

**CFCI ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**3/2/2023**  
**CAPTIONED BY TOTAL RECALL, [www.yourcaptioner.com](http://www.yourcaptioner.com)**

**4:00PM**

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Can we please take roll? Welcome, everyone. I know this is a new energy and space we are in. I try to avoid coming downtown as much as I can. It is unavoidable at this point.

Thank you for making it. Please take roll. We are still on virtual as well.

>>: This is a public meeting. Subject to the brown act. Since people on the phone can't view the chat, the chat function is limited to tech assistance. No response or forwarding of public comments.

The members of the public would like to provide comment. Please do during the public comment period or the general public period. Captions. Cart services are available. You may access them by clicking on the link provided in the chat.

Raise hand instructions. Accessing Zoom through a browser or smart phone, scroll reactions. You will see raise hand feature. When accessing Zoom, scroll to more. It should be three buttons. You will be able to see the drop down menu.

Telephone dial information will be available on the chat. Participants can press star nine to raise their hand and star six to unmute. For Spanish, select Spanish on the globe Icon.

Written public comments are to be submitted. It should be submitted by 5:00 PM the day before the meeting. Public comments will be shared prior to the meeting and reflected in the minutes. Written comments after 5:00 PM will be made part of the public of the meeting.

This ends the reading of the meeting disclosures. Back to you.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Thank you. I appreciate you turning. Thank you for the reading. Before we get into the roll, let us take roll, please. Let us just do that.

MEMBER ARMSTEAD: Present.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Present.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Present.

>>: Present.

MEMBER CRUNK: Present.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Present.

MEMBER EARLEY: Present.

MEMBER FERRER: Present.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Present.

MEMBER GARCIA: Present.

MEMBER GHALY: Present.

>>: Present.

MEMBER LEWIS: Present.

MEMBER LOBIANCO: Present.

MEMBER SCHOONOVER: Present.

MEMBER SOTO: Present.

>>: I am present in person.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Present.

MEMBER STEVENS: Present.

MEMBER VERRETT: Present.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Present.

>>: Member Wong. Present.

>>: That is 21. We have quorum.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Thank you. I want to start with the land  
an acknowledgment.

>>: I will take it.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Good evening. We want to start this first  
in-person meeting by recognizing those. The land we reside on.  
The original caretakers that thrive among us. My ancestors  
[FOREIGN LANGUAGE]. People. We invite those joining us. Lands  
currently occupied.

I want to offer a small language. [FOREIGN LANGUAGE]. It  
says, the creator who sits above and the good thing the creator  
does for us.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Can we pull up community agreements? I  
don't mind going through them. Be respectful of the diverse

voices. Remain open minded. Mindful of power dynamics in this space. Prioritize and defer to community through this process.

Speak with clarity. Remember why we are here. Communities that have been most impacted by the carceral system. Defer to the community. Follow through. Be intentional about allowing space for additional voices to be uplifted.

Be an active participant. Let equity lead the way. Make space for youth voices. Let community members finish their sentences and thoughts. Start meetings with a land acknowledgment statement respecting the Indigenous people of the land. Thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I want to go to Item 3. Taking action on the minutes from February 16th. I know we are getting our bearings. Let us make sure we can have these types available motion made and seconded.

>>: I arrived late. Just wanted to make that correction.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We caught that? Cool. Anything else?

>>: Can we correct to spell my name correctly? People spelled it wrong on this meeting again.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: DERECK.

>>: Correct.

>>: Anything else? Public comment on this item?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We are setting it up.

>>: The public comment period is one minute per person. Star nine. Raise hand feature. Star six to unmute. Computer

users, scroll to reactions to find the raise hand feature. Smart phone users scroll to more. Raise hand features.

We will call on you in the order the hand was raised. State your full name. I saw a hand up.

>>: Good afternoon. I want to thank the committee for all the great work. This is your first hybrid meeting. As a person with a disability and March being disability ordnance month, thank you for expanding accessibility for the county.

You are now setting the bar on how things should be happening at the county wide level. We have over 250,000 people that get services. Having this done remotely allows my peers to participate in this. Allows us the opportunity to join you to try to solve some of these issues.

Thank you for making this accessible. This is a fantastic day.

>>: I am with rainbow labs. LGBTQ mentoring program. Thank you for the wonderful job of selecting the third party vendor. All our young people were able to participate with the surveys. Great job. Thank you for continuing to be champs of the community.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Let us move to the vote on the minutes.

MEMBER CARBAJAL: Abstain.

MEMBER CASTILLO: Aye.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER CRUNK: Abstain.

MEMBER CYRUS-FRANKLIN: Aye.

MEMBER EARLEY: Aye.

MEMBER FERRER: Aye.

MEMBER FUENTES-MIRANDA: Aye.

>>: Aye.

>>: Aye.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER LEWIS: Aye. It is difficult to hear you and everyone else in the room.

MEMBER LOBIANCO: Aye.

MEMBER SCHOONOVER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Aye.

MEMBER STEVENS: Aye.

>>: Aye.

MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.

>>: Aye.

>>: Passes with 17 Ayes.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I want to make sure on the sound, you can hear us. Any of the members online. Can you hear me?

MEMBER LEWIS: A little better.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We have a few big things. I wanted to clarify the virtual piece. I want to make sure we are all on the same page. We are still learning. Can someone just give us the most up to date information on virtual aspects?

There was a question of how many virtual meetings can we have. How many we can miss. Not everyone is on the same page. They have been asked to me. I am just asking for clarification to move forward. Cool. County council. My bad. Are you online?

