AGENDA

Members of the Public may address the Public Safety Cluster on any agenda item by submitting a written request prior to the meeting. Two (2) minutes are allowed for each item.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. INFORMATIONAL ITEM(S) [Any Information Item is subject to discussion and/or presentation at the request of two or more Board offices with advance notification]:

   A. Board Letter:
      FEDERAL EQUITABLE SHARING AGREEMENT AND ANNUAL CERTIFICATION REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018-19
      Speaker(s): Penny Schneider and Lianna Narinyants (District Attorney)

   B. Board Letter:
      AGREEMENT FOR PARTICIPATION IN OPERATION STONEGARDEN
      Speaker(s): Ramona Zamora and Jennipher Baeza (Sheriff)

   C. Board Letter:
      CARSON STATION RENOVATION PROJECT
      Speaker(s): Matt Diaz (CEO), Zohreh Kabiri, Tom Afschar, Victor Montiel (DPW), Tracey Jue and Jennifer Fang (Sheriff)

3. PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION ITEM(S):

   A. Board Briefing:
      REFORM AND OVERSIGHT EFFORTS: LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT
      Speaker(s): Max Huntsman (Office of Inspector General)

4. PUBLIC COMMENT
   (2 minutes each speaker)
CLOSED SESSION

CS-1  CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION
(Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

Claim of Sierra-Cedar, Inc.

Department: Sheriff's

5. ADJOURNMENT

6. UPCOMING ITEM(S):

A. Board Briefing:
   PROBATION REFORM IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BRIEFING
   Speaker(s): Saul Sarabia (PRIT)
AGENDA ENTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE OF MEETING:</th>
<th>08/13/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT NAME:</td>
<td>District Attorney's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOARD LETTERHEAD</td>
<td>DISTRICT ATTORNEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT AFFECTED</td>
<td>ALL DISTRICTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOTES REQUIRED</td>
<td>3 Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** ** ** ENTRY MUST BE IN MICROSOFT WORD ** ** **

Instructions: To comply with the Brown Act requirement the reader should fully understand what the department is asking the Board to approve. The recommendation must describe what the action is for; with whom the action is being taken; fiscal impact, including money amounts, funding sources, and effective dates. Also, include an instruction for the Chair(man) or Director to sign when such signature is required on a document.

Recommendation: Approve and instruct the Chairman to sign the Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement and Annual Certification Report, a prerequisite for the District Attorney to receive equitably-shared cash, property, or proceeds.
SUBJECT
• Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement and Annual Certification Report for FY 2018-2019

TARGETED BOARD AGENDA
• August 13, 2019

DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM / ITEM
• Asset Forfeiture Annual Certification for FY 2018-19

AMOUNT / COST
$1,796,259.83

FUNDING SOURCE
• Federal Departments of Justice and Treasury

FUNDING UTILIZED
• N/A

PURPOSE
• District Attorney’s Office to receive federally forfeited cash, property, proceeds, and any interest thereon from the Federal Equitable Sharing Program, the DA is required to submit an Annual Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement and Certification form.

CONTRACTING PROCESS (if applicable)
• N/A
CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS YEAR
  • None

CHANGES TO DEPLOYMENT / STAFFING PLAN
  • None

ISSUES / CONCERNS
  • None

SUCCESSES / ACCOMPLISHMENTS
  • N/A

DISTRICTS IMPACTED
  • All Districts

CONTACT PERSON
  • Lianna Narinyants
  • 213-257-2817
  • lnarinyants@da.lacounty.gov

(Rev 07/05/19)
August 13, 2019

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

FEDERAL EQUITABLE SHARING AGREEMENT AND ANNUAL CERTIFICATION REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018-2019
(ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

SUBJECT
Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement and Annual Certification Report for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, a prerequisite to receive equitably-shared cash, property, or proceeds.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

Authorize the District Attorney, on behalf of the County, to sign the enclosed Agreement which enables the District Attorney’s Office to continue participation in the Federal Equitable Sharing Program and report the use of federal equitable sharing funds received in Fiscal Year 2018-2019.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION
In order for the Los Angeles District Attorney’s Office (LADA) to receive federally forfeited cash, property, proceeds, and any interest thereon from the Federal Equitable Sharing Program, the DA is required to submit an Annual Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement and Certification form.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS
Participation in the Federal Equitable Sharing Program is consistent with the County’s Strategic Plan Goal No. 3, Realize Tomorrow’s Government Today, by pursuing operational effectiveness, fiscal responsibility, and accountability.
FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

Federal Equitable Sharing funds support law enforcement training, travel, communication and other eligible law enforcement costs. In Fiscal Year 2018-2019, District Attorney’s Office utilized $1,796,259.83 in Federal Equitable Sharing funds.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984 authorized federal officials to implement a national asset forfeiture program to target criminals, including drug dealers and white collar criminals who prey on the vulnerable for financial gain. One of the most important provisions of asset forfeiture is the authorization to share federal forfeiture proceeds with cooperating state and local law enforcement agencies. The Department of Justice Asset Forfeiture Program serves to deter crime and provide additional resources to state and local law enforcement agencies. Local law enforcement agencies receive proceeds based on their participation on a federal task force comprised of state and local agencies, or from state or local investigations that are developed into federal cases.

Federal Equitable Sharing funds received from the Federal Equitable Sharing Program are deposited into a District Attorney Trust Fund and are used for eligible law enforcement operation costs. Forfeiture payments are made periodically by the U.S. Marshal’s Office to the District Attorney’s Office via Automated Clearing House transfers.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

This program does not propose attorney staff augmentation. Therefore, the LADA is not subject to the Board Motion of December 15, 1998, requiring clearance with the Alternate Public Defender, Probation, Public Defender, and Sheriff’s Departments.

CONCLUSION

It is requested that the Executive Officer-Clerk of the Board return an adopted copy of this Board letter and the Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement and Annual Certification Report, with original signatures, to Lianna Narinyants, Accounting Section, Los Angeles
The Honorable Board of Supervisors
August 13, 2019
Page Three

County District Attorney’s Office, 211 West Temple Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90012. Any questions may be directed to Ms. Narinyants at 213-257-2817 or via email at lnarinyants@da.lacounty.gov.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKIE LACEY
District Attorney

Attachments

c: Chief Executive Officer
   County Counsel
Equitable Sharing Agreement and Certification

NCIC/ORI/Tracking Number: CA019153A
Agency Name: Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
Mailing Address: 211 West Temple Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Agency Finance Contact
Name: Narinyants, Lianna
Phone: 213-257-2817
Email: lnarinyants@da.lacounty.gov

Jurisdiction Finance Contact
Name: Narinyants, Lianna
Phone: 213-257-2817
Email: lnarinyants@da.lacounty.gov

ESAC Preparer
Name: Narinyants, Lianna
Phone: 213-257-2817
Email: lnarinyants@da.lacounty.gov

FY End Date: 06/30/2019
Agency FY 2020 Budget: $447,930,000.00

### Summary of Equitable Sharing Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Beginning Equitable Sharing Fund Balance</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Equitable Sharing Funds Received</td>
<td>$1,771,409.67</td>
<td>$298.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Equitable Sharing Funds Received from Other Law Enforcement Agencies and Task Force</td>
<td>$6,384.20</td>
<td>$932.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Other Income</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Interest Income</td>
<td>$17,223.89</td>
<td>$11.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Total Equitable Sharing Funds Received (total of lines 1-5)</td>
<td>$1,795,017.76</td>
<td>$1,242.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Equitable Sharing Funds Spent (total of lines a - n)</td>
<td>$1,795,017.76</td>
<td>$1,242.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Ending Equitable Sharing Funds Balance</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary of Shared Funds Spent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Law Enforcement Operations and Investigations</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Training and Education</td>
<td>$6,069.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c Law Enforcement, Public Safety, and Detention Facilities</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d Law Enforcement Equipment</td>
<td>$1,756,601.46</td>
<td>$1,242.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e Joint Law Enforcement/Public Safety Equipment and Operations</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f Contracts for Services</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g Law Enforcement Travel and Per Diem</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h Law Enforcement Awards and Memorials</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i Drug, Gang, and Other Education or Awareness Programs</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j Matching Grants</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k Transfers to Other Participating Law Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l Support of Community-Based Programs</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m Non-Categorized Expenditures</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n Salaries</td>
<td>$32,347.30</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,795,017.76</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,242.07</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Department of Justice Asset Forfeiture Program participants are: FBI, DEA, ATF, USPIS, USDA, DCIS, DSS, and FDA

