CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING TRANSCRIPT 3/23/2023

CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL

www.yourcaptioner.com

4:00PM

>>: Good afternoon. Thank you for joining us. This is a special meeting. Let us hear the

disclaimers, please. This meeting is being recording. You consent to being recorded by remaining.

These conversations are not visible to people on the telephone or unable to participate.

There will be no response or forwarding of public comments to the advisory committee members. If

members of the public would like to provide public comment, please do so during that item or

during general public comment. For captions, cart services are available.

Click on the stream text link provided in the chat. When accessing Zoom, scroll to reactions.

You will see raise hand feature. You will see a drop down menu.

If you are experiencing difficulties, phone information will be provided in the chat. Please

select Spanish if you would like Spanish. Written comments are to be submitted. To be reviewed

prior, it should be submitted before 5:00 PM the day before the meeting.

They will be shared prior to the committee and reflected in minutes. Comments after

5:00 PM will be made part to have public record. Members may not have a chance to review them

prior to acting on an item.

This ends the reading of the meeting disclosures.

MEMBER ARMSTEAD: Present.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Present.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Present.

MEMBER CONTRERAS: Present.

MEMBER CRUNK: Present.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Present.

CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING TRANSCRIPT 3/23/2023

CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL

www.yourcaptioner.com

MEMBER EARLEY: Present.

MEMBER FERRER: Present.

MEMBER LEWIS: Present.

MEMBER LOBIANCO: Alternate present.

MEMBER SOTO: Alternate.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Present.

MEMBER STEVENS: Present.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Present.

MEMBER WONG: Present.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We want to start this meeting by recognizing those whose land we can currently reside. The original caretakers that live and thrive among us. The [FOREIGN LANGUAGE]. We appreciate and thank you for the stewardship and chance to move forward from here.

Can we please move forward with the community agreements?

- >>: Be respectful of the diverse voices. Remain open minded.
- >>: Be mindful of power dynamics.
- >>: Be collaborative.
- >>: Assume best intentions.
- >>: Challenge the idea, not the person.
- >>: Remember why we are here. To center the communities most impacted by the carceral system.
 - >>: Defer to community.
 - >>: Transparency and follow through.

CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING TRANSCRIPT

3/23/2023

CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL www.yourcaptioner.com

>>: Be an active participant.

>>: Let equity lead the way.

>>: Make spaces for youth voices.

>>: As much as possible, allow community members to finish their sentences and thoughts.

>>: Review community agreements before every meeting.

>>: Begin CFCI meetings with the land acknowledgment statement. Thank you, everyone.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Last week, we started to look at the minutes. They were not available.

We have two sets of minutes that we are taking a look at. Do we have a motion?

MEMBER LEWIS: I move to approve the minute.

>>: I second.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: The motion has been moved and seconded. Anything else on this issue? Hearing none, let us move forward to public comment. How much time for public comment? One minute.

>>: This commences the public comment period. One minute per person. I will lower your hand once you have completed your public comment. Please dial star nine to raise your hand. We will say your name or the last digits. Star six to unmute. When accessing Zoom, scroll to reactions.

You will see the raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom through the smart phone, scroll to more. We will now start public comment for meeting minutes. Any comments in the room? No comments. Going to online. This ends the public comment period for the meeting minutes.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Let us move forward with the vote. We have member Miranda. Let us move forward with the vote.

>>: This is the approval votes for three both sets.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Abstain.

CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING TRANSCRIPT 3/23/2023

CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL

www.yourcaptioner.com

MEMBER CASTILLO: Aye.

MEMBER CONTRERAS: Aye.

MEMBER CRUNK: Aye.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Aye.

MEMBER EARLEY: Aye.

MEMBER FERRER: Aye.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Aye.

>>: Abstain.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER LEWIS: Aye.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER SOTO: Aye.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Aye.

STEVE ABRAMS: Aye.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.

>>: Aye.

>>: Passes with 17. Back to you.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We have a lot to cover today. I am looking forward to this. I had a chance to absorb. I went back to absorb the feedback last week. I heard a few things. We have new faces here. People who jump in and got with us. Didn't get a deep dive on what they jumped into. The understanding of what we have supported versus what we want to do now is not necessarily clear.

www.yourcaptioner.com

The information last week from SLS, some was too deep. It was hard to tell the story. I got a chance to work with SLS. We got a chance to deep dive into the data. We will get into that. To capture all the things that had levels, I want to share my screen for a presentation. We will do a

little bit of history for some new faces.

They can get that piece. Maybe ask a few questions before we jump into this year. And then jump into the spread sheet. I know you took a look at it. I need the ability to share my screen. I am in two different ways. I turned off the one on my phone. Can you give me access? I want to start with some history.

This information you can find on the county's website. If you Google it. LA county. This information is available to you. In November of 2020, measure J was passed. Member Castillo mentioned it last week. Funding to be ten percent of the local generated unrestricted funding.

It is itemizing what direct community investment looks like. The county was given three years to build up to the ten percent target. I want to make sure we are all on the same page about the types of investments we are making in our community. Small business development for minority businesses. Rental assistant and housing. Capital funding. Pretrial. Non-diversion and reentry programs.

In that same time period, the measure J committee was created. Past November. Not too soon after the work of looking to provide the funding allocations and recommendations, that work started to begin. The county was going through some shifts. They developed their equity limits. Having equity goals.

This work around measure J started to shift the thinking and the execution of how the county does business. It is important to know. The flow was to look at these funding areas. County departments were providing their own strategies or proposals and we were developing ours.

www.yourcaptioner.com

There were different committees and subcommittees that were helping to filter through what proposals could be added to this. Under the leadership of Member Lewis. I remember being in those meetings. It was not easy. A lot of people had their hearts and minds in this process. Wanted it to go right the first time.

With the hundreds of millions of dollars that came in, to be able to get to 187 million, the end, the CEO agreeing with those, it was a good year for year one. 30 percent. Education access and youth development was 13.4 million. Seven percent of the budget. Housing was 16 million. Diversion and behavioral health.

When you look at what was recommended versus approved, many of the things that came up ended up in the spending package. When we talk about year one dollars that are still working to hit the ground, this was a mix. 100 million of measure J. \$87.7 of American rescue plan dollars.

