: Objection? If there is not an agreement we will vote. Did you have you an objection?

>> Pi recommendation would be to keep it with the county.

>> VERONICA: Okay any support for that? There is not support. If you want me to call the formal vote I will.

>> BARBARA: Can you remind us what number of we are on.

>> VERONICA: Number 113 and 11 is what we are referring to. I asked for an objection and one was made a little delay and doesn't matter it was made and we got to honor. If there is no support for the objection I am going to move forward. Sorry to take it, to keep it as county? I will move on. Thank you for chiming in.

Numbers 125, 52 and 132. TPA. Youth academy path ways out of poverty. Numbers 44, 140. 44. It is repeated. 44, 140, 5 is one holistic out of school time enrichment for youth. County. Numbers 45, 129 and 131 culturally and community center arts mental health engagement. County. It is combined. 45. 129 and 131. Page 25. Thank you judge. And as a reminder for everybody with CFC dollars no more than ten, at minimum 90 percent has to go out to community-based organizations regardless of with who the grant administer is as a reminder to everybody. 85. No less than 85 percent. Regardless of who it is. I am going to keep going unless somebody wants to chime in.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

All right. Project ID number four. Audit proofing base organizations. County. Project ID number 14. Farms TPA. Prompt ID number 61. Youth development and work force development. County. Number 65. TGI mentorship program. TPA. 136 reintegration academies. County.

>> I suggest the 136 go to a TPA.

>> I support.

>> VERONICA: 136 reintegration academy other than member Stevens is their additional support for that?

>> I will support it.

>> VERONICA: Who? Member Williams?

>> JOEY: Joseph Williams.

>> MEGAN: I also support.

>> VERONICA: Any objection or other thoughts? I don't see any. Any objection to moving 136 to TPA? Okay. Thank you.

>> It is going inside the county jails and there has to be a nexus there. The organization has a contract to go inside the county jails and do training and have a partnership.

>> VERONICA: We hope there is coordination there is a lot of organizations that go into.

>> I know I am trying to go see this is connect today existing services and I am trying to go in my mind figure out what the TPA is look for. Organizations with a relationship and expanding those funds.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: Without diving deep into it sound like that is an appropriate procurement eligibility requirement.

>> SONGHAI: The reason the do that they are already there and I imagine have a county contract to go into.

>> VERONICA: No. One I think they have the capacity to get in. A lot of us have access and lost access with COVID happening. And there is a lot of organization that don't have any money from the county that go inside jails and prisons and I think that we hope there is coordination with the county and there is a lot that goes in with county don tracts and it is more difficult and that is why I say some coordination is helpful.

Okay. Chair Steele where you try to go say something? Number 68 American day care and family resource center. TPA.

>> JOEY: Yes, I think (Indistinct) with the county department. Whether hybrid or whatever it is they disperse today a unique group of folks for housing and other things that we pass through here and I want to make sure that they have a way to be able to do that. I don't know if the TPA provides that.

>> VERONICA: Okay so the county can decide to do TPA. Are you suggesting county or TPA?

>> JOEY: If I can know that the LA city (Indistinct) member commission is playing a role I would say yes. I don't know that.

>> VERONICA: If that language is added the to the description would you want tit to stay a TPA or be the county? Also make sure the native American commission helps

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

to guide the project and is a part of it. If we add the language. Would you like it to stay with the TPA or recommend for it to still go through the county?

>> JOEY: Go through the TPA as long as the native American commission is part of it.

>> VERONICA: Okay any objection to adding the language in the description that the native more than commission has to be intricately involved in the process? Okay. Chair Steele hopefully you are making that note. Member Williams, I got you we are going to add the language Los Angeles County native American commission will be involve and had keep it as TPA. Right?

>> JOEY: LA county native American city planning. Yeah.

>> VERONICA: Any objection to that? Chair Steele I hope you got that note.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: LA county native American commission.

>> JOEY: Yes. LANIC.

>> I want to agree with member Williams to add to that.

>> VERONICA: Thank you I am going to move on. 66, 128 organization funding plus (Indistinct) needles. TPA. Bridging the gap fund. County.

