



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

JAMES A. NOYES, Director

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (626) 458-5100
www.ladpw.org

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

July 28, 2003

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE: PD-1

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

**CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
2003 LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT AND RESOLUTION
ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS
3 VOTES**

AFTER THE PUBLIC HEARING, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

Adopt the enclosed 2003 Local Implementation Report and Resolution certifying conformance with the Los Angeles County Congestion Management Program.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

In June 2002, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) adopted the 2002 Congestion Management Program (CMP) in compliance with California Government Code, Section 65089. That State mandate, through the CMP, imposed congestion management requirements on all local jurisdictions including annual adoption by your Board of a local implementation report and a resolution certifying the County's annual compliance with CMP requirements.

The 2003 Local Implementation Report balances traffic congestion impacts due to growth within the County with transportation improvements and vehicle trip reduction strategies. It also demonstrates that the County is meeting its responsibilities under the Countywide Deficiency Plan. Under the CMP's Countywide Deficiency Plan, debits are accumulated from the aggregate development taking place in the County based on the potential that the development contributes to congestion. Credits are given for projects or programs

providing congestion relief. A positive congestion mitigation credit balance is required to preserve the County's share of gas tax revenue under Proposition 111, approved by California voters in 1990. The 2003 LIR reflects a congestion mitigation credit balance for the unincorporated County area as of June 1, 2003.

A total balance of 731,869 County CMP credits was approved by the MTA on December 12, 2002, for the reporting period ending May 31, 2002. Development activity in the County unincorporated area for the current reporting period from June 1, 2002, to May 31, 2003, resulted in 73,138 CMP debits. The total number of CMP credits claimed for the current period is 60,378, which will bring the County's CMP credit balance to 719,109 as of June 1, 2003.

The Resolution for your Board's adoption certifies that the County is in conformance with all applicable requirements of the 2002 CMP and has taken all the following actions prescribed in the CMP as follows:

- By June 15 of odd numbered years, the County will conduct annual traffic counts and calculate levels of service for selected arterial intersections consistent with the requirements identified in the CMP, Highway and Roadway System Chapter.
- Adopted and continues to implement a transportation demand management ordinance consistent with the minimum requirements identified in the CMP, Transportation Demand Management Chapter.
- Adopted and continues to implement a land use analysis program consistent with the minimum requirements identified in the CMP, Land Use Analysis Program Chapter.
- Adopted the 2003 LIR consistent with the requirements identified in the CMP.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

Adoption of the 2003 LIR and Resolution satisfies the goal of Fiscal Responsibility as participation in the program allows the County to preserve gas tax subvention revenues.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
July 28, 2003
Page 3

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

The cost associated with this report and ongoing CMP implementation activities does not impact the County's General Fund. CMP implementation costs are funded through the County's Road Fund.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

Adoption of the 2003 LIR and the Resolution certifying compliance with the CMP are required under the 2002 CMP adopted by the MTA pursuant to California Government Code, Section 65089. The report and a resolution adopting the report and certifying CMP conformance must be submitted to the MTA by September 1, 2003.

As specified in the MTA's CMP, the LIR must be adopted by the local jurisdiction's governing board at a noticed public hearing pursuant to California Government Code, Section 65089.4(a), as part of the Deficiency Plan. A Notice of Public Hearing is enclosed for publication.

The enclosed Resolution and Notice of Public Hearing have been reviewed and approved by County Counsel.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

Since the enclosed 2003 LIR does not constitute a project or the approval of a project pursuant to Sections 301 and 307 of the County's Environmental Document Reporting Procedures and Guidelines, the proposed action is not subject to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act. The appropriate environmental document has been prepared for each individual project that is included in the 2003 LIR.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

CMP compliance and approval of the 2003 LIR and Resolution is required to preserve gas tax subvention funds (approximately \$30 million annually) approved under Proposition 111.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
July 28, 2003
Page 4

CONCLUSION

After adoption by your Board, the 2003 LIR and Resolution must be submitted to the MTA to meet the County's obligation under the CMP.

Please return two approved copies of the adopted 2003 LIR and the Resolution to us.

Respectfully submitted,



JAMES A. NOYES
Director of Public Works

RE:dp
C040153
P:\pdpub\PUBLIC\FEDPRGMS\CALTRANS\2003 LIR 1.wpd

Enc.

cc: Chief Administrative Office
County Counsel
Department of Regional Planning

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
LOS ANGELES COUNTY LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT
AND RESOLUTION FINDING THE COUNTY IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE
COUNTY'S CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**

On Tuesday, August 26, 2003, at its regularly scheduled meeting at 9:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard in the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Chambers, Room 381, Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California, the County Board of Supervisors (Board) will consider the 2003 Local Implementation Report (LIR) and a Resolution to self-certify conformance with the State-mandated Congestion Management Program (CMP).

The Board will take public comments prior to adoption of said 2003 LIR and Resolution, prepared in compliance with California Government Code, Section 65089, and pursuant to the 2002 CMP for Los Angeles County.

As part of the 2003 LIR, the County will resolve that: 1) it will continue to implement the previously adopted Transportation Demand Management Ordinance and the Land Use Analysis Program; 2) annual traffic counts will be conducted and the levels of service will be calculated for selected arterial intersections in accordance with the CMP; and 3) the County is meeting its responsibilities under the Countywide Deficiency Plan as required by the CMP.

A copy of the 2003 LIR, Resolution, and related documents may be examined in the office of the Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, Room 383, Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration.

Written comments may be submitted to the Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles prior to the hearing at the above address. Any questions should be directed to Mr. Raul F. Escandon at (626) 458-3980, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, 11th Floor, Programs Development Division, Monday through Thursday from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Para mas informacion con relacion a esta noticia, por favor llame al Sr. Raul F. Escandon, (626) 458-3980, durante horas de oficina, de 7 a.m. a 5 p.m., de lunes a jueves.

VIOLET VARONA-LUKENS
Executive Officer of the
Board of Supervisors of the
County of Los Angeles

By _____
Deputy

**2003 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM CONFORMANCE
SELF-CERTIFICATION RESOLUTION
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA,
FINDING THE COUNTY TO BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE
CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND ADOPTING
THE CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION
REPORT, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA
GOVERNMENT CODE, SECTION 65089**

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), acting as the Congestion Management Agency for Los Angeles County, adopted the 2002 Congestion Management Program (CMP) in June 2002; and

WHEREAS, the adopted CMP requires the MTA to annually determine that the County and cities within the County are conforming to all CMP requirements; and

WHEREAS, the adopted CMP requires submittal to the MTA of the CMP local implementation report by September 1 of each year; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors held a noticed public hearing to consider this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That the County has taken all of the following actions, and that the County is in conformance with all applicable requirements of the 2002 CMP.

By June 15 of odd numbered years, the County will conduct annual traffic counts and calculate levels of service for selected arterial intersections consistent with the requirements identified in the CMP Highway and Roadway System Chapter.

The County has locally adopted and continues to implement a transportation demand management ordinance consistent with the minimum requirements identified in the CMP Transportation Demand Management Chapter.

The County has locally adopted and continues to implement a land use analysis program consistent with the minimum requirements identified in the CMP Land Use Analysis Program Chapter.

The County has adopted a Local Implementation Report, attached hereto and made a part hereof, consistent with the requirements identified in the CMP. This report balances traffic congestion impacts due to growth within the County with transportation improvements and demonstrates that the County is meeting its responsibilities under the Countywide Deficiency Plan.

