

****PLEASE CLICK ON THE COUNTY SEAL TO RETURN TO THIS PAGE****

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORT DATED APRIL 21, 2014

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORT DATED MAY 16, 2014



County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012
(213) 974-1101
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA
Chief Executive Officer

April 21, 2014

To: Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Third District

DON KNABE
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

REPORT ON THE CREATION OF A COUNTYWIDE CONTRACTS DATABASE AND REVIEW OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT'S CONTRACTS (ITEM NO. 15, AGENDA OF MARCH 25, 2014)

On March 25, 2014, the Board directed the Executive Officer, the Auditor-Controller's Office (A-C), and the Chief Executive Office (CEO) to collaborate and create a single County contracts list which would serve to notify appropriate personnel of relevant contract actions and updates, as well as to highlight contract dates six months prior to expiration.

As part of the existing eCAPS Contract System, the A-C provides County departments with daily reports that list contracts set to expire within 30, 60, 90, and 270 days (nine months). The Internal Services Department (ISD) also maintains a contracts database to track the performance of the County's Information Technology, Proposition A, and Construction Contracts. Additionally, there is a new Contract Management System being rolled out by the A-C and ISD for the development of standard documents, managing solicitations, and the creation of a contracts repository.

The CEO, A-C, and ISD are currently working together to address what additional functionality is required to assist departments and CEO to monitor the County's contracts. The system is currently in the production stage, but will require additional time to address each department's needs and system roll-out.

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

In the interim, CEO Public Safety staff met with the Sheriff's Department's (Sheriff) Contracts Unit to address the following:

- Creation of a master Sheriff's contracts list populated with pertinent data;
- Creation of a Request for Proposal (RFP) timeline for the Penske contract for automotive fleet management and maintenance services; and
- Review of Sheriff's Contracts Unit's current organizational structure and workload.

Based on these discussions, CEO and Sheriff have developed a template listing all their contracts and projects to date. The Sheriff has a total of 201 contracts/projects, of which 140 are existing contracts, 33 are pending contracts, 20 are piggyback contracts, and eight are Requests for Information.

The attached chart lists the Sheriff's contracts by Types of Service, Contractor Names, Level of Complexity, Expiration Dates, Original Term Years, Option Years, Previous Extensions Required, and Current Extension Requests, along with the corresponding reasons for the request (Attachment A). The chart also includes Solicitation Target Dates, Board Agenda Target Dates, Contract Amounts, and is color coded to highlight those contracts that are set to expire within 6 to 12+ months. The chart's format is not final and subject to change based on input and recommendations made by the Board offices.

The Sheriff will be required to brief the Board offices, on a monthly basis, in the Cluster Agenda Review meetings and provide the status of their contracts, solicitation issues, and updates to the chart as well as any other pertinent issues related to the contracting process.

To address the Board's concerns regarding the multiple-extension requests for the Penske contract, the Sheriff was requested to submit a detailed project timeline for each major task, from the completion of the Statement of Work to the submission of the contract to the Board for approval. The Sheriff has submitted a 12 and 18-month timeline (Attachments B and C). It is recommended that the Sheriff complete the RFP process and file the necessary Board letter within 12 months. It is also recommended that the Sheriff report back to the Board on the progress of the solicitation at the third, sixth, and ninth month.

Each Supervisor
April 21, 2014
Page 3

CEO Public Safety and Sheriff's staff also met to identify the current staff assigned to the Contracts Unit. CEO is currently reviewing the organizational structure and workload to determine if staffing levels are sufficient. The Sheriff has submitted a request for additional positions, which will be considered during Final Changes of the Fiscal Year 2014-15 Budget process (Attachment D).

Should you have any questions or need additional information, you may contact Georgia Mattera, Public Safety, at (213) 893-2374.

WTF:GAM:SW
AK:llm

Attachments

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Sheriff
Auditor-Controller
Internal Services

SHF.Contracts.bm.042114.

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
Prop A - Automotive Fleet Management & Maintenance Services	Penske Truck Leasing Co. LP	Technical	270	76056		04/30/14	05/01/07 - 04/30/10 1. 05/01/10 - 04/30/11 2. 05/01/11 - 04/30/12 3. 05/01/12 - 10/31/12	2.5	11/01/12 - 04/30/14	1	1	See Proj 475		04/22/15	\$120,000,000.00
I.T. - Jail Information Management System	Sole Source - Syscon Justice Systems, LTD.	Technical	194	74666		08/17/14	12/02/03-02/22/09 1. 02/23/09-02/22/10 2. 02/23/10-02/22/11 3. 02/23/11-02/22/12 4. 02/23/12-02/22/13 5. 02/23/13-02/22/14	5	1. 08/18/09 - 08/17/14	1	1	Sole Source Proprietary Source for continued system maintenance	SSrc	08/05/14	\$6,086,040.00
I.T. - Automated Fingerprint ID System (AFIS)	3M Cogent Inc.	Technical	115	74083		10/12/14	07/23/02 - 10/12/08 1. 10/13/08 - 10/12/09 2. 10/13/09 - 10/12/10 3. 10/13/10 - 10/12/11	3	10/13/11 - 10/12/14	1	1	See Proj 556 Sole Source Proprietary Source for continued system maintenance Pending ISAB implementation of MBIS replacement System	SSrc	09/01/14	\$35,285,542.00
I.T. - MDCS DP Consultant	Norman Fogel	Technical	124	71792		09/30/14	3 Year Base 10/1/98 - 9/30/01 2 - 1 Year Options 1. 10/1/01 - 9/30/02 2. 10/1/02 - 9/30/03 6 -1 Month Options 1. 10/1/03 - 10/31/03 2. 11/1/03 - 11/30/03 3. 12/1/02 - 12/31/03 4. 01/1/04 - 1/31/04 5. 02/1/04 - 2/29/04	2.5	1. 04/01/04-10/01/09 2. 04/04/09-09/30/14	2	1	Only known source /Sole Source for continued system maintenance Pending new CAD rebuild See Projects 499 and 510	SSrc	09/01/14	\$1,701,480.00
Revenue - Inmate Commissary Services	Keefe	Technical	352	76191		01/28/15	07/29/07 - 07/28/10 1. 07/29/10 - 07/28/11 2. 07/29/11 - 07/28/12 3. 07/29/12 - 07/28/13 4. 07/29/13 - 07/28/14 5. 07/29/14 - 01/28/15	4.5			1	Required to expire concurrent with Vending (First Class) to enable combined solicitation See Proj 525			Revenue Generating
I.T. - Jail Health Information System (JHIS)	Cerner Corporation (SS)	Technical	452	77871		11/19/22	11/20/12 - 11/19/17 1. 11/20/17 - 11/19/18 2. 11/20/18 - 11/19/19 3. 11/20/19 - 11/19/20 4. 11/20/20 - 11/19/21 5. 11/20/21 - 11/19/22	5	-	0	0		na	na	\$36,551,523.00
Crime Lab A/C Services	Control Air Conditioning Service	Technical	480	JPAC-002		12/03/17	12/04/12 - 12/03/14 1. 12/04/14 - 12/03/15 2. 12/04/15 - 12/03/16 3. 12/04/16 - 12/03/17	3	-	0					\$597,064.00
Revenue - Debit-Card Vending Machine Services - Inmates Only	First Class Vending, Inc.	Technical	344	77438		05/29/16	11/30/10 - 11/29/13 1. 11/30/13 - 11/29/14 2. 11/30/14 - 11/29/15 3. 11/30/15 - 05/29/16	2.5	-	0		See Proj 525			Revenue Generating

ATTACHMENT A

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
LIVESCAN Fingerprint Mtc	Identix Equip Mtc (IT Hdwr)	Technical	513	77869		11/30/16	12/01/12 - 11/30/14 1. 12/01/14 - 11/30/15 2. 12/01/15 - 11/30/16	2	-	0		See Proj 555			not to exceed \$5,239,732
Prop A - Security Guard Services	North American Security Inc.	Technical	430	76295		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$6,429,912.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	North American Security Inc.	Technical	432	76297		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$1,321,214.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	North American Security Inc.	Technical	438	76435		07/31/15	02/01/08 - 01/31/11 1. 02/01/11 - 01/31/12 2. 02/01/12 - 01/31/13	2	1. 02/01/13 - 01/31/14 2. 02/01/14 - 07/31/15	2	0	See Proj 456	na	na	\$56,549,048.00
I.T. - Property, Evidence, and Lab Information Management System (PRELIMS)	Porter Lee Corporation	Technical	339	76530		04/14/22	04/15/08 - 10/14/21 1. 10/15/21 - 04/14/22	0.5	-	0					not to exceed \$3,042,745
Revenue - Inmate Telephone Services	Public Communications Services (PCS)	Technical	388	77655		05/31/20	11/01/11 - 10/31/16 1. 11/01/16 - 10/31/17 2. 11/01/17 - 10/31/18 3. 11/01/18 - 10/31/19 4. 11/01/19 - 05/31/20	3.5	-	0					Revenue of \$15M a year
Medical Laboratory Services	Quest Diagnostics	Technical	220	76038		08/19/15	02/20/07 - 02/19/10 1. 02/20/10 - 02/19/11 2. 02/20/11 - 02/19/12 3. 02/20/12 - 08/19/12	2.5	1. 08/20/12 - 02/19/14 2. 02/20/14 - 08/19/15	2	1	May be required if delays in DHS implementation of CERNER info system	na	na	\$4,500,000.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	433	76290		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$70,238,405.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	434	76291		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$31,028,272.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	435	76292		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$32,052,472.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	436	76293		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$20,834,006.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	437	76294		07/31/15	08/01/07 - 07/31/10 1. 08/01/10 - 07/31/11 2. 08/01/11 - 07/31/12	2	1. 08/1/12 - 01/31/14 2. 02/1/14 - 07/31/15	2		See Proj 456			\$31,236,264.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	439	76437		07/31/15	02/01/08 - 01/31/11 1. 02/01/11 - 01/31/12 2. 02/01/12 - 01/31/13	2	1. 02/01/13 - 01/31/14 2. 02/01/14 - 07/31/15	2	0	See Proj 456	na	na	\$73,722,059.00

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	440	76439		07/31/15	02/01/08 - 01/31/11 1. 02/01/11 - 01/31/12 2. 02/01/12 - 01/31/13	2	1. 02/01/13 - 01/31/14 2. 02/01/14 - 07/31/15	2	0	See Proj 456	na	na	\$35,556,369.00
Prop A - Security Guard Services	Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.	Technical	441	76441		07/31/15	02/01/08 - 01/31/11 1. 02/01/11 - 01/31/12 2. 02/01/12 - 01/31/13	2	1. 02/01/13 - 01/31/14 2. 02/01/14 - 07/31/15	2	0	See Proj 456	na	na	\$20,003,782.00
I.T. - Los Angeles County Offender Monitoring System (LACOMS)	Sentinel Offender Services, LLC	Technical	481	78034		03/09/19	09/10/13 - 09/09/16 1. 09/10/16 - 09/09/17 2. 09/10/17 - 09/09/18 3. 09/10/18 - 03/09/19	2.5	-	0					\$6,900,000.00
AutoMed Maintenance Services	Sole Source - AutoMed Technologies	Technical	258	76516		09/30/16	04/01/08 - 03/31/13 1. 04/01/13 - 09/30/13	0.5	10/01/13-09/30/16	1	1	Dept will seek new pricing to extend this SSrc for 6 years Proj 495	SSrc Amendment	09/01/16	\$892,225.00
Intelligence Analysts and Cyber Analyst for the JRIC	SRA International, Inc.	Technical	455	77775		06/18/17	6/19/12 to 6/18/13 1. 6/19/13 to 6/18/14 2. 6/19/14 to 6/18/15 3. 6/19/15 to 6/18/16 4. 6/19/16 to 6/18/17	4	-	0					\$16,730,157.00
Parking Citation Processing Svcs	Xerox State & Local Solutions, Inc. (Formerly ACS State & Local Solutions, Inc.)	Technical	334	77228		07/18/15	01/19/10 - 01/18/13 1. 01/19/13 - 01/18/14 2. 01/19/14 - 01/18/15 3. 01/19/15 - 07/18/15	2.5	-	0	0	See Proj 553	1/1/2015	07/01/15	Revenue Generating
I.T. - Computer Legacy Hardware Maintenance Services (for CAD)	Computer Data Source, Inc.	Technical	213	75565		OK TO EXPIRE TO ISD FOR P.O.	2/9/06 - 2/8/09 1. 02/8/09 - 02/7/10 2. 02/8/10 - 02/8/11 3. 02/9/11 - 08/8/11	2.5	1. 8/9/11 - 8/8/14	1	0		na	na	\$962,417.00
I.T. - Web-accessible community based info system(CBIS)	The Advancement Project - Healthy City	Technical	400	77229		OK TO EXPIRE	01/26/10 - 01/21/12 1. 01/22/12 - 01/21/13 2. 01/22/13 - 01/21/14 3. 01/22/14 - 01/21/15	3	-	0					\$294,900.00
I.T. - Court Services Civil System to replace MAPAS	ACES (Automated Civil Enforcement System)	Technical	399	77924			5 yrs after SWP + 3 option	3	-	-					\$12,141,600.00
I.T. - Information Technology Consulting Services	NEW - RFP	Technical	537		RENEWAL of svcs acquired via P.O.		IN PROGRESS							unk	
Mugshot Application	New Sole Source - DataWorks Plus, LLC	Technical	422		RENEWAL of svcs acquired via P.O.		IN PROGRESS Replaces prior ISD POs						SSrc	09/23/14	
I.T. - Enhanced Inmate Communication System (EICS)	RFP	Technical	393		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
Prop A - Automotive Fleet Management & Maintenance Services	RFP	Technical	475		RENEWAL see Proj 270		IN PROGRESS						9/22/2014	04/22/15	
On-Site Dialysis Services	RFP	Technical	487		RENEWAL see Projs 234 & 319		PROJECT IN QUEUE						unk	unk	

