COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

DEAN D. EFSTATHIOU, Acting Director

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (626) 458-5100
http://dpw.lacounty.gov

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460
IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE: MP-6

October 7, 2008

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

COYOTE CREEK - PARCELS 127, 305, AND 307
GRANT OF EASEMENT FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT TO THE COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 18
UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AREA OF SANTA FE SPRINGS
(SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1)
(3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

The action will allow the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to grant an easement for sewer line purposes across a portion of Coyote Creek to the County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County in the unincorporated County area of Santa Fe Springs.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD ACTING AS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT:

1. Acting as a responsible agency for the proposed project, consider the Negative Declaration prepared and adopted by the County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County as lead agency, together with any comments received during the public review process; certify that your Board has independently considered and reached its own conclusions regarding the environmental effects of the project as shown in the Negative Declaration, and find on the basis of the whole record before your Board that there is no substantial evidence the project will have a significant effect on the environment.

2. Find that the proposed grant of easement for sewer line purposes and the subsequent use of said easement will not interfere with the use of Coyote Creek for any purposes of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

3. Approve the grant of an easement for sewer line purposes from the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to the County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County within Coyote Creek, Parcels 127, 305, and 307.

4. Instruct the Chair to sign the Easement document and authorize delivery to the County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The purpose of the recommended actions is to consider the previously adopted Negative Declaration (attached) and allow the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD) to grant an easement for sewer line purposes across a portion of Coyote Creek to the County Sanitation District (CSD) No. 18 of Los Angeles County.

CSD requests the Easement in connection with their East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer project. The granting of this Easement is not considered adverse to the LACFCD's purposes. Moreover, the instrument reserves paramount rights for the LACFCD's interest.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

This County Strategic Plan directs the provision of Community Services (Goal 6). This transaction allows for the continuation of sewer services to the area thereby improving the quality of life for County residents.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

The will be no impact to the County General Fund.

There is no monetary consideration for the granting of this Easement. The LACFCD is responsible for replacing CSD's easement due to relocation of their facilities as a result of LACFCD's construction of Coyote Creek.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

Coyote Creek, Parcels 127, 305, and 307, are located north of Imperial Highway and west of Marquardt Avenue in the unincorporated territory of the County of Los Angeles.

As a part of the original construction of Coyote Creek in the 1960's, CSD's waterline was relocated and a replacement easement was to be granted. While processing CSD's current request for a permit to relocate a part of their existing sewer line, CSD discovered the easement was never acquired from LACFCD. They request this Easement for the continued right to operate and maintain their sewer line.

The proposed grant of easement is authorized by Section 2, Paragraph 13, of the Los Angeles County Flood Control Act. This Section provides as follows: "To...sell or dispose of any property (or any interest therein) whenever in the judgment of said board of supervisors, said property, or any interest therein, or any part thereof, is no longer required for the purpose of said district..."

The granting of this Easement will not hinder the use of Coyote Creek for possible transportation, utility, or recreational corridors.

The Easement has been approved by County Counsel and will be recorded.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

In this grant of Easement to CSD for sewer line purposes, LACFCD is acting as a responsible agency. The County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County, as lead agency, prepared an Initial Study and consulted with LACFCD in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Initial Study showed that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment. Based on the Initial Study, a Negative Declaration was prepared by CSD and adopted by them on April 23, 2008. LACFCD's grant of this Easement to CSD will not have a significant effect on the environment.

The project is not exempt from payment of a fee to the California Department of Fish and Game pursuant to Section 711.4 of the Fish and Game Code to defray the costs of fish and wildlife protection and management incurred by the California Department of Fish and Game. CSD has paid the fee. Upon your Board's approval of the project, the Department of Public Works (Public Works) will file a Notice of Determination in accordance with Section 21152(a) of the California Public Resources Code and pay the required filing fees with the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk in the amount of $50.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

This action allows for the joint use of LACFCD's right of way without interfering with the primary mission of the LACFCD.

CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this letter and the executed original Easement document to Public Works, Mapping & Property Management Division. Retain the duplicate for your files.