These are projects happening right now. They are working to do those things right now. Our job is not only to do the work to help to recommend these dollars, it is also to make sure that the dollars that are going out are being stewarded in the right way.

What types of projects are happening. We haven't had the ability to evaluate that. That is part of the work we will be doing over the next few years.

We get into year one. Year two. 45 million dedicated to housing. 42 million dedicated to permanent housing. 40 million is being administered with the majority passing through community-based organizations. We are asking them these questions. This is the reason why. It is supposed to go through community-based organizations.

It has not been perfect. We have talked to organizations that had some not positive experiences with that. We work to address that every time. How we can rectify and make it easier.

www.yourcaptioner.com

Other resources do come down. Those same organizations can be prepared. That process is changing the way the county is looking to support small community-based organizations.

The conversation around insurance has come up. How do we address being able to meet the standards that the county has at the same time as having community based organizations not at that level. Beforehand, you were with it or not. You qualified or you didn't.

A lot of the work is helping to transform that. 14.5 to fund violence prevention. 11 million for non-carceral diversion. This is work we did. It is now the care first community investment. After year one, there was some feedback. The native American and Indigenous people, black led organizations, faith-based institutions. We saw parts of the community not being included. We need today take a second look. Dollars going to programs. What is the make up of now? If you could bear with me. Health services is here. Mental health. Parks and recreations is here.

Consumer and business affairs office. Economic opportunities office. Development authority. Five representatives. Can you please raise your hands. The regional council of carpenters. Good brother in the building.

Individuals with lived experience with housing insecurity and homelessness. Those of us representing different aspects. A member of the county's native American Indian commission. We have this body. It wasn't left to us to be the voice of the community. We want to make sure we are lifting up voices. That is one of the things I said I would help to bring.

As we shift, the goal is to make sure community voices are heard too. When we design, that was the mandate. The thing we worked together to accomplish. Before I jump into the results, I want to ask for any questions. Do you have any questions?

>>: You mentioned three people who are justice involved? At least.

www.yourcaptioner.com

CHAIRMAN STEELE: It is important to go back to the beginning. To bring along some of the newer voices in this space. We had people last week. This was their first meeting. I want to make sure we are there. How did this work for this process? I want to start with identifying that there were two different ways of collecting the information.

One that happened in the listening sessions. One that happened with the survey. Voting versus ranking. Voting. They saw all the concepts. They had a chance to see them all and respond. They were given four stickers. The order of those concept recommendations was based on which had the most stickers. We did those listening sessions.

The results varied. I want to be clear. They were voting. They put their votes down on which spoke to them. As far as the survey, because of the amount we had. 140 concepts. There was a goal of shortening the length of the survey. When we went to decipher how to add them, it was going to be like two and a half hours. Community members only saw three areas out of the five.

Two they selected. The thinking was the way it works, it will give us a chance to get context from across all five. Giving the members the chance to really do the ranking on the ones they care about.

The percentages on today's spread sheet is based on the concepts being ranking in the person's top three. When they got to their particular area of ranking that was set, you have had over 50 recommendations. It was impossible to put all 50 on one page. They got a set of five to look at.

Which ones spoke to them the most. The question because which ones did you rank in your top three. There was voting versus ranking. We were able to put together. I will show you how we put together all the information. Any questions about this.

www.yourcaptioner.com

>>: I appreciate the work. It feels like the cake is getting baked a little. From the listening sessions, I know they worked hard. I feel like the messenger session should be incorporated.

When you see something that says long beach listening session, it gives the impression that that is what they want. It may be misleading a bit. We had 23 percent. Definitely as a body that is committed to black and Indigenous peoples.

We think about that as we make our allocations. I recommend everyone read them all. I feel like it is leading by SLS.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: This is something that came up. Is it perfect? No. When we started to go deeper, there is something we could of improved. We are trying to provide as much time as possible for when the dollars are allocated. We wanted to get the recommendations on time. We were changing the way they were thinking about community engagement. We wanted to make sure those voices could be lifted up. After they did the rankings, all the ones at the top, they could of put those in a voting order. Here are the ones I picked that were number one. Without having that ahead of time, we can fix that on the back end.

>>: We haven't seen where the two allocations have been made yet. I would rather we get it right. I feel like we made the right decisions. Where is the accountability on those funds? The county is not doing their part to get the money out. I feel like we should take the time to do it right.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I think we are taking the time to make the analysis to make some decisions in a collective way. I don't want to portray this as rash. I understand your point. I don't want to not take away from your point. You are talking about a larger thing. There is a part of me that gives the county some level of grace. They have been in existence since 1805.

They have done business the same way up until now. We are a body helping to transform that. I think that is to have that expectation is tough. That doesn't mean we can't continue to push

www.yourcaptioner.com

the mantle forward and keep telling them what they need to do. I don't know if there is any other advisory body that is able to have that level of influence the way we are.

I want to be able to use this space to be able to continue to push forward. Don't stop pushing. Keep bringing it up so we can keep that in mind. Can you provide that so we can take a look at figure out how to add that to this process?

When you did it, was it voting or ranking?

>>: Ranked.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We had an ad hoc meeting on Tuesday.

>>: All the other trusted messengers weighed in too. Would be great to have that incorporated.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Thank you for the feedback. Anyone else?

>>: A question about the data. I want to make sure I understand that spread sheet here.

There is five concepts listed in this spread sheet. The voting is reflected in the order of those concepts. The most highlight ranked concept (inaudible) my first question.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I will come back to it when we get to it.

>>: Second is related to the percent. What does the percent mean? I am just picking out one to read. Rescued racehorses rescuing all. Third youth strategy. Received 58 percent.

58 percent of what?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Based off of this. Think it is important. I want to make sure it is understood. Jenny, Jessi, the mapping was added to this. Jenny started first. A series of zip codes that came up. How much of the services are being rendered in these areas. These zip codes popped up. They had a high level of need. Services were lower.

www.yourcaptioner.com

It helped to filter out all the zip codes to the fewer ones. They were filtered through the mapping. Look for similarities. These came up in that space as well. It was brought down because it was based off the services rendered.

When you look at this map, you see the green areas. With more time of doing the survey, I think we would of reached more people. It was looking at different parts of the county. It was all throughout LA County.

