3/30/2023

**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL** 

www.yourcaptioner.com

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Fantastic. Can we please read through the disclosures?

>>: This meeting is being recorded for the minutes. This meeting is subject to the brown act. If

members of the public would like to comment, please do so during the public comment period for

that item or during the general public comment period. For captions, cart services are available. You

may access these by clicking on the stream text link that will be provided in the chat after the reading

of the disclosures.

When accessing Zoom through a browser, scroll through reactions. For anyone with online

tech difficulties, telephone information will be provided in the chat. During public comment, telephone

participants may press star nine to raise your hand and star six to unmute.

For Spanish, click on the globe icon. Select Spanish. Public comments should be submitted

before 5:00 PM the day prior. These will be shared with the advisory committee members before the

meeting and reflected in the minutes. Comments after 5:00 PM the day before through the end of the

meeting will be made part of the public record.

Advisory committee members may not have the chance to review those comments prior to

acting on an item. This ends the reading of the disclosures.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Can we take role?

MEMBER ARMSTEAD: Present.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Present.

MEMBER EARLEY: Present.

MEMBER FERRER: Present.

>>: Present.

MEMBER GARCIA: Present.

MEMBER HOVSEPIAN: Present.

**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL** 

www.yourcaptioner.com

MEMBER SCORZA: Present.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Present.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Present.

>> Present.

>>: 18 present for quorum. Back to you.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will read the land acknowledgment. We want to recognize those

whose land we currently reside. The original caretakers. The [FOREIGN LANGUAGE]. We thank

you. Let us go through the community agreements. Can you Zoom in a little bit? Respect the diverse

voices. Remain open minded.

>>: Be mindful of power dynamics and the disenfranchisement. Prioritize and defer to

community.

>>: Be mindful of the diverse audience. Make sure you speak with clarity.

>>: Be collaborative.

>>: Assume best intentions.

>>: Challenge the idea, not the person.

>>: Remember why we are here to center the black, brown, and Indigenous communities and

so on.

>>: Be intentional about hearing and allowing space for additional voices to be uplifted.

>>: Be an active participant.

>>: Let equity lead the way. Make spaces for youth voices.

>>: Allow community members to finish their sentence, thought during public comment.

Page 2 of 38

**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL** 

www.yourcaptioner.com

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Review community agreements before every meeting. Start with a land

acknowledgment statement recognizing the Indigenous peoples. Welcome. Let us review the

minutes for our last meeting last week. Is there a motion?

>>: I will second it. Any unreadiness?

>>: The public comment will be one minute per person. Please use the raise hand feature

now. We will call on you in the order it was raised. Please state your full name. I will lower your hand

once you have completed public comment. Star nine to raise your hand. We will say your name

when it is your turn.

Star six to unmute. When on a smart phone, scroll to reactions. You will see the raise hand

feature. Scroll to more. You will see a raise hand feature. We will now start public comment for

meeting minutes for the March 23rd meeting. Back to you, chair.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Thank you very much. Let us please take the vote.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Aye.

MEMBER CRUNK: Aye.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Aye.

MEMBER EARLEY: Aye.

MEMBER FERRER: Aye.

MEMBER GARCIA: Aye.

MEMBER LEWIS: Aye.

MEMBER SCORZA: Aye.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Aye. I see Mr. Ruiz is here.

>>: Something is wrong with his audio.

>>: Abstain.

**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL** 

www.yourcaptioner.com

>>: Aye.

>>: Abstain.

>>: Can you talk now?

>>: You may have to reset your audio. We can't hear you. Okay.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: I was unable to unmute. Aye. Member Soto walked into the room.

MEMBER LEWIS: Also online.

>>: Here now.

MEMBER LEWIS: Okay. Great.

>>: We have 19 yes. Two abstain. The.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Year three funding allocations. We had a robust discussion about this last week. We were informed about the letter informing us about the amount of resources. Mason has a presentation.

>>: Good afternoon. Thank you for having me back to discuss year three.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will ask in the essence of time and making sure can get through, I want him to do it.

>>: It includes additional pieces of information about the year three allocation. It wasn't my intent to go through all the documents today. I can walk you through them and answer questions.

Slide two shows the investment of 676 million that we are making over the first three years. First year breakdown. Second year investment. Now the third-year investment of 288.3 million. The pull set aside amount. Slide three. Funding history. In our prior presentations and documents, we hadn't committed to a full set aside amount. We didn't know what the number would be.

We wouldn't know until it was calculated. That has now happened. We believe the backup documents all support these states.

#### **CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL**

www.yourcaptioner.com

It continues to come up. I did want to mention that. Slide four. In regard to year four in 24/25. We don't know what the full year set aside will be in year four. We won't know until we calculate it. A similar time frame as this year. March of 2024. We would report back on the number.

The amount could increase or decrease from the 288.3 million based on restricted NCC each year. Additionally, I did want to mention that it is further in the back up of the presentation. A question came up about the sunset date of the policy.

I stated and wanted to restate it, the policy will not sunset in 2026. Our budget policy will remain in effect. There was for mating. Sunset review policy. All board policies include a sunset review date when implemented. It is supposed to happen every four years. The department's responsibility should be reviewing it every four years. Determining where it needs revisions or changes.

If so, make those to the board. No changes can happen without board approval. The board would have to take action on anything to adjust or change the policy. Whether that review happens or not, the policy remains in effect. Just a review. Administrative process. It doesn't change anything.

Only the board can change the policies. Slide five. We included it last time. I won't go over it again. It is there for reference. This is probably the meat of what I am here to talk with you about today. Here are the specifics.

Looking at the chart, this is how we start from our recommended net county costs. We get to line 3 on this schedule. The ongoing generated revenue. Let me see. Did I do something?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Put it in full screen mode.

>>: I lost my window. I can't see what you all are seeing.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Window. Full screen mode. That would be helpful.

#### www.yourcaptioner.com

>>: Lines 4 through 12 are where we go from the locally generated revenue down to unrestricted. The basis for the ten percent allocation. We remove the other ATI. The restricted NCC. Contractual obligations. Legal obligations. Debt costs. State and federal statute requirements.

The state requires us to make investments. Other board policies that restrict the use of funds. It removes \$4.7 billion. It gets us to the unrestricted NCC of 2.8 billion.

We came up with 2.8 billion. Ten percent was \$280 million. The initial down payment was based on a rounded number. We didn't know what it would be. We rounded up to 300 million. We thought it was reasonable to give 100 million in the first year. A little easier to work with number. We got the 100 million down payment. The number was 280 million.