Respectfully submitted,

for Patrick V. DeCheelis
DEAN D. EFSTATHIOU
Acting Director of Public Works

DDE:PAP:gp

Attachments (2)

c: Auditor-Controller (Accounting Division - Asset Management)
Chief Executive Office (Lari Sheehan)
County Counsel

DUPLICATE

RECORDING REQUESTED BY
AND MAIL TO:

County Sanitation District No. 18
of Los Angeles County
P.O. Box 4000
Whittier, CA 90607-4000

Space Above This Line Reserved for Recorder's Use

THIS DOCUMENT IS EXEMPT FROM DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX PURSUANT TO SECTION 11922 OF THE REVENUE & TAXATION CODE

THIS DOCUMENT IS EXEMPT FROM RECORDING FEES PURSUANT TO SECTION 27383 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE

Assessor's Identification Numbers:
8028-020-900, 8028-030-912 and
913 (Portions)

EASEMENT

For a valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT, a body corporate and politic (hereinafter referred to as DISTRICT), does hereby grant to COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 18 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, a body corporate and politic (hereinafter referred to as GRANTEE), a perpetual easement for sewer line purposes and the right to lay, construct, maintain, reconstruct, use, and operate sewers and appurtenances in, on, over, under, and across the real property in the unincorporated territory of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, described in Exhibit A attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

Together with the further right to enter upon and to pass and repass over and along said easement and right of way and to deposit tools, implements and other materials thereon by GRANTEE, its officers, agents, and employees, and by persons under contract to construct said sewers and appurtenances, and their employees, whenever and wherever necessary for the purposes above set forth, and the right to enter upon and use such land adjoining said easement and right of way as is necessary for construction of said sewers and appurtenances.

PROVIDED, however, that this conveyance is made under and subject to the following reservations and conditions which the GRANTEE, by the acceptance of said easement and right of way, agrees to keep and perform, viz:

1. DISTRICT reserves the paramount right to use said land for flood control purposes.

File with COYOTE CREEK-NORTH FORK 127
Affects Parcels 305 and 307
46-RW 23.2 and 23.3
S.D. 4 M0823006

2. GRANTEE agrees that it will not perform or arrange for the performance of any construction or reconstruction work in, on, over, under, and across the land herein described until the plans and specifications for such construction or reconstruction work shall have first been submitted to and been approved in writing by the Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, which approval shall not unreasonably be withheld. Such approval by DISTRICT shall not be interpreted or inferred as an endorsement or approval as to the design, accuracy, correctness or authenticity of the information shown on the submitted plans and specifications. DISTRICT does not accept ownership or responsibility for the improvements.

3. GRANTEE agrees that it will indemnify and save harmless DISTRICT, its officers, agents, and/or employees, from any and all liability, loss, or damage to which DISTRICT, its officers, agents, and/or employees, may be subjected as the result of any act or omission by GRANTEE, its officers, agents, or employees, arising out of the exercise by GRANTEE, its officers, agents, or employees of any of the rights granted to it by this Easement.

4. It is expressly understood that DISTRICT will not be called to construct, repair, maintain, or reconstruct any structure or improvement to be erected or constructed pursuant to this Easement.

5. DISTRICT reserves the right to build structures or other improvements for flood control purposes over and across the herein described land. GRANTEE shall be responsible for reinforcing or relocating sewers and appurtenances, if required, due to the exercise of the rights reserved to DISTRICT as above provided. DISTRICT agrees to submit to the Chief Engineer and General Manager of GRANTEE plans and specifications for the structures or improvements at least 12 months before the commencement of construction.

6. DISTRICT reserves the right to pave, raise, or lower the ground surface elevation of the herein described land. GRANTEE shall be responsible for reinforcing the sewers and adjusting the grades of its manhole covers if required due to the exercise of this right by the DISTRICT.

7. GRANTEE will restore and replace the surface of the ground and the improvements over the above mentioned right of way and will repair any and all damage to the property of DISTRICT adjoining the said right of way, which is injured or damaged in the construction, operation, or maintenance of the said sewers and appurtenances.

8. The provisions and conditions contained in this Easement document shall be binding upon GRANTEE, its successors, and assigns.

To the extent any lawful assessment be levied pertaining to the area to which this easement applies and to the extent that the assessment is based on the structures and improvements being constructed under the authority of this easement and provided further that the assessment be levied following GRANTEE's exercise of this easement to construct such structures and improvements, GRANTEE agrees to pay on behalf of DISTRICT that part of any such assessment levied against DISTRICT, which is based on the value contributed to that area by GRANTEE's said structures and improvements.