Big round dots are where we did the listening sessions. When they were thinking about it, they thought, where did we not go that was still in this set? Looking at the needs, Long Beach and Compton were still high. We did a virtual session to be a catch all.

The reason why we had the organizations, they could go in and help get the feedback we are looking for. Focus was to get a wide range of people representing LA county. I think we are headed in the right direction. I know some may have assumed it. Making direct for earned media. How we can get the word out.

Now, getting into the survey. They could pick two funding areas in this question. They picked two. A third was given randomly. It was one question. They selected two of the five.

When you look at these numbers, it is how many times did any of these areas show up in a person's top two areas to reflect on. It doesn't add up to the amount of survey respondents.

Workforce development came up. Justice was second. Housing was third. There were votes happening.

The healing event. It was fantastic. Got my 360. The part was full. A lot of people there. >>: Over 4000. 500 came in on busses.

www.yourcaptioner.com

CHAIRMAN STEELE: That was a listening session. We had 473 respondents in that event. Pomona. Long beach. Lynwood was 397. Looking at this, this is broken down by city because what happened in long beach is not the same as Pomona. You can't just group them together.

Each of the cities are different. In Long Beach, you will see these were the top five voted on concepts. 113. 80. 32. 50. 86. Lynwood was mainly youth community-based health. Justice. Housing. Youth. Community-based health. Business development.

Pomona. Youth and housing. You could see the interest of the people who were in these areas. The thoughts of what the needs are look different depending on the part of the county. Youth and justice came up as the top. When you look at the justice and health, they were the top five that came up. Looking at the top five ranked and voted concepts, there were some similarities.

The top five selected concepts were housing and youth development. In the listening session, youth development and housing as well. The items that crossed over both sides was Item 50 and is 17. Housing for the faith space. Reduce homelessness. 50 and 117. Concepts as top 5s for both areas. That is important to write down and note.

When we are talking about what has popped up, housing is something across the board they didn't want to share. Coming back to the questions asked. The spreadsheets themselves. Workforce development had 992. Justice was 775. Housing was 688. Youth 584.

When we look at all these here, the percentages you see is based on the people who selected this. We looked at the ranking. What was in their top three? If I were to open this up, was it ranked one? Two? We have it all the way out. To get a percentage, they were based on the top three selections. I wanted you to see in some areas, it was clear. Their percentages was above 50 percent. When you look at the rest of them, many are below.

www.yourcaptioner.com

The question of what we want to decide today is the thresholds. I recommend the thresholds for tiering. I want to show you one more thing. I looked at the totals. For workforce development, \$23 million we have that out liar. The one billion dollar project. If you take it to the side, it is \$31 million in recommendations.

Housing has \$11 million. Health has 36 million dollars.

The youth work that is allocated there are so many of these. So as a total of everything included that is in here, if we were able to have the resources to actually fund everything, it is 1,263,000,579444. The out light is the one billiard is 263-57-9444. Okay? Yes please, I want to stop there.

- >> Mr. Chair can you clarify that which number includes the outlier 1 billion?
- >> So the number four if it includes the 1,000,000,001,000,000,000, 263 million 579,000444, so if you look at this number here. This number right here.

No the totals are not on the document.

- >> 1 billion is the outlier.
- >> No, but after 1 billion is really 200 something. Thank you.
- >> Without the billion in storage and \$63 million.
- >> It is under justice project ID,
- >> If you go to justice because I categorize this number 600 just a section. I don't know what page I'm on I have a comment, I have been listening. But I have been doing math, so I really appreciate that you all, as a consideration moving forward. I do appreciate that at least the ranking that we see incorporates both the surveys in the listening sessions. Recognizing [Indiscernible].

www.yourcaptioner.com

So I have looked at the numbers, and I think that obviously ideally with the plus% would be ideal. But I quickly ran the numbers and that's one third, and with the ad liars taken out in the billion dollars taken out and the \$100 million taken out it comes to \$88 million. So, essentially as a starting point, she want to go by category, but I literally sat here copy and pasted all of the categories in one so I could see it.

So I could look at at least a third of the people from the survey and the list of actions that they wanted this, it is 88 million. Again, with the 1 billion taken out so that potentially could be a starting point for our conversation. And then you can make the case for everything that falls below that can they like a strong case. Just possibly a pathway forward.

>> That is really what my recommendation that was going to be part of my recommendation it being a third period because I wanted you to be able to see the majority of these sit in the 40 range. So my thought was a 3rd and above was top-tier right? And because it does catch many of the concepts that are written right?

>> So I'm sorry, you suggesting that we do sort of a, you said 33% is that right? So this is like an equal across the board cut?

>> No, I am saying that I plugged this and this is separated by category so I put everything into one, in totality, how much would it be if we started a conversation considering everything that had a third more support from the survey in the listening sessions. Go through that, and less there is anybody else have strong field why it should not move forward. Secondarily if anybody from the committee this is bridgework from now until next week want to try to link up something that falls below 33%, then that is the second part of the conversation. Again, it takes out the \$1 billion I guess we have to consider. That we have to look at it consider.

And then the one that approves the \$100 million, but 88, million gets us to a good place at least to start having conversations, and let something want to throw something else that since we voted two weeks ago to try to start the conversation with the community said. This is the starting point that takes us below the amount yes that's my thought.

>> It would be a third across each category not just one third?

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> Taking the whole list, anything that his of thirdhand above.
- >> I want to pause for a second go ahead.
- >> No that's fine.
- >> Okay, so there is the thought on the floor about it being the 3rdand above right? So I know I was flying through these numbers right now, but now taking a look at what you have in front of you, and looking at those items, and again, we will be able to resend this to you with all of them there in order. By percentage, so you can see, what would be included and what would be so you can then take a look at the things that would be and I want to raise these things up?

Or even take a look at the things that are maybe not these things right? I want to make this clear. K? Any questions on that any thoughts? Wanted to add to that?

>> I know last year when we did these not trying to had more work for myself. For you. We did have a more extensive document about each of these concepts. Like those little white papers have because for those ones?

They talked about, same shall read those, but for those I want those, they should have access to it. But it was a pain in the you know what to read through all of it. There is even more this year. If you will get a deeper dive, I don't want any money going to Mason for law enforcement or any of that stuff.

