

[CLICK HERE FOR SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY MOTION](#)

[CLICK HERE FOR CAO MEMO DATED 8-4-06](#)

SYN. NO. _____

AGN. NO. _____

MOTION BY SUPERVISOR ZEV YAROSLAVSKY

AUGUST 8, 2006

California is facing enormous population growth in the coming years, with 25 million new residents expected by 2040. Unfortunately, our public investments in infrastructure, especially clean and safe drinking water, natural resources and coastal protection are not keeping pace with this explosive population growth. Voters have passed a series of resource bond measures since 1996 to support critical program areas including water quality and supply, flood control, state and local parks projects, pollution control and air pollution mitigation projects; but current bond funds are projected to run out as early as the end of this year. Furthermore, ongoing funding for natural resources and environmental protection programs is critically low, comprising less than 1% of the overall state budget.

Proposition 84, the Clean Water, Parks and Coastal Protection Bond of 2006, is a \$5.4 billion bond measure slated for the November 2006 statewide ballot. This measure would provide critically needed funding to ensure the availability of safe drinking water, improve local water supply reliability, strengthen flood protection, and preserve California's natural landscapes, including parks, lakes, rivers, beaches, bays, ocean and coastline. Prop 84 is supported by a broad coalition of interests, including water districts, conservation and environmental groups, local government entities,

MOTION

MOLINA _____

BURKE _____

YAROSLAVSKY _____

KNABE _____

ANTONOVICH _____

museum and park interests, elected officials and civic organizations.

It is critically important that we make further investments now to ensure that all Californians will have access to safe drinking water, will be better protected from floods, and will continue to have opportunities to enjoy our beautiful California natural environment.

I, THEREFORE, MOVE that the Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles County go on record in support of Proposition 84, the Clean Water, Parks and Coastal Protection Bond of 2006; and urge all voters in Los Angeles County and throughout the State to cast their ballots in favor of Proposition 84 on the November, 2006 statewide election ballot.

ABK: s:\Prop 84 endorse Clean Water Bond2



County of Los Angeles
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

713 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION • LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
(213) 974-1101
<http://cao.co.la.ca.us>

DAVID E. JANSSEN
Chief Administrative Officer

**REVISED 8/7/06:
CORRECTION IN ITALICS ON PAGE 2**

August 4, 2006

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District


YVONNE B. BURKE
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Third District

DON KNABE
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

To: Mayor Michael D. Antonovich
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Don Knabe

From: David E. Janssen 
Chief Administrative Officer

MOTION TO SUPPORT PROPOSITION 84, THE CLEAN WATER, PARKS AND COASTAL PROTECTION BOND ACT OF 2006 (ITEM NO. 5 AGENDA, OF AUGUST 8, 2006)

Item No. 5 on the August 8, 2006 agenda is a motion by Supervisor Yaroslavsky to support Proposition 84, the Clean Water, Parks and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006, and encourage all voters in Los Angeles County and throughout California to support the ballot measure at the November 7, 2006 Statewide General Election.

Proposition 84, placed on the ballot by the initiative petition process, would authorize \$5.388 billion in State General Obligation bonds for projects statewide to manage water resources, provide flood control, protect coastal resources, conserve wildlife habitat, and support parks and other recreational opportunities, subject to voter approval. Expenditure of most of the bond funds requires the Legislature to appropriate the funds in the annual budget act or other legislation.

The proposition has eight major funding categories: 1) Safe Drinking Water and Water Quality (\$1.525 billion); 2) Flood Control (\$800 million); 3) Statewide Planning (\$65 million); 4) Protection of Rivers, Lakes and Streams (\$928 million); 5) Forest and Wildlife Conservation (\$450 million); 6) Protection of Beaches, Bays, and Coastal, and Waters (\$540 million); 7) Parks and Nature Education Facilities (\$500 million); and 8) Sustainable Communities and Climate Change Reduction (\$580 million). There are no per capita formulas included in the proposition, and no funds are specifically

earmarked for County projects. However, if the proposition passes, the Los Angeles County region would be allocated a portion of the \$215 million for Integrated Regional Water Management planning efforts in the Los Angeles sub-region, which includes Ventura County and the Greater Los Angeles County Region, and \$27 million for the North/South Lahontan region, which includes the Antelope Valley, for projects to improve water quality and water reliability. The proposition earmarks a minimum of \$135 million to local land conservancies including the Baldwin Hills Conservancy, the San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy, the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, and the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission. As the conservancies will likely grant these funds to other agencies for specific projects, the County may become the recipient of a portion of these funds. In addition, nearly \$2 billion would be available for competitive grant programs, and the County would be eligible to compete in most of the categories, including the Clean Beaches Program, Local Flood Control Subventions, Local and Regional Parks, Parks and Nature Education Facilities, Stormwater Pollution Prevention, and Urban Stream Restoration. The attached chart provides a breakdown of the major funding categories in the proposition that would benefit the Los Angeles County region.

According to the State Legislative Analyst, approximately \$13.7 billion in bonds have been approved by the voters since 1980 for various resource-related purposes. **About \$1.3 billion of these funds are uncommitted and available for new projects, mostly for water-related projects.** It is estimated that Proposition 84 will result in a total State cost of \$10.5 billion over the 30 years allowed to pay the principal and interest on these bonds, at a rate of \$350 million per year. Land acquisition with Proposition 84 funds will reduce local property taxes by up to several million dollars statewide, with about one-half of any losses reimbursed to school districts under State law. Also, to the extent that bond funds are used for acquisition and development of new facilities, State and local agencies may incur increased costs for operation and maintenance. These added costs may be partially offset by increased fees and revenue, leaving a potential net cost, statewide, in the tens of millions of dollars annually.

The Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) recommends support of Proposition 84, as it will provide the best near-term opportunity for the County to compete for significant grant funding for priority park projects and resource improvements. If a per capita allocation distribution were used DPR indicates that the County would receive approximately \$20 million in local assistance grants for the acquisition, development and improvement of local and regional park and recreation facilities. In addition, the Department's competitive grant efforts, if successful could yield as much as \$10 million for nature education and research facilities at local parks and botanic gardens.

The Department of Public Works (DPW) recommends support of Proposition 84, as the proposition would provide funds for the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP) efforts taking place throughout the County. The Los Angeles County Flood

Control District is the lead for the IRWMP for the Greater Los Angeles County Region, and the Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is the lead for the IRWMP in the Antelope Valley. DPW reports that the proposition would make competitive grants available to implement IRWMP projects countywide to improve water quality, protect water supply, and provide open space and recreational opportunities. Funding opportunities would also be available for projects within the Los Angeles and San Gabriel River watersheds and for flood protection and floodplain mapping.

The Department of Beaches and Harbors recommends support of Proposition 84, as the measure would provide a much needed revenue source to fund wastewater treatment projects to improve water quality at public beaches and may provide an opportunity to fund projects to eliminate persistent flooding problems at Santa Monica Outfall and Zuma Creek.

Proposition 84 has been endorsed by a large number of governmental agencies, business associations, environmental groups, and individual political leaders, including: U.S. Senator Diane Feinstein, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger, State Treasurer Phil Angelides, Senate President pro Tem Don Perata, Assembly Speaker Fabian Nuñez, the California Parks and Recreation Society, the California Coastal Coalition, the Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce, the California League of Conservation Voters, the League of Women Voters, the League of California Cities, the Watershed Conservation Authority, the Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers Watershed Council, Los Angeles Conservation Corps, Heal the Bay, the Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, and the Long Beach Water Department. There is no registered opposition.

Support of Proposition 84 is consistent with Board policy included in the County's State Legislative Agenda, to support measures that increase funding for environmental protection programs and capital projects, including resource, open space, and shoreline protection, Santa Monica Bay restoration, and beach restoration; however, a position on a bond related initiative, such as Proposition 84, is a matter of Board policy determination.

DEJ:GK
MAL:JF:LY:cc

Attachment

c: Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Department of Beaches and Harbors
Department of Parks and Recreation
Department of Public Works

Proposition 84: The Clean Water, Parks and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006. Initiative Statute. \$5.388 billion

Program Categories	Amount	Program Funding	LA County regional benefit
1 Safe Drinking Water and Water Quality	\$ 1,525,000,000		
• Integrated Regional Water Management		\$ 1,000,000,000	
1- LA sub-region local assistance grants			\$ 215,000,000
• Safe Drinking Water		\$ 380,000,000	
• Delta and Agriculture Water Quality		\$ 145,000,000	
2 Flood Control	\$ 800,000,000		
• State flood control projects		\$ 315,000,000	
• Flood Control Projects in the Delta		\$ 275,000,000	
• Local flood control subventions		\$ 180,000,000	
• Flood plain mapping and assistance for local land use planning		\$ 30,000,000	
3 Statewide Water Planning	\$ 65,000,000		
• Planning for future water needs, water conveyance systems and flood control projects		\$ 65,000,000	
4 Protection of Rivers, Lakes and Streams	\$ 928,000,000		
• Regional Conservancies		\$ 279,000,000	
1- Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy			\$ 36,000,000
2- San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy			\$ 36,000,000
• Stormwater pollution prevention		\$ 90,000,000	
• California River Parkways Act of 2004		\$ 72,000,000	
• Urban stream restoration		\$ 18,000,000	
• California Conservation Corps		\$ 45,000,000	
• Other projects		\$ 424,000,000	
5 Forest and Wildlife Conservation	\$ 450,000,000		
• Wildlife habitat protection		\$ 225,000,000	
• Forest conservation		\$ 180,000,000	
• Protection of ranches, farms and oak woodlands		\$ 45,000,000	
6 Protection of Beaches, Bays and Coastal Waters	\$ 540,000,000		
• State Coastal Conservancy		\$ 135,000,000	
• Regional Conservancies		\$ 225,000,000	
1- Baldwin Hills Conservancy			\$ 10,000,000
2- Rivers and Mountains Conservancy*			\$ 15,000,000
3- Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy			\$ 20,000,000
• Clean Beaches Program		\$ 90,000,000	
1- Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission			not less than \$ 18,000,000
• California Ocean Protection Trust Fund		\$ 90,000,000	
7 Parks and Nature Education Facilities	\$ 500,000,000		
• Acquisition, development, and restoration of the State Parks		\$ 400,000,000	
• Local parks for nature education and research facilities		\$ 100,000,000	
8 Sustainable Communities and Climate Change Reduction	\$ 580,000,000		
Local and regional parks**		\$ 400,000,000	
• Urban water and energy conservation projects		\$ 90,000,000	
• Incentive for conservation in local planning		\$ 90,000,000	
Total	\$ 5,388,000,000	\$ 5,388,000,000	

* Likely misprint in the initiative text. Reference to the San Gabriel and Lower LA Rivers and Mountains Conservancy is assumed.

** Funds may be allocated to existing programs or subject to legislation enacted to implement this subdivision