SECTION 2. That the Executive Office of the Board shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution and shall forward a copy of this Resolution to the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority.

The foregoing Resolution was on the _____ day of _____, 2003, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles and ex-officio of the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies, and authorities for which said Board so acts.

VIOLET VARONA-LUKENS
Executive Officer of the
Board of Supervisors of the
County of Los Angeles

By _____
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LLOYD W. PELLMAN
County Counsel

By Franco E. Sull
Deputy

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Date Prepared: 28-Jul-03

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

Contact: Raul F. Escandon

Phone Number: (626) 458-3980

**CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY****2003 DEFICIENCY PLAN STATUS SUMMARY**

1.	Total Current Congestion Mitigation Goal [from Section I]	 (73,138)
	Exempted Dwelling Units	0	
	Exempted Non-residential sq. ft. (in 1,000s)	0	
2.	Transportation Improvements Credit Claims [from Section II]	 60,378
	Land Use Strategy Claims	0	
	Capital Improvement Claims	88	
	Transit Claims	2	
	TDM Claims	2	
	Total Strategies Claimed	92	
	Subtotal Current Credit (Goal)	 <u>12,760</u>
3.	Carryover Credit from Last Year's (2002) Local Implementation Report	 731,869
	Net Deficiency Plan Credit Balance:	 <u>719,109</u>

County of Los Angeles

Date Prepared: 28-Jul-03

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

SECTION I - NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY REPORT

PART 1 NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY				
Category	Dwelling Units		Debit Value/DU	Debits
Single Family Residential	2,694.00	x	6.80 =	18,319
Multi-Family Residential	1,090.00	x	4.76 =	5,188
Group Quarters	13.00	x	1.98 =	26
COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY				
Category	1000 Gross Square Feet		Debit Value/1000SF	Debits
Commercial (less than 300,000 sq.ft.)	1,799.29	x	22.23 =	39,998
Commercial (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00	x	17.80 =	0
Freestanding Eating & Drinking	5.08	x	66.99 =	340
NON-RETAIL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY				
Category	1000 Gross Square Feet		Debit Value/1000SF	Debits
Lodging	0.00	x	7.21 =	0
Industrial	409.90	x	6.08 =	2,492
Office (less than 50,000 sq.ft.)	4.81	x	16.16 =	78
Office (50,000-299,999 sq.ft.)	68.41	x	10.50 =	718
Office (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00	x	7.35 =	0
Medical	330.98	x	16.90 =	5,594
Government	157.82	x	20.95 =	3,306
Institutional/Educational	200.85	x	7.68 =	1,543
University	0.00	x	1.66 =	0
OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY				
Description (Attach additional sheets if necessary)	Daily Trips (Enter "0" if none)		Debit Value/Trip	Debits
ENTER IF APPLICABLE	0.00	x	0.71 =	0
ENTER IF APPLICABLE	0.00	x	0.71 =	0
Subtotal New Development Activity				= 77,602
Adjustments (Optional) - Complete Part 2				= 4,465
Total Current Congestion Mitigation Goal (Points)				= (73,138)

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

SECTION I - NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY REPORT (Continued)

Enter information where it says "Enter." If not applicable, enter "0" so it will total.

PART 2 NEW DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS

IMPORTANT: Adjustments may be claimed only for 1) development permits that were both issued and revoked, expired or withdrawn during the reporting period, and 2) demolition of any structure within the reporting period.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS

Category	Dwelling Units		Adjustment Value/DU		Subtotal
2002 DEFICIENCY PLAN STATUS SUMM					
Single Family Residential	127.00	x	6.80	=	864
Multi-Family Residential	39.00	x	4.76	=	186
Group Quarters	0.00	x	1.98	=	0

COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS

Category	1000 Gross Square Feet		Adjustment Value/1000SF		Subtotal
Commercial (less than 300,000 sq.ft.)	117.94	x	22.23	=	2,622
Commercial (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00	x	17.80	=	0
Freestanding Eating & Drinking	2.04	x	66.99	=	137

NON-RETAIL DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS

Category	1000 Gross Square Feet		Adjustment Value/1000SF		Subtotal
Lodging	1.00	x	7.21	=	7
Industrial	19.59	x	6.08	=	119
Office (less than 50,000 sq.ft.)	32.83	x	16.16	=	531
Office (50,000-299,999 sq.ft.)	0.00	x	10.50	=	0
Office (300,000 sq.ft. or more)	0.00	x	7.35	=	0
Medical	0.00	x	16.90	=	0
Government	0.00	x	20.95	=	0
Institutional/Educational	0.00	x	7.68	=	0
University	0.00	x	1.66	=	0

OTHER DEVELOPMENT ADJUSTMENTS

Description (Attach additional sheets if necessary)	Daily Trips (Enter "0" if None)		Adjustment Value/Trip		Subtotal
ENTER HERE IF APPLICABLE	0.00	x	0.71	=	0
ENTER HERE IF APPLICABLE	0.00	x	0.71	=	0

Total Mitigation Goal Adjustments (Points) = 4,465

SECTION I - NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY REPORT (Continued)

PART 3 EXEMPTED DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

(NOT INCLUDED IN NEW DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY TOTALS)

Low/Very Low Income Housing	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Dwelling Units
High Density Residential near Rail Stations	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Dwelling Units
Mixed Use Developments near Rail Stations	<input type="text" value="0"/>	1000 Gross Square Feet
	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Dwelling Units
Development Agreements entered into Prior to July 10, 1989	<input type="text" value="0"/>	1000 Gross Square Feet
	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Dwelling Units
Reconstruction of Buildings damaged in April 1992 Civil Unrest	<input type="text" value="0"/>	1000 Gross Square Feet
	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Dwelling Units
Reconstruction of Buildings damaged in Jan 1994 Earthquake	<input type="text" value="0"/>	1000 Gross Square Feet
	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Dwelling Units
Total Dwelling Units	<input type="text" value="0"/>	
Total Non-residential sq. ft. (in 1,000s)	<input type="text" value="0"/>	

Exempted Development Definitions:

1. Low/Very Low Income Housing: as defined by the California Department of Housing and Community Development as follows:
 Low-Income: equal to or less than 80% of the County median income, with adjustments for family size.
 Very Low-Income: equal to or less than 50% of the County median income, with adjustments for family size
2. High Density Residential Near Rail Stations: development located within 1/4 mile of a fixed rail passenger station and that is equal to or greater than 120 percent of the maximum residential density allowed under the local general plan and zoning ordinance. A project providing a minimum of 75 dwelling units per acre is automatically considered high density.
3. Mixed Uses Near Rail Stations: mixed use development located within 1/4 mile of a fixed rail passenger station, if more than half of the land area, or floor area, of the mixed use development is used for high density residential housing.
4. Development Agreements: projects that entered into a development agreement (as specified under Section 65864 of the California Government Code) with a local jurisdiction prior to July 10, 1989.
5. Reconstruction or replacement of any residential or non-residential structure which is damaged or destroyed to the extent of not less than 50% of its reasonable value, by fire, flood, earthquake or other similar calamity.
6. Any project of a federal, state or county agency that is exempt from local jurisdiction zoning regulations where the local jurisdiction is precluded from exercising any approval/disapproval authority. These locally precluded projects do not have to be reported in the LIR.