That is in those details. I'm not sure of the concepts? But I agree with what is being put on the table, I also think it fell off already if you are reading through them, that probably should not make it in. You know probably zero spirit zero-three. And about five or 60 is already so it naturally should follow but I cannot make those decisions.

>> Mr. Chair I think that is a good starting place as well, member Williams when I looked at the numbers, and seen some of the projects fall off. Great automatically. So I think if we do the 33% wanted to have some caveats. Stuff that we pay attention to as opposed to just

www.yourcaptioner.com

saying we can advocate, but I will say maybe recognizing that there are some populations of the pistol portion and impacted.

You may want to say, 33% but if it has this tag we want to make sure that we still look at it and elevated automatically.

>> I would say this is the start of the conversation but I am not prepared today. I think if the group agrees to that, we should come back and having done the homework and come prepared to say those are things. Everything I want to remind us about is the guiding principles, that we voted on, and we committed to last year, and with fire that is saying we go back and look at that and we look at some of the priority populations, I think as we do our bridgework between now and next week, come back prepared, having looked at the things if we agree 33% of that's on the case of this matter.

It sounds like the general consensus, and we are paired to say after we go through this. I want to be careful top-tier will talk about a third of it.

But the ones that the folks that participated. They said they want to do and having looked at everything that falls below that with consideration for guiding principles. And population. And all, ready to say that I want to do this of. And I think that is realistic, look at it and that something is left of that is my suggestion.

- >> Thank you. Go ahead please.
- >> No, I was just saying that research and those decisions would have to be me next Thursday?
- >> Well we still have got time right? This is the, the commitment that we made is starting off is saying we are going to find out what the community said and start there. So what member Luis is explaining his at the starting place for from the community starting at 33% and above from what they said, that does not have that big of a starting place and then starting next week with the additional information coming out of this meeting, the additional deep dive that they are asking for them to me even to look at all of them and make an assessment, we can

www.yourcaptioner.com

start next week and say hey, outside of the things that may be below 33%, these are the things I want to lift up to the conversation. Cool?

- >> Something that I want to look up is the subcommittee process in year one. [Indiscernible]. Too really build up that I am wondering if there is a level that may not be the subcommittee process, and the conversation in the discussion never happened this. There is to also build is already here, knowing that this is what community members have uplifted, and how do we find that you already laid out for us [Indiscernible].
- >> Can you say refined I want to make sure I understand, but to me? You see for the people submitted given them an opportunity to come back and update it?
- >> That's why we have the discussion here, [Indiscernible] experts folks who are closest to the issue or whatever may be. Hello them to be a little bit more discussion. Last year which is kind of pass the proposals as they were written. So I am just wondering is there for actually discuss the proposal?
- >> That is a really good question, and the hope of being able to do this and have the starting point, it gives us the time to have an announcement. If I remember last year there were a couple of that would collapse, that we put together. In Lincoln. It is like the same thing, so I think the time and opportunity to do that [Indiscernible]. For sure you.
- >> This is just for me for clarity, under the justice category, there are number of concepts that have zero percentage under the top three. I want to make sure that this is not a type of aberration, this is just that no one voted for them, or was it some reason without having had them compared to the others are just curious when I am looking at the categories see 0%.

That is a concern with the great number zero in that corner.

>> Yes, they are here, and for clarity we looked at me show you. Then I see you online I am going to get your question as well. Many times with a range 1-10? We just looked at 1-3. How many times was arranged in the top three that they selected? So you will see

www.yourcaptioner.com

because of that some of these end up with zeros, they may have been ranked in the top ten, it might not actually be zero. It might be a different number.

- >> Could we see that with the information that we have? Or that this there?
- >> In order to if I look at the entire top ten, it puts the number extremely high rate? All of them are close to 90 and about, so we had to find a medium that kind of made sense from the choices right? We went with three to be closer to what is happening in the listening sessions because and listening sessions people only got four stickers. So we wanted to be close to that is to make it is close to apples to apples as possible's but it was really apples or two that's what we looked at the top 3%.
- >> Right my question is not whether they are in the top three, is that was the only category where there were a number listed in the concepts that number them were in the top three. And so I just wanted to make sure, in terms of data collection and reporting it wasn't any type of aberration or something wrong?
 - >> So I am going to come to member and then I will come back out.
- >> That's all right I will ask my question later I appreciate the opportunity. Let's move on, and then I do have my question I will do it at the end of your mind?
 - >> Got it, I will go to the room.
- >> I just want to make sure I understand the parameters that member Lewis is out lighting. I am a little concern for budget 8,780,000,000, that ranks at 38.11 was Ben, I think it was 31 something so 33% I wonder if it could be a little bit higher? And then giving us more flexibility with dollars? I don't know if you did math?
- >> I did all of the math. I did that 40%, I have all the numbers, and they're very much so well below \$100 million. And that's why I say and again this is just a pathway for the group does not have to agree. I am just trying to think of ways to move forward it is a conversation starter I want to caution everybody. It is a composition cider, [Indiscernible] start here. So I think that was Dr. contrary speaking, I took those things out, and we will certainly

www.yourcaptioner.com

have to look at them again. But I did the math, anything below 33% is much lower than \$100 million. I did all of that mat. So I came up to 33%

- >> Was at 40%?
- >> Sure,
- >> About the two outliers we have the ability to come back and take an assessment and figure out the best ways to make sure their inclusive. To your point you're right, I think the billion-dollar one was in the top five, of that area.
 - >> So 40% is 22.6 million.
- >> Yeah 55 not the ones that I took out just to try to get to to a number not including the billion in the \$100 million.
- >> Is not just a couple of comments, follow the justice project in the 0%. I think it looks a little odd, and when I look at the projects there, it is number to me that there is just this project.

The descriptions of the projects the general don't seem to have anything to do with justice. Connie there is cleanup, there is a house, there is an education one, there is a baby formula one, and so I am curious if there was an error made on those particular projects.

Feelings categories and see how there was a ranking problem?

My second comment is just a suggestion, only think it is totally value of the to draw the line of 33 and still have a discussion. Another approach would be to set the bar a little bit higher for example like 40 or 50%. And say that the ones above that number are really the ones that there was a lot of support.