*Department of the Treasury Asset Forfeiture Program participants are: IRS, ICE, CBP and USSS.
### Equitable Sharing Funds Received From Other Agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transferring Agency Name</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Impact - CA0192KOX</td>
<td>$6,384.20</td>
<td>$932.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Income Type</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Matching Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matching Grant Name</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Transfers to Other Participating Law Enforcement Agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiving Agency Name</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Support of Community-Based Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Non-Categorized Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Salaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salary Type</th>
<th>Justice Funds</th>
<th>Treasury Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary - Overtime</td>
<td>$32,347.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Civil Rights Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Case</th>
<th>Type of Discrimination Alleged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. We try to create accurate and easily understood forms that impose the least possible burden on you to complete. The estimated average time to complete this form is 30 minutes. If you have comments regarding the accuracy of this estimate, or suggestions for making this form simpler, please write to the Asset Forfeiture and Money Laundering Section at 1400 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20005.

### Privacy Act Notice

The Department of Justice is collecting this information for the purpose of reviewing your equitable sharing expenditures. Providing this information is voluntary; however, the information is necessary for your agency to maintain Program compliance. Information collected is covered by Department of Justice System of Records Notice, 71 Fed. Reg. 29170 (May 19, 2006), JMD-022 Department of Justice Consolidated Asset Tracking System (CATS). This information may be disclosed to contractors when necessary to accomplish an agency function, to law enforcement when there is a violation or potential violation of law, or in accordance with other published routine uses. For a complete list of routine uses, see the System of Records Notice as amended by subsequent publications.

---

**Single Audit Information**

Date Printed: 07/05/2019
Were equitable sharing expenditures included on your jurisdiction's prior fiscal year's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA)?

YES  X  NO  

Prior year Single Audit Number Assigned by Harvester Database: 13184520181
Affidavit

Under penalty of perjury, the undersigned officials certify that they have read and understand their obligations under the Guide to Equitable Sharing for State, Local, and Tribal Law Enforcement Agencies (Guide) and all subsequent updates, this Equitable Sharing Agreement, and the applicable sections of the Code of Federal Regulations. The undersigned officials certify that the information submitted on the Equitable Sharing Agreement and Certification form (ESAC) is an accurate accounting of funds received and spent by the Agency.

The undersigned certify that the Agency is in compliance with the applicable nondiscrimination requirements of the following laws and their Department of Justice implementing regulations: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq.), Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794), and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. § 6101 et seq.), which prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, or age in any federally assisted program or activity, or on the basis of sex in any federally assisted education program or activity. The Agency agrees that it will comply with all federal statutes and regulations permitting federal investigators access to records and any other sources of information as may be necessary to determine compliance with civil rights and other applicable statutes and regulations.

Equitable Sharing Agreement

This Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement, entered into among (1) the Federal Government, (2) the Agency, and (3) the Agency's governing body, sets forth the requirements for participation in the federal Equitable Sharing Program and the restrictions upon the use of federally forfeited funds, property, and any interest earned thereon, which are equitably shared with participating law enforcement agencies. By submitting this form, the Agency agrees that it will be bound by the Guide and all subsequent updates, this Equitable Sharing Agreement, and the applicable sections of the Code of Federal Regulations. Submission of the ESAC is a prerequisite to receiving any funds or property through the Equitable Sharing Program.

1. Submission. The ESAC must be signed and electronically submitted within 60 days of the end of the Agency’s fiscal year. Electronic submission constitutes submission to the Department of Justice and the Department of the Treasury.

2. Signatories. The ESAC must be signed by the head of the Agency and the head of the governing body. Examples of Agency heads include police chief, sheriff, director, commissioner, superintendent, administrator, county attorney, district attorney, prosecuting attorney, state attorney, commonwealth attorney, and attorney general. The governing body head is the head of the agency that appropriates funding to the Agency. Examples of governing body heads include city manager, mayor, city council chairperson, county executive, county council chairperson, administrator, commissioner, and governor. The governing body head cannot be an official or employee of the Agency and must be from a separate entity.

3. Uses. Shared assets must be used for law enforcement purposes in accordance with the Guide and all subsequent updates, this Equitable Sharing Agreement, and the applicable sections of the Code of Federal Regulations.

4. Transfers. Before the Agency transfers funds to other state or local law enforcement agencies, it must obtain written approval from the Department of Justice or Department of the Treasury. Transfers of tangible property are not permitted. Agencies that transfer or receive equitable sharing funds must perform sub-recipient monitoring in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations.

5. Internal Controls. The Agency agrees to account separately for federal equitable sharing funds received from the Department of Justice and the Department of the Treasury, funds from state and local forfeitures, joint law enforcement operations funds, and any other sources must not be commingled with federal equitable sharing funds.

The Agency certifies that equitable sharing funds are maintained by the entity that maintains the Agency's appropriated or general funds and agrees that the funds will be subject to the standard accounting requirements and practices employed by the Agency's jurisdiction in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Guide, any subsequent updates, and the Code of Federal Regulations, including the requirement to maintain relevant documents and records for five years.

The misuse or misapplication of equitably shared funds or assets or supplantation of existing resources with shared funds or assets is prohibited. The Agency must follow its jurisdiction's procurement policies when expending equitably shared funds. Failure to comply with any provision of the Guide, any subsequent updates, and the Code of Federal Regulations may subject the Agency to sanctions.

6. Single Audit Report and Other Reviews. Audits shall be conducted as provided by the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Uniform Administrative Requirements, Costs Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. The Agency must report its equitable sharing expenditures on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) under Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number 16.922 for Department of Justice and 21.016 for Department of the Treasury. The Department of Justice and the Department of the Treasury reserve the right to conduct audits or reviews.

Date Printed: 07/05/2019
7. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Information provided in this Document is subject to the FOIA requirements of the Department of Justice and the Department of the Treasury. Agencies must follow local release of information policies.

8. Waste, Fraud, or Abuse. An Agency or governing body is required to immediately notify the Money Laundering and Asset Recovery Section of the Department of Justice and the Executive Office for Asset Forfeiture of the Department of the Treasury of any allegations or theft, fraud, waste, or abuse involving federal equitable sharing funds.

Civil Rights Cases

During the past fiscal year: (1) has any court or administrative agency issued any finding, judgment, or determination that the Agency discriminated against any person or group in violation of any of the federal civil rights statutes listed above; or (2) has the Agency entered into any settlement agreement with respect to any complaint filed with a court or administrative agency alleging that the Agency discriminated against any person or group in violation of any of the federal civil rights statutes listed above?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

Agency Head

Name: Lacey, Jackie
Title: District Attorney
Email: jlacey@da.lacounty.gov

Signature: ___________________________________________ Date: __________________________

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided on this ESAC is true and accurate and has been reviewed and authorized by the Law Enforcement Agency Head whose name appears above. Entry of the Agency Head name above indicates his/her agreement to abide by the Guide, any subsequent updates, and the Code of Federal Regulations, including ensuring permissibility of expenditures and following all required procurement policies and procedures.

Governing Body Head

Name: Hahn, Janice
Title: Chair
Email: jhahn@bos.lacounty.gov

Signature: ___________________________________________ Date: __________________________

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Agency's current fiscal year budget reported on this ESAC is true and accurate and the Governing Body Head whose name appears above certifies that the agency’s budget has not been supplanted as a result of receiving equitable sharing funds. Entry of the Governing Body Head name above indicates his/her agreement to abide by the policies and procedures set forth in the Guide, any subsequent updates, and the Code of Federal Regulations.