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
I.T. - Graffiti Analysis Project	RFP	Technical	498		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE						unk	unk	
I.T. - CAD 2020 Computer Aided Dispatch Design Build	RFP	Technical	499		REPLACEMENT see Projs 124 & 213		PROJECT IN QUEUE						unk	unk	
Community Transition Project (formerly Just In-Reach)	RFP	Technical	504		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
I.T. - Custody Kiosk Solution (SEATS)	RFP	Technical	506		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
I.T. - CAD-RMS Consultant RFP	RFP	Technical	510		RENEWAL see Projs 124 & 213		IN PROGRESS						3/27/2014	Aug-Sep 2014	
Parking Citation Processing Svcs	RFP	Technical	553		RENEWAL see Proj 334		IN PROGRESS							07/07/15	
LIVESCAN Fingerprint Service Bureau Model	RFP	Technical	555		RENEWAL see Proj 513		PROJECT IN QUEUE							11/15/16	
Security Guard Services/ County Services Bureau Prop A	RFP - PROP A	Technical	456		RENEWAL see Projs 430 thru 431		IN PROGRESS								
I.T. - ALERT LA - Multi-Dept Initiative CIO, SH, FIRE, OEM SHERIFF will provide lead in development and maintenance of final agreement	RFP CIO PROJECT	Technical	507		RENEWAL replacement agmt of CIO's agreement with Twenty-First Century Comm		PROJECT IN QUEUE						unk	unk	
Revenue - Commissary/Vending Services combined	RFP-NEW	Technical	525		RENEWAL see Projs 344 & 352		IN PROGRESS							05/17/16	
Digital Evidence Mgt Sys DEMS	RFP-NEW	Technical	548		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
I.T. - Multimodal Biometric Information Sys (MBIS)	RFP-NEW (ISAB - SH)	Technical	543		RENEWAL see Proj 115		PROJECT IN QUEUE							unk	
Fire Alarm System Upgrade Services	Sole Source - Honeywell	Technical	391		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
I.T. - NOC Portal - HSD	Sole Source - M.C. Dean, Inc.	Technical	369	76852			12/02/08 - Implementation	0	-	0					\$9,286,054.95
I.T. - COMPAS Classification System	Sole Source - Northpointe	Technical	509		NEW		IN PROGRESS								
Jail Info Mgt Sys (JIMS2)	RFP-NEW (RFI in progress)	Technical	556		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
Inmate Education Services (LA WORKS)	Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley (dba LA Works)	Non-Technical	449	77568		05/26/14	06/28/11 - 12/27/12 1. 12/28/12 - 06/27/13	0.5	1. 06/27/13 - 12/26/13 2. 12/27/13 - 03/26/14 3. 03/27/14 - 05/26/14	3	0	See Proj 516	na	na	\$22,734,373.00
MSA - Psychological & Psychiatric (Pre-Employment)	MSA	Non-Technical	349	34901 34902 34903 34904		08/05/14	02/06/08 - 02/05/11 1. 02/06/11 - 02/05/12 2. 02/06/12 - 02/05/13 3. 02/06/13 - 08/05/13	2.5	1. 08/06/13 - 08/05/14	1		See Proj 502			\$1,375,000.00

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
Med/Lab Equipment Repair & Maintenance	Superior Scientific, Inc.	Non-Technical	354	76851		06/30/14	01/01/09 - 12/31/11 1. 01/01/12 - 12/31/12 2. 01/01/13 - 12/31/13 3. 01/01/14 - 06/30/14	2.5	-	0		See Proj 532			not to exceed \$1,622,500
Laundry Equipment Maintenance	Western State Design	Non-Technical	254	75485		06/19/14	12/20/05 - 12/19/08 1. 12/20/08 - 12/19/09 2. 12/20/09 - 12/19/10 3. 12/20/10 - 06/20/11 (not exercised until after 1st extension 12/20/12-6/19/13)	2.5	12/20/10 - 6/19/13 6/20/13 - 6/19/14	2	1	See Proj 505 Pending CAP PROJ UPGRADES and New EQUIP Purchases as short-term solution IFB will proceed after equip is IDd & scheduled for install	4/15/2014	06/07/14	\$7,929,776.00
EOP Member Marilyn Baker	Baker, Marilyn	Non-Technical	461	46101		01/23/15	Contract Renewal executed year-to-year by CoCo	1	-	0	0	Renewals year-to-year under CoCo Authority			150,000 a year
Tow and storage (evidence vehicles)	City Terrace Services	Non-Technical	384	77043		01/20/15	07/21/09 - 07/20/12 1. 07/21/12 - 07/20/13 2. 07/21/13 - 07/20/14 3. 07/21/14 - 01/20/15	2.5	-	0		See Proj 528			\$437,500.00
EOP Member Mercedes Cruz	Cruz, Mercedes	Non-Technical	462	46201		01/23/15	01/24/13 - 01/23/14 01/24/14 - 01/23/15	1	-	0	0	Renewals year-to-year under CoCo Authority			100,000 a year
MSA - Transcription Services	MSA	Non-Technical	265	26501 26502 26503 26505 26506		03/03/15	09/04/07 - 09/03/12 1. 09/04/12 - 09/04/13 2. 09/05/13 - 09/03/14 3. 09/04/14 - 03/03/15	2.5	-	0	0	See Proj 536	11/15/2014	02/15/15	\$1,350,000.00
MSA - Polygraph Examiners	MSA	Non-Technical	351	35101 35102 35103 35105 35104 35106 35107 35108 35109 35110 35111 35112 35113 35114		01/16/15	07/17/07 - 07/16/12 1. 07/17/12 - 07/16/13 2. 07/17/13 - 07/16/14 3. 07/17/14 - 01/16/15	2.5	-	0		See Proj 494			est \$3,412,500
EOP Member Nora Quinn	Quinn, Nora	Non-Technical	463	46301		01/23/15	01/24/13 - 01/23/14 01/24/14 - 01/23/15	1	-	0	0	Renewals year-to-year under CoCo Authority			100,000 a year
Fire Suppression Camp I/Ms	CDCR (CountyCounsel)	Non-Technical	538	78039		06/30/16	09/17/13 - 06/30/16	0	-	0	0		na	na	\$27,000,000.00
Disposal of Wastewater	County Sanitation Districts 26 & 32 [MOU]	Non-Technical	113	57779		09/07/27	09/08/87 - 09/07/27	0	-	0	0				est. \$4,418,700

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
Pro-Medical Services	Daniel Levitan, MD, Inc. (Related to Davita Healthcare contract)	Non-Technical	319	75747		01/04/16	07/5/06 - 07/4/08 1. 07/5/08 - 07/4/09 2. 07/5/09 - 07/4/10 3. 07/5/10 - 07/4/11 4. 07/5/11 - 01/4/12 (6 month option not exercised until after 1st extension 7/5/13 - 1/4/13)	3.5	07/05/11-01/04/13 01/05/13-01/04/14 01/05/14-01/04/16	3	0	1st xtn: to expire concurrent w Davita 75746 2nd xtn: MSB exploring feasibility of In-House Dialysis See Proj 487	unk	unk	\$545,456.00
Workers' Comp Investigative Services	David Ceja	Non-Technical	514	51401		12/16/20	12/17/13 - 12/16/16 1. 12/17/16 - 12/16/17 2. 12/17/17 - 12/16/18 3. 12/17/18 - 12/16/19 4. 12/17/19 - 12/16/20	4	-	0					est \$560,000
Out-Patient Renal Dialysis Services	Davita Healthcare	Non-Technical	234	75746		01/04/16	07/5/06 - 07/4/08 1. 07/5/08 - 07/4/09 2. 07/5/09 - 07/4/10 3. 07/5/10 - 07/4/11 4. 07/5/11 - 01/4/12 (6 month option not exercised until after 1st extension 7/5/13 - 1/4/14)	3.5	1. 07/05/11 - 01/04/14 2. 01/05/14 - 01/04/16	2	0	MSB exploring feasibility of In-House Dialysis See Proj 487	unk	unk	\$9,286,650.00
Fuji Digital X-Ray Capture System and Repair Services	Fuji Film Medical Systems	Non-Technical	325	76389		05/19/15	11/20/07 - 11/19/10 1. 11/20/10 - 11/19/11 2. 11/20/11 - 11/19/12 3. 11/20/12 - 11/19/13 4. 11/20/13 - 11/19/14 5. 11/20/14 - 05/19/15	4.5	-	0	0	See Proj 529	4/1/2014	05/01/15	\$742,755.00
Gender responsive	HealthRIGHT360, Inc.	Non-Technical	402	77742		09/05/17	03/06/12 - 03/05/15 1. 03/06/15 - 03/05/16 2. 03/06/16 - 03/05/17 3. 03/06/17 - 09/05/17	2.5	-	0					\$3,523,000.00
Mideo Forensic Imaging Equipment Maintenance and Support Services	Mideo Systems, Incorporated	Non-Technical	483	77870		11/28/19	11/29/12 - 11/28/15 1. 11/29/15 - 11/28/16 2. 11/29/16 - 11/28/17 3. 11/29/17 - 11/28/18 4. 11/29/18 - 11/28/19	4	-	0					\$1,111,114.00
MSA - Firearms Examiner	MSA	Non-Technical	408	40801 40802		02/09/16	08/10/10 - 08/09/13 1. 08/10/13 - 08/09/14 2. 08/10/14 - 08/09/15 3. 08/10/15 - 02/09/16	2.5	-	0		See Proj 554			\$300,000.00
MSA - Trailing Bloodhound Canine & Handler	MSA	Non-Technical	411	41101 41102		04/18/15	04/19/11 - 04/18/13 1. 04/19/13 - 04/18/14 2. 04/19/14 - 04/18/15	2	-	0		See Proj 540			est 370,000