>>: Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Yes. Can you give some clarification?

>>: Sure. There is nothing in the old school law and the new school regarding the just cause and emergency circumstances. You can only appear for just cause of emergency circumstances twice. The law is not clear about the third time. If you are appearing remotely beyond the two allowed.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Who should be notified? A member has an extreme circumstance that finds them needing to be virtual.

>>: I assume letting staff know.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We asked you to send an alert to the e-mail. If you are unable to attend, you have to attend virtually, e-mail the committee.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I wanted to make sure we are on the same page. Did you all hear that online? For those who may not have heard it, if you have a circumstance that is emergency, reach out to [Reading]. It is in the chat. Make sure you reach out to them to let them know.

That way they can prepare for you being available virtually. You have two. Once you reach the third, at that

point, you can come to the meeting. You will be treated as a member of the community. Keep that in mind.

Any questions on that? A chance to make sure everyone is on the same page.

>>: Thanks. The clarification I think is that it is you or your alternate.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Right. Thank you for that.

>>: Does that mean jointly you have two opportunities to be excused from in-person attendance?

>>: The law does not contemplate alternates. It is what the committee wants to the decide on that.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: They vote on in consideration. You can have two remote appearances under the new law. A law is absent on that piece.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I think we can put that on the agenda for the next meeting.

>>: (Inaudible).

>>: Posting the agenda 72 hours ahead of the meeting. A publicly accessible hearing. I will plan to attend as many as I can. Is there an option if you meet a certain number of criteria to join virtually?

>>: Under the traditional rules, it doesn't have a limit on how many teleconferencing you attend. There are requirements. The location has to be on the agenda. They would have to know at

least 72 hours. Public has to bible to attend in person there. Documents have to be there.

They have to be able to get public comment. If you are at a site that meets those qualifications, the law doesn't have a cap. That was placed in the new law regarding appearing remotely or emergency circumstances.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: The rules have more to add to it. The whole nine. If we were all in our several different communities, we can have way more people attending. That includes the county technical team as well.

>>: My biggest concern, I would ask for each person that does it remotely to be fully responsible for what it takes to set it up. We would ask each member to use their own resources. (Inaudible). We can send you documents. We love it. (Inaudible). Just wanted to make that clear.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I was under the impression that we had to. We will agendize this. We have a chance to lead the way on this.

MEMBER LEWIS: My hand is up.

>>: I know the meeting that you are preparing for March, it is not on the calendar invite.

>>: They are.

>>: It is not attached to the minutes. If we do that. The calendar for meetings. The stipulation for in-person meetings. Does county council need to be here in person as well?

>>: I can tell you what the law says.

MEMBER LEWIS: Going back a comment. That would be too much. I want to offer up some advocacy. Maybe to push for the county to give more resources. We need some thoughtfulness. For this body, we need some investment in the ability to be able to do what is described for the remote locations. You will all be responsible. Let us advocate so we can have those things happen.

I understand, this was before the law changed, the county had made provisions. Some public spaces. I haven't heard anything in reference to that. I would appreciate someone speaking to that.

>>: There are public spaces. We are looking at them. For this event. It has compiled a list. I don't like coming down. We are working on that. We are looking at how to hold meetings in different plays. Maybe hiring some consultants. Every member with a new location, that would be unbearable. (Inaudible) I think we could figure that out.

Maybe you have a small meeting about it. Then we can come back.

MEMBER LEWIS: When you want to come to south LA, you have a spot. You don't have to worry about that part.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Maybe it is not all the individual members having their own space. There are hubs.

>>: I love that idea. We would love to be able to do that. We don't have the staff to do it all at the same time. (Inaudible). Some of your support to help (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Thank you for the context. We will talk more about this in depth. If you have space, give us some thought. Bring the capabilities to the meeting.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: We will start with the presentation from the office, budget operations. The year three allocation.

>>: Did we vote on the minutes?

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Yes. You said yes. We are in Item 4.

>>: Good afternoon. Should I put the slides on the screen? Let me get the slides up there. I work for the CEO's budget and operations unit. I am happy to offer some clarify on year three of the funding phasing calculation. We have prepared a few slides.

Slide two, some background as to the funding calculation. The board's commitment to annually set aside ten percent of locally generated revenues for direct investment and alternatives to incarceration. Locally generated revenues represent a small portion of the budget.

A majority is restricted for particular uses. Contract obligations. Legal settlements. Debt service. And other policies. What remains is the unrestricted revenues. Any funding established by other programs before and after fiscal year 2021 is also restricted. Slide three. More information on the three year phase.

This CEO estimated the restrictions in the prior year and they included a \$100 million payment for fiscal year 2021/2022.

Another \$100 million was in the budget for 2023. A total budget of \$200 million.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Real quick. When were these determined as down payments?

>>: I think this is language we have used before. We didn't have the full three year calculation. We had an estimate at that time. It was \$100 million in the range of a three year phase in. We used the phrase back then down payments.

What I mean is the first year of a multiyear phase. That is what I am referring to. Beyond the 200 million, we did carry over the full \$78 million from the unspent year one funding. The full budget was 278 million. This is the year we get to the full phase in. We are now estimating the full ten percent of locally generated revenues.

Down payments were based on the projections. This year it will bring us up to ten percent amount. For future fiscal years, the CEO will update the calculation of the full ten percent and will adjust the ongoing budget accordingly. We will do this by calculating the full ten percent of revenues each year. We will compare that to the year before to determine the adjustment that needs to be made.

