MARINA DEL REY DESIGN CONTROL BOARD
AGENDA

Wednesday, June 18, 2014, 1:30 p.m.

Burton W. Chace Park
Community Building
13650 Mindanao Way
Marina del Rey, CA  90292

Audio
1. Call to Order, Action on Absences, Pledge of Allegiance, and Order of Agenda

2. Approval of the January 22, 2014, February 19, 2014 and March 26, 2014 Minutes

3. Public Comment
This is the opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on items that are not on the posted agenda, provided that the subject matter is within the jurisdiction of the Board. Speakers are reminded of the three-minute time limitation.

4. Consent Agenda
The Chair may entertain a motion by a Board member at the beginning of the meeting to approve certain non-controversial agenda items as consent agenda items unless held by a Board member or member(s) of the public for discussion or separate action.

5. Old Business
A. Parcel 13 – Villa del Mar Apartments – Final consideration of site renovation project and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #13-009-B

6. New Business
A. Parcel 50 – Sugarfish by Sushi Nozawa – Consideration of revised business identification signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #08-002-B

B. Marina del Rey Beach Eats! and Farmers’ Market – Consideration of additional seasonal event signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #13-016-B

C. Presentation by the Department of Public Works on Phase IIIB of the Marina del Rey 18-Inch Watermain Replacement Project

D. Election of Officers

7. Staff Reports
A. Temporary Permits Issued by the Department
B. Ongoing Activities Report
   • Board of Supervisors Actions on Items Relating to Marina del Rey
   • Regional Planning Commission’s Calendar
   • Coastal Commission’s Calendar
   • Future Major DCB Agenda Items
   • Small Craft Harbor Commission Minutes
   • Marina Design Guidelines Update
   • Redevelopment Project Status Report
   • Marina del Rey Visioning Process

C. Marina del Rey Special Events

8. Adjournment

PLEASE NOTE

1. ADA ACCOMODATIONS: If you require reasonable accommodations or auxiliary aids and services such as material in alternate format or a sign language interpreter, please contact the ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Coordinator at (310) 305-9538 (Voice) or (TTY/TDD) users, please call the California Relay Service at 711. The ADA coordinator may be reached by email at rstassi@bh.lacounty.gov.

2. The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors adopted Chapter 2.160 of the Los Angeles Code (Ord. 93-0031 ~ 2 (part), 1993), relating to lobbyists. Any person who seeks support or endorsement from the Design Control Board on any official action must certify that he/she is familiar with the requirements of this ordinance. A copy of the ordinance can be provided prior to the meeting and certification is to be made before or at the meeting.

All materials provided to the Design Control Board Members are available for public review, beginning the Friday prior to the meeting, at the four Marina del Rey locations listed below. The Department of Beaches and Harbors website also provides all reports and audio files from current and past meetings. Electronic copies of project submittals for Business Items referred to in this agenda will be available online for a two week period from the date of this agenda.

Please visit the Department of Beaches and Harbors Website Address at http://marinadelrey.lacounty.gov, or the Design Control Board Archive for more information.

Department of Beaches and Harbors
Administration Building
13837 Fiji Way
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

MdR Visitors & Information Center
4701 Admiralty Way
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

Burton Chace Park Community Room
13650 Mindanao Way
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

Lloyd Taber-Marina del Rey Library
4533 Admiralty Way
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

Si necesita asistencia para interpretar esta información, llame a este numero: 310-822-4639.
Members Present: Helena Jubany, Vice Chair (First District); Simon Pastucha, Member (Third District); Tony Wong, P.E, Member (Fifth District)

Members Absent: Peter Phinney, AIA, Chair (Fourth District);

Department Staff Present: Gary Jones, Acting Director; Charlotte Miyamoto, Planning Division Chief; Michael Tripp, Planning Specialist; Ismael Lopez, Planner; Catrina Love, Sr. Marketing Analyst; Angela Mahone, Secretary

County Staff Present: Anita Gutierrez, Department of Regional Planning; Amy Caves, County Counsel

Guests Testifying: Aaron Clark, Armbruster, Goldsmith & Delvac LLP; Dennis Rogers and Scot McGill, ACM-Architects; John Patterson, Gillespie Moody Patterson Landscape Architect for Marriott

1. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance
Vice Chair Jubany called the meeting to order at 6:33 PM

On a motion of Mr. Wong, seconded by Mr. Pastucha the absence of Chair Phinney was excused.
Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

Vice Chair Jubany led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. Approval of October 30, 2013 and November 20, 2013 Minutes
Mr. Wong stated that the date of the minutes for the November meeting was incorrectly listed in the agenda. He explained that the correct date was November 20th, not November 19th.

Jon Nahhas spoke about the October 2013 night meeting where the Visioning Process was discussed and about the meeting minutes.

Nancy Marino spoke about the October 2013 minutes and the small font size of the Redevelopment Project Status Report.

Mr. Wong responded that the minutes only summarize meeting items and added that audio recording of the meeting was available.

Mr. Wong and Mr. Pastucha suggested that the October 2013 minutes return for approval with more detail of the discussions by Board Members that occurred during the Visioning Process item. Both Members stated that comments that they made during the October meeting were not adequately reflected in the minutes.

Mr. Jones replied that staff would make corrections to address the Board’s comments.

Ms. Gutierrez added that Regional Planning also has a recording of the meeting.

Vice Chair Jubany commented that the October and November 2013 minutes could be approved individually, and agreed that staff should add more content to the October 2013 minutes.
On a motion by Mr. Wong, seconded by Mr. Pastucha, the November 20\textsuperscript{th} minutes were approved. The October 30\textsuperscript{th} minutes were continued and shall include additional detail on the Board’s discussion of the Visioning Process item.

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

3. Public Comment

Nancy Marino commented on the DCB meeting date change process and keeping the Post Office in Marina del Rey open.

Jon Nahhas commented on his concerns about special night meetings and proper noticing efforts.

Lynne Shapiro stated her agreement with Mr. Nahhas’ comments.

Jessica Kurland stated that she did not receive a notice regarding the project proposed for Parcel 9.

Dan Gottlieb praised staff members’ efforts to stop rent increases during the lease renewal process.

**Board Comment**

Vice Chair Jubany commented that Ms. Marino made a good point about the accuracy of notices, and asked if any changes were made to the notice for the January meeting.

Mr. Lopez responded that staff sent meeting date change notices in early December for the January night meeting. He added that both Parcel 9 and Parcel 113 projects were anticipated for review at the January meeting, but thereafter the Parcel 113 project was not ready for the meeting. Revised notices were released that removed reference to the Parcel 113 project for the January meeting.

Vice Chair Jubany stated she received an additional notice about the meeting the day prior to the meeting, and asked staff to elaborate on that notice.

Mr. Lopez replied that all materials and notices were made public on Thursday, January 16\textsuperscript{th}. He added that notices were posted on the website and hard copies of all meeting materials were available for review at four public viewing locations. He explained that the Agenda and all staff reports were posted on the Department’s website, but that the electronic copies of the project submittals failed to upload correctly because of technical issues. He reiterated that hard copies of the project submittals were made available for review on Thursday night. Staff was informed about the website technicalities on Tuesday, January 21\textsuperscript{st}, fixed the problem and uploaded the project submittals. Mr. Lopez further explained that staff then informed the DCB members that the link had been fixed.

Vice Chair Jubany agreed with Mr. Nahhas, that one day is an inadequate amount of time to review plans for a project.

Mr. Wong suggested that the project be continued to a later date, and asked staff if their project review and noticing procedures needed to be changed.

4. Consent Agenda

**Public Comment**

Nancy Marino commented on the consent agenda.

Mr. Wong clarified that the Board decides at the beginning of a meeting which items would be placed on the consent agenda.
Mr. Pastucha added that the Board does not hear any items on consent, unless they have been previously discussed.

5. **Old Business**

A. Parcel 9 – Marriott Courtyard and Residence Inn – Further consideration of site redevelopment and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #04-15-D

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Aaron Clark made a brief statement in support of the staff report.

**Public Comment**

Lynne Shapiro stated that neither natural nor created wetlands could be built on and that The Hardage Group was a non-union employer.

Jon Nahhas stated that he had concerns about the project.

Bruce Russell stated that there was a legal challenge to this project.

Dan Gottlieb asked when Marriott became involved with the project.

Nancy Marino commented on a wetland being present on Parcel 9.

Jessica Kurland raised concerns about the hotel and the seawall.

Mr. Clark stated that the wetlands litigation was ongoing and that the Coastal Commission had approved the Wetland Park.

Mr. Jones stated that the potential developer of the hotel has not changed.

Mr. Wong wanted to clarify the project was previously approved by the DCB in 2006 with a high rise hotel, then asked if the project had proceeded to Regional Planning with an Environmental Impact Report.

Mr. Lopez confirmed that the original project was approved by DCB and subsequently approved by the Regional Planning Commission.

Mr. Jones added that a timeshare component was originally contemplated for the project.

Ms. Gutierrez stated that the project would need to return to the Regional Planning Commission for review and a public hearing. She noted that staff would determine whether additional environmental concerns were raised due to the proposed design change.

Vice Chair Jubany and Mr. Wong asked if the current applicant, The Hardage Group, was the same applicant that brought a project to the DCB in 2006.

Mr. Jones replied affirmatively.

Mr. Clark stated that the Board of Supervisors requested that the project be reduced in scale, and remanded it back to the DCB and the Regional Planning Commission for further review.
Board Comment
Mr. Pastucha asked for clarification that only the conceptual project design was before the DCB for approval, not an environmental document or a Coastal Development Permit. He further stated that a hotel had always been envisioned for Parcel 9.

Mr. Jones confirmed that Mr. Pastucha’s statement was accurate.

Mr. Pastucha praised the applicant for reducing the scale of the project and commented on the landscaping aspects of the project, including at the entry point, near the public view points and open areas, and along the promenade.

Vice Chair Jubany commented on the architectural design, exterior materials and promenade details. She noted that the promenade design shown was different than the previously approved version. She asked that design details be explained in more detail during the final design review.

Mr. Clark stated that the project is only being considered for conceptual review and that the applicant would return with specific details on promenade for final approval post entitlement.