Dated OCT 07 2008

LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT,
a body corporate and politic

By Yvonne B. Burke
Chair, Board of Supervisors of the
Los Angeles County Flood Control District

(LACFCD-SEAL)

ATTEST:

SACHI A. HAMAI, Executive Officer
of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Los Angeles

By Leonie Ohana
Deputy

DB:bw
P:CONF:DB-E SEWERCOYOTE 51208

NOTE: Acknowledgment form on reverse side.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

On January 6, 1987, the Board of Supervisors for the County of Los Angeles and ex officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies, and authorities for which said Board so acts adopted a resolution pursuant to Section 25103 of the Government Code that authorized the use of facsimile signatures of the Chair of the Board on all papers, documents, or instruments requiring the Chair's signature.

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 7th day of OCTOBER, 2008, the facsimile signature of YVONNE B. BURKE, Chair of the Board of Supervisors of the LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT, was affixed hereto as the official execution of this document. The undersigned further certifies that on this date a copy of the document was delivered to the Chair of the Board of Supervisors of the LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT.

In witness whereof, I have also hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year above written.

SACHI A. HAMAI, Executive Officer
of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Los Angeles

By _____
Deputy

(LACFCD-SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM

RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.
COUNTY COUNSEL

By Carole B. Suzuki
Deputy

APPROVED as to title and execution,

_____, 20_____.
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
Mapping & Property Management Division

Supervising Title Examiner

By_____

CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that the interest in real property conveyed by the Easement dated _____, from the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, a body corporate and politic, to County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County, a body corporate and politic, is hereby accepted by order of the Board of Directors of the County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County on _____, pursuant to authority conferred by resolution of said Board of Directors, adopted on _____, and the grantee consents to recordation thereof by its duly authorized officer.

Dated_____

By_____

EXHIBIT A

File with: COYOTE CREEK-NORTH FORK 127
Affects : Parcels 305 and 307
46-RW 23.2 and 23.3
A.P.N. 8028-020-900 (portion)
8028-030-912 and 913 (portions)
T.G. 737(D1)
I.M. 069-257
S.D. 4
M0823006

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
(Grant of easement for sewer line purposes)

Part A:

That portion of that certain parcel of land in Lot 19, Tract No. 20762, as shown on map recorded in Book 560, pages 7 to 10, inclusive, of Maps, in the office of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, described as Parcel No. 305, in a Final Order of Condemnation, had in Superior Court Case No. 709354, a certified copy of which is recorded in Book D534, page 261, of Official Records, in the office of said Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk and that portion of that certain parcel of land in Lot 54, Tract No. 18608, as shown on map recorded in Book 561, pages 8, 9 and 10, of said Maps, described in deed to LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT, recorded on February 3, 1956, as Document No. 3144, in Book 50231, page 250, of said Official Records, within a strip of land 10.00 feet wide, lying 5.00 feet on each side of the following described centerline:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of said Lot 19, said point being distant North 89°38'52" East 33.44 feet along said southerly line from the southwesterly corner of said Lot 19; thence North 49°24'02" East 49.26 feet; thence North 89°50'01" East 150.52 feet to the easterly line of said Lot 54.

The sidelines of the above-described 10-foot wide strip of land shall be prolonged or shortened at angle points so as to terminate at their points of intersection, at the beginning thereof so as to terminate in said southerly line and at the ending thereof so as to terminate in said easterly line.

Containing: 1,994 ± square feet

PART B:

That portion of that certain parcel of land in the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 9, Township 3 South, Range 11 West, S.B.M., Rancho Los Coyotes, as shown on map recorded in Book 1, pages 493 and 494, of Patents, in the office of the above-mentioned Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk and in Book 41819, pages 141 to 185, inclusive, of above-mentioned Official Records, described as Parcel No. 307, in a Final Order of Condemnation, had in above-mentioned Superior Court Case No. 709354, a certified copy of which is recorded in Book D487, page 464, of said Official Records, within a strip of land 10.00 feet wide, lying 5.00 feet on each side of the following described centerline:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of said Parcel No. 307, said point being distant South 89°38'52" West 1.24 feet along said last-mentioned southerly line from the southeasterly corner of said Parcel No. 307; thence North 27°34'46" West 24.20 feet to the southeasterly line of that certain 20.00-foot wide strip of land described in deed to the County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County, recorded in Book 34505, page 192, of said Official Records.