And then to set a second tier for example, just for arguments sake, Glynn 25-50%, and then really focus there on the discussion. Of what are the projects within that second tier, that 25-50% with there is a lot of projects and have discussion about what other differences, what are the strengths, what might make sense to move forward, partly to round out some of the

www.yourcaptioner.com

different concepts from what we've heard and want to fund it. And really the wounds, and this is specifically wanted to escalate them intentionally. I would that Ford has a suggestion, and the fun I would say, the projects vary a lot.

some are very specific and some of more general concept still, and I understand they are within the broader think of a concept. A project is not a product in this form. Some are let's five baby formula and distributed to moms.

And some are talking about let's build workforce education programs for youth. There really a very different type of levels of specificity, and so I wonder also what would be helpful, once we decide to have the conversation, try to identify with them on a level playing field. Like what is the sub concept almost? What is the idea? What is the idea that they are trying to find?

What is the idea that they're proposing? Is it a food program? Is that they use afterschool program? Without getting into the specifics of it, working with horses after school versus other activities after school. Then when ultimately we look forward for funding and it goes out to the community for an RFP. It could be different proposals, including working with racehorses enough to at the school projects.

That could be decisions at that point, I think the call and set to take the argument for arguments sake's afterschool programs. Maybe it's after school programs and people want to fund, versus getting so specific to the level above time resource one so those are my three comments thank you.

>> I have removed some of those concepts, I think of the war on for people with the cold war on drugs in Los Angeles is the number Latin X and indigenous mothers who are going to prison for stealing. It's actually baby formula that was on there. I see that is a direct justice issue, but access to food is important, because it may be the difference between in the system or feeding your child. I would like to open up the lens a little bit.

>> I don't mean to say at all this not a nexus to justice, that is not what I mean, totally 100% agree with the point, I was understanding if there is a data error and understanding

www.yourcaptioner.com

that was ranked as the zero. Mode I was wondering if was a dead issue, but they're totally different and I 100% agree with your point.

- >> Can you come on?
- >> Yes, I am on.
- >> Can you speak to the zero,
- >> Yeah Christian feel free to jump and pick yes so those three were put in the listening sessions and people had an opportunity to look at them in the listening sessions. Mainly because we had all of the different concept recommendations. So people did not choose a particular category. And on this one, when people submitted it, they are not, as you can see particularly under one CF CI focus area. For one funding area.

Because this is obviously a community that deals with alternatives to incarceration, and looking at justice in a more broad perspective, we put it under the justice category. But when it was put into the survey, those were not included in the survey, those three.

Just because they were not.

- >> Does that mean that people never had an opportunity to vote on the progress
- >> They didn't have an opportunity to vote on them in the listening sessions.
- >> Part of the reason why they have a zero is.
- >> Part of it is like while some of these, we did flag of these for the ad hoc committee in which they were kind of outside of the scope there is also one on transportation if you were to read it closely. You will see in another area that used transportation. Those are a little bit outside of the focus funding area pick so we did have a conversation around that. But ultimately those were left off.
- >> Can I make a request that meekly which of the projects that were not available for voting in the survey, because I feel like to draw the line, is it just goes? Here we are going to

www.yourcaptioner.com

draw the line on any number, we are on qualified those things, a lot of which are very good. People don't know.

>> I need to cut you off to that and though, I don't want to just, not just for fun and gives us 815 to come back and say hey let's look them up. I thank you for bringing them, they keep reminding me of this particular area here.

The ones that did not make it to the survey but to get to the listening sessions. So, if we are able to provide the information by being able to process these, mentioning, there be some that may be going together and there may be some that are actually meeting fitting under another? We also would have to identify the amount of resources that might need to go to a particular concept, once we are and know? So I think there is time and space, and you are talking about, but I also want to identify there are two ideas.

No motion has been added to this, and this is an action item but there is the idea of doing 33, drawing the line at 33%. There is also now the idea of like having a couple of tears, maybe higher to be 40, and then between 25 and 40, and ones that are lower than that. Or just kind of following conversation.

- >> How many do we have to do this?
- >> We have three meetings. We have three meetings to this. This ahead.
- >> Trying to follow you guys a very smart people in this room. But the only thing that I heard out of the two is member Lewis is saying these three subjects, and really do your research, for the ones that you want to uplift. And then I'm sorry I can't see this member's name. She is recommending more things to go through in the house there would probably have to research to bring out. So it just seems like a little more time on that one. I remember last time, that's when it started getting lost to CN.

It was just being thrown out. And then we started doubling up work, and yeah, I would like the research would have to be done. So that you uplift, and then you are not re-uplifting. Does that make sense?

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> I hear you. There is no motion yet.
- >> I just. Around some of the projects getting to is a matter on those. I do think this will be the end of last year cycle and the concepts interested in the funding. I appreciate that, [Indiscernible] the details [Indiscernible].
- >> I want to pause for a second been thank you doubling up on it, I think it is an important piece, and think it is time to starting off in the discussion of how do you want to approach like the analysis, and it really does start with taking a look at them all, and determining which ones actually go together?

Or are similar in nature, I don't know that is one of the things that I will be looking for. Try to analyze these pieces aspect is really important.

I want to pause for a second have got some information in the middle of the meeting that has a lot to do this far. So I am going to send it over to judge. When I was out with this.

- >> So a memo went out today to the Board of Supervisors, with the amount is going to be based on new calculations.
 - >> The judge did not write the number.
- >> I did not raise the number said again. The amount is, so it is \$88,304,000. That is the amount.
- >> So, as a \$88,304,000. So 33% our job is done. The letter went to the Board of Supervisors on Friday I'm sorry. And I have already begun the conversation with the board offices to set up meetings. I would love for any or as many as you would like to participate in those meetings. Maybe we can coordinate and create an ad hoc committee. We can continue the ad hoc committee we are decided with that conversation would be. And how we want to approach her?

But yeah, we did some of that. Yes please.

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> On the committee we have representation from you know the board of representatives. So I think that is a great start.

>> So my question would be to judge Sondheim, if she can, but I do want to address her. When the letter went out the these numbers come back before and do we know? And or do we know our letter has been read.