We have recalculated the number now. Instead of coming in at 2.883 billion, 10 percent is the \$288 million shown there in this right column. That is how we got to \$288 million. Any questions on this? Should I keep going through? We can get to the questions at the end.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: You said you weren't going through all.

>>: Just a few more. Here is this slide. One thing we wanted to point out is if it was a county department, you are in the top tier for funding. The amount put toward it is more than all but five of the 38 county departments. Wanted to point that out. The other, there was a timeline here. Nothing new here. Talks about when this would go to board. These are additional materials.

If there was anything specific, I wasn't going to walk through. I did want to say one thing. My final point is that I have come here and spoken with you a number of times now. I don't always bring news that was helpful. It is not always the best news. My goal is to be as helpful as possible in helping the committee understand the information.

I know budget isn't the most fun. It is boring for most people. I know that we can be complex.

My goal is to help the committee wade through the information and get to the heart of these

www.yourcaptioner.com

numbers. Have the dialogue when necessary. Help develop that level of trust. I hope we can get there. You may not agree with the resulting number.

You can understand how we went through the process and developed the numbers in the format that was consistent with the original measure J. What was approved by the voters. I wanted to mention that. I am happy to answer any questions.

I want to be helpful and provide anything to help understand the numbers and how we got here. I will open it up to any questions.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: There is something raised in the presentation to be clarified. 300 million came out of nowhere. Can you give some clarify about where it came from. Everyone has been using. That was the number we were all going off of. Can you talk to that?

>>: Hard for me. We didn't know at the time what the number was going to be in the third year. We have ways to estimate it. Without running through the calculation, we couldn't know it would be 300.

When we figured this out, we came up with 280 million. We rounded up to 300 for the sake of trying to figure out what a down payment would be. It seemed more reasonable to go with 100 million. We never said in the third year we expect the amount to be 300 million. I think it may be a subtle point. That was the main point.

There was this example of it could get to 300 million. It could be more or less. There isn't anything that changed the actual calculation.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: It is a significant people of this conversation. In this space, we have been talking about \$300 million. That is the reason why this has become a very important sticking point for us to have to write a letter to the board of supervisors on this. The general understanding that people have was that there would be 300 million.

#### **CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL**

www.yourcaptioner.com

>>: I could understand that. I felt like that is what we were saying. That was the major point.

We always went with we will determine what the number will be.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will let this be my last question. Where we are right now, the board has the authority to change the number, correct?

>>: That would be their discretion.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will open it to the floor.

MEMBER LEWIS: I have a clarifying question. I wanted to clarify. Our allocation is for three years. The numbers you show represented three years for those departments as well?

>>: No. What I was comparing was your ongoing allocation of \$288 million. That won't go away.

MEMBER LEWIS: It for a three year period. 288. Right?

>>: That is your ongoing funding. It will continue every year. Increasing up or down. You now have an annual amount. I think we talked about having the programs go in three year blocks.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Does everyone understand that?

>>: The first year allocation of 100 million, the money went to departments or small organizations. That was allocated for three years. Another 100 million in year three. At the end of the third, it becomes available again.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Please remember to state our names.

- >>: Thank you. Just to confirm. This isn't sunseted? There was a concern that is what happens after. This happens until the Board of Supervisors comes back.
- >>: The sunset review date is just an administrative review. The money in this process will continue whether we do a review in 2026 or not. Just an administrative process. Only the board can change and sunset the policy or end the budget policy. That date on there is just an administrative

#### **CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL**

www.yourcaptioner.com

review. They want people to go and review the policies. Make sure they shouldn't be changed for any new situation. It will continue on. It won't sunset on that date.

>>: I had a question. Who would be hiring. It shows the departments that ranked higher. That is substantial now. For the sake of community, everyone was stuck on 300 million. I am looking at health services. Children. Family services and probation is ranked higher. When we break down the five concepts, some of those align with the concepts. Has it ever been an idea that maybe we are missing 12 million to make the community happy.

Maybe the county can move money for that in little increments to make up the 300. I know nothing about budget. Is that something that can be thought about?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I am looking at the sheriff's department. We should be taking money from incarceration and aligning it with the care first commitments. I think that is the case we can take based on the last question I asked. We should start to align the resources.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Just for clarity, what is the allocated budget just to compare with the figure we have.

- >>: You have caught me off guard. I don't have that number. I would have to pull that up.
- >>: That would be helpful by way of comparison. That would be appreciated.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Any other questions?

- >>: Do you know what percentage of the sheriff's budget comes from a net county cost?
- >>: I don't know. I will say sit very high. They don't have, they may get some state and federal funding sources. It is a very high percentage. There are not programs that generate revenue. They get some related to realignment funding. They get some state grants. Different things along those lines.

It is a very high percentage.

www.yourcaptioner.com

CHAIRMAN STEELE: 3.61 billion dollars. That is the answer. I wrote that is real cute. We decided to not say how much. Reflects the recommended budget. \$143.7 million to encourage the development of a mitigation plan.

>>: If I could clarify. The numbers I am looking at from 22/23 budget, this is recommended. You may have the final number. The gross appropriation is in that range. The net county cost is 1.5 billion. The net county cost is 1.5 billion. It will probably change. That would be the ballpark.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Now we are getting into a real conversation.

MEMBER CRUNK: In the spirit of Member Lewis, I just divided 12 by five. It would be 2.4 per category. I don't think any department goes broke. I want to make this known. I am not advocating to defund anything. If the community is hurting and they show that type of pain, and feel like they got done a certain way over 12 million, and I didn't know it was that number, this would be something, we donated some money when we opened in Carson.

I don't think that would be farfetched. I don't think that would be farfetched.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We have to elevate this up. Ideas of our guiding principles. This is why we are here. Any other questions? Thoughts? The question of who from the committee will be helping to steward that effort. It is open to any of us to participate as well.

I got an e-mail today to strategize. We will make sure you are fully aware of that strategy. Who we are talking to. We have members in the ad hoc committee that are board appointees. That is helpful. We will definitely keep you posted.

Any other questions. We will move onto the next portion of the agenda. Add your comments and questions. We want to hear your thoughts and feelings toward this effort. We will move onto Item 5. Thank you very much for your presentation. Item 5. Discussing and taking action. I want to

#### www.yourcaptioner.com

start with the guiding principles. Member Lewis mentioned this last week. It is important we take a look to remind ourselves.

The number one principle is equity. We want to incorporate a lens to reduce disparities.

Focus on communities with high rates of violence. You can read along. We want to focus on decarceration. Have a fundamental sense in our community engagement. It is not just us making this decision. We want community voices are lifted up.