Vice Chair Jubany asked the applicant to show adjacent development and connection points when the project returns for final review. She then stated that she agreed with Mr. Pastucha’s comments regarding improvements that could be made along the promenade.

On a motion by Mr. Wong, seconded by Mr. Pastucha, item was conceptually approved unanimously, with design recommendations and conditioned to return for final design review.

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

6. New Business
A. Marina del Rey Farmers’ Market Signage – Consideration of seasonal event signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #13-016

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Vice Chair Jubany asked for clarification on existing banners, proposed temporary signs and the proposed approval period.

Mr. Lopez identified existing signs, proposed temporary wind banners, and proposed light standard banners. He confirmed that the Department provided a 60-day approval for six existing temporary banners and added that approval for all temporary signs is being requested through the end of September 2014.

Mr. Pastucha asked which signs would be erected 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and which signs would only be used during events.

Mr. Lopez explained that proposed wind banners would be erected each Thursday, while the Farmers’ Market was in effect, and that proposed light standard signs were proposed to stay in place 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, through September 2014.

Mr. Wong asked for clarification on the location of the Farmers’ Market, the number and locations of proposed light standard signs, and for justification of total number signs being proposed.

Mr. Lopez identified the location Parcel IR, known as Marina Beach, and pointed out the locations of the proposed 14 light standard banners on Parcel IR and the 26 light standard banners proposed within the Admiralty Way road medians.
Catrina Love stated that the Department’s Community & Marketing Services Division was deploying many efforts to keep the Farmers’ Market in business.

**Public Comment**
Nancy Marino spoke about the number and effectiveness of the signs for the Farmers’ Market event.

Jon Nahhas stated the community does not support this Farmers’ Market.

**Board Comment**
Mr. Wong stated that too many signs along the street are distracting. He requested a revised plan that considers the number of signs and their design. Also, Mr. Wong suggested way-finding type signage and to consider methods of advertising other than signs.

Mr. Pastucha questioned the effectiveness of the number of temporary signs being proposed to find the market. He recommended removing the ground-mounted signs and using only pole-mounted signs. He also noted his preference for the wind banner graphic and color design and asked for a comprehensive sign package. He also said that signs shouldn’t be the only type of marketing.

Vice Chair Jubany stated the banner sign is not of the quality that should be in the Marina and there should not be a banner on every light pole on Admiralty. She did not feel comfortable approving the temporary signs for 6 months and asked Ms. Love what direction she would like to take. Vice Chair Jubany requested a signage plan.

Mr. Wong suggested that Applicant tell the sign designer to develop way-finding signs, not advertising signs.

Ms. Love thanked the Board for their input and stated the temporary sign proposal would be revised.

Mr. Jones stated that staff will return with a more comprehensive Farmers’ Market sign submittal, but would like to keep the existing 6 temporary banners in place, and continue to erect the 12 temporary wind banners each week on the day of the Farmers’ Market, until a revised package was approved.

Mr. Wong suggested an approval period of 60 days for the existing banners. He directed that staff should retain within that 60-day period with a new sign program that does not cause a proliferation of signage on Admiralty Way and not include advertising signs.

Mr. Pastucha suggested the looking at the more colorful signs.

Vice Chair Jubany agreed with the 60 day suggestion and clarified that if DCB approval was not granted by the end of the 60-day period, the signs would have to be removed. She suggested focus on framing the banner.

Mr. Jones agreed to the conditions.

**On a motion of Mr. Wong, seconded by Mr. Pastucha, the item was granted a 60-day extension period for existing signs; and, was conditioned to return with a comprehensive temporary sign program before the 60 day period expires.**

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

7. **Staff Report**
All reports were received and filed.
Public Comment
Jon Nahhas stated his displeasure with the public noticing procedure.

Nancy Marino commented on the Ongoing Activities Report.

Board Comment
None

8. Adjournment
Mr. Wong adjourned the meeting at 9:37 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Angela Mahone
Secretary for the Design Control Board
DESIGN CONTROL BOARD MINUTES
February 19, 2014

Members Present: Peter Phinney, AIA, Chair (Fourth District); Helena Jubany, Vice Chair (First District); Tony Wong, P.E., Member (Fifth District)

Members Absent: Simon Pastucha, Member (Third District)

Department Staff Present: Charlotte Miyamoto, Planning Division Chief; Ismael Lopez, Planner; Angela Mahone, Secretary

County Staff Present: Anita Gutierrez, Department of Regional Planning; Amy Caves, County Counsel

Guests Testifying: Carolyn Ingram Seitz, Carolyn Ingram Seitz and Associates; Ellen Morrow, Esprit Apartments; Shem Streater, Kennedy Wilson; Aaron Clark, Armbruster, Goldsmith and Delvac LLP; Mark Schattinger, MJS Design Group.; Aram Chahbazian, TCA Architects; Jason Armison, AMLI Residential, Inc.

1. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance
Chair Phinney called the meeting to order at 1:35 PM

On a motion of Vice Chair Jubany, seconded by Mr. Wong, the absence of Mr. Pastucha was excused.

Ayes: 3 – Chair Phinney, Vice Chair Jubany, and Mr. Wong

Mr. Wong led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. Approval of October 30, 2013 and January 22, 2014 Minutes
On a motion by Chair Phinney, seconded by Mr. Wong, the October 30, 2013 revised minutes were approved.

Ayes: 3 – Chair Phinney, Vice Chair Jubany and Mr. Wong

The January 22nd minutes were held to the next meeting because Chair Phinney did not attend the January meeting, and Mr. Pastucha, who did attend the meeting, was not present to vote.

3. Public Comment
Jon Nahhas stated his concerns about the Special Night Meeting.

Nancy Marino asked about expiration dates for Environmental Impact Reports and why Fisherman’s Village had not been allowed to move forward.

Bethany Gorfine expressed her concerns about development.

Penny Akashi expressed concerns about development in an area subject to tsunami inundation.

Gary Robinson stated his concerns about traffic.

Sandra Starr stated that the legal mandate for the DCB, Regional Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors is to improve and maintain the Marina for recreational use.

Michael Greenberg stated his concerns about traffic and the capacity of the Marina street system.
Patrick Day stated his concerns about traffic and development.

Cassie Hermiston stated her concerns about development and emergency evacuation from the Marina.

**Board Comment**
None

4. **Consent Agenda**
None

**Public Comment**
None

5. **Old Business**
A. Parcel 61 – Whiskey Red’s – Further consideration of exterior modifications, business identification signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #13-012-B

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Carolyn Seitz provided additional information about the proposed landscaping.

**Public Comment**
Jon Nahhas commented on the proposed sign and that the proposed trees would hinder pedestrian flow along the promenade.

Nancy Marino commented on the restaurant’s new name and that the proposed windscreen and landscaping would have a negative impact on views.

**Board Comment**
Mr. Wong stated that he did not believe the Board had the authority to not allow a business to choose their own name. He was also concerned about possible encroachment of the landscaping onto the promenade and recommended that any encroachment should be toward the patio.

Vice Chair Jubany asked how wide the existing promenade was. She voiced her agreement with Mr. Wong that the promenade should not be narrowed. Vice Chair Jubany asked the applicant’s representative how the proposed sign was different from the previous sign that was submitted.

Carolyn Seitz described the changes made to the sign with this submittal.

Chair Phinney asked Ms. Seitz if she worked for the signage company or was a representative for Whiskey Red’s.

Carolyn Seitz responded that she is a representative for Specialty Restaurants, the owners of Whiskey Red’s.

Chair Phinney asked for clarification if the existing restaurant was proposing to change its name.

Carolyn Seitz replied affirmatively. She further stated that Specialty Restaurants was the original owner of the restaurant and that this was the third name change.

Chair Phinney stated that this is a corporate branding decision and not within the purview of the Board. He also stated that the Board had previously requested that potted plants be used to replace the landscaping that would be lost because of the proposed windscreen.
Carolyn Seitz confirmed that the Board had previously suggested potted plants to replace lost landscaping and break up the vertical flow.

Chair Phinney stated that the Board understood the public’s desire to maintain the promenade width, but a balance was needed to break up the width and to keep the promenade from looking like a landing strip. Chair Phinney asked about the possibility of putting the pots on the restaurant side of the wall, but recognized that it would encroach into the seating area.

Carolyn Seitz confirmed that putting the plants on the restaurant side of the wall would limit table space.

Chair Phinney suggested to the Board that they come up with a motion that would limit the landscaping encroachment onto the promenade. He further stated that the sign’s design was not there yet and suggested adding more realism to the sign.

Vice Chair Jubany asked about the possibility of eliminating the box sign.

Chair Phinney asked County Counsel and Regional Planning staff if there was a precedent for not allowing box signs.

Ms. Gutierrez stated that Title 22 did not have any prohibition on box signs, but the Board did have the authority to deny the sign based on aesthetics.

Mr. Wong stated that he was more concerned about the potted plants encroaching onto the promenade than he was about the box sign.

Ms. Gutierrez stated that Regional Planning had in the past required landscaping to be located off of the main pedestrian promenade.

Chair Phinney suggested that the windscreen be moved toward the restaurant so that the landscaping such as rosemary or a flowering plant could be placed within the wall.

Chair Phinney stated that he would like to reject the proposed signage.

Carolyn Seitz asked for clarification that the DCB did not want trees used as part of the landscaping.

Chair Phinney answered affirmatively, but followed that a pot on each side of the stairway would be acceptable if it were 24” or less in diameter. He then suggested that the item be tabled until March.

**On a motion of Mr. Wong, seconded by Vice Chair Jubany, the item was continued to the next regular meeting.**

Ayes: 3 – Chair Phinney, Vice Chair Jubany and Mr. Wong

### 6. New Business

A. Parcel 12 – Esprit Marina del Rey – Consideration of exterior modifications and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #14-001

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Mr. Wong requested clarification as to the proposed location of the planters. Mr. Lopez stated that the proposed planters would be located outside of the 28-foot pedestrian promenade.

Shem Streeter reiterated that the planters would be located outside of the public promenade.
Public Comment
Jon Nahhas expressed concern about the public process and the conflict of interest that existed because LACERA was a co-owner of this project with Kennedy Wilson.

Board Comment
Vice Chair Jubany stated that the proposed planters would soften the edge of the building. She asked the applicant about the purpose of the proposed planters.

