



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

Agenda #	Relate To	Position	The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:		
			Name	Comments	Attachment
3.		Favor	Adrian D Moon	Defendants Catalina Rodas , bar # 278694,(22-0-22105), Eric Castelblanco,bar#242327, Leah Gershon,bar#156241, and Daniel Juarez,Deborah Christian recused bench officers criminally conspired to steal \$2 million dollars from creditor Adrian Moon and illegally removed Moon from his primary residence 1717 West 57th street L.A. CA 90062 rendering Moon homeless since June 18, 2022 to date! Anti-Semetic Hate	Yes
			ADRIAN D MOON	TO APPOINT ADRIAN D. MOON AS CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTISEMITIC REFORM, CONSULATANT FOR \$350.000.OO AND BY EXECUTIVE ORDER TO PAY ADRIAN D. MOON THE LUMP SUM OF SEVEN (7) BILLION DOLLARS AND KATHRYN BARGER-LIEBRICH AND EX SHERIFF ALEX VILLANUEVA TO TURN THEMSELVES OVER INTO THE CUSTODY OF THE LOS COUNTY SHERIFF DEPT. SEE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES VS ALEX VILLANUEVA ET. AL. CASE NOS. 22STCP00120/ 22STRO03447 AND COURT OF APPEAL CASES B324525/B323122	Yes
			Adrian D Moon	Lucifer and his Children of Iniquity Kathryn Barger-Liebrich, felon David Leroy Bacaand Michael Johnson, Beach officer and D.A. Christopher Allen Darden, Jacqueline Lacey and George Gascon criminally conspired several attempts of Murder against 'daredeemer' CZAR of Homelessness and Anti-Semitic Reform	Yes
			Adrian D Moon	50% of all Homeless and Anti-Semiric Hate stems from Fesia Davenport, Nappyhead Roye Randall, Jennifer Coultas and contaminated cunt Kathryn Barger-Liebrich's stealing of 'daredeemer's Money and Parental Alienation for the last Fifteen Years still on going at the behest of Lucifer da fallen Loser!!! and not signing the Stipulation Agreement for the promised Seven (7) Billion	Yes
			Adrian D Moon	Universal Hater of All Hebrew Jews and HUE-MANS , SATAN the Devil,aka Lucifer da fallen Loser and his Children of Iniquity (C.C.B. Inc.) known Mob Bosses Defendant David O. Carter, Kathryn Barger-Liebrich,C.Edward Simpson, Michael Johnson,(BA332095) Alex Villanueva, Jacqueline Lacey, George Gascon, William Little,Holly J. Mitchell, Maura Cooney,Michael Moore,L.A.P.D. Chief,Fesia Davenport, C.E.O., William Little have came against 'daredeemer' to Kill ( Attempted Murder see People of California, cases BA332095;BA362256;8PRO5334;8PRO7115;0PRO00351) STEAL (B.O.S. CLAIM # 22-4411118;22-441414811; Garbutt v. Moon, cases GC037352;GC040442; Boddie-Moon v. Moon,case no.B481244;Moon vs.Estate of Clayton,cases no. 22STPB08696;22STCV28426)and Destroy ( read John 8:44; John 10:10 ET.seq.N.K.J.V.decree of 1611) Appointment of 'daredeemer' As CZAR of Homelessness and Anti-Semetic Reform and Executive Order in favor of Adrian D. Moon for lumber sum of Seven Billion Dollars!!!	Yes



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:					
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments	Attachment
3.		<b>Favor</b>	Adrian D Moon	50% of false imprisonment and Homelessness and Anti Semitic Hate has been systemically and criminally imposed by Kathryn Barger-Liebrich, Leroy David Baca, Jacqueline Lacey, George Gascon Ex Sheriff Alex Villanueva Maura Cooney and Felicia Tulikka Clayton a warrant shall issue on their arrests!	Yes
			Adrian D Moon	50% of false imprisonment and Homelessness and Anti Semitic Hate has been systemically and criminally imposed by Kathryn Barger-Liebrich, Leroy David Baca, Jacqueline Lacey, George Gascon Ex Sheriff Alex Villanueva Maura Cooney and Felicia Tulikka Clayton a warrant shall issue on their arrests!	Yes
			Angelica Cardenas	It's a Lotta homeless because they're the rent is too high	No
			Commission on HIV Commission on HIV	On behalf of the Commission on HIV, we would like to thank the Board of Supervisors for your motion to proclaim a local emergency for homelessness in Los Angeles County agendized in your January 10, 2023 meeting. Stable housing is closely linked to successful HIV outcomes. With safe, decent, and affordable housing, people with HIV are better able to access medical care and supportive services, get on HIV treatment, take their HIV medication consistently, and see their healthcare provider regularly. Homelessness and poverty are inextricably linked. The homelessness crisis further exacerbates the hardships faced by people living with diagnosed HIV (PLWDH). Based on data collected in Medical Monitoring Project (MMP), it was estimated that nearly half of PLWDH in the County from 2015- 19 were living at or below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) in the past 12 months. Approximately 11% of PLWDH in 2015-19 experienced homelessness in the past 12 months. Among clients using County-funded HIV care services experiencing homelessness, most (80%) were living at or below FPL in the past 12 months. We urge you to keep the lives of people of living with HIV at the forefront of the county-wide response to end the homeless crisis. We also would like to reaffirm our appeal to you to remain steadfast in addressing the persistent and ongoing STD crisis in our community. Please see the last communication (attached) we sent to your respective offices regarding our support for sustained and coordinated action to curb the STD crisis.  Thank you, Bridget Gordon and Luckie Alexander Fuller 2023 Commission on HIV Co-Chairs	Yes
			Genevieve M Clavreul	It's about time that the County took a more proactive role in direct oversight of LAHSA by hiring more County-direct staff; as it's obvious that LAHSA continues to operate like a 9-5 mom and pop shop. Also the hiring of a Quality Assurance (QA) expert is a must if the County every truly wishes to see positive progress.	No

As of: 1/11/2023 8:13:23 AM





**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:					
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments	Attachment
3.		<b>Favor</b>	Kristen Aster	<p>One of Los Angeles County's largest housing and social services agencies and present in every Service Planning Area (SPA), The People Concern is an expert in connecting with people on the streets, bringing them inside and then keeping them inside. 92% of people placed in permanent housing by The People Concern never experience homelessness again.? We support, with appreciation, Agenda Item 3 regarding a county state of emergency on homelessness.</p> <p>As noted in the motion, our region is facing a humanitarian crisis of homelessness and we need all tools in the toolbox, with strong coordination across government and with service providers, to end it. We note with particular appreciation the call to find efficiencies in contracting and procurement process, in order for resources to get out the door as quickly as possible. We also support the attention to the workforce hiring and retention issues in the public mental health system, and interest in solutions to facilitate this hiring. Contracted providers like The People Concern face similar workforce challenges to the county as part of our work to implement County projects. We encourage the county to consider making similar incentives available for contracted service providers so that we can truly expand the public mental health workforce and support all of our frontline staff doing this critical work, so that our clients can have the most effective, comprehensive support possible. Additionally, we support the plans to have the County Homeless Initiative lead the overall coordination of the emergency response and to assume a leadership role in managing the local emergency proclamation.</p> <p>Thank you again for these bold actions to address homelessness. Together, we can make Los Angeles County housed, healthy, and safe.</p>	No



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS  
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS  
HOLLY J. MITCHELL  
LINDSEY P. HORVATH  
JANICE HAHN  
KATHRYN BARGER

**Correspondence Received**

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:					
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments	Attachment
3.		Favor	Maribel Marin	<p>211 LA is the information lifeline of the homeless crisis, answering thousands of calls for help on a daily basis. We support the county's Emergency Proclamation and recommend focusing attention on getting more beds and shelter space. The situation is dire, and the demand for beds continually outpaces the supply.</p> <p>Every day, 211 LA goes above and beyond its contractual duty to point the county's most vulnerable in the right directions. 211 LA has secured relationships with 20 motels with the capacity of 1,194 rooms. However, these rooms are also available to the public, other housing service providers and are not solely reserved for use by 211 LA for active Winter Shelter events. Therefore, there are typically each day only 1-2 winter shelter beds available, putting additional pressure on available motel spaces. You heard correctly: one to two beds per day.</p> <p>Overall, there are currently fewer available beds and shelter spaces than there were last year. The complex process of getting people to the appropriate places also needs to be streamlined. The emergency is real. Getting more beds and shelters is the answer, and time is of the essence. We have ideas and would be happy to provide a briefing on the situation.</p>	Yes
			Michael Jimenez		No
			Sarah Rubinstein	<p>The United Way of Greater Los Angeles strongly supports Item Three, the Proclamation of Local Emergency for Homelessness in the County of Los Angeles. We thank Supervisors Horvath and Barger for recognizing that the Homeless Initiative is best suited to lead the overall coordination of the emergency response for this declaration in order to ensure an effective intergovernmental response to our region's crisis. Empowering the Homeless Initiative to use its expertise and centralized location to lead the emergency response is the first step to ensure that the County can disrupt the status quo by quickly resolving inter-agency governance challenges that slow effective progress, align and coordinate resources across county departments, and ensure a unified framework amongst our 88 cities and unincorporated areas. By leveraging this existing and significant power that is already entrusted to the CEO, this streamlined approach will have the budgetary and administrative authority to ensure alignment with and accountability to the new CEO- HI framework and will ensure that the County can marshal all the local, state, and federal resources we need to end this crisis. The United Way of Greater Los Angeles looks forward to partnering with the County in its continued response to bring all LA residents inside to permanent, affordable, and dignified housing.</p>	No

			The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:		
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments	Attachment
3.		Favor	Theodore Trentman	Many of our homeless have pets and I want to encourage the council women to think about the needs of homeless residents who have pets. I want to thank Janice Hahn for mentioning the development of broader veterinarian services provided to county homeless residences and also invite inclusion of their pets in this proclamation,. when we leave out a homeless person's pet in a proclamation, I promise you the pets will be forgotten and end up in our animal pounds. Thank you for including homeless pets too. OpenTheShelters.com	No
			Wendy Wang	Dear Board of Supervisors, Sycamores is writing to express its support for the proclamation of a local emergency as it relates to homelessness. As outlined in the Board motion, we concur that the pervasive nature of homelessness in LA County and the conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons who are currently experiencing homelessness have been met to justify this timely emergency declaration. Sycamores concurs with the Board's directive authorizing the Chief Executive Office, Homeless Initiative, Office of Emergency Management, and other relevant departments to take all necessary steps in six critical categories: contracting and procurement, hiring, housing, services, spending and communication and outreach. As LA County looks to expedite its recruitment and hiring practices to fill critical vacancies, we strongly urge LA County to carefully consider how these changes will impact the entire public behavioral health system and entire homeless services response system that includes contracted providers. Thank you for your consideration of our comments. Sincerely, Wendy Wang, MPP Chief Public Policy and Advocacy	No
		Oppose	Carolyn Hutchins		No
		Item Total	17		
Grand Total			17		

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL/ CRIMINAL**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,**  
**ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.**

Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Defendant  
Intervenor-Victim,

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ET. AL.,**

Defendant(s)

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case; Moon v. Cities  
/Counties of L.A. /D.C. ET. AL. 22STRO03447

**LEAVE OF COURT TO FILE**  
**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**  
**AGAINST DEFENDANT** *Louis Rodriguez*  
**NEGRO CIVIL CLERK ET. AL.**

*AND* Date: January 17, 2023

TIME: 9:10 A.M.

DEPT.: 10A

Loc.: 411 W. Fourth St. San Antonio CA

**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,  
hereby lodges a Formal Criminal Complaint against Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* <sup>AND</sup> Negro Civil Clerk of  
the U.S. Central District Clerk's office.

1. On January 3, 2023, about 3:30 p.m. in the Civil Clerks office, Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* <sup>AND</sup> Negro  
Civil Clerk, see attached Motion 1 ( Picture) incorporated by this reference. was being very rude and  
unprofessional due to the fact that 'daredeemer' had informed Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* <sup>AND</sup> Negro  
Civil Clerk that " I AM a descendant of Hebrew Jews ! " Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* <sup>AND</sup> Negro  
Civil Clerk then immediately started saying, " You can't file anything into this case You have an order  
stating You can't ! " 'daredeemer' replied to Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* <sup>AND</sup> Negro Civil Clerk, " that  
the Order doesn't apply to Notices to the Court.!" 'daredeemer' than requested a copy of the Order ,  
see attached Motions 2 through 4 (ORDER) incorporated by this reference!



# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

## By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...

2. 'daredeemer' immediately complied to the Order as the Request was only one page and it had the Attachment and the certification and Proof of Service ? see attached Motions 5 through 20 ( Request for Leave to file attached (1) page and the attachment and Proof of Service ) but Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* AND *AND* Negro Civil Clerk , Yelled out ! " Jew we are not filing this Document ! " so 'daredeemer' asked to speak to Defendant's Supervisor ? Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* AND Negro Civil Clerk returned to the Window and stated, "My Supervisor is in a Meeting and he would be out shortly " then Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* AND Negro Civil Clerk had in his possession a 302 page document that 'daredeemer' had filed and left with Mexican Civil Clerk , Luis on Friday, December 30, 2022, An Emergency Motion For Temporary Restraining Order against Defendant Kathryn Barger-Liebrich . Defendant *Louis Rodriguez* AND Negro Civil Clerk throw it out the Clerks window and Yelled, " Jew ! we are not filing this document either ! " then summoned three (3) Marshalls *who would not provide their names* and directed them to throw 'daredeemer's 302 pages Emergency Temporary Restraining Order in the Trash and had 'daredeemer' removed from the Building ! The Supervising Clerk *Luis Rodriguez* never showed to the Clerk's window.

3. 'daredeemer' was ashamed to try to file Documents as a Hebrew Jew and a citizen of the United States just to be ridiculed and inflicted with much pain and suffering by the Defendants of the U.S. Central District Courthouse ! 'daredeemer' request a thorough expeditious investigation be launched by the proper policing agency into this anti-semitic , obstruction of justice that 'daredeemer' had to endure by the employees of Los Angeles County as there is an hearing on these matters calendared for January 17, 2023!!! Feel Free to call 'daredeemer' expeditiously !!! AMEN 'daredeemer' proclaims and decree under the penalty of Sin that the foregoing is true and correct, so help me God.

4. The proposed filing is not frivolous as it is an anti-semitic attack. not an attack on previous filings on new issues not already raised and rejected

'liberia nos a malo'

Dated: January 3, 2023

ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

4. On Jan 4, 2023, at 1:30 p.m. in the U.S. Central District Civil Clerk's office, the Negro (would not provide his name) clerk would not get his supervisor, Luis Rodriguez. And would not file this instant criminal complaint, then summoned the Federal Police officers Jeff Larson #694, Glenn Lynn #71 and Lai #932, who illegally removed 'daredeemer' from the building

FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFENDANT

NEGRO COURT CLERK FROM LYING,

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE ET. SEQ. - 2



C.C.B. Inc. Member





UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. LA CV 20-02291-DOC-KES

Date: September 29, 2022  
Page 3

encourage . . . unwarranted or exaggerated claims”); *see also Procup v. Strickland*, 792 F.2d 1069, 1073 (11th Cir. 1986) (“Federal courts have both the inherent power and the constitutional obligation to protect their jurisdiction from conduct which impairs their ability to carry out Article III functions.”).

Accordingly, for any future filings in this action, Adrian D. Moon shall first obtain leave of the Court and:

- a. shall not exceed three pages,
- b. shall attach the proposed filing,
- c. shall explain why the proposed filing is not frivolous,
- d. shall explain why the proposed filing is not an attack on any previous order entered in this case, and
- e. shall certify, by affidavit and under the penalty of perjury, that the proposed filing raises a new issue that has not already been rejected by the Court.

Failure to comply with any of the above requirements will result in the striking of that filing without further notice. Mr. Moon is forewarned that if he abuses these restricted filing privileges, the Court will take further injunctive action.

The Clerk shall serve this minute order on the parties.

Initials of Deputy Clerk: kdu

MINUTES FORM 11  
CIVIL-GEN\_daq

Motwin 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES

Date: September 29, 2022

Title: LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS et al. v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES et al.

---

PRESENT:

THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE

Karlen Dubon  
Courtroom Clerk

Not Present  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
PLAINTIFF:  
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
DEFENDANT:  
None Present

---

**PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER DENYING REQUESTS TO  
INTERVENE [444, 446, 448, 461]  
AND MOTION TO RECONSIDER  
[469], AND ORDERING PRE-  
FILING RESTRICTIONS**

Before the Court is *pro se* litigant Adrian D. Moon's repeated motions to intervene in the instant case, (Dkts. 444, 446, 448, 461), and his Emergency Motion for Reconsideration of Recusal Motion ("Motion for Reconsideration") (Dkt. 474). The Court concludes that Mr. Moon has not met the standards for either intervention as of right or permissive intervention under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24.

The Court thus **DENIES** Mr. Moon's requests to intervene. Accordingly, all other motions filed by Mr. Moon, including the Motion for Reconsideration, are **DENIED** as moot. Additionally, for reasons set forth below, the Court **ORDERS** pre-filing review of any filing action Mr. Moon attempts to take in this action.

District courts have the inherent power to file restrictive pre-filing orders against vexatious litigants. *Weissman v. Quail Lodge Inc.*, 179 F.3d 1194, 1197 (9th Cir. 1999). Such pre-filing orders may enjoin the litigant from filing further actions or papers unless he or she first meets certain requirements, such as obtaining leave of the court or filing declarations that support the merits of the case. *See e.g., O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d

Motion 3



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. LA CV 20-02291-DOC-KES

Date: September 29, 2022

Page 2

614, 616 (9th Cir.1990) (requiring *pro se* inmate deemed vexatious litigant to show good cause before being permitted to file future actions); *De Long v. Hennessey*, 912 F.2d 1144, 1146–47 (9th Cir. 1990) (prohibiting filings of *pro se* litigant proceeding in forma pauperis without leave of the district court); *Moy v. United States*, 906 F.2d 467, 469 (9th Cir.1990) (forbidding *pro se* plaintiff from filing further complaints without prior approval of district court).

Although the Ninth Circuit has cautioned that pre-filing orders are an extreme remedy rarely to be used, it has made clear that “[f]lagrant abuse of the judicial process cannot be tolerated because it enables one person to preempt the use of judicial time that properly could be used to consider the meritorious claims of other litigants.” *De Long*, 912 F.2d at 1147–48. In light of that directive, district courts examine four factors before entering pre-filing orders. First, the litigant must be given notice and a chance to be heard before the order is entered. *Id.* at 1147. Second, the court must compile “an adequate record for review.” *Id.* at 1147–48 (“At the least, the record needs to show, in some manner, that the litigant’s activities were numerous or abusive.”). Third, the district court must make substantive findings about the frivolous or harassing nature of the plaintiff’s litigation. *Id.* Finally, the vexatious litigant order “must be narrowly tailored to closely fit the specific vice encountered.” *Id.*; see also *Molski v. Evergreen Dynasty Corp.*, 500 F.3d 1047, 1057 (9th Cir. 2007) (discussing *DeLong* factors).

This Court finds Mr. Moon a vexatious litigant. In his seven extensive filings over the last three months, Mr. Moon identifies himself as the “chosen one” and “begotten son of the Almighty,” and requests this Court to grant “daredeemer’s motion for order to the Los Angeles Probation Department to produce Adrian D. Moon’s (AB109) probation file.” See Motion for Reconsideration, at 18. Beyond being outside the scope of this action, Mr. Moon’s requests are also outside the scope of this Court’s jurisdiction.

Mr. Moon’s filing history in this district further lends support to this Court’s conclusion. In one dismissed action, the Magistrate Judge explained that Mr. Moon “purports to assert claims under the Supremacy Clause, names Satan as a defendant, and names several individuals who are immune from suit including V.P. Kamala Harris [and] Gov. Newsom.” See *Whetstone v. Moon*, No. 2:21-cv-05983-SVW-KS (C.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2021) (Dkt. 6) (denying Mr. Moon’s *in forma pauperis* application for “being [l]egally and/or factually patently frivolous”).

In the present case, Mr. Moon makes similar claims and enough unsolicited filings to provide justification for this Court to require pre-filing review. See *Molski*, 500 F.3d at 1065 (9th Cir. 2007) (approving pre-filing order where it “will not stand in the way of advocacy for legitimate claims” and help avoid the use of litigation as “a device to

*Motion 4*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL AND CRIMINAL**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,**  
**ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.,**

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**  
Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Intervenor

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET. AL.,**

**At the Behest of Satan da Devil,**

Defendant-Defendant-Intervenor-  
Victim,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case: County of L.A.  
vs. Moon, case no. 22STRO03447 Superior Ct.  
**LEAVE OF COURT TO FILE ATTACHED**  
**NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O.**  
**CARTER OF THE AMENDED ORDER TO**  
**APPOINT ADRIAN D. MOON AS AN**  
**CONSULTANT UNDER THE TITLE OF "**  
**CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI-**  
**SEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT THREE**  
**HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND**  
**DOLLARS ET. SEG. HEARING ON**  
**JANUARY 10, 2022 AT NEXT BOARD**  
**MEETING. SEE, READ AND CONSIDER**  
**ATTACHED MOTION A -FIRST AMENDED**  
**MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN**  
**INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE...**

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023**

**TIME: 9:00 a.m. or soon thereafter to heard**  
**DEPT.: 10 A**

**LOC.: 411 W. Fourth St. Santa Ana CA 92701**

**HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, presiding**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) hereby submits Notice to the Honorable David  
O. Carter of the Amended Motion by Chairperson , Janice Hahn, not Holly J. Mitchell of the Los  
Angeles County Board of Supervisor as to the Appoint of Czar of Homelessness and Anti-Semitic  
Reform as a Consultant retained for \$350,000.00 at the Board Meeting hearing on January 10, 2023.  
DATED: January 3, 2023 *certified under da penalty of Perjury that cases a now  
issued not covered.*

*Adrian D. Moon*  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER , JUDGE OF THE FIRST AMENDED MOTION BY JANICE  
HAHN CHAIRPERSON OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO HIRE AS AN  
CONSULATANT AS ADRIAN D. MOON UNDER THE TITLE OF "CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND  
ANTISEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT \$350,000.00 ET. SEQ. - 1

*Motion 5*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL AND CRIMINAL**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,**  
**ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.,**

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**

Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Intervenor

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET. AL.,**

**At the Behest of Satan da Devil,**

Defendant-Defendant-Intervenor-  
Victim,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case: County of L.A.  
vs. Moon, case no. 22STRO03447 Superior Ct.

**NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O.  
CARTER OF THE AMENDED ORDER TO  
APPOINT ADRIAN D. MOON AS AN  
CONSULTANT UNDER THE TITLE OF "  
CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI-  
SEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT THREE  
HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND  
DOLLARS ET. SEG. HEARING ON  
JANUARY 10, 2022 AT NEXT BOARD  
MEETING. SEE, READ AND CONSIDER  
ATTACHED MOTION A -FIRST AMENDED  
MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFENCE...**

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023**

**TIME: 9:00 a.m. or soon thereafter to heard**

**DEPT.: 10 A**

**LOC.: 411 W. Fourth St. Santa Ana CA 92701**

**HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, presiding**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) hereby submits Notice to the Honorable David  
O. Carter of the Amended Motion by Chairperson , Janice Hahn, not Holly J. Mitchell of the Los  
Angeles County Board of Supervisor as to the Appoint of Czar of Homelessness and Anti-Semitic  
Reform as a Consultant retained for \$350,000.00 at the Board Meeting hearing on January 10, 2023.  
DATED: January 3, 2023

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER , JUDGE OF THE FIRST AMENDED MOTION BY JANICE  
HAHN CHAIRPERSON OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO HIRE AS AN  
CONSULATANT AS ADRIAN D. MOON UNDER THE TITLE OF "CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND  
ANTISEMICTIC REFORM RETAINED AT \$350,000.00 ET. SEQ. - 1

*Motion &*

*" ATTACHMENT TO BE FILED "*

*First Amended*  
MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN

AGN. NO.  
*January 19 2022*  
~~December 20, 2022~~

**County Support of the City of Los Angeles in their State of Emergency**

The number of individuals experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County (County) has grown steadily over the last few years. After being on pause for a year during the COVID-19 pandemic, the Los Angeles County Homeless Count resumed in February 2022 and counted a total of 69,144 people experiencing homelessness, up from 66,436 in February 2020. As the largest city in the County, the City of Los Angeles (City) has the highest number of unhoused individuals with almost 42,000 people experiencing homelessness within the City. *see, read and consider attached Motions 1 through 9 incorporated by this reference!*

On December 11, 2022, Karen Bass was sworn in as the 43rd Mayor of Los Angeles. The next day, she declared a state of emergency on homelessness. The goal behind this declaration is to be able to mobilize resources more quickly to address the issue of homelessness and move housing projects forward without being delayed by cumbersome red tape. Although the declaration itself will not be a silver bullet to solving the homelessness crisis, it does provide some cautious hope of quicker movement in an area that has for too long been stalled by bureaucracy.

*Since August 13, 2009, Lucifer and his gang (C.C.B. Inc) has kept 'Jared Deemer' Homeless because he is a descendant of Hebrew Jews.*

SOLIS

IN FAVOR

MITCHELL

IN FAVOR

HORVATH

IN FAVOR

BARGER

OPPOSED

HAHN

IN FAVOR

*Motion 7*

*Motion A*



The City's efforts to reduce homelessness will only be successful with the cooperation of the County. It is the County that provides many of the services that individuals experiencing homelessness require and that will be a vital component of their path towards permanent housing. These services include those provided by the Department of Mental Health, Department of Health Services, Department of Public Health, and Department of Public Social Services. It is imperative that the County work with the City to provide comprehensive services and support to all of our residents experiencing homelessness. Now that the City has declared an official state of emergency surrounding homelessness, we need to double down on our collaboration and treat the crisis with the urgency it deserves.

**I, THEREFORE, MOVE** that the Board of Supervisors direct the Directors of the Department of Health Services and the Department of Public Health, and the Interim Directors of the Department of Public Social Services and the Department of Mental Health to support the City of Los Angeles in its declaration of a state of emergency on homelessness by doing the following:

1. By January 15, 2023, appoint ~~a departmental executive to represent each~~ <sup>ADRIAN D. MOON AS CZAR OF</sup> ~~each~~ <sup>HOMELESSNESS AND ANTISEMITIC REFORM to represent</sup> department at City leadership meetings regarding the declaration of emergency for homelessness and the Inside Safe encampment to home program; <sup>AND TO ADDRESS ANTI-SEMITIC REFORM BY UNIFOR AND C.C.B. INC. Salary \$350K LUMP SUM.</sup>
2. By January 31, 2023, identify departmental liaisons who will work with outreach teams in the City to assess and connect unsheltered individuals experiencing homelessness to the County departmental services that they are eligible for, such as mental health counseling, substance use counseling, housing navigation, Medi-

Cal enrollment, and domestic violence services, with the goal of getting people into housing; and

3. By February 15, 2023, establish teams who will work directly with interim housing providers in the City to ensure that clients in interim housing are assessed and connected to County departmental services that they are eligible for, including providing services onsite as needed to ensure service connections.

**I, FURTHER, MOVE** Direct the Director of the Homeless Initiative to ensure Homeless Initiative funded programs and services are aligned to support the City state of emergency declaration; collaborate with DHS, DPH, DMH, and DPSS to implement the above directives; and to report back the Board on progress implementing the directives at 60 and 180 days. The 60-day report back should include departmental needs to support the City's declaration of emergency, including the possibility of redirecting County staff to align with the City's declaration of emergency.

# # #

JH:is

*me furi 9*





Supervisors Back LA City Homeless Emergency Declaration - Random Lengths News

Visit

Images may be subject to copyright. [Learn More](#)



Related content

Lil  
cutie?

Daddy's  
Lil Girl

Daddy's  
Big Girl

Mob Boss  
Kathryn da Sunner



Motion 10

Motion 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAR 16 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

LEAH WHETSTONE,

No. 21-55883

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No.

v.

2:21-cv-05983-SVW-KS

ADRIAN MOON,

Central District of California,  
Los Angeles

Defendant-cross-claimant-  
Appellant,

ORDER

v.

SATAN THE DEVIL; et al.,

Cross-defendants-Appellees.

Before: TASHIMA, FRIEDLAND, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the notice of appeal filed August 9, 2021 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 14-80006. Because the appeal is so insubstantial as to not warrant further review, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 21-55883 is therefore dismissed.

All pending motions are denied.

Appellant's motion to lift the pre-filing review order will be addressed in a separate order in docket No. 14-80006.

This order, served on the district court for the Central District of California,  
shall constitute the mandate of this court.

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, stay of the mandate,  
or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained.

**DISMISSED.**



# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

By Proclamation And Decree Under the Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Respondent-Victim

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
STANLEY MOSK - FAMILY LAW CHILD SUPPORT DIVISION  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**JILL BODDIE-MOON,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

Petitioner,

vs.

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

**By Authority of The Almighty Creator,**

Respondent,

**TERRIE HARDY,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

C.S.S.D.,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. BD481244

**B.O.S. # 22-441118**

NOTICE TO COMMISSIONER JEFFERY W. KORN OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST TERRIE HARDY, DIRECTOR OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES DEPARTMENT ET. AL. BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLAIM NUMBER 22-441118 FILED ON NOVEMBER 1, 2022 SEE READ AND CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS 1, 2 INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE...

DATE: DECEMBER 12, 2022  
TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: 41  
LOC.: 111 N. Hill Street L.A. CA 90012

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER JEFFEREY W. KORN, presiding

COVID-19: HOST, HOST, OR HOLY SPIRIT

## DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Respondent-Victim, chosen one, begotten Son of da Almighty Creator in the name of Yeshua Jesus Christ da Messiah... paragon, with integrity , and veracity , proclaim and decree as follows,

NOTICE TO COMMISSIONER JEFFERY W. KORN OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST TERRIE HARDY ET. AL. BOARD OF SUPERVISOR DAMAGE CLAIM # 22-441118 ET. SEQ. - 1

**ATTACHMENT 3d, 8, 10 ... (6) PAGES**  
**Motion 13**  
**Motion 3**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

1 Notice is hereby given that on Monday, December 12, 2022 at 8 :30 a.m. in dept. 41 , located at  
2 111 North Hill street, L.A. CA 90012, 'daredeemer' shall move this court by way of an Emergency  
3 Application to Vacate, Set-aside and Strike the voidable order Child and Spousal Support Order of  
4 March 13, 2008, retroactively to March 13, 2008 of Disqualified (3) times Rudolph Diaz, Bench Officer.

5 2. 'daredeemer' has personal knowledge of the Undisputed Facts herein referred to as Criminal  
6 Conspiracy , immediate danger, irreparable harm , and any other authority or law to bring this  
7 Application on an Emergency Basis , if called to testify 'daredeemer' would and could completely and  
8 competently at the hearing of this matter . Oral Argument is Requested and Required.

9 **Response To Letter Correspondence dated November 18, 2022:**

10 **3. OBJECTIONS:**

11 A. " We Will continue to enforce your child support arrears in accordance with existing valid  
12 court orders " THERE ARE NO VALID ORDERS EXISTING, THE ORDER OF MARCH 13,  
13 2008 WAS VACATED BY FESIA DAVENPORT ON NOV. 10, 2010 !

14 B. " We do not agree to a stay of enforcement or collections" YOUR OFFICE IS  
15 ILLEGALLY TAKING MORE THAN THE PERCENTAGE ALLOWED FROM 'daredeemer's  
16 INCOME OF ONLY \$1200.00 MONTHLY AND ATTORNEYS JENNIFER COULTAS,  
17 NAPPYHEAD ROYE RANDALL HAVE CRIMINALLY CONPIRED WITH DISQUALIFIED  
18 RUDOLPH DIAZ IN MAINTAINING THE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARAGES ! COULTAS AND  
19 NAPPYHEAD RANDALL UNDERSTAND WHEN A JUDGE IS DISQUALIFIED THEIR RULINGS  
20 ARE VOIDABLE AND SHOULD BE VACATED AND STRICKEN !

21 C. "We will not stipulate to set aside any court orders or zero out arrears " 'daredeemer' HAS  
22 SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION FOR CHILD SUPPORT REDUCTION ON MARCH 22, 2022,  
23 THEN NAPPYHEAD RANDALL SET A LETTER NEEDING MORE DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE  
24 PROVIDED BACK ON AUGUST 15, 2022 ? AND YOUR OFFICE HAS NOT GIVEN 'daredeemer'  
25 AN RULING ON THE APPLICATION ! see, read and consider attached Motion 1,2 (letter dated  
26 11/1/22 ) incorporated by this reference.