These are the guiding principles. Our core responsibilities are surrounding those. We have the responsibility of a community engagement process and a work plan. We want to advise and provide input on the program data collection and evaluation plan and provide input on the procurement and distribution processes.

You see the areas of focus too. Does anyone want to identify those?

>>: Direct community investment and alternatives to incarceration.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We talked about this last week. It has come up in the last three meetings. It is direct community investment and alternatives to incarceration. Consistent of those two main points. Our primary objective is to facilitate the process to invest in these areas. We have an oversight of the years prior. This is the guiding peace to us.

Last week's presentation helped to inform us where the community's voice is on this. We all come with our bodies of work to discuss the needs. The data that was presented was to make sure we could elevate the community as another voice in this larger discussion.

Taking a look at the packet of the concepts. I hope you got a deep dive. The ones that have been lifted up above the threshold. I will share my screen. I know those reading along with us, the print may be small. I have been taking a look at these.

www.yourcaptioner.com

First question is set on the ones that are above the 33 percent threshold. Where we are with these sets of items. Is there anything you want us to take a look at? You have questions about. Let us start there.

>>: I have questions. The ones that made the mark. Is there a way to identify which of those organizations, some have been allocated but not spent. A way to identify which has received the fund and spent it?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: The ones on this list are ones up for consideration to be funded for year three.

>>: For the first time.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: These are ones that were given to us this year. It started off with concept recommendations. We don't have the ability to directly fund organizations with our work. That is the work of JCOD and the third party administrator. That put out the request. There is a whole process to receive them. We want to give areas, recommended concepts and ideas of how money should be used. Inside the areas focused. We have the ability to bring it back. Pull it back a bit. Make it more broad. So there are other organizations that may be able to fit in a category.

We are not trying to make something only one organization can do.

MEMBER LEWIS: If I can add to give context, the organizations are not listed here. Our body doesn't have the authority to do the funding. We are an advisory body making recommendations.

The concepts are about strategy and activities associated with trying to get to one of the nine principles or areas of funding that were established. The budget policy. Literally, the first two years

In the budget policy should be forward, so literally thankfully the first two years we have done this, with the exception of a few modifications. The CEOs office has excepted to move forward government into the board almost exactly what we have asked all, so the good news is we have not

www.yourcaptioner.com

seen a lot of shifting for what this party recommended. Because I think they recognize the thoughtful process. But none of these are funded.

There may be some that extend the work or expand the word to other populations, but I don't know if that fully answers it.

- >> One more question if I may, do the body actually review the funding that was done the first two years? Or the allocation do we review the outcome in the results?
- >> Yeah, that is part of our world. So before you started with us, there were meetings where we actually got review from, not only our third-party administrator, but also from the departments around from one terms of what they already put out and projects they have funded. Yes?
- >> Thank you, member LoBiondo, just mentioning the process I know we talked a little bit about reviewing each concept, and seeing if it ties back to a broader concept, and so how are we planning on doing that today as we go through these?
- >> So, the approach on this, and the hope was that you have the time to go through and kind of think it through so for instance, I was looking at some of these, particularly for youth development right? And I have about four of them, that are based around mental health and arts. Right and so the question that I have is instead of the super deep dive specificity of 139, 145, making it a little bit more broad.

Even combining the amounts, of those, and two may be one, rather than having four different ones. So that would just be my recommendation, kind of get some feedback from you all, see what the field is, if that is something that we all agree upon, then we vote on it and then we move forward does that make sense? Yes Marisol?

>> A number of these caught my eye as I was reviewing, but just, just for the sake of time highlight number 88, which is on the bottom of page three a better tomorrow. This one is focused on, it sounds like opening up mental health clues and inks that could be 24 hours and perhaps

www.yourcaptioner.com

residential settings. One of the things that we have talked about prior years to try to leverage over funded, whether it is Medi-Cal, or otherwise.

When it is available, so this one caught my eye, I think that, a lot of the services is likely could be findable through Medi-Cal, so I will just want to recognize that.

>> Yet in reviewing these, I was so excited, just hearing all of these great ideas, I do agree there is a lot of [Indiscernible].

I think my question is will we have enough time, and our meetings over the next couple of weeks, would it be possible, to create what is the word? Ad hoc, thank you. Some ad hoc committees, to maybe review the youth development another to review another you know, workforce development.

I don't know if we can move the needle quicker that way? The other piece too that I really appreciates I am not familiar with what department and what other funding sources that can be leveraged in this? I am appointed by the county, and I represent a nonprofit organization.

I can be a resource in regards to you know, programming and how to may be merged certain concepts together, to be able to provide a very comprehensive program.

#### >> Member Lewis?

>> Thank you, two things, one I do agree, I think there should be one ad hoc committee, but because I think again this is not a linear think where we look at the different categories. So we are going to do that I think there needs to be one committee, which obviously have to commit some serious time in the next two weeks.

But the other thing just to clarify, for today, you are not going to go through each one you were just asking us to lift up the one, and then can we discuss? They brought up some stuff, the business of the time to discuss those things and say maybe we will reconsider?

Or are you just asking for people's thoughts on specific ones and then we'll go back to a discussion later? I am not clear what we're doing tonight?

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> Fantastic, we are doing too many things tonight. Last week there was a conversation about how there was a lot of specificity to this, so it was about the process of maybe classing some of these. So for those that brought it up three of four people brought it up last week. The ascot had as you are going to that information, think about it from that aspect.

So you can bring that to the space and have that discussion. But there's also the discussion of ones that may be on the list, that should be lifted up, versus those that maybe should be brought down. We are having the discussion as well. So are you suggesting also though, we should maybe go through the one by one to do that?

>> No, I would just asking a we literally discussing, I thought we were starting, we voted to stop at the top 30, so I don't we got to the conversation of brainy things up that is not in the respect so you asking us to vote to say which ones may not to be funded in year three and also? Which ones can be collapsed you asking us those of those things without going through each one should with that of.

>> That is where we are starting out collapsing and that's were also saying some of these maybe not actually need to be in the so we can pull them down so we know how much space we actually have. We get that part of the conversation is that clear? Yes, we sure can.

You said I immediate? Without him more details from what is described here is something that Medi-Cal would cover, and so if we are in the situation that we are and we are trying to allocate limited over funding that is something that we might not want to consider in terms of perhaps lowering the prioritization of programs that could otherwise be funded with other funding.

>> Hi member, I am reading all of these, forgive me I am getting my hands but with everything is going on, I'm I allowed to advocate to pull up later on for my community that have not been varnished or highlighted in there. I only say that because it is always been the ongoing thing, my community has a very distressed and a lot of other community-based organizations.