Shem Streeter replied that the planters would give a level of privacy to the tenants whose apartments have doors that open up onto the promenade.

On a motion of Vice Chair Jubany, seconded by Mr. Wong, the item was approved as submitted.
Ayes: 3 – Chair Phinney, Vice Chair Jubany and Mr. Wong

B. Parcel 15 – AMLI Residential – Further conceptual consideration of site redevelopment project and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #14-002

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Ms. Gutierrez stated that the Department of Regional Planning had worked closely with applicant in the time preceding their submittal to the DCB, and had determined that the revised project was in conformance with the previously approved entitlements.

Chair Phinney asked for clarification on where the project was in the approval process.

Ms. Gutierrez replied that the original project was approved in two phases. She explained that Esprit I, which was Phase 1, had been completed, and that the project before the Board now was Phase 2. She reiterated that even though the design of the building had changed, the project was still in substantial conformance with its approved entitlements. She stated that the project was before the DCB today for final approval.

Aaron Clark gave a brief history of the project, and stated that the proposed design was superior to the one that was previously approved.

Jason Armison thanked DCB staff and stated that AMLI was excited to be working in the Marina.

Aram Chahbazian provided additional details about the proposed project.

Mark Schattinger provided additional details about the design concept and the landscaping.

Board Comment
Mr. Wong asked why the lobbies were being placed on the promenade side of the property.

Aram Chahbazian replied that the lobbies were sited to encourage residents, guests and boaters to walk on the promenade.

Public Comment
Rick Caplan expressed concerns about increased traffic in the Marina, and asked the Board to slow down development.

Sandra Starr stated that recently approved projects restrict views of the Marina and need more cohesiveness in their designs.
Bethany Gorfine expressed concerns about increased density, view obstruction, and construction noise.

William Boyd asked if any of the proposed projects had provisions for construction worker parking.

Jon Nahhas gave a brief history of the project, stated that it was not part of the visioning process, and spoke about the distance between the docks and boater parking.

Patrick Day stated concerns about the infrastructure and its ability to support additional traffic.

Margo Hartman stated concerns about traffic and increased building height.

Nancy Marino stated that the previous design provided better views of the water and that the new project would appear like a solid wall.

**Board Comment**

Vice Chair Jubany acknowledged the traffic concerns raised during public comment but that it wasn’t under the purview of the Board. She then asked the applicant to clarify where the boater parking would be located.

Aram Chahbazian described boater and resident parking in the garage. He stated that boaters are assigned the spaces nearest the slips, and that resident parking is located deeper in the garage.

Jason Armison added that the dock plan was the same as was previously approved by the Coastal Commission, except for an additional access point.

Chair Phinney asked the applicant if there was a plan to minimize the impact of construction worker parking on the nearby residential areas.

Jason Armison replied that they had been discussing options with their general contractor to limit impacts of construction worker parking.

Aaron Clark stated that the project did have an approved Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and a mitigation monitoring program, that would help minimize impacts.

Chair Phinney asked about the EIR and the project entitlements, and if they ever expire.

Ms. Gutierrez replied that this was a two-phase project, and the first phase had already been built, so the Coastal Development Permit is considered used.

Vice Chair Jubany stated for the record that she had previously met with the developer in her office to discuss the plans. She further stated that she considered the new design elegant, and liked it much better than the previous design, but wanted to challenge the architect to redesign the centerpiece.

Chair Phinney asked for clarification from Vice Chair Jubany, which structure she wanted redesigned.

Vice Chair Jubany clarified that she wanted the community room redesigned.

Mr. Wong asked for clarification about whether or not this project approval had an expiration date. Typically a project has a 24 to 36-month approval period, then an applicant can get an extension.

Ms. Gutierrez explained that the project had a two-year initial expiration date and received a one-year time extension. Phase one of the project had already been completed.
Mr. Wong stated that the EIR was 12 years old, and he was concerned about changes in area traffic since that time. He mentioned that he had volunteered to help the County develop a traffic mitigation plan as part of the visioning process. He then asked the applicant if signage had been developed for the boater parking.

Jason Armison replied that they would be returning to the Board with a full sign program.

Aaron Clark stated that the project would have to pay a million dollar traffic mitigation fee before the building permits were issued.

Chair Phinney stated that he was not in favor of uplighting, and wanted to make sure that any lighting on the landscaping was turned off at an appropriate hour.

Mr. Wong began to make a motion to preliminarily approve the project, but then asked Mr. Lopez for clarification if the project would come back for approval of landscaping and materials.

Mr. Lopez clarified that landscaping and materials were already included with this submittal, but the signage program would come back at a later date.

Vice Chair Jubany asked if the project would be returning to the Board.

Mr. Lopez stated that the project would not be returning for conceptual review, unless there were specific changes that the Board wanted made before final approval.

Aaron Clark stated that the lease required demolition to begin in July, and the applicant was anxious to get final approval.

On a motion of Chair Phinney, seconded by Vice Chair Jubany, the project was approved with the following two conditions: 1) The project must return with specifics on promenade paving linkages with other parcels and proposed promenade furniture. 2) The signature building must return with further details.

Ayes: 3 – Chair Phinney, Vice Chair Jubany and Mr. Wong

C. DCB Review Process – Staff Overview

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Chair Phinney asked for clarification if project materials for a meeting were due at noon four weeks prior to the meeting.

Mr. Lopez replied affirmatively.

Chair Phinney asked if it was possible to shorten staff review time.

Ms. Miyamoto stated that when the schedule was developed, which required materials to be submitted four Wednesdays prior to the meeting, staff took into consideration the back and forth that typically takes place on a submittals. She further stated that there was a mandatory requirement for applicants to meet with staff two weeks prior to the submittal deadline. She then stated that the four weeks was necessary to give staff time to prepare and review reports with adequate detail, discuss project details with the applicants, and prepare for mail out.

Chair Phinney asked if it was possible for applicants who had their items continued, to be allowed to submit revised materials within two weeks of the meeting date.
Ms. Miyamoto stated that in situations like those, staff makes every effort to get the back to the Board in a timely manner.

Mr. Wong stated that he first raised this issue because he had received complaints from members of the public that they did not have adequate time to review the materials. He further stated that if developers were not submitting complete packages by the deadline, they should not be accommodated.

Vice Chair Jubany stated that she misunderstood what the complaint was, and asked for clarification that the meeting materials were typically made available on the Friday before the meeting.

Mr. Lopez replied that the materials were available on the Thursday before the meeting.

Vice Chair Jubany replied that staff was making the materials available in a reasonable amount time and that the previous issue occurred because of problems with the website.

Mr. Wong stated that having the files available on the website was not a legal requirement, as long as the notice is posted.

Chair Phinney stated that he wanted to restate Mr. Nahhas' concerns about file size.

Mr. Lopez stated that staff was aware of issues with file size, and did compress files when possible. He further stated that hard copies of the files were available.

**Public Comment**
Jon Nahhas asked that communications with the Department of Beaches and Harbors be improved.

Nancy Marino stated that communication needs to improve.

**Board Comment**
Mr. Wong stated that DBH’s planning staff cannot be expected to be computer experts, with a thorough understanding of downsizing files. He suggested that the department’s IT staff provide assistance.

Chair Phinney asked staff to report back at the April meeting about what materials are posted on the website, and what is planned for the future. He asked if the public had access to the same materials that he reviews.

Ms. Miyamoto replied that a hard copy of the same materials that the Board reviews is made available to the public at four different locations.

Vice Chair Jubany added that the report should mention some of the challenges that staff faces in preparing the reports.

7. **Staff Report**
All reports were received and filed.

**Public Comment**
Nancy Marino spoke about too much information being placed on the banners and the coordination of the road work in the Marina.

Jon Nahhas spoke about needing more attention paid to recreational boating and concerns with the visioning process outreach effort.

**Board Comment**
None

8. **Adjournment**
   Chair Phinney adjourned the meeting at 4:50 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Angela Mahone
Secretary for the Design Control Board
Members Present: Helena Jubany, FAIA, Vice Chair (First District); Simon Pastucha, Member (Third District); Tony Wong, P.E., Member (Fifth District)

Members Absent: Peter Phinney, AIA, Chair (Fourth District)

Department Staff Present: Charlotte Miyamoto, Planning Division Chief; Michael Tripp, Planning Specialist; Ismael Lopez, Planner; Yeni Maddox, Secretary

County Staff Present: Anita Gutierrez, Department of Regional Planning; Amy Caves, County Counsel

Guests Testifying: Carolyn Ingram Seitz, Carolyn Ingram Seitz and Associates; William Tallichet, Specialty Restaurants; Adam Wodka, Signs Now; Catrina Love, Department of Beaches and Harbors; Shem Streeter, Kennedy Wilson; Jamie Schwartzman, Flux Branding; Michael Sondermann, Marina Admiralty Company; Glenn Togawa, Togawa Smith Martin, Inc.

1. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance
   Vice Chair Jubany called the meeting to order at 6:42 PM

   On a motion of Mr. Wong, seconded by Mr. Pastucha, the absence of Chair Phinney was excused.

   Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

   Mr. Pastucha led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. Approval of minutes was moved by Vice Chair Jubany to the end of the Agenda.

   On a motion of Mr. Pastucha, seconded by Mr. Wong, the remaining reports were received and filed, and approvals of the minutes were continued to the next meeting.

   Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

3. Public Comment
   Public Comment was moved by Vice Chair Jubany to the end of the Agenda, to move forward with the old and new business.

   Nancy Vernon Marino asked if the redesign would return to the Design Control Board first or go straight to Regional Planning and move forward in the permit process.

   Mr. Tripp replied that the project could go straight to the Regional Planning Commission or return to the Design Control Board for reconsideration of the project.

4. Consent Agenda
   None

   Public Comment
   None
5. **Old Business**

A. Parcel 61 – Whiskey Red’s – Further consideration of exterior modifications, business identification signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #13-012-B

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Mr. Wong asked for clarification in regards to the windscreen design and composition materials.

Mr. Lopez replied that the proposed windscreen design is composed of glass material and would be placed closer to the patio than the previous design, to allow the existing planter to remain in its location.