27 D. As knucklehead Hardy is not an Lawyer , she doesn't understand that Nappyhead Randall  
28 And Coultas are pathetic liars like their God , read , John : 44 N.K.J.V. decree 1611.

*Motion 14*

*Motion 4*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

1 'daredeemer' proclaims and decree under the penalty of Sin that the foregoing is true and correct.

2 'liberia nos a malo'

3 DATED: December 7, 2022

4 ADRIAN D. MOON, Respondent-Victim

5  
6 (Proposed ) ORDER

7 As this matter came by regularly to the court and upon agreement of the parties to the satisfaction to the  
8 Court that this matter ought to be granted !

9 **FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND AGREED TO BY THE PARTIES , IT IS SO ORDERED,**  
10 **THAT:**

- 11 1. This Emergency Motion is Granted in it's entirety,
- 12 2. It is well established that Rudolph Diaz, Judge was Disqualified Three Times in this case
- 13 and any and all of his orders shall be Vacated , Set-aside and Stricken from all records,
- 14 retroactively especially the Child and Spousal Support Order of March 13, 2008 et. Seq.
- 15 **AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THAT THE COURT DEEMS JUST, PROPER AND EQUITABLE.**

16  
17 DATED: DECEMBER 12, 2022

18  
19  
20  
21 HONORABLE JEFFEREY W. KORN, COMM.  
22 SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 **Example**

Motion 15

Motion 5



D.P.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118



County of Los Angeles  
Child Support Services Department



TERRIE HARDY  
Director

GENIE CHOUGH  
Chief Deputy Director

Commissioner Jessica  
Korn

Sept. 21

(213) 351-7504

November 18, 2022

Adrian Moon  
955 North Lake Avenue  
Pasadena, CA 91104-4518

Dear Mr. Moon:

**IN RE MARRIAGE OF MOON (LASC CASE NO. BD481244)**

We understand that your child support case is very important to you, however we cannot assist you if you continue to use inappropriate and demeaning language when writing or talking to our employees. I caution you not to behave this way in the future. We want to assist you, but will terminate our conversation with you when you speak or act inappropriately.

Additionally, we will not continuously respond to the same issues once they have been answered. Our records reflect that you have repeatedly contacted us about the same issues for which we have already provided answers. Our responses remain the same:

- We will continue to enforce your child support arrears in accordance with existing valid court orders.
- We do not agree to a stay of enforcement or collections.
- We will not stipulate to set aside any court orders or zero out arrears.

Staff Attorney Roye Randall remains your contact for all inquiries. We will not reassign your case. Please direct all communication, whether in writing or by telephone, directly to her:

Roye Randall, Staff Attorney  
Torrance Division  
Los Angeles County Child Support Services Department  
20221 S. Hamilton Avenue, Torrance, CA 90502  
[roye\\_randall@cssd.lacounty.gov](mailto:roye_randall@cssd.lacounty.gov)  
(310) 354-6511

— Monthly income  
is \$1200.00  
— 'Laradeemer'  
has not received  
a ruling on the  
Reduction Application

**EXECUTIVE OFFICES**

5770 South Eastern Ave, Commerce, CA 90040 • (323) 889-3400

"To enrich our community by providing child support services  
in an efficient, effective and professional manner, one family at a time"

Motion 16

Motion 16



D.O.S. CLAIMS 22-41118  
Adrian Moon  
November 18, 2022  
Page 2

Finally, all appointments should be made through and with Ms. Randall. She will set up an appointment with you. If you walk into our offices without an appointment and Ms. Randall is not present, we will take a message for Ms. Randall who will contact you.

Finally, enclosed please also find information regarding the Family Law Facilitator who can provide you with legal assistance.

Sincerely,



Terrie Hardy  
Director

TH: EP

Enclosure

Motion 17

Motion 7



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

COURT COUNSEL

LEAH GERSHON # 242327

111 North Hill Street #546

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Ph. (213) 633-5804

Email: [LGershon@lacourt.org](mailto:LGershon@lacourt.org)

Lawyer for Daniel Juarez, Sharon Harris, Deidre Robertson, Amy M. Pellman, Shelley Kaufman, David Julian Cowen et. al.

Re: "**MEET AND CONFER**" Moon v. Superior Court et. al. Case nos. BD481244/ 22STPB08696/ 20STCV28426

VIA EMAIL: [LGershon@lacourt.org](mailto:LGershon@lacourt.org)

**Dearest Defendant Leah Gershon , Lawyer for Daniel Juarez , David Julian Cowen and Deidre Robertson, All Praises and Glory to the Almighty Creator in the mighty name of Yeshua da Messiah ...** As You and Your clients have for Years now engage in a covert criminal conspiracy against 'daredeemer' We shall meet and confer with the L.A. County Sheriff Department Special Operations Unit, located at 500 W. Temple Street , L.A. CA 90012, Sgt. Fernandez or Matthew Powell (213 ) 893-2031 regarding the most recent crimes committed in cases no. 22STPB08696 / BD481244 / 20STCV28426 / 22STCP00120 whereby You and Your clients criminally denied 'daredeemer' access to the courts and obstructed Justice...

'daredeemer' directed You and Your Office to file the Ex Parte Applications in consolidated cases 22STPB08696 / 22STPB09061 and 20STCV28426 but You and Your office criminally failed to do so. Defedants Deidre Robertson, Adm. II of the Clerks office and Daniel Juarez stated that 'daredeemer' could not file the documents , but failed to provide any statute , order or law to deny rights under the Constitutions and Rules of the Superior Court. Please direct Your clients to file the documents by noon on Monday , December 12, 2022 ! You and Your office need to contact Sgt. Fernandez or Matthew Powell (213) 893-2031 immediately and report the crimes committed by Your clients including Amy M. Pellman and Shelley Kaufman. And 'daredeemer' shall do the same !

DATED: December 11, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

*Motion 18*

" MEET AND CONFER " - 2

*Motion 8*



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:

KATHERINE WILKES et al

CASE NUMBER

20STCV28426

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:

Ina Smiley Clayton, et al.

DEPARTMENT/UNIT

45

TELEPHONE NUMBER

**NOTICE OF REJECTION - PLEADINGS**

We are unable to process your ☒ **complaint/petition** ☐ **answer/response** ☐ **general denial** ☐ **amended complaint** ☐ **cross-complaint** ☐ **other:** Motion submitted on 11/29/2022  
for the following reason(s):

- Marla Webb, Aralima Valentine, Claudette Jasper, Reggie Popach, Deidre Robertson, Sherri R. Carter, Sharon Harris, Peter Antiveres, Lizzy McFarland*
1. ☐ The required fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ was not submitted.
  2. ☐ Check enclosed in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ altered ☐ unsigned.
  3. ☐ The document does not comply with California Rules of Court, rule 2.100.
  4. ☐ The case number ☐ is missing ☐ does not correspond to our records.
  5. ☐ The ☐ attorney of record ☐ attorney's address does not correspond to our records.
  6. ☐ Summons must conform exactly to the caption of the complaint.
  7. ☐ According to the zip code listed in the Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location, this case must be filed at the \_\_\_\_\_ Courthouse.
  8. ☐ The ☐ plaintiff ☐ defendant ☐ attorney of record address has P.O. Box only. Any address including a P.O. Box must include a street address.
  9. ☐ Based upon the prayer amount indicated, this case must be filed as a ☐ Limited ☐ Unlimited Jurisdiction matter.
  10. ☐ Proof of service of cross-complaint on all parties who have appeared on this case is required.
  11. ☐ Reclassification fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is required with filing of ☐ cross-complaint ☐ amended complaint, as this document is changing the jurisdiction of the case.
  12. ☐ A court order is required in order to file this ☐ cross-complaint ☐ amended complaint.
  13. ☐ The clerk cannot accept any discovery for filing.
  14. ☐ Other: court order require to file this document.

*- motion filed on 12/5/22 in case no 22STPB08696, Defendant, Daniel Jarama - Judge has not ruled yet!*  
Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of (

Dated: 12/05/2022

By: E. Galicia

Deputy Clerk



NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S)  
ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

L.A ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS ,  
ADRIAN D.MOON ET. AL.

PLAINTIFF(S),

v.  
CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS  
ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF  
COLUMBIA ET. AL.

DEFENDANT(S).

CASE NUMBER

2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

**PROOF OF SERVICE - ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of LOS ANGELES, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. On JANUARY 3,, 2023, I served a true copy of NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER OF AMENDED ORDER .... by personally delivering it to the person (s) indicated below in the manner as provided in FRCivP 5(b); by depositing it in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope with the postage thereon fully prepaid to the following: (list names and addresses for person(s) served. Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Place of Mailing: LOS ANGELES

Executed on JANUARY 3,, 2023 at LOS ANGELES, California

Please check one of these boxes if service is made by mail:

- ☐ I hereby certify that I am a member of the Bar of the United States District Court, Central District of California.
- ☐ I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
- ☒ I hereby certify under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature]  
Signature of Person Making Service

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SERVICE**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, received a true copy of the within document on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Party Served

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL/ CRIMINAL**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,**  
**ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.**

Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Defendant  
Intervenor-Victim,

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ET. AL. ,**

Defendant(s)

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case; Moon v. Cities  
/Counties of L.A. /D.C. ET. AL. 22STRO03447

**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**  
**AGAINST DEFENDANT**  
**NEGRO CIVIL CLERK ET. AL.**

**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,  
hereby lodges a Formal Criminal Complaint against Defendant Negro Civil Clerk of  
the U.S. Central District Clerk's office.

1. On January 3, 2023, about 3:30 p.m. in the Civil Clerks office, Defendant , Negro  
Civil Clerk, see attached Motion 1 ( Picture) incorporated by this reference. was being very rude and  
unprofessional due to the fact that 'daredeemer' had informed Defendant Negro  
Civil Clerk that " I AM a descendant of Hebrew Jews ! " Defendant Negro  
Civil Clerk then immediately started saying, " You can't file anything into this case You have an order  
stating You can't ! " 'daredeemer' replied to Defendant Negro Civil Clerk," that  
the Order doesn't apply to Notices to the Court.!" 'daredeemer' than requested a copy of the Order ,  
see attached Motions 2 through 4 (ORDER) incorporated by this reference!





**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

2. 'daredeemer' immediately complied to the Order as the Request was only one page and it had the Attachment and the certification and Proof of Service ? see attached Motions 5 through 20 ( Request for Leave to file attached (1) page and the attachment and Proof of Service ) but Defendant , Negro Civil Clerk , Yelled out ! " Jew we are not filing this Document ! " so 'daredeemer' asked to speak to Defendant's Supervisor ? Defendant , Negro Civil Clerk returned to the Window and stated, "My Supervisor is in a Meeting and he would be out shortly " then Defendant Negro Civil Clerk had in his possession a 302 page document that 'daredeemer' had filed and left with Mexican Civil Clerk , Luis on Friday, December 30, 2022, An Emergency Motion For Temporary Restraining Order against Defendant Kathryn Barger-Liebrich . Defendant , Negro Civil Clerk throw it out the Clerks window and Yelled, " Jew ! we are not filing this document either ! " then summoned three (3) Marshalls and directed them to throw 'daredeemer's 302 pages Emergency Temporary Restraining Order in the Trash and had 'daredeemer' removed from the Building ! The Supervising Clerk never showed to the Clerk's window.

3. 'daredeemer' was ashamed to try to file Documents as a Hebrew Jew and a citizen of the United States just to be ridiculed and inflicted with much pain and suffering by the Defendants of the U.S. Central District Courthouse ! 'daredeemer' request a thorough expeditious investigation be launched by the proper policing agency into this anti-semitic , obstruction of justice that 'daredeemer' had to endure by the employees of Los Angeles County as there is an hearing on these matters calendared for January 17, 2023!!! Feel Free to call 'daredeemer' expeditiously !!! AMEN 'daredeemer' proclaims and decree under the penalty of Sin that the foregoing is true and correct, so help me God.

Dated: January 3, 2023

' liberia nos a malo '  
  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM





UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. LA CV 20-02291-DOC-KES

Date: September 29, 2022  
Page 3

encourage . . . unwarranted or exaggerated claims”); *see also Procup v. Strickland*, 792 F.2d 1069, 1073 (11th Cir. 1986) (“Federal courts have both the inherent power and the constitutional obligation to protect their jurisdiction from conduct which impairs their ability to carry out Article III functions.”).

Accordingly, for any future filings in this action, Adrian D. Moon shall first obtain leave of the Court and:

- a. shall not exceed three pages,
- b. shall attach the proposed filing,
- c. shall explain why the proposed filing is not frivolous,
- d. shall explain why the proposed filing is not an attack on any previous order entered in this case, and
- e. shall certify, by affidavit and under the penalty of perjury, that the proposed filing raises a new issue that has not already been rejected by the Court.

Failure to comply with any of the above requirements will result in the striking of that filing without further notice. Mr. Moon is forewarned that if he abuses these restricted filing privileges, the Court will take further injunctive action.

The Clerk shall serve this minute order on the parties.

Initials of Deputy Clerk: kdu

MINUTES FORM 11  
CIVIL-GEN\_daq

Motwin 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES

Date: September 29, 2022

Title: LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS et al. v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES et al.

---

PRESENT:

THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE

Karlen Dubon  
Courtroom Clerk

Not Present  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
PLAINTIFF:  
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
DEFENDANT:  
None Present

---

**PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER DENYING REQUESTS TO  
INTERVENE [444, 446, 448, 461]  
AND MOTION TO RECONSIDER  
[469], AND ORDERING PRE-  
FILING RESTRICTIONS**

Before the Court is *pro se* litigant Adrian D. Moon's repeated motions to intervene in the instant case, (Dkts. 444, 446, 448, 461), and his Emergency Motion for Reconsideration of Recusal Motion ("Motion for Reconsideration") (Dkt. 474). The Court concludes that Mr. Moon has not met the standards for either intervention as of right or permissive intervention under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24.

The Court thus **DENIES** Mr. Moon's requests to intervene. Accordingly, all other motions filed by Mr. Moon, including the Motion for Reconsideration, are **DENIED** as moot. Additionally, for reasons set forth below, the Court **ORDERS** pre-filing review of any filing action Mr. Moon attempts to take in this action.

District courts have the inherent power to file restrictive pre-filing orders against vexatious litigants. *Weissman v. Quail Lodge Inc.*, 179 F.3d 1194, 1197 (9th Cir. 1999). Such pre-filing orders may enjoin the litigant from filing further actions or papers unless he or she first meets certain requirements, such as obtaining leave of the court or filing declarations that support the merits of the case. *See e.g., O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d

Motion 3



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. LA CV 20-02291-DOC-KES

Date: September 29, 2022

Page 2

614, 616 (9th Cir.1990) (requiring *pro se* inmate deemed vexatious litigant to show good cause before being permitted to file future actions); *De Long v. Hennessey*, 912 F.2d 1144, 1146–47 (9th Cir. 1990) (prohibiting filings of *pro se* litigant proceeding in forma pauperis without leave of the district court); *Moy v. United States*, 906 F.2d 467, 469 (9th Cir.1990) (forbidding *pro se* plaintiff from filing further complaints without prior approval of district court).

Although the Ninth Circuit has cautioned that pre-filing orders are an extreme remedy rarely to be used, it has made clear that “[f]lagrant abuse of the judicial process cannot be tolerated because it enables one person to preempt the use of judicial time that properly could be used to consider the meritorious claims of other litigants.” *De Long*, 912 F.2d at 1147–48. In light of that directive, district courts examine four factors before entering pre-filing orders. First, the litigant must be given notice and a chance to be heard before the order is entered. *Id.* at 1147. Second, the court must compile “an adequate record for review.” *Id.* at 1147–48 (“At the least, the record needs to show, in some manner, that the litigant's activities were numerous or abusive.”). Third, the district court must make substantive findings about the frivolous or harassing nature of the plaintiff's litigation. *Id.* Finally, the vexatious litigant order “must be narrowly tailored to closely fit the specific vice encountered.” *Id.*; see also *Molski v. Evergreen Dynasty Corp.*, 500 F.3d 1047, 1057 (9th Cir. 2007) (discussing *DeLong* factors).

This Court finds Mr. Moon a vexatious litigant. In his seven extensive filings over the last three months, Mr. Moon identifies himself as the “chosen one” and “begotten son of the Almighty,” and requests this Court to grant “daredeemer's motion for order to the Los Angeles Probation Department to produce Adrian D. Moon's (AB109) probation file.” See Motion for Reconsideration, at 18. Beyond being outside the scope of this action, Mr. Moon's requests are also outside the scope of this Court's jurisdiction.

Mr. Moon's filing history in this district further lends support to this Court's conclusion. In one dismissed action, the Magistrate Judge explained that Mr. Moon “purports to assert claims under the Supremacy Clause, names Satan as a defendant, and names several individuals who are immune from suit including V.P. Kamala Harris [and] Gov. Newsom.” See *Whetstone v. Moon*, No. 2:21-cv-05983-SVW-KS (C.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2021) (Dkt. 6) (denying Mr. Moon's *in forma pauperis* application for “being [l]egally and/or factually patently frivolous”).

In the present case, Mr. Moon makes similar claims and enough unsolicited filings to provide justification for this Court to require pre-filing review. See *Molski*, 500 F.3d at 1065 (9th Cir. 2007) (approving pre-filing order where it “will not stand in the way of advocacy for legitimate claims” and help avoid the use of litigation as “a device to

*Motion 4*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL AND CRIMINAL**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,**  
**ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.,**

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**  
Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Intervenor

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET. AL.,**

**At the Behest of Satan da Devil,**

Defendant-Defendant-Intervenor-  
Victim,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case: County of L.A.  
vs. Moon, case no. 22STRO03447 Superior Ct.  
**LEAVE OF COURT TO FILE ATTACHED**  
**NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O.**  
**CARTER OF THE AMENDED ORDER TO**  
**APPOINT ADRIAN D. MOON AS AN**  
**CONSULTANT UNDER THE TITLE OF "**  
**CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI-**  
**SEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT THREE**  
**HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND**  
**DOLLARS ET. SEG. HEARING ON**  
**JANUARY 10, 2022 AT NEXT BOARD**  
**MEETING. SEE, READ AND CONSIDER**  
**ATTACHED MOTION A -FIRST AMENDED**  
**MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN**  
**INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE...**

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023**  
**TIME: 9:00 a.m. or soon thereafter to heard**  
**DEPT.: 10 A**  
**LOC.: 411 W. Fourth St. Santa Ana CA 92701**  
**HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, presiding**  
**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) hereby submits Notice to the Honorable David  
O. Carter of the Amended Motion by Chairperson , Janice Hahn, not Holly J. Mitchell of the Los  
Angeles County Board of Supervisor as to the Appoint of Czar of Homelessness and Anti-Semitic  
Reform as a Consultant retained for \$350,000.00 at the Board Meeting hearing on January 10, 2023.  
DATED: January 3, 2023 *certified under da penalty of Perjury that raises a new  
issued not covered.*

*Adrian D. Moon*  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER , JUDGE OF THE FIRST AMENDED MOTION BY JANICE  
HAHN CHAIRPERSON OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO HIRE AS AN  
CONSULATANT AS ADRIAN D. MOON UNDER THE TITLE OF "CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND  
ANTISEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT \$350,000.00 ET. SEQ. - 1

*Motion 5*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**

**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL AND CRIMINAL**

**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,  
ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.,**

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**

Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Intervenor

VS.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES  
AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET. AL. ,**

**At the Behest of Satan da Devil,**

Defendant-Defendant-Intervenor-  
Victim,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case: County of L.A.  
vs. Moon, case no. 22STRO03447 Superior Ct.

**NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O.  
CARTER OF THE AMENDED ORDER TO  
APPOINT ADRIAN D. MOON AS AN  
CONSULTANT UNDER THE TITLE OF "  
CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI-  
SEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT THREE  
HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND  
DOLLARS ET. SEG. HEARING ON  
JANUARY 10, 2022 AT NEXT BOARD  
MEETING. SEE, READ AND CONSIDER  
ATTACHED MOTION A -FIRST AMENDED  
MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFENCE...**

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023**

**TIME: 9:00 a.m. or soon thereafter to heard**

**DEPT.: 10 A**

**LOC.: 411 W. Fourth St. Santa Ana CA 92701**

**HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, presiding**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) hereby submits Notice to the Honorable David  
O. Carter of the Amended Motion by Chairperson , Janice Hahn, not Holly J. Mitchell of the Los  
Angeles County Board of Supervisor as to the Appoint of Czar of Homelessness and Anti-Semitic  
Reform as a Consultant retained for \$350,000.00 at the Board Meeting hearing on January 10, 2023.  
DATED: January 3, 2023

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER , JUDGE OF THE FIRST AMENDED MOTION BY JANICE  
HAHN CHAIRPERSON OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO HIRE AS AN  
CONSULATANT AS ADRIAN D. MOON UNDER THE TITLE OF "CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND  
ANTISEMICTIC REFORM RETAINED AT \$350,000.00 ET. SEQ. - 1

*Motion 6*

*" ATTACHMENT TO BE FILED "*

*First Amended*  
MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN

AGN. NO.  
*January 19 2022*  
~~December 20, 2022~~

**County Support of the City of Los Angeles in their State of Emergency**

The number of individuals experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County (County) has grown steadily over the last few years. After being on pause for a year during the COVID-19 pandemic, the Los Angeles County Homeless Count resumed in February 2022 and counted a total of 69,144 people experiencing homelessness, up from 66,436 in February 2020. As the largest city in the County, the City of Los Angeles (City) has the highest number of unhoused individuals with almost 42,000 people experiencing homelessness within the City. *see, read and consider attached Motions 1 through 9 incorporated by this reference!*

On December 11, 2022, Karen Bass was sworn in as the 43rd Mayor of Los Angeles. The next day, she declared a state of emergency on homelessness. The goal behind this declaration is to be able to mobilize resources more quickly to address the issue of homelessness and move housing projects forward without being delayed by cumbersome red tape. Although the declaration itself will not be a silver bullet to solving the homelessness crisis, it does provide some cautious hope of quicker movement in an area that has for too long been stalled by bureaucracy.

*Since August 13, 2009, Lucifer and his gang (C.C.B. Inc) has kept 'Jared Deemer' Homeless because he is a descendant of Hebrew Jews.*

SOLIS

IN FAVOR

MITCHELL

IN FAVOR

HORVATH

IN FAVOR

BARGER

OPPOSED

HAHN

IN FAVOR

*Motion 7*  
*Motion A*



The City's efforts to reduce homelessness will only be successful with the cooperation of the County. It is the County that provides many of the services that individuals experiencing homelessness require and that will be a vital component of their path towards permanent housing. These services include those provided by the Department of Mental Health, Department of Health Services, Department of Public Health, and Department of Public Social Services. It is imperative that the County work with the City to provide comprehensive services and support to all of our residents experiencing homelessness. Now that the City has declared an official state of emergency surrounding homelessness, we need to double down on our collaboration and treat the crisis with the urgency it deserves.

**I, THEREFORE, MOVE** that the Board of Supervisors direct the Directors of the Department of Health Services and the Department of Public Health, and the Interim Directors of the Department of Public Social Services and the Department of Mental Health to support the City of Los Angeles in its declaration of a state of emergency on homelessness by doing the following:

1. By January 15, 2023, appoint ~~a departmental executive to represent each~~ <sup>ADRIAN D. MOON AS CZAR OF</sup> ~~each~~ <sup>HOMELESSNESS AND ANTISEMITIC REFORM to represent</sup> department at City leadership meetings regarding the declaration of emergency for homelessness and the Inside Safe encampment to home program; <sup>AND TO ADDRESS ANTI-SEMITIC REFORM BY UNIFOR AND C.C.B. INC. Salary \$350K LUMP SUM.</sup>
2. By January 31, 2023, identify departmental liaisons who will work with outreach teams in the City to assess and connect unsheltered individuals experiencing homelessness to the County departmental services that they are eligible for, such as mental health counseling, substance use counseling, housing navigation, Medi-

Cal enrollment, and domestic violence services, with the goal of getting people into housing; and

3. By February 15, 2023, establish teams who will work directly with interim housing providers in the City to ensure that clients in interim housing are assessed and connected to County departmental services that they are eligible for, including providing services onsite as needed to ensure service connections.

**I, FURTHER, MOVE** Direct the Director of the Homeless Initiative to ensure Homeless Initiative funded programs and services are aligned to support the City state of emergency declaration; collaborate with DHS, DPH, DMH, and DPSS to implement the above directives; and to report back the Board on progress implementing the directives at 60 and 180 days. The 60-day report back should include departmental needs to support the City's declaration of emergency, including the possibility of redirecting County staff to align with the City's declaration of emergency.

# # #

JH:is

*me find 9*





## Supervisors Back LA City Homeless Emergency Declaration - Random Lengths News

[Visit](#)

Images may be subject to copyright. [Learn More](#)


Related content

Lil  
cutie?

Daddy's  
Lil Girl

Daddy's  
Big Girl

Mob Boss  
Kathryn da Sunner



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 16 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

LEAH WHETSTONE,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ADRIAN MOON,

Defendant-cross-claimant-  
Appellant,

v.

SATAN THE DEVIL; et al.,

Cross-defendants-Appellees.

No. 21-55883

D.C. No.

2:21-cv-05983-SVW-KS

Central District of California,  
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: TASHIMA, FRIEDLAND, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the notice of appeal filed August 9, 2021 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 14-80006. Because the appeal is so insubstantial as to not warrant further review, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 21-55883 is therefore dismissed.

All pending motions are denied.

Appellant's motion to lift the pre-filing review order will be addressed in a separate order in docket No. 14-80006.



This order, served on the district court for the Central District of California,  
shall constitute the mandate of this court.

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, stay of the mandate,  
or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained.

**DISMISSED.**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under the Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Respondent-Victim

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**STANLEY MOSK - FAMILY LAW CHILD SUPPORT DIVISION**  
**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**JILL BODDIE-MOON,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

Petitioner,

vs.

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

**By Authority of The Almighty Creator,**

Respondent,

**TERRIE HARDY,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

C.S.S.D.,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. BD481244

**B.O.S. # 22-441118**

NOTICE TO COMMISSIONER JEFFERY W.  
KORN OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT  
FILED AGAINST TERRIE HARDY,  
DIRECTOR OF THE COUNTY OF LOS  
ANGELES CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES  
DEPARTMENT ET. AL. BOARD OF  
SUPERVISOR CLAIM NUMBER 22-441118  
FILED ON NOVEMBER 1, 2022 SEE READ  
AND CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS 1,  
2 INCORPORATED BY THIS  
REFERENCE...

DATE: DECEMBER 12, 2022  
TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: 41  
LOC.: 111 N. Hill Street L.A. CA 90012

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER JEFFEREY  
W. KORN, presiding

COVID-19: HOST, HOST, OR HOLY SPIRIT

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Respondent-Victim, chosen one, begotten Son  
of da Almighty Creator in the name of Yeshua Jesus Christ da Messiah... paragon, with integrity , and  
veracity , proclaim and decree as follows,

NOTICE TO COMMISSIONER JEFFERY W. KORN OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST TERRIE HARDY  
ET. AL. BOARD OF SUPERVISOR DAMAGE CLAIM # 22-441118 ET. SEQ. - 1

**ATTACHMENT 3d, 8, 10 ... (6) PAGES**  
**Motion 13**  
**Motion 3**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Peshua Da Messiah...**

1 Notice is hereby given that on Monday, December 12, 2022 at 8 :30 a.m. in dept. 41 , located at  
2 111 North Hill street, L.A. CA 90012, 'daredeemer' shall move this court by way of an Emergency  
3 Application to Vacate, Set-aside and Strike the voidable order Child and Spousal Support Order of  
4 March 13, 2008, retroactively to March 13, 2008 of Disqualified (3) times Rudolph Diaz, Bench Officer.

5 2. 'daredeemer' has personal knowledge of the Undisputed Facts herein referred to as Criminal  
6 Conspiracy , immediate danger, irreparable harm , and any other authority or law to bring this  
7 Application on an Emergency Basis , if called to testify 'daredeemer' would and could completely and  
8 competently at the hearing of this matter . Oral Argument is Requested and Required.

9 **Response To Letter Correspondence dated November 18, 2022:**

10 **3. OBJECTIONS:**

11 A. " We Will continue to enforce your child support arrears in accordance with existing valid  
12 court orders " THERE ARE NO VALID ORDERS EXISTING, THE ORDER OF MARCH 13,  
13 2008 WAS VACATED BY FESIA DAVENPORT ON NOV. 10, 2010 !

14 B. " We do not agree to a stay of enforcement or collections" YOUR OFFICE IS  
15 ILLEGALLY TAKING MORE THAN THE PERCENTAGE ALLOWED FROM 'daredeemer's  
16 INCOME OF ONLY \$1200.00 MONTHLY AND ATTORNEYS JENNIFER COULTAS,  
17 NAPPYHEAD ROYE RANDALL HAVE CRIMINALLY CONPIRED WITH DISQUALIFIED  
18 RUDOLPH DIAZ IN MAINTAINING THE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARAGES ! COULTAS AND  
19 NAPPYHEAD RANDALL UNDERSTAND WHEN A JUDGE IS DISQUALIFIED THEIR RULINGS  
20 ARE VOIDABLE AND SHOULD BE VACATED AND STRICKEN !

21 C. "We will not stipulate to set aside any court orders or zero out arrears " 'daredeemer' HAS  
22 SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION FOR CHILD SUPPORT REDUCTION ON MARCH 22, 2022,  
23 THEN NAPPYHEAD RANDALL SET A LETTER NEEDING MORE DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE  
24 PROVIDED BACK ON AUGUST 15, 2022 ? AND YOUR OFFICE HAS NOT GIVEN 'daredeemer'  
25 AN RULING ON THE APPLICATION ! see, read and consider attached Motion 1,2 (letter dated  
26 11/1/22 ) incorporated by this reference.

27 D. As knucklehead Hardy is not an Lawyer , she doesn't understand that Nappyhead Randall  
28 And Coultas are pathetic liars like their God , read , John : 44 N.K.J.V. decree 1611.

Motion 14

Motion 4



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Peshua Da Messiah...**

'daredeemer' proclaims and decree under the penalty of Sin that the foregoing is true and correct.

'liberia nos a malo'

DATED: December 7, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON, Respondent-Victim

**(Proposed ) ORDER**

As this matter came by regularly to the court and upon agreement of the parties to the satisfaction to the Court that this matter ought to be granted !

**FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND AGREED TO BY THE PARTIES , IT IS SO ORDERED, THAT:**

- 1. This Emergency Motion is Granted in it's entirety,**
- 2. It is well established that Rudolph Diaz, Judge was Disqualified Three Times in this case and any and all of his orders shall be Vacated , Set-aside and Stricken from all records, retroactively especially the Child and Spousal Support Order of March 13, 2008 et. Seq. AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THAT THE COURT DEEMS JUST, PROPER AND EQUITABLE.**

**DATED: DECEMBER 12, 2022**

**HONORABLE JEFFEREY W. KORN, COMM.  
SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**Example**

*Motion 15*

*Motion 5*



D.V.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118



County of Los Angeles  
Child Support Services Department



TERRIE HARDY  
Director

GENIE CHOUGH  
Chief Deputy Director

November 18, 2022

Commissioner Jessica  
Korn

Sept. 21

(213) 351-7504

Adrian Moon  
955 North Lake Avenue  
Pasadena, CA 91104-4518

Dear Mr. Moon:

**IN RE MARRIAGE OF MOON (LASC CASE NO. BD481244)**

We understand that your child support case is very important to you, however we cannot assist you if you continue to use inappropriate and demeaning language when writing or talking to our employees. I caution you not to behave this way in the future. We want to assist you, but will terminate our conversation with you when you speak or act inappropriately.

Additionally, we will not continuously respond to the same issues once they have been answered. Our records reflect that you have repeatedly contacted us about the same issues for which we have already provided answers. Our responses remain the same:

- We will continue to enforce your child support arrears in accordance with existing valid court orders.
- We do not agree to a stay of enforcement or collections.
- We will not stipulate to set aside any court orders or zero out arrears.

Staff Attorney Roye Randall remains your contact for all inquiries. We will not reassign your case. Please direct all communication, whether in writing or by telephone, directly to her:

Roye Randall, Staff Attorney  
Torrance Division  
Los Angeles County Child Support Services Department  
20221 S. Hamilton Avenue, Torrance, CA 90502  
[roye\\_randall@cssd.lacounty.gov](mailto:roye_randall@cssd.lacounty.gov)  
(310) 354-6511

Monthly income  
is \$1200.00  
- 'Daredeemer'  
has not received  
a ruling on the  
Reduction Application

**EXECUTIVE OFFICES**

5770 South Eastern Ave, Commerce, CA 90040 • (323) 889-3400

"To enrich our community by providing child support services  
in an efficient, effective and professional manner, one family at a time"

Motion 16

Motion 16



D.O.S. CLAIMS 22-411118  
Adrian Moon  
November 18, 2022  
Page 2

Finally, all appointments should be made through and with Ms. Randall. She will set up an appointment with you. If you walk into our offices without an appointment and Ms. Randall is not present, we will take a message for Ms. Randall who will contact you.