☐ I certify that I have obtained approval from and I am authorized to submit this form on behalf of the Agency Head and the Governing Body Head.
August 13, 2019

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California  90012

Dear Supervisors:

AGREEMENT FOR PARTICIPATION IN OPERATION STONEGARDEN
(ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

The Los Angeles County (County) Sheriff’s Department (Department) seeks authority to execute an Agreement for continued participation in the Operation Stonegarden (OPSG) Grant Program, Federal CFDA Number 97.067, funded by the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS), passed through the California Governor’s Office of Emergency Services (CalOES), and received and administered by the County of San Diego.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

1. Delegate authority to the Sheriff, or his designee, as an agent for the County, to execute the attached Agreement for continued participation in the OPSG Grant Program for the term from September 1, 2018 through May 31, 2021. Department overtime costs shall be reimbursed by the County of San Diego in an amount not to exceed $450,000 from the Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 OPSG Grant Program.

2. Delegate authority to the Sheriff, or his designee, to execute all future amendments and modifications to the Agreement, as necessary, for the effective participation in the OPSG Grant Program.
PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The Department seeks to enter into the attached Agreement for participation in the OPSG Grant Program to enhance law enforcement preparedness and operational readiness along the land and water borders of the United States. The Department will be reimbursed for providing increased law enforcement presence in the County’s maritime jurisdiction and in coordination with other OPSG partner agencies in order to support the DHS and Bureau of Customs and Border Protection efforts in the region to improve border security. The Department will only enforce local and state laws and will not enforce immigration laws. The OPSG Grant Program provides funding to designated localities to enhance cooperation and coordination between law enforcement agencies in a joint mission to secure the nation’s land borders. The Department will provide both boat and aircraft support for OPSG operations.

The current participating agencies and signatories to the Agreement include Counties of San Diego, Los Angeles, Monterey, Orange, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, and Ventura, Cities of Carlsbad, Chula Vista, Coronado, Escondido, La Mesa, National City, Oceanside, San Diego, the San Diego Unified Port District, the University of California San Diego, California Highway Patrol, and the Department of Parks and Recreation.

The Department has participated in the OPSG Grant Program since FY 2011 pursuant to earlier agreements. This new Agreement allocates funding to the Department’s Special Operations Division in the amount of $450,000 for Overtime from the FY 2018 OPSG Grant Program.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

Participation in OPSG relates to the County’s Strategic Plan, Goal 3, Strategy III.3, Operational Effectiveness, Fiscal Responsibility, and Accountability. Participation in OPSG leverages resources from the Department and other law enforcement agencies to enhance protection along the land and water borders of the United States. This Agreement will also provide revenue reimbursement to the Department for services rendered.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

The Department will recover overtime salary costs incurred by the Special Operations Division for the OPSG Grant Program activities. Reimbursement claims for expenses will be submitted monthly. The County’s allocation of $450,000 for the FY 2018 OPSG
Grant Program is for overtime only and will be included in the Department's FY 2019-20 Final Adopted Budget.

**FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS**

The term of the Agreement shall be from September 1, 2018 through May 31, 2021. The County may terminate its participation in the Agreement by providing 90 days advance written notice to the other participating agencies. The Department will provide its personnel assigned to the OPSG Grant Program with all supplies and/or prescribed safety gear, body armor, and/or standard issue equipment necessary to perform OPSG activities.

The County agrees to defend and indemnify the other participating agencies for any claim, action, or proceeding against the other participating agencies arising solely out of the acts or omissions of the County in the performance of the Agreement. Each party participating in the Agreement agrees to defend itself from any claim, action, or proceeding arising out of concurrent acts or omissions of the parties. In such a case, each party agrees to retain its own legal counsel, bear its own defense costs, and waive its right to seek reimbursement of such costs except where a court finds and allocates comparative fault.

Board approval is required for this Agreement. The funding amount exceeds the authority previously delegated by the Board to the Sheriff on May 15, 2015.

County Counsel has approved the attached Agreement as to form.

**IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

None. The Department will provide the personnel and resources required for participation in the OPSG Grant Program.
CONCLUSION

Upon Board approval, it is requested the Clerk of the Board return one original adopted Board letter to the Department's Contract Law Enforcement Bureau.

Sincerely,

ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF

TIMOTHY K. MURAKAMI
UNDERSHERIFF
AV:JB:jb
(Contract Law Enforcement Bureau)

c:  Board of Supervisors, Justice Deputies
    Celia Zavala, Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors
    Sachi A. Hamai, Chief Executive Officer
    Sheila Williams, Senior Manager, Chief Executive Office (CEO)
    Rene Phillips, Manager, CEO
    Jocelyn Ventilacion, Principal Analyst, CEO
    Anna Petrosyan, Analyst, CEO
    Mary C. Wickham, County Counsel
    Michele Jackson, Principal Deputy County Counsel
    Elizabeth D. Miller, Chief Legal Advisor, Legal Advisory Unit
    Timothy K. Murakami, Undersheriff
    Dennis M. Kneer, Chief of Staff
    James J. Hellmold, Chief, Special Operations Division
    Conrad Meredith, Division Director, Administrative Services Division (ASD)
    Jack W. Ewell, Commander, Special Operations Division
    Glen C. Joe, Assistant Division Director, ASD
    Joseph J. Williams, Captain, Special Enforcement Bureau
    Sergio V. Escobedo, A/Captain, Contract Law Enforcement Bureau (CLEB)
    Matthew K. Squire, Lieutenant, CLEB
    Vanessa C. Chow, Sergeant, ASD
    Adam R. Wright, Deputy, ASD
    Ramona Zamora, Administrative Services Manager II, CLEB
    Jennipher Baeza, Administrative Services Manager I, CLEB
(Contract Law - Operation Stonegarden-DHS-CalOES 08-13-19)
August 13, 2019

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT
PUBLIC BUILDINGS CORE SERVICE AREA
CARSON SHERIFF’S STATION RENOVATION PROJECT
CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION
APPROVE REVISED PROJECT SCOPE AND BUDGET
ADOPT, ADVERTISE, AND AWARD
CAPITAL PROJECT NO. 87023
(SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2)
(3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

Public Works is seeking Board approval of the recommended actions that will find the Carson Sheriff's Station Renovation project, formerly known as the Carson Sheriff's Station Expansion project, exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, approve the revised project scope and budget for the Carson Sheriff's Station Renovation project, Capital Project No. 87023, adopt plans and specifications for the project, and authorize Public Works to advertise and award a construction contract to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

1. Find the Carson Sheriff's Station Renovation project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act for the reasons stated in this letter and in the record of the project.

2. Approve the name change from Carson Sheriff's Station Expansion project to Carson Sheriff's Station Renovation project, approve the revised project scope for the Carson Sheriff's Station project, Capital Project No. 87023, and the revised project budget of $5,400,000.
3. Adopt plans and specifications that are on file with Public Works for the Carson Sheriff's Station Renovation project and authorize the Director of Public Works or his designee to acquire the furniture, fixtures and equipment, and perform design coordination and installation of furniture, fixtures, and equipment through a purchase order issued by the Internal Services Department, in its capacity as the County's purchasing agent, using County approved vendor(s).

4. Instruct the Executive Officer of the Board to advertise the project for bids to be received and opened by 10:30 a.m. on September 10, 2019, in accordance with the Instruction Sheet for Publishing Legal Advertisements.

5. Authorize the Director of Public Works or his designee to execute a consultant services agreement with the apparent lowest responsive and responsible bidder to prepare a baseline construction schedule for a $5,000 not to-exceed amount funded by the project funds.

6. Delegate authority to the Director of Public Works or his designee to make the determination that a bid is nonresponsive and to reject a bid on that basis, award to the next lowest responsive and responsible bidder, waive inconsequential and nonmaterial deficiencies in bid submitted, and determine in accordance with the applicable contract and bid documents, whether the apparent lowest responsive and responsible bidder has satisfied all conditions for contract award. Upon such determination, authorize the Director of Public Works or his designee to award and execute the construction contract, in the form previously approved by County Counsel, to the apparent lowest responsive and responsible bidder if the low bid can be awarded within the approved total project budget, to establish the effective date of the contract upon receipt by Public Works of acceptable performance and payment bonds and evidence of required contractor insurance, and to take all other actions necessary and appropriate to deliver the project.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approval of the recommended actions will find the Carson Sheriff's Station Renovation project exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), approve the revised project scope and budget for the Carson Sheriff's Station project, Capital Project No. 87023, adopt plans and specifications, and authorize Public Works to advertise and award a construction contract to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.
Project Description and Background

The Carson Sheriff’s Station, which was built in 1974, has a staff operation of approximately 125 persons, serving the communities of Carson, Rancho Dominguez, Torrance and Harbor City. On July 15, 2014, the Board established Capital Project No. 87023 for the Carson Sheriff’s Station Expansion project, authorized Public Works to use an on-call environmental consultant to prepare the environmental document pursuant to CEQA, and approved a $5,100,000 total project budget. The previously proposed scope of the project was to construct a two-story office space addition where the current front entry portico exists, construct a new front entrance, and retrofit the existing fire sprinkler system throughout the ground floor.

