

MOTION BY SUPERVISOR MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

JUNE 6, 2012

In 2008, the Board of Supervisors adopted a green building ordinance that was placed in Title 22. In 2010, in accordance with state law, this board adopted the California Green Building Standards Code as amended by the County which is known as Title 31. It has come to my attention there are potentially conflicting provisions between these two codes. In addition, there may be an issue of whether all or some of the green building provisions in Title 22 were superseded by the adoption of Title 31.

I, THEREFORE, MOVE that the Board of Supervisor direct County Counsel to work with the Departments of Regional Planning and Public Works, to identify the green building provisions in Title 22 and report back to this board, on which if any of these provisions are legally enforceable. For those green building provisions in Title 22 that are legally enforceable and are in conflict with Title 31, provide an explanation of how the departments propose to enforce those provisions going forward.

#

MDA:evo
greenbuildingprovisions060612

MOTION

MOLINA _____

RIDLEY-THOMAS _____

KNABE _____

ANTONOVICH _____

YAROSLAVSKY _____