Finally, enclosed please also find information regarding the Family Law Facilitator who can provide you with legal assistance.

Sincerely,



Terrie Hardy  
Director

TH: EP

Enclosure

Motion 17

Motion 7



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

COURT COUNSEL

LEAH GERSHON # 242327

111 North Hill Street #546

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Ph. (213) 633-5804

Email: [LGershon@lacourt.org](mailto:LGershon@lacourt.org)

Lawyer for Daniel Juarez, Sharon Harris, Deidre Robertson, Amy M. Pellman, Shelley Kaufman, David Julian Cowen et. al.

Re: "**MEET AND CONFER**" Moon v. Superior Court et. al. Case nos. BD481244/ 22STPB08696/ 20STCV28426

VIA EMAIL: [LGershon@lacourt.org](mailto:LGershon@lacourt.org)

**Dearest Defendant Leah Gershon , Lawyer for Daniel Juarez , David Julian Cowen and Deidre Robertson, All Praises and Glory to the Almighty Creator in the mighty name of Yeshua da Messiah ...** As You and Your clients have for Years now engage in a covert criminal conspiracy against 'daredeemer' We shall meet and confer with the L.A. County Sheriff Department Special Operations Unit, located at 500 W. Temple Street , L.A. CA 90012, Sgt. Fernandez or Matthew Powell (213 ) 893-2031 regarding the most recent crimes committed in cases no. 22STPB08696 / BD481244 / 20STCV28426 / 22STCP00120 whereby You and Your clients criminally denied 'daredeemer' access to the courts and obstructed Justice...

'daredeemer' directed You and Your Office to file the Ex Parte Applications in consolidated cases 22STPB08696 / 22STPB09061 and 20STCV28426 but You and Your office criminally failed to do so. Defendants Deidre Robertson, Adm. II of the Clerks office and Daniel Juarez stated that 'daredeemer' could not file the documents , but failed to provide any statute , order or law to deny rights under the Constitutions and Rules of the Superior Court. Please direct Your clients to file the documents by noon on Monday , December 12, 2022 ! You and Your office need to contact Sgt. Fernandez or Matthew Powell (213) 893-2031 immediately and report the crimes committed by Your clients including Amy M. Pellman and Shelley Kaufman. And 'daredeemer' shall do the same !

DATED: December 11, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:

KATHERINE WILKES et al

CASE NUMBER

20STCV28426

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:

Ina Smiley Clayton, et al.

DEPARTMENT/UNIT

45

TELEPHONE NUMBER

**NOTICE OF REJECTION - PLEADINGS**

We are unable to process your ☒ **complaint/petition** ☐ **answer/response** ☐ **general denial** ☐ **amended complaint** ☐ **cross-complaint** ☐ **other:** Motion submitted on 11/29/2022 for the following reason(s):

1. ☐ The required fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ was not submitted. *Marla Webb, Aracelia Valentine, Claudette Jasper, Reggie Popach, Deidre Robertson, Sherri R. Carter, Sharon Harris, Peter Antiveras, Lizzy McFarland*
2. ☐ Check enclosed in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ altered ☐ unsigned.
3. ☐ The document does not comply with California Rules of Court, rule 2.100.
4. ☐ The case number ☐ is missing ☐ does not correspond to our records.
5. ☐ The ☐ attorney of record ☐ attorney's address does not correspond to our records.
6. ☐ Summons must conform exactly to the caption of the complaint.
7. ☐ According to the zip code listed in the Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location, this case must be filed at the \_\_\_\_\_ Courthouse.
8. ☐ The ☐ plaintiff ☐ defendant ☐ attorney of record address has P.O. Box only. Any address including a P.O. Box must include a street address.
9. ☐ Based upon the prayer amount indicated, this case must be filed as a ☐ Limited ☐ Unlimited Jurisdiction matter.
10. ☐ Proof of service of cross-complaint on all parties who have appeared on this case is required.
11. ☐ Reclassification fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is required with filing of ☐ cross-complaint ☐ amended complaint, as this document is changing the jurisdiction of the case.
12. ☐ A court order is required in order to file this ☐ cross-complaint ☐ amended complaint.
13. ☐ The clerk cannot accept any discovery for filing.
14. ☐ Other: court order require to file this document. *- motion filed on 12/5/22 in case no 22STPB08696, Defendant, Daniel Jarama. Judge has not ruled yet!*

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of (

Dated: 12/05/2022

By: E. Galicia

Deputy Clerk

*Motion 19*

*Motion 9*



NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S)  
ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

L.A ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS ,  
ADRIAN D.MOON ET. AL.

PLAINTIFF(S),

V.  
CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS  
ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF  
COLUMBIA ET. AL.

DEFENDANT(S).

CASE NUMBER

2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

**PROOF OF SERVICE - ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
OF SERVICE**


I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of LOS ANGELES, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. On JANUARY 3, 2023, I served a true copy of NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER OF AMENDED ORDER .... by personally delivering it to the person (s) indicated below in the manner as provided in FRCivP 5(b); by depositing it in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope with the postage thereon fully prepaid to the following: (list names and addresses for person(s) served. Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Place of Mailing: LOS ANGELES

Executed on JANUARY 3, 2023 at LOS ANGELES, California

Please check one of these boxes if service is made by mail:

- ☐ I hereby certify that I am a member of the Bar of the United States District Court, Central District of California.
- ☐ I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
- ☒ I hereby certify under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

  
Signature of Person Making Service

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SERVICE**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, received a true copy of the within document on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Party Served





## Reparations Complaint Form

OMB Control No: 3038-0115

UNITED STATES

### COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

#### SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Confirmation Number

2212-0910-4701-40

Submission Received

12/9/2022 10:47:01 AM ET

#### SECTION A: COMPLAINANT INFORMATION (TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF)

##### COMPLAINANT 1

Type

☒ Person ☐ Entity

First Name

Adrian

Middle Initial

D

Last Name

Moon

Street Address

955 North Lake ave

City

Pasadena

State/Province

Ca

Zip/Postal Code

91104

Country

U.S.

Home Phone

626 360-9896

Work Phone

626 360-9896

Fax Number

Email Address

adriandaredeemer@gmail.com

#### SECTION B: COMPLAINANT'S ATTORNEY'S INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

Are you represented by an attorney in connection with your submission?

☐ Yes ☒ No

#### SECTION C: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

1. Registered persons and/or firms you think violated the Commodity Exchange Act

You can verify that the person(s) and/or firm(s) named in the complaint were registered with the CFTC at the time of the alleged offense by calling (202) 418-5506 or emailing [proceedings@cftc.gov](mailto:proceedings@cftc.gov) for registration information.

**PLEASE ENSURE NAMED RESPONDENT(S) WERE REGISTERED WITH THE CFTC AT THE TIME OF THE ALLEGED OFFENSE PRIOR TO SUBMITTING THE FORM AND PAYING THE NONREFUNDABLE REQUIRED FILING FEE!**

##### RESPONDENT 1

Type

☒ Person ☐ Entity

First Name

Middle Initial

Last Name



Adrian		D	Moon
<b>Street Address</b> 955 North Lake ave			
<b>City</b> Pasadena		<b>State/Province</b> Ca	
<b>Zip/Postal Code</b> 91104		<b>Country</b> U.S.	
<b>Work Phone</b> 626 360-9896	<b>Fax Number</b>		<b>Email Address</b> adriandaredeemer@gmail.com
<b>Was this individual/firm registered with the CFTC at the time of the alleged violation?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
<b>2. If known, cite the specific portions of the Act, rules or regulations violated:</b>			
<b>3. Description of complaint. Describe in detail, giving names, dates and the facts which will show how the Commodity Exchange Act was violated and how you were injured by that violation. You must set forth this information on supplementary sheets which you must attach to this complaint form.</b> County of Los Angeles- Board of Supervisors and their employees in several agencies have tried to Kill ( Attempted Murder ) Steal ( stolen over the years of \$1,000,000,000.00 in personal and Real Estate Property ) and Destroy ( falsely accused and imprisoned 20 yrs sentence, Kidnapped for Ransom , Extortion treason and profit racketeering ) for being a son of a slave )			
<b>4. Amount of damages claimed. You must include an explanation of how you calculated the damages you have claimed.</b> \$7,000,000,000.00 theft of Real Property worth \$1,000,000,000.00 , loss of income \$2,000,000,000.00 over 20 year sentence , \$3,000,000,000.00 for pain and suffering , \$1,000,000,000.00 for Health and Medical expenses ... See attachment Board Of Supervisors Claim # 22-4411118 Los Angeles County contact Tamatha Chipp Sr. Claims Adjuster (818) 265-6760 fax (866) 254-4423 and email: tchipp@carlwarren.com			
<b>5. Have you brought another action based on the same set of facts in an arbitration forum or civil court?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
<b>If yes, has the case been decided?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			
<b>6. To your knowledge, are any of the respondents you named the subject of an ongoing receivership or bankruptcy proceedings?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			
<b>SECTION D: ATTACHMENTS AND DOCUMENTATION SUPPORTING YOUR COMPLAINT</b>			
image(1)(1)(1).pdf			
<b>SECTION E: PRIVACY ACT NOTICE</b>			
The Commission's authority for soliciting this information is granted in 7 U.S.C. § 18 and Part 12 of the Commission's regulations. The information on this form is primarily used by the Commission's Office of Proceedings to determine if the complaint is sufficiently complete for further processing and to initiate and move forward a reparations proceeding. Information contained in the form and complaint may be used by the Commission during any and all phases of a reparations case including, but not limited to, discovery, litigation, appeals and in written opinions and orders. Information provided may be made public in accordance with provisions of the CEA and other applicable laws. Under "open government" principles and rules, the Commission generally posts final reparations and appellate decisions to its public website, which may result in the decisions being captured and displayed through Internet search engines. The information may also be disclosed to other government agencies or CFTC divisions, for example the Division of Enforcement, to meet their responsibilities assigned to them by law. It will be maintained and additional disclosures may be made in accordance with CFTC System of Records Notices, e.g., CFTC-3, Docket Files for Reparations and Administrative Adjudication and CFTC-29, Reparations Cases Closed in the Complaints Section, available on <a href="http://www.cftc.gov/Transparency/PrivacyOffice/SORN/index.htm">http://www.cftc.gov/Transparency/PrivacyOffice/SORN/index.htm</a> . If you do not provide the requested information, the Office of Proceedings may not be able to process your complaint.			
<b>SECTION F: VERIFICATION</b>			



☒ I hereby swear or affirm (under penalty of law) that the facts set forth in this complaint are known to be true or, based on my best information, are believed to be true. To the extent that any facts are believed, instead of known, to be true, the information upon which I formed that belief is set forth as follows (attach detailed, specific information on a supplementary sheet):

### COMPLAINANT'S SIGNATURE 1

Name

Adrian Moon

Date

12/9/2022

### SECTION G: PAYMENT

The filing fee can be paid electronically via [www.pay.gov](http://www.pay.gov). Select "reparations filing fee" from the dropdown and include the filing fee amount and type of decisional proceedings: (1) \$50 Voluntary Decisional Procedure; (2) \$125 Summary Decisional Procedure; or (3) \$250 Formal Decisional Procedure in the narrative section. Please attach the payment confirmation receipt before submitting the complaint or email it to the [proceedings@cftc.gov](mailto:proceedings@cftc.gov) mailbox. Confirmation of payment must be received before complaint is accepted and filed. **NOTICE: FILING FEES, ONCE PAID TO THE COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION, ARE NOT REFUNDABLE.**





SHERRI R. CARTER  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER / CLERK OF COURT

## ***Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles***

Leah C. Gershon  
Court Counsel  
111 North Hill Street, Suite 546  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

August 20, 2020

### **VIA ELECTRONIC & U.S. MAIL**

Adrian D. Moon  
P.O. Box 157  
Agoura Hills, CA 91376

RE: Objections to Subpoenas  
Los Angeles Superior Court, Case Nos. BA332095 and BA362256

Dear Mr. Moon:

It has come to my attention that you have directed fourteen Subpoenas/Orders to Attend Court or Provide Documents to Judges Sergio Tapia, Timothy Weiner, William Ryan, Kevin Rosenberg, Yvette Palazuelos, Sam Ohta, Patricia Nieto, Mary Ann Murphy, Craig Mitchell, Eleanor Hunter, and to retired Judges Michael Johnson, Debra Katz Weintraub, Scott Gordon, and Leslie Swain. The subpoenas seek the judges' and retired judges' appearances at an upcoming hearing on August 24, 2020 and request items to be produced as indicated below. This letter will serve as notice that the judges and retired judges object to the subpoenas and will not be appearing in response to them.

### **Summary of Subpoena Requests**

Sergio Tapia	Judge's case file for case numbers BA332095 and BA362256; mental health and medical history since January 2010; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Timothy Weiner	Judge's case file for case number BD481244; mental health and medical history since January 2010; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
William Ryan	Judge's case file for case numbers BH011878 and BH012085; mental health and medical history since January 2010; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Kevin Rosenberg	Judge's case file for case numbers 9PR00351, 9PR07719, 9PR07943; mental health and medical history since January 2010; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.



Yvette Palazuelos	Judge's case file for case numbers BC315492 and BC384536; mental health and medical history since January 2008; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Sam Ohta	Judge's case file for case numbers BA332095 and BA362256; mental health and medical history since January 2010; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Patricia Nieto	Judge's case file for case number BD481244; mental health and medical history since January 2008.
Mary Ann Murphy	Judge's case file for Moon v. Cade et al.; mental health and medical history since January 2004; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Craig Mitchell	Judge's case file for case numbers B360311, BA361029, BA362256, BA332095; mental health and medical history since January 2008.
Eleanor Hunter	Mental health and medical history since January 2004; Reporter's transcripts from July 13 and July 27, 2020 hearings.
Michael Johnson (ret.)	Judge's case file for case numbers BA360311, BA332095, BA361029, BA362256; mental health and medical history since January 2008; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Debre Katz Weintraub (ret.)	Judge's case file for case numbers BC340942 and BD481244; mental health and medical history since January 2010; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Scott Gordon (ret.)	Mental health and medical history since January 2008; any materials possessed regarding Adolph Hitler; names of all organizations and associations the judge is a member of.
Leslie Swain (ret.)	Mental health and medical history since 2008; declaration on denial of issuance of a bench warrant against AG Gerald Brown and disqualification of L.A. District Attorney's office.

### **Objections to Subpoenas**

First, the subpoenas are defective because they were not accompanied by the mandatory \$275 witness fee necessary to secure a state or trial court employee's testimony. Government Code section 68097.2, subdivision (b), states that "[t]he amount of two hundred seventy five (\$275), together with the subpoena, shall be tendered to the person accepting the subpoena for each day that the . . . state employee [or] trial court employee . . . is required to



remain in attendance pursuant to the subpoena.” Here, because payment was not made for each judge and retired judge, any personal appearance by the judges is excused. (Gov. Code, § 68097.2; See also *Nick v. Department of Motor Vehicles* (1993) 12 Cal.App.4th 1407, 1415 [service of subpoena properly refused where there was no payment of required fees under Government Code section 68097.2].)

Second, judges are not the appropriate custodian of records for any case files in any matters heard in the Superior Court, including the above-referenced cases. Moreover, a subpoena is not the appropriate means of obtaining such files. To obtain case files, you must direct your inquiry to the Clerk of the Court, who is the appropriate custodian of records for the Superior Court’s adjudicative records. Likewise, judges are not the appropriate custodian of records for court reporter transcripts. Official court reporters are independent contractors for transcript production and requests for transcripts must be made directly to the court reporter. I am enclosing a Request for Copies form which may be used to obtain certified copies of records related to your cases, and the following link provides information about how to request transcripts of court proceedings: [http://www.lacourt.org/generalinfo/courtreporter/GI\\_RE001.aspx](http://www.lacourt.org/generalinfo/courtreporter/GI_RE001.aspx).

Third, the subpoenas request production of mental health and medical history of the judges, but you are not entitled to such information. Article I, Section I, of the California Constitution provides and “inalienable right of privacy,” which applies to a person’s medical records. (*John B. v Superior Court* (2006) 38 Cal.4th 1177, 1198.) The party seeking constitutionally protected information must establish the information sought is directly relevant. (*Harris v. Superior Court* (1992) 3 Cal.App.4th 661, 665.) Here, you have made no such showing.

Fourth, you have made no showing of good cause for the production of the names of all organizations and associations the judges and retired judges are members of, for materials allegedly possessed by retired Judge Gordon regarding Adolph Hitler, or for a declaration from retired Judge Swain on the denial of issuance of a bench warrant against former AG Brown or disqualification of L.A. District Attorney’s office. (See Code Civ. Proc., § 1985.) Nor have you set forth in full detail the materiality of such items to the issues involved in this the case. (*Ibid.*) Thus, such information will not be produced.

Fifth, to the extent that your request for the judges’ case files seeks records concerning the mental impressions or processes that lead to the judges’ issuance of particular orders, such information is barred by the judicial deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege protects deliberative or decision-making processes. (*Wilson v. Superior Court* (1996) 51 Cal.App.4th 1136, 1142; *San Joaquin County Local Agency Formation Commission v. Superior Court* (2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 159, 170 [Under the deliberative process privilege, officials of all three branches of government enjoy a qualified, limited privilege not to disclose or to be examined concerning the mental processes by which a given decision was reached].) A judge simply cannot be subjected to scrutiny regarding the process by which he reached the conclusions of his orders. (See *Hornung v. Superior Court* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 1095, 1099.)



Sixth, the hearing scheduled for August 24, 2020 is on petition to dismiss charges pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4. You have not made any showing that the information sought by these subpoenas is relevant to that proceeding or that “the requested information will facilitate the ascertainment of the facts and a fair trial.” (*People v. Superior Court (Barrett)* (2000) 80 Cal.App.4th 1305, 1316, internal citation omitted.) A defendant must show “some cause for discovery other than a mere desire for the benefit of all information.” (*Facebook, Inc., v. Superior Court of San Diego Cty.* (August 13, 2020) 2020 WL 4691493 at \*6, internal citations omitted.)

Finally, you have not made any showing, much less the heightened showing, required to compel the attendance of a public official. (See *Deukmejian v. Superior Court* (1983) 143 Cal. App. 3d 632, 633 [“[A] busy public official should not be required to give evidence in his or her official capacity in the absence of ‘compelling reasons’”]; *Westly v. Superior Court* (2004) 125 Cal. App. 4th 907 [Three-pronged test to compel the attendance of a public official requires that he or she has “direct personal factual information,” “information that is directly relevant to material issues,” and “information which cannot be obtained from any other source”].)

For the reasons stated above, the above-referenced judges and retired judges will not appear on August 24, 2020 and will not make any further response to the improper subpoenas referenced herein.

Sincerely,

/s/ Leah C. Gershon

Leah C. Gershon  
Court Counsel

cc: Deputy District Attorney Scott Dominguez [scdominguez@da.lacounty.gov]  
Judge Sergio Tapia, CJC Department 123 (via Judicial Assistant Stan Kodohata [SKadohata@lacourt.org])

Encl.



	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	CASE NUMBER

PAYMENT	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Payment Verification Imprint on Reverse
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cash \$
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check/MO # \$
<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit Card \$
NO FEE	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fee Waiver (Gov. Code § 68830) Date Fee Waiver Granted:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Exempt (Gov. Code § 6103)
PARTY	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Plaintiff
<input type="checkbox"/>	Defendant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other:
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS	

ACTION	
Phone:	
State:	Zip Code:

Order unless otherwise specified.

Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

ARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk

(Deputy Clerk)

ES Gov. Code, §§ 68630 & 6103





## Real Estate Reports

**Property:**

**2633 Santa Rosa Ave  
Altadena, CA 91001  
APN: 5840-004-008**

Data deemed reliable, but not guaranteed.  
TM SM ® Trademark(s) of Black Knight IP Holding Company, LLC, or an affiliate.  
© 2017 Black Knight Financial Technology Solutions, LLC. All Rights Reserved.



## Property Details

Little William  
2633 Santa Rosa Ave, Altadena, CA 91001

APN: 5840-004-008  
Los Angeles County

### Owner Information

Primary Owner: **LITTLE WILLIAM**

Secondary Owner:

Mail Address: **PO BOX 1380**  
**LOS ANGELES CA 90078**

Site Address: **2633 SANTA ROSA AVE**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

Assessor Parcel Number: **5840-004-008**

Census Tract: **4602.00**

Housing Tract Number: **20201**

Lot Number: **2**

Legal description: **Lot: 2 Tract No: 20201 Abbreviated Description: LOT:2 TR#:20201 TRACT # 20201 LOT 2**

### Sale Information

Sale Date: **07/01/2009**

Document #: **09-0991667**

Sale Amount: **\$250,000**

Seller: **MOON, ADRIAN D;**  
**LOTT, DAMICO**

Sale Type:

Cost/SF: **\$81**

### Assessment & Tax Information

Assessed Value: **\$695,130**

Land Value: **\$444,885**

Imp. Value: **\$250,245**

Homeowner  
Exemption:

% Improvement: **36%**

Tax Amount: **\$8,351.48**

Tax Status: **Current**

Tax Year: **2017**

Tax Rate Area: **7-688**

Tax Account ID:

### Property Characteristics

Bedrooms: **5**

Year Built: **1956**

Pool:

Bathrooms: **4**

Square Feet: **3,060 SF**

Lot Size: **11,322 SF**

Partial Baths:

Number of Units: **1**

No of Stories:

Total Rooms:

Garage:

Fire Place:

Property Type: **Single Family Residential Properties**

Building Style:


Owner  
Exclusions:

Use Code: **Single Family Residential**

Zoning: **LCR110**

### Aerial Map







## Transaction History

Little William  
2633 Santa Rosa Ave, Altadena, CA 91001

APN: 5840-004-008  
Los Angeles County

### Foreclosure Record

Recording Date: **10/31/2016**

Document #: **16-1341276 BK-PG -**

Document Type: **Notice Of Rescission**

Original Foreclosure Document: **16-1171741**

Legal description:

### Foreclosure Record

Recording Date: **09/27/2016**

Document #: **16-1171741 BK-PG -**

Document Type: **Notice Of Default**

Case Number: **16-31195-BA-CA**

Beneficiary Name:

Trustor Names: **MOON, ADRIAN D**

Trustee Name: **NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION**

Mailing Address: **7720 N 16TH ST# 300, PHOENIX, AZ 85020-**

Trustee Phone #: **602-264-6101**

TS#: **16-31195-BA-CA**

Loan Doc #: **08-0099550**

Loan Date: **01/17/2008**

Loan Amount: **\$250,000**

Contact Name: **BANK OF AMERICA NA**

Attention: **NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION**

Mailing Address: **7720 N 16TH ST# 300, PHOENIX, AZ 85020-**

Legal description:

### Prior Transfer

Recording Date: **07/14/2009**

Document #: **09-1057997 BK-PG -**

Price: **\$450,000**

Document Type: **Quit Claim Deed**

First TD: **N/A**

Type of Sale: **Price Unconfirmed**

Mortgage Doc #:

Interest Rate:

Lender Name:

Buyer Name: **LITTLE, WILLIAM**

Buyer Vesting:

Seller Name: **GARBUTT, JUANITA; SLUSHER, RHONA**

Legal description: **Lot: 2 Tract No: 20201 Map Ref: MB578 PG14&15**

City/Muni/Twp: **ALTADENA**

### Prior Transfer

Recording Date: **07/01/2009**

Document #: **09-0991667 BK-PG -**

Price: **\$250,000**

Document Type: **Sheriff's Deed**

First TD: **N/A**

Type of Sale: **Full Amount On Deed**

Mortgage Doc #:

Interest Rate:

Lender Name:

Buyer Name: **GARBUTT, JUANITA; SLUSHER, RHONA**



Buyer Vesting: **Tenants In Common**  
Seller Name: **MOON, ADRIAN D; LOTT, DAMICO; MOON, KAAVON; DANIELS, MIKE**  
Legal description: **Lot: 2 Tract No: 20201 Map Ref: MB578 PG14&15**  
City/Muni/Twp: **ALTADENA**

## Mortgage Record

Recording Date: <b>12/01/2008</b>	Document #: <b>08-2103189 BK-PG -</b>
Loan Amount: <b>\$700,000</b>	Loan Type: <b>Unknown Loan Type</b>
TD Due Date: <b>09/30/2038</b>	Type of Financing:
Interest Rate:	
Lender Name: <b>RODNEY DAVIS</b>	
Lender Type: <b>Private Party</b>	
Borrowers Name: <b>MOON, ADRIAN</b>	
Vesting:	

## Mortgage Record

Recording Date: <b>01/17/2008</b>	Document #: <b>08-0099550 BK-PG -</b>
Loan Amount: <b>\$250,000</b>	Loan Type: <b>Credit Line</b>
TD Due Date:	Type of Financing: <b>Variable</b>
Interest Rate:	
Lender Name: <b>BANK OF AMERICA NA</b>	
Lender Type: <b>Bank</b>	
Borrowers Name: <b>MOON, ADRIAN D</b>	
Vesting:	

## Prior Transfer

Recording Date: <b>12/14/2007</b>	Document #: <b>07-2756752 BK-PG -</b>
Price: <b>N/A</b>	Document Type: <b>Grant Deed</b>
First TD: <b>\$750,000</b>	Type of Sale: <b>Price As "0", "None", "No Consideration"</b>
Mortgage Doc #: <b>07-2756751</b>	Interest Rate:
Lender Name: <b>DDM INVESTMENTS LLC</b>	
Buyer Name: <b>MOON, ADRIAN D</b>	
Buyer Vesting:	
Seller Name: <b>MOON, KAAVON</b>	
Legal description: <b>Lot: 2 Tract No: 20201 Map Ref: MB578 PG14&amp;15</b>	
City/Muni/Twp: <b>ALTADENA</b>	

## Mortgage Record

Recording Date: <b>12/14/2007</b>	Document #: <b>07-2756751 BK-PG -</b>
Loan Amount: <b>\$750,000</b>	Loan Type: <b>Unknown Loan Type</b>
TD Due Date: <b>01/01/2037</b>	Type of Financing:
Interest Rate:	
Lender Name: <b>DDM INVESTMENTS LLC</b>	
Lender Type: <b>Other</b>	
Borrowers Name: <b>MOON, ADRIAN D</b>	
Vesting:	

## Mortgage Record

Recording Date: **11/20/2001**

Loan Amount: **\$75,000**

TD Due Date: **12/01/2002**

Interest Rate:

Lender Name: **THE SENTCHUK FAMILY TRUST**

Lender Type: **Private Party**

Borrowers Name: **MOON, KAAVON**

Vesting:

Document #: **01-2214009 BK-PG -**

Loan Type: **Stand Alone Second**

Type of Financing:

## Mortgage Record

Recording Date: **02/26/1998**

Loan Amount: **\$189,000**

TD Due Date: **03/01/2028**

Interest Rate:

Lender Name: **FIRST UNION MTG CORP**

Lender Type: **Mortgage Company**

Borrowers Name: **MOON, KAAVON**

Vesting:

Document #:

Loan Type:

Type of Financing:

## Prior Transfer

Recording Date: **02/26/1998**

Price: **\$210,000**

First TD: **\$189,000**

Mortgage Doc #:

Lender Name: **FIRST UNION MTG CORP**

Buyer Name: **MOON, KAAVON**

Buyer Vesting:

Seller Name: **UNITED AIRLINES EMPLOYEES CREDIT UNION**

Legal description:

Abbreviated Description: **TRACT # 20201 LOT 2**

City/Muni/Twp: **ALTADENA**

Document #: **98-0312739 BK-PG -**

Document Type: **Grant Deed**

Type of Sale: **Full-Computed From Transfer Tax**

Interest Rate:

## Prior Transfer

Recording Date: **07/15/1997**

Price: **N/A**

First TD: **N/A**

Mortgage Doc #:

Lender Name:

Buyer Name: **UNITED AIRLIENS EMPLOYEES CREDIT UNION**

Buyer Vesting:

Seller Name: **GUILINGER, DEANA E**

Legal description:

Abbreviated Description: **TRACT # 20201 LOT 2**

City/Muni/Twp: **UNINCORPORATED**

Document #: **97-1067062 BK-PG -**

Document Type: **Grant Deed**

Type of Sale:

Interest Rate:






## Comparables

Little William  
2633 Santa Rosa Ave, Altadena, CA 91001

APN: 5840-004-008  
Los Angeles County



### Quick View

No.	Address	Date	Price	S/SF	Bld/Area	RM/BR/Bth	YB	Lot Area	Pool	Proxim.
<b>Subject Property</b>		<b>07/14/2009</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>\$147</b>	<b>3,060</b>	<b>/5/4</b>	<b>1956</b>	<b>11,322 SF</b>		
1	340 E ALTADENA DR	06/07/2018	\$735,000	\$476	1,542	/3/2	1950	8,486 SF	Yes	.28 Mi.
2	2741 MARENGO AVE	05/24/2018	\$715,000	\$566	1,262	/2/2	1942	5,667 SF	Yes	.33 Mi.
3	2685 SANTA ROSA AVE	05/18/2018	\$920,000	\$705	1,304	/2/3	1952	7,435 SF		.07 Mi.
4	607 BARRY PL	05/14/2018	\$1,128,000	\$456	2,471	/4/2	1928	9,423 SF	Yes	.26 Mi.
5	535 E MENDOCINO ST	05/11/2018	\$983,500	\$655	1,500	/3/2	1961	11,859 SF		.20 Mi.
6	265 E PENTAGON ST	04/20/2018	\$653,000	\$633	1,030	/2/1	1951	8,100 SF		.39 Mi.
7	338 E MENDOCINO ST	04/10/2018	\$790,000	\$585	1,350	/2/1	1918	11,771 SF		.36 Mi.
8	380 E PENTAGON ST	04/10/2018	\$650,000	\$844	770	/2/1	1949	6,665 SF		.26 Mi.
9	318 E PALM ST	03/21/2018	\$700,000	\$611	1,145	/2/2	1953	7,986 SF		.35 Mi.
10	595 E CALAVERAS ST	03/15/2018	\$925,000	\$470	1,964	/3/2	1951	11,786 SF		.32 Mi.
11	289 E MARIPOSA ST	03/02/2018	\$685,000	\$608	1,125	/2/1	1945	7,658 SF		.39 Mi.
12	726 E MENDOCINO ST	02/26/2018	\$670,000	\$708	945	/2/1	1940	5,460 SF		.30 Mi.
13	398 E PALM ST	01/19/2018	\$978,000	\$578	1,692	/3/2	1953	9,164 SF		.30 Mi.
14	633 E POPPYFIELDS DR	12/22/2017	\$850,000	\$727	1,169	/2/1	1947	6,247 SF		.32 Mi.
15	493 COLMAN ST	12/15/2017	\$752,000	\$387	1,940	/2/2	1925	6,462 SF		.40 Mi.

