### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM SUPPORT BUREAU – MHSA IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOMES DIVISION





## Prevention & Early Intervention: Incredible Years Countywide Aggregate Practice Outcomes Dashboard Report

**Outcome Data Submission through July 10, 2013** 

#### **Participating Legal Entities Include:**

Child and Family Center	San Fernando Valley Child Guidance
Children's Hospital Of Los Angeles	Special Service for Groups
Children's Institute Inc.	Star View Adolescent Center
Community Counseling Services	VIP Mental Health Center, Inc.
Foothill Family Services	L.A. COUNTY DMH
Hathaway Sycamores Child & Family Services	Long Beach Child & Adolescent Program
Hillsides	South Bay Ties For Families

Agencies submitting outcomes that are not approved to provide IY by PEI Administration:

Center for Integrated Family Health

Version: 7/23/13

Table 1. IY S	Table 1. IY Status Since Inception to July 10, 2013								
# of Clients Claimed to Practice	# of Clients Entered into PEI OMA	# of Tx Cycles in PEI OMA	Clients with Multiple Tx Cycles	Clients Completing Tx	Clients Dropping- Out of Tx				
1442	53.88%	794	2.06%	42.44%	25.57%				
n=	777	n=	16	337	203				

Note 1: Clients Claimed was based on IY being selected as the EBP in a PEI Plan and having  $\geq 1$  core services claimed to the practice.

Note 2: Number of clients Completing Tx or Dropping-Out of Tx was determined by whether the EBP was said to be completed (e.g. answered "yes" or "no") in the PEI OMA.

Table 2. Cli	Table 2. Client Demographics - Clients Who Entered IY										
	Age	Gender			l	Ethnicity			Prim	Primary Language	
Total Number of Clients	Average	Female	Male	African-American	Asian / Pacific Islander	Caucasian	Hispanic / Latino	Other	English	Spanish	Other
777	7	33.20%	66.80%	10.68%	0.90%	3.99%	80.69%	3.73%	51.48%	47.75%	0.77%
	n=	258	519	83	7	31	627	29	400	371	6

Note1: Age is calculated at the date of the first EBP.

Note2: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding and/or missing data.

Table 3a: T	Table 3a: Top 5 Most Frequently Reported DSM-IV Primary Axis Diagnosis - Clients Who Entered IY								
Total Treatment Cycles	Disruptive Behavior Disorder NOS	Attention- Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Combined Type or Hyperactive Impulse Type	Oppositional Defiant Disorder	Disorder of Infancy, Childhood, or Adolescence NOS	Anxiety Disorder NOS	Other			
794	24.94%	20.28%	8.31%	5.16%	4.79%	36.52%			
n=	198	161	66	41	38	290			

Table 4: Program Proces	Table 4: Program Process Data - Clients Who Entered IY								
Outcome Measures Administered	Pre-Test with Scores	Post-test with Scores	Clients Who Completed both a Pre and Post Measure with Scores						
Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI)	70.04%	49.15%	25.18%						
n=	498	230	179						
Ackn=	711	468	711						
Sutter Eyberg Student Behavior Inventory - Revised (SESBI-R)	0.29%	0.43%	0.00%						
n=	2	2	0						
Ackn=	694	470	694						
Youth Outcome Questionnaire - (YOQ) 2.01 (Parent)	89.05%	63.00%	36.74%						
n=	618	286	255						
Ackn=	694	454	694						
Youth Outcome Questionnaire – Self Report – 2.0 (YOQ-SR)	0.00%	4.55%	0.00%						
n=	0	1	0						
Ackn=		22	27						

Note 1: Number of acknowledged measures (Ackn=) is determined by the number of required measures that receive a score or an unable to collect reason code.

Note 2: The % indicated for Pre-test with scores, Post-test with scores, and both a Pre- and Post-test with scores is calculated by dividing the (n=#) by the number acknowledged (Ackn=#) in the PEI OMA system for each measure. The number acknowledged (Ackn=#) for those with Pre and Post scores is an estimate based on the greatest number of matches that could be expected given the number of Pre scores acknowledged.

Table 5	able 5a. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
Inventory (ECBI)	Total Pre		Outcome measure unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Not available in primary language	Invalid outcome measure	Parent/care provider unavailable	Other Reasons	
<u> </u>	213	percent	53.99%	11.74%	8.92%	6.57%	6.57%	12.21%	
		n	115	25	19	14	14	26	
Eyberg Child Behavior	Total Post		Premature termination	Parent/care provider unavailable	Not available in primary language	Outcome measure unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Other Reasons	
<del> </del>	238	percent	41.18%	18.07%	12.18%	7.14%	6.72%	14.71%	
		n	98	43	29	17	16	35	

Table 5b	Table 5b. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"									
Behavior Inventory - SESBI-R)	Total Pre		Not required (SESBI only)	Outcome measure unavailable	Teacher unavailable	Premature termination	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Other Reasons		
lavi	692	percent	67.77%	16.33%	10.12%	1.59%	1.45%	2.75%		
		n	469	113	70	11	10	19		
Sutter Eyberg Student Revised (6	Total Post		Not required (SESBI only)	Premature termination	Teacher unavailable	Outcome measure unavailable	Clinician not trained in outcome measure	Other Reasons		
r Ey	468	percent	58.76%	12.82%	12.39%	11.75%	1.92%	2.35%		
Sutte		n	275	60	58	55	9	11		