Carolyn Seitz introduced William Tallichet of Specialty Restaurants and stated that any comments or questions regarding the signs can be directed to Mr. Tallichet or Mr. Wodka. She added that landscaping in the brick planter will remain consisting of Azalea trees and trailing plants.

William Tallichet stated that his preference was to obtain approval for the originally submitted signage, with Option A as his second choice, Option B as his third choice, and Option C his last choice.

**Public Comment**

Nancy Marino expressed her dislike of the restaurant name change and that the windscreen should be removable.

**Board Comment**

Vice Chair Jubany stated that the Board does not want to set a precedent of allowing box signage, and expressed her preference to approve Option A.

Mr. Pastucha agreed with Vice Chair Jubany and suggested the directional red arrow on Sign 3 be reduced in size.

William Tallichet replied that he could reduce the red arrow by a third.

**On a motion of Mr. Pastucha, seconded by Mr. Wong, the project was approved, with the signs shown in Option A selected, but with the requirement that the red directional arrow on Sign 3 be reduced by a third.**

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

B. Marina del Rey Farmers’ Market Signange – Consideration of seasonal event signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #13-016

Catrina Love introduced the graphic designer, Mike Yokotake from Yokotake Design. She stated that the feedback from the January 22nd meeting assisted them in creating a consistent design for all of the signage requested. She also mentioned that there was a reduction in the number of signs originally requested and that directional signage was incorporated, to assist patrons in locating the Farmers’ Market. Ms. Love then requested the Board to allow the current temporary signage to remain in place until the approved signage is manufactured. Lastly, she stated that CMSD would return next month to request an expansion of the light pole banners.

**Public Comment**

Nancy Marino expressed the lack of communication between Los Angeles County and the community and that she disliked the light pole banners.

Jon Nahhas stated that he disliked the Farmers’ Market signage and that the market is unsuccessful.

**Board Comment**
Mr. Wong asked if the signage proposed for the light poles would be permanent.

Mr. Lopez replied that the signage was temporary, and that the applicant was requesting for the signs to remain in place through September 2014.

Mr. Pastucha stated that he appreciated the cohesiveness of the signage package.

Vice Chair Jubany stated that she also appreciated the County’s efforts to make the signage package cohesive.

**On a motion of Mr. Wong, seconded by Mr. Pastucha, the item was approved as submitted**

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

6. **New Business**
   A. Parcel 12 – Esprit Marina del Rey – Consideration of additional business identification signage and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #08-017-C

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Shem Streeter introduced Jamie Schwartzman as the marketing and branding consultant for the project.

Jamie Schwartzman stated that he had worked with the developer to improve wayfinding on the site. He stated that his goal was to present a design solution that was appealing, and to use materials that are corrosion resistant, due to the marine environment.

**Public Comment**

Nancy Marino spoke about the orange parking signage, the directional signage, and the land use for the property.

Jon Nahhas stated that he agreed with everything Ms. Marino said.

Robert Chermo stated that he represents clients from the Bar Harbor (2nd phase of Esprit) property and spoke about the County’s conflict of interest law prohibiting the Design Control Board from reviewing projects. He also spoke about public comments.

Vice Chair Jubany asked Ms. Caves to respond to Robert Chermo’s comments.

Ms. Caves stated that the public had the right to comment on any item on the Agenda.

**Board Comment**

Vice Chair Jubany asked the designer if the portion of the sign that appeared to be made of wood would be orange.

Jamie Schwartzman replied that the renderings displayed were only for visualization purposes and then showed the DCB members a sample of the material that would be used for the sign.

Mr. Wong asked if a mock-up had also been created for the parking signage.

Mr. Schwartzman stated that they had only created one mock-up, but that the other sign would be made of the same material.

Vice Chair Jubany stated that the design was elegant and that she was in favor of approving the design, and asked the other Board members for comments.
Mr. Pastucha requested to see a sample of the yellow color and materials that were proposed.

Mr. Schwartzman stated that the material that would be used is a solid color vinyl that would be applied to the rear side of the acrylic to make it impervious to any weather.

Mr. Pastucha expressed concern about the height and size of the guest parking directional signage and the promenade directional signage. He asked the applicant if they could remove one of the panels from the bottom of the sign and lower it.

Jamie Schwartzman replied affirmatively.

On a motion of Mr. Pastucha, seconded by Mr. Wong, the item was approved with an amendment of removing one of the bottom boards of the parking signage.

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

B. Parcel 113 – Mariners Village – Conceptual consideration of site renovation project and Design Control Board Review related thereto – DCB #14-003

Mr. Lopez presented the project staff report.

Mr. Wong inquired about the specifics of the landscaping and replacement of structures. He then asked staff if any overlays existed that showed what is being removed.

Mr. Lopez replied that the slides of the plans identify the changes planned as part of the project which includes renovation of the existing facilities, replacement of the landscaping, expansion of the parking structure, town center and promenade, and construction of a new 60-slip marina.

Michael Sondermann introduced Mr. Glenn Togawa as the consulting lead design architect on this project, and then proceeded with his presentation.

Mr. Wong asked for the total number of residential units, the square footage increase for each unit and if the docks were the only new structures.

Michael Sondermann replied that there were a total of 981 residential units existing on the site.

Glenn Togawa replied that there would be no increase to the square footage to the residential units.

Mr. Pastucha asked the applicant if the look-out points located at the water’s edge would remain, and if a tree survey had been included as part of the submittal.

Michael Sondermann replied that the lookout points would be removed because the revetment would be replaced with a 1,400 linear foot seawall to allow construction of the 28-foot wide promenade. He then stated that a complete tree survey would be submitted as part of their environmental document.

Public Comment

Anna Huff spoke about the privacy and natural landscaping at Mariners Village.

Chris Cate spoke about the Mariners Village community and the proposed improvements.

John Weston spoke about the replacement landscaping and asked what would happen to the existing tenants and the blue herons and about the type of retail planned for the site.
Endre Barath spoke about the importance of history and the preservation of nature in the Marina.

Katherine Peterson stated that the County spending money on a renovation project in tsunami territory was irresponsible.

Michelle Summers gave a short presentation on Mariners Village, and stated the property should be classified as an Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area.

Moss Hart spoke about safety issues related to opening up the promenade to the public and adding boat slips and more retail to the site.

Fred George spoke about the additional retail space and asked if an economic study had been conducted.

Neal Aims stated that additional retail space would cause increased traffic and compromise security.

Catherine Hillerman, Elise Hicks, Susanne Cumming, and Marcia Hanscom presented a report on great blue herons.

Steve Freedman stated that he was against adding boat slips in the main channel.

Jon Nahhas reiterated Mr. Freedman’s comments, spoke against the amount of development occurring in Marina del Rey and that the visioning process was fraudulent.

Lauri Matisse stated that the project should have a more eco-sensitive design.

Lamont Roberts spoke about security issues related to opening the property up to the public.

Kathy Knight asked the Board to oppose the project and stated that it would destroy heron habitat.

Bruce Campbell expressed concern over the removal of the older trees, where the herons nest.

Louise Steiner stated that she was against the destruction of wildlife habitat.

Dana Feldman stated she was opposed the project.

Art Ford spoke about meeting procedures and the availability of the presentation in the packet and online.

Lowell Safier spoke about water contamination related to the addition of new boat slips.

Gillian Ware stated that she was opposed to the destruction of heron habitat and the existing trees.

Robert “Roy” Van de Hoek spoke about the trees and evaluating the wildlife on the property.

Marcia Miller stated that it was important to preserve the existing community.

Cassie Boyd spoke about parking issues created by a previous construction project construction, and where construction workers should park.

Patricia Mcphereson spoke about her support for the comments made by previous speakers and the abandonment of the Del Rey 10 oil well.
Lina Shanklin stated that it was important to preserve the habitat of great blue herons.

Sandy West stated her concern about extra traffic from the proposed retail space and the boat slips.

Dan Gotlieb spoke about the profitability of the project.

Catherine Wienberger spoke about being priced out of the community if the project went forward and reprisal from management for testifying.

David Sheehan spoke about the loss of Mariners Village’s charm if the proposed project was approved.

Lauri Chryss expressed support for the previous comments, and concerns about traffic during an emergency and security issues.

Ernest Cowell spoke about guest parking and additional crime from the project.

William Hicks expressed disappointment with the proposed tree removals and that trees were important to the environment.

Nancy Vernon Marino requested reports on landscaping awards received by Mariners Village and on Important Biological Resources at Mariners Village.

Barbara Slavin stated that she was opposed to the project.

**Board Comment**

Mr. Wong suggested incorporating the existing trees into the proposed design and recommended that the item be continued to the next meeting, to allow further discussion.

Vice Chair Jubany stated that she had previously met with the developer regarding the proposed project, and that she was open to continuing the item to the next meeting, which she noted would be a day meeting.

Ms. Caves stated that the Board could request a special night meeting.

Vice Chair Jubany stated that she was ready to take action on the item.

Mr. Pastucha stated that the Board did not handle the project’s environmental clearance. He then stated that whether or not the Board approves this project, it not slow down the process, and that the Regional Planning Commission will determine if the project moves forward.

Ms. Guiterrez agreed with Mr. Pastucha.

Mr. Wong stated that he believed the proposed project’s design concept was not in compliance with many policies that are under the purview of the Board, and then moved to deny the application.

Vice Chair Jubany stated that there was not a second to Mr. Wong’s motion and then directed the Board to continue to discuss the item.

Mr. Pastucha stated that he disliked the landscaping plans and a study on the existing trees was lacking. He then stated that whether or not the property was considered a historic site was outside of the Board’s purview. Mr. Pastucha also stated that he thought that the Local Coastal Program (LCP) required the lessee to open up the promenade to the public.
Vice Chair Jubany asked the developers to return to the microphones. She expressed her disappointment with the architectural design of the proposed project. She asked staff for clarification regarding the requirements in the LCP related to the promenade. She then stated that she wasn't able to approve the project in its current form.

Mr. Tripp stated that the LCP requires that with any lease extension, the property must add a public promenade.

Vice Chair Jubany suggested that the developer incorporate the existing look-outs into the required promenade.

Mr. Wong stated that he would like the project redesigned to preserve the existing trees and water ponds, and that the proposed anchorage should be removed.