>> JOEY: Cochair Lewis if you can use the same language maybe for 55 as well.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Do the same thing for that as well.

>> JOEY: Yes please.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Okay. I don't object to that and I think that is good.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: Sorry because you moved onto make sure we are all clear. Make your statement one more time member Williams and say the numbers that you are referring to.

>> JOEY: Both 54 and 55 reflect the language of the TPA LA native county commission is involved.

>> VERONICA: Project 66 and 128?

>> JOEY: Yes.

>> VERONICA: Okay any objection to adding the city and county native American commission to numbers 58? Okay. We have three more and bridging the gap. County. Supporting community with whatever it takes fund. County. CFCI administration dollars is county. Any other comments before we move on the vote?

>> Chair Lewis one on page 24.

>> VERONICA: Tell me the project ID number.

>> Project ID number 144. 140, 55 and 59. I feel like that should be a TPA.

>> VERONICA: There is a recommendation that the holistic time enrichment of youth is slated if for the county and a recommendation it be TPA. Sport for that?

>> REBA: I will support.

>> VERONICA: Any other support.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I support it.

>> MEGAN: I support.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: Any objections to this? Okay. Any final comments or requests for grant administrators? Can you give us a minute to look through what changes were made before we make a final approval?

>> VERONICA: I didn't note on here what changes were made tell me what you are saying.

>> Make sure we can breeze through everything to make sure we don't miss anything.

>> VERONICA: I will give you two minutes if you want to can you put the timer up?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Was co vice chair look at an excel spread sheet and she said yes. That is cool.

>> VERONICA: Okay any other recommendations that anyone wants to make? No? Okay. I am going to move and again we adopted the spending plan already several woks ago that we wanted with the plan administrator column we went through. Is there a second?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I second.

>> VERONICA: Thank you let's take to open up to public comment and take a vote.

>> As reminder public comment is one minute and telephone users dial star nine. Dial star six to un-mute yourself. Computer users scroll to reactions on the bottom tab to find the raisin hand feature. Smart phone users scroll to more and select the raise hand feature and we will call on you in the order your hand was raise and had remember to

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

state your name for the record. In the room do we have public comments with us? Moving to online?

>> My name is Holly and will I would like to bring to attention project 77 and I recommend you consider changing to a third-party administrator. Many people who have substance use disorder issues need immediate help and when going through the county as an administrator my concern is it is lumped into the medical processing which could take 6 to 8 weeks for someone who needs these services to get approved through medical and assigned a therapist ask and assigned a psychiatrist and go through the process by having a third party administrator having the funds there is no requirement for medical and insurance and the person in need could get help right away and I think it is essential and that you reconsider changing item number 77 which is multicultural diverse outpatient project to a TPA thank you for my time.

>> Thank you. Trans Latina coalition?

>> Hello. Project ID 28 and 102 when the community asked for a TPA or member Stevens are upholding one of the guidelines which is deferring to the community which is asking for a TPA. We are not asking for a hybrid we are asking for TPA. CFCI funding should be uplifted to make sure community-based alternatives are funded and not just the work the county is doing or the funds are used for different the departments and their judgements and project 50. Ensuring there is a carve out for funding and it was for TGI communities beyond award change and looking at different TPA models through trusted CBO and messenger as providers and led by people with lived experience and TTI and



**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

system impacted and face addiction which is better informed than any county we see  
(Indistinct) thank you.

>> Thank you. No other p hands. One more.

>> Hi can you hear me? Good afternoon my name is gabby with the organization  
(Indistinct) and reimagine LA coalition. Thank you for providing the spending plan and I  
personally want to encourage and uplift project ID number 50. And number, um, 88. Both  
of them to me speak to the importance of you know, making sure that those who are most  
vulnerable in communities get the services and housing that they deserve and now I can't  
stop thinking about what we just saw happen in New York to Jordan Kneely and  
understanding that these are policy failures and system failures that when we cannot  
support folks who are in need and who are hungry and have no housing and who have  
mental health disorders. We here in Los Angeles need to think b about that and think  
about where our dollars go it shouldn't be to more and it should be towards these types  
of services and thank you for uplifting them and I could remember you all to vote for these  
types of programs. Thank you.