## Detailed View

No.	Address	Date	Price	S/SF	Bld/Area	RM/BR/Bth	YB	Lot Area	Pool	Proxim.
	<b>Subject Property</b>	<b>07/14/2009</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>\$147</b>	<b>3,060</b>	<b>/5/4</b>	<b>1956</b>	<b>11,322 SF</b>		
1	<b>340 E ALTADENA DR ALTADENA, CA 91001-2241</b>	<b>06/07/2018</b>	<b>\$735,000</b>	<b>\$476</b>	<b>1,542</b>	<b>/3/2</b>	<b>1950</b>	<b>8,486 SF</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>.28 Mi.</b>
APN: 5840-006-009 Document #: 18-0566189 Document Type:Grant Deed Price Code: R										
Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties Land Use: Single Family Residential										
Legal: Lot:13&14 Subdivision:MCNALLY TRACT MapRef:MB 6 PG 57 Abbreviated Description:EASTERLY26FT LOT14 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA										
Buyer Name: SANTANA, DAVID A										
Seller Name: FREEMAN, ROZSLYN THE FREEMAN SURVIVORS TRUST UNDER THE FR										
2	<b>2741 MARENGO AVE ALTADENA, CA 91001-2204</b>	<b>05/24/2018</b>	<b>\$715,000</b>	<b>\$566</b>	<b>1,262</b>	<b>/2/2</b>	<b>1942</b>	<b>5,667 SF</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>.33 Mi.</b>
APN: 5835-042-003 Document #: 18-0517306 Document Type:Grant Deed Price Code: R										
Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties Land Use: Single Family Residential										
Legal: Lot:3 Tract No:12420 MapRef:MB 247 PG 23&24										
Buyer Name: SCHUBERT, ANDREAS										
Seller Name: WIDER, ELIZABETH JOY										
3	<b>2685 SANTA ROSA AVE ALTADENA, CA 91001-1962</b>	<b>05/18/2018</b>	<b>\$920,000</b>	<b>\$705</b>	<b>1,304</b>	<b>/2/3</b>	<b>1952</b>	<b>7,435 SF</b>		<b>.07 Mi.</b>
APN: 5841-027-014 Document #: 18-0496662 Document Type:Grant Deed Price Code: R										
Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties Land Use: Single Family Residential										
Legal: Lot:4 Subdivision:JEWETTS SUBDIVISION MapRef:MB 5 PG 176 Abbreviated Description:PORTION LOT4										
Buyer Name: PANG, CELINA PANG, PATRICIA FAY										
Seller Name: INMAN, THOMAS LUNDIN, MIA										
4	<b>607 BARRY PL ALTADENA, CA 91001-2328</b>	<b>05/14/2018</b>	<b>\$1,128,000</b>	<b>\$456</b>	<b>2,471</b>	<b>/4/2</b>	<b>1928</b>	<b>9,423 SF</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>.26 Mi.</b>
APN: 5840-019-007 Document #: 18-0471924 Document Type:Grant Deed Price Code: R										
Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties Land Use: Single Family Residential										
Legal: Lot:23 Tract No:8302 MapRef:MB 123 PG 35&36 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA										
Buyer Name: KAHANE, JEFFREY KAHANE, MARTHA										
Seller Name: RUGGLES, CATHERINE J CATHERINE J RUGGLES REVOCABLE TRUST										
5	<b>535 E MENDOCINO ST ALTADENA, CA 91001-2231</b>	<b>05/11/2018</b>	<b>\$983,500</b>	<b>\$655</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>/3/2</b>	<b>1961</b>	<b>11,859 SF</b>		<b>.20 Mi.</b>
APN: 5840-009-021 Document #: 18-0465713 Document Type:Grant Deed Price Code: R										
Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties Land Use: Single Family Residential										
Legal: Lot:10&11 Block:14 Subdivision:ALTADENA MAP NO 1 MapRef:MB 16 PG 45&46 Abbreviated Description:PORTION LOTS10&11 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA										
Buyer Name: MCDONNELL, KATHLEEN KATHLEEN MCDONNELL TRUST										
Seller Name: CHRISTIANSEN, CARMEN ZELADA, ALMA										
6	<b>265 E PENTAGON ST ALTADENA, CA 91001-4829</b>	<b>04/20/2018</b>	<b>\$653,000</b>	<b>\$633</b>	<b>1,030</b>	<b>/2/1</b>	<b>1951</b>	<b>8,100 SF</b>		<b>.39 Mi.</b>
APN: 5833-023-017 Document #: 18-0385340 Document Type:Grant Deed Price Code: R										
Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties Land Use: Single Family Residential										
Legal: Lot:A Tract No:2709 MapRef:MB 33 PG 30 Abbreviated Description:EASTERLY58FT&WLY116FT&SWLY170FT&NELY376FT LOT A										



Buyer Name: PHAM, DUNG H TUMOINE, AUDREY S

Seller Name: BERRETH, TIMOTHY D

**7 338 E MENDOCINO ST** **04/10/2018** **\$790,000** **\$585** **1,350** **/2/1** **1918** **11,771 SF** **.36 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-2228**

APN: 5840-022-018 Document #: 18-0340375 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:2 Block:22 Subdivision:ALTADENA MAP NO 1 MapRef:MB 16 PG 45&46 Abbreviated Description:PORTION LOT2 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA

Buyer Name: AGHJAYAN, HELEN ARSHALUCE

Seller Name: CARBALLEDA, ALMA R BERNANDEZ, ELOISA

**8 380 E PENTAGON ST** **04/10/2018** **\$650,000** **\$844** **770** **/2/1** **1949** **6,665 SF** **.26 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-4832**

APN: 5841-021-012 Document #: 18-0342028 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:A Subdivision:ARNOLD AND TERRELLS SUBDIVISION MapRef:MB 59 PG 57 Abbreviated Description:PORTION LOT A City/Muni/Twp:LOS ANGELES

Buyer Name: REYES, MAXIMILLIAN TIONGSON LAM, NANCY ALLYSA

Seller Name: BRINDLEY, ROBERT JOSEPH BRINDLEY, ERIN LYNN

**9 318 E PALM ST** **03/21/2018** **\$700,000** **\$611** **1,145** **/2/2** **1953** **7,986 SF** **.35 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-4828**

APN: 5833-023-010 Document #: 18-0271477 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:A Tract No:2709 MapRef:MB 33 PG 30 Abbreviated Description:NORTHERLY110FT LOT A City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA

Buyer Name: SHOOK, JASON M SHOOK, JULIANNE DOWLER

Seller Name: BRUMMELAAR, THEO A TEN CRUISE, SALLIE

**10 595 E CALAVERAS ST** **03/15/2018** **\$925,000** **\$470** **1,964** **/3/2** **1951** **11,786 SF** **.32 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-2265**

APN: 5840-018-011 Document #: 18-0252118 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:5 Tract No:13386 MapRef:MB 269 PG 4&5 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA

Buyer Name: WHYTE, IAIN A WHYTE, CARRIE J

Seller Name: CROWLEY, DAVID SCOTT KLECKLEY, KARIM CAMILLE

**11 289 E MARIPOSA ST** **03/02/2018** **\$685,000** **\$608** **1,125** **/2/1** **1945** **7,658 SF** **.39 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-5161**

APN: 5835-042-019 Document #: 18-0207173 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:9&10 Block:25 MapRef:MB 16 PG 45&46 Abbreviated Description:PORTION LOTS9&10; MAP 1 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA

Buyer Name: ALVARADO, HUGO ISAAC ALVARADO, GLENDA P

Seller Name: CRUISE, EVAN

**12 726 E MENDOCINO ST** **02/26/2018** **\$670,000** **\$708** **945** **/2/1** **1940** **5,460 SF** **.30 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-2339**

APN: 5840-016-008 Document #: 18-0185222 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:6&7 Tract No:11658 MapRef:MB 218 PG 13&14 Abbreviated Description:WESTERLY30FT LOT7&EXC WLY40FT LOT6

Buyer Name: CLAUSE, LARRY GUY CLAUSE, JULIE ANN

Seller Name: MALMONE, CHRISTOPHER

**13 398 E PALM ST** **01/19/2018** **\$978,000** **\$578** **1,692** **/3/2** **1953** **9,164 SF** **.30 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-4868**

APN: 5841-020-006 Document #: 18-0061050 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:6 Tract No:1870 MapRef:MB 456 PG 49&50 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA

Buyer Name: JOHNSON, MARK R CHANG, EILEEN Y

Seller Name: MOSLEY, JOSEPHINE BETTYE THE JOSEPHINE BETTYE MOSLEY SEPARATE PRO

**14 633 E POPPYFIELDS DR** **12/22/2017** **\$850,000** **\$727** **1,169** **/2/1** **1947** **6,247 SF** **.32 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-1921**

APN: 5841-013-033 Document #: 17-1491971 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:11 Subdivision:WHELPLEY TRACT MapRef:MB6 PG60

Buyer Name: BAILEY, ZACHARY J SCHEIDLINGER, VERA L

Seller Name: SMITH, WILLIAM L SMITH, ELIZABETH J

**15 493 COLMAN ST** **12/15/2017** **\$752,000** **\$387** **1,940** **/2/2** **1925** **6,462 SF** **.40 Mi.**  
**ALTADENA, CA 91001-2910**

APN: 5839-004-014 Document #: 17-1458632 Document Type: Grant Deed Price Code: R

Property Type: Single Family Residential Properties

Land Use: Single Family Residential

Legal: Lot:15 Tract No:4843 MapRef:MB52 PG63 City/Muni/Twp:ALTADENA

Buyer Name: FISHER, JUSTIN E HUNT, SHANNON

Seller Name: ESH ROYAL PROPERTIES LLC

## Area Sales Analysis

Total Area Sales: **15**

Median # of Bedrooms: **2**

Median Lot Size: **7,986 SF**

Median # of Baths: **2**

Median Living Area: **1,304 SF**

Median Year Built: **1949**

Price Range - 2 Yrs: **\$650,000 To \$1,128,000**

Age Range: **57 Years To 100 Years**

Median Value: **\$752,000**

Median Age: **69 Years**






# Assessor Map

[Click here to get the map in PDF](#)

[Click here to get the map in TIF](#)





**Little William**  
2633 Santa Rosa Ave, Altadena, CA 91001

**APN: 5840-004-008**  
**Los Angeles County**

**LITTLE WILLIAM**  
**2633 SANTA ROSA AVE**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-008  
Bedrooms: 5  
Square Feet: 3,060 SF  
Year Built: 1956  
Bathrooms: 4  
Lot Size: 11,322 SF  
Garage:

**GANTZ, JOAN E; THE JOAN E GANTZ REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST,**

**2621 SANTA ROSA AVE**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-007  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,852 SF  
Year Built: 1957  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 11,335 SF  
Garage:

**DEAL DANIEL J CO TR; THOMAS A DEAL TRUST**

**2648 SAINT JAMES PL**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-013  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,660 SF  
Year Built: 1957  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 11,599 SF  
Garage:

**ISHIDA YUKIO AND KAZUKO TRS; YUKIO AND KAZUKO ISHIDA TRUST**

**2640 SAINT JAMES PL**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-014  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,947 SF  
Year Built: 1957  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 10,933 SF  
Garage:

**THE NEELY FAMILY TRUST, ; BLANC, ANNE FELICE SOLEDAD WRIGHT CLARENCE**

**2630 SAINT JAMES PL**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-016  
Bedrooms: 4  
Square Feet: 2,096 SF  
Year Built: 1956  
Bathrooms: 3  
Lot Size: 10,860 SF  
Garage:

**MATSUMOTO MARK**  
**591 E ALTADENA DR**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5841-027-013  
Bedrooms: 2  
Square Feet: 2,095 SF  
Year Built: 1952  
Bathrooms: 4  
Lot Size: 10,695 SF  
Garage:

**BENEFIELD BETTY J**  
**567 E ALTADENA DR**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5841-027-011

**STEPHENS P KEN & MYRNA R**

**2645 SANTA ROSA AVE**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-009  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 2,054 SF  
Year Built: 1956  
Bathrooms: 3  
Lot Size: 11,362 SF  
Garage:

**LANDA KATHRYN J**  
**2649 SANTA ROSA AVE**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-010  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,692 SF  
Year Built: 1959  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 11,138 SF  
Garage:

**JACOBSON FRED AND BEVERLEY TRS F AND B JACOBSON TRUST**

**2666 SAINT JAMES PL**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-012  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,899 SF  
Year Built: 1959  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 10,789 SF  
Garage:

**JACKSON NATHANIEL; NATHANIEL JACKSON TRUST**

**2670 SAINT JAMES PL**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-011  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,938 SF  
Year Built: 1960  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 11,954 SF  
Garage:

**575 E ALTADENA DR**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5841-027-012  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,652 SF  
Year Built: 1952  
Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 8,336 SF  
Garage:

**THEOSOPHICAL ENDOWMENT CORP**  
**677 E MARIPOSA ST**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-003-015  
Bedrooms: 2  
Square Feet: 1,083 SF  
Year Built: 1951  
Bathrooms: 1  
Lot Size: 2.05 AC  
Garage:

**LARMAN KEITH & GAIL**  
**585 E MARIPOSA ST**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**

APN: 5840-004-017



Bedrooms: 4  
Square Feet: 2,292 SF  
Year Built: 1926

Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 9,009 SF  
Garage:

Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,630 SF  
Year Built: 1960

Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 10,066 SF  
Garage:

RANEY DEBORAH  
**557 E ALTADENA DR**  
**ALTADENA CA 91001**  
APN: 5841-027-010  
Bedrooms: 3  
Square Feet: 1,771 SF  
Year Built: 1926

Bathrooms: 2  
Lot Size: 8,215 SF  
Garage:





# Five Line Report

Los Angeles County Data as of: 04/06/2022

Number of Properties: 73

1	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 0000, CA 6161 Temple Hill Dr, Los Angeles, CA 90068 6134-020-065 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Vacant Land (NEC)	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 0 3,631 / 0.08 0 0 / 0
2	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Brown Little, Minnie / Little, William 0000, CA Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6132-011-011 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Vacant Land (NEC) \$113,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 0 7,501 / 0.17 0 0 / 0
3	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 2336 Hitchcock Dr, Alhambra, CA 91803 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5354-024-001 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1951 / 1951 1,020 9,022 / 0.21 2 1 / 0
4	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 590 W Calaveras St, Altadena, CA 91001 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5828-010-019 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1928 / 1930 1,027 6,039 / 0.14 3 1 / 0
5	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 201 Marathon Rd, Altadena, CA 91001 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5833-007-012 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1947 / 1947 1,126 5,932 / 0.14 3 1 / 0
6	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 672 Mountain View St, Altadena, CA 91001 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5823-023-003 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1925 / 1925 904 7,168 / 0.16 2 1 / 0
7	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 2633 Santa Rosa Ave, Altadena, CA 91001 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5840-004-008 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$450,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1956 / 1976 3,060 11,322 / 0.26 5 4 / 0
8	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Randolph, William B / Little Pumpkin Revocable Trust 13850 Mulholland Dr, Beverly Hills, CA 90210 355 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10017 4385-021-010 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$190,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1954 / 1993 9,202 55,114 / 1.27 7 7 / 0
9	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Doolittle, William F 17843 Wildridge Ln, Canyon Country, CA 91387 17843 Wildridge Ln, Canyon Country, CA 91387 2844-010-002 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$340,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1965 / 1965 1,449 9,513 / 0.22 4 2 / 0
10	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1709 W 136th St, Compton, CA 90222 Po Box 2469, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6145-017-013 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1951 / 1951 1,092 5,200 / 0.12 3 1 / 0

11	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Gaston, William / WM, Little 5042 S Slauson Ave, Culver City, CA 90230 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 4217-028-009 / 01/27/1986	Land Use: Apartment Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1959 / 1959 6,813 8,499 / 0.20 12 10 / 0
12	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 5733 Bianca Ave, Encino, CA 91316 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 2254-022-008 / 01/27/1986	Land Use: SFR Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1949 / 1949 756 5,899 / 0.14 2 1 / 0
13	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, David / Little, William 2902 Marine Ave, Gardena, CA 90249 Po Box 2469, Los Angeles, CA 90078 4069-003-004 / 07/11/1984	Land Use: Office & Residential Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1943 / 3,919 6,513 / 0.15 0 0 / 0
14	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1309 Carlton Dr, Glendale, CA 91205 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5680-002-003 04/06/1999 / 04/13/1999	Land Use: Duplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1947 / 1948 1,880 5,158 / 0.12 3 2 / 0
15	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 2628 E 53rd St, Huntington Park, CA 90255 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6309-020-012 / 12/20/1988	Land Use: Duplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1917 / 1,156 4,420 / 0.10 4 2 / 0
16	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 3409 Broadway, Huntington Park, CA 90255 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6213-026-004 / 01/24/1986	Land Use: Duplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1938 / 1938 1,088 6,419 / 0.15 2 2 / 0
17	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 3950 W 110th St, Inglewood, CA 90303 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 4033-009-023 / 01/24/1986	Land Use: Fast Food/Restaurant Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1991 / 1991 1,140 9,988 / 0.23 0 0 / 0
18	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 3632 Summerset Pl, Inglewood, CA 90305 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 4025-018-052 07/09/2004 / 07/16/2004	Land Use: Condominium Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1985 / 1985 1,614 73,492 / 1.69 3 3 / 0
19	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Bill R Little Trust Little William R TR 42837 15th St W #4, Lancaster, CA 93534 42837 15th St W #4, Lancaster, CA 93534 3125-018-099 07/31/2017 / 08/31/2017	Land Use: Condominium Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1979 / 1979 800 107,350 / 2.46 1 1 / 0
20	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	L & B Real Estate & L Little William G8 / VIC Vac Avenue Ave #G6, Lancaster, CA 93535 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 3152-008-035 03/08/1999 / 03/15/1999	Land Use: Desert Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 0 1,763,882 / 40.49 0 0 / 0
21	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	L & B Real Estate & L Little William William Little G2 / VIC Vac VIC Ave, Lancaster, CA 93535 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 3152-008-052 / 01/24/1986	Land Use: Vacant -Residential L... Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 0 112,696 / 2.59 0 0 / 0



22	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	L & B Real Estate & L Little William William Little Vac VIC Avenue G, Lancaster, CA 93535 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 3152-008-051 Land Use: Desert Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 0 112,648 / 2.59 0 0 / 0
23	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	L & B Real Estate & L Little William Vac VIC Avenue G2 / 46 Ste, Lancaster, CA 93535 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 3152-008-036 Land Use: Desert Sale Price / Type: \$10,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 0 901,364 / 20.69 0 0 / 0
24	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 2344 E 15th St, Long Beach, CA 90804 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 7260-015-012 Land Use: Apartment Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1963 / 1963 7,094 5,881 / 0.14 19 10 / 0
25	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1200 W 110th St, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6076-015-002 Land Use: Apartment Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1973 / 1973 9,172 11,572 / 0.27 22 11 / 0
26	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 107 W 112th St, Los Angeles, CA 90061 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6074-022-051 Land Use: Quadruplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1935 / 1947 3,573 6,720 / 0.15 10 5 / 0
27	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 2974 W 15th St, Los Angeles, CA 90006 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5074-011-009 Land Use: Duplex Sale Price / Type: \$4,500 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1903 / 1910 2,200 5,999 / 0.14 3 2 / 0
28	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 5176 W 20th St, Los Angeles, CA 90016 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5063-002-033 Land Use: Quadruplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1928 / 2,232 8,403 / 0.19 4 4 / 0
29	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 2227 W 27th St, Los Angeles, CA 90018 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5052-017-028 Land Use: Triplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	2007 / 2,196 6,762 / 0.16 6 3 / 0
30	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1145 E 33rd St, Los Angeles, CA 90011 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5114-025-024 Land Use: Apartment Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1913 / 2,172 4,799 / 0.11 6 5 / 0
31	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1134 W 37th Dr, Los Angeles, CA 90007 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5040-033-026 Land Use: Quadruplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1964 / 1964 3,224 4,794 / 0.11 8 5 / 0
32	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1155 5th Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90019 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5081-009-019 Land Use: Quadruplex Sale Price / Type:	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1914 / 1934 3,175 7,801 / 0.18 4 4 / 0

33	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Brown, Minnie / Little, William 1212 5th Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90019 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5081-013-027 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1914 / 1914 3,390 5,406 / 0.12 4 2 / 0
34	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1502 W 62nd St, Los Angeles, CA 90047 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6002-027-029 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1927 / 2,652 10,151 / 0.23 6 6 / 0
35	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Brown, Minnie / Little, William 710 W 80th St, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6032-026-028 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1976 / 1976 14,975 18,596 / 0.43 32 16 / 0
36	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little William TR Red Deer Trust 1028 W 87th St, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6047-004-011 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$84,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1983 / 1983 950 6,085 / 0.14 3 1 / 0
37	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 820 W 95th St, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6039-017-061 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1965 / 1965 6,471 8,100 / 0.19 0 0 / 0
38	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 824 W 95th St, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6039-017-060 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1927 / 1935 1,076 4,857 / 0.11 2 1 / 0
39	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 5554 W 9th St, Los Angeles, CA 90036 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5089-025-020 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1927 / 1930 2,752 8,618 / 0.20 3 3 / 0
40	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1110 S Ardmore Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90006 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5080-034-026 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex \$55,500 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1904 / 1905 1,834 5,904 / 0.14 1 2 / 0
41	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 5615 Bowcroft St, Los Angeles, CA 90016 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5025-005-026 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$15,500 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1952 / 1953 1,952 6,889 / 0.16 3 2 / 0
42	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 3983 S Budlong Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90037 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5037-002-003 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1922 / 2,666 6,499 / 0.15 7 3 / 0
43	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 3987 S Budlong Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90037 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5037-002-004 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1929 / 1929 10,074 6,498 / 0.15 8 16 / 0



44	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 11015 S Budlong Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6076-015-001 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1973 / 1973 7,468 9,252 / 0.21 18 9 / 0
45	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 233 N Dillon St, Los Angeles, CA 90026 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5156-006-020 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1912 / 1915 1,720 6,375 / 0.15 3 1 / 0
46	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 3954 S Harvard Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90062 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5036-028-006 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Quadruplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 1932 4,633 6,981 / 0.16 8 4 / 0
47	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 5349 La Cresta Ct, Los Angeles, CA 90038 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5535-011-023 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$200,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1912 / 1912 868 3,500 / 0.08 2 1 / 0
48	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 6211 La Mirada Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90038 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078, (No Mail) 5534-001-020 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$300,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1923 / 1930 1,555 6,750 / 0.16 3 1 / 0
49	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 4027 Leeward Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90005 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5092-010-025 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$125,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1918 / 1921 2,168 7,234 / 0.17 4 2 / 0
50	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 11177 S Main St, Los Angeles, CA 90061 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6074-022-052 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1935 / 1940 5,160 6,720 / 0.15 16 8 / 0
51	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 5021 Navarro St, Los Angeles, CA 90032 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5218-009-028 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Triplex \$175,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1931 / 2,137 7,481 / 0.17 4 4 / 0
52	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 4524 S Normandie Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90037 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5017-002-004 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	0 / 1953 5,506 6,355 / 0.15 0 0 / 0
53	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Brown, Minnie / Little, William 1417 S Oxford Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90006 Po Box 2469, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5074-003-005 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Triplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1909 / 3,332 6,719 / 0.15 8 3 / 0
54	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1935 S Rimpau Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90016 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5062-001-007 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1925 / 1925 3,345 6,600 / 0.15 6 4 / 0

55	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Brown, Minnie / Dorman, Frank / Little William 4533 St Elmo Dr, Los Angeles, CA 90019 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5071-012-049 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1923 / 1,974 7,000 / 0.16 4 3 / 0
56	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1220 Venice Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90006 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5135-011-022 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Light Industrial	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1975 / 1976 5,135 8,679 / 0.20 48 48 / 0
57	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 11101 S Vermont Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90044 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6076-023-001 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Stores & Residential \$213,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1934 / 2,596 10,810 / 0.25 4 4 / 0
58	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 12150 Alameda St, Lynwood, CA 90262 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6169-017-004 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Warehouse \$1,241,000 / Full Va...	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1949 / 214,696 233,930 / 5.37 0 0 / 0
59	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 12150 Alameda St, Lynwood, CA 90262 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 6169-017-007 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Light Industrial \$820,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1958 / 187,660 164,174 / 3.77 0 0 / 0
60	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William D / Little, Helena J 5928 Paseo Canyon Dr, Malibu, CA 90265 5928 Paseo Canyon Dr, Malibu, CA 90265 4469-039-046 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$1,550,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1969 / 1984 2,152 13,603 / 0.31 3 3 / 0
61	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little William R TR William R Little Trust 613 13th St, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 613 13th St, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 4171-035-012 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$460,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1969 / 1969 1,814 2,106 / 0.05 2 2 / 0
62	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little Trust Little William E & Karen L Trs 1900 Pine Ave, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 1900 Pine Ave, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 4171-011-020 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$155,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1946 / 1950 1,530 4,480 / 0.10 3 2 / 0
63	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William E / Little, Helen Y 13600 Marina Pointe Dr #1211, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 1107 5th Ave #3n, New York, NY 10128 4229-018-174 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Condominium \$2,025,000 / Full Va...	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1999 / 1999 1,862 122,916 / 2.82 2 3 / 0
64	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William JR / Little, Ragine A 12022 Gard Ave, Norwalk, CA 90650 12022 Gard Ave, Norwalk, CA 90650 8023-003-011 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$21,500 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1951 / 1951 1,809 5,248 / 0.12 4 2 / 0
65	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 258 E Elizabeth St, Pasadena, CA 91104 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5837-026-008 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1903 / 1940 1,056 9,894 / 0.23 2 1 / 0



66	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 990 N Marengo Ave, Pasadena, CA 91103 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5729-017-003 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1914 / 1914 1,204 7,091 / 0.16 3 1 / 0
67	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 1091 Palm Ter, Pasadena, CA 91104 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5730-024-010 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1941 / 1943 1,741 9,149 / 0.21 2 2 / 0
68	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 120 S Wilson Ave, Pasadena, CA 91106 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5735-015-010 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex \$44,000 /	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1907 / 1923 1,502 6,225 / 0.14 3 2 / 0
69	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 520 E Glendon Way, San Gabriel, CA 91776 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 5370-031-037 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Duplex	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1940 / 1,702 8,706 / 0.20 3 2 / 0
70	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Eula B Kirchner Decd Trust Little William L Co TR 763 S Santa Anita Ave, San Marino, CA 91108 1294 Kifer Rd #708, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 5331-008-016 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$618,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1950 / 1950 2,234 14,489 / 0.33 3 2 / 0
71	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little, William 4805 Hallowell Ave, Temple City, CA 91780 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 8585-016-016 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Apartment	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1962 / 4,934 12,561 / 0.29 11 6 / 0
72	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Brown, Minnie / Little, William / Rosner Properties LLC / Sylvia Rosner 1925 Ocean Front Walk, Venice, CA 90291 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 4226-012-005 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	Store Building	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1933 / 1945 1,770 3,740 / 0.09 0 0 / 0
73	Owner Name: Site Address: Mailing Address: APN: Sale / Rec Date:	Little William TR Red Dear Trust 15009 Los Lotes Ave, Whittier, CA 90605 Po Box 1380, Los Angeles, CA 90078 8149-013-002 Land Use: Sale Price / Type:	SFR \$251,000 / Full Value	Year Built / Eff: Living Area: Lot Area / Acres: Bedrooms: Baths (F / H):	1949 / 1951 1,814 27,921 / 0.64 1 2 / 0

**Disclaimer:** This report is not an insured product or service or a representation of the condition of title to real property. It is not an abstract, legal opinion, opinion of title, title insurance, commitment or preliminary report, or any form of title insurance or guaranty. Estimated property values are: (i) based on available data; (ii) are not guaranteed or warranted; (iii) do not constitute an appraisal; and (iv) should not be relied upon in lieu of an appraisal. This report is issued exclusively for the benefit of the applicant therefor, and may not be used or relied upon by any other person. This report may not be reproduced in any manner without the issuing party's prior written consent. The issuing party does not represent or warrant that the information herein is complete or free from error, and the information herein is provided without any warranties of any kind, as-is, and with all faults. As a material part of the consideration given in exchange for the issuance of this report, recipient agrees that the issuing party's sole liability for any loss or damage caused by an error or omission due to inaccurate information or negligence in preparing this report shall be limited to the fee charged for the report. Recipient accepts this report with this limitation and agrees that the issuing party would not have issued this report but for the limitation of liability described above. The issuing party makes no representation or warranty as to the legality or propriety of recipient's use of the information herein.



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT-C.C.B. INC.**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- UNLIMITED CIVIL AND CRIMINAL**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS,**  
**ADRIAN D. MOON, ET. AL.,**

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**

Plaintiff-Plaintiff-Intervenor

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET. AL. ,**

**At the Behest of Satan da Devil,**

Defendant-Defendant-Intervenor-  
Victim,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Case: County of L.A.  
vs. Moon, case no. 22STRO03447 Superior Ct.

**NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O.  
CARTER OF THE AMENDED ORDER TO  
APPOINT ADRIAN D. MOON AS AN  
CONSULTANT UNDER THE TITLE OF "  
CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI-  
SEMITIC REFORM RETAINED AT THREE  
HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND  
DOLLARS ET. SEG. HEARING ON  
JANUARY 10, 2022 AT NEXT BOARD  
MEETING. SEE, READ AND CONSIDER  
ATTACHED MOTION A -FIRST AMENDED  
MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFENCE...**

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023**

**TIME: 9:00 a.m. or soon thereafter to heard**


**DEPT.: 10 A**

**LOC.: 411 W. Fourth St. Santa Ana CA 92701**

**HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, presiding**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) hereby submits Notice to the Honorable David  
O. Carter of the Amended Motion by Chairperson , Janice Hahn, not Holly J. Mitchell of the Los  
Angeles County Board of Supervisor as to the Appoint of Czar of Homelessness and Anti-Semitic  
Reform as a Consultant retained for \$350,000.00 at the Board Meeting hearing on January 10, 2023.  
DATED: January 3, 2023

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER , JUDGE OF THE FIRST AMENDED MOTION BY JANICE  
HAHN CHAIRPERSON OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO HIRE AS AN  
CONSULATANT AS ADRIAN D. MOON UNDER THE TITLE OF "CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND  
ANTISEMICTIC REFORM RETAINED AT \$350,000.00 ET. SEQ. - 1

*First Amended*  
MOTION BY SUPERVISOR JANICE HAHN

AGN. NO.  
*January 19 2022*  
~~December 20, 2022~~

**County Support of the City of Los Angeles in their State of Emergency**

The number of individuals experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County (County) has grown steadily over the last few years. After being on pause for a year during the COVID-19 pandemic, the Los Angeles County Homeless Count resumed in February 2022 and counted a total of 69,144 people experiencing homelessness, up from 66,436 in February 2020. As the largest city in the County, the City of Los Angeles (City) has the highest number of unhoused individuals with almost 42,000 people experiencing homelessness within the City. *see, read and consider attached Motions 1 through 9 incorporated by this reference!*

On December 11, 2022, Karen Bass was sworn in as the 43rd Mayor of Los Angeles. The next day, she declared a state of emergency on homelessness. The goal behind this declaration is to be able to mobilize resources more quickly to address the issue of homelessness and move housing projects forward without being delayed by cumbersome red tape. Although the declaration itself will not be a silver bullet to solving the homelessness crisis, it does provide some cautious hope of quicker movement in an area that has for too long been stalled by bureaucracy.

*Since August 13, 2009, Lucifer and his gang (C.C.B. Inc) has kept 'Jared Deemer' Homeless because he is a descendant of Hebrew Jews.*

SOLIS

IN FAVOR

MITCHELL

IN FAVOR

HORVATH

IN FAVOR

BARGER

OPPOSED

HAHN

IN FAVOR

*Motion A*



The City's efforts to reduce homelessness will only be successful with the cooperation of the County. It is the County that provides many of the services that individuals experiencing homelessness require and that will be a vital component of their path towards permanent housing. These services include those provided by the Department of Mental Health, Department of Health Services, Department of Public Health, and Department of Public Social Services. It is imperative that the County work with the City to provide comprehensive services and support to all of our residents experiencing homelessness. Now that the City has declared an official state of emergency surrounding homelessness, we need to double down on our collaboration and treat the crisis with the urgency it deserves.

**I, THEREFORE, MOVE** that the Board of Supervisors direct the Directors of the Department of Health Services and the Department of Public Health, and the Interim Directors of the Department of Public Social Services and the Department of Mental Health to support the City of Los Angeles in its declaration of a state of emergency on homelessness by doing the following:

1. By January 15, 2023, appoint ~~a departmental executive to represent each~~ **ADRIAN D. MAON AS CZAR OF** **each** **HOMELESSNESS AND ANTISEMITIC REFORM to represent** department at City leadership meetings regarding the declaration of emergency for homelessness and the Inside Safe encampment to home program; **AND TO ADDRESS ANTI-SEMITIC REFORM BY UNIFER AND C.C.B. INC. Salary \$350K LUMP SUM.**
2. By January 31, 2023, identify departmental liaisons who will work with outreach teams in the City to assess and connect unsheltered individuals experiencing homelessness to the County departmental services that they are eligible for, such as mental health counseling, substance use counseling, housing navigation, Medi-

Cal enrollment, and domestic violence services, with the goal of getting people into housing; and

3. By February 15, 2023, establish teams who will work directly with interim housing providers in the City to ensure that clients in interim housing are assessed and connected to County departmental services that they are eligible for, including providing services onsite as needed to ensure service connections.

**I, FURTHER, MOVE** Direct the Director of the Homeless Initiative to ensure Homeless Initiative funded programs and services are aligned to support the City state of emergency declaration; collaborate with DHS, DPH, DMH, and DPSS to implement the above directives; and to report back the Board on progress implementing the directives at 60 and 180 days. The 60-day report back should include departmental needs to support the City's declaration of emergency, including the possibility of redirecting County staff to align with the City's declaration of emergency.

# # #

JH:is





### C.C.B. INC. MEMBERS:



Supervisors Back LA City Homeless Emergency Declaration - Random Lengths News

**Visit**

Images may be subject to copyright. [Learn More](#)



LiL -  
centre?