We will provide formal notice to the full amount for year three by March 31st. Year three amount may be more or less than the \$30 million estimate. It will be recalculated every year. This does not represent a cap. We are aiming to provide this

number as soon as we can without sacrificing our calculations. Hopefully before March 31st.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Will that be the final number or a first draft?

>>: Final number to base your spending plan on.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Throughout the budgetary cycle, is it possible that number would change?

>>: Good question. We would have to consider how we will handle that. We wanted a number to base the plan on. We could look at whether that number would adjust. We can get you a confirmation.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I am glad to hear that. Before today we heard that it could flux. If you are saying it is the hard number, that is valuable to this process.

>>: That is what we are trying to do. Slide five. Some basics. We start with fees. We subtract revenues and spending. Funds restricted to specific uses. Other costs that the county has no choice but to pay. Interest on the county's debt. Liabilities for pensions. Retiree health, workers' compensation. We multiply that by ten percent to get the funding amount.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: What percentage is usually unrestricted?

>>: I don't have that number. I would have to calculate that. Of that amount, I would back into a number that would be 90 percent more than the ten percent.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: I want to see how much of the resources the county has is unrestricted to the items you have. The other mandated things. How much is not tied to these things. Is it five percent? 25 percent? The county does business a certain way. I am just wondering. I would like to know that.

>>: We would have to go back and look at that. Moving to slide six. We are working on the calculation now. The CEO will present the recommended budget on April 18th. That will include the set aside amount. The final installment. We understand the committee will deliver the recommendations around April 20th.

In the spring of 2023, the CEO will submit the year three funding recommendations to the board. The board will consider the final changes budget request on June 26th. That will include the allocations for the funding. Year three would be available to spend on July 1st.

We included some additional background information. How we calculate the number. There was a bunch of couple documents with some more information as to the budget policy the board adopted and the timeline for the phase in. What we are looking at for year three.

I will open it up for additional questions you may have.

MEMBER LEWIS: Can you talk about the reason for the delay? We have been able to get this number earlier in the last few years.

>>: Last time, we gave it a similar timeline. Year one was March 16th. We had a rough estimate. That was part of the reason we are calling it a down payment. We had an upper limit for a three year phase in. We came up with a down payment. We need more time to have all the right numbers together. Get all the calculations correct. I am hoping you get the number before March 31st. I don't remember when year two came out. Year one was around the middle of March. We didn't have to make the final edits to the number. A payment estimated.

MEMBER LEWIS: To your point about down payment, dated August 10, 2021, says the date is August 10, 2026. That would mean this last year. It would be the final funding. Can you say more about what that means? The budget policy has a sunset date.

>>: Generally our dates have a review. We review them every number of years. Don't take that to mean the policy would be done with. Assume that is what the sunset date means. The additional work.

MEMBER LEWIS: Your first response is good. No one has said that before today. What would be helpful to have the list generated. I don't know if we got to it in year two. The sheet that shows where money was reallocated. Do you recall that list?

>>: I somewhat do.

MEMBER LEWIS: It would be helpful to see where it is coming from. If you could make that a part of your presentation. I think you said you will be back.

>>: I think it showed where it was being impacted. I will note that down.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Questions.

>>: Maybe a comment. The timeline you have here, we worked out different situations. The county has given itself plenty of time. It is giving us three weeks. I think it is unfair. The county took a year to pay committee members. We are expected to jump through hoops in three weeks to do the best work we can.

Anyone who reviewed all those recommendations last year knows it takes time. That is not a fair timeline. There should be 4 to 6 weeks. Then get them back over and get it flushed out. We went a bit over last time but we did it right. I ask for reciprocity.

CHAIRMAN STEELE: Anyone else?

>>: About the legal implications if the ten percent comes in less than a hundred million. Any implications related to the voter measure?

>>: The measure J as voted was struck down by the court. We have adopted a budget policy to implement the spirit. There isn't anything about the calculation. There isn't any legal standing for what would happen. It is a calculated number. I am



> DEREK: You want to join us? We got seats. I didn't see you over there. She is spot on though. Any other questions? Thoughts or comments? All right. Mason we will be looking forward to you all coming back. There are the elements of our work we are going to continue to move forward on and to your point member Williams I am not here for the waiting around. I feel like there is work we need to do and we are going to do it. You know? And I think that goes directly into the next item on the agenda if we can move forward.

In the same spirit of member Steele and member Williams there was a lot of work and community engagement and discussion to us getting to this point and I understand the county has to do their due diligence and work and budgetary office and keep track of where the county dollars are. And what the county dollars are and how they are allocated.

I want to make sure we are in a space where the spirit and the work and the energy and the needs in our community of how we even have CFCI in the first place continue to be in the forefront of the whole thing we are trying to do.

So, the next item on the agenda is talking about writing a letter to the county board of supervisors regarding our funding and the needs we want to address and as well as the Future of CFCI. I don't know about you all but the feeling of things being left in the air is not something that sits well with me. Not for, not knowing the amount of work we still have the to do and where the funds are supposed to go and also knowing that year 2 hasn't hit the ground yet and year two is trickling out of the door. And this thing we will figure out what we are going to do as far as year three. I think there is needs to be a declarative and that what it should be should start with us and I want to bring to the space and have the conversation because I know there are many of you who probably feel the same way.

To be clear this letter that we are suggesting or that I am suggesting it is specifically about make sure that the dollars don't go less than a hundred million dollars. If there is greater. It should not go less than a hundred million dollars and also that the future of CFCI goes beyond this year and what member Lewis was talking about with the sunset and Mason said something

new we haven't heard in a real way that there is the possibility of it going beyond 2026 did you hear the same thing member Lewis? Right?