Mr. Pastucha stated that the developer should incorporate the existing look-outs into the proposed promenade. He also stated that he was concerned about the parking structure lighting at night and the heron habitat. Mr. Pastucha then stated that the docks were not under the purview of the Board, but he was still concerned about their visual impact.

Mr. Tripp added that the docks were under the purview of the California Coastal Commission.

On a motion of Vice Chair Jubany, seconded by Mr. Wong, the conceptual design was denied.

Ayes: 3 – Vice Chair Jubany, Mr. Pastucha and Mr. Wong

7. Staff Report
All reports were received and filed.

8. Adjournment
Vice-Chair Jubany adjourned the meeting at 11:20 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Yeni Maddox
Secretary for the Design Control Board
Old Business:
5A - Parcel 13 - Villa del Mar Renovation Project

New Business:
6A - Parcel 50 - Sugarfish by Sushi Nozawa Signage
6B - Beach Eats and Farmers' Market Signage
6C - Phase IIIB of Marina del Rey 18" Watermain Replacement Project
June 12, 2014

TO: Design Control Board

FROM: Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 5A – PARCEL 13 – VILLA DEL MAR – DCB #13-009-B – FINAL CONSIDERATION OF SITE RENOVATION PLAN AND DESIGN CONTROL BOARD REVIEW RELATED THERETO

Item 5A on your agenda, is a submittal from Far West Management Corporation (Applicant), seeking final approval of a proposed renovation project for the landslide portion of Parcel 13, a rectangular-shaped parcel located on Marquesas Way along Basin C. The existing buildings are located at 13999 Marquesas Way.

Background and Existing Conditions
The proposed project was conceptually approved by your Board on August 21, 2013, as submitted. The Applicant was asked to return for final approval of complete design details to include materials and color, promenade improvements (railings, lighting, trash receptacles, etc.), landscape and irrigation, signage and lighting details.

Parcel 13 consists of approximately five landslide acres and 6.5 water acres, and is bordered by Basin C to the north, Esprit Apartments to the east, Neptune Marina Apartments to the south, and County Public Parking Lot 12 to the west. Currently, Parcel 13 includes residential and anchorage uses with associated amenities for residents and boat-slip tenants.

Villa del Mar consists of four 3-story buildings containing 198 apartment units over a 318-space parking level, amenity buildings (leasing office, fitness center, clubhouse, and bathhouses), a 190-slip boat anchorage, a 178-space 3-story parking structure for guest and boater parking, and 76 spaces of surface parking. The anchorage was constructed in 1962 and the apartments were constructed in 1972. The existing waterfront pedestrian promenade is approximately 12’ wide and runs along the north side of the property.

Proposed Final Design
Since the conceptual design approval, additional exterior changes to enhance aesthetics and other improvements have been added. New proposed improvements include cementitious panels on building elevations, cement plaster finishes, rooftop awnings, balcony cementitious panels, promenade railing and gate metalwork, and a living wall system.
As previously presented, the proposed final renovation includes upgrades and improvements to the exteriors and interiors of the entire existing apartment community. The exteriors of the existing buildings on the site would be refurbished with new materials, architectural details
and finishes. The proposed design includes reconfiguration of the roof profiles, improved balconies, and new doors, windows, and building entries. The Applicant aims to achieve a fresh, classically modern aesthetic with subtle textures, clean lines, and muted natural colors. These modifications would include new landscaping, hardscaping, and waterfront pedestrian promenade improvements.

**Apartment Buildings – Buildings A, B, C, and D**
The exterior renovation of the apartment buildings would include refurbishment of the facades, roofing, windows, and balcony areas. Large portions of the existing textured plaster on the buildings would be replaced with textured cementitious panels with alternating colors in muted neutral tones (Monterey Taupe and cobblestone flat finish). The stucco finish has been changed to a light sand finish on the body of the building with a medium sand finish only on parapet surfaces.

All windows, sliding doors, and balcony decking would be replaced. The existing wood panels on the balconies would be replaced with grooved cement panel siding and a metal railing. The aim is to improve the visual experience from within the apartment while also preserving the privacy of each unit.

The planned rehabilitation would be completed in four phases, each lasting six months, for a total of 24 months. The plan would be to complete one building remodel at a time, so that approximately 70% to 75% of the complex's units would be available for rent throughout the renovation.

**Amenity Buildings (Leasing Office, Fitness Center, Clubhouse, and Bathhouses)**
The amenity buildings would also undergo substantial interior and exterior renovations, intended to better integrate them into the landscaped environment. This would be achieved through extensive green roof gardens, vertical gardens, and trellises. The overall design would continue to include a consistent exterior color tone and material base as the apartment buildings, such as cementitious panels in cobblestone, Monterey Taupe flat finish, and new stucco in medium sand finish. Amenity buildings would have green roof systems and be retrofitted with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant upgrades.

**Parking**
Currently, the property provides 572 parking spaces. The project proposes to remove eight covered parking stalls and 16 uncovered stalls, for a total of 24 removed parking spaces. The area where the eight covered spaces will be removed will be used to create an ADA parking space and a storage area. Uncovered parking spaces will be removed from underused areas between the leasing office and Building C, along the main entry drive from Marquesas Way, and near the bathhouses. These spaces will be used to create courtyard amenity areas, and make room for benches and drinking fountains. Per County Code, the property is required to provide a total of 512 parking spaces. As such, even with the removal of the 24 parking spaces, total parking on site will continue to exceed code requirements. Asphalt paving in the open parking lots would be resurfaced. New accent paving across the driveways would provide the opportunity to integrate permeable paving materials and new subsurface storm water structures.
Waterside Improvements
The Villa del Mar anchorage was constructed in 1962 and contains 190 boat slips and 19 end-ties. Although the current rehabilitation plan does not involve redevelopment of the anchorage at this time, anchorage replacement would be done no later than January 2029.

Promenade Improvements
The waterfront pedestrian promenade, which follows the south edge of Basin C, would be widened from 12’ to 14’-5” and redeveloped to transition with the promenade design of adjacent properties, while also reflecting the distinct character of the Villa del Mar property. The proposed paving would incorporate new Angelus Holland permeable concrete pavers in Natural Grey, Pewter, Cobblestone and Dark Gray colors. New planted turfstone paving would also be incorporated into the promenade design, planted with Korean grass in varying locations, while maintaining the promenade width of approximately 14’-5”.

New cable and metal post railings, which match the railing along the Esprit promenade, would extend along the top of the bulkhead. New post lighting would provide safety and attractiveness and would be designed to shield light from the neighboring apartments. A stainless steel drinking fountain (Haws 1011 Hi-Lo Barrier-Free fountain) would also be located near the bathhouse along the promenade.

The proposed project would include new seating areas with wood benches wherever possible. The seating areas would be located primarily at the points of connection from the driveways and at the bathhouse facilities. The new seating areas are intended to create gateways to the promenade and to enhance the connection to the Marina waters. New heavy duty wave design bicycle racks would also be included in the promenade areas.

Illumination, Landscape and Signage
Proposed lighting renovations would include light fixtures on new posts, bollards, wall lights, and low planter spot lights. All standard lighting fixtures will include minimal wattage and LED lighting. Lighting will vary in wattage, but would be sensitive to the night sky and marina waters.

New light fixtures along driveways and parking areas will consist of light posts in bronze finish. Proposed posts will be used for downlighting only. Light fixtures along the promenade will consist of bollard fixtures (HADCO RF6 LED), also in bronze finish, and will focus on pathway illumination only. Proposed pool and leasing office courtyards will include path lighting fixtures (SPJ JTS 100 LED) and will include downlighting for pathway illumination only. Low voltage accent spot lighting will be used throughout the site (SPJ LED), also in bronze finish, to identify key landscaping features, such as plants, water fountains, and building façade elements.

The existing landscaping throughout the property includes well-established plantings and numerous tall eucalyptus, pine, ficus, and palm trees. The existing shrub and groundcover elements were enhanced in 2006 with the addition of drought-tolerant and native species. New plantings would incorporate many of these same species in new arrangements, as well
as succulents, sedums, flax, ceanothus, bird of paradise, and ornamental grasses. The proposed landscape redesign seeks to retain as many of the existing trees as is feasible. Existing planting areas between the open outdoor parking lots and the promenade would be retained and re-landscaped. These areas contain many tall mature trees and shrub plantings that provide screening of the parking lots. Most mature trees that currently exist on site consist of marina strawberry trees and sycamores, all of which will be retained along the perimeter of the existing buildings. New plantings would include large decorative planter pots and new trees, shrubs, and vines throughout the site. The tree palate would include strawberry trees, pines, sycamores, olive trees and palm trees. Shrubs and groundcovers would include agave, aloe, ilies, flax, and rosemary, among others. Proposed vines would include red cape honeysuckle, purple lilac, and wisteria and other similar species.

Signage is not yet available during this stage of the proposed project. A detailed sign program for the residential community will provided to your Board for review and approval at a later date.

**STAFF REVIEW**
The Applicant’s proposed architectural treatments and outdoor improvements are intended to provide a fresh updated appearance and enhance boaters’ and residents’ enjoyment of the outdoor environment. Proposed design, materials and colors are consistent with Marina del Rey project design standards previously approved by your Board.

The proposed promenade improvements would enhance the pedestrian experience, increase disabled access, and improve view areas, in accordance with LCP priorities. The proposed hardscape and railing replacement would provide consistency with the promenade design of the neighboring Esprit Apartments, but would feature patterns and designs unique to Villa del Mar. This would create a sense of continuity and compatibility with the adjacent property, per the LCP architectural guidelines.

New post lighting along the promenade would increase pedestrian safety and include shielding to prevent light spillage into neighboring apartments, per the LCP’s bird-safe building standards. New concrete paving along the main entry drive would provide an improved connection to the promenade, also meeting LCP pedestrian circulation requirements for smooth continuous paving and enhanced waterfront access.

Landscaping between the surface parking lots and the promenade would be of sufficient width and density to shade and screen the parking lot, but still preserve views of the water, per LCP landscaping requirements. The proposed landscape improvements as well as the green roof system (on the clubhouse roof) include the addition of drought-tolerant and native species, which conform to California’s water conservation initiatives and the County’s Drought-Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance.

