

DISCLAIMER: THIS TEXT IS NOT A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT. COMMUNICATION ACCESS REAL

TIME TRANSLATION (CART) IS PROVIDED IN ORDER TO FACILITATE COMMUNICATION CREDIBILITY

AND MAY NOT BE A TOTALLY VERBATIM RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

LA COUNTY JCOD

CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

MARCH 9, 2023

>> CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. HERE WE GO. CHECK CHECK. ONE TWO CHECK.

>> MODERATOR: OKAY. YOU SHOULD BE ALL SET.

>> HEY, RENITA, COULD YOU MAKE THE HOST ONE OF THE CO-HOST SO THEY CAN UNMUTE ON THEIR END?

>> MODERATOR: OKAY.

>> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. MEMBER AYALA, ARE YOU REPRESENTING TODAY?

>> NO. MEMBER CARBAJAL WILL BE IN ATTENDANCE.

>> REBA STEVENS IS ONE OF THE MOST AMAZING PEOPLE EVER. THERE IT IS. YEAH. COME ON. YOU COME UP HERE WITH US, REBA. REBA.

>> MODERATOR: MEMBER WILLIAMS, ARE YOU ABLE TO UNMUTE?

>> YES, I AM. THANK YOU.

>> MODERATOR: OKAY. THANK YOU. MEMBER TSAI, ARE YOU ABLE TO UNMUTE?

>> YES. AND I'M ON MY WAY.

>> MODERATOR: OKAY. SO YOU'RE REPRESENTING TODAY.

>> RIGHT.

>> MODERATOR: OKAY. THANK YOU. MILES, ARE YOU THERE?

>> CHAIR: WE HAVE QUORUM. OH, OKAY.

>> RENITA, DO YOU NEED SOMEBODY FROM ME?

>> MODERATOR: CAN YOU CALL ME? THANK YOU. IF THERE'S ANY MEMBERS ONLINE WHO HAVE NOT BEEN MADE CO-HOST, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND.

>> CHAIR: JOSE MIGUEL, WILL MEMBER SOTO BE JOINING US TODAY, DO YOU KNOW?
THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT. IT LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE QUORUM. YOU CAN LET FOLKS IN. OKAY.

>> MODERATOR: FINAL ANNOUNCEMENT, IF THERE'S ANY MEMBERS ONLINE WHO
HAVE NOT BEEN MADE CO-HOST, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND.

>> CHAIR: CAN YOU HEAR ME? THEY CAN'T HEAR ME IN THE BACK? CAN YOU HEAR
ME NOW IN THE BACK? GREAT. FANTASTIC. RENITA, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> MODERATOR: I CAN HEAR YOU.

>> CHAIR: FANTASTIC. CAN YOU MAKE JOSE MIGUEL A CO-HOST. I THINK HE'S HERE
IN MEMBER SOTO'S STEAD. SHE'S ON HER WAY THOUGH. SHE JUST TEXTED ME.
THANK YOU.

>> MODERATOR: OKAY.

>> CHAIR: WE'LL BRING THE MEETING TO ORDER. CAN WE PLEASE DO THE
DISCLOSURES.

>> MODERATOR: HOLD ON, LET ME PRESS THE RECORDING PLEASE, ONE SECOND.
THERE WE GO.

>> CHAIR: THANK YOU. CAN WE DO THE DISCLOSURES? THERE WE GO.

>> DISCLOSURES. RECORDING DISCLOSURE. THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED
FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE MEETING MINUTES. BY REMAINING IN THIS MEETING, YOU
CONSENT TO BEING RECORDED.

THIS IS A PUBLIC MEETING AND SUBJECT TO THE BROWN ACT. SINCE
CONVERSATIONS AND STATEMENTS ON THE CHAT ARE NOT VISIBLE TO PEOPLE ON
THE TELEPHONE WHO ARE UNABLE TO PARTICIPATE, THE CHAT FUNCTION IS LIMITED
TO TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE. THERE WILL BE NO FORWARDING OF RESPONSE TO THE
COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO
PROVIDE COMMENT PLEASE DO SO DURING PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD WITH THAT
SPECIFIC AGENDA ITEM OR DURING THE GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.
CLOSED CAPTION SERVICE. FOR CLOSED CAPTION ASSISTANCE, CART SERVICES
ARE AVAILABLE. YOU MAY ACCESS THESE SERVICES BY CLICKING ON THE

STREAMTEXT LINK THAT WILL BE PROVIDED IN THE CHAT. RAISED HAND INSTRUCTIONS.

WHEN ASSESSING ZOOM THROUGH A COMPUTER BROWSER OR SMARTPHONE ZOOM APP, SCROLL TO THE BOTTOM.

WHEN ACCESSING ZOOM, YOU WILL SEE THE DROP DOWN MENU WITH A RAISED HAND FEATURE.

TELEPHONE PARTICIPATION.

FOR ANYONE EXPERIENCING ONLINE TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES, TELEPHONE DIALING INFORMATION WILL ALSO BE PROVIDED IN THE CHAT. DURING PUBLIC COMMENT, TELEPHONE PARTICIPANTS MAY PRESS STAR NINE TO RAISE YOUR HAND AND PRESS STAR SIX TO UNMUTE. SPANISH CHANNEL DISCLOSURE.

FOR SPANISH INTERPRETATION PLEASE CLICK ON THE GLOBE ICON AND SELECT SPANISH.

PUBLIC COMMENT STATEMENT, WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENTS ARE TO BE SUBMITTED TO JCOD@LACOUNTY.GOV. IT SHOULD BE SUBMITTED BY 5:00 P.M. BY THE DAY BEFORE THE MEETING.

THESE PUBLIC COMMENTS WILL BE SHARED WITH ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRIOR TO THE MEETING AND WILL REFLECT ON THE MEETING MINUTES. WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED AFTER 5:00 P.M. THE END OF THE DAY, THE MEETING WILL BE MADE PUBLIC RECORD. ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS MAY NOT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO READ THESE COMMENTS PRIOR TO ACTING ON AGENDA ITEMS. THIS ENDS THE READING OF MEETING DISCLOSURES. BACK TO YOU CHAIRMAN STEELE.

>> CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. CAN WE PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

CLERK: MEMBER CARBAJAL.

>> PRESENT.

CLERK: MEMBER CASTILLO OR THE ALTERNATE.

MEMBER CONTRERAS.

MEMBER CRUNK.

MAYBE CYRUS-FRANKLIN.

MEMBER FERRER OR ALTERNATE.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA OR ALTERNATE.

MEMBER GARCIA.

MEMBER GARCIA OR ALTERNATE.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN.

MEMBER HOVESPIAN.

MEMBER LEWIS.

MEMBER LEWIS OR ALTERNATE?

MEMBER LOBIANCO.

>> PRESENT.

CLERK: MEMBER NISHIYAMA.

MEMBER NISHIYAMA OR ALTERNATE?

MEMBER O'BRIEN.

MEMBER O'BRIEN OR ALTERNATE?

MEMBER SCHOONOVER.

>> HERE.

CLERK: MEMBER SCORZA.

CLERK: MEMBER RUIZ.

>> PRESENT MEMBER MIGUEL RUIZ.SHE'LL BE JOINING.

CLERK: MEMBER STEVENS.

>> PRESENT.

CLERK: MEMBER VERRETT OR ALTERNATE.

MEMBER WILLIAMS.

>> PRESENT.- IT'S JUST A LITTLE HARD TO HEAR EVERYONE IN THE ROOM.

CLERK: MEMBER WONG.

>> ALTERNATE PRESENT.

CLERK: OKAY. WE HAVE QUORUM.

>> CHAIR: FANTASTIC. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MEMBER WILLIAMS, IT LOOKS LIKE MEMBER CASTILLO JUST WALKED IN. SHE'S HERE. MEMBER MIRANDA-FUENTES JUST WALKED IN THE ROOM. SHE'S HERE AS WELL. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE -- OH, WE HAVE ONE MORE HERE.

MEMBER WILLIAMS, THE LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT YOU DID LAST WEEK IT WAS MOVING TO ME. WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BRING IT FORTH AGAIN TODAY OR I CAN DEFINITELY TAKE IT.

>> IF YA'LL COULD TAKE IT, IT'D BE COOL.

>> CHAIR: GOT IT. INDEED. WE WANT TO START THIS MEETING BY RECOGNIZING THOSE WHOSE LANDS WE CURRENTLY RESIDE, THE TRADITIONAL AND ORIGINAL CARETAKERS THAT STILL LIVE AND THRIVE AMONG US. THE GABRILINO TUNGUA, THE VIRTURINO SHUMASH PEOPLE. THANK YOU FOR THE ENERGY AND THE OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO CARRY FORWARD. CAN WE PLEASE BRING FORTH THE COMMUNITY AGREEMENTS? WE CAN PULL THEM UP ON THE SCREEN. NICE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IS IT POSSIBLE TO MAKE THEM LARGER? THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IN THE ESSENCE OF HOW WE'VE DONE THIS SO FAR THIS YEAR, YOU ALL, THOSE WHO ACTUALLY HAVE IT AND CAN VIEW IT ON THE SCREEN, YOU WANT TO READ IT TOGETHER. I'LL START. BE RESPECTFUL OF THE DIVERSE VOICES BEING REPRESENTED AND REMAIN OPEN-MINDED.

>> BE MINDFUL OF POWER DYNAMICS IN THIS SPACE, AS WELL AS OF THE HISTORICAL -- BE MINDFUL OF POWER DYNAMICS IN THIS SPACE AS WELL AS THE HISTORICAL DISENFRANCHISEMENT OF BLACK AND INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES. ACCORDINGLY, PRIORITIZE AND DEFER TO THE COMMUNITY THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS.

>> CHAIR: THANK YOU.

>> BE MINDFUL OF THE DIVERSE AUDIENCE YOU'RE REPRESENTING TO AND MAKE

SURE YOU SPEAK WITH CLARITY.

>> BE COLLABORATIVE.

>> ASSUME BEST INTENTIONS.

>> CHALLENGE THE IDEA, NOT THE PERSON.

>> REMEMBER WHY WE'RE HERE -- TO CENTER THE BLACK, BROWN, AND INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES AND OTHER COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE BEEN MOST IMPACTED BY THE CARSON RAL SYSTEM, LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES, TRANS AND GENDER NONCONFORMING FOLKS, ETC.

>> DEFER TO COMMUNITY.

>> TRANSPARENCY AND FOLLOW-THROUGH.

>> BE INTENTIONAL ABOUT HEARING AND ALLOWING SPACE FOR ADDITIONAL VOICES TO BE UPLIFTED.

>> BE AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT AND TRY TO BE SUCCINCT IN YOUR THOUGHTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS.

>> LET EQUITY LEAD THE WAY.

>> MAKE SPACES FOR YOUTH VOICES.

>> AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE, ALLOW COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO FINISH THEIR SENTENCE/THOUGHT DURING PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> CHAIR: REVIEW COMMUNITY AGREEMENTS BEFORE EVERY MEETING AND AMEND THEM AS NEEDED. AND BEGIN CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEES WITH THE LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT RECOGNIZING AND RESPECTING THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES OF THE LAND. WE NOW CALL LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

AND, WE HAVE THE HYBRID SPACE, RIGHT.

SO FOR US WHO ARE ACTUALLY IN THE ROOM, IF YOU CAN TRY TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE SPEAKING IN TO THE MIC SO THE FOLKS ONLINE CAN ACTUALLY HEAR WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE ROOM. BEFORE WE GET STARTED WITH THE AGENDA TODAY, I WANT TO LEAVE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR MS. HOVESPIAN TO BE ABLE TO INTRODUCE HERSELF. SHE IS A REPRESENTATIVE FOR DISTRICT 5?

>> YES. SO MY NAME IS FRIEDA HOVESPIAN. I USED TO BE COMMISSIONER FOR

SMALL BUSINESSES AND THIS IS MY SECOND DAY HERE.

>> CHAIR: WELCOME TO THE SPACE.

>> THANK YOU FOR --

>> CHAIR: YEAH. ABSOLUTELY. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY KNOWS AND I APOLOGIZE YOU MISSED IT LAST WEEK. OKAY. WE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE AGENDA.

CAN WE PLEASE REVIEW -- WE'RE GOING TO MOVE TO ITEM THREE OF TAKING REVIEW OF THE MINUTES AND TAKING THE APPROPRIATE ACTION. ANYONE GET A CHANCE TO TAKE A LOOK AT THEM?

>> I'LL MAKE THE MOTION, MR. CHAIR, THAT WE APPROVE THE MINUTES.

>> CHAIR: WE'VE GOT A MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO APPROVE THE MINUTES.

>> I'LL SECOND.

>> CHAIR: MOVED AND SECONDED.

IS THERE ANY UNREADINESS ON THE MOVING AROUND THE MINUTES, AROUND THE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES? HEARING NONE. CAN WE PLEASE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> PUBLIC COMMENT DISCLOSURE. THIS COMMENCES THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON THE AGENDA.

HOW MUCH TIME WE GIVING THEM?

>> CHAIR: ONE MINUTE.

>> ONE MINUTE.

THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD WILL BE ONE MINUTE PER PERSON. WE'LL CALL IN ORDER OF YOUR HAND WAS RAISED. WHEN YOU'RE CALLED UPON, PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE MINUTES BEFORE YOU BEGIN YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. FOR THOSE ON THE TELEPHONE, PLEASE DIAL STAR NINE TO RAISE YOUR HAND. WE'LL SAY YOUR NAME AND THE LAST DIGITS OF YOUR PHONE NUMBER ON YOUR TURN. DIAL NUMBER SIX TO UNMUTE YOURSELF. WHEN ASSESSING ZOOM THROUGH A COMPUTER, BROWSER OR ZOOM APP, SCROLL TO THE BOTTOM AND YOU WILL SEE

THE RAISE YOUR HAND FEATURE. WHEN IT SAYS ZOOM THROUGH THE SMARTPHONE BROWSER, YOU WILL SEE A DROP DOWN MEN SO YOU CAN RAISE YOUR HAND. FOR THOSE HERE IN PERSON, YOU GUYS CAN RAISE YOUR HAND AND WE'LL GIVE YOU OPPORTUNITY TO TALK AS WELL. WE'LL NOW BEGIN PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MINUTES IF ANYBODY HAS THEIR HANDS UP.