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

SAMPLE: Items displayed in blue font are entered by applicant:

Your Proj #	CMP Strat #	CMP Strat. Name: <i>calc'd for you</i>	Scope:	Units:
		Project Name: Enter proj. name/contract no./other descript.	Enter	<i>calc'a</i>

Str. Name: Enter Thomas Brothers street name for primary street.
From/To: Enter post miles or cross streets to describe improvement limits.
Intersection: Enter name of cross street if single location.
Participants: Enter names of other jurisdictions who may claim partial credit of this strategy.
Map Page: Enter Thomas Brothers Map page(s)
Local share of cost: % **Portion of Project within your jurisdiction:** %
Other Info: All other information germane to calculating credit or meeting eligibility criteria

	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Credit Factor	Project Value	Expect. Compl. Date	Project Cost in \$1000s	Local %	Current Mile-stone	Prior Year Credits	Mile-stone Factor	Net Value
	<i>calc'a</i>	<i>calc'a</i>			<i>calc'a</i>				<i>calc'a</i>

START OF CREDIT CLAIM ENTRY FORMS

1	2	3					5. Scope	6. Units	
1	244.2	4	Newhall Ranch Road Intersection and Signal Mod				1.0	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Newhall Ranch Road							
8. From/To:		Newhall Ranch Road at Dickason							
9. Intersection:		Newhall Ranch Road/Dickason				10. Map Page: 4460-E6			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%							
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%							
15. Other Info:		I-45							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	60%	3	0	100%	86

1	2	3					5. Scope	6. Units	
2	244.2	4	McBean Parkway Intersection and Signal Modifications				1.0	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		McBean Parkway							
8. From/To:		McBean Parkway at Decoro Drive							
9. Intersection:		McBean Parkway/Decoro Drive				10. Map Page: 4460-F6			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%							
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 75%							
15. Other Info:		I-41							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$285	55%	3	55	100%	24

1	2	3					5. Scope	6. Units	
3	244.2	4	McBean Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.				1.0	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		McBean Parkway							
8. From/To:		McBean Parkway at Rockwell Canoyrn Road							

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

9. Intersection: McBean Parkway/Rockwell Canyon Road 10. Map Page: 4450-D4

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%

12. MTA Funding: 0% 13. Your share of local funding: 50%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%

15. Other Info: I-60

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$191	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
4	244.2	4 McBean Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.	1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name: McBean Parkway

8. From/To: McBean Parkway at Fairview

9. Intersection: McBean Parkway/Fairview 10. Map Page: 4450-F1

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%

12. MTA Funding: 0% 13. Your share of local funding: 50%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 50%

15. Other Info: I-118

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	50%	3	0	100%	72

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
5	244.2	4 McBean Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.	1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name: McBean Parkway

8. From/To: McBean Parkway at Creekside Drive

9. Intersection: McBean Parkway/Creekside Drive 10. Map Page: 4550-E2

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%

12. MTA Funding: 0% 13. Your share of local funding: 50%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%

15. Other Info: I-119

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$145	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
6	244.2	4 Valencia Blvd. Intersection and Signal Mod.	1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name: Valencia Blvd.

8. From/To: Valencia Blvd. at Toruney Road

9. Intersection: Valencia Blvd./Tourney Road 10. Map Page: 4550-D4

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles, City of Santa Clarita

12. MTA Funding: 0% 13. Your share of local funding: 50%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%

15. Other Info: I-70

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
7	244.2	4 Valencia Blvd. Intersection and Signal Mod.	1.0	intersection

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

7. Str. Name:		Valencia Blvd.							
8. From/To:		Valencia Blvd. at Rockwell Canyon Road							
9. Intersection:		Valencia Blvd./Rockwell Canyon Road					10. Map Page: 4550-E4		
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%							
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		I-71							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	2002	\$97	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
8	244.2	4 Valencia Boulevard Intersection and Signal Mod.					1.0	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Valencia Boulevard							
8. From/To:		Valencia Boulevard at Goldcrest Drive							
9. Intersection:		Valencia Boulevard/Goldcrest Drive					10. Map Page: 4550-E4		
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%							
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		I-124							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
9	244.2	4 Avenue Scott Intersection and Signal Mod.					1.0	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Avenue Scott							
8. From/To:		Avenue Scott at Avenue Tibbits							
9. Intersection:		Avenue Scott/Avenue Tibbits					10. Map Page: 4550-D1		
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%							
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		I-72							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$72	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
10	244.2	4 Decoro Drive Intersection and Signal Mod.					1.0	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Decoro Drive							
8. From/To:		Decoro Drive at Creekside Village							
9. Intersection:		Decoro Drive/Creekside Village					10. Map Page: 4460-F6		
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%							
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%							
15. Other Info:		I-108							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	60%	3	0	100%	86

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

1	2	3						5. Scope	6. Units	
11	244.2	Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial						1.0	intersection	
		4 Decoro Drive Intersection and Signal Modifications								
7. Str. Name:		Decoro Drive								
8. From/To:		Decoro Drive at Sunny Creek								
9. Intersection:		Decoro Drive/Sunny Creek					10. Map Page: 4460-E6			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%						
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%						
15. Other Info:		I-40								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	60%	3	0	100%	86	
12	244.2	Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial						1.0	intersection	
		4 Decoro Drive Intersection and Signal Modifications								
7. Str. Name:		Decoro Drive								
8. From/To:		Decoro Drive at Dickason Drive								
9. Intersection:		Decoro Drive/Dickason Drive					10. Map Page: 4460-E6			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%						
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%						
15. Other Info:		I-40								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	144.00	144	N/A	\$170	60%	3	17	100%	69	
13	244.2	Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial						1.0	intersection	
		4 Magic Mountain Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.								
7. Str. Name:		Magic Mountain Parkway								
8. From/To:		Magic Mountain Parkway at Citrus								
9. Intersection:		Magic Mountain Parkway/Citrus					10. Map Page: 4550-F3			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%						
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%						
15. Other Info:		I-231								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	144.00	144	N/A	\$72	40%	3	0	100%	58	
14	244.2	Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial						1.0	intersection	
		4 Magic Mountain Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.								
7. Str. Name:		Magic Mountain Parkway								
8. From/To:		Magic Mountain Parkway at Tourney Road								
9. Intersection:		Magic Mountain Parkway/Tourney Road					10. Map Page: 4550-C2			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:		0%		13. Your share of local funding: 50%						
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%						
15. Other Info:		I-33								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects:		88		Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):		50,842	
----------------------------------	--	----	--	---	--	--------	--

144.00	144	N/A	\$98	40%	3	0	100%	58
--------	-----	-----	------	-----	---	---	------	----

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units
15	244.2	4 Magic Mountain Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.		1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Magic Mountain Parkway								
8. From/To:	Magic Mountain at McBean Parkway								
9. Intersection:	Magic Mountain Parkway/McBean Parkway					10. Map Page: 4550-E3			
11. Participants:	County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:	0%			13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%					

15. Other Info:	I-56								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$73	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units
16	244.2	4 Magic Mountain Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.		1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Magic Mountain Parkway								
8. From/To:	Magic Mountain Parkway at Valencia Boulevard								
9. Intersection:	Magic Mountain Parkway/Valencia Boulevard					10. Map Page: 4550-F3			
11. Participants:	County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:	0%			13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%					

15. Other Info:	I-57								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$322	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units
17	244.2	4 Magic Mountain Parkway Intersection and Signal Mod.		1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Magic Mountain Parkway								
8. From/To:	Magic Mountain Parkway at Fairways								
9. Intersection:	Magic Mountain Parkway/Fairways					10. Map Page: 4550-D2			
11. Participants:	County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:	0%			13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%					

