COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM SUPPORT BUREAU – MHSA IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOMES DIVISION





Prevention & Early Intervention: Incredible Years Countywide Aggregate Practice Outcomes Dashboard Report

Outcome Data Submission through September 22, 2015

Participating Legal Entities Include:

AMANECER COMMUNITY COUNSELING SRVC	HILLSIDES
CHILD AND FAMILY CENTER	INTERCOMMUNITY CHILD GUIDANCE CTR
CHILD AND FAMILY GUIDANCE CENTER	MARYVALE
CHILDREN BUREAU OF S CALIFORNIA	SPECIAL SERVICE FOR GROUPS
CHILDRENS HOSPITAL OF LOS ANGELES	STAR VIEW BEHAVIORAL HEALTH INC
CHILDRENS INSTITUTE INC	VIP COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CTR INC
DREW CHILD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	L.A. COUNTY DMH
FOOTHILL FAMILY SERVICE	LONG BEACH CHILD ADOLESCENT CLINIC
HATHAWAY SYCAMORES CHILD FAM SRVCS	TIES FOR FAMILIES

Agencies submitting outcomes that are not approved to provide IY by PEI Administration:

CENTER FOR INTEGRATED FAMILY HEALTH

Table 1. IY Status Since Inception to September 22, 2015							
# of Clients Claimed to Practice	# of Clients Entered into PEI OMA	# of Tx Cycles in PEI OMA	Clients with Multiple Tx Cycles	Clients Completing Tx	Clients Dropping- Out of Tx	Clients Still in Tx	
2395	71.02%	1769	3.88%	49.80%	27.93%	22.27%	
n=	1701	n=	66	881	494	394	

Note 1: Clients claimed was based on IY being selected as the EBP in a PEI Plan and having ≥ 2 core services claimed to the practice.

Note 2: Number of clients Completing Tx or Dropping-Out of Tx was determined by whether the EBP was said to be completed (e.g. answered "yes" or "no") in the PEI OMA.

Table 2. C	Table 2. Client Demographics - Clients Who Entered IY										
	Age	Ger	nder			Ethnicity	/		Prim	Primary Language	
Total Number of Clients	Average	Female	Male	African-American	Asian / Pacific Islander	Caucasian	Hispanic / Latino	Other	English	Spanish	Other
1701	6	34.10%	65.90%	9.70%	0.82%	3.41%	80.72%	5.35%	56.32%	42.86%	0.82%
	n=	580	1121	165	14	58	1373	91	958	729	14

Note 1: Age is calculated at the date of the first EBP.

Note 2: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding and/or missing data.

Table 3. To	Table 3. Top 5 Most Frequently Reported DSM-IV Primary Axis Diagnosis - Clients Who Entered IY								
Total Treatment Cycles	Disruptive Behavior Disorder NOS	Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Combined Type or Hyperactive Impulse Type	Oppositional Defiant Disorder	Anxiety Disorder NOS	Disorder of Infancy, Childhood, or Adolescence NOS	Other			
1769	30.24%	17.30%	7.24%	6.44%	4.92%	33.86%			
n=	535	306	128	114	87	599			

^{*}See breakout of "Other" on the supplemental information page.

Table 4. Program Proce	ss Data - Clien	ts Who Entere	ed IY
Outcome Measures Administered	Pre-Test with Scores	Post-test with Scores	Clients Who Completed both a Pre and Post Measure with Scores
Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI)	75.38%	56.96%	34.41%
n=	1286	708	587
Ackn=	1706	1243	1706
Sutter Eyberg Student Behavior Inventory - Revised (SESBI-R)	0.66%	0.72%	0.12%
n=	11	9	2
Ackn=	1656	1244	1656
Youth Outcome Questionnaire - (YOQ) 2.01 (Parent)	84.08%	64.07%	41.44%
n=	1394	774	687
Ackn=	1658	1208	1658
Youth Outcome Questionnaire – Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR)	5.17%	6.52%	1.72%
n=	3	3	1
Ackn=	58	46	58

Note 1: Number of acknowledged measures (Ackn=) is determined by the number of required measures that receive a score or an unable to collect reason code.

