COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH ADULT SYSTEM OF CARE – MHSA IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOMES DIVISION





Prevention & Early Intervention: Seeking Safety Countywide Aggregate Practice Outcomes Dashboard Report Outcome Data Submission through June 29, 2017

Participating Legal Entities Include:

| Participating Legal Entities Include | 9: | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ALCOTT CENTER FOR MENTAL HEALTH SER | HELPLINE YOUTH COUNSELING INC | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTERS INC |
| ALMA FAMILY SERVICES | HERITAGE CLINIC AND CAPS | TELECARE CORPORATION |
| AMANECER COMMUNITY COUNSELING SRVC | HILLSIDES | TESSIE CLEVELAND COMM SRVC CORP |
| ASIAN AMERICAN DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM | HILLVIEW MENTAL HEALTH CENTER INC | THE GUIDANCE CENTER |
| BARBOUR AND FLOYD MEDCL ASSOCIATES | IMCES INC | THE HELP GROUP CHILD AND FAMILY CTR |
| BAYFRONT YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES | INS FOR THE REDESIGN OF LEARNING | THE VILLAGE FAMILY SERVICES |
| BIENVENIDOS CHILDRENS CENTER INC | JEWISH FAMILY SERVICE OF LOSANGELES | TOBINWORLD |
| BRIDGES INC | KEDREN COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CTR | TRINITY YOUTH SERVICES |
| CA HISPANIC COMMISSION A D ABUSE | KOREAN AMERICAN FAMILY SRV CTR | UNITED AMERICAN INDIAN INVOLVEMENT |
| CHILD AND FAMILY CENTER | LOS ANGELES CHILD GUIDANCE | UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA |
| CHILD AND FAMILY GUIDANCE CENTER | LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT | UPLIFT FAMILY SERVICES |
| CHILDRENS CENTER OF ANTELOPE VALLEY | MARYVALE | VENICE FAMILY CLINIC |
| CHILDRENS HOSPITAL OF LOS ANGELES | MCKINLEY CHILDRENS CENTER | VIP COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CTR INC |
| CHILDRENS INSTITUTE INC | MENTAL HEALTH AMERICA OF LA | L.A. COUNTY DMH |
| COUNSELING N RESRCH ASC DBA MASADA | NEW DIRECTIONS INCORPORATED | AMERICAN INDIAN COUNSELING CTR |
| COUNSELING4KIDS | OLIVE CREST | ANTELOPE VALLEY MHC |
| CRITTENTON SERV FOR CHILD AND FAMIL | OPTIMIST BOYS HOME AND RANCH INC | ARCADIA MHS |
| DAVID AND MARGARET HOME INC | PACIFIC ASIAN COUN SVS | AUGUSTUS F HAWKINS FAMILY MHS |
| DIDI HIRSCH PSYCHIATRIC SERVICE | PACIFIC CLINICS | COASTAL API FAMILY MHC |
| DIGNITY HEALTH DBA CAL HOSP MED CTR | Pacific Lodge Youth Services | COMPTON FAMILY MHS |
| Drew Child Development Corporation | PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT | DMH AT HARBOR-UCLA MEDICAL CTR |
| DVEAL FAMILY AND YOUTH SERVICES | PATHWAYS COMMUNITY SERVICES LLC | DMHC PEI PROGRAM |
| EGGLESTON YOUTH CENTERS INC | PENNY LANE CENTERS | DOWNTOWN MENTAL HEALTH CENTER |
| EISNER PEDIATRIC FAMILY CTR | PHOENIX HOUSE OF LOS ANGELES INC | EDMUND D. EDELMAN WESTSIDE MHC |
| EL CENTRO DE AMISTAD INC | PROTOTYPES | HOLLYWOOD MENTAL HEALTH CENTER |
| EL CENTRO DEL PUEBLO INC | PROVIDENCE SAINT JOHNS HLTH CTR | JUV JUS TRANSITION AFTERCARE SVCS |
| ENKI HEALTH AND RESEARCH SYSTEMS I | ROSEMARY CHILDRENS SERVICES | LONG BEACH API FAMILY MHC |
| ETTIE LEE HOMES, INC. | SAN FERNANDO VALLEY COMMUNITY MHC | LONG BEACH CHILD ADOLESCENT CLINIC |
| EXCEPTIONAL CHILDRENS FOUNDATION | SHIELDS FOR FAMILIES | LONG BEACH MHS ADULT CLINIC |
| FIVE ACRES | SOCIAL MODEL RECOVERY SYSTEMS | NORTHEAST MENTAL HEALTH CENTER |
| FOOTHILL FAMILY SERVICE | SOUTHERN CA HEALTH AND REHAB PROG | RIO HONDO COMMUNITY MHC |
| FOR THE CHILD INC | SPECIAL SERVICE FOR GROUPS | SAN FERNANDO MENTAL HEALTH CENTER |
| GATEWAYS HOSPITAL AND MENTAL HEALTH | SPIRITT FAMILY SERVICES | SAN PEDRO MENTAL HEALTH CENTER |
| HAMBURGER HOME DBA AVIVA CENTER | St Annes | SOUTH BAY MHS |
| HATHAWAY SYCAMORES CHILD FAM SRVCS | ST JOSEPH CENTER | TIES FOR FAMILIES |
| HAYNES FAMILY OF PROGRAMS | STIRLING ACADEMY INC | WEST CENTRAL FAMILY MHS |
| HEALTHRIGHT 360 | SUNBRIDGE HARBOR VIEW REHAB CTR | |