The sidelines of the above-described 10-foot wide strip of land shall be prolonged or shortened at the beginning thereof so as to terminate in said last-mentioned southerly line and at the ending thereof so as to terminate in said southeasterly line.

Containing: 218 ± square feet

Total Area of Parts A and B Containing: 2,212 ± square feet

APPROVED AS TO DESCRIPTION

_____

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

By _____

SUPERVISING CADASTRAL ENGINEER III
Mapping and Property Management Division

This real property description has been prepared in conformance with the Professional Land Surveyors Act. The signatory herein is exempt pursuant to Section 8726 of the California Business and Professions Code.

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

County Sanitation District No. 18 of
Los Angeles County
1955 Workman Mill Road
Whittier, CA 90601

FILED

APR 24 2008

DEAN C. LOGAN, ACTING CO. CLERK

H. HARPER DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

To: County Clerk, County of Los Angeles
12400 East Imperial Highway
Room 2001
Norwalk, CA 92650

Subject: **Filing of Notice of Determination in Compliance With Section 21152 of the Public Resources Code**

Project Title: East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer

Project Location: The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Project Description: The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.

Contact Person: Marvin Holmes
Telephone (562) 908-4288, extension 2729

This is to advise that on April 23, 2008, the Board of Directors of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County approved the above project and made the following determinations regarding the project:

1. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

2. A Negative Declaration, which reflects the independent judgment and analysis of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County, was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.

This is to certify that the final Negative Declaration is available to the general public at the District's Joint Administrative Office, 1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, California 90601.

Date: April 24, 2008

Stephen R. Maguin
Chief Engineer and General Manager

DOC #896374

08 0029899

THIS NOTICE WAS POSTED
ON APR 25 2008
UNTIL MAY 26 2008
REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

04/24/08 5:04PM 000001#0057 XX35
CHECK $1800.00
PAY TO L.A. TREASURER
COUNTY CLERK
FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

04/24/08 5:04PM 000001#0057 XX35
CHECK $76.75
PAY TO L.A. TREASURER
COUNTY CLERK
FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

04/24/08 5:04PM 000001#0057 XX35
CHECK $50.00
PAY TO L.A. TREASURER
COUNTY CLERK
FOR DEPOSIT ONLY

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

County Sanitation District No. 18 of
Los Angeles County
1955 Workman Mill Road
Whittier, CA 90601

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

To: County Clerk, County of Los Angeles
12400 East Imperial Highway
Room 2001
Norwalk, CA 92650

Subject: **Filing of Notice of Determination in Compliance With Section 21152 of the Public Resources Code**

Project Title: East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer

Project Location: The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Project Description: The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.

Contact Person: Marvin Holmes
Telephone (562) 908-4288, extension 2729

This is to advise that on April 23, 2008, the Board of Directors of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County approved the above project and made the following determinations regarding the project:

1. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

2. A Negative Declaration, which reflects the independent judgment and analysis of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County, was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.

This is to certify that the final Negative Declaration is available to the general public at the District's Joint Administrative Office, 1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, California 90601.

Date: April 24, 2008

Stephen R. Maguin
Chief Engineer and General Manager

DOC #896374

To Nilam 4/17/08

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

☐ **PROPOSED**

☒ **FINAL**

Name of Project: **East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer**

Project Location: The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Entity or Person Undertaking Project: County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County

Project Description: The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.

Findings: The project will not have a significant effect on the environment. On the basis of the whole record, including the Initial Study and all comments received, the Board of Directors of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County finds that there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment, and that this Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County.

Initial Study: An Initial Study of this project was undertaken and prepared in accordance with the Local Procedures Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as adopted by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County for the purpose of ascertaining whether this project might have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of such Initial Study is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. The Initial Study documents the reasons supporting the above findings.

Mitigation Measures: The following mitigation measures have been included in the project to avoid potentially significant effects:

No mitigation measures are required for this project.