Note 2: The % indicated for Pre-test with scores, Post-test with scores, and both a Preand Post-test with scores is calculated by dividing the (n=#) by the number acknowledged (Ackn=#) in the PEI OMA system for each measure. The number acknowledged (Ackn=#) for those with Pre and Post scores is an estimate based on the greatest number of matches that could be expected given the number of Pre scores acknowledged.

Table 5a	Table 5a. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
intory (ECBI)	Total Pre 420	Outcome measure unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Parent/care provider unavailable	Invalid outcome measure	Therapist did not administer tool	Other Reasons		
<u> </u>	Percent	38.10%	17.38%	14.29%	7.86%	6.43%	15.95%		
io	n	160	73	60	33	27	67		
rg Child Behavior Inventory	Total Post 535	Premature termination	Outcome measure unavailable	Parent/care provider unavailable	Lost contact with parent/care provider	Invalid outcome measure	Other Reasons		
Eyberg	Percent	37.38%	17.57%	16.26%	6.54%	5.79%	16.45%		
	n	200	94	87	35	31	88		

Table 5b.	Table 5b. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
rior Inventory - R)	Total Pre 1645	Not required (SESBI only)	Outcome measure unavailable	Teacher unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Therapist did not administer tool	Other Reasons		
Behavior SESBI-R)	Percent	64.07%	14.71%	12.10%	3.04%	2.98%	3.10%		
	n	1054	242	199	50	49	51		
Eyberg Studen Revised	Total Post 1235	Not required (SESBI only)	Outcome measure unavailable	Premature termination	Teacher unavailable	Therapist did not administer tool	Other Reasons		
Sutter	Percent	60.73%	17.89%	9.31%	6.96%	2.02%	3.08%		
.ns	n	750	221	115	86	25	38		

Table 5c.	Table 5c. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"									
- (YOQ) 2.01	Total Pre 264	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Parent/care provider unavailable	Outcome measure unavailable	Invalid outcome measure	Therapist did not administer tool	Other Reasons			
aire	percent	34.09%	20.45%	19.70%	6.06%	5.68%	14.02%			
ionn ent)	n	90	54	52	16	15	37			
Youth Outcome Questionnaire (Parent)	Total Post 434	Premature termination	Outcome measure unavailable	Parent/care provider unavailable	Lost contact with parent/care provider	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Other Reasons			
.h Or	percent	42.86%	18.66%	16.82%	8.06%	6.91%	6.68%			
Yout	n	186	81	73	35	30	29			

Table 5d.	ble 5d. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
Questionnaire - Self 2.0 (YOQ-SR)	Total Pre 55	Client unavailable	Outcome measure unavailable	Therapist did not administer tool	Invalid outcome measure	Premature termination	Other Reasons		
ti oʻ	Percent	56.36%	23.64%	9.09%	7.27%	1.82%	1.82%		
ues 0 (Y	n	31	13	5	4	1	1		
Outcome Report - 2	Total Post 43	Outcome measure unavailable	Client unavailable	Premature termination	Invalid outcome measure	Therapist did not administer tool			
Youth	Percent	34.88%	32.56%	16.28%	11.63%	4.65%			
>	n	15	14	7	5	2			

L	Table 6. Service Delivery Data – Clients Who Completed IY						
	Total Treatment Cycles	Average Length of Treatment in Weeks	Rang Treatmei		Average Number of Sessions	Range of	Sessions
	881		Min	Max		Min	Max
		21	0	82	21	1	137


Note: Completed IY is defined as having a 'yes' for completion indicated in the PEI OMA.