>> CHAIR: SEEING NO HANDS ARE RAISED, THIS INCLUDES THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.

BACK TO YOU CHAIRMAN.

>> CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THIS HAS BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED ON THE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES. CAN WE PLEASE TAKE A VOTE. I JUST WANT TO KNOW, MEMBER LEWIS IS WALKING THROUGH THE DOOR.

>> THANK YOU.

CLERK: THIS IS A VOTE TO APPROVE THE MEETING MINUTES FROM 3/2/23.

MEMBER CARBAJAL.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER CASTILLO.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER CONTRERAS.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER EARLY.

>> AYE.

CLERK: ALTERNATE TSAI.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER HOVESPIAN.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER LEWIS.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER LOBIANCO.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER SCHOONOVER.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER SCORZA.

>> ABSTAINED.

CLERK: MEMBER SOTO.

>> AYE.

CLERK: CHAIR STEELE.

>> CHAIR: AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER STEVENS.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MEMBER WILLIAMS.

>> AYE.

CLERK: ALTERNATE KIBBY.

>> AYE.

CLERK: MOTION PASSES.

>> CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE GOING TO GET INTO IT.

BEFORE WE GET INTO THE NEXT ITEM, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU ALL AGAIN FOR THE VERY THOUGHTFUL CONVERSATION WE HAD LAST WEEK ABOUT THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA ABOUT BEING ABLE TO WRITE A LETTER TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN SOLIDARITY.

I WANT TO START THE CONVERSATION ALSO BY SAYING THANK YOU TO THOSE WHO

TOOK THE TIME OFF TO BE A PART OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE TO KIND OF BRING THIS TOGETHER.

MEMBER CASTILLO, MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA, MEMBER LEWIS, MEMBER WILLIAMS, MEMBER SOTO AS WELL. YOU KNOW, FOR IMMEDIATELY FINDING TIME ON YOUR CALENDARS ON A MONDAY FOR US TO GET TOGETHER AND THEN ALSO REVIEWING AND ADDING YOUR EDITS. YOU KNOW, IT WAS A QUICK TURN-AROUND TO GET IT BACK IN TIME FOR US TO GET IT ON THE AGENDA TODAY, BUT I THINK WE WERE ABLE TO COME UP WITH A STRONG DRAFT. FOR THOSE OF US IN THE SPACE, WE HAVE A COPY THAT'S IN THE PACKET FOR YOUR AGENDAS, IT'S THE SECOND PAGE. I AM GOING TO BRING THE DRAFT ALSO UP ON THE SCREEN. I ALSO WANT TO BEGIN IN THE -- BASED ON THE CONVERSATION WE HAD LAST WEEK AND TRYING TO BE THOUGHTFUL FOR OUR PARTNERS WHO REPRESENT THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS, I WANT TO MAKE THE -- WE DECIDED TO WRITE THIS LETTER FROM ME AS THE CHAIR ON BEHALF OF THE COMMITTEE SO THAT YOU ALL DON'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHO CAN SIGN ON AND WHO CAN'T. IT'S A LETTER FROM THE CHAIR THAT WAY JUST SO WE CAN FOCUS ON THE CONTENTS -- THE CONTEXT OF THE LETTER RATHER THAN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT SIGNING AND ALL THAT TYPE OF THING.

BUT, YEAH, SO LET'S JUMP INTO THE DRAFT. I'LL SHARE MY SCREEN FOR THOSE WHO ARE THERE.

IS IT OKAY FOR ME TO USE THE GOOGLE DRAFT VERSION? RIGHT?

I KNOW WE HAVE -- IT HAS THE SAME COMMENTS AS THE PHYSICAL VERSION THAT WE HAVE HERE THAT'S PART OF THE AGENDA. IT'S THE SAME? OKAY.

IT'S THE SAME THING.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE. SO, LET'S TAKE A LOOK THROUGH IT. I GUESS STRUCTURALLY SPEAKING WE START OFF WITH A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND AND THEN GETTING DIRECTLY INTO WHAT HAPPENED IN THE LAST MEETING ON MARCH 2ND ABOUT WHAT WE LEARNED AND IN THE ASK IS THERE IN THE SECOND PARAGRAPH WHERE WE'RE ASKING FOR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ALLOCATING

NO LESS THAN \$100 MILLION AND EXTENDING THE TRANSFORMATIVE WORK BEYOND THESE LIMITATIONS 2026.

IN THE NEXT FEW PARAGRAPHS, WE GET INTO THE WORK THAT'S TAKING PLACE THUS FAR IN OUR ENGAGEMENT EFFORTS STARTING WITH THE FACT THAT WE CAME INTO YEAR THREE WITH AN UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WOULD BE NO LESS THAN \$100 MILLION BASED ON THE PROJECTED ALLOCATIONS FROM THE BUDGET OFFICE. DURING THAT TIME WHEN WE GET TO THE NEXT PARAGRAPH, IT TALKS ABOUT THE E-MAILS THAT WERE SENT AND THE TECHNICAL WORK TO GET THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND IT RESULTED IN GETTING 145 CONCEPTS BECAUSE THE WHOLE SPIRIT IS IDENTIFYING THE NEEDS THAT EXIST IN THE COMMUNITY. RIGHT.

AND SO THE NEXT FEW PARAGRAPHS TALK ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED THUS FAR AND HOW IT'S BEEN ALLOCATED REITERATED THE POINT OF MAKING SURE CFCI CONTINUES TO DO ITS WORK BY REITERATING THE ASK ONE MORE TIME WITH SOME FRAMING, OF COURSE, OF WHY CFCI IS HERE AND WHY IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAVE THE \$100 MILLION.

AND SO IT'S LIKE A GENERAL OVERVIEW, BUT I'LL TAKE THE TIME, YOU KNOW, TO HEAR FROM YOU ALL OF DIFFERENT VIEWPOINTS.

NOW, I SHOULD ALSO MENTION THERE ARE COMMENTS THAT ARE ALREADY HERE. I LEFT THE COMMENTS BECAUSE I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT SOME OF THE THINKING OF HOW WE GOT TO THIS DRAFT AND HOW YOU ALL WERE THINKING THROUGH THE DIFFERENT ITEMS.

AGAIN, I WANT TO LIFT UP MEMBER CASTILLO BECAUSE SHE GAVE US THE FIRST SET OF LANGUAGE AND THEN EVERYBODY JUMPED ALL THE WAY IN TO GET US INTO THIS DRAFT.

SO HEARING ANY FEEDBACK FROM THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, I'D LOVE TO HEAR YOUR INPUT ON THE DRAFT.

>> IT'S SO GOOD TO SEE SO MANY OF YOU IN PERSON. I'M SORRY I HAVE TO SAY THAT.

THE ONLY THING I HAVE TO SAY, THE CLOSING PARAGRAPH, I DON'T THINK IT STATES THE ACTUAL ASK CLEARLY. SO WE NEED TO SAY IT'S AT LEAST \$100 MILLION BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT IT'S BEEN BASED ON AND I'M DRAWING A BLANK ABOUT THE SECOND ASK, BUT I DON'T THINK IT CLEARLY STATES IT.

THAT'S THE ONLY THING I THINK YOU SHOULD ACTUALLY ARTICULATE AGAIN.

>> CHAIR: GOTCHA. I REMEMBER ONE OF THE COMMENTS BEING LIKE MAYBE BULLET THEM. OKAY.

SO IT'S SORT OF SOMETHING LIKE. THIS BE IN MY WAY. ONE SECOND EVERYBODY. MEMBER SCORZA.

>> THANK YOU, CHAIR STEELE. I AGREE. IT'S GOOD TO SEE EVERYBODY. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY IN PAGE ONE OF THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. C.O. DOES NOT ALLOCATE. C.O. ONLY RECOMMENDS AND SO I THINK MAKING THAT REALLY CLEAR IF YOU'RE ASKING THE BOARD TO ALLOCATE, I DON'T THINK THAT LINE IS TO FUND IT'S REALLY UP TO THE BOARD TO DO THAT. I WOULD ENCOURAGE US TO RETHINK THAT LINE AND MAYBE ASK THAT THE BOARD ALLOCATE THAT FUNDING AND SO IF THAT'S WHAT THE ASK IS THEN IT SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE APPROPRIATE BODY.

>> CHAIR: GOTCHA, TO THAT POINT, WOULD IT MAKE MORE SENSE TO SAFEGUARD THE CFIC.

>> THAT'S THE SIGNING BODY.

>> CHAIR: THAT'S THE SIGNING BODY.

GOTCHA.

>> I WANT TO THANK MEMBER CASTILLO AND EVERYONE WHO WORKED ON THE LETTER. IT'S A GOOD LETTER. I APPRECIATE THE SUGGESTIONS MADE. THERE'S A COMMENT ON THE SECOND PAGE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE NEED TO LIST THE MEMBER ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE INVOLVED AS COMMUNITY MESSENGERS. PERSONALLY, I DON'T THINK THAT THAT DETAIL NEEDS TO BE ADDED, BUT MAYBE WE COULD JUST MENTION THE NUMBER BECAUSE THERE WERE QUITE A FEW. I DON'T REMEMBER OFFHAND HOW MANY THERE WERE BUT I'M SURE SOMEONE CAN DIG UP THAT INFORMATION, BUT WE CAN JUST LIST COMMUNITY MESSENGER

ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE INVOLVED AND I THINK IT MAKES THE SAME POINT ABOUT THE BROAD INPUT OF THE NATURE.

BUT I THINK THE LETTER IS VERY CLEAR.

>> GOT IT. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

>> CHAIR: AND I'M TRACK EDITING HERE AS WELL.

SO I'M NOT LIKE MAKING FINAL EDITS TO IT THIS, BUT I'M LISTENING TO WHAT YOU'RE ALL SAYING AND KIND OF UPDATING IN REAL TIME.

CLERK: WE HAVE A QUESTION.

>> CHAIR: YEAH.

PLEASE.

>> THE LETTER IS VERY CLEAR OBVIOUSLY, BUT AS A NEW MEMBER, I'VE HEARD IF I'M CORRECT THAT EVEN THE FIRST YEAR ALLOCATION HAS NOT EVEN BEEN SPENT. IS THAT CORRECT?

WHATEVER ALLOCATED THE FIRST YEAR HAS NOT EVEN GONE INTO ACTION.

>> CHAIR: YEAH.

SO DOLLARS ARE GOING OUT THE DOOR, BUT THEY'RE ALREADY ALLOCATED.

RIGHT.

SO I THINK THE QUESTION HERE OR THE THING WE'RE TRYING TO ADDRESS IN THIS LETTER IS MAKING SURE THAT THE ALLOCATION IS NO LESS THAN \$100 MILLION.

RIGHT.

AND WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ALLOCATION IS GOING TO BE UNTIL THE BUDGET OFFICE COMES BACK BY MARCH 31ST, BUT WE'RE SAYING REGARDLESS OF WHAT THEY COME BACK WITH, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE LINE IS SET AT \$100 MILLION, NO LESS THAN \$100 MILLION.

IF IT'S MORE, THAT'S EVEN BETTER, BUT JUST ABOUT THE ALLOCATION.

THE SPIN DOWN OF THOSE DOLLARS, THAT'S A DIFFERENT CONVERSATION AND THAT'S PART OF THE WORK OF THIS BODY.

WE'RE RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING IN, FOLLOWING UP, PUSHING FORWARD, MAKING SURE THINGS ARE MOVING THE WAY THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO, BUT THIS IS A

CONVERSATION ABOUT THE ALLOCATION.

DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

OKAY.

THANK YOU.

YES.

>> THANK YOU EVERYBODY.

I AGREE, IT'S A WELL-WRITTEN LETTER.

I THINK ONE COMMENT I HAVE IS ON THE SECOND PARAGRAPH AROUND SORT OF LEARNING, ON THE FIRST PAGE, ON THE LEARNINGS THERE MAY BE LESS THAN \$100 MILLION ALLOCATED THIS YEAR.

I FEEL LIKE THE REAL THING THAT WE'RE TRYING TO DESCRIBE THERE IS WHAT WE THEN LATER SAY IN THE SECOND TO FINAL PARAGRAPH AROUND THE C.E.O. PRESENTED THAT BASED ON A FISCAL DEFICIT, THEY MAY ALLOCATE LESS IN THE COMING YEAR AND SO I JUST FEEL LIKE THAT'S REALLY WHERE THE CONVERSATION STARTED AND I THINK THAT THIS POINT HERE IS VERY CLEAR THAT THE LETTER'S SAYING THAT DESPITE ANY SORT OF FISCAL DEFICITS THE COMMITTEE WANTS TO MAKE SURE CFCI IS FULLY FUNDED AS INTENDED.

>> CHAIR: OKAY.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE I FOLLOW YOU.

YOU SAID SECOND PAGE?

OH, FIRST PAGE, SECOND PARAGRAPH.

>> CHAIR: FIRST PAGE, SECOND PARAGRAPH, GOTCHA.

SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE MARCH 2ND MEETING.

BUDGET OFFICE CAME AND INFORMED CFCI ALLOCATION MORE OR LESS THAN \$100 MILLION ESTIMATE THAT'S SOMETIMES DISCUSSED.

CAN YOU RESTATE ONE MORE TIME.

>> SURE.

BASED ON MY MEMORY AND I THINK FOCUSES COULD CHIME IN, YOU KNOW, THAT MEETING, THAT DISCUSSION BY THE BUDGET OFFICE WAS DESCRIBING THE STATE

OF THE BUDGET THE CURRENT YEAR AND SO THAT IS WHY THEY WERE INDICATING IT MAY BE LESS THAN THE \$100 MILLION AND THAT SORT OF INSTANCE GATED THIS MOVEMENT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, THE PRIORITY, BUT I'M INTERESTED IN FOLKS' CONVERSATIONS, BUT THAT WAS MY PERCEPTION AND I DON'T FEEL LIKE THAT'S ARTICULATED HERE IN THE SECOND PARAGRAPH.

>> THEY ALSO SAID THAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO A FULL ANALYSIS AS OPPOSED TO THE PROJECTED ANALYSIS AND IN ADDITION, THERE MAY BE A DEFICIT.

SO I DON'T KNOW.