>> Thank you. No other hands and back to you cochair.

>> VERONICA: Thank you. Any requests to edit or changes? Before we call for  
the vote.

>> Pt top clarify that the last two speakers were speaking of items they wanted for  
TPA and I think they are already assigned to TPA yeah?

>> VERONICA: Thank you for catching that. Let's call for a vote. The motion on  
the table.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Megan has her hand up sorry. Member Castillo?

>> MEGAN: Thank you. I think one of the speakers uplifted shifting item 77 to a third-party administrator for all of the reasons that they named and I am incline today support moving that to a third-party administrator as oppose today a county.

>> VERONICA: It is hard hear you. Are you recommending?

>> MEGAN: Yes.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Does 99 need to be fully amended or as amended?

>> VERONICA: Before you go through all of that is their support for that?

>> JOEY: I will support. Member Williams.

>> VERONICA: Thank you any other support for that?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will support it member Steele.

>> REBA: I will support as well.

>> VERONICA: What is number 77?

>> MEGAN: It is listed as.

>> VERONICA: I see it. I got it. Any objections?

>> KELLY: Member Lewis could you share out loud what number 77 is?

>> VERONICA: Multicultural diverse intensive outpatient project it is \$517,000.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Yeah.

>> BARBARA: Sorry I know you are trying to go get us through and I have a hard time getting to the hand raise. I am trying to understand this is to move to a TPA?

>> VERONICA: Okay.

>> BARBARA: Is that what this is?

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: I was asking if there is an objection to move it. Any other objections?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: Are you objecting?

>> BARBARA: I would probably think again the way substance use treatment programs are done is through a network of community providers. The county doesn't provide any services itself? I am not sure what the advantage is here. Generally, as with other things there needs to be similar licensing requirements depending on the level of care that was going to be provided and had those aren't county rules and there is no county direct service component here. It is all a network of community providers.

I am not sure to let it and go to a TPA so you could have alternative to the requirements that are in place right now for treatment?

>> VERONICA: I don't think so. If you are certified by the state to be outpatient. Intensive outpatient treatment provider your money doesn't have to come through the county and not intend today you as you were the process the state sets the standards and it is separate funding and separate and apart from drug medical and ore resources from the county and doesn't take away from the certification requirements.

>> BARBARA: You are assuming they are certified.

>> VERONICA: That is not assuming.

>> BARBARA: The medical system is the system that those are the providers providing services to ensure low-income services. It is not the county. It is the providers. Most of the other providers that provide treatment outside of that network are generally if it is outpatient. If it is treatment. There is a whole host of services that people need and

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

if it is treatment and it is licensed, they are generally in that network. Most of the providers who are not in the network are providers that are serving either self-paid patients or patients with commercial insurance. I am just trying to say what the landscape is for those service if if they are licensed services.

>> VERONICA: That assumes that people in the drug medical system want to apply for the resources that are more flexible. A lot of them would to answer it is main question and I want to see if there is another objection if not, we are going to move. To answer it is main question no it doesn't usurp the state. It is a funding pot. Whether administered through county or not I think that is what we are asking for and there is a request on the table to make it a TPA. We have one member objecting and any other objections? Nobody else I see objects and of course if you all there is not full consensus. You will ask if you want me to take a formal vote and no support for objections and no other committee member that objects that I can see to changing to a TPA. Okay. The motion on the table is seconded with the edit and I made the motion and I will say with the final edit to number 77 to make a TPA and I don't recall who seconded it.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I second it. I second you. I second your amendment.

>> VERONICA: Okay let's call for the vote.

(Voting).

(Overlapping conversations).

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> VERONICA: What clarity are you seeking on the vote?

>> What is the vote? Some people think they are voting on this item verses the entire spending plan. So --

>> VERONICA: The motion still holds that was introduced to approve the spending plan and approve the grant administrators for the spending plan that is what we are voting on.

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: There was an amendment to change 77 to a TPA and that was the only change. It is the same.