15. Other Info:	I-122								
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$232	40%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units
18	244.2	4 Copperhill Drive Intersection and Signal Mod.		1.0	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Copperhill Drive								
8. From/To:	Copperhill Drive at McBean Parkway								
9. Intersection:	Copperhill Drive/McBean Parkway					10. Map Page: 4460-G4			
11. Participants:	County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%								
12. MTA Funding:	0%			13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

15. Other Info: I-43									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$224	60%	3	0	100%	86

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial				5. Scope	6. Units		
19	244.2	4 Copperhill Drive Intersection and Signal Mod.				1.0	intersection		

7. Str. Name: Copperhill Drive									
8. From/To: Copperhill at Newhall Ranch Road									
9. Intersection: Copperhill Drive/Newhall Ranch Roac							10. Map Page: 4460-D6		
11. Participants: County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%									
12. MTA Funding: 0%				13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 75%					

15. Other Info: I-44									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	N/A	\$230	55%	3	0	100%	79

1	2	3 General Use Lane on Othe Major Arterial				5. Scope	6. Units		
20	212.2	4 Decoro Drive				1.7	lane-mile		

7. Str. Name: Decoro Drive									
8. From/To: Dickason Drive to McBean Parkway									
9. Intersection: N/A							10. Map Page: 4460-F6		
11. Participants: County of Los Angeles 50%, City of Santa Clarita 50%									
12. MTA Funding: 0%				13. Your share of local funding: 50%					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					

15. Other Info: L-71, L-71A Valencia B&T District									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	4,930	N/A	\$8,000	60%	3	0	100%	2,958

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial				5. Scope	6. Units		
21	212.2	4 Plum Canyon Road (DS 516; TR 46018)				0.3	lane-mile		

7. Str. Name: Plum Canyon Road/Whites Canyon Road									
8. From/To: Golden Valley Road to S'ly Boundary of TR 46018									
9. Intersection: na							10. Map Page: 4461 E6		
11. Participants: County of Los Angeles									
12. MTA Funding: Enter %				13. Your share of local funding:					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					

15. Other Info:									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	12,760	2004	\$1,900	100%	2		70%	8,932

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial				5. Scope	6. Units		
22	212.2	4 Brea Canyon Cutoff Balan Rd (TR 36375)				0.55	lane-mile		

7. Str. Name: Brea Canyon Cutoff									
8. From/To: 600' W/o Balan Rd to 1100' E/o Balan Rd									
9. Intersection: na							10. Map Page: 4460-F6		
11. Participants: County of Los Angeles									

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

12. MTA Funding: Enter %	13. Your share of local funding:								
	14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%								
15. Other Info: Completed 05/06/02									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	1,595	2002	\$138	100%	3	478	100%	1,117

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
23	212.2	4 Ridge Route Road (DS 515; TR 44429)					0.32	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Ridge Route Road

8. From/To: N/o Pine Crest Place to 1,600' N'y

9. Intersection: Pine Crest Place 10. Map Page: 4369 G4

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter %	13. Your share of local funding:								
	14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%								

15. Other Info: I-60

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	928	2004	\$115	100%	2		70%	650

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
24	212.2	4 Golden Valley Road (TR 31158)					1.0	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Golden Valley Road

8. From/To: Plum Canyon Road to 300' S/o Plum Canyon Roac

9. Intersection: Plum Canyon Road 10. Map Page: 4461 E6

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter %	13. Your share of local funding:								
	14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%								

15. Other Info: Completed 02/25/03

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	638	2003	\$55	100%	3	447	100%	191

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
25	212.2	4 Pico Canyon Road (DS 502; TR 33608)					2.64	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Pico Cyn Rd

8. From/To: Stevenson Ranch Pkwy (aka McBean Pkwy) to Constitution Avenue

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 4640 A1

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter %	13. Your share of local funding:								
	14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%								

15. Other Info:

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	7,656	2004	\$2,034	100%	2		70%	5,359

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
26	212.2	4 Mallory Drive & Peacock Place (TR 33613)					1.6	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Mallory Drive

8. From/To: Faulkner Drive to Kevenagh Lane

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 4550-A6

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info:

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
2900.00	4,524	2003	\$390	100%	2	3167	70%	(0)

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
27	212.2	General use lane on Other Major Arterial	Kanan Road (TR 45674)	0.1	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Kanan Road

8. From/To: 300' N/o Malibuview Ct to 200' S/o Malibuview C

9. Intersection: Malibuview Ct 10. Map Page: 588 A1

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info:

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
2900.00	290	2003	\$25	100%	2	145	70%	58

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
28	212.2	General use lane on Other Major Arterial	Mountain Avenue (PM 18761)	0.02	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Mountain Avenue

8. From/To: 200' N/o Meridian St to 800' S/o Meridian St

9. Intersection: Meridian Street 10. Map Page: 567 H7

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles, City of Duarte

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding: 50%
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info:

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
2900.00	55	2003	\$27	100%	2		70%	39

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
29	212.2	General use lane on Other Major Arterial	Potrero Grande Drive (PM 25929)	0.02	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Potrero Grande Drive

8. From/To: 235' S/o Hill Dr to 345' S/o Hill Dr

9. Intersection: Hill Dr 10. Map Page: 636 E6

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: I-72

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
2900.00	61	2003	\$7	100%	2		70%	43

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
30	212.2	General use lane on Other Major Arterial	Mulholland Hwy (TR 49899-01)	0.15	lane-mile

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

7. Str. Name:		Mulholland Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:						10. Map Page:			
Shadow Creek Rd						587 J3			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles							
12. MTA Funding:		Enter %		13. Your share of local funding:					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					
15. Other Info:									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	435	2004	\$5	100%	2	0	70%	305

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
31	212.2	4 Montrose Avenue (TR 53501)					0.01	lane-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Montrose Avenue							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:						10. Map Page:			
Rosemont Avenue						534 H3			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles							
12. MTA Funding:		Enter %		13. Your share of local funding:					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					
15. Other Info:									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	26	2004	\$7	100%	2		70%	18

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
32	212.2	4 Vallecito Drive (TR 50763)					0.17	lane-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Vallecito Drive							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:						10. Map Page:			
Sonnet Pl						678 A3			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles							
12. MTA Funding:		Enter %		13. Your share of local funding:					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					
15. Other Info:									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	493	2004	\$30	100%	2		70%	345

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
33	212.2	4 The Old Road (PM 26010-A)					3.3	lane-mile	
7. Str. Name:		The Old Road							
8. From/To:		Stevenson Ranch Road to 4350' N'ly							
9. Intersection:						10. Map Page:			
na						4550-D6			
11. Participants:		County of Los Angeles							
12. MTA Funding:		Enter %		13. Your share of local funding:					
				14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%					
15. Other Info: Completed 08/13/02									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	9,570	2004	\$1,833	100%	3	6699	100%	2,871

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
34	212.2	4 The Old Road (PM 26010-B)	4.17	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: The Old Road

8. From/To: 1100' S/o Valencia Bl to 4400' N/o Valencia B

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 4550-D6

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding: 50%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%

15. Other Info: Completed 08/13/02

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	12,093	2004	\$2,039	100%	3	8465	100%	3,628

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
35	212.2	4 Valencia Bl (PM 26010-C)	3.64	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Valencia Bl