Agencies submitting outcomes that are not approved to provide Seeking Safety by PEI Administration:

| ASIAN AMERICAN DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM | UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| HEALTHRIGHT 360 | VENICE FAMILY CLINIC |
| KEDREN COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CTR | L.A. COUNTY DMH |
| KOREAN AMERICAN FAMILY SRV CTR | ANTELOPE VALLEY MHC |
| LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT | HOLLYWOOD MENTAL HEALTH |
| NEW DIRECTIONS INCORPORATED | DMHC PEI PROGRAM |

| Table 1. Seek | Table 1. Seeking Safety Status since inception to June 29, 2017 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Clients | | | | | | | | |
| # of Clients | # of Clients | # of Tx | with | Clients | Clients | Clients | | | | | |
| Claimed to | entered into | cycles in | Multiple | Completing | Dropping- | Still in | | | | | |
| Practice | PEI OMA | PEI OMA | Tx | Tx | Out of Tx | Tx | | | | | |
| | | | Cycles | | | | | | | | |
| 25862 | 60.86% | 16947 | 6.88% | 30.77% | 45.75% | 23.47% | | | | | |
| n= | 15740 | n= | 1083 | 5214 | 7753 | 3978 | | | | | |

Note 1: Clients claimed was based on Seeking Safety being selected as the EBP in a PEI Plan and having ≥ 2 core services claimed to the practice.

Note 2: Number of clients Completing Tx or Dropping-Out of Tx was determined by whether the EBP was said to be completed (e.g. answered "yes" or "no") in the PEI OMA.

| Table 2. C | Table 2. Client Demographics - Clients Who Entered Seeking Safety | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|--------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|
| | Age | | Gender | | | | Ethnicity | | | Prim | ary Langu | age |
| Total Number of Clients | Average | Female | Male | Unknown | African- American | Asian / Pacific Islander | Caucasian | Hispanic / Latino | Other | English | Spanish | Other |
| 15740 | 22 | 52.91% | 47.04% | 0.05% | 18.33% | 2.12% | 11.72% | 60.07% | 7.76% | 74.97% | 20.08% | 4.95% |
| | n= | 8328 | 7404 | 8 | 2885 | 334 | 1845 | 9455 | 1221 | 11800 | 3161 | 779 |

Note1: Age is calculated at the date of the first EBP.

Note2: Percentages may not total 100 due to missing data and/or rounding.