SORRY ABOUT THAT.

IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT, YES, THERE'S A DEFICIT, BUT THE LEAD IN WAS WE'RE GOING TO DO A FULL ANALYSIS FOR THE FIRST TIME THIS YEAR WHERE YEARS ONE AND TWO ARE BASED ON PROJECTIONS AND ALSO THERE'S A DEFICIT.

SO I DON'T KNOW.

ARE YOU SUGGESTING WE ADD LANGUAGE AROUND THE DEFICIT OR THAT PARAGRAPH?

>> POTENTIALLY.

I JUST THINK THAT THIS PARAGRAPH IS CLEAR ABOUT WHAT THE CONVERSATION THAT WE HAD.

>> OKAY.

BUT IF WE SAY THAT, WE SHOULD SAY THEY IMPLY THEY WERE DOING A FULL ANALYSIS AS OPPOSED TO YEARS ONE AND TWO BECAUSE THE BASIS OF THIS LETTER FOR ME IS HE TOLD US IT WOULD BE \$100 MILLION, WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT \$100 MILLION TO ALL OF THE COMMUNITY ENGAGED FOLKS.

AND SO IF WE ADD IT, I WOULD SAY MAKE SURE WE PUT BOTH PIECES.

THE DEFICIT IS NEVER ON THE SIDE.

IT WASN'T ASIDE IN TERMS OF WHY THEY SAID IT COULD POSSIBLY BE A DIFFERENT NUMBER WHICH IS WHERE THE HIGHER OR LOWER CONVERSATION.

>> CHAIR: YEAH.

AND THIS QUOTE IS FROM THE PRESENTATION.

SO I THINK, TO YOUR POINT THOUGH, MAYBE GOING BACK TO THE PRESENTATION TO LOOK AT IT FROM THAT STANDPOINT BECAUSE IN READING IT, MEMBER LEWIS IS RIGHT, THEY WERE FRAMING THE CONVERSATION, BUT THE POINT THAT THEY WERE MAKING IS THAT WE'VE GOT TO DO AN ANALYSIS TO KNOW IF IT'S GOING TO BE MORE OR LESS. I THINK THAT'S THE REASON WE TOOK THE ONE LINE.

THAT WAS THE POINT OF THE PRESENTATION. HERE'S ALL THE REASONS WHY, BUT WE DON'T KNOW YET. WE'LL LET YOU KNOW ON MARCH 31ST. YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING. GO FOR IT.

>> [INAUDIBLE] -- WE RECEIVE THE REQUEST WHEN MEMBERS SPEAK, THEY IDENTIFY THEMSELVES BECAUSE WE CAN'T SEE THEM.

>> CHAIR: OKAY.

THIS IS CHAIR STEELE TALKING.

BUT I HEAR THE POINT THOUGH AND I THINK THE SUGGESTION FROM MEMBER LEWIS OF ADDING THE FULL CONTEXT OF WHERE THIS CAME FROM MIGHT BE HELPFUL AND SO JUST THE ONE LINE.

SO I THINK THAT'S COOL.

WHERE DO I WANT TO GO TO GET THAT?

HERE.

LET ME.

SMART MAN.

THERE WE GO.

THIS IS THE PRESENTATION FROM THE OFFICE FROM LAST WEEK, LAST WEEK'S MEETING.

COME ON ALL RIGHT.

I WILL D. COME BACK TO CIRCLE BACK AROUND THAT CONTEXT SO WE CAN ADD IT AS A COMMENT.

IS THAT COOL WITH EVERYBODY?

FULL CONTEXT IN THIS PART

>> CHAIR STEELE, THIS IS MEMBER SCORZA, SO I THINK WE REFERRED TO THIS VERY ISSUE IN THE SECOND TO LAST ISSUE AS WELL WHICH I THINK WHAT MEMBER LOBIANCO REFERS TO WHICH IS TO THANK THE SUPERVISOR FOR THEIR YEARS OF COMMITMENT.

SO I THINK WE ARE ARTICULATING THAT THERE, SO IF THERE'S ANY CONTEXT, WE CAN DO THAT THERE AND I THINK SIMILARLY AS I MENTIONED EARLIER IN THE THIRD TO FINAL LINE, WE ARE URGING YOU IN THE AM THE VERY LAST PARAGRAPH WHERE IT SAYS WE ARE URGING YOU, IT'S RECOMMENDED AND MAKING SURE THAT LANGUAGE IS CONSISTENT AND CLEAR, IT'S NOT AN ALLOCATION OR JUST ASKING THE BOARD TO CONTINUE TO ALLOCATE.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE BEING CONSISTENT IN THE ENTIRE LETTER.

>> CHAIR: OKAY.

THAT PART.

>> DEREK, BOB.

IF I HEARD THE LAST SPEAKER RIGHT, HE MENTIONED THE COUNTY DEFICIT. THE COUNTY I DON'T BELIEVE HAS SUGGESTED THEY'RE GOING TO BE IN DEFICIT. IT'S THE STATE WHERE THEY GET A LOT OF THEIR MONEY.

>> IF IT'S THE STATE, THEN WHAT ARE YOU SUGGESTING IN REFERENCE OF LANGUAGE I'M SAYING THE COUNTY IS NOT GOING TO HAVE A DEFICIT MANY OTHER COUNTIES WILL GET LEGISLATION MONEY THAN THEY GOT LAST YEAR MOST LIKELY.

>> CHAIR: SO ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT WE STRIKE THAT PIECE?

>> WELL, I WOULD JUST WORD IT TO THE EFFECT THAT WE UNDERSTAND THE CONCERNS ABOUT THE STATE BUDGET DEFICIT, HOWEVER, WE FEEL THAT THE \$100 MILLION IS THE AMOUNT THAT WE FEEL IS APPROPRIATE TO MOVE THIS PROGRAM FORWARD.

>> CHAIR: YES.

PLEASE.

MEMBER WILLIAMS AND THEN I'LL COME BACK TO MEMBER LEWIS.

>> YEAH.

I DON'T WANT TO SAY IT'S IRRESPONSIBLE, BUT THE FOLKS THAT GOT US TOGETHER ON THE ZOOM A COUPLE OF WEEKS SHARED THIS ARTICLE ABOUT THE GOVERNOR PREDICTING A SHORT FALL IS PROBABLY WHAT PRE-EMPTED THAT CONVERSATION. BUT IT FEELS TO ME IS THAT THEY WERE SETTING THE STAGE FOR WHOEVER CALLED THAT MEETING I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS JWHO JCOD EPRESENTS THAT MEETING.

THERE MAY BE LESS THAN \$100 MILLION.

SO I THINK THAT TRIGGERED THAT.

SO I DON'T KNOW.

I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR THEM, BUT I JUST REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE REASONING WAS TO SHARE THAT ARTICLE THAT THE COUNTY WAS GOING TO HAVE A SHORT FALL BECAUSE OF THE STATE.

>> CHAIR: OKAY.

YEAH.

MEMBER GALLY.

>> THANK YOU, THIS IS MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN.

I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THIS IS TO NOT INCLUDE ANY LANGUAGE REGARDLESS OF WHERE IT'S PROJECTED TO BE AND I THINK IT WOULD DISTRACT FROM THE CORE LETTER WHICH IS A COMMITMENT MADE BY THE COUNTY AND I THINK THAT'S THE POINT OF THE LETTER AND I DON'T THINK THAT POINT IS STRENGTHENED BY REFERRING TO IT A DEFICIT THAT AT THIS POINT IS A REGISTER.

>> CHAIR: COOL.

I GOTCHA.

MEMBER KRONK.

>> DEEP OR NOT DEEP THIS IS BUT THE ONLY PROBLEM I GOT ABOUT THAT SENTENCE IS THE "WE KNOW" BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW.

WE HAVE NO DATA.

I DON'T KNOW IF THEY'RE PRIVY OR SHARING THAT.

>> CHAIR: FOR SURE.

OH, YEAH.

>> MEMBER STEVENS.

I SUPPORT MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN'S RECOMMENDATION.

ONE OF THE THOUGHTS

>> IT'S ON.

OKAY.

I KNOW ONE OF THE CONCERNS IS IF THERE IS FLUCTUATION IN THE BUDGET THAT THAT WOULD BE A REASON SO YOU CAN SAY SOMETHING AS SIMPLE DESPITE INFLUX IN THE BUDGET.

THAT WAY, WHICH EVER WAY IT GOES, THAT IS THE CONCERN FOR MEMBERS WHO ARE CONCERNED.

WE'RE ASKING THE BOARD TO STILL BE COMMITTED TO AND WHATEVER THE COMMITMENT IS THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR OR THAT THE MEMBERS ARE ASKING FOR. SO JUST A THOUGHT.

>> CHAIR: SO IN THE ESSENCE OF LIKE THIS LINE HERE AND I TRY TO BRING IT UP LARGER SO EVERYBODY ELSE CAN SEE.

I'M IN AGREEMENT WITH STRIKING THE LANGUAGE ALL TOGETHER AND THEN YOU CAN JUST START IT WITH, YOU KNOW, THERE'S STILL A NEED TO SUPPORT CHRONIC CLOOE UNDERRESOURCED COMMUNITIES TO ADDRESS NEGATIVE OUTCOMES THAT HAVE BEEN CAUSED, YOU KNOW, THE LANGUAGE THAT'S THERE INSTEAD OF HAVING THE PIECE ABOUT THE DEFICIT.

I TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU.

NOW, IN HINDSIGHT, WE DON'T KNOW AND, YEAH, I THINK IT JUST DOES DISTRACT FROM WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO.

MEMBER SCORZA.

>> SURE, I AGREE WITH DR. GALLY'S POINT AND THE GENERAL SENTIMENT AROUND THE POINT OF THE DEFICIT, BUT I THINK WHAT IS THE POINT OF THE LETTER IF WE'RE NOT ACKNOWLEDGING THE REALITY THAT THE COUNTY HAS SAID IT'S FACING.

WHY DO YOU NEED TO SEND THIS LETTER IF THE COUNTY DID NOT COME TO THE COMMITTEE AND SAY THE POTENTIAL STATE DEFICIT IS GOING TO HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE IMPACT OF THE COMMITTEE'S, YOU KNOW, RECOMMENDED BUDGET.

SO I THINK, YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS HERE IS THAT THE BOARD IS GOING TO HEAR THAT INFORMATION, RIGHT.

AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK ACKNOWLEDGING THAT YOU ARE AWARE OF IT AND SAYING IN SPITE OF THAT OR THAT THAT SHOULD NOT CHANGE THE COMMITMENT I THINK IS AN IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION BECAUSE IT SHOWS THAT THAT'S THE RATIONALE FOR WHY YOU'RE SENDING THE LETTER.

RIGHT.

>> CHAIR: HMM.

YES.

PLEASE.

>> AND JUST -- OH, SORRY.

MEMBER LOBIANCO.

WHEN I READ THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH BECAUSE I'M OPEN TO HOW WE ULTIMATELY GET TO THE POINT WHICH IS TO RECOMMEND THE FUNDING WE HAD ANTICIPATED, BUT WHEN I READ THAT SECOND PARAGRAPH, IT FELT LIKE WE WERE DESCRIBING A ROOM AND A CONVERSATION THAT HAPPENED IN A ROOM AND IT MIGHT INCLINE SOMEONE WHO'S READING IT FOR THE FIRST TIME TO WANT TO KNOW MORE.

THE SO I FEEL LIKE THAT'S WHY I SAID, YOU KNOW, WE WERE ALL IN THE ROOM, WE REMEMBER THE CONVERSATION, BUT EVEN SO, MAYBE THERE'S STILL SOME NUANCE.

WHEN I READ THAT, SOMEONE MIGHT BE LIKE WHAT HAPPENED IN THAT ROOM VERSUS WHAT THE ACTUAL ASK IS.

>> CHAIR: MEMBER LEWIS.

>> MEMBER LEWIS.

GOING BACK TO THE DEFICIT PIECE, I DON'T RECALL THE BUDGET OFFICE TOLD US

THAT, BUT NEVERTHELESS, MAYBE SOMETHING THAT SAYS, YOU KNOW, WE
RECOGNIZE THERE ARE COMPETING PRIORITIES AND FLUCTUATIONS AND REVENUE
AVAILABILITY AND WE STILL, I THINK THAT GETS AT ALL THE THINGS WE'RE TALKING
ABOUT DOESN'T PRESUME ANYTHING AND GETS TO THE POINT THAT WE'RE TRYING
TO MAKE.

HOW DOES THAT SOUND?

I HOPE YOU CAUGHT IT BECAUSE I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT I SAID.

>> CHAIR: I DID.

ALL RIGHT.

SO HERE'S -- WE RECOGNIZE THERE MAY BE COMPETING BUDGETARY
PRIORITIES -- NO?

COMPETING PRIORITIES, YEAH, IT IS.

OKAY.

AND I MISSED THE SECOND PART.

YOU SAID AND SOMETHING.

OKAY.

FLUCTUATIONS AND DON'T.

I'LL FIX THAT.

JUST GIVE ME A SECOND.

THERE IT IS.

THANK YOU.

FLUCTUATION IN INCOMING REVENUE.

RECOGNIZE THERE MAY BE COMPETING PRIORITIES AND FLUCTUATION IN INCOMING
REVENUE, BUT THERE THERE'S STILL A NEED TO ADEQUATELY SUPPORT
CHRONICALLY UNDER-RESOURCED COMMUNITIES TO ADDRESS NEGATIVE
OUTCOMES THAT HAVE BEEN CAUSED BY RACIALLY-DRIVEN CRIMINAL JUSTICE
INEQUITIES AND LONG-TERM COMMUNITY ECONOMIC -- IT IS.

YEAH.

HOW ABOUT THIS?

WAIT A SECOND BECAUSE IT'S NOT GIVING ME WHAT I WANT TO GET.

OKAY.

THERE WE GO.

INCOMING REVENUE, BUT THERE'S STILL -- HOWEVER.

OKAY.

YEAH.

WE'RE GOING TO MAKE IT THREE SENTENCES.

THERE YOU GO.

HOWEVER, THERE'S STILL A NEED TO ADEQUATELY SUPPORT CHRONICALLY
UNDER-RESOURCED COMMUNITIES.