8. From/To: 500' E/o The Old Rd to 4300' W/o The Old Rd

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 4550-D6

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: Completed 08/13/02

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	10,556	2004	\$2,102	100%	3	7389	100%	3,167

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
36	212.2	4 Lost Canyon Road (DS 510)	2.69	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Lost Canyon Road

8. From/To: Canyon Park Bl to S'ly Bdry of TR 45023-02

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 4459 F4

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: Construction am't includes 4.5 mil for the bridge

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	7,801	2005	\$5,592	100%	2	0	70%	5,461

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
37	212.2	4 Canyon Park Bl (DS 510)	0.54	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Canyon Park Bl

8. From/To: na

9. Intersection: Lost Canyon Rd 10. Map Page: 4459 F4

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info:

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
--	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

2900.00	1,566	2004	\$193	100%	2	0	70%	1,096
---------	-------	------	-------	------	---	---	-----	-------

1	2	3	5. Scope	6. Units
38	212.2	General use lane on Other Major Arterial 4 Copper Hill Dr (DS 501)	1.0	lane-mile

7. Str. Name: Copper Hill Dr

8. From/To: Buckhorn Lane to David Way

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 4461 C4

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info:

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	3,886	2004	\$81	100%	2	0	70%	2,720

1	2	3	5. Scope	6. Units
39	244.2	Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial 4 copper Hill Dr (TR 51644-01)	0.8	intersection

7. Str. Name: Copper Hill Dr

8. From/To: Tesoro Del Valle to Avenida Rancho Tesoro

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 4460-E5

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: Enter % 13. Your share of local funding:

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info:

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	2,317	2004	\$650	100%	2	0	70%	1,622

1	2	3	5. Scope	6. Units
40	245.1	Regional Bikeway 4 Commuter Bikeway Signing	96.0	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Various

8. From/To:

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: Various

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: 80% 13. Your share of local funding: 20%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: NA

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	13,440	2005	\$336	36%	1	968	20%	0

1	2	3	5. Scope	6. Units
41	245.1	Regional Bikeway 4 San Jose Creek Bike Trail Phase IIA	0.7	route-mile

7. Str. Name: San Jose Bike Trail

8. From/To: Workman Mill Road/San Gabriel River Bike Trail

9. Intersection: 605 Fwy & 60 Fwy 10. Map Page: 637 F6-E5

11. Participants: Los Angeles County

12. MTA Funding: 80% 13. Your share of local funding: 20%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

15. Other Info: NA									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	2,300	2004	\$416	50%	1	230	20%	0

1	2	3 Regional Bikeway					5. Scope	6. Units
42	245.1	4 San Gabriel River Bike Trail Rehabilitation					6.5	route-mile

7. Str. Name: San Gabriel River Bike Trail

8. From/To: Whittier Narrows Dam/Florence Avenue

9. Intersection: 60 Fwy to 5Fwy 10. Map Page: 637/676/70

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: 80%	13. Your share of local funding: 20%
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%	

15. Other Info: NA									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	910	2005	\$449	36%	1	66	20%	0

1	2	3 Regional Bikeway					5. Scope	6. Units
43	245.1	4 South Bay Bike Trail Reconstruction at Playa del Rey					0.5	route-mile

7. Str. Name: South Bay Bike Trail

8. From/To: 62nd Street to Culver Boulevard

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 702-A3

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: 80%	13. Your share of local funding: 20%
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%	

15. Other Info: NA									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	144	2005	\$447	36%	1	7	20%	3

1	2	3 Regional Bikeway					5. Scope	6. Units
44	245.1	4 South Bay Bike Trail Pedestrian Access Ramps					4.0	route-mile

7. Str. Name: South Bay Bike Trail

8. From/To: Redondo Beach and Dockweiler State Beach

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 702/732/76

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: 80%	13. Your share of local funding: 20%
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%	

15. Other Info: NA									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	144	2004	\$271	36%	1	10	20%	0

1	2	3 Regional Bikeway					5. Scope	6. Units
45	245.1	4 Arroyo Secco Bikeway					2.1	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Arroyo Seco Bike Trail

8. From/To: Avenue 52 to Avenue 26

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 594/595

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

12. MTA Funding: 67% 13. Your share of local funding: 33%
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 50%

15. Other Info: NA

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	144	2004	\$2,390	36%	1	0	20%	10

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
46	245.1	Regional Bikeway	Dominguez Channel Bikeway - Phase I	1.4	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Dominguez Channel Bikeway - Phase I

8. From/To: Vermont Ave to Main St.

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 764

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: 60% 13. Your share of local funding: 40%
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: NA

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	144	2004	\$2,177	52%	2	0	50%	37

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
47	245.1	Regional Bikeway	Thompson Creek Bikeway	1.4	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Thompson Creek Bikeway

8. From/To: Foothill Blvd. To White Ave.

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 600

11. Participants: County of Los Angeles

12. MTA Funding: 88% 13. Your share of local funding: 12%
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: NA

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	700.00	144	2005	\$409	30%	2	0	50%	21

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
48	241.10	Signal synchronization on 4-lane CMP Arterial	Base Line Rd	5.20	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Base Line Rd

8. From/To: Foothill Bl / Monte Vista Av

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 570, 571

11. Participants: Claremont(46%), La Verne(33%), County

12. MTA Funding: 87.3% 13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%
 14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 21%

15. Other Info: na

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1840.00	1,215	8/2002	\$240	84%	3	962	100%	61

1	2	3	4	5. Scope	6. Units
49	241.50	Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial	Del Mar Av / Hill Dr	4.50	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Del Mar Av / Hill Dr

8. From/To: Hermosa Dr / San Gabriel Bl

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects:		88		Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):		50,842			
9. Intersection: na		10. Map Page: 596, 636							
11. Participants: Rosemead(25%), San Gabriel(53%), County									
12. MTA Funding: 88.0%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 22%							
15. Other Info: na									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	794	6/2002	\$372	84%	3	648	100%	22

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
50	241.50	4 Garfield Av		5.00	route-mile				
7. Str. Name: Garfield Av									
8. From/To: Atlantic Bl / Riggin St									
9. Intersection: na		10. Map Page: 706, 736, 737							
11. Participants: Alhambra(55%), Monterey Park(45%)									
12. MTA Funding: 88.0%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info: na									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	882	7/2002	\$1,585	80%	3	494	100%	212

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
51	241.50	4 Golden Springs Dr / Colima Rd, et al		6.00	route-mile				
7. Str. Name: Golden Springs Dr / Colima Rd									
8. From/To: Temple Av / Brea Canyon Cutoff Rd									
9. Intersection: na		10. Map Page: 640, 680, 679							
11. Participants: Diamond Bar(86%), County									
12. MTA Funding: 88.1%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 14%							
15. Other Info: Enter									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	1,050	2003	\$192	83%	2	0	70%	609

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 6-lane CMP Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
52	241.20	4 Imperial Hwy		4.20	route-mile				
7. Str. Name: Imperial Hwy									
8. From/To: 405 Freeway / Budlong Av and Mona Bl to 1st Av									
9. Intersection: na		10. Map Page: 703, 704, 705, 735, 736, 737,							
11. Participants: La Mirada(51%), Norwalk(2%), Santa Fe Springs(19%), County									
12. MTA Funding: 69.0%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 28%							
15. Other Info: na									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2760.00	3,594	5/2003	\$1,360	86%	3	2532	100%	544

1	2	3 Signal Synchronization on 5-lane CMP Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units
53	241.15	4 Imperial Hwy		0.90	route-mile