>> VERONICA: I did.

>> DEREK: I want to make sure we are on the same page and nowhere we are going and the game plan beyond today and I will step back and bring the floor to you all to discuss the possibility. This is an action item and we are going to move to this letter based on the framework that I laid out and we have to move together as a full unit in order to make that happen and I do know there are community organizations. And community leaders and community members who have approached us in various ways to say we want to make sure and they are on the same page with us and I think we need to be the starting and driving voice of declaring what we want this to be and I you will take a step back and bring the floor to you all before there is an opportunity to vote on this.

>> VERONICA: Do you mind repeating what his ask would be?

>> DEREK: The ask is to ensure that the dollars are not less than \$100 million for CFCI and also that the work of the care first community investment advisory committee and dollars associated with it continue on beyond 2026. I am sorry beyond this year. My bad.

What I heard up until today this year was the last year and we want to make sure it is declared by the board of supervisors and that is beyond than just this year is that clear for you?

>> VERONICA: It is clear to me thank you so much.

>> DEREK: Thank you for asking. Anyone thoughts? I see the head nods and they are in the group like feel you.

>> VERONICA: I agree only thing I will say and I think you said this and p I want to make sure there is an opening paragraph to really paint the picture all of the great amount of work and community engagement done around up until a p month ago understanding it would be a hundred million dollars and I think that language should be strong and intentional to support should this motion pass to support our requests.

>> DEREK: I think that is in the same energy as member Castillo and she gave me a call and I said I am glad you called I am thinking the same thing you are thinking and she was the same energy as you are saying about being clear about how we got here and I think her comment in the last item on the agenda is to that end and very clear about that as well. It is not just about the spirit of measure J we are not here without the work, energy, time, attention, votes that then community members put in to make sure this happened and that is what we stand on and those are the shoulders that we stand on.

>> I support that idea and would just really urge that I would be happy to help draft some of the language too and you got to believe too I know during the community engagement meeting there was a talk and that the county and J cod shared an article to cut the budget that impact it is county and sounds like a pass the bug by the county and we demand they fully fund the care first budget at hundred million plus and member Castillo mentioned earlier close to the \$100 million range and I don't think that is a sufficient response to push to the governor we have the largest - and put on governor Newsom who may be thinking about the white house in 2024.

>> DEREK: I will say this and I was about this if it was just us Joey absolutely and we have to be mindful of the colleagues on the county board and governing body with us. We want to be mindful of that.

>> JOEY: There is doing what is good and there is doing what is right.

>> DEREK: That is right. I want us to push. This is not just a cow tail letter run of the mill. We want to push and make sure we have members with us in solidarity on this Please. You want to turn the mic over.

>> Toward the end I think it is important to recognize a lot of us in the room carry a lot of weight and as a collective it is important for us to affirm our commitment to the work and communities and affirm our commitment to making sure they get the resources they need and I think it is the to our Benefit to write a letter. It is nothing. They can say yes or no but at the very

least we have our names on record saying we believe in this and committed to this and we think you should to. Yes please?

>> For me what is also important is we have been invited to table. The advisory board is part of the process and I would like the tone of the letter to express we understand we are in this with them and the commitment we have made for the years we have been involved can something that we do because we believe in the work and we understand they believed in it because of the spirit of the initiative, right? Was funded regardless of the voter measure.

I think it is also important that we acknowledge the history and opportunity that we have in the process that provides an equal, an equity framework and an equity table for us to continue to do this work together in partnership with community.

>> DEREK: Yes please?

>> One thing I want to add about (Indistinct). [Away from microphone] there is a powerful movement to get us to the place and meaning and allocate the funds in a new and innovative way and I think there is a lot of value to see that through the dollars are flowing now and this body being able the to come back with enough time and investment to show the true impact of doing work this way.

>> DEREK: Anyone else want to add to that? If not, any additions anyone want to motion on this particular item?

>> VERONICA: I would like to move that we give (Indistinct). To go to the board of supervisors to ask there is no less than a hundred million dollars allocated for year three and (Indistinct) around fully extending the budget policy beyond 2026.

>> DEREK: It has been moved to write the letter.

>> REBA: I will second that.

>> DEREK: Second by member stevens. Any discussion? Yes. Can you repeat the motion really quick it cut in and out while you were speaking it. I heard the most important parts.

>> VERONICA: Okay I going to try. I move that -- (connection issue) -- to the board of supervisors to one ask (Indistinct).

>> DEREK: I am sorry your sounds are coming in and out as you are talking.

>> VERONICA: I am in Oakland in in a hotel on wi-fi.

>> DEREK: It is hating on you right now.

>> VERONICA: Is this better?

>> DEREK: We can hear you. Go for it.

>> VERONICA: Move that the CFCI advisory committee gives chair steel and CFCI leadership the authority to draw up a letter to the board of supervisor's request there is no less than hundred million dollars allocated for year three for CFCI and two that they expedite the extension of the current CFCI budget policy beyond August 2026.

>> DEREK: And it was seconded by member Stevens. Any unreadiness or discussion? All right let's take this to public comment. I am sorry. Yes?

>> Just a point of clarification for the departments if we can ask counsel if there are any limitations with us signing onto a letter?

>> DEREK: Tyson? Any limitations about the board of leadership. Not board of leadership. Department leadership who are here with us signing onto the letter?

>> I don't know that answer and I can follow up with the department heads offline once I find out that answer but I do not know the answer.