Subsequently, the environmental consultant determined that the scope of work pertaining to the expansion of the station may result in impacts to a potential historical resource due to modifications to the exterior portico architecture of the building. Consequently, the expansion scope was de-scoped. Public Works, in collaboration with the Sheriff’s Department developed an alternative scope for the renovation of the building’s interior, with minimal external modifications to comply with the provisions of the American with Disabilities Act (ADA), that would not impact the potential historic resource.

The proposed Project will provide interior and exterior modifications to the Carson Sheriff’s Station, including tenant improvements within the existing one-story building with a basement, constructing a new elevator, retrofitting the existing fire sprinkler system throughout the ground floor, administration office areas, restrooms, and lobby, men’s locker room improvements and a new relocated women’s locker room on the basement level. This approximate 12,800 square foot renovation will help improve space efficiencies and utilization for the benefit of the Carson Sheriff’s Station staff and the public. The project will include an exterior ADA ramp leading to the front door entrance and a new accessible walkway from the existing sidewalk.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

The County Strategic Plan directs the provision of Strategy III.3, Pursue Operational Effectiveness, Fiscal Responsibility, and Accountability, Objective III.3.2, Manage and Maximize County Assets. Renovation of the Carson Sheriff’s Station will create operational efficiencies for the staff, create a more welcoming public lobby and reduce ADA barriers to the site.
FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

On July 15, 2014, the Board approved the total project budget of $5,100,000. An additional $300,000 in prior year net County cost was transferred from Capital Project No. 86992 – Carson Sheriff's Station Electrical Upgrade, during the Fiscal Year 2018-19 recommended budget that was originally intended for electrical improvements at Carson Sheriff's Station, as it was determined that the electrical upgrade scope should be carried out through this renovation project. The revised total project budget is $5,400,000, which is inclusive of all costs to date, construction, change order contingency, soft costs, and furniture, fixtures, equipment, and civic arts.

There is sufficient appropriation in the Fiscal Year 2019-20 Capital Projects/Refurbishments budget under the Carson Sheriff Station Renovation, Capital Project No. 87023, to fully fund the Project (see Enclosure A).

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The project will be advertised in accordance with Section 20125 of the State Public Contract Code.

A standard construction contract, in a form previously approved by County Counsel, will be used that contains terms and conditions supporting the Board's ordinances, policies, and programs, including, but not limited to: County's Greater Avenues for Independence and General Relief Opportunities for Work Programs, Contract Language to Assist in Placement of Displaced County Workers, and Notice to Employees Regarding the Federal Earned Income Credit.

To ensure the contract is awarded to a responsible contractor with a satisfactory history of performance, bidders are required to report violations of the False Claims Act, criminal convictions, civil litigation, defaulted contracts with the County, complaints filed with the Contractor's State License Board, labor law/payroll violations, and debarment actions. As provided for in Board Policy No. 5.140, the information reported by the contractor will be considered before making an award.

The plans and specifications include the contractual provisions and material requirements necessary for the project and are on file with Public Works.

In accordance with the Board's consolidated Local and Targeted Worker Hire Policy adopted on September 6, 2016, the project will require that at least 30 percent of the total California craft worker hours for construction of the project be performed by Local
Residents and at least 10 percent be performed by Targeted Workers facing employment barriers.

In accordance with the Board's Civic Art Policy, amended on August 11, 2015, the project budget includes one percent of the design and construction costs to be allocated towards Civic Arts. A total of $30,000 was previously transferred to the Civic Arts budget. Based on the revised budget, an additional $2,000 will be transferred to the Civic Arts budget.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

The proposed project is categorically exempt from CEQA. The proposed activity, which includes both interior and exterior construction scope will provide renovation and repairs to the Carson Sheriff's Station, and is therefore within certain classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment in that it meets criteria set forth in Section 15301(a) and (f), 15302(c), and 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines and Classes 1(d) and (i), 2(e), and 3 of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, Appendix G relating to repair, maintenance and minor alteration of existing facilities, replacement of existing features, installation of new equipment and accessory structures at existing facilities.

The project will have negligible or no expansion of use and replacement features will have the same purpose and capacity. In addition, based on the record of the proposed project, it will comply with all applicable regulations, is not in a sensitive environment, and there are no cumulative impacts, unusual circumstances, damages to scenic highways, listings on hazardous waste site lists compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, or indications that it may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource that would make the exemptions inapplicable.

The January 2015 historical project study that CRM Tech conducted, concluded that the significance of the building did not rise to the level required by the criteria for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources (Tang and Jacquemain 2015:7-9). A separate study later that year (May 2015 by Historic Resources Group), however, came to a slightly different conclusion that the building was not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, but "may be eligible for listing in the California Register at the local level of significance" for its association with the early history of Carson as an incorporated city after 1968 and as a local example of Williams' work (Historic Resources Group 2015:31-35). In conclusion, based on the information and analysis presented above, a finding that the proposed tenant improvement project at the Carson Sheriff Station would not cause "a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource," pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 21084.1 and 5020.1(q).
Upon the Board's approval of the recommended actions, Public Works will file a Notice of Exemption with the County Clerk in accordance with Section 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

**CONTRACTING PROCESS**

Advertising for construction bids will be in accordance with the County’s standard Instruction Sheet for Publishing Legal Advertisements (see Enclosure B).

To increase contractor awareness of Public Works' program to contract work out to the private sector, this project will be listed on both the County's "Doing Business with the County" and "Public Works Business Opportunities" websites for open bids.

In addition, to increase opportunities for small businesses, Public Works will be coordinating with the Office of Small Business at the Department of Consumer and Business Affairs to maximize outreach, as well as offering preferences to Local Small Business Enterprises in compliance with Los Angeles County Code, Chapter 2.204.

Participation by Community Business Enterprises (CBE) in the project is encouraged through Public Works’ CBE Outreach Program and by monitoring the good faith efforts of bidders to utilize CBE.

An award by the Director of Public Works or his designee will be made upon review of the bids. The contract will be awarded to a responsible contractor who submits the lowest responsive bid meeting the criteria established by the Board and the State Public Contract Code.

**IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

The Carson Sheriff's Station will remain fully operational during construction to provide continuous service to the public. This shall be achieved by separating the project into different phases, which will reduce operational impacts during construction. Should dispatch and/or other services require relocation during construction, the Sheriff's Department may provide a temporary mobile command unit trailer on site for their use and/or services may be redirected to a nearby Sheriff facility.
CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this Board letter to the Chief Executive Office, Capital Projects Division; the Sheriff's Department, Facilities Planning Bureau; and Public Works, Project Management Division II.

Respectfully submitted,

MARK PESTRELLA
Director of Public Works

Enclosures

c: Arts Commission
   Auditor-Controller
   Chief Executive Office (Capital Programs Division)
   County Counsel
   Executive Office
   Internal Services Department
   Sheriff's Department
ENCLOSURE A

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT
PUBLIC BUILDINGS CORE SERVICE AREA
CARSON SHERIFF’S STATION RENOVATION PROJECT
CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION
APPROVE REVISED PROJECT SCOPE AND BUDGET
ADOPT, ADVERTISE, AND AWARD
CAPITAL PROJECT NO. 87023
(SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2)
(3 VOTES)

PROJECT SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Activity</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Bid</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial Completion</td>
<td>12/20/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Acceptance</td>
<td>04/01/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Original Project Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$3,414,625</td>
<td>$3,473,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans and Specifications</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$279,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant Services</td>
<td>$251,000</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenditures</td>
<td>$52,335</td>
<td>$52,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurisdictional Reviews</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Services</td>
<td>$884,640</td>
<td>$852,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Expenditures</td>
<td>$302,400</td>
<td>$587,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$5,100,000</td>
<td>$5,400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENCLOSURE B

INFORMATION FOR BIDDERS

Estimate: $2,814,303

Notice is hereby given that the Department of Public Works of the County of Los Angeles will receive sealed bids in a place, time and manner hereinafter described for furnishing all materials, labor and equipment required for the construction to complete the following work:

PROJECT: Carson Sheriff’s Station Renovation Project

SPECIFICATIONS: 7034

DATE OF BID OPENING: September 10, 2019

A pre-bid conference and site visitation will be held at 10:00 a.m. on August 21, 2019, at the job site to answer questions concerning the project. Interested parties are requested to meet at the Carson Sheriff Station project site located at 23156 South Avalon Boulevard, Carson, California 90475. Bidders are strongly encouraged to attend.