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

7. Str. Name:		Imperial Hwy							
8. From/To:		405 Freeway / Budlong Av and Mona Bl to 1st Av							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page: 703, 704, 705, 735, 736, 737,				
11. Participants:		Norwalk(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		69.0%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2300.00	642	9/2002	clmperial	80%	3	359	100%	155

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 6-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
54	241.60	4 Imperial Hwy					8.60	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Imperial Hwy							
8. From/To:		405 Freeway / Budlong Av and Mona Bl to 1st Av							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page: 703, 704, 705, 735, 736, 737,				
11. Participants:		Downey(36%), Hawthorne(28%), Inglewood(5%), Norwalk(4%), South Gate(11%), Coun							
12. MTA Funding:		69.0%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 16%							
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2210.00	5,892	6/2002	clmperial	83%	3	3699	100%	1,203

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 5-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
55	241.55	4 Imperial Hwy					1.40	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Imperial Hwy							
8. From/To:		405 Freeway / Budlong Av and Mona Bl to 1st Av							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page: 703, 704, 705, 735, 736, 737,				
11. Participants:		Norwalk(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		69.0%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1840.00	799	9/2002	clmperial	80%	3	447	100%	192

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
56	241.50	4 La Brea Av					0.80	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		La Brea Av							
8. From/To:		Centinela Av / Century Bl							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page: 673, 703				
11. Participants:		Inglewood(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		87.4%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	148	7/2002	\$542	80%	3	29	100%	89

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 6-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
57	241.60	4 La Brea Av					1.40	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		La Brea Av							
8. From/To:		Centinela Av / Century Bl							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page:			673, 703	
11. Participants:		Inglewood(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		87.4%			13. Your share of local funding:			100.0%	
					14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction:			0%	
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2210.00	390	7/2002	cLa Brea	80%	3	76	100%	236

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
58	241.50	4 Paramount Bl					13.70	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Paramount Bl							
8. From/To:		Beverly Bl / Carson St							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page:			676, 706, 705, 735	
11. Participants:		Downey(32%), Lakewood(11%), Long Beach(14%), Paramount(14%), Pico Rivera(26%), South G							
12. MTA Funding:		86.9%			13. Your share of local funding:			100.0%	
					14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction:			0%	
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	2,638	3/2003	\$1,700	80%	3	1477	100%	633

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
59	241.50	4 Prairie Av					0.80	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Prairie Av							
8. From/To:		Florence Av / 118th St							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page:			703	
11. Participants:		Inglewood (100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		89.5%			13. Your share of local funding:			100.0%	
					14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction:			0%	
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	123	2003	\$555	80%	2	0	70%	69

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 6-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
60	241.60	4 Prairie Av					2.30	route-mile	
7. Str. Name:		Prairie Av							
8. From/To:		Florence Av / 118th St							
9. Intersection:		na			10. Map Page:			703	
11. Participants:		Inglewood (100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		89.5%			13. Your share of local funding:			100.0%	
					14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction:			0%	
15. Other Info:		na							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

2210.00	534	2003	cPrairie	80%	2	0	70%	299
---------	-----	------	----------	-----	---	---	-----	-----

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
61	241.50	4 Prairie Av / Madrona Av					3.20	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Prairie Av / Madrona Av

8. From/To: Redondo Beach Bl / Sepulveda Bl

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 733, 763

11. Participants: Torrance(100%)

12. MTA Funding: 89.5% 13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%

15. Other Info: na

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1470.00	494	2003	\$448	80%	2	0	70%	277

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 6-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
62	241.60	4 Prairie Av / Madrona Av					0.40	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Prairie Av / Madrona Av

8. From/To: Redondo Beach Bl / Sepulveda Bl

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 733, 763

11. Participants: Torrance(100%)

12. MTA Funding: 89.5% 13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%

15. Other Info: na

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
2210.00	93	2003	cPra/Mad	80%	2	0	70%	52

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane CMP Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
63	241.10	4 Rosemead Bl					6.40	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Rosemead Bl

8. From/To: 60 Fwy / I-5 Fwy

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 636, 676, 706

11. Participants: Downey(3%), Pico Rivera(67%), County

12. MTA Funding: 86.9% 13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 30%

15. Other Info: na

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1840.00	1,543	2003	\$305	86%	2	0	70%	929

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
64	241.50	4 Santa Fe Av					2.70	route-mile

7. Str. Name: Santa Fe Av

8. From/To: 55th St / Firestone Bl

9. Intersection: na 10. Map Page: 674, 704

11. Participants: Huntington Park(39%), South Gate(8%), Vernon(9%), County

12. MTA Funding: 89.5% 13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 44%

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

15. Other Info: na									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	417	2/2002	\$377	89%	3	478	100%	(108)

1	2	3 Signal synchronization on 4-lane Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
65	241.50	4 Golden Springs Dr / Colima Rd, et al					2.00	route-mile

7. Str. Name:		Wheeler Av							
8. From/To:		Oak Mesa Dr / Arrow Hwy							
9. Intersection:		na					10. Map Page: 570, 600		
11. Participants:		La Verne(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		88.1%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							

15. Other Info: na									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1470.00	350	2003	\$209	80%	2	0	70%	196

1	2	3 General use lane on Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
66	212.20	4 Atlantic Bl					0.30	lane-mile

7. Str. Name:		Atlantic Bl							
8. From/To:		Washington Bl / Commerce City southerly boundary							
9. Intersection:		na					10. Map Page: 675		
11. Participants:		Commerce(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		86.9%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							

15. Other Info: na									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	2900.00	114	1/2003	\$209	80%	3	0	100%	91

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
67	244.20	4 Amar Rd at Valinda Av					1.00	intersection

7. Str. Name:		Amar Rd							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Valinda Av					10. Map Page: 638		
11. Participants:		West Covina(25%), County							
12. MTA Funding:		0.0%			13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%				
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 75%							

15. Other Info: Install protected-permissive left-turn phasing east and west bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	4/2003	\$45	95%	3	0	100%	137

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units
68	244.10	4 Azusa Av at Main St / Salais St, et al					1.00	intersection

7. Str. Name:		Azusa Av							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Main St / Salais St					10. Map Page: 678		
11. Participants:		Industry(16%), La Puente(47%), County							

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

12. MTA Funding: 0.0%	13. Your share of local funding: 37.0%								
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 37%									
15. Other Info: Install protected left turn phasing north and south bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	575	6/2002	\$90	37%	3	149	100%	64

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
69	244.20	4 Azusa Av at Main St / Salais St, et al	1.00	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Stimson Av								
8. From/To:	na								
9. Intersection:	Halliburton Rd				10. Map Page: 678				
11. Participants:	County								
12. MTA Funding: 0.0%	13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%								
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%									
15. Other Info: Install protected left turn phasing north and south bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	6/2002	cAbove	100%	3	101	100%	43

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
70	244.20	4 Camino Del Sur, et al	1.00	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Mulberry Dr								
8. From/To:	na								
9. Intersection:	Colima Rd				10. Map Page: 707				
11. Participants:	County								
12. MTA Funding: 0.0%	13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%								
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%									
15. Other Info: Install protected-permissive left turn phasing east and west bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	2003	\$46	100%	2	0	70%	101

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
71	244.10	4 Colima Rd at Azusa Av, et al	1.00	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Colima Rd								
8. From/To:	na								
9. Intersection:	Azusa Av				10. Map Page: 678				
11. Participants:	County								
12. MTA Funding: 0.0%	13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%								
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%									
15. Other Info: Install protected left turn phasing north and south bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	575	6/2002	\$190	100%	3	403	100%	172