>> DEREK: To the department heads who are here and in your point of view and I think in theory this solidarity and I think by the way I am looking at as we are talking about this it makes sense. Any unreadiness that you have or anything from a political stand point we may not be aware of that you want to address or do we need to have the conversation offline?

>> I can say the to sign onto a letter I need an okay and there is a permission process and that is the clarification I want to understand in terms of my role do I have the flexibility to do that. In terms of solidarity around what I am hearing and we are trying to accomplish and what

the expectation was I am aligned around that. The other thing I worry about and I want to be mindful of my words here is I worry sometime is that the difference to community creates a bifurcation between the community and department representatives and I think we see that play out in some of the votes and I want the letter to be limited because of the bifurcation.

>> DEREK: I got you and first of all thank you for lifting that up and my thoughts on this is that what the spirit of the letter is about the work we are doing together. Many of the departments who are representative here have a role to play in making sure that the dollars get to the ground and community and community-based organizations to do work in regard to measure J by way of CFCI. For me this asks specifically so we can continue doing the work together.

I know there have been some contentious moments in what that looks like. But it should be left up to us to decide that and not made more difficult because of constraints that are beyond this space.

So I think in the spirit of solidarity and what we are try to go get accomplished as far as the resource that go towards the unity I think this is a different ask than some of the issues that maybe come up before by way of the deference. This is the deference to CFCI. Make sure the resources are here and continue to be a leading voice on how these type of voices can happen in other parts of the county as well. Let us continue to do our work.

>> I want to add one other thing is we don't get lost in the calculation. The calculation is a formula and that is going to provide a number and when we say no less than that we go beyond the calculation. Right? There is probably something has to compensate if the calculation is not what we want it to be.

>> DEREK: Understood. I think we are all here for more money and the thing I know we have been saying for the last few years we have been working on this is it is a hundred million dollars a year. For it not to be a hundred million dollars this year is something that is problematic for me and the communities I work with.

The ask is not about the calculation it is more about the commitment that is already made prior to us getting to this point. If the calculation comes back and it is \$150 million, I am not mad at that. That is why it is no less than the hundred million dollars we are committed to the community is going to be. Answer the question and to the point?

>> JOEY: The first year 170 million and then 147 million and now less than a hundred million and every year it is drastically getting smaller and I don't think that is what the spirit of measure J is. I want to know unilateral moves are made by the county without our input and what we are asking for is the full amount.

>> Chair steel member Lewis has her hand raised.

>> VERONICA: There is other people and (Indistinct) -- (connection issue) -- this information around less than a hundred million in September. In October. It is still a visceral reaction at least all of the communication and education that goes in the community a dollar amount that the board of supervisor committed today the effort it is not a complete misrepresentation of what it ends up to be and that is the reason why I think a letter from this body who has put our own political chips and community trust out there or trust of the community out there. That is why it is something that we demonstrate we are asking the county to uphold that since that is what we are saying for the last four months it is going to be and it comes down to that. We understand math and factors and the reality is that the county fail today communicate at the start of the year three process and we communicate today people something we hope will still be true and that is the bottom line and second thing I spent as part of my leadership here making sure we move as one body and recognize the different perspectives and nuances and perspectives of the folks in the county have which are critical when we have conversations I do want to offer. There is some trauma associated with organizations and individuals who have engaged or trying to engage with the county. While we try to move things forward and the racial equity and contracting. And do things differently and there are many real experiences that people have and I think many of the comment we hear related to that and it is not about pointing fingers

and you should know if you follow at any time during the last three years I am about fitting and I want you to acknowledge there is trauma associated with people trying to interact and do business with the county and disparities and I think the disform however uncomfortable it may be when people speak their truth is people speak their truth and we allow that on all sides and I want to say that and don't want it to be lost and we should come together to find resolutions and there are some realities at play here.

>> DEREK: I want to go to (Indistinct).

>> I think the solidarity is there it is just the language used as department heads we have to advocate for our own programs and resources and we are underfunded and when I ask the board I maybe get ten percent of what I ask for and have to continue to fight and argue and plead for the additional sport and I think the solidarity and focus is there and more about how we phrase what we are saying and one thing that needs uplift second-degree value of the work put in here and length of time it is taking to ramp up and start doing and demonstrating and what Kelly was sharing and we have to point that out and advocate for this and I think the biggest concern is what is said and I think my question is if we vote to approve and put together the letter which I agree is needed. Does that mean when the letter comes back, we vote on the content of the letter too. Right? And I might have misheard the way the motion was read in. Is the authority solely for you to put the letter together or do we have to put together a committee to support you in putting the letter together?

>> DEREK: Good question. Two great couple of questions and my thinking is that there is no way I will draft and put it out. And the idea of being in solidarity and that is the antithesis. I think it makes senses to bring back to the party to make sure that we are in alignment with what the language is and we can put it out together. Normally how this happens with community-based organizations there is a draft and we help to edit the draft together and I know lately sometimes it has been Google and that was sent out to everybody and make sure everyone signing on is signing on and do it that way and we don't have the do it that way. If it makes sense to have a

committee of folks and I know member William offered himself up and member steele offered herself up and I think it helps to have a collective of folks draft it together and bring it back to this body and I am going to come to member vic and then Rosa.

>> VICTOR: To express support for what we are doing. Again. Process. By when should the letter be completed and sent and what is the impact for agenda and approving the letter from this body. We have a lot of work coming up and meetings coming up and that could be a conversation potentially and in terms of being clear of when we want to have it readied to go so, we can budget our time.