| Outcome Measures Administered Pre-Test with Scores Post-test with Scores Clients Who Completed both a Pre and Post Measure with Scores UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Parent 41.32% 15.72% 7.00% UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Parent 41.9 83 71 UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Child/Adolescent 60.74% 25.24% 10.98% PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Ackn= 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% Ackn= 5044 3170 5044 | Table 3. Program Pr | ocess Data - Cl | ients Who Ente | ered Seeking Safety |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Parent n= 419 83 71 Ackn= 1014 528 1014 UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Child/Adolescent n= 625 133 113 Ackn= 1029 527 1029 PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) n= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) N= 5043 1749 1318 Ackn= 10617 7732 10617 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) n= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 N= 3885 1146 970 | Measures | | | both a Pre and Post |
| Ackn= 1014 528 1014 UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Child/Adolescent 60.74% 25.24% 10.98% n= 625 133 113 Ackn= 1029 527 1029 PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% p= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Ackn= 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% Ackn= 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% Ackn= 3885 1146 970 | | 41.32% | 15.72% | 7.00% |
| UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Child/Adolescent 60.74% 25.24% 10.98% n= Ackn= 625 133 113 Ackn= 1029 527 1029 PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% n= Ackn= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= Ackn= 3885 1146 970 | n= | 419 | 83 | 71 |
| Child/Adolescent 60.74% 25.24% 10.98% n= 625 133 113 Ackn= 1029 527 1029 PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% n= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% 2.01 (Parent) 10617 7732 10617 Youth Outcome Questionnaire – 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Youth Outcome 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Outcome 0uestionnaire – 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% Outcome 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% 45.2 1146 970 | Ackn= | 1014 | 528 | 1014 |
| Ackn= 1029 527 1029 PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% n= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% A5.2 1146 970 | | 60.74% | 25.24% | 10.98% |
| PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% n= Ackn= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% N= Ackn= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% N= 3885 1146 970 | n= | 625 | 133 | 113 |
| (PCL-5) 74.19% 30.88% 13.04% n= 529 105 93 Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% N= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | Ackn= | 1029 | 527 | 1029 |
| Ackn= 713 340 713 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% n= 5043 1749 1318 Ackn= 10617 7732 10617 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% n= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | | 74.19% | 30.88% | 13.04% |
| Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% n= 5043 1749 1318 Ackn= 10617 7732 10617 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% n= Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | n= | 529 | 105 | 93 |
| Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) 47.50% 22.62% 12.41% n= 5043 1749 1318 Ackn= 10617 7732 10617 Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% n= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | Ackn= | 713 | 340 | 713 |
| Ackn= 10617 7732 10617 Youth Outcome Questionnaire – Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% n= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | Questionnaire - | 47.50% | 22.62% | 12.41% |
| Youth Outcome 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR) n= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | n= | 5043 | 1749 | 1318 |
| Questionnaire – Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR) 74.09% 35.33% 21.03% n= Ackn= 8411 2875 2387 Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | Ackn= | 10617 | 7732 | 10617 |
| Ackn= 11352 8137 11352 Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | Questionnaire – Self Report – 2.0 | 74.09% | 35.33% | 21.03% |
| Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 | n= | 8411 | 2875 | 2387 |
| Questionnaire - 45.2 77.02% 36.15% 19.23% n= 3885 1146 970 | Ackn= | 11352 | 8137 | 11352 |
| | Questionnaire - | 77.02% | 36.15% | 19.23% |
| Ackn= 5044 3170 5044 | n= | 3885 | 1146 | 970 |
| | Ackn= | 5044 | 3170 | 5044 |

Note 1: Number of acknowledged measures (Ackn=) is determined by the number of required measures that receive a score or an unable to collect reason code.

Note 2: The % indicated for Pre-test with scores, Post-test with scores, and both a Preand Post-test with scores is calculated by dividing the (n=#) by the number acknowledged (Ackn=#) in the PEI OMA system for each measure. The number acknowledged (Ackn=#) for those with Pre and Post scores is an estimate based on the greatest number of matches that could be expected given the number of Pre scores acknowledged.

| Table 4 | Table 4a. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect" | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| UCLA PTSD RI-5 Parent | Total Pre 592 | Parent/care provider unavailable | Therapist did not administer tool | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Parent/care provider refused | Invalid outcome measure | Other Reasons | | | | |
| | Percent | 37.16% | 23.48% | 12.16% | 7.60% | 6.25% | 13.34% | | | | |
| | n | 220 | 139 | 72 | 45 | 37 | 79 | | | | |
| | Total Post 440 | Parent/care provider unavailable | Premature termination | Therapist did not administer tool | Lost contact with parent/care provider | Parent/care provider refused | Other Reasons | | | | |
| | Percent | 33.41% | 24.55% | 19.32% | 10.68% | 4.32% | 7.73% | | | | |
| | n | 147 | 108 | 85 | 47 | 19 | 34 | | | | |

| Table 4 | Table 4b. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect" | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Child/Adolescent | Total Pre 398 | Therapist did not administer tool | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Client refused | Client unavailable | Administered wrong forms | Other Reasons | | | | |
|)ild | Percent | 26.63% | 19.35% | 19.35% | 12.31% | 6.53% | 15.83% | | | | |
| UCLA PTSD RI-5 Ch | n | 106 | 77 | 77 | 49 | 26 | 63 | | | | |
| | Total Post 392 | Premature termination | Client unavailable | Therapist did not administer tool | Lost contact with client | Client refused | Other Reasons | | | | |
| Ď | Percent | 29.34% | 21.68% | 16.58% | 13.27% | 10.97% | 8.16% | | | | |
| | n | 115 | 85 | 65 | 52 | 43 | 32 | | | | |