Date: April 23, 2008

Stephen R. Maguin
Chief Engineer and General Manager

COPY

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Name of Project: **East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer**

Project Location: The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Entity or Person Undertaking Project: County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County

Project Description: The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County proposes to issue a Negative Declaration for the project. Such Negative Declaration is based upon a finding that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. The reasons to support such finding are documented by an Initial Study prepared by the District. Copies of the Initial Study and the proposed Negative Declaration may be obtained from:

NAME: Marvin Holmes

TITLE: Project Engineer
Planning Section

ADDRESS: County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
1955 Workman Mill Road
Whittier, CA 90601

In accordance with the State Guidelines for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act, any comments concerning the finding of the proposed Negative Declaration must be received by the District by December 17, 2007, in order to be considered prior to the District Board's final determination on the project. Please send your comments, if any, to the address shown above.

Date: November 16, 2007

Bryan Langpap
Supervising Engineer
Planning Section

DOC #896374

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

☒ **PROPOSED**

☐ **FINAL**

Name of Project: **East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer**

Project Location: The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Entity or Person Undertaking Project: County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County

Project Description: The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.

Findings: The project will not have a significant effect on the environment. On the basis of the whole record, the Board of Directors of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County finds that there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment and that this Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County.

Initial Study: An Initial Study of this project was undertaken and prepared in accordance with the Local Procedures Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as adopted by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County for the purpose of ascertaining whether this project might have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the Initial Study is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. The Initial Study documents the reasons supporting the above findings.

Mitigation Measures: The following mitigation measures have been included in the project to avoid potentially significant effects:

No mitigation measures are required for this project.

Date: November 16, 2007

Bryan Langpap
Supervising Engineer
Planning Section

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Name of Project: **East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer**

Project Location: The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Entity or Person Undertaking Project: County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County

Project Description: The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.

Staff Determination: The District's staff, having undertaken and completed an Initial Study of this project in accordance with the Local Procedures Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as adopted by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County for the purpose of ascertaining whether the proposed project might have a significant effect on the environment, has reached the following conclusion:

☒ 1. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, a Negative Declaration should be prepared.

☐ 2. The project, modified in accordance with certain mitigation measures set forth in the Initial Study, will not have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, a Mitigated Negative Declaration should be prepared.

☐ 3. The project may have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, an Environmental Impact Report should be prepared.

Date: November 16, 2007

Bryan Langpap
Supervising Engineer
Planning Section

SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

INITIAL STUDY

This Initial Study has been prepared pursuant to the Local Procedures Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as adopted by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County.

1. *Project Title*	**East Imperial Highway Relief Trunk Sewer**
2. *Description of Project*	The project consists of the construction of approximately 5,900 feet of 8-, 12-, 15-, and 18-inch diameter vitrified clay pipe (VCP) sewer and appurtenant structures.
3. *Lead Agency Name and Address*	County Sanitation District No. 18 of Los Angeles County 1955 Workman Mill Road Whittier, CA 90601
4. *Contact Person and Phone Number*	Marvin Holmes (562) 908-4288, extension 2729
5. *Zoning*	The project is consistent with local zoning and general plans of the area.
6. *Project Location*	The project begins in private right-of-way north of the intersection of Imperial Highway and Marquardt Avenue, then southeasterly to Imperial Highway, then easterly in Imperial Highway to Biola Avenue, all within unincorporated Los Angeles County.
7. *Surrounding Land Uses and Setting*	The project is located in an urban area.
8. *Public Agencies Which Must Approve or Give a Permit for the Project*	Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
9. *Other Organizations for Distribution or Review*	

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

☐ Aesthetics	☐ Geology and Soils	☐ Population / Housing
☐ Agriculture Resources	☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials	☐ Public Services
☐ Air Quality	☐ Hydrology / Water Quality	☐ Recreation
☐ Biological Resources	☐ Land Use and Planning	☐ Transportation / Traffic
☐ Cultural Resources	☐ Mineral Resources	☐ Utilities / Service Systems
☐ Energy Resources	☐ Noise	☐ Mandatory Findings of Significance

STAFF DETERMINATION:

The District's staff, having undertaken and completed an Initial Study of this proposed project in accordance with the Local Procedures for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as adopted by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County for the purpose of ascertaining whether the proposed project might have a significant effect on the environment, has reached the following conclusion:

☒ The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☐ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☐ The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

☐ The proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (a) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (b) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

☐ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed on the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Date: November 16, 2007

Bryan Langpap
Supervising Engineer
Planning Section

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Potentially Significant Impact: There is substantial evidence that an effect is significant. An Environmental Impact Report is required. Significant effect on the environment means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself is not considered a significant effect on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant. (§15382 CEQA Guidelines)

Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated: This classification applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from a "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact."