**The Department recommends FINAL APPROVAL of DCB #13-009-B, as submitted.**

GJ:CM:il
June 12, 2014

TO: Design Control Board

FROM: Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 6A – PARCEL 50 – SUGARFISH BY SUSHI NOZAWA – DCB #08-002-B – CONSIDERATION OF BUSINESS IDENTIFICATION SIGNAGE AND DESIGN CONTROL BOARD REVIEW RELATED THERETO

Item 6A on your agenda is a submittal from Sugarfish by Sushi Nozawa (Applicant), a tenant within the Parcel 50 Waterside Marina shopping center. The Applicant is seeking approval of two business identification signs. The business is located at 4722 1/4 Admiral Way.

Façade Signs
The Applicant is requesting approval to replace two existing façade-mounted business signs. The existing signage was previously approved by your Board in January 2008, with the condition that lighting be shut off at 11:00 p.m. One of the existing signs is located on the west (front) side of the building, oriented toward the parking lot. The other sign is located on the east (rear) side of the building, oriented toward Lincoln Boulevard. Both existing signs measure 2’ tall by 9’-7” wide, and are mounted 11’ above existing grade.

The two new signs would consist of rear-illuminated channel letters, which would spell the word, “SUGARFISH” above the words “BY SUSHI NOZAWA”, in custom font. The word “SUGARFISH” would be painted light blue (57% Cyan and 32% Magenta) and the words “BY SUSHI NOZAWA” would be painted grey (50% Black). The channel letters would include 1/8” aluminum faces, 3” aluminum returns, 3/16” clear Lexan backings, and drain holes at the bottom. The letters would be mounted 1 1/2” from the wall using 3” wood screws.

For the new front-facing sign, the individual letters in the word “SUGARFISH” would measure 11 3/4” tall with a 2”-wide stem width. The lettering in the words, “BY SUSHI NOZAWA,” would measure 6 3/4” tall with a 1 1/4”-wide stem width. In total, this sign would measure 2’ tall by 9’-7” wide, and would be mounted 16’ above grade.

For the rear sign, the lettering in the word “SUGARFISH” would measure 8 3/5” tall by 1 3/5” wide. The individual letters in the words, “BY SUSHI NOZAWA,” would measure 5”. In total, this sign would measure 1’-6” tall by 9’ wide, and would be mounted 11’-9” above grade.
Sign Illumination
The signs would be backlit with LED "halo" illumination. The Applicant did not include proposed hours of illumination for the signage. However, the illumination for the existing signage was approved in 2008 from dusk until 11:00 p.m. The sign program approved for the center in 2009 allows signage to be lit from dusk until 11:30 p.m., or the closing of the latest-open restaurant, whichever is earlier.

STAFF REVIEW
The proposed business identification sign request is before your Board pursuant to Section 2.a. of the Revised Permanent Sign Controls and Regulations (Sign Controls). Staff finds that the dimensions and materials of the requested permanent signs meet the standards set forth in Sections 4 and 5 of the Sign Controls, and the design and quality is consistent with Marina-wide signage. The signs would be composed of durable materials and would be in acceptable proportion to the dimensions of the surface onto which they are mounted. The use of different styles of lettering would be minimized and the sign copy would be arranged into a rectangular form, as preferred by the Sign Controls.

The use of backlit illumination conforms to the Sign Controls requirement that lighting not be directly exposed to view. Signage hours of illumination should conform to the shopping center's current schedule, which is from dusk until 11:30 p.m., or the closing of the latest-open restaurant, whichever is earlier. The proposed signs and current hours of illumination are consistent with the Waterside Shopping Center's sign program approved by the DCB in July 2009.

The Department recommends APPROVAL of DCB #08-002-B with the condition that the Applicant obtains further review and approval from the Department of Regional Planning.

GJ:CM:il
June 12, 2014

TO: Design Control Board

FROM: Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 6B – MARINA DEL REY BEACH EATS AND FARMERS’ MARKET BANNERS – DCB #13-016-B – CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL SEASONAL EVENT SIGNAGE AND DESIGN CONTROL BOARD REVIEW RELATED THERETO

Item 6B on your agenda is a submittal from the Department of Beaches and Harbors’ Community and Marketing Services Division (CMSD), seeking approval of proposed temporary light pole banners for the Marina del Rey’s Beach Eats event, as well as approval for an expanded light pole banner program for the Farmers’ Market.

Background
Marina del Rey Beach Eats, is a weekly gourmet food truck event, which takes place at Marina Beach on Thursday evenings during the summer. The event, which reconvened on May 1, 2014, takes place in Public Parking Lot #10, adjacent to Marina Beach, from 5 p.m. to 9 p.m. It follows the Farmers’ Market, which is held every Thursday in Public Parking Lot #10 from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m.

CMSD is requesting approval of the proposed Beach Eats signage design. Further, CMSD is requesting that these signs be approved for display on the same light poles that were approved for the display of Farmers’ Market signage. Finally, CMSD is requesting approval of an expanded light pole banner program to include 10 additional light poles for the display of both the Beach Eats and Farmers’ Market banners; four on Fiji Way and six on Via Marina. On March 26, 2014, your Board approved the display of 6’ tall by 3’ wide banners on 13 center median light poles along Admiralty Way between Fiji Way and Via Marina, as well as on eight light poles in parking lot #10, through September 30, 2014.

Light Pole Banners
CMSD is requesting approval of one of the two proposed designs for the Beach Eats light pole banner signs. Both proposed designs are similar in terms of texts and graphics, but differ in color. Both proposed sign designs would measure 6’ tall by 3’ wide and include the same text, in Tekton Pro font. The text would include the name of the event, “Beach Eats”, in white; the day and hours of operation, “Thursdays” and “5
p.m. – 9 p.m.” in medium yellow and white, respectively; and the event’s location, “Marina “Mother’s” Beach Parking Lot #10”, in white.

In the first proposed design, the sign background would be light green (PMS 375). The second proposed design is identical to the first design, except for the background color (blue - PMS 2935) and the food truck outline color (blue - PMS 2738). All other text and graphics would be the same as in the first design.

The banner would also include graphics of food-related items in the following colors:

**Sign Graphics Colors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphic</th>
<th>Detail Colors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cupcakes</td>
<td>• Pink Cupcake Frosting: Pantone Rubine Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Edge of Cupcake Frosting: lavender PMS 219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Candy Toppings: Light Blue (process blue), Dark Blue (PMS 2776), Red (PMS 2935), Orange (PMS 02), Yellow (PMS 348) and Purple (PMS 2612)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gray Cup: PMS 444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noodles</td>
<td>• Light colored noodles: PMS 1495, Dark colored: PMS 7566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chopsticks</td>
<td>• Color: Red PMS 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fries</td>
<td>• Outline: Dark Tan PMS 7562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Fill: Light Tan PMS 7590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burger</td>
<td>• Buns: Light Tan PMS 7590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Burger Patty: Brown PMS 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lettuce: Green PMS 3415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Truck</td>
<td>• Outline: Green PMS 3425 or Blue PMS 2738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lobster: Red PMS 187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The design of the Beach Eats light pole banners is intended to be consistent with the design of the Farmers' Market light pole banners, by using the same font style, artwork and design theme.

**Expansion of Light Pole Signage Program**

CMSD is requesting that the proposed Beach Eats signage be approved for display on the same 21 light poles approved for the Farmers’ Market. Both the Beach Eats and Farmers’ Market banners would be attached onto the light poles in a tiered format. This would allow each banner to be installed using its own set of brackets to better support its own weight, to prevent sagging or toppling. Further, CMSD is requesting that an
additional 10 light poles be approved for display of both the Farmers' Market signage and the proposed Beach Eats signs; four would be located along Fiji Way, and six would be located along Via Marina. CMSD is requesting to display the Farmers' Market and Beach Eats banners on these 10 additional light poles until September 30, 2014. If approved, CMSD would secure a Road Permit with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works for the right to display the banners on the 23 center median light poles on Admiralty Way, Fiji Way and Via Marina.

**STAFF REVIEW**
The proposed temporary banners request is before your Board pursuant to Section 2.a. of the Revised Permanent Sign Controls and Regulations (Sign Controls). The proposed temporary banners will inform the community about the Marina del Rey Beach Eats and Farmers' Market events. The proposed dual signage on the light poles is intended to catch the attention of motorists, pedestrians, and cyclists who travel through the Marina along Admiralty Way, Fiji Way, and Via Marina.

The Sign Controls currently allow the Department to issue temporary sign permits for up to 60 days for any particular special event. For continued use subsequent to 60 days, your Board's review and approval is required. CMSD is requesting approval for the Beach Eats signage design as well as for the display of these signs on the same 21 light poles that were previously approved for display of the Farmers' Market signage. Furthermore, CMSD would like an additional 10 light poles, located along Via Marina and Fiji Way, to be approved for display of both the Farmers' Market banners and the proposed Beach Eats banners. Approval of the Beach Eats and additional Farmers' Market light pole banners is requested through September of 2014.

Staff finds that the proposed dimensions and locations of the signage conform to Sign Controls standards. Further, the proposed expanded light pole banner program would provide sufficient, but not excessive signage along Via Marina, Admiralty Way, and Fiji Way.

The Department recommends **APPROVAL** of DCB #13-016-B on the condition that CMSD obtains a Road Permit from the Department of Public Works to display the banners on 23 center median light poles on Admiralty Way, Fiji Way, and Via Marina through September 2014.
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June 12, 2014

TO: Design Control Board

FROM: Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 6C - MARINA DEL REY 18-INCH WATERMAIN REPLACEMENT PHASE IIIB PROJECT PRESENTATION

Item 6C on your agenda is a presentation by Heather Savanapridi, Associate Civil Engineer with the County’s Department of Public Works, on the upcoming construction of the Marina del Rey 18-Inch Watermain Replacement Project, Phase IIIB, scheduled to begin in September 2014. This watermain project is part of a multi-phase series of pipeline replacement projects that have been taking place in the Marina since 2002. The presentation will cover the project purpose, history, construction timeline, and what to expect during construction.

GJ:CM:il
June 12, 2014

TO: Design Control Board
FROM: Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 6D – ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Item 6D on your agenda is the election of officers pursuant to the Design Control Board's (DCB) Statement of Aims and Policies (Statement) dated February 19, 1987. Specifically, the Statement provides that the DCB annually elects a chair and vice chair in June to preside over subsequent meetings until the next election the following year.