>> DEREK: Great process question my thinking is have this and have it for the next meeting. In the special meetings. We have one next week. So, the idea is to have this done within the next week and next week's agenda to get it voted on. We want to get it out while Mason and budgetary office is doing what they are doing and board office has an opportunity to weigh in on that and when we get the number back this process we are talking about as far as letter can have an impact.

>> Chair steel I want to make sure you check with Tyson if you discuss a Google doc and moving a doc between the entire committee may cause Brown act issue.

>> DEREK: Good point. I think the latter piece because of the Brown act we will have a collective of people. We still have a motion on the floor we have to vote on and we are discussing it all. And have the member Lewis you want to adjust your motion.

>> VERONICA: I want to clarify (Indistinct) -- (connection issue) -- I want to clarify when I say CFCI committee leadership that is the chair and co-vice chairs that I was referring to.

>> DEREK: Got it.

>> ROSA: To the department partners to reemphasize for me it is important that the letter be collaborative and representative of the space we currently hold which is an equity space where there is opportunity to discuss how we want to impact the community and how we continue to work together and I think that might be important in considering a sign on from the departments

that we are not attacking the county and not attacking the board of supervisors and complimenting them on what they have done to allow the opportunity to go forward and we are asking for it to be continue and had emphasizing the urgency and importance and not taking away the accentuation of the importance of the times of the commitment to a hundred million dollars is. But that, you know, and that because we don't know this is why the letter that we would like to hear back from them.

>> DEREK: Thank you very much. I don't know if the camera gets to see you. Does the camera get to see you.

>> [Away from microphone].

>> DEREK: There we go.

>> Is this better? I think just clarifying that the motion on the floor is to draft and approve at a subsequent date and it will clear a little bit of space for county this week to draft something verses approve and for me what would be important clarification for DHS and as a county representative for county counsel to weigh in on whether they advise us to vote or abstain. That is a critical question. If county counsel can advise on if county representatives should vote or abstain. And consider the comments on solidarity and all of the things in weighing the decision or advice or recommendation. That is something else I put forward.

>> If the motion is to draft a letter, I think nothing would prevent a department head from voting yes, no, or abstain on their own belief of what should happen. On that piece.

>> VERONICA: That is what the motion is. Authorize the CFCI committee leadership to draft a letter.

>> DEREK: Holds on.

>> And a head of a vote to approve either with the department separately or within this space again the recommendation is for county representatives to vote or abstain. Once it is draft and whether we can vote to approve or not.

>> DEREK: Once we have the letter the county counsel viewpoint on departments, department leads. Voting yes or abstaining from vote.

>> I can give a little bit of feedback now that individually I recommend that the department head speak to the assigned county counsel and I don't know the id or synchronies between each department and while I also try to talk to my leadership as well.

>> DEREK: That is the side bar. Please.

>> JOEY: I think this creates a lot of the bifurcation and I recommend the amendment them to create the ad hoc only because of this. You can draft as leadership and it may get shot down and I feel the board folks who are working on this the better. I may vote to say I don't want to be signed onto the letter and I don't agree with the content of it and I think every member should have an opportunity and to prevent that I propose an amendment with the ad hoc committee. We have more bright minds to draft the letter and rather than just a few.

>> VERONICA: To clarify you are referring to the ad hoc committee for community engagement? That is the only one that exists right now.

>> JOEY: That is created.

>> DEREK: Creating a new one.

>> VERONICA: I need more information given there will have to be a meeting in the next six days and Tyson, I need you to weigh in yes or no and do you want to specify who those folks are and say a little more what that look like. Tyson you can weigh in again to help.

>> DEREK: You want to specify what the make up of it looks like?

>> JOEY: Of what? Whoever wants to volunteer or be part of it. Me and member Castillo are not part of the leadership team and there are other folks who want to be on it and some of the county folks who want to eliminate the bifurcation jump on.

>> VERONICA: Tyson are you still there?

>> I am.

>> VERONICA: If we say ad hoc can you clarify the numbers that go above this and any other considerations.

>> Couldn't go above quorum which I believe is 12 and you can have up to 11 members on it and also the, it would be appointment by seat and it would be, if Veronica you are appointed object on the ad hoc you attend or your alternate attends you both can't participate as member.

>> VERONICA: Chair steel needs to appoint the members?

>> Yes, I believe the by law is for him to do that.

>> VERONICA: We wouldn't have to vote. Okay I will accept the amendment for the ad hoc committee to draft up the letter to the board of supervisors for the things attached to the motion it will not exceed 11 members being the one who drops the letter and see if the person who seconds it still seconds it with the amendment. Reba?

>> REBA: Second.

>> DEREK: Accepted. For the ad hoc piece not having more than 11 members on it and I will mention time is of the essence too and coming out of the meeting we have to come up with a strategy of how we are coming into the space with our ideas to make sure we leave the meeting or maybe with a draft that we can bring next week.

>> VERONICA: Does chair steel need to identify the ad hoc members publicly now? I want to be clear so there is no issue.

>> DEREK: Identify in the meeting now?

>> Yes, I believe so.

>> DEREK: Go please.

>> Just wanted to clarify just from a process standpoint I want to echo a lot of the comments around solidarity and appreciate where the comment is going about drafting the letter and being able to review it. Would the letter come out for the full committee to see when the agenda and meeting gets agendaized and I am wondering just on the timing of that. What is, I don't know if Tyson could speak to that. We don't want to break the bring act.

>> DEREK: When do we have the meeting again? Agenda is by Tuesday or Wednesday?

>> VERONICA: Monday.

>> DEREK: We have to have by Wednesday at 4 o'clock. I love these process questions.