IT'S IMPERATIVE TO ADDRESS NEGATIVE OUTCOMES THAT HAVE BEEN CAUSED BY
RACIALLY -- THREE SENTENCES.

COOL?

YES, PLEASE.

>> MEMBER CONTRERAS.

I WANTED TO RECOMMEND THE WORD AND INSTEAD OF BUT OR HOWEVER SINCE
BOTH THINGS ARE TRUE.

>> CHAIR: TO RECOGNIZE THERE MAY BE COMPETING PRIORITIES AND FLUCTUATION
IN INCOMING REVENUE AND NOT WITHSTANDING.

SO IT'S SOMETHING THAT SAYS BOTH THINGS CAN BE TRUE.

PLEASE.

>> MEMBER.

I AGREE THE MAIN POINT OF THE LETTER IS WE'RE ASKING FOR THEIR COMMITMENT
TO NOT REDUCE LESS THAN \$100 MILLION, THAT'S CLEAR.

HOWEVER, IF WE'RE SAYING THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE, YEAH, WE RECOGNIZE
THERE'S GOING TO BE DEFICIT OF THE LANGUAGE THAT YOU JUST USED, WE'RE
SAYING THAT WE RECOGNIZE THERE'S GOING TO BE LESS MONEY OVERALL, BUT WE
WANT YOU TO GIVE US \$100 MILLION HERE FOR MINIMUM HERE.

SO HOW ARE WE GOING TO BASICALLY WE'RE SAYING YOU CAN CUT EVERYWHERE

ELSE, BUT NOT OUR PROGRAM.

HOW, AND THAT'S FINE, BUT HOW ARE WE GOING TO -- I THINK WE NEED A JUSTIFICATION, SOMETHING THERE TO SAY WHY THIS \$100 MILLION, YES, WE UNDERSTAND THERE'S GOING TO BE CUTS EVERYWHERE, BUT THERE'S GOT TO BE ANOTHER JUSTIFICATION WHY WE WANT TO MAINTAIN THE \$100 MILLION HERE.

>> YEAH I THINK AND I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S CAPTURED AS CLEARLY AS I HAD HOPED, BUT I WANT TO LIFT UP AND I'M GOING TO KEEP SAYING THIS BECAUSE IT'S IMPORTANT.

BASED ON THE INFORMATION WE RECEIVED WITHIN THE LAST YEAR, THAT ROBUST COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT EFFORT AND ALL OF THE MONEY AND INVESTMENT OF TIME AND ENERGY INCLUDING NOT JUST OF THIS BODY BUT OF ALL THE OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE TAKEN LEADERSHIP HAS BEEN WITH THE MESSAGING AROUND \$100 MILLION.

MAYBE THAT DOESN'T MATTER TO THE BOARD, BUT I THINK IT SHOULD AND THAT'S WHY I THINK IN TERMS OF THE NUMBERS OF LA COUNTY RESIDENTS THAT HAVE BEEN ENGAGE WEDNESDAY THIS PROCESS WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT WAS THE DOLLAR AMOUNT, I THINK IT MATTERS AND I THINK IT SHOULD BE LIFTED UP AND THAT'S MY RESPONSE TO THAT.

IT MIGHT NOT BE THE BEST ONE, BUT I THINK THAT'S APART OF THIS AS WELL.

>> I HAVE MY HAND UP, DEREK.

>> CHAIR: MY BAD, I'M NOT LOOKING AT THE THING.

>> I FIGURED THAT.

I AGREE WITH TOTALLY 100% WITH VERONICA ON THIS.

WE HAD BETWEEN U.A.I. AND C.M.V.P., WE HAD OVER 400 ENGAGEMENTS WITH THE SURVEY FOR THIS.

BUT I ALSO WANT TO ADDRESS THE NEW MEMBER FOR DISTRICT FIVE.

I MAY NOT GET YOUR NAME CORRECTLY, BUT WE -- THE JUSTIFICATION IS SOMETHING THAT MEMBER CASTILLO'S BEEN LIFTING UP.

SO FOR EVERY MEETING IS THAT THE ORIGINAL ALLOCATION WAS SUPPOSED TO BE

\$900 MILLION AND NOW THIS IS \$100 MILLION QUITE A BIT BELOW THAT, IT'S NOT EVEN NEAR THE COMMITMENT THAT THEY COMMITTED FOR CFCI OR THE SPIRIT OF CFCI, SO I THINK THAT'S JUST A MINIMUM.

IT'S JUST THE FLOOR, YOU KNOW, BUT WE'RE NOWHERE NEAR THE CEILING ON THIS INVESTMENT.

>> CHAIR: YEAH.

I THINK TO THAT END THOUGH, THIS IS MEMBER STEELE, MEMBER WILLIAMS, WHAT WE TRY TO USE TO MAKE THE CASE AS TO WHAT THE NEED IS IS HOW THE COMMUNITY MEMBER IS IDENTIFIED OVER A BILLION DOLLARS IN REQUESTS. RIGHT.

SO IT'S -- SO I UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT THOUGH.

THAT'S NOT THE POINT YOU'RE MAKING.

THE POINT YOU'RE MAKING IS, YOU KNOW, THE COMMITMENT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE MORE THAN \$900 MILLION AND I'M HESITANT IN GOING IN THAT DIRECTION BECAUSE IT'S -- IT OPENS UP AN ARGUMENT AND A --

>> YEAH.

I WASN'T TRYING TO ARGUE.

>> CHAIR: NOT TO PUSH HIM AWAY.

>> YEAH.

I WAS JUST TRYING TO LET THE MEMBER KNOW WHY THIS \$100 MILLION IS SO IMPORTANT.

>> CHAIR: I GOTCHA.

I UNDERSTAND THAT.

THANK YOU.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN.

>> WHAT ABOUT IN THE SPIRIT OF MESSAGING OR TO ADDRESS THE HISTORIC INEQUITIES OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY THAT BENEFITS ALL PEOPLE AT MINIMUM OF \$100 MILLION IS NECESSARY TO BE ALLOCATED FOR THIS WORK.

IF WE HAVE LESS THAN \$100 MILLION, THEN WE CAN'T ADDRESS THE INEQUITIES

WE'RE HERE TO ADDRESS.

FOR THE STATEMENT, EVEN MORE CLARIFYING WORDS MAYBE, BUT A STATEMENT TO THAT EFFECT, WOULD THAT ADDRESS THE QUESTION?

>> CHAIR: WHERE IN THE LETTER WOULD YOU WANT TO PUT THAT PIECE?

>> IT COULD BE THERE IN THE SECTION THAT ADDRESSES THE FLUCTUATIONS.

WE REALIZE THERE ARE FLUCTUATIONS.

AND LEADERSHIP FLUCTUATIONS AND I FORGET THE LANGUAGE.

>> CHAIR: THIS LINE RIGHT HERE.

>> INCOMING REVENUE, BUT IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE INEQUITIES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY THAT ALL RESIDENTS DESERVE, A MINIMUM OF \$100 MILLION IS NEEDED TO BE INVESTED IN THIS WORK.

IF WE HAVE LESS THAN \$100 MILLION, WE CAN'T DO THIS WORK TO ADDRESS THOSE INEQUITIES.

>> CHAIR: OKAY.

>> IT SAYS IT, BUT AS A CLOSING STATEMENT, AS A SUMMARY STATEMENT, THAT MIGHT BRING IT BACK FULL CIRCLE AT THE VERY END WITH THE ASK.

>> CHAIR: OKAY.

SO WOULD IT MAKE MORE SENSE TO KIND OF PUT IT AFTER THE ASK TO SAY WHY. LIKE I KNOW WE'RE SAYING THE FLUCTUATION PIECE IS UP HERE IS MAKING THE CASE AS TO WHAT'S BEHIND IT AND IT GOES INTO THE ASK ITSELF AND THEN TO BE ABLE TO SAY THAT BEHIND IT, YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING TO PUT IT HERE? OKAY.

MEMBER SCORZA.

>> SURE.

MEMBER SCORZA SPEAKING.

I DON'T THINK THIS IS A STRETCH TO ADD WHAT MEMBER HOVESPIAN HAS ASKED FOR.

THE BOARD SIMPLY SET A PRIORITY TO CLOSE CARSON RATION TO CLOSE JAIL.

JUST LIFTING UP THE FACT THAT THESE ARE BOARD PRIORITIES REAFFIRMS AND

REINFORCES THE NOTION THAT THE PRIORITIES SHOULD BE FUNDED SO I DON'T THINK IT'S A HUGE GAP BETWEEN WHAT IT IS YOU'RE ASKING FOR AND WHAT THE BOARD HAS SET AS A PRIORITY.

SO JUST ASK THE BOARD TO FUND ITS PRIORITIES.

>> CHAIR: ARE WE ASKING JUST TO THAT POINT, IS THAT A SHIFT IN THE ASK OR JUST SO SAY EXPLICITLY, WE'RE ASKING YOU TO PROVIDE THE RESOURCES TO THE POINT OF YOUR PRIORITIES.

>> WELL, I THINK IT'S JUST SPEAKING TO THE "WHY".

IF YOU'RE GOING TO COVER EVERYTHING ELSE, THE "WHY" BECAUSE YOU COVERED THIS.

AS A PRIORITY, THEN THEREFORE IT NEEDS TO BE FUNDED.

>> I AGREE AND THERE'S OTHER BOARD PRIORITIES AS WELL AND I THINK THAT'S OFFERED LANGUAGE OF COMPETING PRIORITIES. I THINK THAT TO THE VERY, YOU KNOW, GREAT POINT THAT YOU RAISE, IF WE WANT TO TRY TO DEMONSTRATE WHY THIS IS DIFFERENT THAN THE OTHER PRIORITIES THAT HAVE MOTIONS AND INITIATIVES AND INVESTMENTS BEHIND THEM, THEN IF WE THINK THAT'S NECESSARY, THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT HOW THIS COVERS A MULTITUDE OF PRIORITIES NOT ONLY THE ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION CAMP, THE INVESTMENT AND STRENGTHENING OF OUR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR PEOPLE UNHOUSED. THIS CROSSES OVER MANY OF THE OTHER PRIORITIES AND SO IF WE THINK IT'S IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO SAY THIS IS WHY THIS IS DISTINCT, WE SHOULD SAY THIS CROSSES MULTIPLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PRIORITIES BECAUSE OTHERWISE THERE'S MULTIPLE PRIORITIES AND I DON'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE THE TIME TO WORD SMITH THAT HERE BUT THAT'S ONE I WANT TO OFFER UP HERE BECAUSE THIS CROSSES A MULTITUDE OF PRIORITIES AND THAT'S THE DISTINCTION.

>> I THINK THAT'S THE SPIRIT -- MEMBER STEELE.

I'M SORRY.

TO THE FEELING THAT MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN WAS TALKING ABOUT, WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO DO OUR WORK AND WE NEED THE RESOURCES IN ORDER TO DO IT, BUT

TO YOUR POINT, THESE ARE PRIORITIES THAT ARE BEING -- THESE ARE YOUR PRIORITIES AND IT'S CROSS POLLINATING.

RIGHT.

WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO THAT AND I THINK UP HERE, THIS IS WHERE -- THE SPIRIT OF THIS PARAGRAPH, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT JUST SAYING WHERE THE MONEY WENT, BUT LIKE WE HAVE RECOMMENDED THE ALLOCATIONS OF THESE DOLLARS AND THEN THEY TURNED INTO WORK THAT'S HAPPENING ON THE GROUND, YOU KNOW, THE \$42 MILLION TOWARDS PERMANENT AND TRANSITIONAL HOUSING IS NOW PUT AT WORK BECAUSE THE COUNTY HAS THE EMERGENCY ORDER AND IT HAS RESOURCES TO ACTUALLY UTILIZE THAT NOW, RIGHT.

SO THE COMMUNITY'S IDENTIFYING THESE THINGS.

THESE ARE THE RESOURCES WE WANT TO USE.

IT'S GOING ACROSS DIFFERENT SPACES ACROSS A CONTINUUM OF WORK THE COUNTY'S TRYING TO DO, THIS IS WHY THIS ALLOCATION BECOMES REALLY IMPORTANT.

SO I'M WRITING IT DOWN AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE BEST WAY TO SAY THAT AND WHERE TO MAKE IT THE STRONGEST, RIGHT.

AND I THINK THAT'S THE PART I MIGHT NEED A LITTLE HELP WITH BECAUSE IS IT PART OF THE CLOSING OF THE LETTER OR IS IT LIKE MIXED IN BETWEEN TO KIND OF BRING SOME OF THESE IDEAS TOGETHER.

>> THAT IS A QUESTION OF THE FIGURE OUT. GO AHEAD.

>> LESS CALL THAT IS THE REASON THAT I ASKED IN THE BEGINNING, BECAUSE IF ANY OF THE MONEY IS SPENT OUR CASE WOULD'VE BEEN MUCH STRONGER IF WE COULD HAVE SAID HEY, YEAR ONE WE SPEND 100 MILLION THIS WAS THE IMPACT. WE DO WANT TO BE LESS, BECAUSE THE IMPACT THAT YOU GOD, WAS BECAUSE OF THAT MONEY. SINCE NONE OF REALLY THE MONEY HAS NOT BEEN SPENT, I DON'T FEEL LIKE WE HAVE A VERY STRONG KIND OF PROOF, THAT THIS REALLY MADE A BIG DIFFERENCE. I KNOW IT IS ALL I LOCATION WHAT IS SPENT.

>> I WILL PUSH BACK A LITTLE BIT THIS IS A GOOD QUESTION, THIS IS A GOOD QUESTION, LET ME CLARIFY. THAT WAS OUR BEING UTILIZED NOW. I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING LIKE WE CAN MAKE THIS A CASE BY SAYING, HERE IS HOW THE MONEY IS BEING YOU SO FAR? IT WILL TAKE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME TO ADDRESS THAT IN THE LETTER, BECAUSE WE HAVE TO LIKE TAKE A LOOK IN VARIOUS SPACES SO THAT IS THE AMITY REPORTS VERSUS THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS REPORTS.

WE ARE STILL HAVING A DISCUSSION ON HOW TO I THINK YEAR ONE, THE DOLLARS BY WAY OF WITH THEIR DASHBOARD CAN BE HELPFUL FOR THAT. I THINK THAT IS A MORE NUANCED DISCUSSION POINT WHEN WE GO TO THE BOARD OFFICES AND HAVE THE CONVERSATIONS, SO WE COULD BE VERY CLEAR ON THAT, BUT I THINK IT MIGHT BE HARDER.