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	5. Scope	6. Units
72	244.20	4 Foothill Bl at Cloud Av, et al	1.00	intersection

7. Str. Name:	Pathfinder Rd								
8. From/To:	na								

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

9. Intersection: Nogales St		10. Map Page: 679							
11. Participants: County									
12. MTA Funding: 0.0%				13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%					
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%									
15. Other Info: Install protected left turn phasing east and west bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	10/2002	\$36	100%	3	101	100%	43

1 73	2 244.20	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial					5. Scope 1.00	6. Units intersection	
		4 Lambert Rd at Mills Av, et al							
7. Str. Name: Lambert Rd									
8. From/To: na									
9. Intersection: Mills Av		10. Map Page: 707							
11. Participants: Whittier(25%), County									
12. MTA Funding: 0.0%				13. Your share of local funding: 75.0%					
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 75%									
15. Other Info: Install protected left turn phasing east and west bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	6/2002	\$105	75%	3	76	100%	32

1 74	2 244.20	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial					5. Scope 1.00	6. Units intersection	
		4 Lambert Rd at Mills Av, et al							
7. Str. Name: Colima Rd									
8. From/To: na									
9. Intersection: Lambert Rd		10. Map Page: 707							
11. Participants: Whittier(23%), County									
12. MTA Funding: 0.0%				13. Your share of local funding: 77.0%					
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 77%									
15. Other Info: Install protected left turn phasing east and west bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	6/2002	cAbove	77%	3	78	100%	33

1 75	2 244.10	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial					5. Scope 1.00	6. Units intersection	
		4 Sepulveda BI / Pacific Coast Hwy							
7. Str. Name: Pacific Coast Hwy									
8. From/To: na									
9. Intersection: Artesia BI		10. Map Page: 762							
11. Participants: Hermosa Beach(75%), Manhattan Beach(25%)									
12. MTA Funding: 87.4%				13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%					
14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%									
15. Other Info: Install second left turn lane west bound									
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$280	80%	3	14	100%	44

1 76	2 244.10	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial					5. Scope 1.00	6. Units intersection
		4 Sepulveda BI / Pacific Coast Hwy						

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report
Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 **Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points):** 50,842

7. Str. Name:		Pacific Coast Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Herondo St / Anita St / Catalina Av				10. Map Page: 762			
11. Participants:		Redondo Beach(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		87.4%		13. Your share of local funding:				100.0%	
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected left turn phasing north and east bound at Herondc							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$105	80%	3	14	100%	44

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
77	244.10	4 Sepulveda Bl / Pacific Coast Hwy					1.00	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Pacific Coast Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Pacific Av				10. Map Page: 795			
11. Participants:		Long Beach(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		87.4%		13. Your share of local funding:				100.0%	
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected left turn phasing east and west bound							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$49	80%	3	14	100%	44

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
78	244.10	4 Sepulveda Bl / Pacific Coast Hwy					1.00	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Pacific Coast Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Palos Verdes Bl				10. Map Page: 792			
11. Participants:		Redondo Beach(50%), Torrance(50%)							
12. MTA Funding:		87.4%		13. Your share of local funding:				100.0%	
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected left turn phasing on Palos Verdes Bl							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$105	80%	3	14	100%	44

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial					5. Scope	6. Units	
79	244.10	4 Sepulveda Bl / Pacific Coast Hwy					1.00	intersection	
7. Str. Name:		Pacific Coast Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Pier Av / 14th St				10. Map Page: 762			
11. Participants:		Hermosa Beach(100%)							
12. MTA Funding:		87.4%		13. Your share of local funding:				100.0%	
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected left turn phasing south bound							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$32	80%	3	14	100%	44

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
80	244.10	4 Sepulveda BI / Pacific Coast Hwy		1.00	intersection				
7. Str. Name:		Pacific Coast Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Prospect Av / Vista Del Parque	10. Map Page: 793						
11. Participants:		Redondo Beach(50%), Torrance(50%)							
12. MTA Funding: 87.4%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install split phasing north and south bound							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$38	80%	3	14	100%	44

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
81	244.10	4 Sepulveda BI / Pacific Coast Hwy		1.00	intersection				
7. Str. Name:		Pacific Coast Hwy							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		Santa Fe Av	10. Map Page: 795						
11. Participants:		Long Beach(100%)							
12. MTA Funding: 87.4%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected permissive left turn phasing north and south bound							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	5/2003	\$49	80%	3	14	100%	44

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
82	244.10	4 Western Av		1.00	intersection				
7. Str. Name:		Western Av							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		1st St	10. Map Page: 823						
11. Participants:		Los Angeles(100%)							
12. MTA Funding: 87.4%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected permissive left turn phasing south bound							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	575.00	72	4/2003	\$45	80%	3	0	100%	58

1	2	3 Intersection Modification, CMP Arterial		5. Scope	6. Units				
83	244.10	4 Western Av		1.00	intersection				
7. Str. Name:		Western Av							
8. From/To:		na							
9. Intersection:		19th St / Morse Dr	10. Map Page: 823						
11. Participants:		Los Angeles(100%)							
12. MTA Funding: 87.4%		13. Your share of local funding: 100.0%							
		14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 0%							
15. Other Info:		Install protected permissive left turn phasing south bound							
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

575.00	72	4/2003	\$119	80%	3	0	100%	58
--------	----	--------	-------	-----	---	---	------	----

1 84	2 212.1	3 General use lane on CMP Arterial				5. Scope 1.7	6. Units lane-mile
		4 ALAMEDA STREET-91 FWY/DEL AMO BL (PH III)					

7. Str. Name: ALAMEDA BL

8. From/To: 91 FWY/LOMITA BL (PHIII)

9. Intersection: 10. Map Page: 765

11. Participants: FEDERAL DEMO/STATE/RAILROAD

12. MTA Funding: 0% 13. Your share of local funding: 100%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 97%

15. Other Info:

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
11500.00	20,010	2002	\$35,600	99%	3	20689	100%	(799)

1 85	2 213.1	3 Grade separation on CMP Arterial				5. Scope 1.0	6. Units project
		4 DEL AMO @ ALAMEDA STREET					

7. Str. Name: DEL AMO

8. From/To:

9. Intersection: DEL AMO @ ALAMEDA STREET 10. Map Page: 764

11. Participants: STATE (GRADE SEPARATION)/STATE (PROP 116)

12. MTA Funding: 13. Your share of local funding: 100%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 76%

15. Other Info:

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
5750.00	5,750	2003	\$26,300	95%	3	2682	100%	2,792

1 86	2 244.2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial				5. Scope 1.0	6. Units intersection
		4 AZUSA AV @ MAIN ST/SALAS ST ET AL					

7. Str. Name: HALLIBURTON RD @ STIMPSON

8. From/To:

9. Intersection: HALLIBURTON RD @ STIMPSON 10. Map Page: 678/G1

11. Participants:

12. MTA Funding: 13. Your share of local funding: 100%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

15. Other Info: Enter

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
144.00	144	2003	\$94	100%	3	101	100%	43

1 87	2 244.2	3 Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial				5. Scope 1.0	6. Units intersection
		4 VERMONT AV @ TORRANCE BL					