## Related content

Daddy's  
Lil Girl

Daddy's  
Big Girl

Mob Boss  
Kathryn da Sunner



Motion 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 16 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

LEAH WHETSTONE,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ADRIAN MOON,

Defendant-cross-claimant-  
Appellant,

v.

SATAN THE DEVIL; et al.,

Cross-defendants-Appellees.

No. 21-55883

D.C. No.

2:21-cv-05983-SVW-KS

Central District of California,  
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: TASHIMA, FRIEDLAND, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the notice of appeal filed August 9, 2021 in the above-referenced district court docket pursuant to the pre-filing review order entered in docket No. 14-80006. Because the appeal is so insubstantial as to not warrant further review, it shall not be permitted to proceed. *See In re Thomas*, 508 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2007). Appeal No. 21-55883 is therefore dismissed.

All pending motions are denied.

Appellant's motion to lift the pre-filing review order will be addressed in a separate order in docket No. 14-80006.



This order, served on the district court for the Central District of California,  
shall constitute the mandate of this court.

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, stay of the mandate,  
or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained.

**DISMISSED.**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under the Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Respondent-Victim

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**STANLEY MOSK - FAMILY LAW CHILD SUPPORT DIVISION**  
**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**JILL BODDIE-MOON,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

Petitioner,

vs.

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

**By Authority of The Almighty Creator,**

Respondent,

**TERRIE HARDY,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

C.S.S.D.,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. BD481244

**B.O.S. # 22-441118**

NOTICE TO COMMISSIONER JEFFERY W.  
KORN OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT  
FILED AGAINST TERRIE HARDY,  
DIRECTOR OF THE COUNTY OF LOS  
ANGELES CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES  
DEPARTMENT ET. AL. BOARD OF  
SUPERVISOR CLAIM NUMBER 22-441118  
FILED ON NOVEMBER 1, 2022 SEE READ  
AND CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS 1,  
2 INCORPORATED BY THIS  
REFERENCE...

DATE: DECEMBER 12, 2022  
TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: 41  
LOC.: 111 N. Hill Street L.A. CA 90012

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER JEFFEREY  
W. KORN, presiding

COVID-19: HOST, HOST, OR HOLY SPIRIT

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Respondent-Victim, chosen one, begotten Son  
of da Almighty Creator in the name of Yeshua Jesus Christ da Messiah... paragon, with integrity , and  
veracity , proclaim and decree as follows,

NOTICE TO COMMISSIONER JEFFERY W. KORN OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST TERRIE HARDY  
ET. AL. BOARD OF SUPERVISOR DAMAGE CLAIM # 22-441118 ET. SEQ. - 1

**ATTACHMENT 3d, 8, 10 ... (6) PAGES**  
**Motion 3**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Peshua Da Messiah...**

1 Notice is hereby given that on Monday, December 12, 2022 at 8 :30 a.m. in dept. 41 , located at  
2 111 North Hill street, L.A. CA 90012, 'daredeemer' shall move this court by way of an Emergency  
3 Application to Vacate, Set-aside and Strike the voidable order Child and Spousal Support Order of  
4 March 13, 2008, retroactively to March 13, 2008 of Disqualified (3) times Rudolph Diaz, Bench Officer.

5 2. 'daredeemer' has personal knowledge of the Undisputed Facts herein referred to as Criminal  
6 Conspiracy , immediate danger, irreparable harm , and any other authority or law to bring this  
7 Application on an Emergency Basis , if called to testify 'daredeemer' would and could completely and  
8 competently at the hearing of this matter . Oral Argument is Requested and Required.

9 **Response To Letter Correspondence dated November 18, 2022:**

10 **3. OBJECTIONS:**

11 A. " We Will continue to enforce your child support arrears in accordance with existing valid  
12 court orders " THERE ARE NO VALID ORDERS EXISTING, THE ORDER OF MARCH 13,  
13 2008 WAS VACATED BY FESIA DAVENPORT ON NOV. 10, 2010 !

14 B. " We do not agree to a stay of enforcement or collections" YOUR OFFICE IS  
15 ILLEGALLY TAKING MORE THAN THE PERCENTAGE ALLOWED FROM 'daredeemer's  
16 INCOME OF ONLY \$1200.00 MONTHLY AND ATTORNEYS JENNIFER COULTAS,  
17 NAPPYHEAD ROYE RANDALL HAVE CRIMINALLY CONPIRED WITH DISQUALIFIED  
18 RUDOLPH DIAZ IN MAINTAINING THE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARAGES ! COULTAS AND  
19 NAPPYHEAD RANDALL UNDERSTAND WHEN A JUDGE IS DISQUALIFIED THEIR RULINGS  
20 ARE VOIDABLE AND SHOULD BE VACATED AND STRICKEN !

21 C. "We will not stipulate to set aside any court orders or zero out arrears " 'daredeemer' HAS  
22 SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION FOR CHILD SUPPORT REDUCTION ON MARCH 22, 2022,  
23 THEN NAPPYHEAD RANDALL SET A LETTER NEEDING MORE DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE  
24 PROVIDED BACK ON AUGUST 15, 2022 ? AND YOUR OFFICE HAS NOT GIVEN 'daredeemer'  
25 AN RULING ON THE APPLICATION ! see, read and consider attached Motion 1,2 (letter dated  
26 11/1/22 ) incorporated by this reference.

27 D. As knucklehead Hardy is not an Lawyer , she doesn't understand that Nappyhead Randall  
28 And Coultas are pathetic liars like their God , read , John : 44 N.K.J.V. decree 1611.



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

'daredeemer' proclaims and decree under the penalty of Sin that the foregoing is true and correct.

'liberia nos a malo'

DATED: December 7, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON, Respondent-Victim

(Proposed ) ORDER

As this matter came by regularly to the court and upon agreement of the parties to the satisfaction to the Court that this matter ought to be granted !

**FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND AGREED TO BY THE PARTIES , IT IS SO ORDERED, THAT:**

1. This Emergency Motion is Granted in it's entirety,
  2. It is well established that Rudolph Diaz, Judge was Disqualified Three Times in this case and any and all of his orders shall be Vacated , Set-aside and Stricken from all records, retroactively especially the Child and Spousal Support Order of March 13, 2008 et. Seq.
- AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THAT THE COURT DEEMS JUST, PROPER AND EQUITABLE.**

DATED: DECEMBER 12, 2022

HONORABLE JEFFEREY W. KORN, COMM.  
SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**Example**





**TERRIE HARDY**  
Director

**GENIE CHOUGH**  
Chief Deputy Director

**County of Los Angeles**  
**Child Support Services Department**



*Commissioner Jessica  
Korn*

*Sept. 21*

*(213) 351-7504*

November 18, 2022

Adrian Moon  
955 North Lake Avenue  
Pasadena, CA 91104-4518

Dear Mr. Moon:

**IN RE MARRIAGE OF MOON (LASC CASE NO. BD481244)**

We understand that your child support case is very important to you, however we cannot assist you if you continue to use inappropriate and demeaning language when writing or talking to our employees. I caution you not to behave this way in the future. We want to assist you, but will terminate our conversation with you when you speak or act inappropriately.

Additionally, we will not continuously respond to the same issues once they have been answered. Our records reflect that you have repeatedly contacted us about the same issues for which we have already provided answers. Our responses remain the same:

- We will continue to enforce your child support arrears in accordance with existing valid court orders.
- We do not agree to a stay of enforcement or collections.
- We will not stipulate to set aside any court orders or zero out arrears.

Staff Attorney Roye Randall remains your contact for all inquiries. We will not reassign your case. Please direct all communication, whether in writing or by telephone, directly to her:

Roye Randall, Staff Attorney  
Torrance Division  
Los Angeles County Child Support Services Department  
20221 S. Hamilton Avenue, Torrance, CA 90502  
[roye\\_randall@cssd.lacounty.gov](mailto:roye_randall@cssd.lacounty.gov)  
(310) 354-6511

*Monthly income  
is \$1200.00  
- 'Laradeemer'  
has not received  
a ruling on the  
Reduction Application*

**EXECUTIVE OFFICES**

5770 South Eastern Ave, Commerce, CA 90040 • (323) 889-3400

*"To enrich our community by providing child support services  
in an efficient, effective and professional manner, one family at a time"*

*Motion*



D.O.S. CLAIMS 22-471118  
Adrian Moon  
November 18, 2022  
Page 2

Finally, all appointments should be made through and with Ms. Randall. She will set up an appointment with you. If you walk into our offices without an appointment and Ms. Randall is not present, we will take a message for Ms. Randall who will contact you.

Finally, enclosed please also find information regarding the Family Law Facilitator who can provide you with legal assistance.

Sincerely,



Terrie Hardy  
Director

TH: EP

Enclosure

Motion 7



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

COURT COUNSEL

LEAH GERSHON # 242327

111 North Hill Street #546

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Ph. (213) 633-5804

Email: [LGershon@lacourt.org](mailto:LGershon@lacourt.org)

Lawyer for Daniel Juarez, Sharon Harris, Deidre Robertson, Amy M. Pellman, Shelley Kaufman, David Julian Cowen et. al.

Re: "**MEET AND CONFER**" Moon v. Superior Court et. al. Case nos. BD481244/ 22STPB08696/ 20STCV28426

VIA EMAIL: [LGershon@lacourt.org](mailto:LGershon@lacourt.org)

**Dearest Defendant Leah Gershon , Lawyer for Daniel Juarez , David Julian Cowen and Deidre Robertson, All Praises and Glory to the Almighty Creator in the mighty name of Yeshua da Messiah ...** As You and Your clients have for Years now engage in a covert criminal conspiracy against 'daredeemer' We shall meet and confer with the L.A. County Sheriff Department Special Operations Unit, located at 500 W. Temple Street , L.A. CA 90012, Sgt. Fernandez or Matthew Powell (213 ) 893-2031 regarding the most recent crimes committed in cases no. 22STPB08696 / BD481244 / 20STCV28426 / 22STCP00120 whereby You and Your clients criminally denied 'daredeemer' access to the courts and obstructed Justice...

'daredeemer' directed You and Your Office to file the Ex Parte Applications in consolidated cases 22STPB08696 / 22STPB09061 and 20STCV28426 but You and Your office criminally failed to do so. Defendants Deidre Robertson, Adm. II of the Clerks office and Daniel Juarez stated that 'daredeemer' could not file the documents , but failed to provide any statute , order or law to deny rights under the Constitutions and Rules of the Superior Court. Please direct Your clients to file the documents by noon on Monday , December 12, 2022 ! You and Your office need to contact Sgt. Fernandez or Matthew Powell (213) 893-2031 immediately and report the crimes committed by Your clients including Amy M. Pellman and Shelley Kaufman. And 'daredeemer' shall do the same !

DATED: December 11, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:

KATHERINE WILKES et al

CASE NUMBER

20STCV28426

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:

Ina Smiley Clayton, et al.

DEPARTMENT/UNIT

45

TELEPHONE NUMBER

**NOTICE OF REJECTION - PLEADINGS**

We are unable to process your ☒ **complaint/petition** ☐ **answer/response** ☐ **general denial** ☐ **amended complaint** ☐ **cross-complaint** ☐ **other:** Motion submitted on 11/29/2022  
for the following reason(s):

1. ☐ The required fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ was not submitted. *Marla Webb, Aracelia Valentine, Claudette Jasper, Reggie Popach, Deidre Robertson, Sherri R. Carter, Sharon Harris, Peter Antiveras, Lizzy McFarland*
2. ☐ Check enclosed in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ altered ☐ unsigned.
3. ☐ The document does not comply with California Rules of Court, rule 2.100.
4. ☐ The case number ☐ is missing ☐ does not correspond to our records.
5. ☐ The ☐ attorney of record ☐ attorney's address does not correspond to our records.
6. ☐ Summons must conform exactly to the caption of the complaint.
7. ☐ According to the zip code listed in the Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location, this case must be filed at the \_\_\_\_\_ Courthouse.
8. ☐ The ☐ plaintiff ☐ defendant ☐ attorney of record address has P.O. Box only. Any address including a P.O. Box must include a street address.
9. ☐ Based upon the prayer amount indicated, this case must be filed as a ☐ Limited ☐ Unlimited Jurisdiction matter.
10. ☐ Proof of service of cross-complaint on all parties who have appeared on this case is required.
11. ☐ Reclassification fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is required with filing of ☐ cross-complaint ☐ amended complaint, as this document is changing the jurisdiction of the case.
12. ☐ A court order is required in order to file this ☐ cross-complaint ☐ amended complaint.
13. ☐ The clerk cannot accept any discovery for filing.
14. ☐ Other: court order require to file this document.

*- motion filed on 12/5/22 in case no 22STPB08696, Defendant, Daniel Jarama. Judge has not ruled yet!*  
Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of (

Dated: 12/05/2022

By: E. Galicia

Deputy Clerk



NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S)  
ADRIAN D. MOON, bar # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

L.A ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS ,  
ADRIAN D.MOON ET. AL.

PLAINTIFF(S),

CASE NUMBER

2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

v.  
CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS  
ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF  
COLUMBIA ET. AL.

DEFENDANT(S).

**PROOF OF SERVICE - ACKNOWLEDGMENT  
OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of LOS ANGELES, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. On JANUARY 3,, 2023, I served a true copy of NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER OF AMENDED ORDER ....


by personally delivering it to the person (s) indicated below in the manner as provided in FRCivP 5(b); by depositing it in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope with the postage thereon fully prepaid to the following: (list names and addresses for person(s) served. Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Place of Mailing: LOS ANGELES

Executed on JANUARY 3,, 20 23 at LOS ANGELES, California

Please check one of these boxes if service is made by mail:

- ☐ I hereby certify that I am a member of the Bar of the United States District Court, Central District of California.
- ☐ I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
- ☒ I hereby certify under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

  
Signature of Person Making Service

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SERVICE**

I, \_\_\_\_\_, received a true copy of the within document on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Party Served



# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...

## CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES TO PERSON OR PROPERTY

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



### INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Read claim thoroughly.
2. Fill out claim as indicated; attach additional information if necessary.
3. Please use one claim form for each claimant.
4. Return this original signed claim and any attachments supporting your claim. This form must be signed.

### DELIVER OR U.S. MAIL TO:

EXECUTIVE OFFICER, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ATTENTION: CLAIMS  
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 383,  
KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
(213) 974-1440

TIME STAMP  
OFFICE USE ONLY

1. ☒ Mr. ☐ Ms. ☐ Mrs. LAST NAME FIRST NAME M.I.  
MOON ADRIAN D.

2. ADDRESS OF CLAIMANT  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE

CITY STATE ZIP CODE  
PASADENA CA ☒ 91104

HOME PHONE ALTERNATE PHONE  
(626) 360-9896

3. CLAIMANT'S BIRTHDATE: 4. CLAIMANT'S SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER  
06/01/1960 573-29-0204

5. ADDRESS TO WHICH CORRESPONDENCE SHOULD BE SENT

SAME AS ABOVE

STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE

6. DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT

12/28/2022 2:41 pm

7. WHERE DID DAMAGE OR INJURY OCCUR?

411 W. FOURTH STREET DEPT. 10 A

STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE  
SANTA ANA CA ☒ 97201

8. DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW DAMAGE OR INJURY OCCURRED AND LIST DAMAGES  
(attach copies of receipts or repair estimates):

Defendants David O. Carter, Dawyn Harrison, Louis Miller, Elizabeth Mitchell and the Cities and Counties of L.A. /D.C. criminally conspired to Steal Seven Billion Dollars from 'daredeemer' in case entitled L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS, MOON, ET. AL. VS. CITIES AND COUNTIES OF L.A. /D.C. ET. AL., CASE NO. 2:20-CV-02291-DOC (res) see attached Notice of Stipulation Agreement

9. WERE POLICE OR PARAMEDICS CALLED? YES ☒ NO ☐

(IF YES) AGENCY'S NAME F.B.I. REPORT # EMAIL

CHECK IF LIMITED CIVIL CASE ☐

TOTAL DAMAGES TO DATE TOTAL ESTIMATED PROSPECTIVE DAMAGES

\$ 7,000,000,000.00 \$ 21,000,000,000.00

10. WHY DO YOU CLAIM COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE?

EMPLOYEES OF THE CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

*Filed a concurrent damage claims with City of Los Angeles and District of Columbia*

11. NAMES OF ANY COUNTY EMPLOYEES (AND THEIR DEPARTMENTS) INVOLVED IN INJURY OR DAMAGE (IF APPLICABLE):

NAME DEPARTMENT  
DAVID O. CARTER FEDERAL COURTS

NAME DEPARTMENT  
DAWYN HARRISON COUNTY COUNSEL

12. WITNESS(ES) TO DAMAGES OR INJURY: LIST ALL PERSONS AND ADDRESSES OF PERSONS KNOWN TO HAVE INFORMATION:

NAME PHONE  
SCOTT GARRINGER -U.S DOJ (213) 894-2417

ADDRESS  
312 N. Spring Street ste 1200 L.A. CA 90012 Scott.garringer@us

NAME PHONE  
Sean Kennedy - Chairperson S.O.C. (213) 736-8302

ADDRESS  
919 ALBANY L.A. CA 90015 sean.kennedy@lils.edu

13. IF PHYSICIAN(S) WERE VISITED DUE TO INJURY, PROVIDE NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER, AND DATE OF FIRST VISIT FOR EACH:

DATE OF FIRST VISIT PHYSICIAN'S NAME PHONE  
12/29/2022 EL ELYON  
STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE  
HEAVEN

DATE OF FIRST VISIT PHYSICIAN'S NAME PHONE  
EL ELYON  
STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE  
HEAVEN

THIS CLAIM MUST BE SIGNED

NOTE: PRESENTATION OF A FALSE CLAIM IS A FELONY (PENAL CODE SECTION 72)

CLAIMS FOR DEATH, INJURY TO PERSON OR TO PERSONAL PROPERTY MUST BE FILED NOT LATER THAN 6 MONTHS AFTER THE OCCURRENCE. (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 911.2)

ALL OTHER CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES MUST BE FILED NOT LATER THAN ONE YEAR AFTER THE OCCURRENCE. (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 911.2)

14. PRINT OR TYPE NAME

ADRIAN D. MOON

DATE

12/29/2022

15. SIGNATURE OF CLAIMANT OR PERSON FILING ON HIS/HER BEHALF GIVING RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT

*Adrian D. Moon* 12/29/2022



## Claim For Damages

City of Los Angeles Filed online

## Claimant

Mr.		First	Adrian	*	Middle	D.	Last	Moon	*	Suffix	
-----	--	-------	--------	---	--------	----	------	------	---	--------	--

## Birth Date of Claimant (mm/dd/yyyy)

06/01/1960	*
------------	---

## Email Address

ADRIANDAREDEEMER@GMAIL.COM	*
----------------------------	---

## Sex

☒ Male ☐ Female

## Home Address of Claimant

955 NORTH LAKE AVE	*
--------------------	---

## City

PASADENA	*
----------	---

## State

CA	*
----	---

## Zip Code

91104	*
-------	---

## Home Telephone Number

626 360-9896
--------------

## Business Address of Claimant

SAME AS HOMELESSNESS
----------------------

## City

--

## State

--

## Zip Code

--

## Business Telephone Number

--

## Give address to which you desire notices or communications to be sent regarding this claim

HOME ADDRESS SAME AS ABOVE
----------------------------

## City

--

## State

--

## Zip Code

--

## How did DAMAGE or INJURY occur? Please include as much detail as possible.

On December 28, 2022, Louis Miller , Arlene Hoang, David O. Carter, Bench officer, Arlene Hoang, M Hashamall and others in case L.A. ALLIANCE HUE-MAN RIGHTS, MOON, ET. AL. VS. CITIES AND	
--	--

## When did DAMAGE or INJURY occur? Please include the date and time of the damage or injury. (mm/dd/yyyy)

12/28/2022	*
------------	---

Where did DAMAGE or INJURY occur? For all accident claims, please upload a picture of a hand drawn diagram with the street names and addresses or measurements from specific landmarks.

Street

City

State

Zip

411 West fourth street Dept. 10 A

PORTLAND

OR

97201

What particular ACT or OMISSION do you claim caused the injury or damage? Please give names of City employees causing the injury or damage and identity of any vehicles involved by license plate number, if known.

R.I.CO. ACT, ANTI-TERRORIST ACT, ANTI-SEMITIC HATE ACT - DAVID O. CARTER , DAWYN  
RENAE HARRISON, LOUIS MILLER , ELIZABETH MITCHELL , KATHRYN BARGER-LIEBRICH, FESIA

What DAMAGE or INJURIES do you claim resulted? Please give full extent of injuries or damages claimed

What is the AMOUNT of your claim? Please itemize your damages

\$7,000,000,000.00

PROVIDE UPON REQUEST

## Attorney Information:

Name Prefix

Mr.

First Name (or Company)

ADRIAN

Middle

D.

Last

MOON

Suffix

## Address

955 NORTH LAKE AVE

City

PASADENA

State

CA

Zip Code

91104

Phone

(626) 360-9896

Email

adriandaredeemer@gm.

Please list names and addresses of Witnesses, Doctors and Hospitals:

Type

Select Type...

Name Prefix

Mr.

First Name (or Company)

Middle

matthew

Last

Suffix



## Address

312 N. Spring Street Ste 1200  
Scott.garringer@usdoj.gov

City

LOS ANGELES

State

CA

Zip Code

90012

## Type

Select Type...

## Name Prefix

Mr.

## First Name (or Company)

## Middle

Kevin

## Last

## Suffix

## Address

919 Albany Street  
sean.kennedy@lls.edu (213)

City

LOS ANGELES

State

CA

Zip Code

90015

## Type

Select Type...

## Name Prefix

Mr.

## First Name (or Company)

## Middle

Devi

## Last

## Suffix

## Address

1021 O Street Ste. 9000  
govlegalunit@gov.ca.gov

City

SACRAMENTO

State

CA

Zip Code

95814

If you have received any insurance payments, please give the names of the insurance companies

FOR ALL ACCIDENT CLAIMS, PLEASE UPLOAD A DIAGRAM OR SIMPLE SKETCH, INCLUDING STREET NAMES, OF THE STREETS WHERE THE ACCIDENT OCCURRED, AND THE NEAREST CROSS STREETS. INDICATE THE PLACE OF THE

ACCIDENT BY AN "X" AND BY SHOWING THE NEAREST ADDRESS AND DIS [Click Here](#)

Attach your diagram

Select files...



Please sign above

\* signature is mandatory



YUWAJN \*

### WARNING

- CLAIMS FOR DEATH, INJURY TO PERSON OR TO PERSONAL PROPERTY MUST BE FILED NOT LATER THAN 6 MONTHS AFTER THE OCCURENCE. (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 911.2)
- ALL OTHER CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES MUST BE FILED NOT LATER THAN ONE YEAR AFTER THE OCCURENCE. (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 911.2)
- SUBJECT TO CERTAIN EXCEPTIONS, YOU HAVE ONLY SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE WRITTEN NOTICE OF REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM TO FILE A COURT ACTION. (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 945.6)
- IF WRITTEN NOTICE OF REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM IS NOT GIVEN, YOU HAVE TWO (2) YEARS FROM ACCRUAL OF THE CAUSE OF ACTION TO FILE A COURT ACTION. (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 945.6)

Submit

Cancel

Copyright (c) 2022 Cycom Data Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, #chosen one  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For King Adrian 'daredeemer',

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**WESTERN DIVISION- CRIMINAL/ UNLIMITED CIVIL, C.C.B. INC.**  
**IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A HUE-MAN RIGHTS , ADRIAN D.**  
**MOON, individual, et. al. ,**

Plaintiffs-Plaintiff Intervenor,

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES**  
**AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ADRIAN**  
**D. MOON, et. al. ,**

Defendants-Defendant-Intervenor,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CLAIM #22-441118...**

Pending Identical Related Cases: 22-55933-22-55687 ; B324525 ; B324122 ; B324123 ; 22STRO03447; 22STCP00120 ; BA332095; BA362256...

**NOTICE TO THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER , JUDGE OF THE STIPULATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES ET. SEQ. ( Proposed ) ORDER THEREON**

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023**  
**TIME: 9:00a.m.or soon thereafter to be heard**  
**DEPT.: 10 A**  
**LOC.: 411 W. Fourth Street, Santa Ana CA**

**HONORALBE DAVID O. CARTER ,**  
**JUDGE, presiding**

**COVID-19:HOST,HOAX,OR HOLY SPIRIT**

I , ADRIAN D. MOON, 'daredeemer' hereby submits Notice of Stipulation Agreement between the parties to the Honorable David O. Carter , Judge .

Dated : December 28, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, #chosen one  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For King Adrian 'daredeemer',

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION- CRIMINAL/ UNLIMITED CIVIL- C.C.B. INC.  
IN THE COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**L.A HUE-MAN RIGHTS , ADRIAN D.  
MOON, individual, et. al. ,**

Plaintiffs-Plaintiff Intervenor,

vs.

**CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES  
AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ADRIAN  
D. MOON, et. al. ,**

Defendants-Defendant-Intervenor,

**“ JUDGEMENT IS COMING “**

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CLAIM #22-  
441118...**

Pending Identical Related Cases: 22-55933-22-  
55687 ; B324525 ; B324122 ; B324123;  
22STRO03447; 22STCP00120 ; BA332095;  
BA362256...

**STIPULATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN  
THE PARTIES ET. SEQ. ( Proposed )  
ORDER THEREON**

[Stipulation Can Be Signed And Filed  
Separately To The Court By The Parties]

**DATE: JANUARY 17, 2023  
TIME: 9:00a.m.or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: 10 A  
LOC.: 411 W. Fourth Street, Santa Ana CA**

**HONORALBE DAVID O. CARTER ,  
JUDGE, presiding**

**COVID-19:HOST,HOAX,OR HOLY SPIRIT**

**STIPULATION AGREEMENT**

**Parties:**

1. **ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Plaintiff- Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor,**  
**being represented by da Blood and through his attorney of record, Adrian D. Moon, Pro Se ,**
2. **CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, (**  
**hereinafter , ' C.C.B. INC.')** Defendants being represented by and through their Lawyers of



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

record , Dawyn Renae Harrison, County Counsel and Skip Miller, esq,

**Statement of Undisputed Facts:**

3. Lucifer and his children of iniquity, C.C.B.INC. have come against 'daredeemer' to Kill, Steal and Destroy see, read , and consider attached Motion A ( 5) pages incorporated by this reference .

**IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED THAT THE PARTIES ADRIAN D. MOON, 'daredeemer' AND CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, 'C.C.B. INC.' THAT PAYMENT IN THE LUMP SUM OF SEVEN (7) BILLION DOLLARS SHALL BE MADE PAYABLE TO ADRIAN D. MOON BY CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BY JANUARY 31, 2023, WITHOUT FAIL...**

///

**This Stipulation Can Be Signed And Filed Separately By The Parties With The Court.**

DATED: December 28, 2022

by: 

ADRIAN D. MOON, 'daredeemer' , Plaintiff-  
Plaintiff-Intervenor being represented by da  
blood and through Attorney of record, Adrian  
D. Moon, 955 N. Lake Ave, Pasadena, CA  
91104, cell (626) 360-9896, email:  
[adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

DATED: December/ January , 2022/2023 by:

CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES  
AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, 'C.C.B.  
INC. ' , Defendants being represented by and  
through their Lawyers of record , Dawyn  
Harrison, County Counsel, and Skip Miller, esq  
500 W. Temple St. L.A CA 90012, ph. (213)  
974-1807, email:  
[dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov](mailto:dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov) ;  
[smiller@millerbarondess.com](mailto:smiller@millerbarondess.com)

///





1 message

Wed, Dec 28, 2022 at 11:09 AM

To: dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov, emontelongo@usdoj.gov, Kent Sommer <ksommer@counsel.lacounty.gov>, DOCChambers <DOC\_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov>, karlen\_Dubon@cacd.uscourts.gov, smiller@millerbarondess.com, emitchell@spertuslaw.com, csweetser@sshhzlaw.com

Cc: pctxips-losangeles@fbi.gov, Scott.garringer@usdoj.gov, ranee.katzenstein@usdoj.gov, piu@doj.ca.gov, govlegalunit@gov.ca.gov, "pmccabe.estrada@supremecourt.gov afrank@supremecourt.gov asaunders@supremecourt.gov" <afrank@supremecourt.gov>, "pmccabe.estrada@supremecourt.gov afrank@supremecourt.gov asaunders@supremecourt.gov" <asaunders@supremecourt.gov>, "pmccabe.estrada@supremecourt.gov afrank@supremecourt.gov asaunders@supremecourt.gov" <pio@supremecourt.gov>, "pmccabe.estrada@supremecourt.gov afrank@supremecourt.gov asaunders@supremecourt.gov" <pmccabe.estrada@supremecourt.gov>, webmail@da.lacounty.gov, "Tiffany T. Blacknell" <tblacknell@da.lacounty.gov>, Tamatha Chipp <tchipp@carlwarren.com>, Stacy Luster <sluster@carlwarren.com>, Sylvia Hernandez <shernandez@carlwarren.com>, Robb Sahli <rsahli@carlwarren.com>, sean.kennedy@lls.edu, williams@bkwlawgroup.com, rivie@imwlaw.com, kate.cagle@charter.com, KABCTV.ASSIGNMENT@abc.com, lisa.bartley@abc.com, lamayornews@lacity.org, lawkeeper@msn.com, mayor.scheduling@lacity.org, Tlc@projectbyproject.com, cbs2investigates@cbs.com, ccplaws@gmail.com, 2strongpodcast@gmail.com, kcal9investigates@cbs.com, cnntips@cnn.com, Loyola Law School- Innocent Project <laurie.levenson@lls.edu>, Lance.Winters@doj.ca.gov, lafocus@aol.com, Sunidhi Sridhar <sunidhi\_sridhar@dailyjournal.com>, KTVU2Investigates@foxtv.com, ktla@ktla.com, pr@abc7.com, press@karenbass.com, fox11news@foxtv.com, legalsmart@ktla.com, appsupport@eentertainment.com, action9@wsoc-tv.com, wuski2 <wuski2@msn.com>, fdavenport@ceo.lacounty.gov, executiveoffice@bos.lacounty.gov, wwait@bos.lacounty.gov, WTVTInvestigates@foxtv.com, HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov, "meritlegalservices@Yahoo.Com" <meritlegalservices@yahoo.com>, Cora Jackson Fossett <cora@lasentinel.net>, contact@hopeoftheworld.org, sid.garcia@abc.com, FirstDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, FourthDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov, kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov, cory.minderhout@charter.com, bobby.cuza@charter.com, jw@pr.judicialwatch.org, alene.tcchekmedyan@latimes.com, jmoon@counsel.lacounty.gov, julie.sone@abc.com, Joel Rubin <joel.rubin@latimes.com>, media@opensocietyfoundations.org, Michael.Vachon@soros.com

Bcc: mr.geraldburleson@gmail.com, andreamoon288@gmail.com, misstoyamoon@yahoo.com, otcolesr38@gmail.com, Leonard Medina <papabear102360@gmail.com>, Phillip Morehouse <pmorehouse@gmail.com>, k <kbleemin@aol.com>, kerwin.lee@bereanchristianchurch.org

**Dearest Beloved Recused Defendant Michael O. Carter, Judge and Karen Dubon, Clerk , All Praises and Glory To the Almighty Creator in the Mighty Name of Yeshua da Messiah... As the stay is lifted now in this action ... Please provide Notice and Ruling on the Emergency Motion filed on or before November 14, 2022 ? Additionally , as You ( Carter) were of the mind of the Devil when You Summarily denied 'daredeemer's Plaintiff Intervenor motion ? As it was already well established that 'daredeemer ' was deemed Defendant Intervenor in this instant case ! and the timely and properly brought Peremptory Challenge 28 U.S.C. 144; 455 ET. SEQ. " YOUR DISQUALIFICATION HAS YET TO BE DETERMINED" As 'daredeemer' can give a DAMN that You pretend to have jurisdiction over 'daredeemer's cases ? You shall not Rob 'daredeemer' of his promised Seven Billion Dollars !!! Due to the Thirteen (13) years of AntiSemitic , Domestic TERRORISM and Violence , being falsely Accused and imprisoned , Kidnapped For Ransom and Grand Theft of Personal and Real Property worth One Billion Dollars !!! read Proverbs 6: 31 N.K.J.V. decree 1611 / TORAH ... AMEN AND AMEN WE've Loved You All Through Our Eternal Life's**



Motion A (5) pages



IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION: p

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
Plaintiff and Appellant,  
v.  
ADRIAN MOON,  
Defendant and Appellant.