>> VERONICA: The amendment was offer and had the second was. So, anybody that voted so far were you unclear? Were you voting for something different? Okay. So, the motion, let's start over. The motion is the original motion with the amendment of number 77 being transitioned to a TPA to approve the grant administrator recommendations that have been add today spending plan and that is the motion we are voting on. Let's call the roll again striking the previous votes.

>> Member Carbajal.

>> SONGHAI: I.

>> Member --

>> I.

>> Member Castillo.

>> I.

>> Member (Indistinct).

>> Member Cyrus-Franklin.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> I.

>> Member Early.

>> I.

>> Member Ferrer.

>> Abstain.

>> Member Fuentes-Miranda

(Indistinct), member Hong.

>> I.

>> Member Lewis.

>> VERONICA: I.

>> Member LoBianco.

>> I.

>> Member Schoonover.

>> I.

>> Member Soto.

>> I.

>> Chair Steele?

>> CHAIRMAN STEELE: I.

>> Member Stevens.

>> REBA: I.

>> Member Williams.

>> JOEY: I.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> Member (Indistinct).

>> I.

>> Is there anybody that I miss? With that vote we have 17 yes. One abstains passing back to you vice chair.

>> VERONICA: Congratulations the spending plan is fully complete. Thank you chair Steele and thank you everybody. All right next order of business the spending plan. Number five the draft spending report. I believe that last week chair Steele you went through a lot of the content of it and a lot of it is the background and context that is provide and had main new experiences of it. The maybe new pieces of it relate to a descriptive. Very descriptive language around the community engagement process and the pictures. The images are not complete and you can you see the place holders for the images.

So, are there any concerns related to the content? And I will pause for a minute. Chair Steele is scrolling through it and those online you have the link in the package and those present with me have you the document. I will pause for a few minutes and then open up the floor for request in edits and we will do in that manner.

>> REBA: It is so beautiful.

>> Thank you.

>> REBA: I know it is incomplete.

>> VERONICA: We are going to give everybody a minute to look at it. All right. We will take your comment is a second and again this is not the final version and the images are place holders and content pieces. If there is any extra periods or commas that is what we are asking for.

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

>> [Away from microphone].

>> VERONICA: It will be edited and the content is what we are asking for the input on. At this time, we will take comments that have you and there is a thorough look through in terms of little things. Content pieces are there any comments on that part of it and chair Steele continues to scroll through it let us know if you have concerns or questions related to the content of the report. By a show of hands if you finish reviewing it and don't have comments raise your hand for me.

>> My hand is raised for a comment.

>> VERONICA: Sorry about that I wasn't looking sorry.

>> BARBARA: I have one suggestion on the section we talk about community survey and listening sessions and I think it is super helpful to put some numbers to how many people did respond and there were some descriptions to what was done and what the outreach looked like and it is not clear how many folks responded and had where, if the there is any ability. I don't know how this. What the demographic break down is. It says that you can refer to this presentation. Um, but I think, you know clicking through is always a hard thing to do in a report and I would suggest some general information about who responded and you can do in a paragraph, I think. It would just enrich the section a lot.

>> VERONICA: I agree I think highlighting the numbers on the report to show the sheer volume of the engagement I think that makes a lot of sense in addition to the deep dive into the report. I support that recommendation. Any other comment from the committee and we are going to take public comment and this is an action item and thank



**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**5/4/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

you chair Steele for your hard work and JCOD team working with him. Ly take public item on agenda item five and general public comment at this time and we will come back and you will have an at no time to share content and thoughts and thereafter we will take the vote. Is your hand a carry over or you have another comment. Let's take public comment. For number five and general comment and close out the vote for the report.

>> As reminder public comment period is one minute per person and call on you in the order your hand is raised and please state your name for the record. Starting in the room any comments? No comment in the room and go online. Hector Ramirez goes ahead please.

>> Thank you. Again, I would like to request that it be available in Spanish and accessible format and then consideration for highlighting the TGI community and (Indistinct) community and for some reason I think it is not included in this we face erasure and visibility often from people in our community who are colonize and had I want to highlight the fact so many people attended and with that pt to ask that be included as well. The number of public comments for the proposal and the one to include the American native Alaska communities and we were pitted against each other.