| Table 4 | Table 4c. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect" | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|---|--|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| (PCL-5) | Total Pre 184 | Therapist did not administer tool | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Not available in primary language | Client refused | Client unavailable | Other Reasons | | | |
| | Percent | 23.37% | 15.76% | 15.22% | 11.96% | 9.24% | 24.46% | | | |
| PTSD Checklist-5 | n | 43 | 29 | 28 | 22 | 17 | 45 | | | |
| | Total Post 235 | Premature termination | Client unavailable | Lost contact with client | Therapist did not administer tool | Client refused | Other Reasons | | | |
| | Percent | 29.79% | 22.13% | 11.91% | 9.79% | 9.36% | 17.02% | | | |
| | n | 70 | 52 | 28 | 23 | 22 | 40 | | | |

| Table 4 | Table 4d. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect" | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Questionnaire - 2.01 arent) | Total Pre 5565 | Parent/care provider unavailable | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Parent/care provider refused | Therapist did not administer tool | Outcome measure unavailable | Other Reasons | | | | |
| onr | Percent | 58.33% | 16.55% | 6.36% | 5.19% | 4.33% | 9.24% | | | | |
| esti ent) | n | 3246 | 921 | 354 | 289 | 241 | 514 | | | | |
| Outcome (P | Total Post 5980 | Parent/care provider unavailable | Premature termination | Lost contact with parent/care provider | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Parent/care provider refused | Other Reasons | | | | |
| Youth | Percent | 50.23% | 21.44% | 7.29% | 5.55% | 5.50% | 9.98% | | | | |
| Α | n | 3004 | 1282 | 436 | 332 | 329 | 597 | | | | |

| Table 4 | Table 4e. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect" | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Questionnaire 0 (YOQ-SR) | Total Pre 2936 | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Client refused | Client unavailable | Outcome measure unavailable | Therapist did not administer tool | Other Reasons | | | | |
|) (YC | Percent | 33.24% | 21.36% | 12.53% | 9.40% | 8.07% | 15.40% | | | | |
| Youth Outcome Qu Self Report – 2.0 | n | 976 | 627 | 368 | 276 | 237 | 452 | | | | |
| | Total Post 5257 | Premature termination | Client unavailable | Client refused | Lost contact with client | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Other Reasons | | | | |
| | Percent | 30.53% | 29.12% | 11.13% | 11.07% | 7.55% | 10.60% | | | | |
| | n | 1605 | 1531 | 585 | 582 | 397 | 557 | | | | |

| Table 4 | Table 4f. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect" | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| re – 45.2 | Total Pre 1158 | Administration date exceeds acceptable range | Outcome measure unavailable | Not available in primary language | Client refused | Client unavailable | Other Reasons | | | |
| Jair | Percent | 19.26% | 17.44% | 11.66% | 11.57% | 11.31% | 28.76% | | | |
| Outcome Questionnaire | n | 223 | 202 | 135 | 134 | 131 | 333 | | | |
| | Total Post 2021 | Client unavailable | Premature termination | Lost contact with client | Client refused | Therapist did not administer tool | Other Reasons | | | |
| 0 | Percent | 27.81% | 22.56% | 19.30% | 11.43% | 4.95% | 13.95% | | | |
| | n | 562 | 456 | 390 | 231 | 100 | 282 | | | |

| Table 5. Service Delivery Data – Clients Who Completed Seeking Safety | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Total Treatment Cycles | Average Length of Treatment in Weeks | • | ge of nt Weeks | Average Number of Sessions | Range of | Sessions | | |
| 5214 | 34 | Min | Max | 35 | Min | Max | | |
| | 0 | | 260 | 33 | 1 | 745 | | |