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
MSA - Temporary Personnel Services (Closed)	MSA	Non-Technical	428	42801 42802 42803 42804 42805 42806 42807 42808 42809 42810 42811 42812		01/18/17	07/19/11 - 07/18/14 1. 07/19/14 - 07/18/15 2. 07/19/15 - 07/18/16 3. 07/19/16 - 01/18/17	2.5	-	0					est 3,300,000
MSA - Helicopter Maintenance, Engineering, and Repair	MSA	Non-Technical	444	44401 44402 44403 44404 44405 44406 44407 44408 44409 44410 44411 44412		12/06/16	06/07/11 - 06/06/14 1. 06/07/14 - 06/06/15 2. 06/07/15 - 06/06/16 3. 06/07/16 - 12/06/16	2.5	-	0	0		na	na	est. \$27,500,000
MSA - Water Well Maintenance	MSA	Non-Technical	457	45701 45702 45703 45704		10/02/17	04/03/12 - 04/02/15 1. 04/03/15 - 04/02/16 2. 04/03/16 - 04/02/17 3. 04/03/17 - 10/02/17	2.5	-	0					est. \$825,000
MSA - Background Investigators+E71	MSA	Non-Technical	459	45901 45902 45903 45904 45905 45906		05/14/17	11/15/11 - 11/14/14 1. 11/15/14 - 11/14/15 2. 11/15/15 - 11/14/16 3. 11/15/16 - 05/14/17	2.5	-	0					FY Budget - no estimate
MSA - Education Services for Adult Offenders in L. A. County Jails	MSA	Non-Technical	468	46801 46802 46803 46806 46805 46804		07/30/20	07/31/12 - 07/30/17 1. 07/31/17 - 07/30/18 2. 07/31/18 - 07/30/19 3. 07/31/19 - 07/30/20	3	-	0					No cost to county

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
MSA - Airplane Maintenance, Engineering, and Repair	MSA	Non-Technical	470	47001		07/10/17	01/11/12 - 01/10/15 1. 01/11/15 - 01/10/16 2. 01/11/16 - 01/10/17 3. 01/11/17 - 07/10/17	2.5	-	0					\$2,700,000.00
MSA - Psychological and Psychiatric Services (ESS)	MSA	Non-Technical	473	47301 47302 47303 47304 47305 47306 47307 47308 47309 47310		11/07/17	05/08/12 - 05/07/15 1. 05/08/15 - 05/07/16 2. 05/08/16 - 05/07/16 3. 05/07/17 - 11/07/17	2.5	-	0					est 65,000 a year
MSA - DNA Laboratory Services	MSA	Non-Technical	503	50301 50302 50303 50304 50305 50306		12/01/20	12/02/13 - 12/01/16 1. 12/02/16 - 12/01/17 2. 12/02/17 - 12/01/18 3. 12/02/18 - 12/01/19 4. 12/02/19 - 12/01/20	4	-	0					\$1,400,000.00
Security Guard Armed and Unarmed Services	RMI	Non-Technical	501	78055		04/14/19	10/15/13 - 10/14/14 1. 10/15/14 - 10/14/15 2. 10/15/15 - 10/14/16 3. 10/15/16 - 10/14/17 4. 10/15/17 - 10/14/18 5. 10/15/18 - 04/14/19	4.5	-	0					\$16,500,000.00
LA JRIC Advisory Council	Sole Source - Infragard LA Members Alliance	Non-Technical	327	76118		05/31/18	05/29/07 - 05/31/13 No Options	0	1. 06/01/08 - 05/31/13 2. 06/01/13 - 05/31/18	2	0	SSrc Board-authorized 2nd/3rd Base Term	na	05/01/18	not to exceed \$2,530,000
Voice-Print Equipment Maintenance	Voice Print International (SS)	Non-Technical	467	77675		05/01/17	11/02/11 - 11/01/14 1. 11/02/14 - 11/01/15 2. 11/02/15 - 11/01/16 3. 11/02/16 - 05/01/17	2.5	-	0					\$1,996,635.00
Landscape Services	City of Lakewood	Non-Technical	160	72638		OK TO EXPIRE Will use PO for future svcs	07/1/99 - 6/30/04 OK TO EXPIRE Will use PO for future svcs	0	1. 07/1/04 - 06/30/07 2. 07/1/07 - 6/30/14	2	0		na	na	\$286,180.00
Visitor Bus Transportation Services	Horizon Coach Lines formerly know as CUSA CC, LLC	Non-Technical	380	76705		PENDING BOARD APPRVL OF NEW AGMT	11/14/08 - 11/13/11 1. 11/14/11 - 11/13/12 2. 11/14/12 - 11/13/13 3. 11/14/13 - 05/13/14	2.5	-	0		See Proj 518			not to exceed \$2,475,000
Family Education & Values	Buck Consultants	Non-Technical	135	73658		na	10/02/01 until cancelled	0	-	0	0		valid until cancelled	na	\$1,988,000.00
Maintenance of CCTV and Access Control equipment	CCTV and Access Control Systems maintenance (by MCM Integrated Services) - IEB	Non-Technical	407		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
Building Maintenance, Landscape and Gardening	HLPUSD - Landscape	Non-Technical	149	49792		na	05/07/85 until cancelled	0	-	0	0		valid until cancelled	na	\$250,000 annually \$7,250,000 ytd

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
Laundry Equipment Maintenance	IFB	Non-Technical	505		RENEWAL see Proj 254		IN PROGRESS ON- HOLD					Pending CAP PROJ UPGRADES and New EQUIP Purchases as short-term solution IFB will proceed after equip is IDd & scheduled for install		06/07/14	
Career Technical Education Courses and/or Life Skills Courses	IFB	Non-Technical	516		RENEWAL see Proj 449		IN PROGRESS							05/20/14 ???	
Vehicle Towing and Long Term Storage for Evidentiary Puposess	IFB	Non-Technical	528		RENEWAL see Proj 384		IN PROGRESS							01/06/15	
Fuji Digital X-Ray Capture System and Repair Services	IFB	Non-Technical	529		RENEWAL see Proj 325		IN PROGRESS							05/05/15	
Med/Lab Equipment Repair & Maintenance	IFB	Non-Technical	532		RENEWAL see Proj 354		IN PROGRESS							06/24/14	
I.T. - Crime Assessment Center Video Wall	NEW - IFB (IT?) Cancelled	Non-Technical	539		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
Visitor Bus Transportation Services	New IFB	Non-Technical	518		RENEWAL see Proj 380		IN PROGRESS							05/06/14	
MSA - Psychological & Psychiatric (Pre-Employment)	NEW RFSQ	Non-Technical	502		RENEWAL see Proj 349		IN PROGRESS						5/6/2014	07/29/14	
MSA - Transcription Services	NEW RFSQ	Non-Technical	536		RENEWAL see Proj 265		PROJECT IN QUEUE							02/15/15	
MSA - Trailing Bloodhound Canine & Handler	NEW RFSQ	Non-Technical	540		RENEWAL see Proj 411		IN PROGRESS							04/07/15	
MSA - Firearms Examiners	NEW RFSQ	Non-Technical	554		RENEWAL see Proj 408		IN PROGRESS							01/26/16	
Legal Education Services for Female Inmates	RFP	Non-Technical	479		RENEWAL of prior agmt which was allowed to expire		IN PROGRESS						completed	07/01/14	
PREA Consultant (Prison Rape Elimination Act)	RFP-NEW	Non-Technical	541		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
As-Needed Security Services/ County Services Bureau	RFSQ	Non-Technical	460		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
MSA - Polygraph Examiners	RFSQ	Non-Technical	494		RENEWAL see Proj 351		IN PROGRESS						11/1/2014	01/06/15	
MSA - Alternative Programs for Adult Offenders (Re-Entry Services and/or Vocation Training)	RFSQ	Non-Technical	515		NEW		IN PROGRESS								
AutoMed Maintenance Services	Sole Source AMENDMENT - AutoMed Technologies	Non-Technical	495		RENEWAL see Proj 258		PROJECT IN QUEUE					SSrc	9/1/2014	09/01/16	
Mass Information System	Sole Source - Nixle	Non-Technical	419	77321		until canceled	subscription svc Until Canceled	0	-	0	0		SSrc		Zero Cost
CSRA (LARA) Consulting (post-AB109_Custody)	Sole Source - University of California, Irvine	Non-Technical	542		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								

Type of Service	Contractor / Solicitation Type	Technical Factor	Proj #	Contract #	NEW or RENEWAL	Contract/ Extension Drop Dead (Expiration) Date	Original Term	Option Years	Previous Extension Terms	Previous Extensions Required	Current Extension Requests	Reason for Current Extension Request	Solicitation Target Date	Board Agenda Target Date	Contract Amount
Jail Population Projections Study	Sole Source - University of California, Irvine	Non-Technical	546		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
Jail-based substance abuse treatment, post-release aftercare services to inmates at MCJ Grant funded	Sole Source - Volunteers of America	Non-Technical	500		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								
PLC component implementation	Undetermined	Non-Technical	524		NEW		PROJECT IN QUEUE								

* Piggyback Contracts have not been included in this table as most of the work associated with these types of contracts/projects are administrative.

* The 8 RFIs are considered RFP PROJECTS IN QUEUE for purposes of this table.

	12 months +
	between 6 and 12 months
	less than 6 months

**FLEET
TIMELINE FOR RFP NO. 475-SH
4/2/2014**

ATTACHMENT B

	TASK	SCHEDULED START	SCHEDULED COMPLETE 12 MONTHS	COMPLETED/COMMENTS
ALL TASKS PENDING COMPLETION				
1	Complete draft SOW with preliminary edits per Counsel's advice	in progress	4/18/2014	
2	Complete draft RFP	4/18/2014	6/13/2014	
3	County Counsel review of draft RFP, Agreement and evaluation documents for approval/comments	6/13/2014	7/24/2014	
4	Obtain Counsel's final approval of RFP, agreement, SOW and evaluation documents	7/24/2014	9/15/2014	
5	Prepare Bulletin #1 and obtain signature, Post/release solicitation on ISD and Sheriff's Websites	9/15/2014	9/22/2014	
6	Deadline for proposal submittal; receive proposals & issue receipts	9/22/2014	10/31/2014	
7	Evaluation Completed (including demo presentations, if required)	10/31/2014	11/21/2014	
*8	Conduct Debriefings, Initiate Protest Process	11/21/2014	12/2/2014	
9	Prep and revise final Agreement for Counsel review	11/21/2014	1/2/2015	
10	Obtain Avoidable Cost Analysis approval from Auditor-Controller	11/21/2014	1/15/2015	
11	Secure County Counsel's "Approval as to Form" on final agreement documents.	1/2/2015	2/2/2015	
**12	Negotiate and Secure contractor's signature on agreement and obtain Letter of Intent (firm price, agree to terms, PRA advisement) from contractor.	12/15/2014	2/27/2015	
13	Prepare Board letter and Fact Sheet; submit Board letter to manager, County Counsel, & Unit for review	2/20/2015	3/6/2015	
14	Submit Board Letter and Fact Sheet to Board Liaison for processing	3/10/2015	3/10/2015	
15	Attend CAR meeting	4/10/2015	4/10/2015	
16	Board awards agreement	4/21/2015	4/21/2015	
***17	Transition Period 62 days			
18	Contractor implements Agreement			

* Timeline presumes that the Dept will not receive a Protest from a non-selected proposer.

** Presumes that the recommended proposer will sign the agreement without the need for negotiations.

*** Transition period of 60 days after the Board date will be required if incumbent is not selected. An extension will be required.

**FLEET
TIMELINE FOR RFP NO. 475-SH
4/2/2014**

ATTACHMENT C

	TASK	SCHEDULED START	SCHEDULED COMPLETE 18 MONTHS	COMPLETED/COMMENTS
ALL TASKS PENDING COMPLETION				
1	Complete draft SOW with preliminary edits per Counsel's advice	in progress	4/18/2014	
2	Complete draft RFP	4/18/2014	7/1/2014	
3	County Counsel review of draft RFP, Agreement and evaluation documents for approval/comments	7/1/2014	8/8/2014	
4	Obtain Counsel's final approval of RFP, agreement, SOW and evaluation documents	8/8/2014	10/8/2014	
5	Prepare Bulletin #1 and obtain signature; Post/release solicitation on ISD and Sheriff's Websites	10/8/2014	10/17/2014	
6	Deadline for proposal submittal; receive proposals & issue receipts	10/17/2014	12/10/2014	
7	Evaluation Completed (including demo presentations, if required)	12/10/2014	2/6/2015	
*8	Conduct Debriefings, Initiate Protest Process	2/6/2015	2/20/2015	
9	Prep and revise final Agreement for Counsel review	2/6/2015	3/13/2015	
10	Obtain Avoidable Cost Analysis approval from Auditor-Controller	2/6/2015	4/1/2015	
11	Secure County Counsel's "Approval as to Form" on final agreement documents.	3/13/2015	4/15/2015	
**12	Negotiate and Secure contractor's signature on agreement and obtain Letter of Intent (firm price, agree to terms, PRA advisement) from contractor.	3/2/2015	4/29/2015	
13	Prepare Board letter and Fact Sheet; submit Board letter to manager, County Counsel, & Unit for review	4/22/2015	5/29/2015	
14	Submit Board Letter and Fact Sheet to Board Liaison for processing	6/4/2015	6/4/2015	
15	Attend CAR meeting	7/3/2015	7/3/2015	
16	Board awards agreement	7/14/2015	7/14/2015	
***17	Transition Period 62 days			
18	Contractor implements Agreement			

* Timeline presumes that the Dept will not receive a Protest from a non-selected proposer.