That is the way I work. Yes?

>> Point of clarification that the letter would come from the full committee or committee leadership?

>> DEREK: The letter going to come from the full committee and that is the idea of it being the CFCI advisory committee letter to the board of supervisors.

>> MEGAN: I know there are other (Indistinct) and county bodies part of the advisory body in their iteration the chair submitted on behalf of the committee and of course members of the advisory body voted on the letter being sent. If there are reservations for everyone signing there is ways to show solidarity and also show we are uplifting our community at the same time.

>> DEREK: That is a good point.

>> ROBERT: I want to echo there are different ways to do this. If everybody signs it that might be a problem. If you present the draft letter and I think that is what she is saying and take a vote on it and it passes you could sign it.

>> DEREK: I am with you. We comfortable? Any other discussion? Are we going to take this to public comment? Public comment.

>> How much time are you going to give.

>> DEREK: One minute.

>> As reminder public comment is one minute. Star nine to raise your hand and star six to un-mute yourself. We will be calling on people in the room first to see if anybody has comments in the room. Seeing no hands up we want to go to people online now. And I see (Indistinct) has your hand up.

>> I am a community member living supervisory district two and I appreciated the opportunity to review the CEO office presentation tonight and I understand that this body has

been pushing back on the time line of March 31st and having a short period of time to create advisory body recommendations and I want to encourage all of us to continue to remember that a hundred million is not ten percent of the set aside of unrestricted fund is the county and estimates show it is much closer to a billion dollars and as we push back on time line and also reminding folks that the dollar amounts should be growing and not shrinking and I understand we are not going to see a full dollar amount until March 31st and I want to encourage us in the room to hold the county to the time line. Not to the three when we get a figure. The total is vague and we don't know what we are actually working with.

>> My name is Hector Ramirez and live in supervisory district two. And as you talk about what kind of formula or money to keep it is heartbreaking to hear it. As a native person this is a broken promise and it is also something that needs to continue. You should never ask for anything less than the county spend as year in lawsuit settlements and victims' families die and get injure and had never settle I for anything less than the amount of people who die out in the streets or from suicide, they can't access appropriate service for the department of mental health. And you should never get anything less than the amount of loved ones we lose in the community and they can want get equitable services from the county and never ask for anything less than what broken promises are and we come here in good heart and work with the county as stake holders and seems like the goal post changed for the community and more and more of us die and some of us may not be here to advocate next meeting and never ask for anything less than that and the county does put a price on people's lives and we know it because they pay it in the lawsuits.

>> Thank you for that this is a vote for ad hoc to draft a letter. Jacob?

>> DEREK: This is on behalf of the letter and we will have general public comment for everyone else.

>> I offer in addressing the letter someone who is a community member and advocating for the LGBTQ community and he is personally with youth if you need letters of support to add to this, please let us know. You have a whole room if full of people right now please call us to act

on this. I think the loudest people in the room always get the money. Most of the time. At least I like to hope so. Please call on us as community members and as folks and let us rally behind you and I offer that as mind for any follow up. If we can do any service to help out the committee. Know I am here and tons of folks behind me will help out.

>> Thank you for that Jacob.

>> Hi everyone this is Byron and I want to make sure that folks in the body as the advisory committee you are not representing only the committee and work that is being done and demanding what is ours and what the county allocate and had board allocate and had all of the time being spent on whether or not you grow all of you different county departments and heads and you are going to have an opportunity to advocate for the different budget needs and this is the moment for you to do your job as part of the commission and this body as the representative and stand and not only ask for a hundred million but ask for more which is the 900 million. True ten percent and we won't have the community process and engagement work and not being able the to give all of the accurate information or be able to change the collar amount half way through the process and that is not the best way to engage the community and build trust and nor is it really meeting the --

>> Time is up thanked you for that.

>> Good afternoon (Indistinct). Reimagine coalition and lifelong resident of SD one and I want to uplift some of the sentiments made by member Castillo earlier in the call and this letter can say a lot and it is up to you to be defiant in the moment and ask for the full funding of this. Of what we have been asking for of 100 million or more. We know and this is something Hector brought up we know how much money goes towards law enforcement and handling lawsuits for misconduct and we want to make sure that you all take this moment and apply pressure to the board to the C's office and everybody so they know as a community we are standing as long as and want to make sure real dollars get invested in the communities and alternative incarceration

and just ensuring that the measure lives on in our work. Thank you for the time and thank you so much.

>> I want to reiterate what a lot of folks have said and I appreciate the committee members who acknowledge they are going to write the letter and I support that and I want to name again it is much more than a hundred million dollars and that is said in the original measure J motion and I know that the CEO's office is saying that they have no legal responsibility to uphold more than that but I think they have a responsibility to the community and to the majority of voters who did pass measure J. Like member Castillo said what is the point of using our language if they are not going to commit to the community of Los Angeles. Thank you.

>> DEREK: Let's bring to a vote.

>> To make sure we are voting to go give authority to the ad hoc committee to draw up the letter. Absolutely.

>> RAFAEL: I.

>> JACKIE: I.

>> VICTOR: I.

>> Gary TSAI?

>> He might not be able to un-mute. We will come back to it.

>> MICHELLE: I.

(Voting).

>> 18 votes for a pass.

>> We also need to vote from member kibby for Dr. Wong.

>> I.

>> Thank you for that and we have 19 votes that pass.