>> DOES THAT MAKE SENSE FOR EVERYBODY WHAT I AM SAYING?

>> YES.

>> MEMBER CONTRERAS: WHAT I THINK HE THINKING ABOUT WE PAY NOW OR WE PAY LATER. AND I IMAGINE THAT THAT WAS PROBABLY A BIG PART OF THE DISCUSSION ABOUT USING THESE DOLLARS IN A PREVENTION KIND OF APPROACH IN ORDER NOT TO YOU KNOW THE INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF GOGGLES TO GO TO INCARCERATE PEOPLE, THE NEGATIVE OUTCOMES THAT EVERYONE IS HIGHLIGHTING I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS IN THERE. SO WE START TALKING ABOUT BUDGET AND HAVING LESS MONEY, I THINK THAT IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE PICTURE TO SOMEHOW EMPHASIZE.

>> I WILL BE HONEST, I THINK THE APPROACH WAS AN ASSET APPROACH. SO I DEFINITELY FEEL THE SENTIMENT OF THE PAYMENT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER FROM A BUDGETARY PERSPECTIVE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO THAT I THINK THE FRAMING THAT'S THE FOLKS WHO HELPED TO WRITE THIS WAS GOING FOR

WAS TO SAY WE ARE ALREADY DOING WELL AND THIS STOPS THE PROGRESS IF THE FUNDING IS LESS THAN \$100 MILLION. I THINK THAT WAS PART OF THE RIGHT?

IF WE GO THE ROUTE OF SAYING THE POINT THAT YOU'RE MAKING, TWO QUESTIONS, FIRST ONE, WHAT WOULD BE THE BEST SPACE TO KIND OF ADD THAT CONTEXT? RIGHT? AND THEN HOW DO YOU THINK WOULD BE THE BEST WAY TO DO THAT THOUGH I THINK IT IS A REALLY STRONG POINT.

>> MEMBER CASTILLO: I AGREE WITH THAT FRAMING A BIT THERE THINKING THIS IS THE FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE THING TO DO IF WE ARE LOOKING AT IT AS A PREVENTATIVE MEASURE AND WE INVEST IN COMMUNITIES AHEAD, TO SHALLOW DOLLARS FOR INCARCERATION I THINK THAT IS A BRILLIANT WAY TO FRAME IT.

THE OTHER PIECE YOUR QUESTION, IS WHERE I THINK THERE IS A LINE NOW IS NOT THE TIME. IT WAS A QUESTION PHRASED AROUND DO WE WANT TO MENTION ABOUT THE GENERAL POPULATION AND HOUSE BUSINESS, AND IT SPEAKS TO YOUR POINT REMEMBER ABOUT THE REASON WHY IS IMPORTANT TO INVESTORS. I THINK THAT IS A GOOD SPACE TO INCORPORATE JUST LANGUAGE AROUND BEING FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE MORALLY RESPONSIBLE ET CETERA ET CETERA.

>> IT SEEMS THAT CONTENT WISE WE HAVE GENERALLY REACH CONSENSUS. SO I AM GOING TO MOVE THE EDITS THAT WE APPROVE THAT WE MOVE TO APPROVE THIS LETTER AND SEE IF THERE IS A SECOND FOR THAT?

>> MY SECOND.

>> THERE IS A MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO APPROVE THE LETTER WITH EDITS. AND IT HAS BEEN SECONDED IS THERE ANY ADDITIONS?

>> JUST REALLY PROUD OF THE ENGAGEMENT THAT OCCURRED OVER THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LETTER FROM LAST WEEK TO THIS WEEK. I THINK WE ARE REALLY DEMONSTRATING WHAT WE ARE STATING IN A LETTER. I JUST NOTICED THAT, WE ATTEMPT TO ENSURE THAT THERE IS A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING THAT WE HAVE IN THE ROLE AS AN ADVISORY BODY TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS.

THERE ARE A FEW PLACES WHERE I THINK WE STATE THAT WE HAVE ALLOCATED OR WE HAVE AND SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DO A QUICK READ TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN STAY IN THE LANE OF AN ADVISORY BODY MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. THERE IS A COUPLE PLACES WHERE I HAVE NOTICED IT AND I AM HAPPY TO SHARE WITH YOU. BUT LIKE EVEN SO I DON'T KNOW WHICH PARAGRAPH IT IS?

PARAGRAPH THREE, ON THE HIGHLIGHT WE SAY IN THE YEAR TWO ALLOCATION WE PROVIDED, FOR THE SUPPORT OF HOUSING SERVICES GOING TO MAKE SURE WE RECOMMENDED. WE HAVE PRIORITIZED JUST USING WORDS AND LANGUAGE

>> TOO THAT POINT IT IS NOT WE MEMBER LEWIS JUST SAID YOU CAN SAY L.A. COUNTY OR WE COULD SAY 45 MILLION WAS ALLOCATED FOR WHAT YOU WANT TO DO? OKAY. GOT YOU. I WILL GO BACK THROUGH AND MAKE SURE THE CORRECTIONS ARE THERE. YES, I GOT YOU. ALL RIGHT ANYONE ELSE SO YOU MAKE IT AND YOU GOOD? OKAY PLEASE.

>> WHAT WE LIST THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SHOULD WE START OUT WITH THE CHAIR?

>> GOOD CALL. WE WILL DEFINITELY DO THAT. OKAY, ALL RIGHT. BUT I DO THAT RIGHT? OKAY YES. SO NO OTHER ON READINESS LET'S GO TO PUBLIC COMMENTS. WILL

>> HOW MUCH TIME ARE WE DOING CHAIRMAN?

>> LET'S GIVE IT TO MINUTES.

>> PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IS TO MISS THE PERSON YOU CAN USE THE RACE IN FEAR. I'LL START SIX OR ME YOUR CELL. COMPUTER USERS CAN SCROLL THE REACTIONS ON THE BOTTOM TAB TO FIND THE RAISED IN FUTURE. SCROLL TO MORE ON THE BOTTOM TAB AND RAISED HAND FEATURE IN THE DROP-DOWN MENU. WILL CALL YOU WHEN THE ORDER THE HAND IS RAISE. PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME WE WILL START WITH

>> I'M SORRY I DID NOT HAVE THE SLIDE READY FOR THE 2 MINUTES, I HAVE TO PULL IT UP.

>> NO PROBLEM. THERE WE GO THANK YOU.

>> JUST TO VERIFY THIS IS A PROVEN LETTER WITH EDITS CORRECT?

>> YES, WE WILL NOW BEGIN PUBLIC COMMENT IN THE ROOM. IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC, RAISE HER HAND. NO COMMENTS HERE IN THE ROOM. NOW WE ARE GOING INTO THE VIRTUAL I SEE THREE HANDS.

>> OKAY THANK YOU I GOOD EVENING EVERYBODY MY NAME IS HECTOR RAMIREZ. I LIVE IN SUPER DISTRICT TWO. AND BEFORE I AM DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH. I JUST WANT TO ASK WITHIN THE LETTER WHEN YOU ARE ASKING THE PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE THAT YOU INCLUDE PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN THERE. THAT IS SO SIGNIFICANT ISSUE OF WHY IT HAPPENED.

POETICALLY THOSE OF US WHO HAVE PSYCHIATRIC DISABILITIES LIKE SCHIZOPHRENIA OR SACCADIC DISORDERS. THIS REALLY NEEDS TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC AND ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF THAT HAVE THOSE CONDITIONS, ARE INCAPABLE OF ASKING FOR HELP. OR DON'T KNOW WHAT HELP WE WANT TO? BUT I THINK OFTEN TIMES FOR PRESENTATIONS MATTER AND JUST BECAUSE WE ARE NOT AT THE TABLE WE OFTENTIMES DO NOT GET RECOGNIZED.

SO I REALLY WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT BOTH THE DISABILITY COMMUNITY AND THE DISTRICT IS RECOGNIZED IN THERE. BECAUSE I CAPTURE REPRESENTING WHO I AM BUT WHERE I LIVE IN MY COMMUNITY. AND I JUST GOT TO REMEMBER ON A DIFFERENT KNOW IF CALIFORNIA WERE A SOVEREIGN NATION AS THE 2020 REPORT.

IT WOULD RATE IN TERMS OF NOMINAL GDP THE WORLD'S FIFTH LARGEST ECONOMY BEHIND GERMANY AND AHEAD OF INDIA. AND SO ONE I HEAR THE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT YOU KNOW, REALLY TAKING MONEY AWAY FROM

PROGRAMS. I HAVE NOT EVEN BEGUN TO WORK FOR COMMUNITIES. THOSE ARE ONE OF THE THINGS THAT COMES TO MIND PARTICULARLY FOR L.A. COUNTY.

IF IT WAS AN ISSUE, IT WOULD RANK FIFTH. SO WHEN I HEAR THAT THERE IS NO MONEY TO DO THIS, I DID THE MATH, THERE IS ABOUT 10 MILLION PEOPLE AT L.A. COUNTY. WE ARE ASKING FOR A MINIMUM OF \$100 MILLION. THAT IS LESS THAN A PENNY OF WHAT THE BOARD SUPERVISES ARE WILLING TO INVEST IN THIS. BUT JUST THINK ABOUT WHERE THE MONEY IS REALLY GOING?

WE ARE ASKING FOR THIS IS A COMMUNITY, BUT I THINK A LOT OF MONEY IS GOING TO THE CARE CORE PROJECT. IT ALSO HAS TAKEN A LOT OF MONEY AND I WORRY THAT IS HAPPENING NOW TO THE STAKEHOLDER GROUP. BUT THOSE STATISTICS, FIFTH AND FOURTH IN THE WORLD IF WE WERE A COUNTRY.

>> SORRY ABOUT THAT YOUR TIME IS UP. I WILL CALL ON LAURA.

>> YES HI MY NAME IS LAURA SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT TWO. AND ALSO THE REIMAGINE COALITION. FLIP THE FRAMING THAT RESONATED WITH MYSELF AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. AROUND ROBUST INVESTMENT IN COMMUNITY BASED SERVICES AT A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE THING TO DO.

WE KNOW EVERY YEAR MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OF THE SHARE'S BUDGET IS WASTED AT SPENDING THEIR LIABILITY. AND COVERING UP FOR THEIR FRAUD AND ABUSE. WE ALSO KNOW THAT THE COST OF INCARCERATING A COMMITTEE MEMBER WITH A MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES OR SUBSTANCE ABUSE ISSUE AND L.A. COUNTY JAILS IS FAR MORE EXPENSIVE THAN COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITY.

SO I JUST WANT TO UPLIFT THAT FRAMING AND IS APPRECIATED. AND I WERE TO COVER FUTURE IN MATERIAL TO INCORPORATE THAT FRAMING AND THANK YOU FOR TAKING ACTION TO WRITE THIS LETTER. IT HAS COMMUNITY SUPPORT AND I WANTED TO VOICE MY SUPPORT TO EACH MEMBER WHO'S WORKED ON THE LETTER THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU LAURA. JEAN HARRIS?

>> GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS JEAN HARRIS, I WORKED IN SUPERVISOR DISTRICT FIVE AND THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. I AM REACHING OUT FOR HELP REGARDING HIS SITUATION WITH A CLIENT THAT IS CURRENTLY ON PAROLE. AND CONTINUING TO EXPERIENCE SEVERE MENTAL IN A NEW THIS WAS PSYCHOSIS PICK HE IS ON HOW STUDIOS REQUIREMENT TO REGISTERING AS A OFFENDER. AND HIS FAMILY LIVES TOO CLOSE TO HIS SCHOOL. THE PAROLE OFFICER IS UNABLE TO FIND HOUSING A RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT.

HE WAS PRESERVED IS THE HOUSE AND SOBER LIVING HOME, THAT MOVED TO OUR LOCATION UNACCEPTABLE TO OUR REGISTERED OFFENDER. HIS FAMILY IS VERY SUPPORTIVE AND BELIEVES HE SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN COERCED TO PLEAD GUILTY DUE TO HIS MENTAL ILLNESS. THE PAROLE OFFICE DOES NOT HAVE ADEQUATE MENTAL HEALTH CARE AVAILABLE. ACCUSES THE CLIENTS OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE.

THE PSYCHIATRIST SAYS THE CLIENT IS LYING TO HIM AND DOESN'T WANT TO ENGAGE FURTHER. THE PAROLE THERAPIST MEETS WITH THE CLIENT BY PHONE AND OFTEN CANCELS APPOINTMENTS. L.A. COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH IS PAROLE THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR HIS HOUSING AND MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES.

HE IS ON PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION. THAT HE HAS BEEN ADHERING TO ACCORDING TO HIS MOTHER. SHE ALSO CLAIMS HE IS NOT ABUSING SUBSTANCES BUT HAS IN THE PAST. HE AS BEEN RECENTLY VOLUNTARILY HOSPITALIZED DUE TO PSYCHOSIS. HE HAS BEEN THREATENED WITH PAROLE VIOLATION DO TO MISSED MEETINGS DUE TO PSYCHOSIS.

HE IS NOT STABLE ENOUGH TO BE HOUSED IN A SHELTER AND HAS TRAUMA FROM PRIOR SHELTER EXPERIENCE. THIS IS CLEARLY A PATH TO REINCARCERATION.

LACK OF ADEQUATE MENTAL HEALTH CARE HAS BEEN AND CONTINUES TO BE A FACTOR IT SENDS PRIOR TO HIS ARREST AND INCARCERATION.

WHAT ARE SOME RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO HELP SOMEONE ON PAROLE, TO GET SUPPORT AND SERVICES THAT THEY ARE NOT GETTING? THANK YOU.

>> ANYMORE HANDS? SEEING NO HANDS ARRAYS BACK TO YOU JAM AND STEEL.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH THERE IS A MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO APPROVE THE LETTER WITH THE EDITS AND I WILL BRING IT TO A VOTE.

>> THIS IS A VOTE TO APPROVE THE LETTER WITH EDITS.

[ROLL CALL VOTE]

>> THE MOTION PASSES WITH 17 AYES

>> MEMBER SOTO I HAVE YOU, MEMBER LEWIS THAT I FORGET ANYBODY ELSE? THE MOTION PASSES WITH 17 AYE AND ONE ABSTENTION.