Bids shall conform to Drawings and Project Manual open to inspection at the Business Relations and Contracts Division, 8th Floor, Department of Public Works, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, California 91803. All Bidders for this solicitation are strongly encouraged to register at http://dpw.lacounty.gov/general/contracts/opportunities/. Only those Bidders registered for this solicitation through the Public Works website will receive automatic notification when any update to this solicitation is made. The County does not have an obligation to notify any Bidders other than through the Public Works website automatic notification system. Copies of Project Manual and Drawings of the work to be bid upon can be downloaded for free at the website listed above.

Each bid shall be submitted on the proper form, sealed, and filed at the Cashier's Office, located on the Mezzanine Level, on/or before September 10, 2019, at 10:30 a.m., at the following address: 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, California 91803. Bids will be publicly opened, examined, and declared by Public Works at 10:45 a.m. on this date in one of the conference rooms at the above address. For information, contact Sami Gharib by email at Sgharib@pw.lacounty.gov or by phone at (626) 458-2552. Bidders must comply with the provisions of the Bidding Requirements, Conditions of the Contract, and the Project Manual concerning bid guarantee, contract bonds and insurance requirements, and to the requirements for appropriate license classifications issued by the Contractors' State License Board.

Public Works Headquarters Parking Closure for Visitors from May to September

Please be advised due to visitors parking lot closure, when attending meetings or submitting bids/proposals, plan for an additional 30-45 minutes to find parking. The second level of the HQ parking structure will be made available for visitor parking.

Pursuant to the Labor Code of the State of California, the State Department of Industrial Relations has determined the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for each craft or type of workman needed to execute any construction contract(s), of which may be awarded the successful bidder or bidders by the County of Los Angeles. In the event the Contractor or any subcontract employed by him pays a workman less than stipulated prevailing rate for such work or craft, the Contractor will be liable for all forfeits and additional wage payments as provided in Section 1775 of the Labor Code of the State of California. The County strongly encourages the participation of Community Business Enterprises on the project. The County reserves the right to reject any or all bids or to waive technical errors and discrepancies in bids submitted in the public interest. Bidders are urged to familiarize themselves with bidding facilities.

Preliminary bid results will be posted by the close of business on the day of the bid opening on the County’s bids result website, http://dpw.lacounty.gov/general/contracts/opportunities/.
Reform and Oversight Efforts: Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department

April to June 2019
INTRODUCTION

MONITORING

Service Comment Reports
Handling of Prisoner Grievances
Taser Use in Custody
Use-of-Force Incidents in Custody Division
In-Custody Deaths
Other Deaths
Office of Inspector General Site Assessments
Department Use of Unmanned Aircraft Systems

DATA REVIEW

Deputy-Involved Shootings
  Comparison to Prior Years
  District Attorney Review of Deputy Involved Shootings
  Homicide Bureau’s Investigation of Deputy-Involved Shootings

Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau
Internal Affairs Bureau
Executive Force Review Committee
Civil Service Commission Dispositions

OTHER UPDATES

CCJV Recommendation 3.12: The Department should purchase additional body scanners
CCJV Recommendation 7.14: The grievance process should be improved to include added checks and oversight
CCJV Recommendation 7.15: The use of lapel cameras as an investigative tool should be broadened
Comments and Complaints
INTRODUCTION

This report encompasses the monitoring, auditing, and review of activities related to the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Department) that occurred from April 1, 2019 until June 30, 2019. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) has four primary functions:

- Monitoring the Department’s operations and conditions in its jail facilities, including the Department’s response to prisoner and public complaints.
- Periodically reviewing data on the Department’s use of force, the Department’s investigations of force incidents and allegations of misconduct, and the Department’s disciplinary decisions.
- Conducting periodic audits and inspections of Department operations and reviewing the quality of the Department’s audits and inspections.
- Regularly communicating with the public, the Board of Supervisors, the Civilian Oversight Commission (COC), and the Department regarding the Department’s operations.

In the last Quarterly Report published and available to the public, the OIG sought to expand the amount of data provided to the public. In this Quarterly Report, the OIG continues to work towards that goal. By providing quarterly and regular updates, the OIG’s goal is to keep the public, the Board of Supervisors, and the COC aware of any recent trends, changes in recent practices, and of any other changes that are occurring within the Department.

1 The report will note if the data reflects something other than what was gathered between April 1, 2019, and June 30, 2019.
MONITORING

Service Comment Reports

In accordance with Department policies, the Department accepts and reviews all comments from members of the public that are germane to departmental service or individual performances. The Department categorizes these comments into three categories:

- External Commendation: an external communication of appreciation for and/or approval of service provided by Department members;
- Service Complaint: an external communication of dissatisfaction with Department service, procedure or practice, not involving employee misconduct; and
- Personnel Complaint: an external allegation of misconduct, either a violation of law or Department policy, against any member of the Department.

The following chart lists the number and types of comments received by each station and/or unit this quarter which have been entered into the Department’s database. There may be comments which had not yet been entered as of the June 30, 2019, cutoff date for this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station/Unit Supervisory District</th>
<th>Commendations</th>
<th>Personnel Complaints</th>
<th>Service Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS TO CARE BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADM: CENTRAL PATROL ADM HQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADM: COURT SERVICES DIV HQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADM: CUST SRVS ADM HQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADM: EAST PATROL ADM HQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADM: TECH &amp; SUPPORT ADM HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTADENA SD-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVALON SD-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY COLLEGE BUREAU</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUSTODY COMPLIANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 See Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department, Manual of Policies and Procedures, 3-04/10.00, “Department Service Reviews.”
3 It is possible for the same employee to get a Service Complaint and Personnel Complaint based on the same incident in question.
4 Id.
5 If a station or unit does not appear on this chart, the station or unit did not receive any comments from April 1 until June 24, 2019. This data was pulled from the Department’s PRMS system on June 24, 2019, and reflects the data provided as of that date.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station/Unit Supervisorial District</th>
<th>Commendations</th>
<th>Personnel Complaints</th>
<th>Service Complaints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENTURY SD-2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERRITOS SD-4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUSTODY INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVIL MANAGEMENT BUREAU</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURT SERVICES CENTRAL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPTON SD-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP BUREAU</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTURY REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY SD-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRESCENTA VALLEY SD-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY SERVICES BUREAU</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARSON SD-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURT SERVICES TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST LOS ANGELES SD-1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMERGENCY OPERATIONS BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURT SERVICES EAST</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH SECURITY HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMICIDE BUREAU</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNAL AFFAIRS BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDUSTRY SD-1, 4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INMATE RECEPTION CENTER SD-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANCASTER SD-5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAKEWOOD SD-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOMITA SD-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARINA DEL REY SD-4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAJOR CRIMES BUREAU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL SD-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALIBU/LOST HILLS SD-3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NARCOTICS BUREAU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH COUNTY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SD-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORWALK REGIONAL</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATION SAFE STREETS BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station/Unit Supervisorial District</td>
<td>Commendations</td>
<td>Personnel Complaints</td>
<td>Service Complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARMS BUREAU</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALMDALE SD-5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICO RIVERA SD-1, 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORDS and IDENTIFICATION</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISK MANAGEMENT BUREAU</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAINING BUREAU</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SD-5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN DIMAS SD-5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT BUREAU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHERIFF INFORMATION BUREAU</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH LOS ANGELES SD-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIENTIFIC SERVICES BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL VICTIMS BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPLE CITY SD-2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSIT SERVICES BUREAU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALNUT/SAN DIMAS SD-5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST HOLLYWOOD SD-3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURT SERVICES WEST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Handling of Prisoner Grievances

The Department is still in the process of installing iPads in all jail facilities to capture information related to prisoner requests and, eventually, prisoner grievances. There are now 186 installed and operational iPads, an increase of 16 iPads since the last quarter. There are now a total of 56 iPads at CRDF, 48 iPads at MCJ, and 82 iPads at TTCF. The Department also reports that it has completed the Wi-Fi upgrades needed at TTCF and CRDF for the iPads to fully function. The Wi-Fi upgrades are now underway at MCJ and a plan is being developed to start implementation of iPads at PDC North.