** Presumes that the recommended proposer will sign the agreement without the need for negotiations.

*** Transition period of 60 days after the Board date will be required if incumbent is not selected. An extension will be required.

LASD - CONTRACTS SECTION

Major Tasks

Technical Contracts (31 Existing and 11 Pending)

- Generally include more technical RFPs which result in contracts for technical specialized services (I.T., design-build, revenue-generating agreements), Sole Source procurements, and Prop A contracts. These projects generally involve PMO, CIO, and IT counsel in addition to Dept counsel, and occasionally outside counsel.

Non-Technical Contracts (109 Existing and 22 Pending)

- Non-Technical projects and contracts generally include: Requests for Statements of Qualifications (RFSQs) which result in Master Service Agreements for specialized services, and non-technical Invitations for Bids (IFBs) or Sole Source procurements.

Piggyback Contracts (20 Existing)

- Piggyback arrangements occur when the Dept utilizes contract services managed by another Department and generally made available to all County Departments. The Dept manages its Piggybacks in eCAPS and in its Unit workflow database to ensure adequate sustained funding of the services, as well as to ensure that services are not rendered beyond the scope or Terms of those agreements.

Request For Information (RFIs) (8 Existing)


- These are projects which are pending disposition to Contracts Unit. RFIs usually result in the processing of an RFP. The Dept manages its RFIs in the Unit's workflow database.

Administrative Duties

- Includes managing eCAPS and the Unit workflow database, responding to surveys from CEO, ISD and others, handling all documents and status for RFIs, responding to, logging, and tracking all Piggybacks and RFIs in Unit workflow and eCAPS, and maintaining Sheriff data in County Service Contracting Database. Additional duties include assisting analysts with contracting process: proofreading and executing re-writes of contract documents, and providing assistance/input at client unit workgroup meetings.


201 Total Current and Pending Contracts

LASD - CONTRACTS SECTION Org Chart (CURRENT)



LASD - CONTRACTS SECTION

Org Chart (REQUESTED IN BUDGET)





County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012
(213) 974-1101
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA
Chief Executive Officer

May 16, 2014

To: Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From: William T Fujioka
Chief Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Third District

DON KNABE
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE RECOMMENDATIONS TO EITHER ENTER INTO A NEW AGREEMENT OR EXTEND THE CURRENT CONTRACT WITH HUMAN SERVICES CONSORTIUM OF THE EAST SAN GABRIEL VALLEY, DBA LA WORKS (ITEM 15, AGENDA OF MARCH 25, 2014)

On March 25, 2014, the Board instructed the Auditor-Controller (A-C) to conduct a review of the Sheriff's Department's (Department) current contract with Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works. The Chief Executive Office (CEO) was further directed to submit recommendations to the Board, based on the A-C's findings, to either enter into a new agreement with LA Works or authorize the Sheriff to extend the current agreement to allow the Department sufficient time to negotiate a successor agreement with the next qualified bidder.

Sheriff's Department's Contracting Process

On November 5, 2013, the Department released an Invitation for Bids for vendors to provide career-technical education and/or life skills courses. On January 7, 2014, the solicitation was closed. After a review of the bids received, LA Works was determined to be the lowest priced and most responsible bidder for both the career-technical education and life skills courses.

Sheriff's Department's Audit

On May 8, 2014, the A-C issued its report on the Department's contract with LA Works and made the following findings (Attachment I):

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service"

**Please Conserve Paper – This Document and Copies are Two-Sided
Intra-County Correspondence Sent Electronically Only**

- LA Works overbilled the Department \$86,155 for vacation, sick, and holiday leave costs that staff earned prior to the Department's contract;
- LA Works inappropriately allocated 100 percent of the payroll costs to the Department for two staff that also worked on non-Department related programs;
- LA Works did not ensure Site Administrators and the Project Manager completed classroom visits as required by the Quality Control Plan; and
- LA Works did not ensure the statistics reported on their Monthly Status Reports (MSR) were accurate.

In response, LA Works has credited the Department for any inappropriately billed costs; reallocated appropriately the payroll costs for the two staff that also work on non-Department related programs; will ensure classroom visits are completed and documented; and that the MSRs are accurate.

Department of Community and Senior Services Audit

The A-C also conducted a review of the Department of Community and Senior Services' (CSS) Workforce Investment Act (WIA) On-the-Job Training (OJT) pilot project wherein LA Works is a WIA contractor. The A-C issued its report and findings on April 1, 2014, as well as a follow-up memo dated May 8, 2014 (Attachment II and III). The A-C determined that LA Works billed CSS for OJT services provided to individuals who were not eligible for such services. As a result, LA Works billed CSS a total of \$858,336 in unallowable OJT costs between July 2009 and September 2013. LA Works is in agreement with the A-C's findings.

Impact to Sheriff's Department's Current Services

If a new agreement with LA Works is not approved by the Board, the Department indicates the following programs will be impacted until a new contract is established or solicitation is conducted.

All inmate vocational programs will cease to operate, impacting a total of 2,000 inmates, of which 1,200 are enrolled in vocational programs and 800 are enrolled in Life Skills classes. Inmates enrolled in these programs either receive Conservation Credits (1.5 days for each day served) or Milestone Credits (six weeks credit). The temporary closure of the vocational programs will result in the following inmate population fluctuations:

- Increase in the Average Daily Inmate Population (ADIP). Based on a daily population of 19,000 inmates, an increase of approximately 130 to 150 inmates per month is anticipated; and

Each Supervisor
May 16, 2014
Page 3

- Increase in the Average Length of Stay (ALOS) per inmate. Inmates currently enrolled in these programs will see an increase in their ALOS of approximately 15 to 30 days.

The Department further anticipates the following impact to jail operations in the event of the programs' closure:

- Increase use of the Percentage Release Program (which is equivalent to a decrease in the amount of time served by the inmates) for County sentenced inmates in order to accommodate the rise in the ADIP;
- Re-opening of closed dormitories in order to accommodate the rise in the inmate population and increasing the operational cost to staff the jails;
- Decrease in the amount of beds available for mental health inmates due to the rise in the ADIP;
- Increase in inmate tension throughout the jail system. Historical data indicates that inmates enrolled in educational and vocational programs, such as those identified above, display less violence toward each other and staff;
- Potential increase in liability due to incidents of inmate-on-inmate or inmate-on-staff violence;
- Closure of the Department's Print Shop, which provides services not only to the Department, but other County departments; and
- Impact to Pitches Detention Center's ranch operations, such as landscaping, painting, farming, etc.

Chief Executive Office Recommendations

Based on the A-C's findings, with respect to the Department and given the potential impact to Departmental services and jail operations, the Board should extend the contract with LA Works for 90 days in order to allow services to continue uninterrupted while the Department negotiates a contract with the second responsible bidder.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please have your staff contact Georgia Mattera, Public Safety, at (213) 893-2374.

WTF:GAM:SW:cc/llm

Attachments

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Sheriff
Auditor-Controller

SHF.B100436.LA Works.bm.051614



**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER**

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-3873
PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

JOHN NAIMO
ACTING AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

May 8, 2014

TO: Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

FROM: John Naimo
Acting Auditor-Controller *John Naimo*

SUBJECT: **SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT – HUMAN SERVICES CONSORTIUM OF THE EAST SAN GABRIEL VALLEY, DBA LA WORKS – VOCATIONAL AND LIFE SKILLS SERVICES CONTRACT REVIEW (Board Agenda Item 15, March 25, 2014)**

On March 25, 2014, your Board instructed the Auditor-Controller (A-C) to conduct a review of the Sheriff's Department's (Sheriff's or Department) current contract with Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works (LA Works or Agency) and report back to your Board of Supervisors in writing, in 60 days.

Background and Scope

Since 2011, the Sheriff's has contracted with LA Works to provide vocational and life skills classes for inmates incarcerated in the Los Angeles County jails. LA Works also provided General Education Development (GED) test preparation and testing services for the inmates until June 2013. At that time, the Sheriff's amended the LA Works contract to remove the GED test preparation and testing services when other educational institutions (e.g., charter schools) began to provide these services to the inmates at no cost.

The vocational and life skills classes are offered to increase the likelihood of employment after an inmate's release, and to reduce recidivism and violence in the County jails. Vocational programs include training courses in commercial painting, landscaping, bicycle repair, and other vocations. Life skills programs include instructional courses on parenting, personal relations, and substance abuse.

The Sheriff's compensates LA Works on a cost-reimbursement basis plus a 15% management fee. During calendar year 2013, the Sheriff's paid LA Works approximately \$6.7 million.

The purpose of our review was to determine whether LA Works provided services in compliance with their County contract. Our review included interviewing LA Works and Sheriff's staff and management, and reviewing the Agency's Monthly Status Report and classroom attendance records. We also reviewed LA Works' payroll and personnel records, billing invoices, and the Agency's Quality Control Plan (QCP).

In May 2014, the Department of Community and Senior Services (CSS) and the A-C completed a review of the Workforce Investment Act On-The-Job Training Services LA Works provided to CSS. Today, the A-C and CSS are issuing a separate joint-signature report to your Board summarizing the results of the review.

Results of Review

LA Works provided the vocational and life skills classes in accordance with their County contract. In addition, LA Works instructors were credentialed in the subjects they were assigned to teach. However, the Agency did not always comply with all of the County contract requirements. Specifically, LA Works:

- Overbilled the Sheriff's \$86,155 for vacation, sick, and holiday leave payroll costs that LA Works' staff earned prior to the Sheriff's contract.

LA Works' attached response indicates that they have credited the \$86,155 to the Sheriff's in their March 2014 invoice and will ensure they accurately bill for staff leave costs. The Sheriff's confirmed receipt of the billing credit.

- Inappropriately allocated 100% of the payroll costs, totaling \$470,587, to the Sheriff's for two staff that also worked on non-Sheriff's programs (from July 2011 to February 2014). LA Works needs to reallocate the payroll costs for the two staff between the Sheriff's and non-Sheriff's programs, and repay the Sheriff's for the amount overbilled.

LA Works' response indicates that they reallocated the costs, determined that they overbilled by \$47,469, and credited this amount to the Sheriff's in their March 2014 invoice. Sheriff's management confirmed that the amount was credited by LA Works and appropriately calculated.

- Did not ensure Site Administrators and the Project Manager completed classroom visits as required by the QCP.

LA Works' response indicates that they will ensure the classroom visits are completed and documented in accordance with their QCP.

- Did not ensure that the statistics reported in their Monthly Status Reports (MSR) are accurate.

LA Works' response indicates that they will ensure their MSRs are accurate.

Details of our review, along with recommendations for corrective action, are attached.

Review of Report

We discussed our report with LA Works and Sheriff's management on May 1, 2014. In their attached response, the Agency indicates that they agree with our findings and recommendations.

We thank LA Works management and staff for their cooperation and assistance during our review. Please call me if you have any questions, or your staff may contact Don Chadwick at (213) 253-0301.

JN:AB:DC:AA:js

Attachment

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer
John L. Scott, Sheriff
Salvador Velasquez, Chief Executive Officer, LA Works
Public Information Office
Audit Committee

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
HUMAN SERVICES CONSORTIUM OF THE EAST SAN GABRIEL VALLEY,
DBA LA WORKS
VOCATIONAL AND LIFE SKILLS CONTRACT COMPLIANCE REVIEW
FISCAL YEAR 2013-14**

Background

Since 2011, the County contracted with Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works (LA Works or Agency) to provide vocational and life skills classes for inmates incarcerated in the Los Angeles County jails. LA Works also provided General Education Development (GED) test preparation and testing services to the inmates until June 2013. At that time, the Sheriff's Department (Sheriff's or Department) amended the LA Works contract to remove the GED test preparation and testing services when other educational institutions (e.g., charter schools) began to provide these services to the inmates at no cost.