And then I am hearing speaking to the board offices, I am wondering if there can be some also some communication with the CO office about the work and ensuring she has a letter as well.

What can we do to continue to advocate for the \$100 million at least?

>> So budget will be here next week to answer all of the what your questions. So the letter went to the board, I did not have the number got a letter. And the CO's offices have a copy of the letter that you all sent. I imagine the board offices do I have not asked specifically that question.

The other thing I'd say it is an advocacy happens all of the time right? You are always have a game for whatever it is that you want. The that I would say is that for those of us who work in the county budget there are three cycles. Since the first cycle, there is the recommended budget, this final changes and their supplemental. I don't know if you continue to advocate and this is the first run of other funds become available that there will be available later I don't know?

This is the first round of the budget, and I know that there is additional changes in increasing that happened based on one of their priorities are in the county that's all I can say I can't really speak, I do know that there is a lot of priorities and you know, there is turns into him and there was an emergency order. All of these news items a rarity. Two I do not know where people live with that, I don't have any over the budget, but the budget will be here to explain. I think in the letter that shows the breakdown of the funds letter. So

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> Thank you so much for that. And I just want to say, that the concepts, and are complementary to the work of the County of Los Angeles. And, it is taking us this long to establish and/or create a partnership relationship we are the community voices are being heard. To lessen the dollar is really we have to be thoughtful, and I'm hoping that the message will be carried back to the county. That when we do that, about or see what it is that you are saying and doing.

When you are lessening the number. So I am concerned about you know as we move forward the other thing is we are going to look at carding, you may need to look at as we are moving forward, cutting some of the work that is happening around here. Or maybe redesigning out we are doing survey and collecting surveys and who we are hiring, to do that work. Perhaps that is something else that we should be considering. And I am hoping that we will be a part of that conversation.

- >> Can you specify what letter it is because it has details and, what public letter is that? And so we want to go to get the details we can.
 - >> It is a board memo.
- >> With the reasoning behind the number would be if that is in there? It is important memo to the Board of Supervisors fees need to me and you carry.
- >> I guess the second question is the number that are in the of those subject to change, what part of the processes this part that memo came out in on me?
- >> I am looking at the memo, so I have nothing to speak for budget, I am just share with you all may be asking in relation to the memo. I think one of the things they mentioned here, you see sort of any increase or decrease that is a percentage of that, so they are saying when you look at it you will see that the percentage is calculated based upon the availability of this. So that it is I think we use in the arrival at the 80 million. So if you look at the present is it is a direct relationship between a percentage. So the numbers can go up and down. The number increases over time that I will.

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> I will from what I understand I will let budget speak next week, is that this is a prediction of will, so they do the first allegation of whether the funds would be. I know they're offended when the city's budget on things that I don't know how much are the county's taxes they will split all of the ways I cannot so is based on forecasting, minutes. All members for that fiscal year. That is what I understand. But I would say can you show your question so what is it comes back, you can ask him right? I don't want to make speak.

The other thing I would say. And I problems not say this. She was still with me what you want to? Does that make sense, the first she was supposed to be 100 million but they got 87 edition a million, if they got that opportunity, I think everyone wants the same thing, it is a matter of how we get there, and to this point that the things that are on the lives of the things of the county wants to do, and you may see manifest another thing but it may not be called CFC I.

If we know housing is a priority right? There is tons of resources so there is a very soon you will find of the funds. I mean, that is really honestly wish to 8109, we come from other places, we are looking at things in a bag as opposed to looking for what it is possible because you just a thought.

- >> It would be helpful, because what is a play for me all of the language around we are establishing with the number is. Should the board extend this policy so when the budget office comes and said, it would be helpful to understand when you say this is the number and move forward, or are you seeing
- >> Know they are not saying that at all what they're saying is that was adjusted based on what we generated on restricted revenues, it is calculated giving the board's policy. So what I think Mr. Chair if you have 11 see it as well. What they say they were always general fund. He could be higher or lower depending on the fiscal year end the availability of those restricted funds.
- >> They cannot project I'm just reading the letter so don't get mad at me okay. I know you are looking at me right now. But they are saying they cannot, it requires to set aside

www.yourcaptioner.com

to be determined each year in the name of what you process. So while the recommend setaside pointed to the side. Decrease or increase.

They also say here is a quick point. Is that given the recommended set aside that budgets are dynamic. I think certainly opens up an opportunity for this community to move forward in whatever direction he wants to move here but it is reflected in how they are sharing what is happening right now.

>> I am just curious, is a member or community member. If we were, measure J, itself would have been successful in the sense of being funded as it was written, would we be here right now having a conversation about it being less? And I think the reason why I wanted to ask that question publicly, is to get the response is so that for those who are also wondering and trying to grasp all of this. Would have, we would still be having a conversation about less than 900 million.

>> Yes.

>> This is coming from the office, there's a couple things I wanted to do where I filed 542, and this is new information, you want to mention something? Okay cool. I want to go to public comment to get the community voice on this? Want to come back to the space to see if there is a motion to determine will remove from there, and then have a vote on it, over, on the side. One minute per person. This is the general public comment, I am switching to item five on the agenda. We will do general public, and then we will come back to five for him public comment on five, and then I'm sorry no no no.

I am going to general public comment because I want to hear the community input I will just learn. Go back to five, to see if there is a motion if anyone wants to bring up as far as the 33%. Or who want to do tearing, but I want to make sure community opportunity to weigh in. Because this actually happened and item four, but it is not directly connected so we are moving from item for which was not voting we are moving to item six. General public comment. Go ahead won minute.

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> As a reminder of the public comment period is limited to one person. Raising the pleased to see your full name for the record, and that will be in but, for the agenda item five. [Indiscernible]. We will know to online Mr. Ramirez.

>> Good evening everybody, [Indiscernible]. I do want to go what Dr. Galli has mentioned. Just from an analysis point of view. The rank [Indiscernible] before us. There was disparity in the way that the processes being analyzed. And similarly, the disparities that we saw by living out [Indiscernible] recommendations. That is something that is happen previously.

Because it was a building to the process, the first year when I served this actually happened. And our older [Indiscernible] I had to advocate to put it on a table. There was a rental subsidy this year I was fantastic to see it so much participating from the native community. But yet I was surprised [Indiscernible] none of them made it. It really shows how the system can just inform.