>> THIS IS FANTASTIC THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT AND YOUR ENGAGEMENT IN THIS. WE WILL MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS DRAFTED UP AND YOU GET A CHANCE TO GET ANOTHER VIEW OF HER BEFORE IT GOES OUT. WE WILL MAKE SURE SURE IT OUT. THAT'S FINE. THE NEXT PIECE ON THE AGENDA, IS COMING BACK AROUND TO THE YEAR THREE SPENDING PLAN, SO IN LAST WEEK'S MEETING WE HAD A PRESENTATION FROM SLS. THEY DID THEIR ADJUSTED FRAME DEEP CONVERSATION I'M SORRY THE PRESENTATION WE'RE GOING TO BRING NEXT WEEK. WHICH WILL GIVE US THE OUTLINE AND RESULTS OF THE PRIORITIZATION SURVEY.

THAT TOOK PLACE ALL THE WAY UP UNTIL MONDAY OF THIS WEEK. IT WAS ACTUALLY, JUST TAKING A FRONT SIDE LOOK AT WHAT WE ARE. IT WAS A GOOD ROBUST AMOUNT OF PARTICIPATION FROM THE COMMUNITY. I WANT TO THANK EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU, SO I SORROWS FROM THE VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS TO PUT THE WORD OUT. THE TRUST MESSAGES DID AN AMAZING

JOB AS WELL WITH THEIR WORK. AND SO WE WILL HAVE THE PRESENTATION WITH THE OUTCOMES LOOK LIKE.

BUT NEXT WEEK WILL ALSO BEGIN OUR PROCESS OF THE FUNDING ALLOCATIONS. SO THE LAST TIME THE FUNDING RECOMMENDATION I AM SORRY. VERY POINTED WITH MY WORDS AS YOU ARE ACTIVELY SPOT ON WITH THAT. WHEN WE LEFT THIS CONVERSATION, A FEW WEEKS AGO, WE WERE DELIBERATING, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT HOW WE WANT TO APPROACH THE DELIBERATION PROCESS?

YOU ALL CAME BACK WITH QUITE A FEW POINTED THOUGHTS ON THAT PICKS WE TABLED IT TO BRING IT BACK SO WE COULD HAVE A MORE ROBUST DISCUSSION FOR A LITTLE MORE TIME TO REENGAGE THERE. SO WE LET ME SHOW THIS REALLY QUICK. YOU HAVE THE PRESENTATION IN YOUR PACKETS HERE, BEFORE THE GOING TO THE PROCESS ITSELF WE SUGGESTED WAS DETERMINING THE AREAS OF FOCUS. WITH THE HIERARCHY MAY BE BASED ON COMMUNITY INPUT?

AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE GAPS IN THIS PRESENTATION I GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF INFORMATION THAT WAS BROUGHT TO US BY THE WAY OF SLS. I KNOW THAT IT THIS PAGE HERE, LOOKING AT THE FUNDING DISTRIBUTIONS BY DISTRICT AREA. EVEN WITH THE FUNDING SERVING GAPS LOOK LIKE. SLS PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION, AND WHAT WE HAVE ASKED THEM TO DO AS THEY COME BACK ON NEXT WEEK, IS TO ANALYZE NOT ONLY JUST YEAR TWO AND TAKE INTO ACCOUNT WITH YOUR ONE PICK

SO WE CAN HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING FULLY OF WHAT RESOURCES WE HAVE PROVIDED BY WAY OF SIX CFCI RECOMMENDATION PROCESS. SO WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE AND HOW DOES THAT FALL ALTOGETHER. SO THAT WE CAN, MAKE A DETERMINATION OF THE GAPS THAT WE WANT TO FEEL, BUT ALSO LIFT UP THE PRIORITIES OF THE COMMUNITY BRING TO US BY WAY OF THE PRIORITIZATION SURVEY AS WELL.

AGAIN, SO WE WANT TO DETERMINE THE AREAS OF FOCUS AND GOING TO BE NEXT WEEK. WEEK AFTER THAT WE CAN TO THE CONCEPTS. THIS WILL COME WITH SUPPORT FROM SLS WITH THE SPREADSHEET THAT WE ARE ABLE TO USE LAST YEAR IT GAVE A BLURB TO GIVE US A CHANCE TO TIER ONE, TWO, AND THREE WHAT WE WANT THE CONCEPTS TO BE AND DELIVERY TOGETHER ON THAT.

BUT WE WANT TO USE THE SURVEY DATA AND LISTENING SESSION DATA TO HELP FACILITATE THAT CONVERSATION TOO. ALL RIGHT SO IT IS NOT JUST US DOING IT ON OUR OWN, BUT WE HAVE A FRAME OF REFERENCE. THEN ONCE WE HAVE CHEERED IT THEN WE CAN ADJUST THE FUNDS. THE WEEK OF THREE/30, AND THEN BASED ON WHERE WE END UP WE WILL APPROVE THE SPENDING BEEN NO LATER 4/13. SOME OF THE QUESTIONS YOU BROUGHT IN LESSONS DISCUSSION WAS THE LEVEL TO WHICH THE COMMUNITIES IMPORT DRIVES A PROCESS.

WE DID NOT HAVE A TIME TALKED ABOUT LAST TIME SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE CHANCE TO KIND OF DISCUSS IT TOGETHER HERE. AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER QUESTION THAT I AM LOSING. I HAD IT FOR A SECOND PERIOD

PLEASE?

>> MEMBER CONTRERAS: I WAS WONDERING IF IT IS LOCATIONS WE ARE GOING TO ENGAGE THE COMMUNITY AND?

>> THAT IS RIGHT THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT. AND PART OF THAT CONVERSATION WAS HAVING COMMUNITY MEMBERS PARTICIPATE MORE FULLY IN THE PROCESS, DURING THE DELIBERATIONS, MONTH THAT WE WILL SPEND TIME DOING THIS, SO THEY CAN ENGAGE WITH US BY WAY OF THE THE POLLING PROCESS ON ZOOM AND THEN ALSO IN THE ROOM, NOW THAT MAKES IT WEIRD NOW, POLLING MADE IT REAL SIMPLE ONE IT WAS JUST ONLINE, SO THAT KIND OF CHANGES THE WHOLE ENERGY. WE WILL HAVE TO DISCUSS THAT. SO LET'S TAKE IT ONE STEP AT A TIME.

NUMBER ONE, FEEDBACK ON THE PROCESS, AND NUMBER TWO LET'S DIVE INTO HOW WE WANT TO USE THE COMMUNITY INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE GUARDED BY WAY OF THE SURVEY THE LISTENING SESSIONS. TO HELP GUIDE THE PROCESS, AND THEN WE CAN TALK DIRECTLY ABOUT HOW WE WANT TO HAVE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS WITH US.

SO FIRST ANY FEEDBACK? YOU GOT SOMETHING WESLEY? NO?

>> MEMBER LEWIS SPEAKING, JUST TO CLARIFY. REGRETTABLY I WILL NOT BE HERE I HAVE THE COMMITMENT. SO NEXT WEEK WE ARE GOING TO HEAR ALL OF THE IMPORT ORGANIZED IN THE WAY THAT THE SHORTEST LAST WEEK AND THEN YOU ARE ASKING US NEXT WEEK IN THE FOLLOWING WEEK, IS THAT WITH THE HOMEWORK IS SUPPOSED TO HAPPEN?

I WE GOING TO GET THE INFORMATION THAT WEEK?

>> WE WILL GET THE INFORMATION NEXT WEEK, AND THE HOMEWORK WILL BE TO STUDY THE DATA, AND LISTENING SESSIONS, SO WE WILL SEE THE HIERARCHY FROM THE COMMUNITY'S, AND SEE HOW WE FEEL ABOUT THE HIERARCHY IN THE AREAS OF FOCUS BASED ON THE RESULTS THAT WE GOT FROM THE SURVEY.

WE WILL WALK OUT OF THIS SPACE WITH AN IDENTIFICATION OF THE LEVELS THAT WE WANT. BECAUSE THAT IS BASED OFF OF WITH THE FUNDING GAPS ARE FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR, IT IS ALSO BASED ON WITH THE COMMUNITY IS ALSO PRIORITY TIMES WAY OF SURVEY, AND THEN OUR INPUT IN THE MEETING NEXT WEEK. THE HOMEWORK NEXT WEEK WILL BE TO STUDY THE INFORMATION IN THE RESULTS BECAUSE WE HAVE TWO TO THE CONCERT.

>> I AM POSSESSING THE HOMEWORK IS BETWEEN MARCH 16 IN THE 23RD?

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

>> ON THE 23RD WILL HAVE CONVERSATIONS AROUND WHAT WILL RISE NO, WHAT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS A PART OF THAT 100 MILLION?

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

>> I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO GO ONE BY ONE BECAUSE I HAVE AN ANSWER TO YOUR SECOND QUESTION. SO THIS IS REALLY A QUESTION FOR ALL OF THE BODY, ARE WE WILLING TO IF THERE IS SOME VERY CLEAR PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS AND OR CATEGORIES WHERE THE SCORE IS MAKE IT VERY CLEAR THAT THE COMMUNITY IS SUPPORTING THESE. ALL WE WILLING TO START THE CONVERSATION ONLY CONSIDERING THE ONES THAT ARE VERY CLEARLY ROBUSTLY SUPPORTED BY THE COMMUNITY? IS THE QUESTION I AM POSING TO ALL OF US. BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN DO IT IN TWO WEEKS. I THINK WE SHOULD THAT IS MY OPINION.

I KNOW THERE IS A LOT OF TREPIDATION AROUND THAT, AND ALL OF THE THINGS THAT WE ARE, WE BRING TO THE SPACES STARTS TO SHOW UP. AND WHEN WE HAVE THESE CONVERSATIONS IT IS NOT A BAD THING, BUT ARE WE GOING TO ALLOW THAT TO REALLY DRIVE OUR DISCUSSIONS?

THAT IS A QUESTION I WANT TO POSE TO THE GROUP THAT IS OKAY AND SEE WHAT FOLKS HAVE TO SAY ABOUT THAT. BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT I THINK IT SHOULD LOOK LIKE.

>> MEMBER CYRUS: I THINK THAT IS AN IMPORTANT QUESTION, AND IT LEADS TO ME TOO MY QUESTION WHICH WAS ON THE SLIDE AROUND THE FUND A TWO SURVEY GAP. TYPICALLY I UNDERSTAND THE GAP WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHERE WE ARE AND WE WILL WE NEED TO BE. SO GET TO MEMBER LEWIS' QUESTION ABOUT YOU KNOW FLIP THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU COMMUNITY FEEDBACK IS DRIVING THIS PROCESS.

IS A PRIMARILY OR ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS OF OTHERS? HOW DO WE GET AT THESE IDENTIFY GAPS? AND ONE OF THE REASONS I MENTION THIS IS BECAUSE THE HARM REDUCTION SPECIFICALLY, WHICH OUR SERVICES YOU KNOW UNDER

PUBLIC HEALTH AS WELL AS THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE, THIS HAS BEEN SOMETHING HISTORICALLY WE HAVE NOT ADEQUATELY INVESTED IN. AND SO THE HISTORY OF OUR INVESTMENTS, DOESN'T INEXORABLY REFLECT WHERE WE NEED TO BE, IT IS ALSO AMONG A NETWORK OF COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE USED TO OPERATING VIA SHOESTRING BUDGETS. AND WE WILL NEED TIME TO GROW INTO THE BUDGETS THAT WE REALLY WANT TO SET FOR THEM.

IN THESE INSTANCES I SUSPECT THAT THIS APPLIES TO OTHER CATEGORIES THERE ALSO MAY BE SOME UNIQUENESS. TWENTY FOR ME, THE HARM REDUCTION AND THE WAY IT IS PRESENTED HERE MAKES IT SEEMS LIKE IT IS OVERFUNDED, AND I JUST DON'T THINK THAT IS TRUE, SO I TAKE THAT AND CIRCLE BACK TO VERONICA'S, YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT COMMUNITY INPUT IS A VERY, VERY IMPORTANT VARIABLE. FOR MOST TO MAKE IT THE VARIABLE, I AM NOT SAYING I WOULD NOT SUPPORT THAT AT ALL BUT I AM SAYING I WE NEED TO CONSIDER SOME OF THE OTHER FACTORS THAT MAY COME INTO PLAY

>> THANK YOU

>> THIS IS MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: TWO COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO MEMBER TSAI AND MEMBER LEWIS, ON MEMBER SIDE, I DON'T KNOW IF I MISSED IT AND KNOW MY ALTERNATE WAS AT THE MEETING LAST WEEK SO I APOLOGIZE IF I AM BEHIND I DID NOT QUITE UNDERSTAND ALSO HOW THE FUNDING GAPS WERE CALCULATED AND ON THIS LIGHT THAT IS SHOWING ON THE SCREEN RIGHT NOW I DON'T KNOW IF SOMEONE COULD WALK US THROUGH THAT.

BECAUSE I COULD NOT MAKE THEM WORK AT LEAST LOOKING AT THE NUMBERS FROM THE GRID SO JUST TAKING THE EXAMPLE THAT MEMBER SHARED IF YOU LOOK AT FARM REDUCTION IT WAS FUNDING IF I AM READING THIS RATE OF \$2.4 MILLION, WHICH IS ONE OF THE LOWER'S ALLOCATIONS OR THE LOWEST ALLOCATION CHART.

BUT THEN IT SHOWS UP AS OVERFUNDED ON THE BAR CHART SO I DON'T KNOW IF SOMEONE IS MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE IN I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF WE ARE GOING TO BE DEFINED IT AS A GAP WHAT IS HAPPENING, THAT IS MY FIRST QUESTION, AND THAT IN RESPONSE TO MEMBER LEWIS I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL THAT YOU PUT FORWARD, I THINK IT WOULD MAKE BOTH IT POSSIBLE TO DO THIS IN THE TIME OF THE LAUDED IF WE START AS A STARTING PLATE THE FACT THAT WE GET FROM COMMUNITY.