The Department has reported that iPads have automatically responded to 1,740,462 requests for information from January 1 through June 30, 2019. As previously reported, the Department continues to expand the types of information
that can be accessed from the iPads and will continue to add information as feasible.

As reported in the OIG’s January 2018 Quarterly Report, the Department implemented a policy restricting the filing of duplicate of excessive inmate grievances. The Department reports that between April 1 and June 30, 2019, 26 prisoners were restricted from filing 54 grievances under this policy. The OIG reviewed the restricted grievances to ensure that the restrictions complied with the policy and will continue to monitor.

**Taser Use in Custody**

The OIG has compiled the number of times the Department has deployed a Taser in custodial settings April through June 2019. The numbers below were gathered from the Department’s Monthly Force Synopsis, which the Department produces and provides to the OIG each month.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of Times Taser Deployed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Use-of-Force Incidents in Custody Division**

The OIG monitors the Department’s Custody Services Division data on use-of-force incidents, prisoner-on-prisoner violence, and assaults on Department personnel.

As previously reported, in July 2017, the OIG published a report analyzing the methods the Department used to collect data for jail violence statistics7 and concluded that the Department lacked a centralized system to collect, verify, and validate the accuracy of these statistics and, as a result, the Department disseminated inconsistent data on jail violence. The Department has acknowledged that its data at times may have been inconsistent and is working to implement a centralized system to collect, verify, and validate the data on its jail violence statistics. The OIG is currently conducting an audit of the Department’s methodologies and the data those methodologies produce regarding jail violence.

---

6 The OIG is not making any representation on whether the use of the Taser in each of these incidents was permissible under the Department’s policies and/or if the Taser was deployed lawfully.

Pending the presentation of that audit, and with the caveat that the data may be unreliable or may change in the future given these shortcomings, the OIG here publishes the Department’s data on jail violence for the third and fourth quarters of 2018, as reflected below:

**Prisoner on Staff Assault:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quarter</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quarter</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prisoner on Prisoner Assault:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quarter</td>
<td>988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quarter</td>
<td>881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Use-of-force Incidents:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quarter</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quarter</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In-Custody Deaths**

Between April 1 and June 30, 2019, six individuals died while under the care and custody of the Department. Of these six decedents, two were pronounced dead in the Department’s detention facilities and one was pronounced dead in a station lockup. The remaining three decedents were pronounced dead in the hospitals to which they had been transported.

OIG staff responded to the scene of the two deaths that occurred in the Department’s detention facilities. OIG staff also attended the Custody Services Division Administrative Death Reviews for each of the six in-custody deaths.

The following summaries, arranged in chronological order, provide brief descriptions of each in-custody death:

On April 6, 2019, an individual was reportedly discovered by deputies in a cell at Twin Towers Correctional Facility during what was described as a suicide attempt. Emergency aid was rendered, paramedics were called, and the individual was pronounced dead at the scene.
On April 6, 2019, a station jailer reportedly discovered an individual unresponsive in a cell at the Palmdale Patrol Station lockup. Deputies rendered emergency aid until paramedics arrived and transported the individual to the Palmdale Regional Medical Center. The individual died within a few hours of arriving at the hospital.

On April 22, 2019, an individual in the Inmate Reception Center was reportedly discovered unresponsive in a cell during facility count. Emergency aid was rendered, paramedics were called, and the individual was pronounced dead at the scene.

On May 12, 2019, an individual was reportedly discovered unresponsive in a cell at the East Los Angeles Patrol Station lockup. Emergency aid was rendered, paramedics were called, and the individual was pronounced dead at the scene.

On June 13, 2019, an individual was reportedly discovered by deputies in a cell at Men’s Central Jail during what was described as a suicide attempt. Deputies and medical personnel rendered emergency aid until paramedics arrived and transported the individual to the Los Angeles County/USC Medical Center (LCMC). The individual died on June 16, 2019.

On June 20, 2019, an individual died at the Henry Mayo Newhall Hospital after being transported from North County Correctional Facility on June 15, 2019, for a medical emergency.

Other Deaths

Between April 1 and June 30, 2019, two individuals died under circumstances which do not fit within the categorical definition of in-custody death but who were under the care and custody of the Department when the condition which resulted in their deaths first became apparent.

The following summaries, arranged in chronological order, provide brief descriptions of these incidents:

On April 25, 2019, deputies responded to a call for service and subsequently arrested an individual at the location. While walking the individual to a patrol vehicle for transport, the individual collapsed. The deputies removed the handcuffs and administered CPR until paramedics arrived. Paramedics pronounced the individual dead at the scene. OIG staff was present at the Critical Incident Review for this incident.
On May 20, 2019, an individual was reportedly discovered unresponsive in a cell at the Michael D. Antonovich Antelope Valley Courthouse. The individual was transported to the Antelope Valley Hospital and was subsequently released from custody on May 21, 2019. The individual died May 22, 2019. OIG staff was present at the Custody Services Division Administrative Death Review for this incident.

Office of Inspector General Site Assessments

OIG staff regularly conduct site visits and inspections at the Department’s custodial facilities to identify matters requiring attention. All site visits result in extensive follow up. In the second quarter of 2019, OIG completed 52 site assessments and logged 79 monitoring hours inside seven of the Department’s jail and lockup facilities. During those visits, OIG staff spoke with prisoners and met with Department personnel of all ranks, including custody assistants, civilian staff, clergy, and volunteers. As part of the OIG’s jail monitoring, OIG staff attended 59 Custody Services Division executive and administrative meetings and met with division executives for 63 monitoring hours.

OIG staff also continued to meet with prisoners housed in general population modules, administrative segregation units, disciplinary units, and medical and mental health units. OIG also continued to meet with civil detainees. OIG monitors met with and received input from individuals at cell front, during recreation and treatment group time, and in private interview rooms when necessary to ensure confidentiality. The following chart represents LASD facilities visited from April 1 through June 30, 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Site Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Century Regional Detention Facility (CRDF)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inmate Reception Center (IRC)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Central Jail (MCJ)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County Correctional Facility (NCCF)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchess Detention Center North (PDC North)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchess Detention Center South (PDC South)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Towers Correctional Facility (TTCF)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>52</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department Use of Unmanned Aircraft Systems

The Department reports it deployed the Unmanned Aircraft System four times this quarter. On June 13, 2019, the Department deployed the system to conduct a search and rescue mission for a missing hiker in the Angeles National Forest. The
system was able to search areas not accessible to rescuers and not visible by aircraft. The missing hiker was eventually located.

In June the system was again deployed for several days in the search for a hiker who first went missing in the Angeles National Forest on June 22, 2019. (On June 29, 2019, the Department reported the hiker had been found alive by members of the Department’s Altadena Search and Rescue Team quite a few miles away from the trail of his original hike.

**DATA REVIEW**

**Deputy-Involved Shootings**

The Department has different categories of shootings. The definitions of each of these categories can be found in the Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP), section 3-10/300.00. The Department defines “hit shooting” as one where a deputy fired his/her weapon intentionally and hit one or more people. A “non-hit shooting” is defined as an event where a deputy fired his/her weapon intentionally, but did not hit anyone. If a person was unintentionally struck by gunfire, the shooting is categorized by the Department as an accidental shooting (for example, if a warning shot were fired and struck a bystander, the shooting would be categorized by the Department as accidental).