Less Than Significant Impact: Less Than Significant effect on the environment means an effect which is not significant as defined by §15382 of the CEQA Guidelines.

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	☐	☐	☒	☐
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – b. The project is not located near any scenic vistas or scenic resources.

c. Because all pre-project conditions will be restored, the project will not have any permanent impacts on aesthetics. During construction, there may be a negative aesthetic effect, but this will be minor and temporary.

d. No light or glare impacts will occur as a result of the project or of its construction, which will be restricted to daytime hours.

II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:				
Convert Prime Farmland, UniqueFarmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – c. The proposed project would not convert any farmland to non-agricultural use, nor would it be in conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use.

III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	☐	☐	☒	☐
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutants concentrations?	☐	☐	☒	☐
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	☐	☐	☒	☐

EXPLANATION:

a. The proposed project will not conflict with or obstruct the implementation of any air quality plans.

b. The proposed project will comply with all applicable air quality standards and will not produce significant quantities of pollutants.

c. The proposed project is located in the South Coast Air Basin, which is classified as a severe non-attainment area for ozone and non-attainment area for PM_{10} and CO. The proposed project is anticipated to generate minor amounts of NOX, CO, SO_2, ROG, and PM_{10}, which are below the South Coast Air Quality Management District's construction significance thresholds. Therefore, the proposed project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant.

d – e. The project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of pollutants. Minimal odors, only at the construction site, may be released during the connection of the proposed sewer to the existing sewer. Each connection will be completed within a day. Therefore, the impact on the environment will be less than significant.

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	☐	☐	☐	☒
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – b. The proposed project is located within public streets and private property in an urban setting. As such, no impact is anticipated to endangered, threatened, rare, or locally designated species.

c. The project will not impact any federally protected wetland defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

d. The project will not interfere with any migratory movement or corridor, nor will it impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.

e – f. The project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, nor will it conflict with any Habitat Conservation Plans or Natural Community Conservation Plans.

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a. The project is located within public streets and private property. No historic structures are located on the project site, and no impact will result.

b – d. The project is located in areas that have already undergone significant disturbance and development. Therefore, the likelihood that any previously unknown archaeological or other cultural resources will be discovered on the site is remote.

VI. ENERGY RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with adopted energy conservation plans?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Use non-renewable resources in a wasteful and inefficient manner?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – b. The proposed sewer project does not require significant amounts of energy and would not conflict with adopted energy conservation plans or use non-renewable resources in a wasteful manner.

VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	☐	☐	☐	☒
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	☐	☐	☐	☒
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	☐	☐	☐	☒
iv) Landslides?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	☐	☐	☒	☐
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a. The proposed project is located in areas that have already been significantly altered through development, and would not subject people or structures to seismic risk or unstable soils.

b – c. The subbedding and backfilling have been designed to prevent any subsidence. After the project is completed, the existing surface conditions will be restored through compaction and regrading.

d. The project site is not located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code.

e. The subbedding and backfilling have been designed to support the proposed sewer project.

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
f) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	☐	☐	☐	☒
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	☐	☐	☒	☐
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – c. Due to its nature, this project will not result in any risk of accidental release of hazardous substances or hazardous emissions. Although direct contact with wastewater could be considered a potential health hazard, the project will be conducted in a manner that prevents public exposure to untreated wastewater.

d. The project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5.

e – f. The proposed project will not impact any airport or airstrip.

g. The proposed project will have a temporary, but less than significant, impact on emergency response due to its effects on traffic. Even so, necessary traffic control permits will be obtained prior to the start of construction so that the appropriate agencies may plan accordingly.

h. The project will not expose people or structures to a risk of wildland fires.

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	☐	☐	☐	☒

		POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT
e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	☐	☐	☐	☒
f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	☐	☐	☐	☒
g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	☐	☐	☐	☒
h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	☐	☐	☐	☒
i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	☐	☐	☐	☒
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a. The proposed project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.

b. The proposed project will not deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge.

c – d. The proposed project will not alter the existing drainage pattern, resulting in erosion or siltation or flooding on- or off-site.

e – f. The District's contractor will implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) that minimize storm water runoff and are protective of water quality standards.

g – i. The proposed project will not place structures or housing within a 100-year flood hazard area or expose people or structures to the risk of flooding.

j. No risk of inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow exists from this project.