I THINK WE COULD USE THAT AS THE STRAW TO WORK FROM AND MAKE ADJUSTMENTS FROM THERE, VERSUS STARTING FROM WHAT I MIGHT NEED FROM SCRATCH OR POTENTIALLY STARTING FROM THIS WHICH AGAIN I DON'T FULLY UNDERSTAND BUT I THINK STARTING FROM WHAT THE COMMUNITY OFFERS UP IS WHAT THEY FEEL LIKE OTHER PRIORITIES.

IT WOULD BE IN MY VIEW APPROPRIATE WAY TO DO THIS.

>> THE FUNDING TO SURVEY GAP, LAST YEAR, THERE WAS A SURVEY THAT WAS DONE, ASKING THE COMMUNITY TO IDENTIFY SPACES THAT THEY WANTED TO SEE RESOURCED. AND BASED OFF OF THE RESULTS VERSUS WHAT THE FUNDING ALLOCATION RECOMMENDATIONS WERE. THAT WAS THE GAP, THAT IS THE GAP THAT THIS IS. THIS IS NOT A MEASUREMENT OF HOW WE SUGGESTED IT. THE RESOURCE SHOULD BE VERSUS WHAT THE NEED WAS.

THAT'S IS WAS NOT THIS IS MEASURING. THIS IS MEASURING THE SURVEY RESULTS VERSUS THE ALLOCATION RESULTS. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE? SO THE POINT OF HAVING THIS YEAR WAS TO IT WAS A FRAMING OF THE QUESTION OF LIGHT. I WILL WE BEING RESPONSIVE TO WITH THE COMMUNITY IS ASKING FOR. IT IS A DIFFERENT QUESTION ABOUT HOW ARE WE BEING RESPONSIVE TO WITHIN HE ACTUALLY IS? AND THAT IS A LEASE THAT IS HOW I AM AND STANDING WHAT YOU ARE SAYING THERE IS A NUANCE OF QUESTION.

BUT I SHOULD ALSO ADD IN MENTION, THAT IS THE REASON WHY WE ARE HERE TO ADD THIS. TO MEMBER LEWIS' QUESTION AND SUGGESTION OF MEETING WITH COMMUNITY YES, I AGREE WITH THAT ACTUALLY, BUT WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO LIFT UP SOME OF THE ADDITIONAL NEEDS THAT THE COMMUNITY MAY NOT NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE FINDING THE MIDDLE.

BUT COUNTER TO WHAT MANY OF THE SPACES ARE, WHERE ARE THE DECISIONS BEING MADE IN THE COMMUNITY VOICE IS NOT THAT WE CAN MAKE SURE THE COMMUNITY VOICES A LEADING FACTOR BUT WE DO. OH, HIM TO MEMBER STEVENS.

>> MEMBER STEVENS: A COUPLE OF THINGS ONE IS WHO IS COMMUNITY? WHAT AREA, WHAT COMMUNITY ARE WE SPEAKING OF? I AM LOOKING AT THIS CHART. AND THIS GOT MY ATTENTION BECAUSE, IT SAYS THAT THE FOCUS AREAS ARE DISTRICT THREE DISTRICT ONE. AND I CAN'T HELP BUT THINK ABOUT THE SECOND DISTRICT. SUBSTANCE ABUSE NUMBERS ARE HI, AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENT IN REFERENCE TO HARM REDUCTION. APPEARING TO BE, WHEN WE KNOW YOU LOOK ON TELEVISION LAST LAST NIGHT. PEOPLE ARE DYING FROM TRANQUILIZERS OF HORSES.

AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE MISUSE, HOWEVER YOU WANT TO FRAME IT, HAS BEEN A CHALLENGE IN OUR COMMUNITIES FOR A MIGHTY LONG TIME. SO IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE ARE UNDERSTANDING WHO, BECAUSE EVERYONE AND EVERY VOICE I WANT TO MAKE SURE I AM VERY CLEAR. EVERYBODY WHO PARTICIPATES, EVERY VOICE IS IMPORTANT. THE QUESTION IS, DO WE KNOW THE DEMOGRAPHICS? OF THE IMPORT THAT IS ACTUALLY BEING COLLECTED.

>> I UNDERSTAND THAT, I WILL COME TO MEMBER CRUNK.

>> MEMBER CRUNK: I WILL BE QUICK AND THAT WAS MY QUESTION, HOW DO WE DETERMINE THE COMMUNITY ARE WE ONLY ENGAGING WITH THE COMMUNITY THAT ENGAGES WITH US? I AM IN OUTREACH COORDINATOR. SO ARE WE GOING

TO THE COMMUNITY TO GET THAT QUESTION? YOU KNOW EVEN THE PEOPLE THAT GATHER THE DATA, ARE THEY EVEN, I WANT TO SAY THIS AS PROFESSIONAL AS POSSIBLE HAVE A DATE AND HAVE THE PASS BUILD THAT COMMUNITY. SO LIKE WHAT DO WE GET, WHAT CONSIDERING COMMUNITY.

>> I THINK YOU ARE ABOUT TO DO TO ANSWER THE QUESTION AND I WILL COME AROUND. I WILL COME BACK TO AS WELL. I AM NOT SURE WHAT YOU THOUGHT. BUT THINKING I AM WONDERING WHERE SOME OF THIS CAME FROM.

BECAUSE REMEMBER WHEN THESE CONCERTS WERE PROPOSED THERE WILL PROPOSE TO SERVE CERTAIN AREAS. BUT THEY ENDED UP TURNING INTO UP A SOLICITATION IS.

WHERE THERE'S A POSSIBILITY TO SERVE ALL OF THE AREAS DEPENDING ON WHERE THE PROPOSAL CAME FROM. SO I AM NOT SURE BEING ABLE TO READ THIS BY DISTRICT IS NECESSARILY APPROPRIATE GIVEN THE FACT THAT PEOPLE PLAN TO SERVE CERTAIN AREAS, BUT WE MADE THOSE PROPOSALS VAGUE SO EVERYBODY'S ABLE TO APPLY TO KEEP THAT IN MIND.

>> THANK YOU FOR SAYING THAT, AND TO THAT END, I WANT TO LET ME TAKE RESPONSIBILITY THAT WAS A CONTEXT I GAVE THE PRESENTATION IN. THAT IS OUT OF CONTEXT IN THIS CONVERSATION RIGHT? AND AGAIN THIS WAS TO SHOW THAT WE COULD, WE WERE TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE GETTING THE DATA FROM SLS, WE ARE NARROWING DOWN THE QUESTION. HOW ARE WE RESPONDING TO WITH COMMUNITIES IDENTIFYING? AND WE ARE DOING IT DIFFERENTLY THIS YEAR, BY LIFTING OF COMMUNITY VOICES IN THE PROCESS.

THAT'S WITH THE TRUSTED MESSAGES CAME INTO GETTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE FIRST PLACE. AND THEN HELPING TO FACILITATE AND FOCUS THEIR OUTREACH EFFORTS, BY WAY OF USING THE RD. OVER IDENTIFYING WITH THE NEED IS, SO WE CAN MAKE SURE THAT THOSE VOICES ARE BEING

BROUGHT FORWARD TO THE CONVERSATION TOO. MANY OF THOSE ORGANIZATIONS TO HAVE IT I'LL BE HONEST.

THESE ORGANIZATIONS HOW TO REACH INTO THE SPACES. IN FACT, HE WAS SUPPORTING THE WORK THAT THEY WERE DOING IN THE OUTREACH EFFORTS THAT THEY DID IN THEIR LISTENING SESSIONS TO. I AM REALLY EXCITED TO GET BACK TO INFORMATION THAT SLS IS GOING TO BE DEVISED BY WAY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS. TO KIND OF HERE FROM THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES THAT WE WANTED TO HEAR FROM FOR THIS, IT WILL BE WAY MORE ROBUST FROM A DATA STANDPOINT OF THE SITES WE HAVE IT.

I APOLOGIZE FOR NEEDS NOT BEING ABLE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS THAT YOU ARE ASKING RIGHT NOW BUT WILL HAVE BETTER ANSWERS NEXT WEEK COOL GOT IT?

>> TWO QUICK COMMENTS JUST GOING BACK TO MEMBER LEWIS AND MEMBER GHALY COMMENTS. I DO SUPPORT THAT WE START WITH WHERE THE COMMUNITY IS. I DON'T THINK THAT ACTUALLY WHAT I WAS SAYING NECESSARILY WAS DIFFERENT THAN THAT. BECAUSE I THINK THAT WE CAN START WITH WHERE THE COMMUNITY IS AND STILL TO THIS BODY AND THROUGH FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMUNITY, EVOLVE AND INCLUDE OTHER VARIABLES, TO LAND WHERE WE NEED TO BE HERE.

MY SECOND COMMENT IS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT, I THINK ANOTHER VARIABLE IS THE TABLE THAT MEMBER SEEING AND SILENT WHEN I LOOK AT THIS, THIS IS THE CFCI FUNDING. THERE ARE INSTANCES WHERE OTHER FUNDING IS SUPPORTING DIFFERENT DISTRICTS AND DIFFERENT TYPE OF SERVICES THAT ARE NEEDED. THAT'S WHY UNLESS CFCI FUNDING WAS NEEDED. THOSE TYPES OF NUANCE CONSIDERATIONS, I THINK THIS BODY SHOULD CONSIDER AS WE MOVE FORWARD.

>> I AM GLAD YOU SAID THAT BECAUSE, FOR INSTANCE, SO WE TAKE AN ASSESSMENT OF AND ALSO IDENTIFYING WHAT THE NEEDS ARE. WE WILL

UNDERSTAND AS WE ALLOCATE YEAR THREE? SO I APPRECIATE YOU RAISING OUT THE WAY THAT YOU DID.

>> MEMBER LEWIS SPEAKING: YEAH, I MEAN, FOR CONTACTS IN TERMS OF HOW WE THINK ABOUT HOW WE MOVE THROUGH THE PROCESS, FOR THE FIRST TWO YEARS THE COMMITTEES TO THE SCORING. IF YOU ALL RECALL, OUR COMMITTEE WASN'T ASKED TO DO THAT THIS YEAR, AS OPPOSED TO START WITH THE THINGS THAT ROSE TO THE TOP, BASED ON THE COMMITTEE PERSPECTIVE WE LITERALLY ARE DOING THE SAME THING EXCEPT THAT SHARE IS BASED ON THE COMMUNITY RESPONSES. AND WHEN I SAY COMMUNITY WHOEVER THE GENERAL PUBLIC TELLS US TO RESPOND. I HOPE WE WILL SEE WHAT THAT BREAKDOWN IS BASED ON INFORMATION AVAILABLE. IT IS THE SAME PROCESS EXCEPT WE DID NOT TRY THAT, THE COMMUNITIES IMPORT IS GOING TO DRIVE.

THE OTHER THING I WANT TO SAY WE TALK ABOUT RIGHT NOW AS WE MOVE FORWARD FOR THE FUTURE YEARS, NONE OF THESE ARE LINEAR. SOME OF THESE THINGS A BEHAVIOR HEALTH AND HOUSING. I KNOW YOU ALL KNOW THAT IF IT IS IN THIS CATEGORY THAT IS ALL IT IS, IT DOESN'T ADDRESS HOUSING OR BEHAVIOR HEALTH. THERE IS A LOT OF COPPER ANSWER SERVICES AND CONCEPTS OR PROGRAMS SPECIFICALLY FUNDED.

ALSO AS WE LOOK TOWARDS THE DATA THAT WE SEE IN THE COMING WEEKS, THESE ARE NOT JUST FLAT PROGRAMS, AND I THINK THAT GETS LOST AS WELL. SO OF COURSE FOR OUR COMMUNITY IS GOING TO MAKE SPACE FOR VARIABLES, BUT JUST REMEMBER, BEHAVIOR HEALTH MAY BE HELD ZING AND HOUSING MAY BE A REAL SPIRIT RESORT OF JUSTICE DOES ALL KIND OF JUMBO.

I THINK WE CAN DO A BETTER JOB MAY BE BEING THOUGHTFUL IN THE FUTURE AROUND HOW WE CAPTURED THOSE NUANCES. I KNOW WE ARE WORKING UNDER THE GUIDE OF THE CATEGORIES THAT WE ARE GIVEN BECAUSE THIS BUDGET POLICY. I JUST WANT TO REMIND FOLKS IT IS A LOT MORE NUANCED IS THAT AS

WELL. AS WE LOOK AT THE CONCEPT THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE SEEING IN THE COMING WEEKS.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO COMING BACK TO THE DELIBERATION PROCESS. I THINK MEMBER LEWIS' FIRST QUESTION ABOUT WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE? HOW WILL WE GO ABOUT THIS? I AM GETTING A STRONG SENSE TO THE SECOND QUESTION AROUND HOW WE PRIORITIZE AND COMMUNITY VOICE OR NOT? AND IT SOUNDS LIKE THAT IS A YES WE ARE.

I WANT TO WITH THAT. IS THERE ANY ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS TO EITHER ONE OF THOSE? ABOUT THE PROCESS ITSELF AND ALSO I'M SORRY I APOLOGIZE IF I SKIPPED YOU.

>> I AGREE WE HAVE TO HAVE COMMUNITY VOICES IT SHOULD BE THAT TO START WITH. BUT AGAIN AS A PRIVATE UP AND THEN YOU MENTIONED. HOW ARE WE CAPTURING THOSE VOICES? IF THE COUNT IS 10 MILLION MONDAY JOBS IN MARKETING AND I DO SERVICE AND PULLING AND THAT SORT OF THING. THAT IS PART OF MY JOB. DO WE HAVE 200,000 VOICES OUT OF 10 MILLION TO BE REPRESENTED? SO I BROUGHT THAT QUESTION UP LAST TIME I DON'T EVEN REMEMBER? SLS SAID THEY WOULD PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION.

I AM LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT INFORMATION. THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT.

>> YES, I UNDERSTAND. OKAY I'M GOING TO I HEAR NO MORE IMPORTANT ON THE FIRST TWO ITEMS OF THIS DISCUSSION. THE THIRD ONE IS NO WAY THAT THIS IS SET UP, WE WILL BE DONE BY LIKE FOUR/13, THERE REALLY IS ONLY A PREMIUM ACCOUNT TO WEEK TO DO TO PRESENT OUR RECOMMENDATIONS.