There is a lot going on with the native communities as far as dis trust goes. I want to up with that as well, just just a lot of you know not feeling a part of. So I just wanted to know if I am able

www.yourcaptioner.com

to uplift some other things that are on there, that I think I believe that my community submitted some of these concept recommendations thank you.

>> Just for clarity, you are mentioning ones that are not in the 33%? So there will be space made for that, but for today, right now, in this moment what we are doing is talking about the ones that are, to determine how can we consolidate some of them. Which ones can we actually maybe take off the list and move down?

So we are talking about the ones in the Orange for now, but there will be space made for what you are talking about.

- >> Okay.
- >> I am sorry member Lewis she went first.
- >> Sorry I'm going back to number 88. And so I think that I agree but the one thing I want to lift up his, in particular for the things that we may identify that can be hateful, Medi-Cal, including [Indiscernible] which needs to be a consideration, if we decide to shift, and I think our report should clearly are fact that we want to make sure that there is an initiative is created.

You know, these strategies are adopted in the county's planning for how they're going to this particular kind of language. That is the only caveat that I want to bring, should we decide to move into and we just don't drop them and say they can pay for that. They should know it in some shape form or fashion to say we want these strategies funded through this leverage we force. I think that is responsible as opposed to just dropping it off.

- >> Yes Judge Armstead
- >> I don't want to be the problem person a couple of things quickly, I completely agree with member Miranda to put group things together to say broad things for the grants. But I also want to lift up member there may be ways that wish to be incorporated into this maybe some of the same work that people are looking at, but there can be a portion that is focused on the native community.

www.yourcaptioner.com

And I don't want to take it out of turn, but I would like for us to make a little space for her to do it, just because she asked, so that is my request. So I am sorry.

>> We are also keeping in mind we have got by way of the trauma that we had we have two weeks. I am I am for the ad hoc committee, but those who signed up for it know that there is a lot of work to do, if you decide to sign up for do notice a? So we can make sure that the scenes are being looked that up there with a need to, and the committee has to be okay with taking the recommendations from the ad hoc committee.

That is the other part of this, the thing, that I am alone guess a little unready about is that, there is a want for voices to want to do this together at the same time. So I guess I am trying to balance all of that.

- >> It is a lot to about that very reason if you don't do ad hoc that can be incorporated within the body of the work, I think there is a creative way that this can be done, I don't think it needs to be so restrictive, I think there's a lot of things in here that are very prescriptive and there may be multiple ways to solve that problem. So it can be directionally incorrect and there can be a way we can still acknowledge our indigenous brothers and sisters incorporated into the body of work so I just want to have space to do that.
- >> I got you, and I want also to make that space. I just want to try to create a process for us to get there. So it can be lifted up into a larger conversation I think
- >> We are getting there, we are getting into the process that we are voting on by the way in the last minute it is definitely space orange is not it at that is trying to get us through a process because is not too many comedy recommendations are they here?
  - >> 145.
- >> In the orange, as we are following the process, knowing that this is not the end all be all, so we can make space for the other things that are going to be lifted up. But we can we will not get through this. And I say that with the experience. We will not get to this.

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> So, I just want to come back to, so I got you trust me, number ones of the same thing last week. So we want to make sure that. Yes,
- >> I may have missed it with all the e-mails and the documents that came to, but I recall that sure we were able to see the details of some of the project concepts. Which was helpful for me, because for some offer some really helpful dater in terms of goalsetting relative to the resources available. Is that available for us? And if so where can I go?
- >> The e-mail was sent out on Friday last week, that had that document attached to get correct? So we will pull a backup and send it over to.'S of the folks can have availability to it. Coming back to the point. Talking about item 88, right? Let me pull this up so everybody else can see on the screen. So a better tomorrow, open up health clinics, with the availability for individuals to live in if needed and provided 20 for our services. So allow individuals to create a version of themselves.

So to bring it back.

- >> That we consider potentially lowering this item outside of the yellow group for sure.
- >> You know are there 24 hours, yeah we were the way Medi-Cal is structured is that feasible?
- >> The way that I read it it's more of a residential setting versus a clinic that is open 24 hours. So to your point for it to be funded through Medi-Cal, it would have to be a clinic or a residential center. Of some sort. I think seeing the details will be helpful just to figure out how viable this is in terms of Medi-Cal. But I also agree with your point about you know there is value in better understanding, proposals that are here, even if they are potentially Medi-Cal reimbursable.

What new or different services they may offer and how we can incorporate that more broadly into the systems.

>> I like that, Judge?

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> For that reason at this level of expertise, is going to make one ad hoc committee is the way to go. The closest we have to this I think is that UCC.
- >> Put forth an idea and trying to get a feel of a lease for the person who put to it, there is a of thought towards coming off of this list. Right? So it could actually be supported by other resources that we can help to identify even in the language of the report itself. Right? So we can lift these types of things out.

How is everybody feeling is there anybody against that idea?

- >> I need we need more information I don't understand Medi-Cal and I don't know how realistic it is to do what is described here with Medi-Cal dollars. I think there is leverage opportunity with the dollar amount can be looked at. I think there is more opportunities, but public insurance it is difficult to administer. I just say we get more information on that will be my suggestion.
- >> A little lost, as far as it is obvious people have more experience in this, but is he saying that instead of this money funding that, that Medi-Cal would fund it not wanted, but they would get those dollars from there to do with the need to do?
- >> Potentially I am supportive of us getting additional information. My sense is that the terminology used may not have been precise. Versus proposing outside of Medi-Cal, again this is going off the document. I just want to fly this, because out of all of this this one is the most clearly aligned with the systems.
  - >> We want to note that.
- >> Just in case this goes to vote and member Luis is saying, I don't want to move it, because you know what is going to happen with healthcare we don't even know was going to happen I wanted to move it and then get a loss.
- >> I just need more information and I understand Medi-Cal and creating a residential facility for mental health with Medi-Cal it is challenging. Too fully fund it that way. A fully funded residential setting with Medi-Cal dollars alone, is very challenging.

www.yourcaptioner.com

I am just asking we get more information.