Table 7. Outcome Data* – Clients who Completed IY							
		Percent Improvement	Percent of Clients Showing Reliable Change* from Pre-IY to Post-IY				
		from Pre to Post	Positive Change	No change	Negative Change		
	Intensity						
Eyberg Child	Raw Score	17.91%	45.70%	47.31%	6.99%		
Behavior		(n=558)	255	264	39		
Inventory (ECBI)	Problem Raw	33.54%	44.80%	48.21%	6.99%		
	Score	(n=558)	250	269	39		
Youth Outcome Questionnaire -	TOTAL	27.44%	46.43%	44.61%	8.95%		
(YOQ) 2.01 (Parent)	IOIAL	(n=659)	306	294	59		

[±]Please see Appendix for a description of the IY outcome measures and the outcome indicators (percent improvement in average scores; and, percent of clients showing reliable change). Note 1: Possible ECBI Intensity Raw Scores range from 36-252, with a clinical cutpoint of 131; and possible ECBI Problem Raw Scores range from 0-36, with a clinical cutpoint of 15. Note 2: Possible YOQ Total Scores range from -16-240, with a clinical cutpoint of 46. Note 3: Aggregate outcome data based on fewer than 20 matched pairs are not reported. Note 4: Positive Change indicates that the scores decreased from the pre to the post measures.


INC


Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI) Intensity Raw Score (N=558)







Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI) Problem Raw Score (N=558)





Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) (N=659)





Appendix

Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI) The Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory is a 36-item parent-report measure that assesses behavioral problems in children from the ages of 2 through 16. Each behavior problem is rated on a 7-point intensity scale and a Yes-No problem scale that indicates whether the child's behavior is a problem for the parent. The ECBI Intensity scale scores can range from 36-252 with a clinical cut point of 131. The ECBI problem scale can range form 0-36 with a clinical cut point of 15.

<u>Sutter-Eyberg Student Behavior Inventory-Revised (SESBI-R)</u> The Sutter-Eyberg Student Behavior Inventory-Revised is a 38-item measure that assesses behavior problems in children from ages 2 through 16. The SESBI is similar in format and content to the ECBI but is designed to be completed by teachers in a school setting. The SESBI Intensity scale scores can range from 38-266 with a clinical cut point of 151. The SESBI problem scale can range form 0-38 with a clinical cut point of 19. The number and percent improvement in ECBI (SESBI) problems and Intensity scales scores from Incredible Years (IY) is reported when available.

<u>Youth Outcomes Questionnaires (YOQ and YOQ-SR)</u> The Youth Outcome Questionnaire is a 64-item parent-report that assesses global distress in a child's/adolescent's life from 4-17 years of age. The YOQ-SR is the Self-report version of the YOQ and is completed by the child/adolescent him or herself. Scores on both measures can range from -16 to 240. Scores of 46 or higher are most similar to a clinical population on the YOQ. A score of 47 is most similar to that of a clinical population on the YOQ-SR.

Reliable Change Index When comparing Pre and Post scores, it is very helpful to know whether the change reported represents the real effects of the treatment or errors in the system of measurement. The Reliability of Change Index (RCI) is a statistical way of helping to insure that the change recorded between pre and post assessments exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of measurement error alone. The RCI has been calculated using the Jacobson and Truax (1991) method and indicates when change exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of error at the p<.05 probability level. For a more in-depth discussion of Reliability of Change see Jacobson, N. S., & Truax. P. (1991). Clinical Significance: A statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 59, 12-19. Also see Wise, E. A. (2004). Methods for analyzing psychotherapy outcomes: A review of clinical significance, reliable change, and recommendations for future directions. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 82(1), 50-59.

The number and percent of clients experiencing positive change, no change and negative change are recorded in table 6. Healthful change in each of the measures cited here means that scores have <u>decreased</u> in value from pre to post test administrations (i.e. recorded a negative change on the RCI). To help avoid confusion, healthful reliable change is presented as positive while unhealthful reliable change is presented as negative change.