Vocational and Life Skills classes are offered to increase the likelihood of employment after an inmate's release, and to reduce recidivism and violence in the County jails. Vocational programs include training courses in commercial painting, landscaping, bicycle repair, and other vocations. Life skills programs include instructional courses on parenting, personal relations, and substance abuse.

The Sheriff's compensates LA Works on a cost-reimbursement basis plus a 15% management fee. During calendar year 2013, the Sheriff's paid LA Works approximately \$6.7 million.

The contract requires LA Works to develop, offer, facilitate, and provide vocational and life skills programs. At the time of our review, LA Works provided 18 vocational programs and three life skills programs using 34 instructors.

Scope

The purpose of our review was to determine whether LA Works provided services in compliance with their County contract. Our review included interviewing LA Works and Sheriff's staff and management, and reviewing a selected sample of the Agency's Monthly Status Reports (MSR) and classroom attendance records. We also reviewed LA Works' payroll and personnel records, billing invoices, and the Agency's Quality Control Plan (QCP).

Program Services

The contract requires LA Works instructors to charge the Sheriff's for the actual hours provided in delivering the services. The Agency's course descriptions specify that instructors will provide six hours of instruction each day, and use the remaining two hours for class preparation. LA Works is also required to provide the Sheriff's with an

MSR indicating the classes that were taught during the month and the number of attendees.

We reviewed the timecards for ten (24%) of 42 employees during February 2014 and verified that LA Works billed the Sheriff for the actual hours worked. We also reviewed the attendance records and enrollment documents for 13 (25%) of 53 classes that LA Works reported providing during February 2014, and noted that the classes were conducted as required by the contract. The instructors provided the required hours of work, and LA Works submitted the MSRs as required. However, for four (31%) of 13 classes we reviewed, we noted minor differences in the statistics LA Works reported in their February 2014 MSR compared with the student attendance and enrollment information documented in the attendance and enrollment records. The chart below compares the reported activity with the documented activity.

Class	New Enrollments		Dropped Students		Active Students at Month End	
	Reported	Documented	Reported	Documented	Reported	Documented
Fashion Design	5	4	5	4	13	13
Commercial Painting PM	1	0	3	0	19	21
Life Skills Period 3	12	11	5	4	27	27
Computer Applications 1	8	8	5	4	11	13

Recommendation

- Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works management ensure Monthly Status Reports are accurate and that reported activity is supported with documentation.**

Staff Qualifications

LA Works' instructors are required to possess a teaching credential in the subject matter they are assigned to teach. We reviewed the personnel files and California Commission on Teaching Credentialing website for seven (21%) of 34 instructors and confirmed that all seven instructors were credentialed for the subjects they were assigned to teach.

Recommendation

None.

Program Expenditures

The Sheriff's compensates LA Works for employee payroll costs on a cost-reimbursement basis. Payroll costs include the salaries and benefits for the course instructors and support staff (e.g., the Site Administrators and Project Manager).

LA Works can bill the Sheriff's for 100% of the instructors' and support staff's payroll costs if they work on the Sheriff's contract full-time, including the cost of accrued vacation, sick leave, and holiday leave earned by the staff during the contract term. LA Works can also bill for the cost of unused leave earned by the staff during the contract term.

In reviewing the payroll costs that LA Works billed the Sheriff's, we noted that LA Works overbilled the Sheriff's \$86,155 for vacation and sick leave payroll costs, including \$77,205 in unused leave and \$8,950 in paid leave, that LA Works' staff earned prior to the Sheriff's contract.

We also noted that LA Works inappropriately allocated 100% of the payroll costs, totaling \$470,587, to the Sheriff's for two staff that also worked on non-Sheriff's programs (from July 2011 to February 2014). LA Works needs to reallocate the payroll costs for the two staff between Sheriff's and non-Sheriff's programs, and repay the Sheriff's for the amount overbilled.

Recommendations

Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works management:

- 2. Repay the Sheriff's \$86,155 and ensure leave costs billed to the Sheriff's do not exceed the amount that staff earned during the contract term.**
- 3. Reallocate \$470,587 in payroll costs between Sheriff's and non-Sheriff's programs, provide the Sheriff's with supporting documentation, and repay the Sheriff's the amount overbilled.**
- 4. Ensure all payroll costs billed to the Sheriff's are properly allocated.**

Quality Control Plan

The Sheriff's contract requires LA Works to establish and maintain a comprehensive QCP. The QCP must indicate how the Agency will monitor to ensure they consistently provide a high level of service during the contract term.

We verified that LA Works developed a QCP, and that it includes procedures for monitoring their contract requirements. For example, the QCP requires LA Works' Chief Fiscal Officer (CFO) to monitor the budget and expenditures monthly. Instructors are required to complete monthly Quality Improvement Reports that are reviewed by LA Works' Site Administrators and other executive management to address any issues or concerns raised by the instructors. In addition, Site Administrators are required to complete monthly classroom visits for all instructors and the Project Manager is required to complete monthly random visits of the classrooms.

We reviewed the LA Works' QCP activity for February 2014 and noted that the Agency did not always comply with their QCP requirements. Specifically, the Site Administrators did not conduct classroom visits for 15 (44%) of 34 instructors we reviewed. In addition, LA Works could not provide documentation that their Project Manager completed monthly random visits of the classrooms.

Recommendation

- 5. Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works management ensure that the Site Administrators and the Project Manager complete and document their assigned responsibilities in accordance with the Agency's Quality Control Plan.**



May 6, 2014

Indyalee R. Tringola
Chief Executive Officer

John Naimo, Acting Auditor-Controller
County of Los Angeles
Department of Auditor Controller
Countywide Contract Monitoring Division
350 South Figueroa Street, 8th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: **SHERIFF DEPARTMENT - HUMAN SERVICES CONSORTIUM OF THE EAST SAN GABRIEL VALLEY, DBA LA WORKS - VOCATIONAL AND LIFE SKILLS SERVICES CONTRACT REVIEW (Board Agenda Item 15, March 25, 2014)**

The following information is provided in response to the report dated May 1, 2014 from the County of Los Angeles Department of Auditor-Controller (A-C) to the Board of Supervisors addressing the review of the LA Works contract with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department. The review focused on the Vocational and Life Skills program LA Works provides to inmates within the Los Angeles County Correctional Facilities.

RESPONSES TO ISSUES RAISED IN THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REVIEW

Following are LA Works responses to each issue raised in the A-C Report.

Overbilling the Sheriff for \$86,155 for vacation, sick, and holiday leave payroll costs that LA Works' staff earned prior to the Sheriff Contract: LA Works has built-in a credit to the March invoice to include expenses associated to leave costs that exceed the amount staff earned during the contract term. The total amount of \$86,155 will be paid back in full. LA Works agrees that the amount stated was billed incorrectly. Although our contract is classified as a cost reimbursement contract we also have a built-in management fee. The amount that was billed incorrectly should have been an expense associated to the management fee rather than a direct contract cost. LA Works has taken measures to ensure proper allocation of staff leave time for the remainder of the contract term. LA Works has implemented procedures for HR to address any staff leave time that may have been earned prior to the initiation of the LASD Contract and deem that time non-reimbursable by LASD. The future LASD Contract that LA Works was awarded to begin in March 2014 is under the fixed unit price structure as opposed to cost reimbursement. Under the fixed unit price structure, all costs associated to the Career Technical Education (CTE) Program are fixed therefore leave time will not be reimbursable and will not be billed at any time during that contract term.

Inappropriately allocated 100% of the payroll costs, totaling \$470,587, to the Sheriff for two staff that also worked on non-Sheriff programs: LA Works is working closely with LASD to ensure that all payroll costs associated to non-Sheriff programs will be reimbursed. LA Works has already built-in a credit to the March 2014 invoice to include expenses associated to these personnel costs.



While the total costs of the two employees addressed in the A-C report reflect the amount of \$470,587, the cost associated to non-Sheriff programs is **significantly less** as most of their time was spent working strictly with the LASD program. LA Works evaluated the cost of employee time and time associated to non-Sheriff programs and determined that \$47,469 make up the non-Sheriff cost. LA Works included the amount, \$47,469, as a credit on the March 2014 invoice. To prevent incorrect allocation of time, LA Works has implemented accounting procedures that allow employees to code time amongst all LA Works programs on the employee time card. Once these hours are submitted for payroll processing the accounting department can properly bill for hours worked on the LASD Contract. The future LASD Contract that LA Works was awarded to begin in March 2014 is under the fixed unit price structure as opposed to cost reimbursement. Under the fixed unit price structure, all costs associated to the CTE Program are fixed therefore salary expenses will not be reimbursable and will not be billed at any time during that contract term. The methodology and reasoning behind the reallocation of time for the Program Manager is further explained below.

Response to request for details of the CTE Program Manager's submitted time allocation: With the implementation of the LASD Career Technical Education (CTE) contract, LA Works transferred our Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Manager to the CTE Program Manager position. LA Works promoted an experienced supervisor to fill the WIA Manager vacancy. The promoted Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Manager is fully knowledgeable of WIA operations, including ancillary WIA programs such as VEAP/ETP. Therefore, the amount of training required during the transition was minimal. This allowed the CTE Program Manager to focus on significant tasks of planning and implementing the new CTE Program within all the LASD jail facilities. The CTE Program Manager was responsible for the design, planning, implementation, and ongoing responsibilities of the LASD CTE Program including: writing of all operational policies; development of the new hire orientation; conducting new hire orientations for over 60 instructors; development of classrooms and instruction assignments and schedules; establishing necessary classroom resources; Site Administrator (Principals) hiring and training; numerous initial planning meetings with LASD administration; reporting for Title 15; on-going program meetings with LASD administration; staff and contractor meetings to resolve issues; overall supervision of the CTE Instructors and Site Administrators; monitor contract requirements; disciplinary action; program expansion; instructor performance, to name just some of the CTE tasks performed on a regular basis. The CTE Program Manager provided some technical support to the WIA Manager, and continues to provide minimal support on program operations. The WIA Manager has direct daily supervision of WIA program staff and program operations within the Worksource Center. The assistance provided by the CTE Program Manager generally consists of review of operational reports, responses to operational questions, and technical assistance as it pertains to program compliance and performance benchmarks. The CTE Program Manager reviewed his calendar and emails to reconstruct his time allocation of non-CTE operations. Based on the analysis of his time allocation, a report was submitted to an LASD Program Director, and he was comfortable with the time allocation analysis

Subyacke H. Velasquez
Chief Executive Officer

3200

Los Angeles

Avenue

Suite 210

Los Angeles

CA 90008

Phone

626 902 3904

Fax

626 902 0064

www.la.gov



indicated on the submitted report. The LASD Program Director has knowledge of the CTE Program Manager's daily involvement with the CTE program operations.

Did not ensure Site Administrators and the [Program] Manager completed classroom visits as required by the [Quality Control Plan]: LA Works agrees with the A-C recommendation and management will ensure that the Site Administrators and the Program Manager complete and document their classroom visitations in accordance with the Quality Control Plan.

Did not ensure that the statistics reported in their Monthly Status Reports are accurate: LA Works agrees with A-C recommendation and management will ensure that our Monthly Statistical Reports are accurate.

CONCLUSION

Based on the information provided above and the Auditor-Controller Report, LA Works agrees with the Auditor-Controller that the Vocational and Life Skills classes were provided in accordance with our County Contract. The issues that were named in the A-C report have been addressed and LA Works is working closely with LASD to ensure that everything is corrected prior to the end of our contract term.

We appreciate the courtesy and professionalism of the Auditor-Controller and LASD and would gladly accept an opportunity to provide further information to address any issues in the A-C Report. If there are any questions regarding the information provided herein, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (626) 960-3964 x2223 or salvador.velasquez@laworks.org.