From April 1 to June 30, 2019, there were nine incidents in which persons were shot or shot at by Sheriff’s Department personnel. OIG staff responded to each of these nine deputy-involved-shootings. Eleven people were struck by deputies’ gunfire. Six people struck by gunfire died as a result of their gunshot wounds.

The Department provides some data regarding these shootings on its public data website at: [http://lasd.org/deputy_involved_shootings.html](http://lasd.org/deputy_involved_shootings.html). Because the Department does not provide narrative descriptions, such as those provided below, on the Department’s website for all deputy-involved shootings, the OIG provides the following summaries.

**Vernon:** The Department reported that on May 10, 2019, at about 2:45 p.m., deputies responded to Downey Police Department’s request for assistance. Downey Police Department officers and detectives had initiated a vehicle pursuit of a murder suspect. Downey Police officers, Bell Gardens Police officers and the Department’s deputies became involved in the pursuit. The male Hispanic subject, who was the passenger of the subject vehicle, fired several rounds at the pursuing police officers and deputies. During the pursuit, neither the officers nor the deputies returned fire. The driver of subject vehicle, a white female, became stuck in traffic preventing her
from continuing forward. The male Hispanic subject pointed a handgun and fired at
the pursuing officers and deputies, at that time, the officers and deputies returned
fire, striking both the male and female subjects. The female subject surrendered to
deputies. A special weapons and tactics team from Special Enforcement Bureau
responded and took the male subject into custody.

The male subject sustained two gunshot wounds to the head, one of which is
believed to be self-inflicted. He was placed on life support, but subsequently died.
The female subject sustained a gunshot wound to her arm. She was treated and
released to the custody of the Department. An uninvolved civilian motorist
sustained a graze gunshot wound to the head. He was transported to the hospital,
treated, and released. It is unknown when he was shot and whether it was the
subject’s rounds or law enforcement rounds that struck him. No officers or deputies
were injured, but three law enforcement radio cars (not the Department’s) were
struck by gunfire.

**Compton:** The Department reported that on May 12, 2019, at approximately
6:24 p.m., Compton Station deputies were driving in Compton when they
recognized a male Hispanic, whom they believed to be a gang member, walking on
the sidewalk. When the male Hispanic subject saw the deputies, he grabbed his
front waistband and the deputies reportedly saw what appeared to be the
impression of the butt of a gun in the subject’s waistband. The deputies attempted
to contact the subject to investigate. When one of the deputies got out of the car,
the subject fled on foot. The deputy pursued him on foot, while his partner deputy
remained in the car and followed behind. As the subject fled, the driver deputy saw
the subject remove a handgun from his waistband. The subject ran into the back
yard of a single-family residence. At this time, the second deputy got out of their
patrol vehicle and ran after the subject. One of the deputies peered through the
yard’s fence and saw the subject point the handgun at him. Seeing this, the deputy
fired three rounds at the subject. The subject was not hit and ran toward the rear
of the property. The deputies set up a containment and ultimately took the subject
into custody. A weapon was recovered at the scene.

**Lynwood:** The Department reported that on May 21, 2019, at approximately
12:28 p.m., Century Station deputies received a family disturbance call indicating a
person was acting violently, threatening family members, and possibly under the
influence of a substance. When the deputies arrived at the location, they saw the
male Hispanic subject exit the rear door of the location holding a large hammer in
one hand and an alcohol bottle in the other hand. The subject walked toward the
deputy, maintain his hold on the hammer. One of the deputies gave the subject
several commands to stop and drop the hammer, but the subject refused and
continued towards the deputy. The deputy fired three rounds at the subject.
The three rounds struck the subject’s upper torso. He was transported to the hospital where he was pronounced dead. The hammer was recovered from the scene.

**San Gabriel:** The Department reported that on June 6, 2019, at approximately 12:28 p.m., San Gabriel Police Department officers responded to a family disturbance call. The Informant said the subject was agitated and armed with a firearm. Officers responded and contained the area. While law enforcement conducted call-outs of the occupants of the location, the subject fired several rounds at a family member and civilians. A San Gabriel police officer feared for the safety of community members and fired one round at the subject.

At that time, the San Gabriel Police Department requested assistance from the Department. The Department’s Special Enforcement Bureau took command of the scene. As the Department personnel worked to evacuate residents from nearby homes, the subject discharged multiple rounds at them. During the standoff, a fire broke out in the home. (The subject started a fire within the dwelling but how he started it is not yet known.) The subject exited the home through the back door and attempted to enter the front door of a rear structure. Department personnel ordered the subject to surrender and he did not comply. The subject walked to the opposite side of the rear residence and successfully made forced entry through a window. The subject barricaded himself in the residence.

The subject was forced out of the residence due to the deployment by law enforcement of gas and briefly hid underneath a boat before jumping over a fence into a neighbor’s backyard. The subject was still armed with a handgun. While in the neighbor’s yard, a deputy discharged a 40mm less-lethal projectile, which hit the subject. The subject jumped back into his yard and briefly hid underneath disabled cars before running toward and entering the rear residence. Deputies introduced gas into the structure to force the subject to surrender. The subject emerged from the structure with a shotgun, at which time one deputy shot at the subject before handcuffing him.

The subject sustained at least one gunshot wound to the upper torso and was pronounced dead at the scene. One deputy fired a total of seven shots at the subject. Three guns were recovered from the scene along with an undetermined amount of live and expended rounds.

**Los Angeles:** The Department reported that on June 6, 2019, at approximately 7:30 p.m., two deputies from Century Station entered the parking lot of an apartment complex, and attempted to contact two subjects seated in a parked
vehicle. As seen on a video of the incident released by the Department, one of the deputies approached the vehicle and opened the rear passenger door. The driver subject, a male African American, failed to comply with the deputies’ orders to exit the car. With the rear door still open, the driver placed the car in reverse hitting the deputy with the open door. The deputy opened fire into the subjects’ vehicle. The subject car continued coasting in reverse and eventually came to rest in a parking space north of the original location.

One deputy fired nine rounds, the other deputy fired 25 rounds. The passenger, a male African American, was taken into custody and was not struck by gunfire. The driver was struck by an unknown number of rounds and was pronounced dead at the scene.

This incident received media attention and a video of the incident was released by the Department.

**Inglewood:** The Department reported that on June 6, 2019, at approximately 10:27 p.m., deputies from South Los Angeles Station pulled over a car for a vehicle code violation. The subject vehicle initially yielded, but then accelerated away when the deputies exited their patrol vehicle to approach the driver. A few minutes later, the deputies saw the same car parked not far from the initial stop. The deputies saw the male African American subject running away, but then lost sight of him. Shortly thereafter, the deputies saw the subject walking a short distance away. When the deputies attempted to contact the subject, he brandished a gun. The two deputies shot a total of 48 times at the subject. During the melee, the subject attempted to flee in the deputies’ patrol vehicle.

The subject sustained multiple gunshot wounds to the upper torso. He was transported to the hospital, where he was pronounced dead. A gun was recovered at the scene.

**Palmdale:** The Department reported that on June 14, 2019, at approximately 7:00 p.m., deputies from the Palmdale Station attempted to stop a subject who was wanted for driving a vehicle without the owner’s consent. The subject did not comply and ran away from them. As the male white subject ran, he retrieved a firearm from the pocket of his cargo shorts. The two deputies gave chase. During the foot pursuit, the subject pointed the gun in the direction of one of the deputies. Fearing for his partner’s safety, the other deputy fired one round and struck the subject.
The subject sustained one gunshot wound to his right shoulder. He was transported to the hospital, and was listed in fair condition. A firearm, later determined to be a replica, was recovered from the scene.

**Carson:** The Department reported that on June 18, 2019, at approximately 10:38 a.m., Carson Station deputies were in pursuit of an “armed, stolen vehicle.” The chase lasted approximately five minutes before the driver attempted to get around a spike strip, lost control of the vehicle, and crashed. At that point, the front passenger exited the car and began firing at the deputies. Deputies returned fire. Seven deputies shot a total of 63 rounds at the subjects.

Both subjects sustained multiple gunshot wounds. They were taken to the hospital, and are expected to survive. A gun was recovered at the scene.