>> DEREK: In clarification we got the part about the letter and we have to create the ad hoc committee as well since it was added to the mix. Does there have to be a motion for me to appoint one? Is that how that works? I just appoint it. It is already there. Okay great. That is

fantastic and I like that. And I do have to announce up to 11 people. Are there members who would like to participate in the ad hoc committee? I know I am one. Do I have member SOTO and member Castillo and member Williams. I have member mir ran da. I know it was originally the leadership member Lewis you want to participate. You don't have to and I know you got a lot going on it is a week notice.

>> VERONICA: (Indistinct).

>> DEREK: Okay. All right. Anyone else? Thank you very much. Ad hoc committee created and we will be in touch about the meetings to come. All right? This was a very aggressive agenda and we are going to have item seven to the next meeting and I still want to make sure we have the presentation from SLS. For item five and we will do that and go directly into public comment and it is not an action item and we will get the presentation and if you have questions we will ask questions and then go to public comment and get out of here. We gotten minutes. SLS.

>> Yes. I hope you can hear me and see my presentation and I have my screen share and had my name is Christian and I am with street level strategy and have with many me today Lisa and we will tag team the presentation about the survey presentation plan.

Like to briefly talk about a survey update and I will talk about what is going to go into the analysis and the analysis components will walk through the presentation outline for the survey and also the presentation outline for the listening sessions.

For the survey update as of 4:00 p.m. today we have 1137 responses in the survey and 51 percent coming from the CFCI link and this table shows a breakdown of the different links for the survey and where the responses are coming from.

When thinking about analysis and you can have a sneak peek into what we are doing when the survey closes and the listening sessions that have taken place and these are all of the components that are going to go into the larger broader presentation when the survey closes and first and foremost the survey will be a part of how did we design the survey and what responses

we got back and themes we find and most importantly the rankings and how did people rank the concept recommendations and we will also mirror the data from the listening sessions in that as well and folks ranked in the listening session as well and how that differ from the survey responses and what sort of things we get from feedback from community members and since those are in person we are able to get a lot of rich data from folks and that will go in the analysis as well.

We are also pulling data from different sources so the survey is being hosted on survey monkey and we got a lot of good the data from RSVP, zoom and poll where and got to use a lot of good tools that open up accessibility for community members to provide feedback and we will use the inputs from the tools to tell a comprehensive story of how community members moved through the listening and respond the survey and all of the data findings from the community engagement and survey responses will be funneled into the presentation which as I said will be a comprehensive report back from the listen sessions and community survey.

A little bit more on the survey presentation itself. It is broken out into three big buckets starting with an overview and moving into themes and insights and then wrapping up with ranking. Funding and recommendations. When looking at the overview and we are going to talk about the survey design and how did we design the survey and what was the process for developing the questions and how are people able to move through and how many concept recommendations do we have? All of that will be in that part of the presentation and as well as a response summary. How many responses we get and year over year changes we see and we will talk about the demographics and who responded from a lens of race and ethnicity. Gender identity. Supervisory district and we collected zip codes and being able to look at that sort of information which is a little more of a dialed in view into supervisory districts we know those are very big and we will look at the responses from the housing status and primary income that we got from folks and age because we collected that as well.

Moving onto things and insights and we will talk about overall survey things that emerge around focus areas. Housing. Justice. Youth and work force and we have two open ended

questions which was one what does fairness look like to you and second what would your community look like if these programs were fund and had those were the two questions that people had the opportunity to respond to in an open format and we will take the data and present it to you all for some themes and just what sort of organization were commonalities on the responses that we got.

Lastly on the survey we will talk about the rankings overall and what concepts rank the highest by funding area and what concepts rank the highest and dial a little bit into each funding area there is 145 concepts and there are ones that are going to come to the top and we look deeper in the focus areas like housing and justice and when we look a little deeper in the specific areas.

And given in the concept recommendation forms we got funding from the responsibilities when they submit it had proposals and we will be able the to tie that back to what would funding look like if we funded all of the top ranked areas. And we will make some recommendations around that.

Moving into the listening session it is a similar analysis or presentation and we will start with attendances and event highlights.

Really talking about RSVP in attendance and the strategy and location details and the overall event highlights and we had breakout groups and what were they talk about in there and we are going stick to is the same feedback overview and focus on the focus areas and any sort of general feedback and then we will wrap up with a similar ranking and overall recommendations section. And in the interest of time, I think that was it. I will pause there for any questions and giving it back to you derek.

>> DEREK: I appreciate that and thank you very much for being brief. Any questions about this? I know there was some hiccups on some aspects of the survey roll out. I think one that I saw in the e-mail was about the ability the to only do one per device and I know there was

some unlocking on the back end we had had to help fix that. Are there, can you talk about some of the other roll out of the survey issues that were addressed?

>> Yeah. That was the biggest one that was add the dressed and we are doing internal calls and that link allowed us to take the administer the survey multiple time on the same. When we duplicate that link we had to change the back end capability ls to allow it for that separate link that you are using for the CFCI outreach purposes which allows us to track where we are getting responses from the various different links and that is since remedy and had also on the roll out we have the survey available in Spanish and as well as in mandarin and in addition to English as well.

>> DEREK: We got a solid last pushover the weekend. Right? Where can people contact if there are additional issues with the survey and need help can you give where they can reach you all for support?

>> Can you jump in on that?

>> I can jump in there, I will put the e-mail and phone number in the chat so folks can contact us there for any questions as they are taking the survey and I want to provide for folks if of course notify of any glitches of multiple times and one of the quick back end things to do in temples of fixing and taking the surveyed on multiple times on the same device is opening up a private browser and doing if you do that and people can take it right away and let us know in Realtime they will look at it and see if we can fix that. That is a quick solution for all of you