- >> Yeah, so if you're asking for more information she also said it might be a think we don't take it off the list we just over the mound. It can be supported for other funds. Right now this is an ask for a million dollars. It could be taken off the list or just adjusting the funds.
- >> I just want to say really quick I am thinking about the accessibility stuff right? And like the access challenges and those kinds of things not necessary that we can do it, but I understand some of the barriers to access and other challenges when it is strictly Medi-Cal because of the restricted nature of Medi-Cal. I want to be clear on think about the people the consumer sight of it when I say this.
- >> Yes, I totally understand and that is a good .1 other contextual detail for the group. There are initiatives like the behavioral health continuum infrastructure program now. Which is state funding that is specifically designed to build up community-based capacity. I do think that if this proposal is an agency that wants to operate for those services. And they are looking for funding to do that, there is a whole host of other agencies probably that would be interested in that, and there is other opportunities. Given the situation that we are and in making allocation decisions, [Indiscernible].
- >> Okay, one more time the other thing to consider, some of these recommendations would take so long to develop, if the money would not go over very quickly. So one of the thoughts possibly could be to figure out what the other priorities and if their allocations are not included, to have a workgroup that looks at strategy about how the redesigns of the list and is it a priority. Also looking at other streams, there is a lot of other funding streams that are going in a lot of places and we don't have a lot of overlap or fungi. So we don't know with a full gabs up which is something that we need to figure out at some point. We could have an overlay which money is coming from other funding streams? Where is the accounting going?

The county around prayers right now there's a bunch of them and is probably going to move around all of the juvenile stuff because of what is happened in the juvenile can try? We know that there is priorities moving there and this other places with your prayers are not moving in.

www.yourcaptioner.com

Maybe that is a way we can overlay and say where are the gaps? Or the presentation on budget, like the tip of the iceberg, where funding priorities are in the county, we don't actually know how much is in an cc or other funding streams. Which we don't have to time to do it, or the capacity, but maybe this could be an ongoing conversation with people working you know there is experts in the room. Several of you run nonprofits, there is department votes that know how some things work behind the scenes. I don't know everybody's speciality.

With those maybe there is a way to think strategically long-term like how do we make this work? The sad part like Veronica I have been here since the beginning it is better he rushed in the beginning. So it is been very hard to put strategy around allocating the dollars. And every time we turn around there is a new rule or something else. So maybe there can be a two-part plan, like one is around strategy, and the money move Staley right? So the board changes every other Tuesday is where priorities are, so we need to be able to follow some of that and figure out how that aligns. The other plan is what we do for right now?

We know that they need to give the report if they want to be in final changes, so maybe it is a two-part plan, these of the things that we know it we want to do right now, these are things in priorities that we need more information on and there is new things coming on next year, and they are not aligned, so we are not aligning things with the funding stoves and other opportunities or a broader strategy. Anyway that's my three and a half cents.

>> Okay my mind is like really you know going. Based on what Judge Armstead mentioned, is there a difference, just looking at timelines, I feel excited about this concept, is a lot of opportunity beneath just maximizing the opportunity. To allow community you know deciding programming. This is so rushed.

Can we make a recommendation for the CEO but in my categories, and then later present out the actual projects? Like can't we come back and say the 88 million, if we have identified like in previous years. We submitted projects, or concepts, with let's say, I don't remember what it was pleasure?

www.yourcaptioner.com

Lets a youth development, so we put more money into youth developments, that has not even gone out in the community. This year, maybe it is a little bit less in the development and more and other areas, that we did not fund last year. But it is still in response to what the communities want.

But that is the plan right? We say look, of the 88 million, 50% is going to you know housing, another% goes to this other area. Because besides consolidating, also another thing is that some of these concepts, just the funding amount, one of them was for like 20,000, what can you do for 20,000? And yet they wanted to serve all superficial districts. There is a lot more work to tease out, that actually can then free up more dollars, to be able to go visit some of those that aren't emerge.

With the timeline that we have, to really do a meaningful job, I just I want to see that there is a different way of making a recommendation to the CEOs office. The last thing I am going to say, and I will put the mic down, if we now know that there is no sunset on this, we need to come up with the procedure that is sustainable.

Because next year, how we going to keep doing the same thing and rushing? Within this little timeline? I really want to think about systems, so thank you.

>> I completely agree with you, I think one of the things that we talked about doing, and I have shared with the discuss with the team, is the need to start capturing the data specifically in understanding and analyzing as a release of the outcomes that the committee wants to achieve, as well as thinking strategically about the equity implications in the overlays. So, I think actually that is something that we can do.

I'm sure we can give it as a recommendation to the CEO because I think we have the capacity or build the capacity to do that. I would offer up, it is not like we can do it like we don't have enough time to do this fiscal year, but more than happy to provide support, and resources that are already helped inform those discussions. Likely and in future years I just want to offer that up as a potential pathway to accomplish that.

I think it is more achievable, now, I mean, we can actually get it done.

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> I think to that point, of what he said, that is something that I feel like we can tackle and take on, for the year after this one. Only because of where we are right now right? So I am listening to a few different things, and I am not opposed to the idea of like, because we could look at the areas of focus, I mean, and give them our point of view based off of what we receive from the community.

In our presentation from last week, you saw the levels of what the community was saying they wanted to see versus what the gaps were from previous years right? So if we want to use that as a guide and say okay, based on this we think we should give this amount of money to this area we could maybe do it that way? I am not opposed to that but I also want to give the appropriate amount of energy that the community as put forth. If we went down that route and said the% of dollars in the first round given us more time to come back and do more work, like I just don't want to. That is hard for me. It is really hard for me.

Because the community members put a lot of time into identifying what they want to see right? And I want to give the proper amount of energy to that part to go, even though it makes it difficult for us on the site. There is obviously some learning from that, but to that and that's the reason why am going to this process. Does that make sense?

#### I think we can

>> I am in complete agreement with you absolutely, the community has spoken, and to honor that, but even in that there is some element swear or even some of the recommendations, you can tell there are great ideas, but the people recommending don't understand the say something cost more for his own juices they are inflated.

Or if it is something that is across the county then we need to pull more money into those areas. Those of the things to tease out, but I think we need more time.

>> To my hope, in this was that we got a chance to do that deep dive to identify those things, so in the idea of like we are going to consolidate these things is this enough money for what we consolidated from what was there okay, cool we can make the adjustment. Like 488 is a perfect example.

www.yourcaptioner.com

We should maybe take the subs because there's other funding sources that can do that. But we lower the amount that they are important and lower amount can support it, and determined like that's what this conversation and spaces for for this year's process. Initially sustainable year over year for governance of this work, but unfortunately it best of where we are now. So I kind of want to you.

>> This is a suggestion, is there anyway the members could divvy up each project to one person, we do some homework, kinda in terms of looking at the background, see if there is any of funding that has been done to other agencies. Each one we will do research on each one and will come back here with each person you know basically says what we find out.

Rather than just going blindly and say this make sense this doesn't make sense, I think each one deserves a little bit of research. I agree there is so much that you guys have already done a lot of work. I agree let's focus on this orange ones, just find out a little bit more, just to see to see rooms and?