OUR SLS, WE WILL TALK MORE ABOUT THIS NEXT WEEK THEIR SUGGESTION DOING TOWN HALL. TO SHARE WITH THE REASON WHY THE IDEA OF THE TOWN ALL MADE SENSE IS BECAUSE WE WERE ANTICIPATING ENGAGE IN THE DELIBERATION PROCESS WITH US. SO WE WERE TO PROVIDE INPUT PARTICULARLY OF PAIN POINTS FOR DISCUSSION.

WE CANNOT MAKE A DECISION, WE CAN BRING IT TO THE COMMUNITY TO GIVE SOME INPUT. PART OF THAT, WHEN WE DISCUSSED THIS LAST TIME, IT WAS MENTIONED AND KIND OF SUPPORTED OF LIKE WELL, WHEN WE HAVE THOSE ISSUES. WE CAN BRING IT TO THE COMMUNITY BY WAY OF LIKE A PULLING BETWEEN THE MEETINGS. WE CAN BRING THAT INFORMATION BACK TO THE NEXT MEETING TO KIND OF HELP AND THAT I MOVE THE CONVERSATION ALONG?

I THINK THAT ACTUALLY MIGHT MAKE MORE SENSE NOW THAT WE ARE IN A HYBRID SPACE RATHER THAN BEING IN A VIRTUAL SPACE. BECAUSE I THINK THE LIFE AND PERSON IMPORT, IT BECOMES A LITTLE BIT MORE DIFFICULT. YOU ARE TRYING TO MEASURE WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE CROWD. ALSO WHAT IS HAPPENING ON ZOOM, WHICH MAY BECOME MORE OF A CHALLENGE. SO I RAISE THIS TO THE BODY HOW YOU ALL WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE ENGAGING COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN THE PROCESS?

>> MEMBER LEWIS SPEAKING, GIVING THAT THERE IS TWO WEEKS THAT YOU ARE PROPOSING YOU FOR US TO MAKE THE DECISION. ONE OF THE THINGS TO CONSIDER ESPECIALLY IF IT IS NOT A REGULAR MEETING. IS TO AS OPPOSED TO SLS GATHERING INFORMATION AND BRING IT BACK TO US. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I KNOW WE DID IS ONE YEAR HAVE THE LISTENING SESSIONS ON WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT AT THE SAME TIME. SO THAT OUR MEMBERS CAN BE TO SPEAK.

WE FIGURED OUT I DON'T HOW WE DID IT? WE FIGURED IT IT WASN'T TO MINUTES, BUT ALL OF US WERE ABLE TO BE THERE AND STILL LISTEN. AND I DON'T REMEMBER THE LEGALITY AROUND HOW WE DID IT, GIVEN YOUR TRUNCATED TRIDENTINE FRAME MAY BE THAT MAKE SENSE SO WE CAN HEAR DIRECTLY AS OPPOSED TO HAVING TO WAIT FOR THE INFORMATION, AND WE DON'T HAVE TIME FOR ALL OF THOSE THINGS TO HAPPEN. I DON'T KNOW HOW WE DID IT BUT THAT IS NOT POTENTIALLY.

>> IN PERSON LISTENING SESSIONS ARE VIRTUAL? I AM TRYING TO GO BACK IN MY MIND OPPONENT PROCESSES?

>> I THINK THERE SHOULD BE HYBRID I DON'T HOW WE DID IT WE SHOULD DO IT WE WANTED MANY OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WANTED TO HEAR DIRECTLY THAT'S HOW WE ARRIVED AT IT, SO WE CAN FIGURE IT OUT I DO NOT KNOW HOW WE DID IT? AND I THINK IT WOULD BE HYBRID? WE WOULD BE SUBJECTED OF BEING HERE AND IT'S ONE OF THE TWO EXCEPTIONS. BUT IT CAN BE A HYBRID APPROACH.

>> FOR CLARIFICATION AT LEAST WHEN I'M HEARING YOU CAN CORRECT ME. GETTING FEEDBACK, IS THAT USING THE PUBLIC, PROCESS THEN?

>> WE STRUCTURED IT IN THE WAY BECAUSE WE DID NOT WANT TO WAIT. WE DID NOT WANT TO HAVE TO GET THE SYNTHESIS COMMITTEE MEMBERS WANTED TO HEAR THE INPUT DIRECTLY. AND SO WE STRUCTURED, I DON'T KNOW IF I CALL IT A LISTENING SESSION. SOMEHOW WE FIGURED OUT MORE THAN CORN COULD BE THERE. AND WE CAN FIGURE THAT OUT. IT IS AN ACTUAL TOWN HALL LISTENING SESSION.

WE ARE PRESENT, BUT WE ARE LISTENING MORE ESSENTIAL

>> INTENDING TO TAKE ACTION EVEN IF THERE WAS MORE OF A QUORUM EVEN IF WHAT THE MEMBER WAS. WE COULD BE THERE AND LISTEN BUT IT WAS RUN BY A FACILITATOR, AND PEOPLE WERE JUST THINKING ABOUT IT AND THERE WERE NOT THE TIME LIMITS FROM THE BROWN ACT. AND I DON'T KNOW IF ANYBODY FROM COUNTY COUNSEL HAD GONE. SHOULD THEY WILL REMIND US ON THAT WORD, WE WILL ALL ABLE TO SIT AND LISTEN AND AND IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY THEY WERE MAYBE TWO OR THREE OF THEM THAT WERE SET UP, IT WAS UNLIMITED, YOU CAN GO TO HIS MANAGER WANTED.

WAS NO SPEAKING IN ON DELIBERATION AT THOSE PARTICULAR MEETINGS.

>> GOT IT, SO IN A WE TALK AND AFTER WE COME UP WITH THIS? OR THIS IS DURING THE OPERATION PROCESS?

>> I WAS TRYING TO RESPOND TO THE YOUR INTEREST IN MAKING SURE THAT WE HAVE INPUT ON OUR FINAL DRAFT PLAN.

AND I AM SUGGEST THAT WE MAY NOT HAVE TIME FOR MULTIPLE BUT YOU CAN DO IT THAT WAY SO WE CAPTURED INFORMATION SO OUR DISCUSSION WE CAN GO INTO DISCUSSION WE DON'T HAVE TO WAIT FOR SOMEBODY TO SYNTHESIZE AND BRING IT BACK TO US. AND THAT'S THE WAY WE LOOK AT OUR TIMESLOT.

>> CAN YOU REMIND US WHEN THE FINAL DATE IS WHEN THE PLAN NEEDS TO BE SUBMITTED?

>> APRIL 20 IS WHAT WE ARE LOOKING TO GET THIS DONE. AND THAT IS I'M SORRY IT IS FURTHER THAN THAT. IT IS THE 27TH OF APRIL 27.

>> I FEEL LIKE THERE IS ROOM TO CREATE A CALENDAR WE GET INITIAL INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY FOLLOWING MEMBER LEWIS AND SUGGESTION. I HAVE THAT FORM FROM A STARTING POINT CAN THERE BE A MEETING FOR DISCUSSIONS OR SUGGESTIONS MODIFICATIONS OR PETITIONS OR WHATEVER.

COME UP WITH THE DRAFT PLAN TO GO THROUGH A WEEK OR TWO OF LISTENING SAME SESSIONS AND STILL HAVE PLENTY OF TIME I THINK PRIOR TO THAT LINE?

>> I LIKE THAT ACTUALLY. SO AS A AS A FOLLOW-UP AGAIN, MEMBER LEWIS IS SUGGESTING OUR TIMEFRAME, ON 4-20. THAT IS A SPECIAL MEETING. OF DOING IT IN A HYBRID WAY, WHERE CAN BE IN THE LISTENING MODE AND TAKE IN THE INFORMATION. YOU ARE SAYING MEMBER GHALY, IS I'M SORRY. OKAY, OKAY. SO WE CAN DO THE HYBRID TOWN HALL ON THE 20TH.

>> WHAT IS HAPPENING ON THE FOURTH, IN THE 13TH? I'M SORRY THE SIXTH, AND THE 13TH? IT SEEMS LIKE WE WILL HAVE POTENTIALLY A DRAFT SPENDING PLANNED BY THE 30TH. AND THEN WE COULD USE THOSE FIRST TWO WEEKS OF APRIL TO DO THE LISTENING SESSIONS.

>> THE THING IS, THE REASON WHY THE APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT SPENDING PLAN HAPPENS ON THE SIX OF THE 13TH, IS BEING AS IT DEPENDS IF WE NEED MORE TIME. THE OTHER PART OF THE COMMITMENT TO YOU ALL IS NOT TO HAVE THESE MEETINGS GO TO SEVEN AND 8:00 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT.

>> THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING I'M TRYING TO AVOID THAT. SO THE THINKING ON THAT IS IF SAY FOR INSTANCE WE HAVE NOT FINISHED BY 323 AND WE HAVE TO GO TO 330, WE HAVE UNTIL THE 13TH TO GET AN APPROVAL OF THE BODY OR A PLAN. THAT FOLLOWING WEEK WILL BE THE ONLY WEEK IF WE GET AN EARLY, AND BECAUSE OF THE COMMUNITY HAS DONE AN EXCELLENT JOB. IN THE MINISTRY FOR FOR US.

WE CAN COME UP WITH THE DECISION BY THE SIX, THAT GIVES US MORE TIME TO DO A COUPLE TOWN HALLS AND ALL THE THINGS YOU WANT TO DO. BUT IT REALLY COMES DOWN TO TO DELIVER THE PROCESS. YOU GOT IT.

>> MOST LIKELY 4-6 TO FINALIZE IT. I POTENTIALLY 4-13 IS WHERE YOU HAVE THE DAY WHERE WE HAVE THE LISTENING SESSION, 420 WE COME BACK, HAVE A CONVERSATION AROUND THE LISTENING SESSION FINALIZE IT AND WE CAN SUBMIT IT. YOU KNOW BY LATE APRIL.

>> SO HOW DO YOU ALL FEEL ABOUT THE HYBRID LISTENING SESSION? BEING TOWN HALL PIECE I THINK IT IS A SOLID IDEA. BECAUSE IT IS NOT AN ADDITIONAL MEETING WE ACTUALLY COULD USE THE SPACE AS YOU KNOW AND INVITE EVERYBODY TO PARTY NORMS AND?

>> THE MORE CONSIDERATION AND SOLACE IS ON THE TIMEFRAME WE COULD POSSIBLY FACILITATE THE LISTENING SESSION. SO IT DOESN'T SEND THE COUNTY INTO A NEED TO ADJUST THE CONTRACT ASK

>> YES, THAT IS TRUE. OKAY DONE. I CAN DO THAT.

>> WHAT THAT WAS THE SLS AND SO MANY EVENTS THEY ARE ON CHARGE OF. IN THE EVENT TONIGHT PAYS THAT CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION, WE CAN DO IT

RATHER THAN SLS. ANY ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS ON THIS AS WELL? THIS IS AN ACTION ITEM AS WELL, SO THE THOUGHT IS TO APPROVE THIS PROCESS, SO SO, I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE THINKING ON THE WAY THROUGH. ALREADY COOL WE GOT TO GO. SO IS THERE A MOTION TO DELIVER THIS PROCESS THAT IS ALIGNED WOULD BE WILLING TO MOVE? IS THERE MOTION?

>> I MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE ACTION PLAN.

>> THE MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND SECONDED SCREEN FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. THIS WILL BE PUBLIC COMMENT AND GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> JUST TO VERIFY THIS TO APPROVE THE COMMITTEE'S PROCESS SPENDING PLAN NEXT

>> YES AND GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> AS REMINDER PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IS ONE MINUTE PER PERSON TELEPHONE STAR STAR NINE 60 THEM YOURSELF COMPUTER USE A SCROLL TO THE REACTION AT THE BOTTOM TAB. TO WRITE FIND A RECENT FUTURE. WE ARE CALLING YOU IN ORDER TO RAISE YOUR HAND. THESE ROOMS THAT YOUR FULL NAME. ALSO GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT [INDISCERNIBLE]. WE ARE CALLING YOU THAT WE ARE THIS REMEMBER THE STATE YOUR FULL NAME IS THAT WITH ANYBODY IN THE ROOM DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS IN A ROW? SEEING NO HANDS IN THE ROOM WE WILL GO TO VIRTUAL. I SEE HECTOR.

>> HECTOR RAMIREZ, I MAKE MISTAKES I'M NOT PERFECT I WANT TO CORRECT THE RECORD. IT IS NOT WHEN SENT PER RESIDENCE IS \$10 PER RESIDENT. THAT WE ARE ASKING THE LIMITS OF THIS SO I JUST WANT TO CORRECT THAT. BECAUSE EVEN AS A COMMITTEE MEMBER, YOU HAVE TO REMEMBER ON A SHOW UP TO DO THIS. I DON'T GET PAID, I DON'T HAVE AN ECONOMIC INTEREST BESIDES THE ADDITIONAL PROMISE THAT THE COUNTY DID. AS WE ENTER THIS PROCESS.

THIS WAS GOING TO BE COMMUNITY CENTERED APPROACH A PERSON CENTER APPROACH. AND THAT COMMUNITY PROVIDED THIS IDEA AND I REALLY HAVE FULL

FAITH IN THE AGE INSENSIBILITY TO CONDUCT THIS WORK. HAVING REALLY GIVEN THEM A HARD TIME AND SEEING THEM ADJUST.

SEEING MY COMMUNITY SHOW OFF. BUT JUST LIKE THE DOCTOR WAS MANAGING, MAYBE THAT DEPARTMENTS NEED TO DO MORE ABOUT INVESTMENT TO HELP THEIR STAKEHOLDERS. BEING ABLE TO ATTEND THOSE MEETINGS. BECAUSE RIGHT NOW WE DON'T HAVE THAT SUPPORT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANYMORE HANDS? SEEING NO MORE HANDS RAISED IS BACK TO YOU CHAIRMAN STEELE.

>> FANTASTIC THANK YOU VERY MUCH MOTION IS ON THE FLOOR. IT HAS BEEN SECONDED CAN WE BRING IT TO A VOTE.

>> THIS IS THE VOTE TO APPROVE THE PROCESS TO CREATE A THREE-YEAR SPENDING PLAN.

[ROLL CALL VOTE]

>> THE MOTION PASSES WITH 17 AYES.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH THAT CONCLUDES THE MEETING THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND ATTENTION.