Sincerely,

Salvador R. Velasquez
Chief Executive Officer
LA Works

c: Kathy Ford
Jorge Hernandez
Richard Jewik

Salvador R. Velasquez
Chief Executive Officer

5200
Irwindale
Avenue
Suite 200
Irwindale
CA 91706

Phone
(626) 960-3964
(626) 960-3964
www.laworks.org



JOHN NAIMO
ACTING AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER**

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-3873
PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

April 1, 2014

TO: Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

FROM: John Naimo
Acting Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT: **ON-THE-JOB TRAINING PILOT PROJECT**

In collaboration with the Department of Community and Senior Services (CSS), the Auditor-Controller developed a pilot project to extract and analyze On-the-Job Training (OJT) information reported in the Job Training Automation (JTA) System. The State of California Employment Development Department and the United States Department of Labor use the JTA System to track Workforce Investment Act (WIA) participant activities. The purpose of the pilot project was to determine if certain WIA contractors billed CSS for a disproportionate amount of WIA OJT. We selected the OJT for our review because, based on discussions with CSS management, OJT was determined to be a high-risk area.

Background and Scope

Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works (LA Works or Agency) was selected for our review because the Agency had a disproportionate number of participants receiving OJT from two employers. Our review included interviewing LA Works participants and employees, and one of the two OJT employers. We also reviewed a sample of participant case files maintained by LA Works and personnel files maintained by one OJT employer. Our sample included participants who received OJT through LA Works from July 2009 through September 2013.

Results of Review

LA Works billed CSS for OJT services provided to individuals that did not qualify for program services. For example, LA Works:

- Inappropriately enrolled and provided OJT services to individuals that were already employed at two hospitals. Specifically, 17 (85%) of the 20 OJT participants interviewed indicated that they did not meet with LA Works' representatives prior to their actual employment at the two hospitals. In addition, the participants were earning \$32 per hour, which is well over the self-sufficiency wage of \$11.84 per hour.
- Did not appropriately establish and maintain completed OJT agreements in 12 (75%) of the 16 participant case files reviewed. All 12 agreements were missing various exhibits and/or the dates the participants signed the exhibits. This calls into question the authenticity of the documentation LA Works uses to support billings to CSS and activities reported in the JTA System.

Since July 2009, LA Works billed CSS \$871,920 for OJT provided to 173 participants at the two hospitals. Due to the severity of our audit findings, we question the validity of the entire amount of OJT billed by LA Works for these hospitals.

Overall, the pilot project identified significant issues with LA Works that were not disclosed in prior reviews. The extraction of data from the JTA System allowed us to quickly identify unusual activity and conduct the appropriate test work. We also plan to continue to work with CSS to expand the use of data extraction during our ongoing reviews of WIA contractors. Details of the results of our review, along with recommendations for corrective action, are attached.

Review of Report

We discussed our report with LA Works and CSS on January 6, 2014. LA Works' attached response indicates that they disagree with our findings and recommendations.

CSS concurs with the results of our review and, after the issuance of our report, will notify LA Works that they are no longer authorized to use OJT as a training vehicle for their clients. In addition, CSS indicated that they will conduct a review of all the LA Works OJT billings involving the two hospitals to determine the total amount that LA Works needs to repay CSS.

Please call me if you have any questions, or your staff may contact Don Chadwick at (213) 253-0301.

JN:AB:DC:EB:yp

Attachment

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer
Cynthia D. Banks, Director, Community and Senior Services
Salvador R. Velasquez, Chief Executive Officer, Human Services Consortium of the East
San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works
Public Information Office
Audit Committee

**Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works
On-the-Job Training Pilot Project**

Background

Working with the Department of Community and Senior Services (CSS), we developed a pilot project to extract and analyze On-the-Job Training (OJT) information reported in the Job Training Automation (JTA) System. The State of California Employment Development Department and the United States Department of Labor use the JTA System to track Workforce Investment Act (WIA) participant activities. The WIA program offers a comprehensive range of workforce development activities to promote an increase in employment, job retention, earnings, and to improve participant occupational skills. WIA providers are required to offer the following tiered services to assist participants:

- **Core (A and B) Services** include labor market information, initial assessment of skill levels, and job search and placement assistance.
- **Intensive Services** are available to eligible unemployed individuals who have completed at least one core service, but have not been able to find employment, or employed individuals needing additional services to keep employment that will lead to self-sufficiency.
- **Training Services** are available to eligible individuals who have met the requirements for intensive services and have not been able to obtain or keep employment. OJT is included in training services.

The WIA Eligibility Technical Assistance Guide (TAG) is a consolidation of federal, State, and County requirements for the administration of the WIA Program. Each WIA provider is given a copy of the TAG to ensure they properly administer the WIA Program. **In accordance with the TAG, CSS requires participants to receive at least one Core Service and one Intensive Service before moving on to a training service, such as OJT.** Additionally, the TAG specifies that employed participants can only be considered for Intensive and/or Training Services if their current earnings are below the self-sufficiency rate of \$11.84 per hour.

WIA providers establish agreements with employers willing to participate in OJT. These agreements require the employer to pay participant salaries and designate the percentage (up to 50%) of participant salaries that will be reimbursed during the training period. CSS management requested that we review the OJT Program because they believed it to be a high-risk area and they wanted assurance that the OJT was being administered in accordance with the TAG.

We selected Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works (LA Works or Agency) for our review because the Agency had a disproportionate number of participants receiving OJT from two employers. Our review included

interviewing LA Works' participants and employees, and an OJT employer. We also reviewed a sample of participant case files maintained by LA Works and personnel files maintained by one OJT employer. Our sample included participants who received OJT through LA Works from July 2009 through September 2013.

Billing for Ineligible OJT Participants

LA Works billed CSS for OJT for individuals that were not eligible for program services. For example, LA Works inappropriately enrolled nurses employed at two hospitals and earning \$32 per hour into the OJT Program. As stated earlier, employed individuals are only eligible for Intensive Services and OJT if their earnings are below \$11.84 per hour.

According to 17 (85%) of the 20 OJT participants we interviewed, they obtained job offers from the two hospitals without any assistance from LA Works. Additionally, they did not meet with LA Works' representatives prior to their job orientation at the two hospitals. After accepting offers from the two hospitals, the nurses stated they received a packet of documents from LA Works by mail. They received a follow-up call from an LA Works representative only to confirm that they received their packet of documents in the mail. The nurses were required to provide signed and completed packets to LA Works representatives at their job orientation. The remaining three nurses did not recall when they first met with LA Works' representatives, or if they received the packet of documents from LA Works prior to their orientation.

We reviewed nine (45%) of the 20 participant case files and noted that LA Works did not complete the assessments for Core B and/or Intensive Services for any of the nine participant case files reviewed. As previously stated, CSS requires both Core and Intensive Services to be provided before participants can be enrolled in OJT.

According to the OJT agreement between LA Works and the two hospitals, LA Works agreed to reimburse the hospitals for 25% of the nurses' salary costs. Since July 2009, LA Works enrolled 173 nurses employed by the two hospitals into the OJT Program. Each nurse was paid an hourly salary of \$32, and worked an average of 630 hours during the OJT period. Therefore, since July 2009, we estimate LA Works inappropriately billed CSS \$871,920 for OJT (173 nurses x \$32 per hour x 630 hours x 25% = \$871,920).

Recommendation

- 1. Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works management repay Community and Senior Services \$871,920.**

LA WORKS' RESPONSE:

LA Works' response asserts that their OJT Program meets WIA requirements and that all OJT participants concurrently began employment and OJT. LA Works also confirms that they did not require Core B Assessments because

requiring additional assessments would contradict employer requirements. LA Works also states that we have not provided them with specific WIA guidelines used to support our findings.

A-C'S RESPONSE:

As stated previously, the purpose of the WIA Program is to assist individuals in finding employment that will allow them to become self-sufficient. Page 32 of the TAG states, "Training services may be made available to employed and unemployed adults and dislocated workers who have met the eligibility requirements for Intensive Services, have received at least one Intensive Service under Section 663.240, and have been determined to be unable to obtain or retain employment through such services..." Based on our interviews, 17 (85%) of the 20 participants indicated that they found employment without any assistance from LA Works. Therefore, the participants were not eligible for OJT.

Additionally, according to page 17 of the TAG, "A participant is required to receive at least one Core Service and one Intensive Service before moving on to a training service. The receipt of a Core Service and eligibility or need for Intensive Services should be documented in the case notes of a participant's case file. The receipt of Intensive Services and eligibility for training services should be documented within a participant's Individual Employment Plan (IEP)." Based upon our interviews, none of the 20 participants received Core or Intensive Services prior to being enrolled in OJT. Our review of nine case files confirmed no documentation in any of the case folders to support that Core and Intensive Services were provided to the participants. LA Works' attached response also confirms that Core B Assessments were not completed for any of the participants. This is a second reason for the participants being ineligible for OJT.

Finally, even if LA Works had assisted the participants in finding employment and had provided them with Core and Intensive Services, the participants would have still been ineligible for OJT because they were employees of the two hospitals at the time they provided completed enrollment packets to the LA Works representative (e.g., they were not unemployed at the time they were enrolled in OJT, as LA Works has asserted).

OJT Agreements

OJT agreements between LA Works and OJT employers require the employers to pay wages to the participants, which are then partially reimbursed by LA Works. LA Works bills CSS for the portion of wages it reimburses to the employers. In addition, the OJT agreements require the employers to retain the participants as permanent employees and not as temporary or seasonal employees.

In addition to the interviews we conducted, and participant case files reviewed at the two hospitals mentioned earlier, we interviewed and reviewed case files for additional participants enrolled in OJT for three additional employers. Based on our interviews and review of case files, LA Works is not effectively monitoring its OJT employers and LA Works staff is not providing effective case management. For example, we noted the following:

- Three (75%) of the four OJT participants at one employer stated that they were required to volunteer (without pay) for one month prior to starting their OJT. The case files maintained by LA Works for the three participants did not include comments regarding the volunteer request.
- Two OJT participants at two employers stated that they were fired shortly after the completion of their OJT. They were told by the employers that they were being let go because the positions were only "seasonal," and "government funding had been exhausted." LA Works is required to follow-up with the participants on a quarterly basis for one year after the participants exit the WIA Programs. The case files maintained by LA Works for the two participants did not note the participants had been terminated.

Recommendations

Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works management:

2. **Improve its oversight to ensure employers that provide On-the-Job training services adhere to the On-the-Job training agreement and terminate the partnerships with employers that do not comply with the agreement requirements.**
3. **Ensure staff provides appropriate case management.**

LA WORKS' RESPONSE:

LA Works' response indicates that the participants did not disclose to their staff that they were required to volunteer prior to being employed. LA Works indicates that they require OJT participants be hired as regular employees.

Case File Documentation

We reviewed a total of 16 case files maintained by LA Works for participants who were enrolled in OJT with five different employers. We noted the case files reviewed did not support the program services billed to CSS and reported in the JTA System. We also noted additional discrepancies that raised concerns about the authenticity of the documentation LA Works maintains to support OJT billings to CSS and activity reported in the JTA System. For example, we noted:

- LA Works did not appropriately establish and/or maintain complete OJT agreements for 12 (75%) of the 16 case files reviewed. All 12 agreements were incomplete, missing exhibits and/or dates. In addition, four (33%) of the 12 OJT agreements were signed by the participant prior to their enrollment into the WIA Program. For three (25%) of the 12 OJT agreements, the participants signed the agreements after the OJT start dates, which is unallowable per the OJT agreement between LA Works and the employer.
- LA Works did not accurately report participant information and/or program activities into the JTA System for 13 (81%) of the 16 participant case files we reviewed. For example, 13 (81%) of the 16 participant case files contained employer information that was different from information entered in the JTA System by LA Works. In addition, for seven (44%) of the 16 participant case files we reviewed, the participants' applications identified that they were employed within the six months of enrolling in the WIA Program. However, LA Works reported in the JTA System that the participants earned no income for the six months prior to their enrollment in the WIA Program.
- LA Works did not maintain adequate documentation, such as receipts signed by the participants, to support the supportive services provided to two (13%) of the 16 participant case files reviewed. Questioned costs totaled \$995.

Prior reviews of LA Works did not disclose significant quality issues with their reporting of information in the JTA System. However, unlike our prior reviews, we waited until our onsite visit to provide LA Works with the list of cases we wanted to review.

Recommendation

- 4. Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works management maintain sufficient documentation to support billed services and repay Community and Senior Services for services not sufficiently documented.**

LA WORKS' RESPONSE:

LA Works' response indicates that they have corrected or will correct the issues related to missing exhibits and/or the dates the exhibits were signed by the participants.