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:

a)	Physically divide an established community?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b)	Conflict with applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT

EXPLANATION:

a – b. The proposed project involves construction of an underground sewer. As all pre-project conditions will be restored upon completion, the project will not have any impacts on land use, zoning, or the physical arrangement of the community.

c. No habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan applies to the site.

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of future value to the region and the residents of the state?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – b. The proposed project would not involve the use or depletion of any mineral resources in the area.

XII. NOISE. Would the proposal result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Exposure of people to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	☐	☐	☒	☐
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	☐	☐	☒	☐
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	☐	☐	☐	☒
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT

EXPLANATION:

a – b. Construction noise and/or vibration will be kept to levels that comply with any noise standard or ordinance.

c – d. No permanent increase in the ambient noise level will result from the proposed project. However, a temporary increase in ambient noise levels will occur during construction. This increase will be minor and will be restricted to normal working hours. The impact will be less than significant.

e – f. The proposed project will not impact any airport or airstrip

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a. Although the proposed project will provide additional capacity for the conveyance of wastewater, the impact on population growth will be less than significant. The wastewater flow to be conveyed by the proposed sewer is limited by the treatment capacity of the receiving treatment plant, which has been sized to a level that is consistent with the locally adopted regional growth forecast. The impacts associated with this growth are addressed in local and regional environmental assessments previously prepared for planned growth in the District's service area, which includes unincorporated Los Angeles County.

b – c. The proposed project will not displace any existing housing or people.

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
i) Fire protection?	☐	☐	☐	☒
ii) Police protection?	☐	☐	☐	☒
iii) Schools?	☐	☐	☐	☒
iv) Parks?	☐	☐	☐	☒
v) Other public facilities?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT

EXPLANATION:

a. This project will not result in a need for any additional public services.

XV. RECREATION.

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	☐	☐	☐	☒

EXPLANATION:

a – b. The project will not increase the use of existing recreational facilities, nor will it create a demand for additional recreational facilities.

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:

a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?	☐	☐	☒	☐
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	☐	☐	☒	☐
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?	☐	☐	☒	☐
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT

EXPLANATION:

a – b. During construction, the project may cause a minor increase in vehicle trips and some traffic congestion. However, the construction related traffic impact will not increase the level of service of the impacted streets. Construction related traffic will be limited to off-peak commute hours to the extent feasible, and any needed control measures such as a Construction Traffic Management Plan will be coordinated with the responsible agencies. Lanes impacted by construction will be reopened when work is not in progress. Alternate access to adjoining properties will be maintained at all times. Therefore, the traffic-related impacts will be less than significant.

c. No air traffic patterns will be affected by the proposed project.

d. No road or design hazards are associated with the proposed sewer project.

e. Construction of a sewer may temporarily affect emergency access, but this impact will not be permanent, as all pre-project conditions will be restored. All necessary traffic control permits will be obtained prior to the start of construction so that the appropriate agencies may plan accordingly.

f. During construction, the proposed project may cause some loss of parking capacity. However, these conditions will be temporary, and the associated impacts will be less than significant.

g. The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	☐	☐	☐	☒
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	☐	☐	☐	☒
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	☐	☐	☐	☒
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	☐	☐	☒	☐
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	☐	☐	☐	☒

	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACT

EXPLANATION:

a – e. The project does not create the need for any additions or alterations to any of the above systems. The project relieves a hydraulically overloaded sewer, but does not in itself create the need for new systems.

f – g. The proposed project involves a minimal amount of construction materials being wasted during construction. Excavated soil from the site will be used as fill material to the extent feasible. Soil and asphalt that must be removed will be reused at another site or sent to a landfill where it can be beneficially reused as cover material or road base. The proposed project complies with all regulations related to solid waste and will not significantly impact the waste management structure of Los Angeles County.

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	☐	☐	☐	☒
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)	☐	☐	☐	☒
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	☐	☐	☐	☒

MITIGATION

No mitigation measures are required for this project.