It is recommended your Board conduct the elections and elect a chair and vice chair for the coming year.

GJ:CM:il
June 12, 2014

TO: Design Control Board

FROM: Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 7A – TEMPORARY PERMITS ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT

Item 7A on your agenda is an update on permits that have been issued by the Department of Beaches and Harbors for temporary banners, signs and/or canopies. Since our March 2014 report, one temporary permit was issued by the Department:

TP 14-002 Parcel 44, Marina Fest. Approval to mount three 3’ x 6’ temporary banners along Parcels 44, 49M, and 150 to promote the 2014 Marina Fest event and Boat Show held on May 17 - 18, 2014, on Parcels 41 and 44. The banners were permitted through May 19, 2014.

GJ:CM:il
June 12, 2014

TO:       Design Control Board
FROM:     Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: ITEM 7B - ONGOING ACTIVITIES REPORT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ACTIONS ON ITEMS RELATING TO MARINA DEL REY
On April 15, 2014, the Board of Supervisors appointed Gary Jones to the position of Director of the Department of Beaches and Harbors.

On May 6, 2014, the Board of Supervisors awarded a three-year contract with two one-year extension options with Hornblower Yachts, Inc. for Marina del Rey WaterBus service at an annual cost not to exceed $423,308, with a total maximum of $2,115,540.

On May 13, 2014, the Board of Supervisors approved new and revised fees charged by the Department for facilities and services provided at Marina del Rey and County-owned, controlled or managed beaches, including parking fees.

REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION’S CALENDAR
On April 2, 2014, the Regional Planning Commission approved the Coastal Development Permit, requested by Essex Marina City Club (Parcel 125), to expand the existing boaters’ restroom facility and widen the existing public promenade.

COASTAL COMMISSION’S CALENDAR
No items relating to Marina del Rey were heard by the California Coastal Commission (CCC) during the meetings in March or April of 2014. The Coastal Development Permit for the Oxford Retention Basin Multiuse Enhancement Project will be heard by the CCC on June 13, 2014.

FUTURE MAJOR DCB AGENDA ITEMS
No major development projects are anticipated for the next DCB meeting.

SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION
The February 2014 meeting minutes are enclosed. The March meeting was canceled, and the minutes for the April and May 2014 meetings have not yet been approved.

MARINA DESIGN GUIDELINES UPDATE
Staff continues to complete the directed amendments to the draft design guidelines.
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT STATUS REPORT
The current Marina del Rey Redevelopment Project Descriptions and Status of Regulatory/Proprietary Approvals report is attached.

MARINA DEL REY VISIONING PROCESS
On May 28, 2014, a special night meeting was held with the Small Craft Harbor Commission and a Design Control Board member as a guest. At the meeting, the Department of Regional Planning gave a presentation on the Visioning Statement and the Launch Ramp Concept Plans, solicited public input, and had a discussion with the Commissioners and Board member to identify their issues, areas of concern, and ideas that should be considered as part of the process. The meeting was attended by approximately 80 members of the public. The Visioning Statement can be viewed at the link below:

http://planning.lacounty.gov/marina/visioning

A recording of the meeting can be found on the SCHC agenda by accessing the link below:

http://file.lacounty.gov/dbh/docs/cms1_205235.pdf

GJ:CM:il
Attachments (2)
SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION MINUTES
February 12, 2014 – 10:09 a.m.

Commissioners: Allyn Rifkin, Chair; David Lumian, Vice Chair; Russ Lesser, Commissioner; Dennis Alfieri, Commissioner Vanessa Delgado, Commissioner (excused absence)

Department of Beaches and Harbors: Gary Jones, Acting Director; Steve Penn, Chief, Asset Management Division; Alexandra Nguyen-Rivera, Real Property Agent II, Asset Management Division; Charlotte Miyamoto, Chief, Planning Division; Carol Baker, Chief, Community and Marketing Division; Debra Talbot, Manager, Community and Marketing Division.

County: Amy Caves, Senior Deputy County Counsel; Deputy Mathew Dow, Sheriff’s Department; Sergeant Eastern, Sheriff’s Department.

Chair Rifkin called the meeting to order at 10:09 a.m. followed by the Pledge of Allegiance and read the Commission’s policy on public comments.

Approval of Minutes:

At the last SCHC meeting, Chair Rifkin asked for a hold on approving the October 2013 minutes until Commissioner Lesser was present. Mr. Jones stated minutes were also presented to the DCB’s last meeting and the DCB expressed the desire for expansion on statements made by the DCB board members. In the revision, staff also expanded on statements made by the Commissioners.

Jon Nahhas stated his concerns about the County’s interpretation of the minutes.

Commissioner Lesser stated that the minutes fairly reflected his comments while not in details.

Commissioner Lumian stated he excepted the comment of fraud with respect to the minutes and noted that full audio recordings of meetings are available for the public.

Chair Rifkin commented that the main purpose for the Joint Meeting was to discuss the Visioning project. He also announced two important workshops for the community on Saturday, February 15th and Tuesday, February 18th.

Motion to approve the October 30, 2014 minutes by Chair Rifkin, seconded by Commissioner Alfieri, unanimously approved

Ayes: 4 – Chair Rifkin, Mr. Lumian, Mr. Lesser and Mr. Alfieri

There were no comments from the public or the Commissioners about the January 8th minutes.

Motion to approve the January 8, 2014 minutes by Chair Rifkin, seconded by Commissioner Lumian, unanimously approved.

Ayes: 4 – Chair Rifkin, Mr. Lumian, Mr. Lesser and Mr. Alfieri

Item 3 – Communication from the Public:

Jon Nahhas commented on the continued vacancies of the slips.

William Vreszk believes middle-class boaters are being crowded out to make way for large, expensive yachts and high-end resort level landside developments.
Capt. Alex Balian commented on the listing of the staff report and asked for it to be re-prioritized.

Chair Rifkin surveyed the members of the Commission for prioritizing the agenda items based on the importance of the matter.

Commissioner Lesser agreed.

**Item 4 – Communication with the Commissioners**

Mr. Lumian communicated with several people about different issues.

**Item 5a – Marina Sheriff**

Deputy Mathew Dow introduced himself.

Sergeant Eastern presented the Liveaboard and Crime Stats.

Mr. Jones welcomed Deputy Dow to the Marina and noted the position was from the funding generated by the Marina.

Jon Nahhas wanted to know more about the murder occurred at New Year Eve.

Sergeant Eastern responded that the suspect is in custody, but further information is limited to the public due to the on-going investigations.

**Item 5b – Marina del Rey and Beach Special Events**

Ms. Baker reported on the 2014 Polar Plunge, the Spring Break Camp, the Farmers’ Market, and the MdR 50th Birthday Celebration.

Mr. Alfieri asked about the location of the Farmers’ Market.

Ms. Baker answered that the location has been a challenge but she is exploring other possible sites.

**Item 5c – Marina Boating Section Report**

Ms. Talbot reported on the on-going Burton Chace Park Dock Replacement Program and the success of the NMMA LA Boat Show.

Jon Nahhas commented about the unsuccessful Farmers’ Market in MdR and how yacht clubs are now considered the “boating community”. He also asked how many boats were in the affordable category at the Boat Show.

**Item 5d – Marina del Rey Convention and Visitors Bureau**

Janet Zaldua reported on the CVB’s website redesign and corrected that the roll-back prices for the MdR 50th Anniversary was just for participating hotels.

Chair Rifkin requested a presentation of the CVB’s economic study and how it fits with the Visioning Statement.

**Item 6a – Old Business**

Mr. Tripp reported that the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) approved changes to the MdR’s TMDL which encompasses three items: 1) the TMDL previously covered the back three basins
however, it now covers the entire Marina; 2) within ten years there has to be an 85% reduction on the amount of copper that is leaking from boats into the waters and; 3) by 2029 the harbor sediment needs to be remediated by dredging, capping, or a combination of both.

Chair Rifkin asked if County would appeal the TMDL findings.

Mr. Jones answered no decision has been made yet and various departments are working together in formulating recommendations to the BOS.

Jon Nahhas stated his concerns for the false testimony made by County staff because the Regional Board indicated they do not know where the copper is coming from.

Mr. Tripp commented that despite what Mr. Nahhas has heard from the Regional Board staff, what is written in the TMDL is specific to boats.

Tim Riley commented that at the hearing, the Regional Board did not pay much attention to what was said and encourages the County to appeal.

Mr. Lesser would like to see the County appeal.

Mr. Lumian would like to review the strategy to move forward and suggested a legislative solution as another strategy to pursue.

Mr. Jones stated that a legislative solution is one strategy being considered as the process is moved to the State Board hearing.

Amy Caves added that individual boaters are responsible parties regardless of where the copper is coming from.

Chair Rifkin asked if studies have been completed.

Mr. Jones replied that there have been studies completed by the Regional Board.

Mr. Lumian asked when the TMDL matter would go before the State Board and if there is precedent for change.

Mr. Jones estimates it would take three to six months for the item to be heard at the State Board and it’s highly unlikely changes would be made to a Regional Board approved TMDL.

Chair Rifkin asked if a motion or resolution to pursue an appeal should be an action item.

Mr. Lesser stated that the Commission should make it clear that they are not in agreement with the TMDL decision.

Mr. Alfieri concurred that the Commission's objection should go on record.

Chair Rifkin suggested a motion to state that the Commission has heard public testimony regarding the infeasibility of the TMDL’s and wants to pursue to the extent possible, further appeal.

Capt. Alex Balian commented that copper paint removal on boats is not possible because there are no other alternative paints.

Move by the Chair to state the Commission’s support for an appeal, seconded by Commissioner Lesser, unanimously approved

Ayes: 4 – Chair Rifkin, Mr. Lumian, Mr. Lesser and Mr. Alfieri
Item 7a – Election of Commission Officers

Jon Nahhas praised Chair Rifkin’s leadership.

Nancy Marino commented that the Commission is the only recourse between the Supervisor and larger County government.

Commissioner Lumian nominated to re-elect Chair Rifkin for second term; seconded by Commissioner Lesser; unanimously approved.