Outside the Service Areas

During our review, we noted that both the participants and the OJT employers resided outside the Los Angeles County Workforce Investment Board (LACWIB) service areas. The County contract does not prohibit contractors from providing WIA services to participants or employers who reside outside the LACWIB service areas. However,

CSS management should consider requiring contractors to provide WIA services to only those participants and employers who reside within the LACWIB service areas.

Recommendation

- 5. Community and Senior Services management consider requiring contractors to provide Workforce Investment Act services to only those participants and employers who reside within the Los Angeles County Workforce Investment Board service areas.**

LA WORKS' RESPONSE:

LA Works' response indicates that restricting WIA providers to service areas will limit the opportunities they can offer to their clients.

A-C'S RESPONSE:

CSS has contracts with approximately 20 WIA contractors who provide WIA services to residents and employers. Our recommendation is intended to assist CSS management in ensuring that each WIA contractor's local area residents are not overlooked by assisting residents who may be better served by another WIA contractor. We wanted to bring the issue to the attention of CSS management because the two hospitals mentioned in our report are between 20 and 40 miles from LA Works' offices. If those employers needed assistance in recruiting nurses, it may have been better for them to contact a WIA contractor in closer proximity to their hospitals.



January 21, 2014

Margaret Quinn
Assistant Director
County of Los Angeles
Community and Senior Services (CSS)
3175 West Sixth Street
Los Angeles, CA 90020

RE: County Auditor-Controller Review of LA Works Workforce Investment Act (WIA) On-the-Job Training (OJT) Program

Dear Margaret:

The following information is provided in response to the memorandum/report dated November 26, 2013 from the County of Los Angeles Department of Auditor-Controller (A-C) to the Director of CSS concerning an "On-the-Job Training Data Mining Pilot Project," which focused exclusively on LA Works' OJT program. The majority of this review concerned individuals participating in a RN Residency OJT at [redacted] Medical Center and [redacted] Medical Center.

BACKGROUND

Based on the information contained in the A-C's report, it appears that, in addition to several specific issues (which are addressed below), there is an underlying concern about the content and scope of the of RN Residency OJT program. Following is background information on the program model.

The Nurse Residency Model: The medical profession in the U.S. long ago institutionalized the requirement for doctors to complete an internship before being fully licensed to work unsupervised. For more than a decade, an increasing number of hospitals and health system providers have adopted internship and "residency" programs for new nurse graduates. The rationale is clear. The quality of patient care is, in part, directly attributable to the experience of the individual providing it.

One of the first successful residency programs for new nurse graduates was developed by [redacted] in the late 1990s. In fact, the program was so successful in increasing nurse competency and decreasing attrition (among new nurses exhausted by job demands they were not trained to meet), other hospitals soon began to inquire about adopting the model. In response to these requests, [redacted] began to offer the residency through a web portal design under a separate business entity, which they named [redacted]. Today, dozens of hospitals and healthcare systems have adopted the [redacted] RN Residency.

Implementing the Nurse Residency Training under WIA: In 2005, EDD announced a WIA grant opportunity under the Governor's Nurse Workforce Initiative (NWI). [redacted] approached



LA Works about submitting a proposal to fund implementation of the RN Residency at children's hospitals in [redacted] Orange County and San Diego. The proposal was funded and was so successful that in 2009 another NWI project was funded. This one served several hospitals within Los Angeles County including [redacted] and [redacted]. Both projects were operated as customized training programs based on the requirement for large cash and in-kind contributions by participating employers. EDD, the participating hospitals, [redacted] and collaborating WIBs and one-stops all considered the two NWI projects unqualified successes, as they provided a "bridge program" for new nurse graduates to transition from school to work, while continuing their practical education for the length of the program.

In a 2006 publication titled WIA Implementation in California: Findings and Recommendations: Final Report - UC Davis Evaluation of California's Workforce Development System, the authors make the following statement under the heading "Exemplary Programs:"

We encountered many exemplary programs of various shapes, sizes, and purposes in our local area investigations. Without question, however, the single most successful statewide training effort we encountered is the Nurse Workforce Initiative. Preceded by the California Caregivers Training Initiative and followed by Gov. Schwarzenegger's release of \$13 million in WIA discretionary and Wagner Peyser funds in March, 2005, this focused funding has created active collaborative networks that cemented relationships between local workforce investment areas and adult education, community colleges, and hospitals in nearly every one of our case study areas. Having witnessed what this type of well-conceived sectoral initiative can achieve when it is backed by state investments and implemented with local flexibility, local stakeholders express the hope that this approach can be duplicated in other vital sectors—in the electronic and biotechnology industries, for example. This is where California's well-established One-Stop system with its local connections most clearly justifies the public investment that has been made.

Adoption of OJT as the Vehicle for Nurse Residency Service Delivery: Following the conclusion on LA Works' second NWI grant in 2009, two hospitals ([redacted] and [redacted]) expressed a strong interest in continuing the program, as it was only with this assistance that they could afford to bring the required number of new nurses on board and have them spend several weeks during which they were being trained while they were not working independently.

Under non-NWI WIA funding, a protocol was developed for continuation of the RN Residency. Key elements include:

- OJT is the most effective vehicle for delivering RN Residency training as it is a "hire first – then train" model.
- Training begins on the first day of paid employment and lasts approximately 18 weeks.
- Participants must be WIA eligible.



- Eligible candidates are new nurse graduates who lack practical experience as RNs in hospital/acute patient care settings.
- Suitability for the RN residency is presumed when the following apply to candidates: 1) graduate of Associates or Bachelors Nursing Program; and 2) passed the State Registry Exam. Given these circumstances, candidates do not need to be assessed for career interests.
- Recruitment of candidates occurs through joint efforts of LA Works and the participating hospitals. When LA Works identifies a new nurse graduate, s/he is informed about the RN Residency OJT program. When the hospital identifies a candidate it wishes to hire, the candidate is informed about WIA.
- Hospital-identified candidates are not required to come to LA Works. They are served remotely by phone and via print information. Services include orientation to WIA/OJT, the certification of eligibility, development of an IEP, and enrollment into WIA. Case management contacts occur throughout training and during the 12-month follow-up period.

Exhibit 1 is a case study flow chart illustrating the WIA RN Residency OJT process for an actual participant.

RESPONSES TO ISSUES RAISED IN THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REVIEW

Following are LA Works' response to each issue raised in the A-C report.

Billing for Ineligible OJT Participants: The issues raised by the A-C as the basis for participant ineligibility are inaccurate as described below:

"LA Works inappropriately enrolled already employed individuals:" As illustrated in Exhibit 1, all participants were: 1) identified as eligible employees and made job offers; 2) determined WIA eligible; 3) concurrently began employment and on-the-job training. No participant was employed prior to the start of training. They were merely made offers of employment.

First Meeting LA Works Representatives: As described in the "Background" narrative, all participants were determined eligible prior to the start of training. Based on the structure of the RN Residency OJT program, contact was made via phone and mail. In-person contact is not a requirement of the program. L.A. County has announced its intention to implement a "virtual one-stop" in PY 14-15. This model will rely on connections via the Internet, rather than in person. EDD's CalJOBS system, higher education distance learning programs and a wide variety of other employment, education and human services programs are delivered via the web, telephone or mail.

Core B Assessments: The need for the RN Residency OJT program is established by business requirements. Assessment consists of a review of threshold accomplishments (i.e. completion of nursing training and passing the State Registry exam). To require additional assessment would be to contradict employer requirements.



OJT Agreements: The matters addressed in this section of the report are not accurately represented by the A-C's statements.

Volunteers at [redacted] None of the participants enrolled in OJT at [redacted] [redacted] disclosed to LA Works staff that s/he was required to "volunteer" prior to being employed. All participants were reverse referrals from [redacted]. The company should have disclosed this fact, but did not. As we were unaware of this situation, it could not be addressed by LA Works. We simply enrolled them as new hires under an OJT agreement.

Termination of Employees after OJT Completion: The A-C report inaccurately states that LA Works' OJT agreement "requires the employers to retain participants as permanent employees." We require that OJT participants be hired as regular employees and that they be treated in matters of employment in the same fashion as other employees performing similar functions. While it is our desire and expectation that businesses will retain OJT participants after the completion of training, we cannot mandate this outcome. Businesses participating in OJT are independently enterprises and may terminate any employee in accordance with its circumstances. LA Works does not enter into OJT agreements with businesses that exhibit a pattern of not retaining OJT participants after completion of training.

Case File Documentation: Each of the "discrepancies" noted by the A-C are addressed below.

Files Missing OJT Agreement Exhibits: The following exhibits were reported as missing in some participant files. Below is a description of each exhibit and our response to the A-C's notes:

Exhibit I includes employer information and was mistakenly placed in the employer file rather than in the participant file. Exhibit I has been added to the participant files.

Exhibit II does not contain a "date" line for participants; only for LA Works staff and employer signatures. Please see next section (**OJT Agreements Signed Prior To Enrollment in the WIA Program**) for an explanation of LA Works/employer dates on this Exhibit. From this point forward, LA Works will insert a "date" line next to participant signature line for clarity.

Exhibit III is used for the monthly training evaluations to ensure the participant is progressing satisfactorily and is signed and completed at the completion of the OJT. The date of the A-C visit was prior to the identified participants completing training; therefore, the exhibits were not in the file.

Exhibit IV is a cover sheet for the job description. The job description was in each file identified; however, the cover sheet was missing and was on oversight on the part of LA Works' staff. Any files missing Exhibit IV have been corrected.

The following files were also addressed in the A-C's letter:
Silvia Munoz: "Missing OJT agreement with the participant."
Ricardo Morales: "Exhibit II Missing."

7200
Text 5/14/11
7200
7200
Text 5/14/11
7200
7200
Phone
626-601-1904
Fax
626-601-1904
626-601-1904



In our reviews of each of these files, we found that the exhibits/agreements were in the file. The A-C made copies of each of the files and should have copies of these forms.

OJT Agreements Signed Prior To Enrollment in the WIA Program: The four files identified contained Exhibit II, which was signed and dated by the employer and LA Works staff prior to the start of the OJT. The participant signs the OJT Agreement as an acknowledgment that the OJT outline was reviewed with them. As stated above, from this point forward LA Works will insert a "date" line next to participant signature line.

Participants Signed the OJT after the Start Date: The OJT agreement for these three individuals was signed by the employer on 7/25/11. The OJT began on 08/01/11. The OJT agreement is completed prior to the start of the OJT so that the employer has a full understanding of OJT parameters in advance.

Discrepancy in Participant Information/Activities Reported in JTA: Customers were enrolled as "not employed" with no prior employment information reported in JTA because the participants were unemployed at time of enrollment. According to the JTA Handbook, if the individual is enrolled as an Adult and is unemployed at time of enrollment, then no prior employment information is required to be added to JTA. All participant activities were reported accurately in the JTA system; if any were not reported accurately these were not specified in the A-C's letter.

Reporting No Income for Six Months Prior to Enrollment: According to the County's Eligibility TAG, since these OJT participants are enrolled as "Adults," low-income status is not required; and therefore, no income information prior to enrollment is necessary.

Inadequate Documentation of Support Services: We believe these files contained adequate documentation for supportive services. We are attaching the supportive services documentation for the files in question, including receipts. The supportive services request forms are signed by both participants, identifying the need of and justification for the supportive services provided. The A-C did not specify what specific documentation was missing from these files.

Outside the Service Area: The A-C's comments and recommendation on this matter are perplexing. Since the inception of WIA, which emphasizes customer choice, local workforce areas have regularly served residents from other areas. L.A. City programs serve Pacific Gateway WIB residents; Foothill WIB programs serve Verdugo WIB residents; South Bay WIB programs serve L.A. County LWIA residents; and on and on. With regard to businesses, what possible advantage could exist to limit services to those businesses that are located in the LWIA? For example, if a Pasadena-based business were looking to hire 30 new staff and we had 15 participants who were strong candidates, should we forego referring them and direct the company to contact the Foothill WIB? Recently, CSS staff requested that its contractor community serve [redacted] which is located in Long Beach outside the County service area. Furthermore, the entire state workforce system is moving to a regional model, where LWIAs/WIBs will be required to work together in meeting sector strategies.



