

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

May 8, 2014

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1861 FACSIMILE (213) 229-9924 TDD

(213) 633-0901

E-MAIL

pwu@counsel.lacounty.gov

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

PATRICK A. WU

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda County Claims Board Recommendation

Gillian Baker, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al. United States District Court Case No. CV 11-0550

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and two Summary Corrective Action Plans to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the two Summary Corrective Action Plans be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

PAW:rfm

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Gillian Baker</u>, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al., United States District Court Case No. CV 11-0550, in the amount of \$250,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from both the Department of Children and Family Services' budget and the Sheriff's Department's budget.

This lawsuit alleges that the Department of Children and Family Services wrongfully detained two minors, and alleges excessive force was used by a Sheriff's Deputy during an arrest at juvenile court.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Gillian Baker, et al. v. County of

Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

CV 11-0550

COURT

United States District Court

DATE FILED

07/06/2011

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Department of Children and

Family Services

Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 250,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Robert R. Powell

Law Offices of Robert R. Powell

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Lauren M. Black

Principal Deputy County Counsel

Social Services Division

Avi Burkwitz

Peterson Bradford Burkwitz

NATURE OF CASE

Wrongful detention of minors

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 230,787

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 38,738

Case Name: Gillian Baker and Shane Buono v. County of Los Angeles

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to <u>confidentiality</u>, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	July 15, 2010
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	The plaintiffs alleged that the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) conducted an unlawful removal and detention of their children.

Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/lawsuit:

The plaintiffs alleged that the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) conducted an unlawful removal and detention of their children.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Department had relevant policies and procedures in effect at the time of the incident and the Department is continuing to update its protocols regarding consent.

3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system issues?

□ No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affecte	d parties.				
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)					
Anna D. Holzner Signature:	Date: 2/5/2014				
Name: (Department Head)					
PHILIP L. BROWNING Signature	Date 3/5/14				
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County?					
Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this department.					
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	and the state of t				
Signature: (as the control of the c	Date: 2/3/2014				

X Yes - The corrective actions address department-wide system issues.

Case Name: Gillian Baker v. County of Los Angeles



Summary Corrective Action Plan

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	Tuesday, July 20, 2010; unknown time (a.m. hours)		
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Gillian Baker v. County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan No. 2014-003		
	On Tuesday, July 20, 2010, a Los Angeles County deputy sheriff, assigned to Department 412, Edelman Children's Court, 201 Centre Plaza Drive, Monterey Park, was conducting a review of the daily court calendar when he was approached by two detectives from the Los Angeles Police Department. The detectives were armed with arrest warrants for both the plaintiff and her companion. (The plaintiff and her companion were scheduled to be in that court that morning since they were parties in a case under the jurisdiction of the Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services.) The detectives requested the help of the deputy sheriff in identifying the plaintiff and her companion when they arrived.		
	The deputy sheriff located the two individuals described by the detectives and gained their cooperation. They were escorted into an adjacent room where they were arrested and handcuffed without incident by the waiting detectives. The detectives escorted the plaintiff and her companion to a waiting police department vehicle and transported away from the location.		
	No physical force was used by the police detectives or the deputy sheriff.		

Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/lawsuit:

In her lawsuit, the plaintiff alleged she was subjected to excessive force by members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

5 6

This section intentionally left blank.

County	of	Los Angeles	
Summa	гу	Corrective Action	Plan

Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate) The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures/protocols in effect at the time of the incident. The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum addresses the circumstances which occurred in the incident. This incident was reviewed by representatives from the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's Court Services East Bureau. No employee misconduct is suspected, and no evidence substantiating the plaintiff's allegation(s) was discovered. No systemic issues were identified. Consequently, no personnel-related administrative action was taken, and no other corrective action measures are recommended nor contemplated. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system issues? 3. ☐ Yes - The corrective actions address department-wide system issues. ☑ No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties. Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Ronald D. Williams, Captain Risk Management Bureau Signature: Name: (Department Head) Roberta A. Abner, Chief Professional Standards Division Signature: Meeta Laker 03/19/14

This section intentionally left blank.

Chief Executive Office Risk Management inspector General USE Of	VLY.			
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the Cou	unty?			
Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applications are applicable only to this department.				
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)				
Destry Castro				
Signature:	Date:			
Desting Castro	3/24/2014			
1				