>> Respectfully to your question, that is what the deep dive document is for that was sent out on Friday. So we can actually do that work before we got to the space today right? And so, it is being brought to my attention maybe that work is not have the opportunity to be taken. I receive that.

I receive that very clearly, and we may need to go back to it. But for those who did do the homework, are there any more clarifying things that we can do to help narrow this thing down? For instance I will bring one up. Items 29, I'm sorry 129, which is on page two, it is the second concept. Item 131, which is on page three it is the top concept. Item 106, which is on page 12, it is the third concept.

In item 45, right? I believe that these are centered around arts and mental health, and these are items that I think we can consolidate into one group rather than it having four separate spaces. I am also suggesting that, in item 45 is page 16 it is the second concept. I am also suggesting that we make it also provides real districts because they are different ones.

www.yourcaptioner.com

Some of her district through two and some artistic three and five, we make it for all and also consolidate the funds into one number as well.

- >> What is it the amount to you suggested as a reduction?
- >> That's a great question, I was in the process of doing the math.
- >> A reminder of this process last year as far as the areas and some of the funds, like for member Fuentes-Miranda, some programs will propose a lot of these organizations. Our providing these recommendations based on what it is going to cost them to make it to run a program, or when it guesses a plan we actually increase it enough so it could be the source of solicitation. Also as far as the locations for any of these programs that are going to be selected to go to TPA administration there will be open for the entire county. Now, they will use that Jenny and Jesse to prioritize areas in need. So anyone can apply, it is something to keep in mind as you guys go through the process.
- >> Thank you for that Myles. So yeah, it comes out to about \$3.1 million right? And I am suggesting, I am suggesting 2.7. I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. That is wrong, that is wrong. I am suggesting 3 million rather than 3.3, I am suggesting 3 million by itself. For the ones that are just identified 129, 131, 106, and 45.
- >> I completely support I also want to see if there is an added layer where we actually have areas, even though there may be areas of need where there is a high concentration already of arts and mental health programs to look at areas where there is gaps.

I want to say the Department of arts and culture they laid out a plan they laid out all of the organizations in areas where there are funding, so perhaps we can look at those areas, where we need to put some of these programs. So I was just thinking, I support one 100% I support the funding, but also want to make sure that we put these programs where there are gaps in the spirit thank you.

>> I will be leading us to that, is there consideration for the subpopulation for folks returning home? Or is it just our system overall? Does that matters.

#### **CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL**

www.yourcaptioner.com

>>

[ Away from microphone ]

so I don't want to speak for member Steele I think he was consolidating

- >> No, I am asking you about the report that you talked about with the arts department did,
- >> There were no subpopulations
- >> That matters though, that matters when we are talking about this work. So I think that we need to look at it. I will make the know for him. So I saw a lot of nodding heads, is a anybody U.S. concerns about combining that because there are some about horses there is a whole bunch of stuff. But essentially arts in healing and expressive arts, tied specifically for our population including youth, is there anybody that does not like to say they don't agree with that?
- >> I just want to make one more.to remember Garcia's point to keep in mind, if you want to use arts and culture and strategic plan, you could determine that arts and culture should be the administrator of the program versus going to the TPA, which they will treat how that works.
- >> Okay, is there anybody that does not agree with the combination or combining and rewording it expressive arts, healing, items 129, 131, 106, and 135? I think it takes off like between 100-\$300,000 I think that's with the chair said. This is there anybody that does not agree with that?
- >> That was a part of the exercise for the homework that we had. They are all similar, so the solicitation makes more sense. I won't speak for him, I see it nodding heads, I think that was the concept.
- >> Member Castillo, if we generally speaking folks are humble with that. Is there still room to revisit

[ Away from microphone ]

>> You said the funding application

#### www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> Funding allocation I think we are uplifting 3 million for this?
- >> Yeah I think part of it if there is not it sounds like not everybody got to look at it so we won't have this discussion. But if there's not a lot more conversation around losing the arms I think the next step is obviously what do we want to lift up? That is going to tell us Ray? How much we are over that's why she's going to this to see if there's anything we going to not got a redo.

When we get to the conversation when we want to let these things up, if it's 815 million-dollar ticket we have a different conversation. I think that is the reasoning. Generally that's a consensus, but member Castillo says can we come back to funding allocation I think the answer is always yes until the final vote is done, the answers we can come back to everything.

- >> While we are doing this, we also determine as a third-party administrator? Or will it be the county?
- >> I would suggest we do that at the end, otherwise we will not get through it, I suggest we do that at the end.
  - >> Moving on anyone else in the consolidation aspect or some shifting or taking out.
- >> So I have three thoughts, I went through all of the job training and employment programs, and a lot of them are fairly general about job readiness or occupational training. A couple have some nuances around the public sector training or other occupations. I do think that there is a potential to just categorize those focused area as job training and employment has that. I would be open for discussion with the group.

I also there is also to that is not listed as job training and employment that I think could be moved into that bucket. Pour into that CFCI focus area. They want to draw folks attention to it.

Number 21 on page four, it is in the community based health service CFCI focus. It is about putting money into programs for community health workers, and the way I'm reading this is essentially to support the increase of community health workers and programs supporting

www.yourcaptioner.com

committee health workers, and I do think that that has a job training and employment nexus. The other one, I want the group to consider potentially moving is, sorry.

Is number 84, on page 17 it is also under community based health services, and this is really about job training for occupations for substance abuse disorders support and services. So I think it has a nexus there to job training and employment.

- >> Can you explain 84 woman time, your thinking on that pic
- >> 84, substance use disorder outreach and job training. My read of that is that it is okay to it says here, and job training and education and job training assistance. For that specific subsector. So, I would say while it would increase the ability to offer community-based health services, the intervention itself is job training.
- >> So that is my thought on those two, and then the other thought that I had, and you can let me know. Is the idea of consolidating a couple into a group called entrepreneurship and business services, so my thought there is, number 102, on page four, it is listed under job training and employment but it is really entrepreneurship and small business services in my mind is about academies. They are supporting folks looking to get into entrepreneurship and starting their own business.
  - >> 102 on page four?
- >> Page four, 102, it is more of a small business service that entrepreneurship academy than a job training and employment. It could follow into that bucket if folks are open to that idea. Additionally, on page seven, number 104, the CFCI focus access funding for small minority owned businesses. It is an employee ownership program model, which is really interesting. But I also falls into entrepreneurship and small business services, sort of umbrella.

And then, and similarly on page 20 number 28 is an entrepreneur program, I think it is listed under job training and employment but it could go under an umbrella of entrepreneurship. And small business services. And I think that is it for the orange.