B324374  
Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. 22STRO03447

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

**FILED**

**Dec 23, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

apalencia-huerta Deputy Clerk

THE COURT:

The court has read and considered appellant's Emergency Motion Under Rule 5.151; Circuit Rule 27-3 and Supremacy Clause Article VI Paragraph Two of California and United States Constitutions for Orders to: 1 Reinstate This Instant Case 2. Directing the U.S. Supreme Court Clerk, Scott Harris to Issue a Case Number to the Writ of Certiorari... filed Dec 19, 2022, the supplemental thereto filed Dec 20, 2022, the second supplemental filed Dec 21, 2022, and the third supplemental filed Dec 21, 2022. The motion is denied.



Nora Manella, Acting Administrative Presiding Justice

(20FS)

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Writ Petitioner-Victim

**UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT**

ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,

Petitioner-Victim

vs.

SATAN THE DEVIL ET. AL. ,

Respondents-Defendants,

NEW CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT  
AGAINST UNITED STATES SUPREME  
COURT CLERK'S ; JUSTICES AND POLICE  
DEPARTMENT PENAL CODE SECTION 182  
( a-c) ET. SEQ.

**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON , (hereinafter , 'daredeemer' ) Petitioner-Victim , chosen one, begotten  
Son of the Almighty Creator , "EL ELYON" in the Mighty Name of Yeshua Jesus Christ the  
Messiah... paragon , with integrity and veracity , proclaim and decree as follows,

1. On August 15, 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court Clerks Office receive the attached Writ of  
Certiorari and a Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis ! 'daredeemer' has not received any  
correspondence for the Justices of the case no. or any deficiency letter !

2. Since August 15, 2022, 'daredeemer' has placed three times a week voice-messages to the  
following numbers (202) 479-3011, (202) 479-3022, (202) 479-3263, Clerks Harris, Atkins and  
Barnes; (202) 479-3139, (202) 479-3341, (202) 479-3369, (202) 479-2369 , (202) 479-3211, to Chief  
Jeffery Smith and his underlings !

3. These Defendants have in the recent passed held the New case number from 'daredeemer'  
in Case entitled Moon v. Satan the Devil et. al. , 20-1457 whereby 'daredeemer' did not receive the  
case no. until April 15, 2021...

PLEASE INVESTIGATE THESE CRIMES FOR INDICTMENT AND PROSECUTION, AND  
PROVIDE 'daredeemer' WITH THE NEW CASE NO. EXPEDITIOUSLY BY EMAIL ,

WEBSITE OR VOICE-MESSAGES !!! AMEN AND AMEN

DATED: October 29, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, PETITIONER-VICTIM

**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE U.S. SUPREME COURT  
CLERKS, JUSTICES AND POLICE DEPARTMENT ET. SEQ. - 1**

(30F5)



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**

**Supreme Court no. \_\_\_\_\_**

**SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**ADRIAN DAMICO MOON ,**

**Petitioner,**

**Vs.**

**SATAN THE DEVIL ET. AL .**

**Respondents,**

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari**

**To the California Supreme Court and**

**To the United States Court of Appeal for the**

**Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal**

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

**ADRIAN DAMICO MOON, COUNSEL OF RECORD**

**955 NORTH LAKE AVE**

**PASADENA CA 91104**

**CELL: (626) 360-9896 EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)**

(4 of 5)

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'baredeemer'**

**Supreme Court no. \_\_\_\_\_**

**SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**ADRIAN DAMICO MOON ,**

**Petitioner,**

**Vs.**

**SATAN THE DEVIL ET. AL .**

**Respondents,**

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari**

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I AM ADRIAN DAMICO MOON, 'baredeemer' do decree that on this date of August 10, 2022 as required by Supreme Court Rule 20, I have served the enclosed Petition for Writ of Certiorari on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel , and on every other person required to be served , by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

**U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE**

**ATTN: MERRICK GARLAND**

**930 Pennsylvania ave NW**

**Washington , D.C. 20530**

**U.S. DEPT OF JUSTICE**

**ATTN: ROB BONTA/ LANCE WINTERS**

**300 South Spring St. #1702**

**Los Angeles, CA 90013**

**We decree under the penalty of sin that the foregoing is true and correct so help me GOD !**

**Executed on August 10, 2022**

  
**Declarant**

**(5 of 5)**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

**ORDER**

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND AGREED AND STIPULATED BY ALL  
THE PARTIES, OR ON THE COURTS OWN MOTION, IT IS SO ORDERED,  
THAT :

1. DEFENDANTS CITIES AND COUNTIES OF LOS ANGELES AND  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SHALL PAY THE LUMP SUM OF SEVEN (7)  
BILLION DOLLARS IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF-PLAINTIFF-  
DEFENDANT INTERVENOR ADRIAN D. MOON BY JANUARY 31, 2023  
WITHOUT FAIL...

DATED: JANUARY 17, 2023

HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT

COURT OF APPEAL  
2nd Appellate District Div 8

Felicia Thilika Clayton  
~~County of LA~~

Appellee  
vs

Adrian Moon  
Appellant

Case No. B323123

Sup. Ct. 22 STAD003417  
BD 481244 ~~3527~~

1. Civil Case  
Statement page 2-4
2. Proof of Service  
page 5

Adrian Moon  
(626) 360-9896



[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

APPELLATE CASE TITLE:  
COUNTY OF L.A. /BODDIE-MOON VS. MOON

APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER:

B323123

4. APPELLATE CASE HISTORY (*Provide additional information, if necessary, on attachment 4.*) Is there now, or has there previously been, any appeal, writ, or other proceeding related to this case pending in any California appellate court?

☒ Yes ☐ No (If yes, insert name of appellate court): 2ND APPELLATE DIV. 8

Appellate court case no.: B323525/B232122

Title of case: COUNTY OF L.A. V. MOON ET. AL.

Name of trial court: SUPERIOR

Trial court case no.: 22STCP00120

5. SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Is service of documents in this matter, including a notice of appeal, petition, or brief, required on the Attorney General or other nonparty public officer or agency under California Rules of Court, rule 8.29 or a statute?

☐ Yes ☒ No (*If yes, please indicate the rule or statute that applies*)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 8.29 (e.g., constitutional challenge; state or county party)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Code Civ. Proc., § 1355 (Escheat)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bus. & Prof. Code, § 16750.2 (Antitrust)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Gov. Code, § 946.6(d) (Actions against public entities)                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17209 (Unfair Competition Act)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Gov. Code, § 4461 (Disabled access to public buildings)                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17536.5 (False advertising)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Gov. Code, § 12656(a) (False Claims Act)  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Civ. Code, § 51.1 (Unruh, Ralph, or Bane Civil Rights Acts; antiboycott cause of action; sexual harassment in business or professional relations; civil rights action by district attorney) | <input type="checkbox"/> Health & Saf. Code, § 19954.5 (Accessible seating and accommodations)                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Civ. Code, § 55.2 (Disabled access to public conveyances, accommodations, and housing)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Health & Saf. Code, § 19959.5 (Disabled access to privately funded public accommodations) |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> Pub. Resources Code, § 21167.7 (CEQA)   |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify statute):  |

**NOTE:** The rule and statutory provisions listed above require service of a copy of a party's notice of appeal, petition, or brief on the Attorney General or other public officer or agency. Other statutes requiring service on the Attorney General or other public officers or agencies may also apply.

**PART II – NATURE OF ACTION**

1. Nature of action (*check all that apply*):

- a. ☐ Conservatorship
- b. ☐ Contract
- c. ☐ Eminent domain
- d. ☐ Equitable action (1) ☐ Declaratory relief (2) ☐ Other (*describe*):
- e. ☐ Family law
- f. ☐ Guardianship
- g. ☐ Probate
- h. ☐ Real property rights (1) ☐ Title of real property (2) ☐ Other (*describe*):
- i. ☐ Tort
  - (1) ☐ Medical malpractice (2) ☐ Product liability
  - (3) ☐ Other personal injury (4) ☐ Personal property
  - (5) ☒ Other tort (*describe*): r.i.c.o. act violations
- j. ☐ Trust proceedings
- k. ☐ Writ proceedings in superior court
  - (1) ☐ Mandate (Code Civ. Proc., § 1085) (2) ☐ Administrative mandate (Code Civ. Proc., § 1094.5)
  - (3) ☐ Prohibition (Code Civ. Proc., § 1102) (4) ☐ Other (*describe*):
- l. ☐ Other action (*describe*):

2. ☐ This appeal is entitled to calendar preference/priority on appeal (*cite authority*):



APPELLATE CASE TITLE:

APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER:

B323123

**PART III – PARTY AND ATTORNEY INFORMATION**

In the spaces below or on a separate page or pages, list all the parties and all their attorneys of record who will participate in the appeal. For each party, provide all of the information requested on the left side of the page. On the right side of the page, if a party is self-represented please check the appropriate box and provide the party's mailing address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address. If a party is represented by an attorney, on the right side of the page, check the appropriate box and provide all of the requested information about that party's attorney.


☐ Responses to Part III are attached instead of below

Name of Party: FELICIA TULIKKA CLAYTON  Appellate court designation: c.o.a. <input type="checkbox"/> Appellant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Respondent Trial court designation: SUPERIOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): DEFENDANT	<input type="checkbox"/> Represented by attorney <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self-represented Name of attorney: State Bar no: Firm name: Mailing address: 5022 WEST 58TH PLACE L.A. CA. 90056  Telephone no.: 213 479-2547 Fax no: Email address: discrust22@YAHOO.COM : VKM777@YAHOO.COM
Name of Party: JILL BODDIE  Appellate court designation: C.O.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Appellant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Respondent Trial court designation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/> Represented by attorney <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self-represented Name of attorney: State Bar no: Firm name: Mailing address: UNKNOWN  Telephone no.: UNKNOWN Fax no: Email address: UNKNOWN
Name of Party: ADRIAN D. MOON  Appellate court designation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appellant <input type="checkbox"/> Respondent Trial court designation: <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> Represented by attorney <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self-represented Name of attorney: State Bar no: Firm name: Mailing address: 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  Telephone no.: (626) 360-9896 Fax no: Email address: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com
Name of Party:  Appellate court designation: <input type="checkbox"/> Appellant <input type="checkbox"/> Respondent Trial court designation: <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/> Represented by attorney <input type="checkbox"/> Self-represented Name of attorney: State Bar no: Firm name: Mailing address:  Telephone no.: Fax no: Email address:

☐ Additional pages attached

Date: JAN 4, 2023

This statement is prepared and submitted by:



(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR SELF-REPRESENTED PARTY)

APPELLATE CASE TITLE:	APPELLATE COURT CASE NUMBER: <b>B 323/23</b>
-----------------------	---

NOTICE TO PARTIES: A copy of this form must be served on the other party or parties to this appeal. If served by mail or personal delivery, THE MAILING OR DELIVERY MUST BE PERFORMED BY SOMEONE WHO IS NOT A PARTY TO THE APPEAL. Electronic service is authorized only if ordered by the court or if the party served has agreed to accept electronic service. A person who is at least 18 years old must complete the information below and serve all pages of this document. When all pages of this document have been completed and a copy served, the original may then be filed with the court.

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

☒ Mail    ☐ Personal Service    ☒ Electronic Service

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age.
2. My residence or business address is (*specify*):  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104
3. I mailed, personally delivered, or electronically served a copy of the *Civil Case Information Statement (Appellate)* as follows (*complete a, b, or c*):
  - a. ☐ **Mail.** I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred and am not a party to this legal action.
    - (1) I enclosed a copy in an envelope **and**
      - (a) ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.
      - (b) ☐ **placed** the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown in items below, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
    - (2) The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:
      - (a) Name of person served: KENT SOMMER/ FELICIA TULIKKA CLAYTON
      - (b) Address on envelope:  
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 6TH FLOOR L.A. CA 90012  
5022 WEST 58TH PLACE L.A. CA . 90056
      - (c) Date of mailing: JAN 4. 2022
      - (d) Place of mailing (*city and state*): LOS ANGELES CA
  - b. ☐ **Personal delivery.** I am not a party to this legal action. I personally delivered a copy as follows:
    - (1) Name of person served:
    - (2) Address where delivered:
    - (3) Date delivered:
    - (4) Time delivered:
  - c. ☒ **Electronic service.** My electronic service address is (*specify*): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com  
I electronically served a copy as follows:
    - (1) Name of person served: Dawvn Harrison/ kent Sommer
    - (2) Electronic service address of person served: dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov /
    - (3) On (*date*): jan. 4. 2023

I declare under penalty of ~~perjury~~ <sup>perjury</sup> under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: jan 4. 2023

TOMMIE LONG  
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)



# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor

UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DIVISION- CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES- C.C.B. INC.

L.A. HUEMAN ALLIANCE ET. AL. ,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ET.  
AL. ,

Defendants,

ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,

Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor,

**"JUDGEMENT IS COMING"**

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

Identical Pending Related Cases: Moon v. County  
of L.A. case no. 22STRO03447 , Superior Ct.  
Stanley Mosk-C.C.B. INC.

**NOTICE OF CONTEMPT OF COURT  
ORDER BY DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF  
LOS ANGELES-BOARD OF SUPERVISOR  
TO SIGN STIPULATION AGREEMENT  
FOR SEVEN BILLION DOLLARS MADE  
PAYABLE TO ADRIAN DAMICO MOON ,  
'daredeemer' TO CURE DEFAULT  
JUDGEMENT IN CASE MOON V. COUNTY  
OF LOS ANGELES , CASE NO.  
22STRO03447 ET. AL. ( Proposed ) ORDER  
THEREON**

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2022  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: 10A  
LOC.: 411 WEST 4<sup>TH</sup> SANTA ANA CA 92701

HONORABLE RECUSED MICHAEL O.  
CARTER, JUDGE presiding

COVID-19:HOST, HOAX , OR HOLY SPIRIT

## DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer') Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor, chosen one  
, begotten Son of the Almighty Creator , " EL ELYON" in the Mighty Name of Yeshua Jesus  
Christ the Messiah, paragon , with integrity and veracity , proclaim and decree as follows,

**NOTICE OF CONTEMPT OF COURT ORDER TO MEET AND CONFER AND  
SIGN STIPULATION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ET. SEC. - 1**

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Peshua Da Messiah...**

1 1. Recused Michael O. Carter, Judge ordered that all objections and concerns of contempt to  
2 the Settlement Agreement order shall be filed by November 2, 2022.

3 2. On or about September 15, 2022 in Identical Pending Related Case Moon v. County of L.A.  
4 , case no. 22STRO03447, an Default Judgement in the amount of Seven (7) Billion Dollars was  
5 issued against Defendant County of Los Angeles –Board of Supervisors in favor of 'daredeemer'  
6 and Defendants County of L.A. has failed to include this Stipulation Agreement in the Settlement  
7 Agreement to DATE !!!

8 3. 'daredeemer' demands that this matter and the issue of the contempt order be addressed in  
9 an Open Public forum outside of Recused Michael O. Carter's courtroom or jurisdiction.

10 'daredeemer' proclaim and decrees that the foregoing is true and correct so help me God!!!AMEN

11 'liberia nos a malo'

12  
13  
14 

15 DATED: November 1, 2022

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
ADRIAN D. MOON, Attorney for Plaintiff-  
Defendant Intervenor

**“ JUDGEMENT IS COMING “**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE – UNLIMITED CIVIL  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**KATHRINE & ERINN WILKES ET. AL.,**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**INA SMILEY CLAYTON TRUST, ET. AL.,**

Defendants,

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 20STCV28426

Identical Pending Related Cases: 22STPB08696 ;  
22PDRO01825 ; 22STRO03447

NOTICE OF LODGING OF FORMAL  
CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST  
DEFENDANTS MEL RED RECANA ;  
AVILINA VALENTINE, J. BALTAZAR, C.A. ;  
A.J. ORTIZ, J.A. AND INFORMATION BOOTH  
CLERK ET. SEQ. SEE, READ, AND  
CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS A (8)  
PAGES AND MOTION B (2) PAGES  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE.

DATE: NOVEMBER 3, 2022  
TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: 45  
LOC.: 111 N. HILL STREET L.A. CA 90012

DISHONORABLE MEL RED RECANA,  
JUDGE, presiding

COVID-19 : HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT

**FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFENDANTS MEL RED RECANA,  
AVILINA VALENTINE, J. BALTAZAR, C.A. A.J. ORTIZ, J.A. AND THE INFORMATION  
BOOTH CLERK PENAL CODE SECTION 182 (a-c ) ET. SEQ.**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter , 'daredeemer') Plaintiff- Defendant Intervenor-  
Appellant, chosen one begotten Son of The Almighty Creator in the Mighty Name Of Yeshua  
Jesus Christ the Messiah... paragon , with integrity and veracity proclaim and decree as follows,

1. On November 2, 2022, 'daredeemer' received permission to file "General Denial " from



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

Knucklehead Defendant David Julian Cowen in Dept. 1. See , read , and understand attached Motion A (8) pages incorporated by this reference.


2. On November 3, 2022, 'daredeemer' has cause to go into the Civil Clerk's Office , Room 102 to get his add his party title to " Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor " 'daredeemer' was informed by Defendant Kathy Morales that 'daredeemer' would have to speak to the Supervisor of Dept. 45, Defendant Avalina Valentine , Supervisor, Defendant Kathy Morales then directed 'daredeemer' to go to the information Booth and inquiry that Defendant Avalina Valentine speak to you regarding adding the party information to the Case Docket. Defendant Information Booth Clerk, "fake African " was very unprofessional and called Defendant Avalina Valentine who stated that Valentine would not come out ! 'daredeemer ' in the most recent past 'daredeemer' has had problems with the Information Booth Clerk and Avalina Valentine in case County of L.A. Vs. Villanueva , case no. 22STCP00120, whereby 'daredeemer' was before the Dishonorable Elaine Lu in Dept. 26 , on October 17, 2022 and Defendant Valentine and the clerk in that dept. 26 has not issued a Minute Order and has not added 'daredeemer' as Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor and Appellant in this case ! TO DATE !!! after waiting for over an hour for Defendant Avalina Valentine to address and correct the Clerical Error or deliberate dereliction in her duties. 'daredeemer' has cause to go to dept. 45 to ask Defendants A.J. Ortiz, J.A., J. Baltazar , C.A. to correct the Case Docket adding 'daredeemer's party title to "Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor and Appellant" but Defendant Mel Red Recana came out of his chambers , "Terrorist Threatening " to get out of his courtroom !!! then went back into his chambers and put his Terrorist Threat in writing ! Lol see read and consider attached Motion B (2) pages incorporated by this reference . Then Defendant Mel Red Recana took the Bench and started the recording " On the Record" 'daredeemer' then informed Defendant Recana if he wished to proceed with his attorney of record, Court Counsel , Defendant Recana stated, " Yes" 'daredeemer' read Defendant Recana his Miranda Rights and Deputize the Bailiff to effectuate the arrest of Defendants Recana, Ortiz, Baltazar, Valentine , and the information booth clerk !!! Sgt. Fernandez and Lt. Butler shall be notified of this criminal matter and conduct an investigation for indictment and prosecution to the District Attorneys Office , IMMEDIATELY !! AND INVESTIGATION WAS COMMENCE BY



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

SGT. KHO ON NOVEMBER 1, 2022 AND 'daredeemer' is still awaiting an incident report number !

'liberia nos a malo "



DATED: November 3, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...


ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney for Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor

RECEIVED  
NOV 02 2022  
FILING WINDOW

FILED  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

NOV 02 2022

Sherri R. Carver, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court  
By  Deputy  
Glorietta Robinson

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.

KATHERINE & ERINN WILKES ET. AL.

Plaintiff,

VS.

INA SMILEY CLAYTON TRUST ET. AL.,

Defendants,

ADRIAN D. MOON,

Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor,

Case No. 20STCV28426

Identical Pending Related Cases: 22STPB08696 ;  
22PDRO01285

GENERAL DENIAL - ANSWER IN  
INTERVENTION OF THE ESTATE OF INA  
SMILEY ET. AL. PURSUANT TO ORDER  
OF DEFENDANT DAVID JULIAN COWEN  
DATED NOVEMBER 2, 2022, SEE, READ  
AND CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS 1  
THROUGH 7 INCORPORATED BY THIS  
REFERENCE ET. SEQ.

HONORABLE MEL RED RECANA, JUDGE  
, presiding DEPT. 45

Case filed: July 28, 2020

### GENERAL DENIAL ANSWER IN INTERVENTION

#### DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON


I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer') Defendant Intervenor and Administrator  
of the Estate of Ina Smiley Clayton, generally denies each and every allegation of Plaintiff's  
Summons and Complaint filed on July 28, 2022 !

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES:

1. Plaintiffs Eric Castelblanco, Catalina Rodas and Felicia Tulikka Clayton and others have  
criminally conspired to Kill, Steal, and Destroy, Defendants-Intervenor's inheritance - estate of  
approximately \$ Seven ( 7 ) Millions Dollars under Default see, read and consider attached Motion  
7 ( Criminal Complaint ) incorporated by this reference.

'daredeemer' proclaims and decrees under the penalty of Sin, so help me God !

DATED : November 2, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, Defendant Intervenor

GENERAL ANSWER IN INTERVENTION OF THE ESTATE OF INA SMILEY CLAYTON BY DEFENDANT  
INTERVENOR ADRIAN D. MOON- ADMINISTRATOR PURSUANT TO ORDER OF CO-DEFENDANT DAVID  
JULIAN COWEN DATED NOVEMBER 2, 2022, SEE READ AND CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS 1 TROUGH 7  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE ET. SEQ. - 1

Motion A (8) pages



**RULING**  
**JUDGE DAVID J. COWAN**  
**DEPARTMENT 1**

CONFORMED COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

NOV 02 2022

Date: Wednesday, November 2, 2022  
Requesting Party: Adrian D. Moon  
Request: File New Litigation (Two Requests)

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk  
By: Anoush Mchitarian, Deputy

Ruling: **The Requests to File New Litigation are DENIED.**

**BACKGROUND**

On April 1, 2008, Judge Mary Ann Murphy formally declared Adrian Moon (aka A.D. Moon, aka Adrian D. Moon, aka Adrian Damico Moon, aka Kaavon Moon, aka Damico Lott, aka A D Moon Company, aka A D Moon Co., aka A D Moon Construction Company, aka A D Moon Construction Co.) a vexatious litigant in Los Angeles Superior Court case no. BC340942, *A D Moon v. Warren Cade*, et al., and issued a prefiling order under CCP § 391.7 requiring Moon to obtain the permission of the presiding judge to file new litigation.

On August 30, 2022, Moon submitted a Request to File New Litigation by Vexatious Litigant seeking permission to file unlimited civil litigation and criminal litigation.

On September 15, 2022, Moon submitted a Request to File New Litigation by Vexatious Litigant seeking permission to file unlimited civil litigation and criminal litigation.

**DISCUSSION**

**Contents of Submissions**

Moon's August 30, 2022 submission is a Request to File New Litigation by Vexatious Litigant. The Request includes a Peremptory Challenge to Judicial Officer under CCP sec. 170.6

(Form LACIV-015) against the undersigned in case number 20STCV28426. Moon also provided a proposed "Motion for Leave of Court to Intervene" in case 20STCV28426.

Moon's Motion to Intervene claims Ina Smiley Clayton called him on February 1, 2022 and asked him "to cure [her] of all [her] ills regarding a current litigation (instant case), provide [her] financing from properties located at 1715-17 West 57th Street, L.A. CA 90062, repair and complete the work on the property (code compliance violations) and move into the property for security purposes and to rent out unit 1715." However, on June 19, 2022, Felicia Tulikka Clayton "criminally conspired then, illegally removed [Moon] from his residence . . . by way of an illicit T.R.O. and [Moon] has since been Homeless." Moon's submission also includes a "Proposed Habitability Complaint for Damages," which appears to be a copy of the original Complaint filed in case 20STCV28426 by plaintiffs Katherine Wilkes and Erin Wilkes. None of the allegations have been changed.

Moon's September 15, 2022 submission similarly includes a peremptory challenge to the undersigned in case number 20STCV28426. This submission otherwise consists of a picture of a business card for Sergeant Michael Allen of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department. Moon's peremptory challenge also bears a handwritten note at the bottom: "Request for Motion to Intervene in case Wilkes et al v Clayton et al, case no 20STCV28426." However, no Motion is attached to this submission.

#### Analysis

##### Motion to Intervene

Ordinarily, Moon would not require prefiling permission to file a motion in a pending case in which he is a party. (*See Shalant v. Girardi* (2011) 51 Cal.4th 1164, 1175 ("Nowhere in this

Motion 2



history is there any suggestion the new section would bar vexatious litigants from filing motions or papers in pending litigation.”)) But Moon’s Motion to Intervene proposes the filing of a new complaint in intervention, which is new litigation within the meaning of CCP sec. 391. (CCP sec. 387(c) (“A nonparty shall petition the court for leave to intervene by noticed motion or ex parte application. The petition shall include a copy of the proposed complaint in intervention . . . and set forth the grounds upon which intervention rests.”); CCP sec. 391 (litigation includes “any civil action or proceeding, commenced, maintained or pending in any state or federal court”))

Moon is required to seek permission to file a new complaint in intervention in the Los Angeles Superior Court. A party filing a complaint in intervention is either “[j]oining a plaintiff in claiming what is sought by the complaint” or “[d]emanding anything adverse to both a plaintiff and a defendant.” (CCP sec. 387(b)(1), (3).) In either case, the intervening vexatious litigant is commencing a new action and maintaining new or further claims against defendants named in that complaint. (CCP sec. 391 (litigation includes “any civil action or proceeding, commenced, maintained or pending in any state or federal court”))

This conclusion promotes the “purpose of the vexatious litigant statutes[, which] ‘is to address the problem created by the persistent and obsessive litigant who constantly has pending a number of groundless actions and whose conduct causes serious financial results to the unfortunate objects of his or her attacks and places an unreasonable burden on the courts.’” (*In re Kinney* (2011) 201 Cal.App.4th 951, 957-958.) “The constant suer ... becomes a serious problem to others than the defendant he dogs. By clogging court calendars, he causes real detriment to those who have legitimate controversies to be determined and to the taxpayers who must provide the courts.” (*Taliaferro v. Hoogs* (1965) 237 Cal.App.2d 73, 74.) The statutory goal of limiting vexatious litigants’ ability to clog court calendars and frustrate legitimate litigation is served by

requiring prefiling permission for motions to file complaints in intervention.<sup>1</sup> (*See Kinney, supra*, 201 Cal.App.4th at 958 (considering whether “‘litigant[']s actions are unreasonably impacting the objects of [the litigant’s] actions and the courts as contemplated by the statute.’”))

Here, Moon has not shown that his proposed Complaint in Intervention has merit and is not being filed to harass the other parties to case 20STCV28426. Moon resubmitted a copy of the Complaint filed in case 20STCV28426; he merely crossed out the caption of Wilkes’ counsel. None of the allegations pertain to Moon’s tenancy. (*See, e.g.*, Proposed Complaint, para. 3 (“Plaintiffs Katherine Wilkes and Erinn Wilkes’s tenancy began in approximately July 1, 2016...”), para. 29 (“[O]n July 2019,” Ina Clayton “attempted to unlawfully evict Plaintiffs”); *compare* Motion to Intervene, para. 4-5 (alleging Moon agreed to move into the property “[o]n February 1, 2022” and was “removed” on “June 19, 2022”))

Nor did Moon offer support for his allegations in the Motion to Intervene that Ina Clayton agreed to allow him to stay at the property or that Felicia Clayton obtained an “illicit T.R.O.” to remove him from the residence. Moon does not describe any wrongful conduct in obtaining this restraining order, and Moon’s request to file a complaint against Felicia Clayton is suggestive of intent to harass given her alleged restraining order against Moon. It is Moon’s burden under CCP sec. 391.7 to demonstrate the merit of his claims and demonstrate that he is not pursuing the

<sup>1</sup> By contrast, a motion to file an answer in intervention would not require prefiling permission. (CCP sec. 387(b)(2) (intervention occurs when nonparty becomes party by “[u]niting with a defendant in resisting the claims of a plaintiff”); CCP sec. 387(c) (motion to intervene must include proposed complaint in intervention or answer in intervention)) Just as a vexatious litigant may file an answer without prefiling permission in litigation commenced against them, a motion to intervene defensively by filing an answer would be sufficient for a vexatious litigant to protect their rights by “[u]niting with a defendant in resisting the claims of a plaintiff,” and prefiling permission would not be required. Moreover, this conclusion still achieves the statutory goal of limiting the assertion of meritless claims by restricting vexatious litigants to defensive intervention without prefiling permission. (*People v. Flores* (2003) 30 Cal.4th 1059, 1063 (the “objective of statutory interpretation is to ascertain and effectuate legislative intent.”))



proposed litigation to harass. This burden has not been carried. Thus, the Request to File New Litigation is DENIED.<sup>2</sup>

#### Peremptory Challenges


To the extent Moon requests permission to file peremptory challenges to the undersigned in case 20STCV28426, those challenges would be procedurally defective because the undersigned is not assigned to that case. On May 12, 2022, the undersigned struck a peremptory challenge filed by Moon in case 22STCP00120 on the grounds that the "undersigned is not assigned to that case." The same rationale applies here, as case 20STCV28426 is assigned to Judge Recana. The Court takes no action at this time because Moon has not filed these peremptory challenges, but will strike any procedurally defective peremptory challenge.

#### CONCLUSION

Moon's Requests to File New Litigation are DENIED.

Clerk shall give notice to Moon.

NOV 02 2022



DAVID J. COWAN

"Lil Salami"

---

<sup>2</sup> The Court notes that Moon already filed the proposed Motion to Intervene on October 4, 2022. It is set for hearing on April 25, 2023 in Department 45 of the Stanley Mosk courthouse. The Court leaves disposition of that motion to the bench officer assigned to the case.

Motion 5

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the order upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Dated: November 2, 2022

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By: \_\_\_\_\_

A. Mehtarian, Deputy Clerk

Adrian Moon

955 North Lake Ave

Pasadena, CA 91104

Matron 6



# CRIMINAL COMPLAINT. Bulter cc

## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Department 45

20STCV28426

KATHERINE WILKES, et al. vs INA SMILEY CLAYTON, et al.

October 31, 2022

8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Mel Red Recana  
Judicial Assistant: A. J. Ortiz  
Courtroom Assistant: J. Baltazar

CSR: None  
ERM: None  
Deputy Sheriff: None

### APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): Eric E Castelblanco (Telephonic) By Catalina Rodas Via LA

### COURT/CONNECT

For Defendant(s): Ina Smiley Clayton IN PRO PER -- IS DECEASED 9/11/21

\* Felicia Tul. Kka Clayton defaulted Defendant since July 21, 2021

illegally had 'deregister' removed from the Dept. 45 committing crimes of obstruction of Justice, Domestic Violence, terrorist threats

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Default Prove Up Hearing; Hearing on Ex Parte Application under CRC Rule 5.151

The matter is called for hearing.

Adrian Moon is in Court and Present not a party in case.

Adrian Moon has filed an Ex Parte Application under C.R.C. Rule 5.151.

The Court Denies ex parte. The Non Party Ex Parte Application under CRC Rule 5.151 filed by Adrian D. Moon on 10/31/2022 is Denied.

On the Court's own motion, the Default Prove Up Hearing scheduled for 10/31/2022 is continued to 01/18/2023 at 08:30 AM in Department 45 at Stanley Mosk Courthouse.

"WHY DID DEFENDANTS Mel Red Recana, Eric Castelblanco and Catalina Plaintiff is to give notice. Rodas continue Prove-up hearing to 1/18/23?"

Nov 1, 2022  
9:40 a.m. - Stanley Mosk front entrance lobby with  
Sgt. Lopez # 48375 and Senior Watson # 4339, Rivera # 410,  
Hernandez # 186, Cuervos # 772 ...

Dated: November 1, 2022

\* Court Counsel, Leah Gresham, Frederick Bennett, Phm  
Nguyen via Stephanie was served with summons,  
complaint, amendment to complaint, assignment, case call  
sheet at 9:30 AM. November 1, 2022

Motion 7

Page 1 of 1

Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

**FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

**NOV 08 2022**

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

Case No.: 20STCV28426 By: A. Ortiz, Deputy

KATHERINE WILKES, ET. AL

Plaintiff,

vs.

INA SMILEY CLAYTON, ET. AL

Defendants.

DEPARTMENT 45

FINAL WARNING

IN RE CONTEMPT OF COURT OF ADRIAN D. MOON

Adrian D. Moon, a declared vexatious litigant without a Prefiling Order, appeared in Dept. 45 for a Motion to Intervene in this case. The Court required Mr. Moon to produce a Prefiling Order from the Supervising Judge authorizing him to intervene given that he is a declared vexatious litigant.