>> Byron go ahead.

>> Hi this is Byron with the [Indiscernible] coalition. So the I don't even know where to start this whole process. The 183 million in year one and other additional funding, it is subsequent in year two. It did not get close to the 5%. Of the generator funds. We are looking at 100 million right? And the community to given different information. For this body to truly take a stronger stand beyond the letter.

Really push back on the county for at least 100 million to be included. If not we need to make sure we are diverting funds and that we are not fully abdicated. Or as someone mentioned earlier we do our part of the supplemental Parsis [Indiscernible]. Charade for the CEO who is not elected to change the dollar amount and then override whatever the community ranks or prioritizes or whatever we want to frame it. This is the moment for the whole body thank you.

>> Miss Gabriel baskets?

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> Hi, can you hear me? Hi, everyone, my name is Gabriela Vasquez I am a long resident of District one. As part of the process with message eight now see FCI in the beginning. In this implementation process has been nothing but frustrating for community members. This year we are only getting 88 million towards this. It is not acceptable. You keep allocating less money towards CareFirst community investment policies and programs.

That are actually going to up with the communities, the black community the Latin community everybody who has been impacted by so much policing and harm.

I urge you this board, and the advisory board and Judge Farmstead, to find ways to get this to at least \$100 million if not more. That is what the community is asked of you, and that's what we need you to do for us right now. Thank you very much.

>> Hi my name is Maia Hendrix, and I want to uplift what some of the community members that mentioned here within the past three years, the county has not allocated anywhere near 10% of the locally generated unrestricted funds from that county cost. It is extremely disheartening, I know that there is a lot of work being done in this see FCI advisory body. We need to push harder against the board of supervisors.

There needs to be some type of strategy that we develop to push them to fully funding CFCI. it's unacceptable that will only getting \$80 million this year. The community has tons of ideas for amazing proposals that will help the community to do what the voters asked for in the beginning.

There is no way we can get there with \$88 million thank you.

>> Yes hi my name is Laura I am a resident of District two and I also been involved in the measure today at work in the care for his community investment work since we were advocating to get the ballot measure on the ballot in 2020. I am truly disappointed and disgruntled and frustrated and angered and dismayed to hear that is going to be a reduction in the community cannot be nickeled and that because the county's overinvestment in Khosrow system like the sheriff department and probation. These dollars need to be protected dollars.

www.yourcaptioner.com

We know that phone is 2.1 million voters, over 57% of the L.A. County residents approve the measure. I said over \$900 billion need to go into care not Cajuns. So to see a reduction in the funds is defying the will of the photos and is deplorable and we will not stand for it. We will continue to ban for funding until we are who in the face.

>> Hi just as this, I organize along with many of these people who are making comments today from the point that you know measure J was adopted, and I want to uplift what Loretta has said about defying the role of the voters because people clearly want reinvestment into the community spirit and uplift what Maia said about the CEO the CEO as the power to decide that they are not going to do what the majority of Angelenos voted for, that is absurd. There is no transparency around this, they do not understand why they have the power around to do this, and we will stop. Thank you.

>> Hi my name is Leah I live in District one, and I am just so disappointed I can't even formulate this, because this this initially wondering what was the point of having the surveys that the county put in place if we were not going to get the appropriate amount of money to even fund a minimal amount of those. I think it is so disrespectful to all of the folks that are here. You know, who do this every other week. And I think it is super disrespectful to the voters of Los Angeles County, and the Board of Supervisors has to do better. They have failed us already in so many ways.

The lease that they can do is give us the minimal amount that is supposed to be \$100 million. It is just completely shocking that we don't even have that. So I urge the board of Supervisors to reconsider the amount it is totally inappropriate.

>> Hi everyone this is Brian candidate Deputy Director of California's United for a responsible Belgian. Community culture for health and diversion subcommittee year one. Of measure J, I want to think the advisory body, especially the chair, Veronica, Megan, all of you really, I really see the fights and trying to fund our community.

I push back what we talk about here, I think a member mention this, I think it is actually 10% of county cost. While yes it might be less than last year, if folks were using the

www.yourcaptioner.com

map that everyone understood at the time and not the CEO's revised version of what 10% of the accounting cost is. We would talk about hundreds of millions more dollars for the community.

I heard Judge on state speak I am happy to hear that she is providing leadership here, and ultimately this is going to be in your department and I encourage you to fight for what we need to implement ATI as part of the mission.

>> Yes hello my name is [Indiscernible]. I am part of their reimagined coalition. And I would like to uplift what everyone has said and particularly double down on what Brian has said. This number really is disappointing. As I you know, look at the presentation and the budget and the ideas, and then you know, the will of the people and terms of what needs to be funded. This is just a drop in the bucket and what it is Angelenos deserve. And I really encourage everyone to continue to think back to just that the excitement and terms of when the measure was passed.

This is the nuts and bolts of pushing the implementation. And it is unacceptable. The way that this is being reframed. The ways Laura mentioned Angelenos of being naked and dined out of our tax dollars from the investments that we have so clearly stated.

- >> I am seeing no man surveys back to chair.
- >> I am going to come back to item five and left of the things that we are. Member Lewis, mentioned the idea [Indiscernible]. Start having that is a place for conversation. They mentioned the idea [Indiscernible]. Mentioned the need to make sure we are taking a strong understanding of like when things go together and what things may not?

Having an idea of things that might be included in that above the 33%. That may be can take so having that level of analysis, you know it was a really good conversation. So I wonder has the question for us have a certain place next week. Based on what we decide on today, we will go back to make sure that the spreadsheets are built that way. So that you can have the information that you need and do a deep dive for it to be ready for next week's meeting. I think we can start to do the work of making the selections of what is going to be in

CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECA

www.yourcaptioner.com

this year's allotment of \$100 million. This is sleek and because we are still will be the same direction regardless of what they're talking about.

We are going to continue to go down the pathway of what we need a what we said that we are going to do this year.