Ayes: 4 – Chair Rifkin, Mr. Lumian, Mr. Lesser and Mr. Alfieri

Commissioner Lumian nominated Commissioner Alfieri as Vice-Chair, seconded by Commissioner Lesser, unanimously approved.

Ayes: 4 – Chair Rifkin, Mr. Lumian, Mr. Lesser and Mr. Alfieri

Item 8 – Staff Reports

Mr. Jones provided the staff report.

Michael Pashaie spoke on the Visioning process, launch ramp movement safety, and Fisherman’s Village

Aaron Clark added that the Visioning plan has not identified any specifics on how redevelopment at Fisherman’s Village with a launch ramp might work.

Capt. Alex Balian wanted to know what happened to the fast track and the plan of action for Fisherman’s Village.

Nancy Marino stated her problem with the Visioning Statement because every publication by the County has not called it a statement but a report of a process.

Jon Nahhas commented that the public input was from name-less, face-less people.

Mr. Lesser commented that Fisherman’s Village is the ideal visiting service area in the Marina and turning it into boat storage and a launch ramp makes no sense.

Mr. Lumian asked if any staff or consultants from Regional Planning were present.

Mr. Jones responded, no and that this was not an item that was agendized. He added that the timing of the meeting and the release of the Visioning Statement were not related.

Chair Rifkin would like to invite staff from Regional Planning to the next meeting to give a presentation and to hear comments from the Commission.

Mr. Lumian stated that he liked a few things about the Visioning Process however is concerned because it reflects a land use prospective and not a recreational boating prospective. He suggested a special evening meeting.

Mr. Alfieri states that he has not read the report in depth and would like to not comment at this time.

Chair Rifkin replied that he has not read the documents and will not be able to attend the public meetings so he supports the suggestion that DRP has a third public meeting.
Motion to move that next meeting be an evening meeting and focus on Visioning Process of Marina del Rey and Fisherman's Village.

Moved by Commissioner Lumian; seconded by Chair Rifkin; unanimously approved.

Ayes: 4 – Chair Rifkin, Mr. Lumian, Mr. Lesser and Mr. Alfieri

Mr. Jones concluded the staff report.

Jon Nahhas suggested that the staff report should be completed prior to public comments.

Nancy Marino stated small boaters have left and slips are vacant because of poor policies. She added that the USPS at Waterside does not know where they are relocating to.

Mr. Lesser commented on the slip vacancy and slips coming back online. He also requested a report on marinas with over 20% vacancy rates.

Mr. Jones responded that a report can be provided.

Mr. Lumian asked if the special night meeting will be well publicized.

Mr. Jones responded yes.

Mr. Lumian asked for an update in the next meeting on the recreational boating strategy.

Mr. Jones responded that he will try but due to the staff being diverted with TMDL and many other assignments, it could not be confirmed for now.

Chair Rifkin announced a special meeting with the Chamber of Commerce.

Adjournment

Chair Rifkin adjourned the meeting at 12:08 p.m.
June 12, 2014

TO:    Design Control Board
FROM:  Gary Jones, Director

SUBJECT: AGENDA ITEM 7C – MARINA DEL REY SPECIAL EVENTS

BURTON CHACE PARK WALKING CLUB
Burton Chace Park Lobby 13650 Mindanao Way Marina del Rey
Tuesdays & Thursdays
10:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

The Department of Beaches and Harbors (Department) is sponsoring a FREE one-hour walking club. Get your exercise while taking in the beautiful view of the Marina del Rey harbor. Please RSVP by calling (310) 305-9595.

For more information call: (310) 305-9595

BURTON CHACE PARK FITNESS CLUB
Burton Chace Park Lobby 13650 Mindanao Way Marina del Rey
Wednesdays
11:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.

The Department is offering FREE outdoor group workout sessions. Come get in shape with an experienced instructor in beautiful Burton Chace Park. Ages 13 and up. Please RSVP by calling (310) 305-9595.

For more information call: (310) 305-9595

BURTON CHACE PARK SENIOR RECREATION PROGRAM
Burton Chace Park Lobby 13650 Mindanao Way Marina del Rey
2nd and 4th Wednesday of each month
10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
The Department is offering a new recreational program for senior citizens at Burton Chace Park. Come join fellow seniors for bingo, dancing, art projects, exercising and more.

For more information call: (310) 305-9595

**MARINA DEL REY FARMERS’ MARKET**

Marina “Mother’s” Beach ♦ 4101 Admiralty Way ♦ Marina del Rey

Thursdays

9:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.

The Department, in collaboration with Southland Farmers’ Markets Association, is offering the Marina del Rey Farmers’ Market on Thursdays. The Marina del Rey Farmers’ Market offers fresh, locally-grown organic and conventionally grown fruits and veggies. Also available are prepared and packaged foods, hand-crafted products and much more! Paid parking is available at beach parking lot #10 for 25 cents for every 15 minutes.

For more information call: Marina del Rey Visitors Center at (310) 305-9545

**“BEACH EATS” GOURMET FOOD TRUCKS IN MARINA DEL REY**

Marina “Mother’s” Beach ♦ 4101 Admiralty Way ♦ Marina del Rey

Thursdays

5:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

The Department is sponsoring gourmet food trucks in Marina del Rey on Thursday evenings, offering delectable dishes plus a chance to picnic on the beach. The “Beach Eats” gourmet food truck events are held from 5 p.m. to 9 p.m. The assortment of trucks varies week to week. Paid parking is available at beach parking lots #10 for 25 cents for every 15 minutes.

For more information call: Marina del Rey Visitors Center at (310) 305-9545

**FISHERMAN’S VILLAGE WEEKEND CONCERT SERIES**

Sponsored by Pacific Ocean Management, LLC

All concerts are from 2:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

**Saturday, June 14**

11 Echo, playing R&B (students from Coast Music Conservatory)

**Sunday, June 15**

Bob DeSena’s Latin Jazz, playing Latin Jazz
Saturday, June 21
Floyd & The Fly Boys, playing R&B

Sunday, June 22
Upstream, playing Reggae

Saturday, June 28
Everyday People, playing Sly Stone Tribute Band

Sunday, June 29
Michael Haggins & Friends, playing R&B

For more information call: Pacific Ocean Management at (310) 822-6866

SUNSET SERIES SAILBOAT RACES 2014
Marina del Rey
Wednesdays, through September 10, 2014 (except July 23)
5:30 p.m. – 8:00 p.m.

Spectators can enjoy these races from the comfort of one of the water-view restaurants, Fisherman’s Village and the North Jetty on Wednesday evenings between 5:30 p.m. (sailboats leaving the harbor) and 8:00 p.m. Races finish at California Yacht Club.

For more information call: (310) 823-4567

BEACH SHUTTLE
May 23 – September 28, 2014
Fridays and Saturdays from 10 a.m. – 10 p.m.
Sundays and Holidays from 10 a.m. – 8 p.m.
Concert Thursdays from 5 p.m. – 10 p.m.

Catch a free ride on the Beach Shuttle to and from Playa Vista, Marina del Rey and Venice, and enjoy the surf, sand and surroundings of Marina del Rey in a hassle-free and relaxing way. The Beach Shuttle operates weekends, holidays, and during the Thursday Marina del Rey Summers Concerts, which begin July 10th.

For more information call: Marina del Rey Visitor Center (310) 305-9545

MARINA DEL REY WATERBUS
June 19 – September 1, 2014

For a fun weekend, ride the Marina del Rey WaterBus. Park your car and ride the WaterBus for a unique water’s-eye view of Marina del Rey. Eight boarding stops throughout the Marina offer opportunities to shop, dine, and recreate in one of the most beautiful Southern California
residential and tourist areas. Bikes and strollers are welcome on board, but no pets are allowed. The fare is $1.00 per person, for a one-way ticket. WaterBus attendants will arrange for land taxi service for passengers needing special assistance to any WaterBus boarding stop for the $1.00 fare. Ample parking is available at nearby Los Angeles County lots for a reasonable fee.

**WaterBus Schedule:**
- Thursday – Saturday: 11 a.m. – midnight
- Sundays: 11 a.m. – 9 p.m.

**Holiday Schedule**
- July 4th: 11 a.m. – midnight
- Labor Day: 11 a.m. – 9 p.m.

For more information: Visit marinawaterbus.com or call the Marina del Rey Information Center at (310) 305-9545

---

**MARINA DEL REY FOURTH OF JULY FIREWORKS**
Friday, July 4, 2014
9:00 p.m.

The twenty-minute traditional fireworks extravaganza over the main channel in Marina del Rey will be presented on Friday evening, July 4, starting promptly at 9:00 p.m. This event is sponsored by the Department of Beaches and Harbors. The fireworks are choreographed to patriotic music, which will be broadcast by radio station KXLU 88.9 FM in sync with the pyrotechnic display. The music will be relayed over loudspeakers in Burton Chace Park.

Parking is available in County lots for a reasonable fee. Premiere viewing locations for the fireworks are Fisherman’s Village and Burton Chace Park.

For more information call: Marina del Rey Visitor Center at (310) 305-9545

---

**THE MARINA DEL REY SUMMER CONCERT SERIES 2014**
Burton Chace Park ♦ 13650 Mindanao Way ♦ Marina del Rey
July 10 through August 30, 2014
7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.
OLD FASHIONED DAY IN THE PARK
Burton Chace Park ♦ 13650 Mindanao Way ♦ Marina del Rey
Sunday, July 27, 2014
10:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.

Old Fashioned Day in the Park is sponsored by the Classic Yacht Association and the Department. This annual event offers vintage yachts to tour and restored classic cars to view. The event is free and open to the public.

For more information call: (310) 429-3028 or the Marina del Rey Visitors Center at (310) 305-9545

FREE MARINA MOVIE NIGHTS
Burton Chace Park ♦ 13650 Mindanao Way ♦ Marina del Rey
July 31 through August 23, 2014
Movie start time: 8:00 p.m.

The Department presents the return of Free Marina Movie Nights in Burton Chace Park. This summer pack your picnic baskets and bring the family out to the park on Thursday and Saturday nights to enjoy our outdoor movie screening under the stars.
Movie Lineup:

July 31  Annie
August 9  Despicable Me
August 14  Born to be Wild
August 23  The Never Ending Story

For more information call: Burton Chace Park (310) 305-9596