| Table 6. Outcome Data* – Clients who Completed Seeking Safety | | | | | |
|---|-------|---|--|--------------|--------------------|
| | | Percent Improvement from Pre to Post | Percent of Clients Showing Reliable Change* from Pre-SS to Post-SS | | |
| | | | Positive Change | No change | Negative Change |
| UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Parent | TOTAL | 49.13% | 42.86% | 51.02% | 6.12% |
| | | (n=49) | 21 | 25 | 3 |
| UCLA PTSD-RI-5 Child/Adolescent | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 38.69% | 51.90% | 35.44% | 12.66% |
| | | (n=79) | 41 | 28 | 10 |
| PTSD Checklist-5- (PCL-5) | TOTAL | | | | |
| | | 61.51% | 64.94% | 31.17% | 3.90% |
| | | (n=77) | 50 | 24 | 3 |
| Youth Outcome Questionnaire (YOQ) - 2.01 (Parent) | TOTAL | 35.19% | 56.32% | 32.46% | 11.22% |
| | | (n=918) | 517 | 298 | 103 |
| Youth Outcome Questionnaire - Self Report - 2.0 (YOQ-SR) | TOTAL | | | | |
| | | 32.47% | 44.15% | 45.85% | 10.00% |
| | | (n=1830) | 808 | 839 | 183 |
| Outcome Questionnaire – 45.2 | TOTAL | 32.37% (n=805) | 55.40% | 40.12% | 4.47% |
| 45.2 | | (n=805) | 446 | 323 | 36 |

[±]Please see Appendix A. for a description of the Seeking Safety outcome measures and the outcome indicators (percent improvement in average scores; and, percent of clients showing reliable change).

Note1: Possible PTSD RI-5 range from 0-68, with a clinical cutpoint of 35.


Note2: Possible YOQ Total Scores range from -16-240, with a clinical cutpoint of 46.


Note3: Possible YOQ-SR Total Scores range from -16-240, with a clinical cutpoint of 47.

Note4: Possible OQ Total Scores range from 0-180, with a clinical cutpoint of 64.


Note5: Positive Change indicates that the scores decreased from the pre to the post measures.


UCLA PTSD RI-5 Parent (n=49)







UCLA PTSD RI-5 Child/Adolescent (n=79)







PTSD Checklist (PCL-5) (n=77)







Youth Outcome Questionnaire (YOQ) – 2.01 (Parent) (n=918)







Youth Outcome Questionnaire – Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR) (n=1830)





Outcome Questionnaire - 45.2 (n=805)





<u>Appendix</u>

Youth Outcomes Questionnaires (YOQ and YOQ-SR)

The Youth Outcome Questionnaire is a 64-item parent-report that assesses global distress in a child's/adolescent's life from 4-17 years of age. The YOQ-SR is the Self-report version of the YOQ and is completed by the child/adolescent. Total scores on both measures can range from -16 to 240. Total scores of 46 or higher are most similar to a clinical population on the YOQ. A total score of 47 is most similar to that of a clinical population on the YOQ-SR.

Outcomes Questionnaires (OQ)

The Outcome Questionnaire is a 45-item self-report that assesses global distress in a client's life from ages 19 and older. Total Scores on this measure can range from 0 to 180, with scores of 64 or higher indicating clinical significance.

Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (PTSD RI-5)

The UCLA Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (PTSD RI-5) is a brief (31-item) assessment that measures the frequency of occurrence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms during the month prior to the assessment. The Child/Adolescent Version is appropriate for clients age 7-18. The Parent Version is appropriate for child clients also aged 7-18.

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-5)

The PCL-5 is a brief (20-item) measure of the frequency of PTSD symptoms. It was developed by the Veterans Administration and is geared to the new DSM-5. It is appropriate for adults age 19 and older.

Possible Total PTSD Severity Scores range from 0-68; and scores of 38 or higher have the greatest sensitivity and specificity for detecting PTSD.

Reliable Change Index (RCI)

When comparing Pre and Post scores, it is very helpful to know whether the change reported represents the real effects of the treatment or errors in the system of measurement. The Reliability of Change Index (RCI) is a statistical way of helping to insure that the change recorded between pre and post assessments exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of measurement error alone. The RCI has been calculated using the Jacobson and Truax (1991) method and indicates when change exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of error at the p<.05 probability level. For a more in-depth discussion of Reliability of Change see Jacobson, N. S., & Truax. P. (1991). Clinical Significance: A statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 59, 12-19. Also see Wise, E. A. (2004). Methods for analyzing psychotherapy outcomes: A review of clinical significance, reliable change, and recommendations for future directions. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 82(1), 50-59.

The number and percent of clients experiencing positive change, no change and negative change are recorded in table 7. Healthful change in each of the measures cited here means that scores have <u>decreased</u> in value from pre to post test administrations (i.e. recorded a negative change on the RCI). To help avoid confusion, healthful reliable change is presented as positive while unhealthful reliable change is presented as negative change.

The Reliable Change analysis for the PTSD-RI Adult Short-Form used the same Cronbach Alpha score found in the other PTSD-RI versions.