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> Access for small business and minority owned business so you are saying, a different category for entrepreneurship?
- >> Yes, I was just thinking these would fall under this umbrella, entrepreneurship and small business services. So at some of them are under the focus area of job training and summer and warm under the focus area of funding for minority owned businesses. But sort of the overall objective of those three is to support entrepreneurship development and small business growth.
  - >> Okay, thank you very much. You want to go?
- >> Yeah, obviously entrepreneurship ones could be combined. The only thing I want to remind direct community investment is not funded that well.

For the last two years, and for me won zero four is specifically, and that it is related, and obviously a lot of this is built under the guise of trying to to address the disparities and inequities.

Number 104, is I think the entrepreneurship ones are related. But that is more so for returning home or they're already in the community or folks at risk.

It could be the same population but for me this is very specifically a direct community investment I think we should focus on putting more money and two, it should not be lumped into if we are going to collapse the other ones. That is just my thought.

- >> Understood, I do think that this one I am open to the idea of pulling that is more specific proposal.
- >> So, my only thing with that is, remember two weeks ago, and we were talking about the concepts, and only one page it just a job training, and then when we got into the PowerPoint, it said something about small business like to me is entrepreneurial. At that point we lumping everything together in that category.

Now we are saying we want to separated again.

>> No, for clarification, she is suggesting that they are they are not necessarily job training. Too not leave it as an open ended question we could create another bucket for entrepreneurship.

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> Those nine categories are part of the budget category, we could do some advocacy with the board be on the process but those nine categories are directly related to the budget policy that's where they come from.
- >> Okay maybe then while other folks are talking I can take a minute to see instead of waking up a category to see what they are tied to, but I do think they come out of job training.
- >> I got you. I would point you to page two in the framework for focus areas. Those are the nine areas.

But just for clarification, what I heard is, 21 and 884 it should be added to job training. That is the first thing that we are identifying. It should be added to that pocket. Job training and employment.

- 21 and 84, and then you were talking about the entrepreneurship, small business piece, 4102, 104, and training correct?
- >> Yes, but I think if the dialogue is member Lewis we might move it up to 104 and leave it at the same one.
- >> I think 104 is access to capital small minority owned businesses. But that is the closest, I think we need to pull it all just looking quickly at the non- areas.
- >> You are suggesting 104 standalone, that could be put together with others. Because 102, and 20 are different than the access to capital.
- >> I was also considering and I would be interesting in the group's thoughts of collapsing the number of job training and employment once under the umbrella of job training and employment.
- >> I got you. Okay, so you are saying let me clarify again. What you just said was there are some that are not that should be added in? Or that we should all?
- >> Well maybe then I am just thinking that like some of the some of the proposals are fairly open ended and they are similar to each other. So yeah, if you look at yeah a lot of them are alike looking to build and do work training for youth and individuals experiencing you know just

www.yourcaptioner.com

involvement. Reentering connecting to tech construction and project management aviation biotech.

There is a lot of that.

>> Is are you saying consolidate them I got you is there any other thoughts for that, because there is a lot of the job I'm sorry, I am using language dirty. The job training and employment items are very specific, so the point I had right now, is putting them together and in a little bit more of a broader bucket, but still have the resources there are you asking to change the resources the amount of money?

- >> Not at this time.
- >> Okay cool. All right any other thoughts for that is there a consensus on this idea?

  Bringing those into a little bit more of a broader bucket. As far as concepts. And the ask is not to change the amount either. 104 standalone, we all have got consensus there, because it is Access to capital. 102 and 20 we are thinking about where those go right now?

Okay, alright and the essence of time, I want to bring back the idea of the ad hoc committee being able to go even further into the. That was that on the table. For the person who brought that up was that. Do you want to make a motion towards that end of bringing an ad hoc committee towards together.

- >> Member Fuentes-Maranda, I would like to make a motion to form an ad hoc committee to vet out the top 33 proposals. And provide a report back to that committee.
- >> That is a motion and a second, seconded by member Garcia you have a question?

  Please? There is a Motion on the floor do second that? All right, second by member Espino. yes any questions?
- >> If it is going to go to a subcommittee should we give it to members that we want to lift up, like you know member Espino who wanted to lift them up but it should be under the subcommittee.

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> I would love to say they should be part of the subcommittee, the motion was only from the top 33% is that what you're saying I see why you asked that okay? Would you like to make an amendment?
- >> So, I mean, I am just wondering if it is a friendly amendment to allow and eight members of this body to lift any additional additional projects up for consideration and by the subcommittee.
- >> For clarification the purpose of this right now is to be able to make sure the numbers are correct make sure that is vetted do you know what, I mean? There is going to be space it for lifting up and caucuses in the conversation right?
- >> So I think what I was trying to get, the same way that some of these recommendations are a little bit confusing or competitive, the reason I was asking for her to be able to trying to lift up, because and looking at health services, it may be the same sort of conceptual thing. The only difference is they wanted to be done in an indigenous community.

So when the ad hoc committees are working on what the process is they are putting these groups together, and if it is like and they are saying and okay thank you. Over trying to see the full name. So is it is around that subject member when the ad hoc committee like these other funding streams we need to make sure we haven't, specifically for this community. So they can be served in their own community with ever the interest is whether it is.

So it is the same concept, that is just wrapped around sort of with the principles not so the ad hoc committee will come back with. But knowing they have to adjust the amounts accordingly right? But instead of making it to processes it is just one, so if there is a committee that comes together, that they are looking at this holistically. That was the thought.

- >> Can I make from the process, from a process perspective, you are just here Motion is for one committee or was it multiple committees?
  - >> I made it for one.
- >> So I would like to do a friendly amendment just to get us moving forward. I think that member Espinoza that is not the only person that wants to bring up the things that are in the arms.

www.yourcaptioner.com

I think the ad hoc committee, I am going to say something and make a friendly amendment I know were running out of time.

The ad hoc is going to be for our next meeting and come for a proposal how to collapse and combine what to move because it dollar amounts they should do that. That should be at the top of the meeting, or maybe you know that should be at the top of the meeting. The next part we start right after that.

With everybody who want to try to put something up, and the ad hoc committee take that back in terms of consolidation and comes back the following week. Because there is multiple people that want to bring things out. So that is my friendly amendment. That the focus while it is not an amendment is a process thing, but I think it is important, because we want to make sure nobody is left out. That is what I am suggesting

We make sure next week we spent time all of us have to commit to doing our homework. And Derek is going to open it and however much time you got to bring up the things you want to bring up that are not an orange. I am suggesting that way we make sure, with the alchemy takes it back and then does the same analysis in terms of collapsing with things like that.