Mr. Moon could not produce said Prefiling Order so the Court informed him that the Court could not proceed with his Motion without the Prefiling Order. Mr. Moon was persistent. He disturbed the peace in the courtroom and threatened the Staff so that the summoned Deputy Sheriff was asked to escort him out of the courtroom.

Mr Moon returned again on two occasions insisting for the Court to rule on his Motion to Intervene. The Court again explained to him that he had not submitted a Prefiling Order so the Court could not take any action. Again, he was persistent disturbing the peace in the courtroom. Thus, the Court asked the Deputy Sheriff to escort him out. He also threatened the Courtroom Staff.

Motion<sup>1</sup> B (2) pages



On November 2, 2022 Mr. Moon appeared again after the Court rejected his 170.6. The Court asked the Courtroom Assistant to hand to him the Order of the Supervising Judge that his request for Prefiling Order was denied. He refused because he said he already got one from Dept. 1. Again, the Court asked the Deputy Sheriff to escort him out.

Today, Mr. Moon is again here in Dept. 45 regarding his Motion to Intervene which had previously been denied and no longer scheduled for hearing.

The Court finds that Adrian D. Moon has repeatedly disobeyed the Order of the Court precluding him from filing any papers in court and litigating any case. He has also disturbed the peace of the court and wasted judicial time.

THEREFORE, THE COURT WARNS Adrian D. Moon that he was and is in contempt of this Court and the authority thereof. He has been willfully trying to file litigation papers and insisting on arguing in court despite the fact that he is a vexatious litigant wasting the Court's resources for his unauthorized conduct, his conduct having been committed in the immediate view and presence of the Court.

THEREFORE, SHOULD ADRIAN D. MOON APPEAR AGAIN IN DEPT. 45  
RELATIVE TO THIS CASE, HE WILL BE CITED FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT AND  
PUNISHED BY INCARCERATION OR PAYMENT OF A FINE.

The punishment for contempt is a fine of \$1,000.00 or 5 days in the County Jail of the County of Los Angeles.

It is so ordered.

Dated: November 3 2022

  
MEL RED RECANA  
Judge of the Superior Court

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>ADRIAN D. MOON</b> <b>CHOSEN ONE</b> 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 360-9896 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor	FOR COURT USE ONLY
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</b> STREET ADDRESS: 111 NORTH HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: KATHRINE WILKES ET. AL. RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: INA SMILEY CLAYTON TRUST ET. AL.	
<b>PROOF OF PERSONAL SERVICE—CIVIL</b>	
CASE NUMBER: 20STCV28426	

(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons and Complaint.)

1. I am over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action.**

2. I served the following **documents** (specify):  
 FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

☒ The documents are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Documents Served)* (form POS-020(D)).

3. I personally served the following **persons** at the address, date, and time stated:

- a. Name: MEL RED RECANA , ERIC CASTELBLANCO , FELICIA TULIKKA CLAYTON
- b. Address: 111 NORTH HILL #546, L.A. CA 90012, 10944 VENTURA STUDIO CITY CA , 5022 W. 58TH PL LA. CA 90056
- c. Date: NOVEMBER 3, 2022
- d. Time: 1:00P.M.

☒ The persons are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Persons Served)* (form POS-020(P)).

4. I am

- a. ☒ not a registered California process server.
- b. ☐ a registered California process server.
- c. ☐ an employee or independent contractor of a registered California process server.
- d. ☐ exempt from registration under Business & Professions Code section 22350(b).

5. My name, address, telephone number, and, if applicable, county of registration and number are (specify):  
 TOMMIE LONG 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104


6. ☒ I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

7. ☐ I am a California sheriff or marshal and certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: NOVEMBER 3, 2022

TOMMIE LONG

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)



(SIGNATURE OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)



# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Peshua Da Messiah...

ADRIAN D. MOON –Lead Attorney- God # chosen one

955 NORTH LAKE AVE

PASADENA CA 91104

CELL: (626) 360-9896

EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Petitioner-Respondent Intervenor-Victim-Appellant-Appellee,

HARVINDER S. ANAND – Co-Lawyer, bar # 243913

790 East Colorado Blvd #900 Pasadena CA 91101

PH. ( 626)239-7250 EMAIL: [harv@anandlawgroup.com](mailto:harv@anandlawgroup.com)

Co-Lawyer For Petitioner-Defendant-Appellee

LINDA MILLER SAVITT- Co-Lawyer, bar # 94164

15760 Ventura Blvd fl. 18 Encino, CA 91436

Ph. (818) 508-3700 EMAIL: [isavitt@brgslaw.com](mailto:isavitt@brgslaw.com)

Co-Lawyer For Respondent-Defendant- Appellant,

## COURT OF APPEAL IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISIONS P AND 8 <sup>Am</sup>

IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.

### Cover Page

ADRIAN D. MOON, ALEX VILLANUEVA  
ET. AL. ,

**By Authority of The Almighty Creator,**

Petitioner-Appellants,

vs.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS- ANNE K. RICHARDSON –  
ELAINE LU ET. AL.

**At the Behest of Satan The Devil,**

Respondents-Appellees,

**“ JUDGEMENT IS COMING “**

Case No. B324374 AND B324525 <sup>Am</sup>

Lead Related Pending Case: 2:20-CV-02291-DOC  
(res ) U.S. Central District Court on Appeal U.S.  
9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Court Case No. 14-00009  
Superior Court Case No. 22STRO03447 ;  
BA332095 ; 22STCP00120 ; 21STCP03366

**EX PARTE EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER  
CRC 5.151 AND SUPREMACY CLAUSE  
ARTICLE VI PARAGRAPH TWO OF THE  
CALIFORNIA AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS  
TO RECUSED DEFENDANTS NORA A.  
MANELLA AND ELIZABETH A. GRIMES  
FOR REQUEST TO FILE LITIGATION  
RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN  
INTERVENTION RESTROACTIVELY TO  
MAY 12, 2022 IN LOWER COURT CASE NO.  
22STCP00120 PRE-FILING ORDER  
ALREADY GRANTED AND PRE-FILING  
ORDER NEVER REQUIRED !!! ( Proposed )  
ORDER THEREON**

<sup>DEC-1, 2022</sup>  
DATE: ~~NOVEMBER 28, 2022~~

TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard

DIV. : ~~P AND 8~~ <sup>Am</sup>

LOC.: 300 S. Spring St. 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl. L.A. CA 90013

COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT

1. EX PARTE EMERGENCY MOTION pages ~~2-14~~ <sup>2-16</sup>

2. Proof of Service page ~~14~~ <sup>15</sup>

*Page 1*

EX PARTE EMERGENCY APPLICATION UNDER CRC 5.151 AND SUPREMACY CLAUSE ARTICLE VI  
PARAGRAPH TWO OF CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION TO RECUSED DEFENDANTS NORA A. MANELLA AND  
ELIZABETH A. GRIMES FOR REQUEST TO FILE LITIGATION RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN  
INTERVENTION RESTROACTIVELY TO MAY 12, 2022 PRE-FILING ORDER ALREADY GRANTED AND PRE-



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON –Lead Attorney- God # chosen one

955 NORTH LAKE AVE

PASADENA CA 91104

CELL: (626) 360-9896

EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Petitioner-Respondent Intervenor-Victim-Appellant-Appellee,

HARVINDER S. ANAND – Co-Lawyer, bar # 243913

790 East Colorado Blvd #900 Pasadena CA 91101

PH. ( 626)239-7250 EMAIL: [harv@anandlawgroup.com](mailto:harv@anandlawgroup.com)

Co-Lawyer For Petitioner-Defendant-Appellee

LINDA MILLER SAVITT- Co-Lawyer, bar # 94164

15760 Ventura Blvd fl. 18 Encino, CA 91436

Ph. (818) 508-3700 EMAIL: [lsavitt@brgslaw.com](mailto:lsavitt@brgslaw.com)

Co-Lawyer For Respondent-Defendant- Appellant,

**COURT OF APPEAL IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISIONS P AND 8**

**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**ADRIAN D. MOON, ALEX VILLANUEVA  
ET. AL. ,**

**By Authority of The Almighty Creator,**

Petitioner-Appellants,

vs.

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS- ANNE K. RICHARDSON -  
ELAINE LU ET. AL.**

**At the Behest of Satan The Devil,**

Respondents-Appellees,

**“ JUDGEMENT IS COMING “**

Case No. **B324374 AND B324525**

Lead Related Pending Case: 2:20-CV-02291-DOC  
(res ) U.S. Central District Court on Appeal U.S.  
9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Court Case No. 14-00009

Superior Court Case No. 22STRO03447 ;  
BA332095 ; 22STCP00120 ; 21STCP03366

**EX PARTE EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER  
CRC 5.151 AND SUPREMACY CLAUSE  
ARTICLE VI PARAGRAPH TWO OF THE  
CALIFORNIA AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS  
TO RECUSED DEFENDANTS NORA A.  
MANELLA AND ELIZABETH A. GRIMES  
FOR REQUEST TO FILE LITIGATION  
RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN  
INTERVENTION RESTROACTIVELY TO  
MAY 12, 2022 IN LOWER COURT CASE NO.  
22STCP00120 PRE-FILING ORDER  
ALREADY GRANTED AND PRE-FILING  
ORDER NEVER REQUIRED !!! ( Proposed )  
ORDER THEREON**

**DATE: ~~NOVEMBER 28, 2022~~ DEC. 1 2022**  
**TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard**  
**DIV. : P AND 8**  
**LOC.: 300 S. Spring St. 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl. L.A. CA 90013**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

EX PARTE EMERGENCY APPLICATION UNDER CRC 5.151 AND SUPREMACY CLAUSE ARTICLE VI  
PARAGRAPH TWO OF CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION TO RECUSED DEFENDANTS NORA A. MANELLA AND  
ELIZABETH A. GRIMES FOR REQUEST TO FILE LITIGATION RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN  
INTERVENTION RESTROACTIVELY TO MAY 12, 2022 PRE-FILING ORDER ALREADY GRANTED AND PRE-

*page 2*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Petitioner-Respondent Intervenor,  
Appellee, Appellant , chosen one , begotten Son of the Almighty Creator in the Mighty Name of  
Yeshua Jesus Christ da Messiah... paragon , with integrity , and veracity proclaim and decree,

1. 'daredeemer' has been determined to be a Victorious ( [V]exatious ) Litigant and has  
already retained Pre-filing Approval and is not required to obtain Pre-filing Approval in this  
instant Request !

2. 'daredeemer' has attached to this request the Original Document and 'daredeemer'  
decrees immediate approval from Recused Defendants Nora A. Manella and Elizabeth A. Grimes  
of the above-mentioned Court ! "Respondent Moon' Answer In Intervention" *Motion A(12) pages*

3. 'daredeemer' has merit because on April 5, 2021 and again on May 12, 2022 and again on  
Nov. 1, 2022, "DAMNED FOOL" Recused Defendant David Julian Cowen ( lil Salami),  
Supervision Presiding Judge issued a pre-filing order that 'daredeemer is not required to file for a  
pre-filing order !!!<sup>1</sup> On May 12, 2022, on the record in dept. 26, Recused Defendant Elaine Lu  
directed knucklehead Harvinder Anand , co-lawyer to file the Petition and to include  
'daredeemer' , but the "DAMNED FOOL " failed too ! 'daredeemer' having the wisdom only  
second to King Solomon on this Earth! Generated an Answer to Intervention , but since May 12,  
2022 , "DAMNED FOOLS" Mob Boss Sherri R. Carter and her underlings failed to allow  
'daredeemer' to file the Respondent Moon's Answer in Intervention and "DAMNED FOOL"  
Defendant Elaine Lu actively participating, aiding and abetting with this criminal conspiracy on  
October 17., 2022 at hearing in Dept. 26 , AMEN AND AMEN !!!

---


<sup>1</sup> By contrast, a motion to file an answer in intervention would not require pre-filing permission. (CCP sec. 387 (b)(2) ( intervention occurs when nonparty becomes party by "[u]niting with a defendant in resisting the claims of a plaintiff" ) ; CCP sec. 387 (c) (motion to intervene must include proposed complaint in intervention or answer in intervention)) Just as a vexatious litigant to protect their rights by "[u]niting with a defendant in resisting the claims of a plaintiff." And pre-filing permission would not be required. Moreover, this conclusion still achieves the statutory goal of limiting the assertion of meritless claims by restricting vexatious litigants to defensive intervention without pre-filing permission. (People v. Flores (2003) 30 Cal 4<sup>th</sup> 1059, 1063 (the "objective of statutory interpretation is to ascertain and effectuate legislative intent."))

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

4. 'daredeemer' is not filing the Respondent Moon's Answer in Intervention to harass or to cause delay because the "DAMNED FOOLS" County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisor, Gangsters Kathryn Barger-Liebrich, Paul Tenaka, Leroy David Baca, Alex Villanueva and other member of C.C.B. inc. should have been incarcerated long time ago !!! 'daredeemer' is trying to save the Public At Large through faith and salvation from these Gangsters worshipers of Lucifer and get his promised Seven (7) Billion Dollars. Amen and Amen ...

'daredeemer' proclaims and decrees under the penalty of Sin that the foregoing is true and correct. So help me God !!!

' Liberia nos a malo '



DATED: November 26, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM

(Proposed) ORDER

**FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND AGREED UPON BY ALL PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED,**

**THAT:**

1. **RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN INTERVENTION SHALL BE FILED RETROACTIVELY TO MAY 12, 2022 IN THE LOWER CASE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ET. AL. VS. ALEX VILLANUEVA ET. AL. CASE NO. 22STCP00120 FORTHWITH !!! AND ALL PARTY DESIGNATIONS SHALL BE UPDATED TO REFLECT THE FILING, AND ANY AND ALL OTHER RELIEF THAT MANELLA AND GRIMES DEEMS PROPER, JUST AND EQUITABLE, AMEN AND AMEN !!!**

DATED: NOVEMBER 28, 2022

MANELLA, NORA, C.C. A.P.J.

GRIMES, ELIZABETH, C.C. A.P.J.



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY NAME: ADRIAN D. MOON FIRM NAME: 'daredeemer' STREET ADDRESS: 955 NORTH LAKE AVE CITY: PASADENA TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 360-9896 E-MAIL ADDRESS: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Respondent Intervenor, Respondent, Victim		STATE BAR NUMBER: chosen one STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91104 FAX NO.:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
<input type="checkbox"/> COURT OF APPEAL, APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 111 NORTH HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.			
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: COUNTY OF L.A., BODDIE-MOON, CLAYTON ET. AL. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: ADRIAN D. MOON OTHER: SATAN THE DEVIL- CASE NO. BA332095, BA362256			
<b>EX PARTE APPLICATION REQUEST TO FILE</b> <b>LITIGATION BY VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, c/c 5.151</b> Type of case: <input type="checkbox"/> Limited Civil <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited Civil <input type="checkbox"/> Small Claims <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Law <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <b>criminal</b>		CASE NUMBER: BD481244 /22STCP00120 /22STPB08696	

DATE: NOV. 28 2022 TIME: 8:30 a.m. Dept. 2 VL-115 THEREON

- I have been determined to be a vexatious litigant and must obtain prior court approval to file any ~~new~~ litigation in which I am not represented by an attorney. Filing ~~new~~ litigation means (1) commencing any civil action or proceeding, or (2) filing any petition, application, or motion (except a discovery motion) under the Family or Probate Code.
- I have attached to this request a copy of the document to be filed and I request approval from the presiding justice or presiding judge of the above court to file this document (name of document):  
 NOTICE OF RULING ON EX PARTE APPLICATIONS FILED ON NOVEMBER 8, 2022, NOVEMBER 17, 2022, NOVEMBER 18, 2022 AND NOVEMBER 21, 2022
- The ~~new~~ filing has merit because (Provide a brief summary of the facts on which your claim is based; the harm you believe you have suffered or will suffer; and the remedy or resolution you are seeking):  
 SEE ATTACHED DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON (2) pages AND 'daredeemer' should not have to suffer because David Julian Cowen is running from Mello Desire ! Lol  
 TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF C.U.A. Cases B324314, B324525. Knucklehead LOL
- The ~~new~~ filing is not being filed to harass or to cause a delay because (give reasons):  
 SEE ATTACHED DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON (2) pages AND 'daredeemer' should not have to suffer because David Julian Cowen is scared of Mello Desire Lol  
 TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF C.O.A. CASES. B324314: B324525, Knucklehead!

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: NOVEMBER 28, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE)

Page 1 of 1

Form Approved for Optional Use  
 Judicial Council of California  
 VL-110 (Rev. September 1, 2018)

# REQUEST TO FILE

~~NEW~~ LITIGATION BY VEXATIOUS LITIGANT

Code of Civil Procedure, § 391.7  
 www.courts.ca.gov

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear  
 This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form

Save this form

Clear this form

Motion A (12) pages



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2022

DEPT. 1

Attorney For Petitioner-Respondent Intervenor-Victim,

**SUPERIOR COURT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE-UNLIMITED CIVIL DIVISION  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS ET. AL.**

**At the Behest of Satan The Devil,**

Petitioners,

vs.

**ALEX VILLANUEVA , ET. AL.**

**At the Behest of Satan The Devil,  
Respondents,**

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

**By Authority of The Almighty Creator,  
Petitioner-Respondent Intervenor,  
" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 22STCP00120

Lead Related Pending Case: 2:20-CV-02291-DOC  
(res ) U.S. Central District Court on Appeal U.S.  
9<sup>th</sup> Cir. Court Case No. 14-00009  
Superior Court Case 22STRO03447; BA3320905

**RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN  
INTERVENTION - SEE, READ, CONSIDER  
AND UNDERSTAND ATTACHED MOTIONS  
1 THROUGH 8 INCORPORATED BY THIS  
REFERENCE !!!**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**


**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON AND ANSWER IN INTERVENTION**

**I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Respondent Intervenor, generally agrees  
with each and every allegation of Petitioner's Summons and Complaint filed on January 12, 2022  
and again on May 12, 2022.**

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND OFFENSES:**

**1. Petitioners has failed to include into their Summons and Petition Complaint that Alex Villanueva  
is to testify on the matter of Falsely Accusing and Imprisoning 'daredeemer' on or about January  
10, 2020, then Kidnapping for Ransom on February 14, 2020 'daredeemer' and then failing to pay  
'daredeemer' the Nominal fee of \$ 1.00 and the damages of Seven ( 7 ) Billion Dollars... see , read ,  
consider and understand attached Motions 1 through 8 incorporated by this reference .  
'daredeemer' proclaims and decrees under the penalty of Sin, so help me God , AMEN AND AMEN**

DATED: MAY 12, 2022

  
ADRIAN D. MOON, Respondent Intervenor



see All Filed grievances since February 1, 2009  
to present especially Ref # 5640-2019-1126-283

**CITIZEN**

Is this grievance an emergency?  
(Es esta queja una emergencia?)

☐ YES ☒ NO

If this is a medical or mental health emergency or you are aware of a specific and immediate threat to your life/safety, notify custody personnel immediately.

Si esta es una emergencia medica o de salud mental, o si tiene conocimiento de una amenaza especifica e inmediata contra su vida/seguridad, notifique a un oficial de inmediato.

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**  
**INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM**  
See the back copy for instructions.  
All grievances must be filed within 15 calendar days.  
Grievances will be responded to within 15 calendar days.  
Appeals must be filed within 15 calendar days.  
Only one grievance per form.  
Solamente una queja por forma.

NAME NOMBRE	BOOKING NUMBER NUMERO DE PRESO	FACILITY FACILIDAD	HOUSING LOC. LUGAR DE VIVIENDA	DATE FECHA
Adrian Moon	583240	South	46-28	2-19-20

**I HAVE A GRIEVANCE ABOUT THE FOLLOWING:**

GENERAL SERVICES	MEDICAL/MENTAL	STAFF
<input type="checkbox"/> Living conditions <input type="checkbox"/> Food <input type="checkbox"/> Showers <input type="checkbox"/> Property <input type="checkbox"/> Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Commissary/Account Balance <input type="checkbox"/> Clothing/Linen/Bedding <input type="checkbox"/> Educational/Vocational Programs <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain below)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medical Services (Place in envelope) <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health (Place in envelope) <input type="checkbox"/> Dental (Place in envelope) <input type="checkbox"/> Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Custody Personnel <b>ALEX VILLANUEVA</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health Staff <b>SHERIFF</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain below) Optional (check only if applicable): <input type="checkbox"/> Use of force <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation <input type="checkbox"/> Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> Racial or identity profiling Specify the type(s) in your explanation (please refer to the reverse side of the back copy for more information)

**PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OR DATE OF INCIDENT, AND THE ACTION REQUESTED:**

DATE, TIME, DAY OF INCIDENT: 2-18-20 8:30 AM TUES. FACILITY OF OCCURRENCE: NCCF / SOUTH LOCATION OF INCIDENT: NCCF / SOUTH

REQUEST FOR MONETARY DAMAGES OF SEVEN (7) Billion dollars and \$1.00 nominal damages: In Violation of the Freedom Reform Act, Anti-terrorist Act, R.I.C.O. Act, Supremacy Clause and Separation of Powers Clause

☐ In the event I am released prior to the disposition of this grievance, I waive my right to receive a mailed notification of the resolution.  
☒ In the event I am released prior to the disposition of this grievance, I would like to receive a mailed notification of the resolution.

Mailing address: San Francisco CA 94110 State: CA ZIP: 94110 Phone: 415 989 9896

Attention: Conflict Resolution may be available and is voluntary for both the inmate and the involved personnel to address a grievance instead of the Department conducting a personnel investigation and determining a finding to resolve the grievance.

Inmate's Signature: *Adrian Moon*

**FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE**

Employee Receiving Grievance	Employee #	Date and Time of Collection and Review
SGT B. WALSH 486603		FEB 19 PM 4:74

**EMERGENCY GRIEVANCES ONLY**

\* Watch commander notified of emergency grievance **KRUCZ PANTLEY 250625** 02/19/20, 1412

This grievance ☐ was ☒ was not handled as an emergency. If not, please explain below.

Note: Any aspect of an emergency grievance determined to be non-emergent will be processed within the standard time frame.

If a disposition was rendered, please complete:

FINDINGS	RELIEF	BRIEF SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TAKEN
<input type="checkbox"/> SUSTAINED <input type="checkbox"/> SUSTAINED IN PART <input type="checkbox"/> NOT SUSTAINED <input type="checkbox"/> INCONCLUSIVE	<input type="checkbox"/> GRANTED <input type="checkbox"/> GRANTED IN PART <input type="checkbox"/> DENIED <input type="checkbox"/> RELIEF UNAVAILABLE	NOT EMERGENCY

Full disposition shall be entered in the Custody Automated Report Tracking System (CARTS).

Inmate was notified of disposition/status/modification by \_\_\_\_\_ (Supervisor), on \_\_\_\_\_ (Date/Time)

Supervising Nurse Receiving Grievance	Employee #	Date and Time of Review

FRONT PART 1 (WHITE COPY)

SH-1420 Rev 05/16 White Facility

Yellow - Inmate copy at time of disposition for an emergency grievance

Pink - Inmate copy at time of submission

Motion 1

Page 17



LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	ROOMING #	RELEASE DATE	REFERENCE NUMBER
-----------	------------	-------------	-----------	--------------	------------------

CONTINUED EXPLANATION OF THE ISSUE, INCIDENT, AND ACTION REQUESTED BY THE INMATE:

Alex Villanueva, Sheriff and others have at the behest of SATAN THE DEVIL engaged in an on-going heinous criminal conspiracy through Domestic Terrorism to keep me held hostage, kidnapped for ransom, falsely accused until my blood and flesh Death Psalm 2 N.K.J.V. Decree 1611. By order of Kevin S. Rosenberg, Judge, Dept. 80, Baugh Court House on February 14, 2020 in case People v. Moon case no. DPRO0351 at 11:00 AM. It is hereby ordered that Petitioner Adrian Moon be released by court release, on his own Recognizance immediately. Since this order I've been transferred four times, Filed Four Emergency Grievances and at the behest of SATAN the Devil, I AM still being held hostage against MY WILL. Amen Love Tola

FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

BRIEF SUMMARY OF INITIAL ACTIONS TAKEN:

(5610-2020-2205-289) PER CA WINTER # 520316 AT NCCF, YIN MOON HAS NUMEROUS GRIEVANCES FILED INDICATING THE SAME COMPLAINTS LISTED IN THIS ONE. FORWARD TO NCCF TO BE GROUPED WITH OTHERS (DUPLICATES). IN REGARDS TO O.R. CLAIM, I CONTACTED VRC WHO WILL SEND A TELETYPE FOR HIS TRANSFER TO ICE FOR RELEASE. IN REGARDS TO USE OF FORCE, YIN MOON SAYS HE HAS NO INTENT AND NO PHYSICAL CONTACT. NO USE OF FORCE DECLARED AND NO USE OF FORCE INVESTIGATION NEEDED. HAVE WORD WITHIN 1P NEEDED.

If an emergency disposition is not rendered at this time, please indicate an interim status below.

FORCE INVESTIGATION REF#	REFERRED - COURT SERVICES
I.A.B. INVESTIGATION	REFERRED - MEDICAL SERVICES
I.C.B. INVESTIGATION	REFERRED - DMH
LATE INVESTIGATION - ONGOING	REFERRED - OTHER
REFERRED - CHAPLAIN	REFERRED - OTHER AGENCY / ENTITY / STATION
	UNIT LEVEL INVESTIGATION

\*Full disposition or interim status shall be entered in the Custody Automated Report Tracking System (CARTS)

DATE
------

Grievances marked as an "emergency" shall be approved by the watch commander and reviewed by the unit inmate grievance coordinator

Unit Commander Notification Made? ☐ YES OR ☐ NO  
(Not Notation Only As Needed Per CDM 8-01/010 00)

BACK PART 1 (WHITE COPY)

54-1-420 Rev 05/16

White - Facility

Yellow - Inmate copy at time of disposition for an emergency grievance

Pink - Inmate copy at time of submission

Motion 2

page 12



**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT  
NOTIFICATION OF DISPOSITION**

**REFERENCE #: 5610-2020-0219-224**

**INFORMATION:**

☐ **Handle as an emergent.**

Booking	Last	First	Middle
5832140	MOON	ADRIAN	
Facility	Housing Location	Date of Collection	
IRC	IRIC	02/18/2020	
Requesting to Speak With	Requesting for Service	Grievance	
		Living conditions	

**Request or Grievance Details:**

Inmate is stating that he is falsely imprisoned and being held against his will.

**DISPOSITION:**

Complaint Type	Disposition
<u>Miscellaneous</u>	<u>Resolved</u>
Findings	Relief
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments**

This is a duplicate of 5640-2019-1126-283

**REVIEWED BY:**

**Inmate Rights Regarding the Appeal Process:**

- Inmates may only submit one (1) appeal per grievance.
- An appeal shall be submitted on the appropriate appeal form, which will be provided to the inmate by custody personnel when delivering the notification of the disposition of a grievance or appeal.
- Inmates who are unable to fill out the appeal form may ask personnel for assistance.
- An appeal by an inmate must be submitted within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving a written disposition regarding the grievance, or the appeal will be denied.
- Inmates will be advised in writing by a supervisor the result of an appeal within 15 calendar days of the appeal submission.

New issues raised by an inmate on an appeal form will not be addressed, and any decision rendered will pertain only to the present appeal issue.

**REFERENCE #: 5610-2020-0219-224**

Conflict Resolution may be available and is voluntary for both the inmate and the involved personnel to address a grievance instead of the Department conducting a personnel investigation and determining a finding to resolve the grievance.		
Inmate's Signature:	Booking #: 5832140	Date:

SH-J-420

5610-2020-0219-224

*Motion 3*

*page 9*

# DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS

California Evidence Code Section 1560

I, the undersigned, declare:

I am employed by the **LA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**, and am the Pitchess Detention Center South Facility designee, by the duly authorized custodian of records of the **LA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT** and have the authority to certify all South Facility records pertaining to:

**MOON, ADRIAN Booking # 5832140**

I certify that the accompanying records are true and complete copies of records maintained in the regular course and scope of business of my employer and were prepared by authorized personnel at or near the time of the acts, conditions or events which they intend to convey. No documents, records or other materials have been withheld except as noted below.

I certify that I have made a diligent, thorough and complete search of all available sources including the computer databases for both open and closed files and for all types of treatment and for all types of litigation whether in a storage facility or any other location under the control of PDC South Facility for any and all items to be produced on the attached subpoena duces tecum or authorizations for the person(s) named above.

## CERTIFICATE OF RECORDS

☒ Pursuant to Evidence Code Section 1560(e), the original records described in the Subpoena Duces Tecum/Deposition Subpoena or authorization were delivered to the requesting party.

☒ The copy is a true copy of all records described in the Subpoena Duces Tecum/Deposition Subpoena or authorization.

## CERTIFICATE OF NO RECORDS

A thorough search of files carried out under my direction and control revealed no documents, records or other materials called for in the subpoena or authorization.

REASON UNABLE TO COMPLY WITH REQUEST:

☐ This office has never had a File/Chart/Films on this person.


☐ This person was never housed/treated/employed here.

☐ Records are lost/misplaced.

☐ Records are destroyed.

☐ Other explanation below:

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

  
Deputy B. Gardner #473615, PDC South Facility

7-20-21

Date

Motion 4

Page 10



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 DEPARTMENT NO. 80

HON. KEVIN S. ROSENBERG, JUDGE

4  
5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )

6 PLAINTIFF, )

7 VS. )

8 01) ADRIAN MOON, )

9 DEFENDANT. )

OPR00351-01

**ORIGINAL**

10  
11  
12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

13 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 2020

14  
15  
16  
17  
18 APPEARANCES:

19 FOR THE PEOPLE: JACKIE LACEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
20 BY: EDWARD JOHN MADAMBA, DEPUTY  
21 429 BAUCHET STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

22 FOR DEFENDANT: (NOT PRESENT)

23  
24 ALSO PRESENT: MILTON SMITH, II  
25 PROBATION OFFICER

26  
27 MONICA PONCE, CSR #11334  
28 OFFICIAL REPORTER

Motion 5

page 1

1 CASE NUMBER: OPR00351-01  
2 CASE NAME: PEOPLE V. (01) ADRIAN MOON  
3 LOS ANGELES, CA WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 2020  
4 DEPARTMENT NO. 80 HON. KEVIN S. ROSENBERG, JUDGE  
5 REPORTER: MONICA PONCE, CSR #11334  
6 TIME: 2:39 P.M.  
7

8 APPEARANCES:

9 (THE PEOPLE BEING REPRESENTED BY EDWARD  
10 JOHN MADAMBA, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF  
11 LOS ANGELES COUNTY.)  
12

13 THE COURT: SO WE'RE GOING TO CALL A MATTER THAT'S  
14 ON CALENDAR FOR TOMORROW FOR ADRIAN MOON, OPR00351.  
02:39PM 15 IT'S ON FOR SETTING. I AM GOING TO ADVANCE AND VACATE  
16 THAT DATE.

17 WE DO HAVE COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE HERE,  
18 THOUGH.

19 MR. MADAMBA: YES. EDWARD JOHN MADAMBA, FOR THE  
02:39PM 20 PEOPLE.

21 THE COURT: AND WE DO HAVE MR. SMITH FROM  
22 PROBATION HERE AS WELL.

23 SO THE MATTER WAS ADVANCED AND VACATED  
24 BECAUSE THE COURT HEARD FROM BAR PANEL'S COUNSEL THAT  
02:40PM 25 THE PEOPLE WERE GOING TO NOT PROCEED. AND I UNDERSTAND  
26 WE HAVE SOME INFORMATION ON THAT. SO --

27 MR. MADAMBA: YES. EDWARD JOHN MADAMBA FOR THE  
28 PEOPLE. I SPOKE TO DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY GEORGE MISA

Notion 6

page 12



1 WHO HAD COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE D.A. WHO IS NORMALLY IN  
2 THIS COURT, MELINDA MURRAY. AND WE WERE TOLD BY MELINDA  
3 MURRAY THAT WE WOULD ANNOUNCE UNABLE TO PROCEED AT THIS  
4 TIME. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

02:40PM

5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE PETITION -- DID  
6 PROBATION -- I AM INCLINED TO DISMISS THE PETITION ON  
7 THAT GROUND, BUT I UNDERSTAND YOU WANTED TO MAKE SOME  
8 POINTS FOR THE RECORD, MR. SMITH?

02:41PM

9 THE COURT OFFICER: YES, YOUR HONOR, JUST TO NOT  
10 HAVING ALL THE INFORMATION OR WHY THE PETITION IS BEING  
11 DISMISSED TO OPPOSE THE PETITION BEING DISMISSED AT THIS  
12 POINT. NOTHING FURTHER.