- >> I was just going to share that again with the 33% it is 88 million, if we Dr. Galli did the math, so if we 40% that is 22 million as I said. And then everything 39, everything 25-39 is 111 just let you know.
- >> So I would like to make a motion, that we support the idea of from member Lewis, 233% across the board, as a foundation.
 - >> The motion is on the floor.
 - >> So I have a second?
 - >> Do we have a second over here Judge?
- >> I will second, but if I can say on the amendment that we would on the spreadsheet if this passes, that it is clearly indicated which ones we are voting on in the survey and which ones we are none so we can have clear information.
 - >> I accept that.
- >> There is a motion on the floor clearly identifying which items not voted on by the survey?
- >> Chairman I do have a question, I believe S&L has mentioned that wellness, or I think was 3.5 million for the wellness center, however I think that is item nine on the list provided. I want to make sure even if we are cross-referencing with the amended war with the suggestion to cross-reference, that we double check the data before we take any further action. Because I just noticed that it is page 93.5 million but yet on the screen it was showing that it was one of the ten that was not voted on. So that is a discrepancy yes. 3.5 million.

www.yourcaptioner.com

- >> Thank you so much. I want to clear five member Lewis in your motion are you also suggesting the overhaul of the million dollar outlier in the 100 million [Indiscernible].
- >> That is a part of it yes that is a part of it. They are a part of that, so that would be part of the consideration.
- >> [Indiscernible] clarifying question just for members steel and the math for the 33% just because they are at 30 to 32.82, 32.7 & are you rounding up or is it 33.0 and above? Just to understand if you are rounding out because we have the additional digits.
 - >> As a reminder this is just literally to start the conversation. 33.0 and about.
- >> I want to also clarify the numbers that you gave are consistent with the number that we actually just heard [Indiscernible]. So when you say as a starting point, I am not sure I'm understanding that. It seems like would capture the full allocation.
- >> Before the motion was put forward by just want to reiterate, that we are still moving towards \$100 million. I understand what this document is stated. But we made a commitment to community. And we are going to advocate outside of the space towards that and but I work will still be with the community.
- >> Again it is just too I think that the chair asked us regardless of what passes we need to be looking at the things that I was see are not above any of the first so that I mentioned. Whether is Dr. Galli 25% with 33% to make sure that we are prepared to come back next week and say we think we would ask the committee consider these.

But the starting point is which ones are a 3rd and above or whatever the threshold is to move forward. I also just want to say at some point in the extremely this morning is going to have to decide with the resubmit every different discretion if we submit that it is late. Take

- >> If we can get a copy of the spreadsheets tomorrow.
- >> We will e-mail you that tomorrow.

CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING TRANSCRIPT 3/23/2023

CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> Also along the descriptive and if you could also send out a longer version. I don't know with the ecology?

>> We have that right? Okay thank you. Yes?

[Away from microphone]

we were speaking about coming up with these and have the community involved, and myself are saying you know what we come across those tight spots, to the community kind of be involved? I think that was huge. And I think it was unanimous, so this information that we have, that we are looking over for the week? How does the community look over that with us? Or do they just wait until we come back and then they are starting all over again with after listening to us?

- >> Because we are having this conversation are we able to update the link with the updated spreadsheet? Who do we have to we do next week? This is a rules question about the information right? We can make it available right?
 - >> We know what the agenda is convenient get it out earlier with the updated link.
 - >> I will make it available. Thank you judge.
 - >> We need that spreadsheet verified to the points with the other folks bring in.
- >> Done. All right we are at a public, and so we can move to the vote. We already have public comment on this. Him
 - >> Just to clarify chair what we voting on right now?
- >> There was a motion on the floor to the 33% fee the threshold, with the amendment of ensuring that the oh yeah, the items that were the ten items that were set aside that those things be vetted and indicated in the spreadsheet that those of the ten that did not get voted in the survey. So people are looking at them.
- >> So we are going to put it on the website and we will have SLS verify and clarify everything first. It may not be there tomorrow.

www.yourcaptioner.com

As soon as we can get it back. So we have to get it is a process so we will try to get it by Monday, we need to make sure the SLS is an action and dissident was. [Indiscernible].

- >> SLS is already privy to, they already know that next week like we have been having this conversation. SLS you have the ability to put out by Monday right? No?
- >> I'm sorry Mr. Chair just to clarify to the motion 33.0% plus the projects that were identified in the listening sessions?
 - >> That sounds correct
 - >> Okay thank you.
- >> So the 33% is the threshold, so we have all of them listed in when she, right? In order. Identifying ones that are above the threshold and ones also making sure that the ten that were not good on or ranked in the survey, are clearly identified. So the people know what they're looking at is that right? Okay? There was also a few of them somebody mentioned that it was mislabeled? Is that what you're saying?
- >> Yes they were some discrepancies in the data, so that we cross reference to make sure that we received for example the ten projects that were voted on they were still showing on the project recommendations. Specifically project nine to show on the list of the ten.
 - >> Okay, got it.
- >> We want to make sure that we verify everything before we put it out okay? And the question is can we do by Monday?
 - >> It is Christian from SLS, we can do that by Monday.
 - >> Thank you.
- >> Will have a post by Tuesday just so we're clear. Monday is a holiday. Monday is a county holiday, and it will be posted by Tuesday they can get it then.

www.yourcaptioner.com

>> So let me ask a question that we can have this out to the committee by tomorrow? Christian?

- >> I can commit to that we can have it to you like on Monday we can send it to you guys. Because I want to make sure we go through with a fine tooth comb and make sure all of the discrepancies are clear, and it was one 100% of the guys.
- >> This is what we will do a list with all of the Details can go out tomorrow. And again going through and getting familiar with the spreadsheet will come out on Monday, they will send it to me after it is been vetted. I will make sure we send to you all. So that you have access to it. And you can look at the list and that will come back on Thursday.

So we will make sure you have a by Monday already? I personally will make sure you have it. Motion on the floor to go for the vote.

[Roll Call Vote]

- >> [Indiscernible].
- >> Member of LoBiondo's ultimate voted, she is here?
- >> Chairman that would pass with 16 yes.
- >> Okay, thank you that was a lot today. I think we gave a lot of information and now will get to the nitty-gritty we get back next week. We will get you the information so you can be in your study on these issues. The commonalities and look for these types of things, so we can and even thinking about the price ranges these as well.

Will get spreadsheet on Monday, and we can get to work come in next Thursday okay? I apologize going past six apart and thank you so much for sticking around.