Then we have to make the decision of how much we are over the 80 million. That is my suggestion from process pick

- >> I agree with the process Brown think this should be an amendment, the Met the next meeting there may be other directors that grow at that point that we can then make a motion.
- >> Just to be clear with the amendment, some of us will not be comfortable voting unless we are clear about the process that is going to be undertaking.
- >> Motion on the floor, any other thoughts? All right can we bring to public. For this and general public.
- >> As a reminder the public comment periods one minute. We will call on you in the order the chair was race, please state your name for the full name for the record. And now we will begin general public on to include items any public comments in the room? We will go to online.

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> Wade double? Please unmute yourself. Or star six.
- >> I apologize, I do know is going to be called on, my only comment was that entrepreneur first of all I am a carpenter in the carpenters union and we have a member on the board representing brother and I want to see what he spoke about today is a pleasure to be here too. I think the comment that I had entrepreneurial ship and job training. And my comment was that there are two very separate entities.

And if there was funding being allocated for one or the other, I think it should say separate, because they are two very different things. They come sequentially you get you job training before you become an entrepreneur in my opinion, and that's my only comment. Thank you guys.

- >> Thank you.
- >> Mark May.
- >> Thank you and thank you guys for your time. My name is Mark May I'm from Project blue we service the area of Watts, South Central in Los Angeles California. I want to use the time thank you for allow me too speak, and really encouraging the advisory committee to support concept 113 which is youth developed into sports and play. We all know sports and play a critical to our youth development it can be a strong prevention strategy for you to pick

Up myself and I'm pretty sure a lot on this call can attest first hand that we have seen how strategic support player movement and changes can change the trajectory of you there was social networks and increase social and emotional skills, during this time I asked that you please include 113 in your spending plan.

- >> Thank you, Baba Akili?
- >> Yes I am a resident of the second District. And I am a black lives matters Los Angelas. If the board fully funded the CareFirst budget, it would be in and around \$90 million, and some of the decisions would not have to be made part of what needs to happen is the board needs to fully fund you know as the measure called out, to do to fully fund the CareFirst and community investment.

www.yourcaptioner.com

To fully fund it. As the measure called out and a lot of these decisions you would not have to be making here today.

#### >> Angela Lee?

>> Okay thank you so much I am just here my name is Angela Lee I represent ministries. I want to share my appreciation of the conversation that is happening and the desire to be good stewards of the finances. To do was best for our community. I'm here to advocate for item 107 for out of school programs.

We are located in northwest Pasadena which enable it has been historically disenfranchised. And we are focusing on culturally responsive programming. So my request in my comment would be to have a revisiting of item 107. An in depth, so it would be moved up on the list. Mostly because our black and brown students deserve a standing ovation and that is the desire for hurrah be to create holistic wraparound programs that do just that. And I am thankful for all the time and all of the vet effort for the voices in the room that's it thank you.

>> Hi, I am here just to comment on their potential uplifting item 22. Or just thinking about or considering your focus on expanding access to LGBTQ youth especially with those proposals. You should be aware a high percentage of the youth are incarcerated, and that UCLA Williams Institute indicates that 58,000 or so youth in L.A. USD identify as LGBTQ. I continued to press this because is not a resource for our committee.

I really enjoyed last year when you occluded them as part of your proposal. Just as a population where you condense those or expand upon them just consider that population thank you so much.

#### >> Thank you.

>> Hi everybody thank you for having me I am part of the black women for wellness organization. Which is committed to advancing the health of black women and girls through education and empowerment advocacy.

www.yourcaptioner.com

So with those tenets in my we weights want to urge the committee to commit to pushing the board of supervisors to extend and fully fund CareFirst community investment.

To achieve guide lanes mandated by L.A. County. A CareFirst approach requires that we divest the county Institute from County institutions, most responsible for harming and incarcerating our committee and investing and community based programs that provide the most sterile and effective support, the county budget being proposed currently does not do that therefore I am urging the county to do the duty, and listen to the committee and allocate a minimum of 10% of local funds to the community.

>> Hi, my name is Maya Hendrix and I am a resident of District two, and I am also a member of L.A. coalition. And similar to other people that have uplifted things on the public comment here I want to just appreciate the board is actually you all this body are diving deeper into the budget aspect of this.

Looking for different strategies and streams of funding, and I would like to uplift something that community-based organizations have been saying for a while, which is to advocate for the Board of Supervisors to look into the vacant positions.

And different county departments like the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, who has over \$3.5 billion towards those positions alone. Thank you.

- >> See no answers back to you.
- >> Will take it to the vote.
- >> [Roll Call Vote]
- >> All right chairman we have 20 with the past.
- >> Thank you very much, do we have folks want to sign up for the committee? No problem.
- >> So as I was going to the list I was talking to my alternate as well. Just a question about you know you said everything 33 in above, but we did notice that last year there was only to request related to with the food access this time there are eight. We can count about 2.5 million in

#### www.yourcaptioner.com

total, it is not explicit in the focus area, but it could really be under food assistance housing vouchers supportive services.

Just call out to the committee to really look at the food supply, because due to the cost of the global food supply people are hungry. And I don't even think the two items there uplifted.

- >> Thank you for bringing it to the forefront. We need to add members to the ad hoc committee so we can and should get to work right away. So that we can get that part done. I have member Castillo and Lewis, Miranda.
  - >> What does it consist of?
  - >> This is the committee to your talking what time?
- >> Yes, I do like being in the room without doing my homework. About trying to split it up, I want to be able to dive in and use my time ad hoc was at me what we do?
- >> This is a committee that will come together to actually take a work that you have done and take a look and dive deep and make sure that the numbers are good to go, the recommendations that came from today's meeting, are taken into consideration by consolidating and maybe even some of the elimination all that work is taking place for item 33 above. Via zoom. The time has not been set yet probably a meeting into within the next week.
  - >> How many do we have?
- >> Member Stevens fantastic. Can you say your name a lot so it can be recorded the recording. The alternate for member Soto. Member Lewis, okay.
  - >> What is our quorum?
  - >> 12.
  - >> So no more than 11 we have ten.
  - >> MYLES: Is anyone writing these names down?

www.yourcaptioner.com

>>CHAIRMAN STEELE: I got the names, I will share with you them. Okay, meeting I'm extending the time for the next two meetings. They are special meetings, I am extending it the extra hour it will be three hour meetings okay? Because we need the time to make sure that we get to the bottom of this, because we have a couple of these so I just want to make sure everyone is noted. We gotta make sure the interpreters and everything next meeting will be a three hour meeting. The next meeting is next Thursday. Coming out. All right, sorry thank you. Meeting adjourned.