7. Str. Name: VERMONT

8. From/To: VERMONT AV @ TORRANCE BL

9. Intersection: VERMONT AV @ TORRANCE BL 10. Map Page: 764 B5

11. Participants:

12. MTA Funding: 13. Your share of local funding: 100%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 100%

2002 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2001 - MAY 31, 2002

SECTION II.b - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Cap. Imp. Projects: 88 Total Cap. Imp. Credit (Points): 50,842

15. Other Info:

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	2002	\$65	100%	3	101	100%	43

1	2	3	5. Scope	6. Units
88	244.2	Intersection Modification, Other Major Arterial	1.0	intersection
		4 30TH STREET W @ TOWNE CENTER DR		

7. Str. Name: 30TH STREET W

8. From/To: 30TH STREET W @ TOWNE CENTER DR

9. Intersection: 30TH STREET W @ TOWNE CENTER DR 10. Map Page: 4195 C/3

11. Participants:

12. MTA Funding: 13. Your share of local funding: 82%

14. Portion of Project within your jurisdiction: 82%

15. Other Info:

	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	144.00	144	2003	\$624	82%	2	0	70%	82

Los Angeles County Public Works Department

Date Prepared: 28-Jul-03

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

SECTION II.d - TRANSIT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Transit Projects: 2 **Total Transit Credit (Points):** 243

**SAMPLE: Items displayed in blue font are entered by applicant:
Items displayed in red font are calculated for you**

1	2	3 Restructuring of service	5. Scope:				6. Units:	
1	363.00	4 Board City Municipal Line 23	4,383				PMT	
		TRANSIT SERVICE TYPE						
TRANSIT CREDIT VALUE		7. Express	8. Local	9. Shuttle	10. DialaRide	Total	Credits	
Current Avg Weekday Ridership:		0	2,150	0	0	7,095		
Credit Factor (avg. miles per rider):		7.7	3.3	1.0	4.5			
Avg. Daily PMT:		0	7,095	0	0			
11. First Year of Service:		1996					Enter a zero (0) for all blue font entries if the question is not applicable or the answer is unknown	
12. Prior credits for ridership:		3,700						
13. Milestone Reached:		2						
14. Milestone Percent Factor:		100%						
15. Annual Operating Cost (1,000s):		420						
16. Percent Funded Locally:		100%						
17. If commuter rail feeder service:		0						
18. If urban rail feeder service:		250						
19. Prior credits for rail boardings:		0						
20. Net 2002 Credit Value:						4,383		

Start of Credit Claim Forms:

1	2	3 Dial-A-Ride Service	5. Scope:				6. Units:	
1	364.00	4 La Crescenta DAR	14				PMT	
		TRANSIT SERVICE TYPE						
TRANSIT CREDIT VALUE		7. Express	8. Local	9. Shuttle	10. DialaRide	Total	Credits	
Current Avg Weekday Ridership:		0	0	0	11	50		
Credit Factor (avg. miles per rider):		7.7	3.3	1.0	4.5			
Avg. Daily PMT:		0	0	0	50			
11. First Year of Service:		0					Enter a zero (0) for all blue font entries if the question is not applicable or the answer is unknown	
12. Prior credits for ridership:		36						
13. Milestone Reached:		2						
14. Milestone Percent Factor:		100%						
15. Annual Operating Cost (1,000s):		\$40,000						
16. Percent Funded Locally:		100%						
17. If commuter rail feeder service:		0						
18. If urban rail feeder service:		0						
19. Prior credits for rail boardings:		0						
20. Net 2003 Credit Value:						14		

1	2	3 Dial-A-Ride Service	5. Scope:				6. Units:
2	364.00	4 Walnut Park DAR	230				PMT

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

SECTION II.d - TRANSIT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Transit Projects: 2 Total Transit Credit (Points): 243

		7. Express	8. Local	9. Shuttle	10. DialaRide	Total	Credits	
Current Avg Weekday Ridership:		0	0	0	51			
Credit Factor (avg. miles per rider):		7.7	3.3	1.0	4.5			
Avg. Daily PMT:		0	0	0	230	230		
11. First Year of Service:		0		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> Enter a zero (0) for all blue font entries if the question is not applicable or the answer is unknown </div>				
12. Prior credits for ridership:		0						
13. Milestone Reached:		2						
14. Milestone Percent Factor:		100%						
15. Annual Operating Cost:		\$104,583						
16. Percent Funded Locally:		100.0%						
17. If commuter rail feeder service:		0						
18. If urban rail feeder service:		0						
19. Prior credits for rail boardings:		0						
20. Net 2003 Credit Value:						230		

SECTION II.d - TRANSIT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Transit Projects:	2	Total Transit Credit (Points):	243
--------------------------------	----------	---------------------------------------	------------

SECTION II.d - TRANSIT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Transit Projects:	2	Total Transit Credit (Points):	243
--------------------------------	----------	---------------------------------------	------------

SECTION II.d - TRANSIT CREDIT CLAIMS

Total Transit Projects:	2	Total Transit Credit (Points):	243
--------------------------------	----------	---------------------------------------	------------

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report
 Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

SECTION II.e - TDM CREDIT CLAIMS

Total TDM Projects:	2	Total TDMCredit (Points):	9,293
---------------------	---	---------------------------	-------

**SAMPLE: Items displayed in blue font are entered by applicant:
 Items displayed in red font are calculated for you**

1	2	3 Subsidy of 90-100%, Employee Program	5. Scope:	6. Units:
1	331.19	4 ACME TMA Rideshare Program	2.43	100 emp.s

Enter zero (0) if question does not apply:

7. Total employees in program:	2,430
8. Total employers:	10
9. Operator of Transit Service:	0
10. Percent of Total Fare Subsidized:	0
11. Net Gain avg monthly participants:	730
12. Facility/Center Address:	2354 Kelly Street
13. Daily Parking Rate	0
14. Fee Increase:	0
15. Other Info:	Received 1571 credits in 1996 LIR for 1700 employees. This claim is for the net gain of 730 employees that are in the TMA's ride share program.

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Credit Factor	Project Value	Expected Completion 1996	Project Cos in 1,000s	Local % Participation	Current Milestone	Prior Year Credits	Milestone Factor %	Net Credit Value
924	2,245	1996	0	100%	3	1,571	100%	675

Start of Credit Claim Forms:

1	321.0	CMP TDM Ordinance	2,977.14	1000 gsf
		Non-Residential building permits issued, as reported in Section I No other description/entries required for this strategy. This is already done for you.		

16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
0.30	893	n/a	n/a	100%	3	n/a	100%	893

1	2	3 Remote Access to Gov't Info/Transactions	5. Scope:	6. Units:
1	354.00	4 Public Defender/Probation Video Teleconferencing Project	27,000	daily log-in

Enter zero (0) if question does not apply:

7. Total employees in program:	0
8. Total employers:	0
9. Operator of Transit Service:	0
10. Percent of Total Fare Subsidized:	0%
11. Net Gain avg monthly participants:	500
12. Facility/Center Address:	0
13. Daily Parking Rate:	\$0.00
14. Fee Increase:	\$0.00
15. Other Info:	Average vehicle miles traveled (round trip) saved by each log-in are 46. Net gain = this year's trips (27,000) less last year's trips (21,000.)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Date Prepared: 28-Jul-03

2003 CMP Local Implementation Report

Report Period: JUNE 1, 2002 - MAY 31, 2003

SECTION II.e - TDM CREDIT CLAIMS

Total TDM Projects:				2	Total TDMCredit (Points):				9,293
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	1.40	37,800	2000	\$230,000	100%	B-2	29400	100%	8,400