**Los Angeles:** The Department reported that on June 27, 2019, at approximately 10:58 p.m., two East Los Angeles Station deputies conducted a stop for traffic violations. One of the deputies approached the male Hispanic driver and asked him to step out. The driver complied and was placed in the back seat of the patrol car.

The other deputy approached the male Hispanic passenger. The passenger complied with the request to step out of the car; however, he began to pull away from the deputy as the deputy escorted him to the patrol vehicle. The subject punched the deputy in the face, and a struggle ensued. As the deputy sought to regain control, he felt what he thought was a handgun inside the subject’s waistband. The deputy pushed himself away to create distance between him and the subject. The subject attempted to retrieve his handgun from his waistband, and the deputy shot the subject four times.

The subject was struck in the upper torso and immediately fell to the ground. He was transported to the hospital, where he was pronounced dead. A handgun was recovered at the scene.
Comparison to Prior Years

Deputy Involved Shootings
Quarterly Comparison
2014-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Hit</th>
<th>Non-Hit</th>
<th>Accidental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APR-JUN '14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-JUN '15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-JUN '16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-JUN '17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR-JUN '18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deputy Involved Shootings
Annual Comparison
2013-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>HIT</th>
<th>NON-HIT</th>
<th>ACCIDENTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YEAR

SHOOTINGS

HIT • NON-HIT • ACCIDENTAL HIT
District Attorney Review of Deputy Involved Shootings

The Department’s Homicide Bureau investigates all Deputy-Involved Shootings in which a person is injured, regardless of shooting category. The Homicide Bureau submits the completed investigation of each Deputy-Involved Shooting in which a person has been injured and which occurred in the County of Los Angeles to the Los Angeles County District Attorney’s Office (LADA) for review and possible filing of criminal charges by the District Attorney’s Office. This review is multi-faceted, and it can sometimes take months, if not years, for the District Attorney’s Office to decide whether or not to file.

Between April 1 and June 30, 2019, the LADA issued findings in two deputy-involved-shooting case. In the **February 13, 2017, non-fatal shooting of Peter Firchow** the District Attorney opined in a memorandum dated April 8, 2019, that the deputy acted lawfully in self-defense. In the **August 2, 2016, fatal shooting of William Bowers**, the District Attorney stated in a letter dated June 6, 2019, that criminal charges against the shooting deputy would not be filed because in the opinion of the District Attorney’s Office there was insufficient evidence to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the shooting was unlawful.

The District Attorney’s findings may be found at the District Attorney’s web site at: [http://da.lacounty.gov/reports/ois](http://da.lacounty.gov/reports/ois).

Homicide Bureau’s Investigation of Deputy-Involved Shootings

The Homicide Bureau is responsible for conducting the investigation into a hit shooting. Regardless of whether the deputy shot intentionally to hit the person injured or it was a result of an accidental discharge, if a person is hit, the Homicide Bureau is responsible for conducting that investigation. After completing its investigation, the Homicide Bureau submits its investigation to the LADA for consideration of filing criminal charges. If the LADA declines to file the case, the Department’s Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) will then begin its investigation into whether the involved personnel violated any departmental policies in using force. Until that decision is communicated to the Department, the IAB investigation is suspended and POBR rights of any involved employees are tolled. The oldest case at the LADA awaiting a filing determination is a July 28, 2016, shooting in Lancaster that was presented by Homicide to LADA in August 2017.

For the present quarter, the Homicide Bureau reports seventeen shooting cases that involve Department personnel currently open and under investigation. The oldest case is an August 17, 2018, shooting in the Lennox area of South Los
Angeles. This shooting is described briefly in the OIG’s October 2018 Reform and Oversight Efforts: Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department report.

This quarter, the Department reports that it has sent four cases that involve Deputy-Involved Shootings to the LADA for filing consideration.

Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau

The Department’s Internal Criminal Investigations Bureau (ICIB) reports directly to the Sheriff and Undersheriff. It is responsible for investigating allegations of criminal misconduct by members of the Department.

Presently, the Department reports that ICIB has 75 active cases. The Department reports sending two cases this quarter to the LADA for filing considerations. The LADA has rejected filing six cases this quarter. The oldest open case ICIB has on its books is from 2015.

Internal Affairs Bureau

The Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) is responsible for conducting administrative investigations of policy violations by Department members. It is also responsible for responding to and investigating in Deputy-Involved Shootings and significant use-of-force cases.

For the present quarter, the Department reports that IAB opened 75 new cases. In the same period, IAB has closed 97 cases. OIG is looking into what exactly is meant by “closed,” to determine if this means, “inactivated,” “settled,” “determined to have been unfounded,” or something else. There are 300 pending cases on IAB’s caseload, assigned to five teams of one lieutenant and anywhere between five and seven sergeants, which amounts to about 60 cases per team. As noted in prior reports, OIG continues to be concerned about insufficient staffing at IAB.

Executive Force Review Committee

The Department outlines in its MPP the tasks and duties of the Executive Force Review Committee (EFRC). EFRC evaluates every shooting and force incident to which the IAB Force/Shooting Response Team has responded.

---

8 See Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department, Manual of Policies and Procedures, 3-04/10.00.
This quarter, the Department held five EFRC meetings during which they heard twelve cases involving force. In particular there were eight hit-shooting cases, one non-hit shooting case, and three cases involved other types of force. The cases stemmed from incidents that occurred as far back as 2016 to as recently as 2018. In three cases, EFRC found further training was warranted. In two cases, EFRC imposed discipline on two department personnel. In the remaining cases, EFRC found the employees’ conduct was within policy.

**Civil Service Commission Dispositions**

From April 1 to June 30, 2019, the Civil Service Commission issued a final decision in eight cases. In all eight cases, the Commission sustained the Department’s discipline.

**OTHER UPDATES**

**CCJV Recommendation 3.12: The Department should purchase additional body scanners**

The Department continues to operate body scanners at CRDF, PDC North, PDC South, NCCF, and the IRC.

We previously reported that the Department had installed four body scanners at NCCF: three in the Inmate Processing Area (IPA) and one near the vocational shops. All four scanners are fully operational.

The final body scanner that will be assigned to MCJ was purchased in February 2019 and delivered on July 23, 2019. Barring any unforeseen issues, the Department anticipates having the machine operational in late August or early September. Training for the MCJ staff that will be assigned to use the machine is scheduled to take place on August 14.

As previously reported, the Department has developed an electronic tracking system for the contraband found by the body scanners and is in the process of finalizing the policy and procedures for gathering and tracking contraband found throughout all custody facilities into one report.

**CCJV Recommendation 7.14: The grievance process should be improved to include added checks and oversight**

See Handling of Prisoner Grievances in Monitoring section above.
CCJV Recommendation 7.15: The use of lapel cameras as an investigative tool should be broadened

As previously reported, the Department opted for an alternative implementation of this recommendation and embarked on a five-year program to install fixed cameras in the jail facilities. The Department continues to install Closed Circuit Television cameras at PDC South. As previously reported, the Department completed installation of 190 cameras throughout the PDC South compound, including classroom buildings and the visiting area. PDC South reports that it is still in the process of installing the cameras in the vocational shops and laundry areas, it is still working through wiring and infrastructure issues but continues to take steps toward completion.

Comments and Complaints

The OIG received sixty-six new complaints in the second quarter of 2019 from members of the public, prisoners, prisoners’ family members and friends, community organizations and County agencies. Each complaint was reviewed by OIG staff. Thirty-seven of these complaints were related to conditions of confinement within the Department’s custody facilities, as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint/Incident Classification</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed to Take Action</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rude/Abusive Behavior</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Duty Conduct</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Discernible subject</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical/Dental Issue</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Services</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Service Issue</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thirty-five complaints were related to civilian contacts with Department personnel by persons who were not in custody.

---

10 When complaints raise multiple issues, the OIG tracks and monitors the Department’s response to each issue. As such, a single complaint may receive more than one classification as reflected in the referenced tables.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint/Incident Classification</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed to Take Action</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rude/Abusive Behavior</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful Arrest</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful Search</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful Detention</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Duty Conduct</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Discernible subject</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Service Issue</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five complaints were not about the Department or Department personnel and were referred to the appropriate agency or the complainant was directed to seek legal advice.