Table !	Table 5c. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"									
e - (YOQ) 2.01	Total Pre		Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Outcome measure unavailable	Parent/care provider unavailable	Lost contact with parent/care provider	Invalid outcome measure	Other Reasons		
nair	76	percent	39.47%	18.42%	14.47%	10.53%	7.89%	9.21%		
uestion (Parent)		n	30	14	11	8	6	7		
Youth Outcome Questionnaire (Parent)	Total Post		Premature termination	Parent/care provider unavailable	Administration date exceeds acceptable range	Lost contact with parent/care provider	Outcome measure unavailable	Other Reasons		
outl	168	percent	55.36%	22.62%	7.14%	6.55%	3.57%	4.76%		
>		n	93	38	12	11	6	8		

Table 5d	Table 5d. Top Reasons Given for "Unable to Collect"								
Questionnaire - 2.0 (YOQ-SR)	Total Pre		Client unavailable	Outcome measure unavailable	Invalid outcome measure				
esti (YO	27	percent	70.37%	18.52%	11.11%				
Que 2.0		n	19	5	3				
Outcome Report -	Total Post		Client unavailable	Premature termination	Outcome measure unavailable	Invalid outcome measure			
Youth	21	percent	42.86%	23.81%	19.05%	14.29%			
γο Α		n	9	5	4	3			

Table 6. Service Delivery Data – Clients Who Completed IY								
Total Treatment Cycles	Average Length of Treatment in Weeks	Range of T We	reatment eks	Average Number of Sessions	Range of	Sessions		
337		Min	Max		Min	Max		
	21	5	69	17	1	57		

Note: Completed IY is defined as having a 'yes' for completion indicated in the PEI OMA.

Table 7. Outcome Data <sup>±</sup> – Clients who Completed IY							
		Percent Improvement	Percent of Clients Showing Reliable Change* from Pre-IY to Post-IY				
		from Pre to Post	Positive Change	No change	Negative Change		
	Intensity						
	Raw	14.49%	43.02%	47.09%	9.88%		
Eyberg Child Behavior	Score	(n=172)	74	81	17		
Inventory (ECBI)	Problem						
	Raw	28.84%	43.02%	50.00%	6.98%		
	Score	(n-172)	74	86	12		
Youth Outcome							
Questionnaire -	TOTAL	23.36%	43.32%	47.77%	8.91%		
(YOQ) 2.01 (Parent)	IOIAL	(n=247)	107	118	22		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>±</sup>Please see Appendix for a description of the IY outcome measures and the outcome indicators (percent improvement in average scores; and, percent of clients showing reliable change).

6


Note1: Possible ECBI Intensity Raw Scores range from 36-252, with a clinical cutpoint of 131; and possible ECBI Problem Raw Scores range from 0-36, with a clinical cutpoint of 15.


Note2: Possible YOQ Total Scores range from -16-240, with a clinical cutpoint of 46.

Note3: Aggregate outcome data based on fewer than 20 children are not reported.


Note4: Positive Change indicates that the scores decreased from the pre to the post measures.


# Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI) Intensity Raw Score (N=172)







### Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI) Problem Raw Score (N=172)





# Youth Outcome Questionnaire - 2.01 (Parent) (N=247)





#### **Appendix**

Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI) The Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory is a 36-item parent-report measure that assesses behavioral problems in children from the ages of 2 through 16. Each behavior problem is rated on a 7-point intensity scale and a Yes-No problem scale that indicates whether the child's behavior is a problem for the parent. The ECBI Intensity scale scores can range from 36-252 with a clinical cut point of 131. The ECBI problem scale can range form 0-36 with a clinical cut point of 15.

<u>Sutter-Eyberg Student Behavior Inventory-Revised (SESBI-R)</u> The Sutter-Eyberg Student Behavior Inventory-Revised is a 38-item measure that assesses behavior problems in children from ages 2 through 16. The SESBI is similar in format and content to the ECBI but is designed to be completed by teachers in a school setting. The SESBI Intensity scale scores can range from 38-266 with a clinical cut point of 151. The SESBI problem scale can range form 0-38 with a clinical cut point of 19. The number and percent improvement in ECBI (SESBI) problems and Intensity scales scores from Incredible Years (IY) is reported when available.

Youth Outcomes Questionnaires (YOQ and YOQ-SR) The Youth Outcome Questionnaire is a 64-item parent-report that assesses global distress in a child's/adolescent's life from 4-17 years of age. The YOQ-SR is the Self-report version of the YOQ and is completed by the child/adolescent him or herself. Scores on both measures can range from -16 to 240. Scores of 46 or higher are most similar to a clinical population on the YOQ. A score of 47 is most similar to that of a clinical population on the YOQ-SR.

Reliable Change Index When comparing Pre and Post scores, it is very helpful to know whether the change reported represents the real effects of the treatment or errors in the system of measurement. The Reliability of Change Index (RCI) is a statistical way of helping to insure that the change recorded between pre and post assessments exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of measurement error alone. The RCI has been calculated using the Jacobson and Truax (1991) method and indicates when change exceeds that which would be expected on the basis of error at the p<.05 probability level. For a more in-depth discussion of Reliability of Change see Jacobson, N. S., & Truax. P. (1991). Clinical Significance: A statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 59, 12-19. Also see Wise, E. A. (2004). Methods for analyzing psychotherapy outcomes: A review of clinical significance, reliable change, and recommendations for future directions. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 82(1), 50-59.

The number and percent of clients experiencing positive change, no change and negative change are recorded in table 6. Healthful change in each of the measures cited here means that scores have <u>decreased</u> in value from pre to post test administrations (i.e. recorded a negative change on the RCI). To help avoid confusion, healthful reliable change is presented as positive while unhealthful reliable change is presented as negative change.