CONCLUSION

Based on the information presented above, along with the examples that follow, LA Works asserts that our OJT program meets WIA requirements and serves only participants and businesses eligible under the statute. Furthermore, we stand behind the quality and appropriateness of our record keeping, internal monitoring and case management. Therefore, it is our position that there should be no question as to the appropriateness of the costs billed to the County for those participating in LA Works' OJT programs.

In reviewing and evaluating our responses to issues raised by the A-C regarding our OJT program, we request that CSS representatives consider the following facts:

OJTs Meet WIA Requirements: The report provided by the A-C to CSS makes numerous statements about what LA Works did and did not do with regard the cases selected. However, the report does not cite specific sections of the WIA statute, applicable federal regulations, or portions of State or County Directives that were the basis for its determination of non-compliance. In responding to issues raised by the A-C, we attempted to address concerns in the context of WIA rules. However, it was not clear in all cases which rules the A-C believes we did not follow.

U.S. DOL, California and Other States/Local Areas Continue to Fund Similar Projects: In addition to meeting WIA OJT requirements, LA Works RN Residency OJT program is similar to several other programs nationwide in terms of content, focus and structure. Examples of similar projects recently or currently funded by DOL, State and local agencies include the following:

SELACO WIB U.S. DOL H-1B Technical Training Grants: In February 2012, the SELACO WIB was awarded an H-1B grant for more than \$2 million by U.S. DOL. The program is administered under WIA regulations by DOL's Employment and Training Administration (ETA). The project provides structured internship training via OJT to new nurse graduates. SELACO WIB describes the program as follows:

The H1B New Graduate Nurse Internship On-the-Job Training (OJT) Program provides training for new Registered Nurses (RNs) whose lack of practical experience creates barriers to both securing and retaining employment. This program is for post-credential training (after completion of an Associate's or Bachelor's degree in Nursing and achieving State licensure) providing hands-on experience that is critical to new nurses' ability to compete for jobs under current, complex economic conditions. New graduate nurses are having great difficulty finding jobs as RNs as they compete with experienced nurses who are working more because of the economy. Under the project, the sole employer and OJT trainer is Kaiser Permanente. The project is based on the size of Kaiser's operations and nurse workforce. In Southern California alone, the organization employs well in excess of 13,000 RNs and has committed to hiring and training 200 new nurse graduates over the four year grant period.



This is a clear example of U.S. DOL's endorsement and funding of the model used by LA Works to provide OJT Residency training to new nurse graduates that are hired at [redacted] and [redacted]. Please refer to the following links for details.

<http://www.dol.gov/opa/media/press/eta/ETA20120365.htm>; and
<http://www.selacowib.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/PolicyBoardAgenda-December2013.pdf>

California Workforce Investment Board (CWIB) Solicitation for Proposals (SFP) to Fill Critical Health Care Worker Skills Gaps: In October 2013, CWIB released an SFP under NEG funding for innovative healthcare training programs. The SFP gave priority to projects that "fill critical healthcare skills gaps" using OJT and other interventions. In partnership with the Orange County WIB, the SELACO WIB submitted a winning proposal that builds on its RN Nurse Internship model, by providing OJT to graduates of training programs for entry-level and middle skilled healthcare occupations (e.g. Medical Assistants, LVNs and Pharmacy Technicians).

The CWIB clearly supports using OJT as a bridge activity from classroom training to work. OJT is centered on those practical skills not learned in school. Additional information about this current California initiative can be obtained through the website below and by contacting Moreen Lane, Health Care Sector Manager for the California WIB (Moreen.Lane@cwib.ca.gov)

http://www.cwib.ca.gov/2013_HWDC_Funding_Opportunities.htm

Kern, Inyo and Mono (KIM) Counties LWIA Local Strategic Plan Program Years 2013-17: Within its State-approved WIA plan, the KIM LWIA indicates the following in relation to its focus on the healthcare sector:

Examples of career ladder programs KIM WIB funds include classroom training for Certified Nursing Assistant (CNA), Licensed Vocational Nurse (LVN), Emergency Medical Technician (EMT), Pharmacy Technician, and Registered Nurse (RN), as well as on-the-job training contracts for RN residency in acute care settings.

This is precisely the same training being offered by LA Works. Please refer to page 12 of the plan at the following link:

<http://www.ctronline.com/wib/wia-five-year-plan.pdf>

South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation: Included as a success story within its Program Year 2011 annual report, South Dakota state workforce agency highlight services provide to "Terry," who is enrolled in an OJT as an RN (charge nurse) after passing the State Board of Nursing Licensing exam. Terry had completed nursing school and just been licensed.



So why enroll Terry into OJT? It functions as a bridge activity to employment and imparts hands-on skills not learned in school – much like the LA Works RN Residency OJT program. Please refer to page 9 of the annual report at the following link:

http://dlr.sd.gov/workforce_training/publications/wja_annual_report_py2011.pdf

South Carolina – Waccamaw Regional Council of Governments (COG): In a March 2013 e-newsletter, KRA, which manages the local workforce system for the COG, included several job seekers, including the following participant, in its spotlight of placements in healthcare occupations.

Renaë Heavner entered the program with a goal of becoming a Registered Nurse (RN). She successfully completed training, passed her RN State Board Exam, and was hired as an RN in Waccamaw Hospital's Rehabilitation Unit on an OJT contract. Follow-up reports indicate that she's doing exceptionally well in training, with the OJT preceptor noting, "She's a great asset to Waccamaw Hospital as evidenced by being competent in assessment, wound care, and routine-of-floor, and [she] interacts well with patients and has mastered the discharge process". Heavner herself reported that, "She's really enjoying her assignment at the hospital...and... would like to thank staff at KRA for assisting her with successfully achieving her employment goal, which has allowed her to obtain her RN licensure."

This is another example of using OJT as a bridge to employment for new nurse graduates. A copy of the newsletter is available at the following link:

<http://www.kra.com/company-news/kra-monthly-spotlight-23/>

OJTs for the RN Nurse Residency Meet State and Local Priorities: Both the current State Workforce Plan and the L.A. County I.WIA's 2013-2017 Local Area Plan prioritize healthcare as a target sector. Both plans also call for an increase in the use to "earn and learn" models such as on-the-job training to address workers skills gaps to meet business demands, which is the focus the RN Residency OJT.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide this information to address issues in the recent A-C review. If there are any questions regarding the information provided herein or CSS should require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (626) 960-3964 ext. 2223 or salvador.velasquez@laworks.org.

Sincerely,


Salvador R. Velasquez
Chief Executive Officer

c: Kathy Ford
Jorge Hernandez
Benjamin Brus

2016
2015
2014
2013
2012
2011
2010
2009
2008
2007
2006
2005
2004
2003
2002
2001
2000
1999
1998
1997
1996
1995
1994
1993
1992
1991
1990
1989
1988
1987
1986
1985
1984
1983
1982
1981
1980
1979
1978
1977
1976
1975
1974
1973
1972
1971
1970
1969
1968
1967
1966
1965
1964
1963
1962
1961
1960
1959
1958
1957
1956
1955
1954
1953
1952
1951
1950
1949
1948
1947
1946
1945
1944
1943
1942
1941
1940
1939
1938
1937
1936
1935
1934
1933
1932
1931
1930
1929
1928
1927
1926
1925
1924
1923
1922
1921
1920
1919
1918
1917
1916
1915
1914
1913
1912
1911
1910
1909
1908
1907
1906
1905
1904
1903
1902
1901
1900

Exhibit I

Example - Timeline of OJT Development

Spring 2013: [] obtains a Bachelor's Degree in Nursing and passes State Board.

Early July 2013: LA Works and [] begin communicating about an OJT cohort beginning in August. LA Works and [] conduct outreach/recruitment to identify suitable candidates for the RN position. LA Works refers suitable applicants to []

July 15, 2013: Ms. [] applies for a RN position with [] and is interviewed. [] provides information on the OJT Program and LA Works.

Late July 2013: OJT training outline begins to be developed.

August 2, 2013: [] receives a job offer from [] and is informed that her information will be sent to LA Works to determine eligibility for the OJT Program.

August 6, 2013: LA Works and [] enter into the OJT Agreement and training outline is finalized.

August 9, 2013: [] resigns from her part-time position as an office clerk (\$10/hr).

August 10, 2013: [] receives an over-the-phone orientation of WIA services from LA Works. WIA application packet is mailed to []

August 14, 2013: LA Works receives and reviews [] application materials to determine eligibility and contacts customer to ensure understanding of the program. We address any concerns or issues from []

August 16, 2013: It is determined that [] is WIA eligible and would benefit from the OJT. Customer is enrolled in the WIA Program.

August 19-December 21, 2013: LA Works provides case management services and monitors progression of the OJT program. Employer is reimbursed in January 2014 after final documentation of hours worked has been provided.



JOHN NAIMO
ACTING AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-3873
PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

May 8, 2014

TO: Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

FROM: John Naimo
Acting Auditor-Controller

Handwritten signature of John Naimo in black ink.

Cynthia D. Banks, Director
Community and Senior Services

SUBJECT: **ON-THE-JOB TRAINING PILOT PROJECT FOLLOW-UP**

On April 1, 2014, the Auditor-Controller (A-C) issued a report on the results of their Workforce Investment Act (WIA) On-The-Job Training (OJT) Pilot Project. Based on a sample of OJT billings, the A-C noted that the Human Services Consortium of the East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works (LA Works) billed the Department of Community and Senior Services (CSS) for OJT services provided to individuals who were not eligible for the services. Specifically, based on participant interviews and a review of a sample of 20 OJT cases, the report estimated that 173 nurses employed by two hospitals had not met with an LA Works representative until after they were hired by their respective hospitals. As a result, the report estimated that LA Works had billed CSS and paid the two hospitals approximately \$871,000 in unallowable OJT costs between July 2009 and September 2013.

To validate the total amount of unallowable costs that LA Works billed to CSS, staff from both our departments attempted to contact the remaining 153 participants. We were able to conduct interviews with 39 (25%) of the remaining 153 OJT participants. Thirty-three (85%) of the 39 OJT participants confirmed that LA Works did not assist them in obtaining their employment with the two hospitals. The remaining six participants were uncertain when they were contacted by LA Works representatives. In addition, we were unable to interview the remaining 114 participants because they did not return our calls or their telephone numbers had been disconnected. We also confirmed with

Board of Supervisors
May 8, 2014
Page 2

representatives from both hospitals that their standard practice was to notify an LA Works representative of newly hired nurses, so the nurses could be registered for the OJT Program.

CSS confirmed that LA Works did not bill for OJT involving the two hospitals prior to the A-C's initial review period (July 2009). However, subsequent to the A-C's audit period, CSS determined that LA Works registered and billed 14 additional nurses in the OJT Program at the two hospitals.

Since information included in our April 1, 2014 report was based on an estimate, A-C staff reviewed LA Works' documentation and confirmed the total amount of OJT overbillings was \$858,336 for all 187 (173 + 14) OJT participants at the two hospitals.


We discussed the results of the OJT audit with LA Works representatives. LA Works' attached response indicates agreement with our findings. Please call us if you have any questions, or your staff may contact Don Chadwick at (213) 253-0301.

JN:AB:DC:EB:yp

Attachment

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer
Salvador R. Velasquez, Chief Executive Officer, Human Services Consortium of the
East San Gabriel Valley, dba LA Works
Public Information Office
Audit Committee

Attachment



May 8, 2014

Salvador R. Velasquez
Chief Executive Officer

John Naimo, Acting Auditor-Controller
County of Los Angeles
Department of Auditor Controller
350 South Figueroa Street, 8th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: RESPONSE TO ON-THE-JOB TRAINING PILOT PROJECT DRAFT REPORT

LA Works is in agreement with the amount of \$858,336 as unallowable OJT costs overbilled to the LA County Community and Senior Services from July 2009 through September 2013.

Per our discussion, LA Works is requesting a payment plan to repay the amount over a period of 36 months, beginning July 2014.

If you have any questions regarding this request, contact me directly at (626) 960-3964 x2223 or via email at salvador.velasquez@laworks.org.

Sincerely,

Salvador R. Velasquez
Chief Executive Officer

5200

Irwindale

Avenue

Suite 210

Irwindale

CA 91706

Phone

626.960.3964

Fax

626.962.0064

www.laworks.org