02:41PM

13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, WITH THE PEOPLE  
14 BEING UNABLE TO PROCEED, THE PETITION DATED JANUARY 15TH  
15 WILL BE DISMISSED. SUPERVISION WILL BE REINSTATED, SAME  
16 TERMS AND CONDITIONS. MR. MOON WAS ALREADY ON HIS OWN  
17 RECOGNIZANCE. SO THERE IS NOTHING TO ADDRESS IN TERMS  
18 OF HIS CUSTODIAL STATUS. AND THERE WOULD BE NO HOLD  
19 HERE TO ADDRESS EITHER.

02:41PM

20 MR. MADAMBA: WHAT WAS THE CASE NUMBER AGAIN?  
21 SORRY.

22 THE COURT: IT'S OPR00351.

23 MR. MADAMBA: THANK YOU.

24 THE COURT: SO THAT WILL BE THE ORDER.

02:41PM

25 IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER FROM THE PEOPLE?

26 MR. MADAMBA: NO, YOUR HONOR.

27 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

28 (THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

Motion 7

page 13

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 DEPARTMENT NO. 80

HON. KEVIN S. ROSENBERG, JUDGE

4  
5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )

OPR00351-01

6 PLAINTIFF, )

7 VS. )

REPORTER'S  
CERTIFICATE

8 01) ADRIAN MOON, )

9 DEFENDANT. )

10  
11  
12  
13 I, MONICA PONCE, CSR #11334, OFFICIAL

14 REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF

15 CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY

16 CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 2, COMPRISE

17 A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

18 AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN THE MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED

19 CAUSE ON WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 2020.

20 DATED THIS 1ST DAY OF JUNE, 2020.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Monica Ponce, CSR #11334  
28 MONICA PONCE, OFFICIAL REPORTER

Motion 8

page 18



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) <b>ADRIAN D. MOON</b> <b>955 NORTH LAKE AVE</b> <b>PASADENA CA 91104</b>		chosen one	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO. (626) 360-9896 FAX NO. (Optional)			
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional) <b>adriandaredeemer@gmail.com</b> ATTORNEY FOR (Name) <b>Respondent Intervenor</b>			
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</b> STREET ADDRESS: <b>111 NORTH HILL STREET</b> MAILING ADDRESS CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>LOS ANGELES CA 90012</b> BRANCH NAME: <b>CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.</b>			
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: <b>COUNTY OF L.A. ET. AL.</b> RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: <b>ALEX VILLANUEVA ET. AL.</b>			
<b>PROOF OF PERSONAL SERVICE—CIVIL</b>		CASE NUMBER: <b>22STCP00120</b>	

***(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons and Complaint.)***

1. I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
2. I served the following documents (specify):  
**RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN INTERVENTION**

☐ The documents are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Documents Served)* (form POS-020(D)).

3. I personally served the following persons at the address, date, and time stated:

a. Name: **HARVINDER ANAND & LINDA SAVITT**

b. Address: 790 E. Colorado Blvd 900 Pasadena ca 91101 / 15760 Ventura bl Encino Ca 91436

c. Date: May 12, 2022

d. Time: 6:00 p.m.

☒ The persons are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Persons Served)* (form POS-020(P)).

4. I am

a. ☒ not a registered California process server.

b. ☐ a registered California process server.

c. ☐ an employee or independent contractor of a registered California process server.

d. ☐ exempt from registration under Business & Professions Code section 22350(b).

5. My name, address, telephone number, and, if applicable, county of registration and number are (specify):

TOMMIE LONG 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104D

6. ☒ I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
7. ☐ I am a California sheriff or marshal and certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: MAY 12, 2022

**TOMMIE LONG**

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY</p> <p>NAME: ADRIAN D. MOON</p> <p>FIRM NAME: 'daredeemer'</p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: 955 NORTH LAKE AVE</p> <p>CITY: PASADENA</p> <p>TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 360-9896</p> <p>E-MAIL ADDRESS: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com</p> <p>ATTORNEY FOR (name): Respondent Intervenor, Respondent, Victim</p> <p>STATE BAR NUMBER: chosen one</p> <p>STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91104</p> <p>FAX NO.:</p>	<p>FOR COURT USE ONLY</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/> COURT OF APPEAL, APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: 111 NORTH HILL STREET</p> <p>MAILING ADDRESS:</p> <p>CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES CA 90012</p> <p>BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.</p>	
<p>PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: COUNTY OF L.A. BODDIE-MOON, CLAYTON ET. AL.</p> <p>DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: ADRIAN D. MOON 'daredeemer'</p> <p>OTHER: SATAN THE DEVIL - CASE NO. BA332095/ BA362256</p>	
<p><b>ORDER TO FILE</b></p> <p><b>NEW LITIGATION BY VEXATIOUS LITIGANT</b></p>	
<p>Type of case: <input type="checkbox"/> Limited Civil <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited Civil <input type="checkbox"/> Small Claims</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Law <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <i>CRIMINAL</i></p>	
<p>CASE NUMBER:</p> <p>BD481244/22STCP00120/22STPB08696</p>	

### ORDER

Approval to file the attached document is:

- a. ☒ Granted
- b. ☐ Denied
- c. ☒ Other:

Ex Parte Applications filed on 11/8/22, 11/17/22, 11/18/22, 11/21/22 are hereby Granted in their entirety, forthwith !!!

☐ Attachment to order. Number of pages:

Date:

PRESIDING JUDGE OR JUSTICE

### ORDER TO FILE NEW LITIGATION BY VEXATIOUS LITIGANT

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear  
This Form button after you have printed the form.

**Print this form**

**Save this form**

**Clear this form**



"APP-009E, Item 4"

PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (Court of Appeal)

Notice: This form may be used to provide proof that a document has been served in a proceeding in the Court of Appeal. Please read *Information Sheet for Proof of Service (Court of Appeal)* (form APP-009-INFO) before completing this form.

Case Name: MOON V. SATAN THE DEVIL ET. AL.  
Court of Appeal Case Number: B324374/B324525  
Superior Court Case Number: 22STCP00120/BA332095

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age.
2. a. My ☐ residence ☒ business address is (specify):  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  
  
b. My electronic service address is (specify): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com
3. I electronically served the following documents (exact titles):  
EX PARTE APPLICATION EMERGENCY MOTION FOR REQUEST TO FILE RESPONDENT MOON'S ANSWER IN INTERVENTION ...
4. I electronically served the documents listed in 3. as follows:
  - a. Name of person served: H.ANAND.L.SAVITT.COURTCOUNSEL.  
On behalf of (name or names of parties represented, if person served is an attorney):  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES- BOARD OF SUPERVISORS-SUPERIOR COURT- ELANIE LU
  - b. Electronic service address of person served: COURTCOUNSEL@LACOURT.ORG
  - c. On (date): NOVEMBER 26, 2022 *- 2 Dec 1, 2022*  
☒ The documents listed in 3. were served electronically on the persons and in the manner described in an attachment (write "APP-009E, Item 4" at the top of the page).

I declare under penalty of <sup>SIN</sup>perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: *Dec 1, 2022*  
~~November 26, 2022~~

TOMMIE LONG

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

*page 13*

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT


DIVISION p

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
Plaintiff and Appellant,  
v.  
ADRIAN MOON,  
Defendant and Appellant.

B324374  
Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. 22STRO03447

THE COURT:

It appearing that the appellant is in default pursuant to Rule 8.100(g), California Rules of Court, the appeal filed October 24, 2022, is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora Manella, Acting Administrative Presiding Justice

**COURT OF APPEAL – SECOND DIST.**

**FILED**

**Dec 19, 2022**

**DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk**

apalencia-huerta **Deputy Clerk**

NOTICE: This order becomes final in 30 days and thereafter is not subject to rehearing or modification. This time cannot be extended (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.264(b)(1)). Any party desiring reinstatement must file a motion within 15 days of the date of this order.





# The State Bar *of California*

## OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

845 S. Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017

---

December 14, 2022

Adrian D Moon  
955 North Lake Ave  
Pasadena CA 91104

RE: Case Number: 22-O-16163 Fesia Antoinette Davenport

Dear Adrian D Moon:

We have received your complaint against one or more California attorney(s). We have assigned the number shown above to this matter; please reference this number in your communications with us.

Your complaint will first be reviewed by an attorney in the Intake Unit. If we need further information, we will contact you. We will also advise you of any determination in this matter. If you want to know the status of your complaint, you may contact us by calling the State Bar's toll-free complaint line at 800-843-9053.

Thank you for your patience.

Sincerely,

OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL/INTAKE

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Appellant-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**COURT OF APPEAL IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION P.  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

**COVER PAGE**

**COUNTY OF L.A.-BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS ET. AL. ,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

Plaintiffs-Defendants-Appellees,

VS.

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**

Defendant- Victim-Appellant,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. B324374

Superior Court Case: 22STRO03447 ET. AL.

**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF:**

**EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER RULE  
5.151 ; CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 AND  
SUPREMACY CLAUSE ARTICLE VI  
PARAGRAPH TWO OF CALIFORNIA AND  
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONS FOR  
ORDERS TO : 1. REINSTATE THIS  
INSTANT CASE 2. DIRECTING THE U.S.  
SUPREME COURT CLERK, SCOTT  
HARRIS TO ISSUE A CASE NUMBER TO  
THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI -MOON VS.  
SATAN ET. AL. 3. REFERRAL OF THESE  
CASES TO THE CALIFORNIA AND U.S.  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AGAINST  
C.C.B. INC. ET. SEQ. SEE, READ, AND  
CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS A, B, C,  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE  
(Proposed ) ORDER THEREON**

**DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2022**

**TIME: 8:30 a.m or soon thereafter to be heard**

**DIVISION: P**

**LOC.: 300 S. Spring Str. 2ndfl. L.A. CA 90013**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

**1. EMERGENCY MOTION RULE 5.151; CIRCUIT RULE**

**27-3 (Proposed) ORDER THEREON pages 2-14 8**

**2. Proof Of Service**

**page 15 9**

**page 1**

**EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER RULE 5.151; CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 AND SUPREMACY CLAUSE ARTICLE VI  
PARAGRAPH TWO OF CA AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS FOR ORDERS TO 1. REINSTATE THIS INSTANT  
CASE, 2. DIRECT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CLERK, SCOTT HARRIS TO ISSUE A CASE  
NUMBER FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI - MOON VS. SATAN ET.AL. 3. FOR REFERRAL OF THESE CASES  
TO THE CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ET. SEQ. ( Proposed ) ORDER**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON, # Chosen One  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Appellant-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**COURT OF APPEAL IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION P.  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.**

COUNTY OF L.A.-BOARD OF  
SUPERVISORS ET. AL. ,

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

Plaintiffs-Defendants-Appellees,

vs.

ADRIAN D. MOON,

**By Authority of da Almighty Creator,**

Defendant- Victim-Appellant,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. B324374

Superior Court Case: 22STRO03447 ET. AL.

**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF:**

EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER RULE  
5.151 ; CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 AND  
SUPREMACY CLAUSE ARTICLE VI  
PARAGRAPH TWO OF CALIFORNIA AND  
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONS FOR  
ORDERS TO : 1. REINSTATE THIS  
INSTANT CASE 2. DIRECTING THE U.S.  
SUPREME COURT CLERK, SCOTT  
HARRIS TO ISSUE A CASE NUMBER TO  
THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI -MOON VS.  
SATAN ET. AL. 3. REFERRAL OF THESE  
CASES TO THE CALIFORNIA AND U.S.  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AGAINST  
C.C.B. INC. ET. SEQ. SEE, READ, AND  
CONSIDER ATTACHED MOTIONS A, B, C,  
INCORPORATED BY THIS REFERENCE  
(Proposed ) ORDER THEREON

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2022

TIME: 8:30 a.m or soon thereafter to be heard

DIVISION: P

LOC.: 300 S. Spring Str. 2ndfl. L.A. CA 90013

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Defendant-Victim and Appellant, chosen  
one , begotten Son of the Almighty Creator, "EL ELYON" in the mighty name of Yeshua da  
Messiah... paragon, with integrity and veracity, proclaim and decree as follows,

**1. I am submitting second supplemental evidence in support  
of - Motion E (6) pages incorporated by reference.  
Dated: Dec. 21, 2022**

**EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER RULE 5.151; CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 AND SUPREMACY CLAUSE ARTICLE VI  
PARAGRAPH TWO OF CA AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS FOR ORDERS TO 1. REINSTATE THIS INSTANT  
CASE, 2. DIRECT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CLERK, SCOTT HARRIS TO ISSUE A CASE  
NUMBER FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI - MOON VS. SATAN ET.AL. 3. FOR REFERRAL OF THESE CASES  
TO THE CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ET. SEQ. ( Proposed ) ORDER**





**The State Bar  
of California**

OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

845 S. Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017

December 20, 2022

Adrian Moon  
955 North Lake Ave  
Pasadena CA 91004

RE: Case Number:

Moon ✓ Sated (Perk of CA/D.C.) BA332095  
BA362256  
22-O-16350 George Gascon - B.O.S. CLAIM # 22-441118

Dear Adrian Moon:

We have received your complaint against one or more California attorney(s). We have assigned the number shown above to this matter; please reference this number in your communications with us.

Your complaint will first be reviewed by an attorney in the Intake Unit. If we need further information, we will contact you. We will also advise you of any determination in this matter. If you want to know the status of your complaint, you may contact us by calling the State Bar's toll-free complaint line at 800-843-9053.

Thank you for your patience.

Sincerely,

OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL/INTAKE

A. George Gascon, David Corder, Jacqueline Lacey, Christopher Allen Darden, Michael Johnson, Michael Carter criminal conspired to falsely accuse and imprison "Dare Darden" for 20 years 8 month since August 1, 2009. Penal Code Section 182 (a-c); 18 U.S.C. 241, 242 ET. SEQ.

San Francisco Office  
180 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

[www.calbar.ca.gov](http://www.calbar.ca.gov)

Los Angeles Office  
845 S. Figueroa Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Motion E (6) pages

page 3



RECEIVED

CR-120

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NO.: chosen one  
 NAME: ADRIAN D. MOON  
 FIRM NAME: 'daredeemer'  
 STREET ADDRESS: 955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
 CITY: PASADENA  
 TELEPHONE NO.: ( 626 )- 360-9896  
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: adriandaredeemer@aol.com  
 ATTORNEY FOR (name): Defendant-Victim

DEC 21 2022

STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91104  
 FAX NO.: CRIMINAL/CAPITAL APPEALS UNIT

FOR COURT USE ONLY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 vs.

Defendant: ADRIAN D. MOON  
 Date of birth: JUNE 1, 1960  
 Cal. Dept. of Corrections and Rehabilitation No. (if any): AF0335

NOTICE OF APPEAL—FELONY (DEFENDANT)  
 (Pen. Code, §§ 1237, 1237.5, 1538.5(m); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304)

CASE NUMBER:  
 BA332095

### NOTICE

- You must file this form in the SUPERIOR COURT WITHIN 60 DAYS after the court rendered the judgment or made the order you are appealing.
- IMPORTANT:** If your appeal challenges the validity of a guilty plea, a no-contest plea, or an admission of a probation violation, you must also complete the Request for Certificate of Probable Cause on page 2 of this form. (Pen. Code, § 1237.5.)

1. Defendant appeals from a judgment rendered or an order made by the superior court.

NAME of defendant: ADRIAN D. MOON

DATE of the order or judgment: NOVEMBER 4, 2022

2. Complete either item a. or item b. Do not complete both.

a. If this appeal is after entry of a plea of guilty or no contest or an admission of a probation violation, check all that apply:

- ☐ This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after the plea that do not affect the validity of the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304(b).)
- ☐ This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence under Penal Code section 1538.5.
- ☐ This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You must complete the Request for Certificate of Probable Cause on page 2 of this form and submit it to the court for its signature.)
- ☐ Other basis for this appeal (you must complete the Request for Certificate of Probable Cause on page 2 of this form and submit it to the court for its signature) (specify):

b. For all other appeals, check one:

- ☒ This appeal is after a jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).)
- ☒ This appeal is after a contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).)
- ☒ Other (specify): DISQUALIFICATION OF MICHAEL CARTER JUDGE - CCP § 170.3(a)(2,3,4) et. seq.

3. ☒ Defendant requests that the court appoint an attorney for this appeal. Defendant ☐ was ☒ was not represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court.

4. Defendant's mailing address is: ☒ same as in attorney box above.  
☐ as follows:

Date: DECEMBER 20, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)

Page 1 of 2

RECEIVED

CR-120

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: NAME: ADRIAN D. MOON FIRM NAME: 'daredeemer' STREET ADDRESS: 955 NORTH LAKE AVE CITY: PASADENA TELEPHONE NO.: ( 626 )- 360-9896 E-MAIL ADDRESS: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Defendant-Victim		STATE BAR NO.: chosen one DEC 21 2022 BY: CA 11104 CRIMINAL/CAPITAL APPEALS UNIT	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs. Defendant: ADRIAN D. MOON Date of birth: JUNE 1, 1960 Cal. Dept. of Corrections and Rehabilitation No. (if any): AF0335			
NOTICE OF APPEAL—FELONY (DEFENDANT) (Pen. Code, §§ 1237, 1237.5, 1538.5(m); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304)		CASE NUMBER: BA362256	

NOTICE

- You must file this form in the SUPERIOR COURT WITHIN 60 DAYS after the court rendered the judgment or made the order you are appealing.
- IMPORTANT:** If your appeal challenges the validity of a guilty plea, a no-contest plea, or an admission of a probation violation, you must also complete the Request for Certificate of Probable Cause on page 2 of this form. (Pen. Code, § 1237.5.)

1. Defendant appeals from a judgment rendered or an order made by the superior court.

NAME of defendant: ADRIAN D. MOON

DATE of the order or judgment: NOVEMBER 4, 2022

2. Complete either item a. or item b. Do not complete both.

a. If this appeal is after entry of a plea of guilty or no contest or an admission of a probation violation, check all that apply:

- ☐ This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after the plea that do not affect the validity of the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304(b).)
- ☐ This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence under Penal Code section 1538.5.
- ☐ This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You must complete the Request for Certificate of Probable Cause on page 2 of this form and submit it to the court for its signature.)
- ☐ Other basis for this appeal (you must complete the Request for Certificate of Probable Cause on page 2 of this form and submit it to the court for its signature) (specify):

b. For all other appeals, check one:

- ☒ This appeal is after a jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).)
- ☒ This appeal is after a contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).)
- ☒ Other (specify): DISQUALIFICATION OF MICHAEL CARTER JUDGE - CCP § 170.3 (2)(3, 4) ET SEQ.

3. ☒ Defendant requests that the court appoint an attorney for this appeal. Defendant ☐ was ☒ was not represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court.

4. Defendant's mailing address is: ☒ same as in attorney box above.  
☐ as follows:

Date: DECEMBER 20, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)

Page 1 of 2

page 5



CASE NO. BA332095  
DEF NO. 01

DATE PRINTED 12/21/22

COUNT 37: 134 PC FEL  
COUNT 38: 132 PC FEL

ON 10/11/22 AT 900 AM IN CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT CLK

CASE CALLED FOR APPEAL ENTRY - MISCELLANEOUS

PARTIES: NONE (JUDGE) NONE (CLERK)  
          NONE (REP) NONE (DDA)

DEFENDANT IS NOT PRESENT IN COURT, AND NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL 1

ORDER ISSUED BY THE COURT OF APPEAL AND FILED BY THE SUPERIOR  
COURT. MOTION TO VACATE DENIED. WAF

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:  
ARRAIGNMENT PENDING

1 continued to Nov 4, 2022, Penal Code  
Section 182 (a-c)

CASE NO. BA332095  
DEF NO. 01

DATE PRINTED 12/21/22

COUNT 37: 134 PC FEL  
COUNT 38: 132 PC FEL

ON 11/04/22 AT 830 AM IN CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT 108

CASE CALLED FOR MOTION

PARTIES: MICHAEL D. CARTER (JUDGE) LUIS A. CORRALES (CLERK)  
CHRISTY KIRKER (REP) NONE (DDA)

DEFENDANT IS NOT PRESENT IN COURT, AND NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL

AT 11:20 A.M. THE MATTER IS CALLED THE DEFENDANT FAILED TO  
APPEAR.

THE COURT DENIES THE DEFENDANTS PETITION.

PETITION IS DENIED BASED ON FAILURE TO SHOW CAUSE.

THE COURT IS TO SUBMIT A WRITTEN DECISION AS TO THE DENIAL AND  
FORWARD IT TO THE DEFENDANT UPON COMPLETION. **2**

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:  
PROCEEDINGS TERMINATED

2. 'Jared Deemer' filed a motion for continuance  
on Nov 2, 2022, not filed in case Docket, nor  
adjudicated on Nov 4, 2022 hearing. To date  
'Jared Deemer' has not received the Decision  
in case! Defendants Christopher Allen Parden,  
George Gascon, Shoyte Penland, Kelly Chung,  
Criminal Co-Defendant to be "No Shows" at  
hearing!!! Penal Code Section 182(a-c)



CASE NO. BA332095  
DEF NO. 01

DATE PRINTED 12/21/22

COUNT 37: 134 PC FEL  
COUNT 38: 132 PC FEL

ON 11/29/22 AT 900 AM IN CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT CLK

CASE CALLED FOR REMITTITUR FILED-OTHER

PARTIES: NONE (JUDGE) NONE (CLERK)  
NONE (REP) NONE (DDA)

DEFENDANT IS NOT PRESENT IN COURT, AND NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL

REMITTITUR ISSUED BY THE COURT OF APPEAL AND FILED BY THE  
SUPERIOR COURT. NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED ON 09/19/22 IS DISMISSED. **3**  
MM

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:  
PROCEEDINGS TERMINATED

3 Defendant *Nora Manella*, c.c. *Worshiper*  
of the *Jucifer* criminal conspired and  
Dismissed case and case B316615!!!  
Penal Code Section 182 (a-c)

**PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (Court of Appeal)**

**Notice:** This form may be used to provide proof that a document has been served in a proceeding in the Court of Appeal. Please read *Information Sheet for Proof of Service (Court of Appeal)* (form APP-009-INFO) before completing this form.

Case Name: city/county OF L.A. V. MOON  
 Court of Appeal Case Number: B324374  
 Superior Court Case Number: 22STRO03447

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age.
  2. a. My ☐ residence ☒ business address is (specify):  
 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104
  - b. My electronic service address is (specify): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com
  3. I electronically served the following documents (exact titles):  
 EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER RULE 5.151; CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 FOR ORDERS...;  
 SECTION 2 supplement Ev. Service In support of F<sub>2</sub>
  4. I electronically served the documents listed in 3. as follows:
    - a. Name of person served: Dawn Harrison /Kent Sommer  
 On behalf of (name or names of parties represented, if person served is an attorney):  
 City / County of Los Angeles et.al.
    - b. Electronic service address of person served: dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov
    - c. On (date): December 19, 2022
- ☒ The documents listed in 3. were served electronically on the persons and in the manner described in an attachment (write "APP-009E, Item 4" at the top of the page).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: DECEMBER 19, 2022

TOMMIE LONG

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)



(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. LA CV 20-02291-DOC-KES

Date: December 5, 2022

Title: LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS ET AL. v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES ET AL.

---

PRESENT:

THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE

Karlen Dubon  
Courtroom Clerk

Not Present  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
PLAINTIFF:  
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
DEFENDANT:  
None Present

---

**PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER CONTINUING HEARING  
REGARDING SETTLEMENT  
AGREEMENT**

During the November 14, 2022 hearing, the Court indicated it would continue the hearing regarding the settlement agreement. (Dkt. 502). Accordingly, the Court **CONTINUES** the hearing to Tuesday, January 17, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. The Court requests the presence of Mayor-elect Karen Bass, Los Angeles City Council President Paul Krekorian, and Los Angeles County Supervisor Holly Mitchell.

The Clerk shall serve this minute order on the parties.

MINUTES FORM 11

Initials of Deputy Clerk: kdu

CIVIL-GEN

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION: p

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
Plaintiff and Appellant,  
v.  
ADRIAN MOON,  
Defendant and Appellant.

B324374  
Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. 22STRO03447

**COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.**

**FILED**

**Dec 23, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

apalencia-huerta Deputy Clerk

**THE COURT:**

The court has read and considered appellant's Emergency Motion Under Rule 5.151; Circuit Rule 27-3 and Supremacy Clause Article VI Paragraph Two of California and United States Constitutions for Orders to: 1 Reinstate This Instant Case 2. Directing the U.S. Supreme Court Clerk, Scott Harris to Issue a Case Number to the Writ of Certiorari... filed Dec 19, 2022, the supplemental thereto filed Dec 20, 2022, the second supplemental filed Dec 21, 2022, and the third supplemental filed Dec 21, 2022. The motion is denied.



Nora Manella, Acting Administrative Presiding Justice



D.A. office  
Arvi Melinda Murray

ADRIAN MOON X# 02047032 CDCR # AFO335

P.O. BOX 157

AUGORA HILLS, CA 91376

CELL Number (626) 360-9896

Fax Number (818) 865-1965

Email Address: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

CONFORMED COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

MAR 02 2020

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Sm, Deputy

Attorney For Petitioner-Defendant In Pro Se

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
BAUCHET COURTHOUSE**

**PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA,**

Under The Rule of SATAN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

By Authority of The Almighty Creator,

Defendant(s)

**LEAD CASE NO. 9PR07719**

**Related Cases 8PR07553;9PR07943;0PR00351**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR  
ORDER TO EMPANEL AN  
INVESTIGATIVE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
GRAND JURY TO HEAR MOTION TO  
IMPOSE MONETARY (SANCTIONS)  
DAMAGES OF SEVEN (7) BILLION  
DOLLARS FOR VIOLATIONS  
OF THE ELEVEN COMMANDMENTS USA  
PATRIOT ACT THE R.I.C.O. ACT THE  
FREEDOM REFORM ACT AT THE BEHEST  
OF SATAN THE DEVIL; MOTIONS 1  
THROUGH 6 ET. SEQ. PSALM 2 N.K..J.V.  
DECREE OF 1611 ET. SEQ.**

**"ORDER THEREON"**

Date: March 13, 2020

Time: 8:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter to be heard

Dept.: 80

Loc.: 429 Bauchet Street, L.A. CA 90012

**Successor Judge Hon. Kevin S. Rosenberg**


**TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT AND THE HON. SUCCESSOR JUDGE KEVIN  
S. ROSENBERG AND THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA UNDER THE RULE OF SATAN THE  
DEVIL: NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that on March 13, 2020, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as  
the matter to be heard, in Department 80 of the above-mentioned court, located at 429 Bauchet Street,  
Los Angeles, CA 90012. Petitioner-Defendant Adrian Moon will move this court for an Order to  
Empanel An Investigative Los Angeles Grand Jury to hear Motion to impose monetary (sanctions)

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER TO ENPANEL AN INVESTIGATIVE LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
GRAND JURY TO HEAR MOTION TO IMPOSE MONETARY (SANCTIONS) OF SEVEN (7) BILLION DOLLARS - 1



1 damages of Seven (7) Billion Dollars for violations of the Eleven Commandments, Patriot Act, the  
2 R.I.C.O. Act, The Freedom Reform Act at the Behest of Satan the Devil; Psalm 2, N.K.J.V. DECREE  
3 OF 1611 ET. SEQ. The motion is based on the grounds that an investigative grand jury can render  
4 damages against the government and the ruler of this government, Satan the Devil.<sup>1</sup> And not a hence  
5 recused Judicial Officer? This motion is further based on the grounds that government agencies ( U.S.  
6 Supreme Court, Supreme Court of CA, Superior Court, State Bar of California, Los County Employees,  
7 both on the Federal and State level) acted under the color of Hue-man's laws at the behest of SATAN  
8 the Devil, see attached Motions 1 through 6, incorporated by this reference, "The Court is required to  
9 take Judicial Notice of all the Cases contained in Motions 1 through 6 et. Seq." HARRIS V. COUNTY  
10 OF ORANGE, 682 F. 3d 1126 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012) ; See also Federal Evidence Code Section 201; State  
11 Evidence Code Sections 451; 452 (b) et. Seq. This motion is further based All and Any Investigation  
12 maintained by All and Any governmental agency of this World since My existence, Amen  
13 I declare and proclaim under the penalty of Sin, that the foregoing is true and correct, Amen I've Love  
14 You All Through Eternal Life...


15  
16  
17 Dated: February 29, 2020

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
"Respectfully Submitted"  
  
ADRIAN MOON, ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER IN PRO SE

1 " SATAN, Who is the GOD of this World, has blinded the minds of those who don't  
believe, They are unable to see the glorious light of the Good News , They don't  
understand this message about the glory of Christ the Messiah, who is the exact  
likeness of the Almighty Creator" 2 Corinthians 4:4 N.K.J..V. Decree of 1611 et.  
Seq. ; See and Read Also 1 Johns 3:8 ; James 4:7 ; 1 John 5:19 ; Ephesians 2:2 ; 1  
Peters 5:8 ; Revelations 12:9 ; 2 Timothy 2:26 ; Isaiah 14: 12-15 ; John 12:31



Ref. # 5640-2020-0122-395

 Search documents in this case: <input type="text"/> <input type="button" value="Search"/>	
<b>No. 19-5044</b>	
Title:	Adrian Moon, Petitioner v. California (Satan The Devil)
Docketed:	July 3, 2019
Lower Ct:	Supreme Court of California
Case Numbers:	(S252841)
Decision Date:	April 24, 2019

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
May 22 2019	Petition for a writ of certiorari and motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis filed. (Response due August 2, 2019)  Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis    Petition    Appendix    Proof of Service
Aug 15 2019	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of 10/1/2019.
Oct 07 2019	The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is denied, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is dismissed. See Rule 39.8. As the petitioner has repeatedly abused this Court's process, the Clerk is directed not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1. See Martin v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 506 U. S. 1 (1992) (per curiam).

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
<b>Attorneys for Petitioner</b>		
Adrian D. Moon	AF0335 E2-72 <del>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX</del> <del>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX</del>	
Party name: Adrian Moon		

## Select A Case

**Adrian D Moon is a petitioner in 13 cases.**

<u>2:11-cv-10806-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. Maurice Junious	filed 12/29/11 closed 05/15/12
<u>2:12-cv-02456-RGK-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. P L Vasquez	filed 03/21/12 closed 08/09/12
<u>2:12-cv-04971-RGK-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. P L Vasquez	filed 06/07/12 closed 07/23/12
<u>2:12-cv-07211-RGK-DFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. M Spearman	filed 08/22/12 closed 01/23/15
<u>2:12-cv-08022-RGK-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. M. Spearman	filed 09/18/12 closed 09/25/12
<u>2:13-cv-04290-RGK-MAN</u>	Adrian Moon v. Ron Davis	filed 06/14/13 closed 06/18/13
<u>2:15-cv-07126-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Damico Moon v. Kim Holland	filed 09/09/15 closed 08/31/16
<u>2:18-cv-01558-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. William Muniz	filed 02/26/18 closed 01/31/19
<u>2:18-cv-05793-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. County of Los Angeles Probation Dept. et al	filed 07/02/18 closed 07/24/18
<u>2:18-cv-08336-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. Terri McDonald et al	filed 09/27/18 closed 10/17/18
<u>2:19-cv-00381-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. Satan the Devil	filed 01/17/19 closed 04/11/19

*Motion 2*



• 5:17-cv-00246-SVW-  
FFM

5:17-cv-00498-SVW-  
FFM

Adrian Moon v. Leroy David Baca et al filed 03/16/17 closed 04/06/17

5:17-cv-00718-SVW-  
FFM

Adrian Moon v. Cynthia Tampkins et al filed 04/14/17 closed 04/26/17

5:17-cv-00884-SVW-  
FFM

Adrian Moon v. Raymond Madden et al filed 05/08/17 closed 05/18/17

5:17-cv-00887-SVW-  
FFM

Adrian Moon v. Neil McDowell et al filed 05/08/17 closed 12/07/17

**2:18-cv-04991-VAP-RAO** Jill Christie Boddie-Moon v. Adrian Moon - *Respondent*  
Virginia A. Phillips, presiding  
Rozella A. Oliver, referral  
**Date filed:** 06/05/2018  
**Date terminated:** 06/13/2018  
**Date of last filing:** 07/11/2018

Mobile Query

**Query**

Alias

Associated Cases

Attorney

Case File Location...

Docket Report ...

History/Documents...

Party

Related Transactions...

Status

View a Document

**Selection Criteria for Query**

<b>Name Criteria</b>	adrian moon
<b>Name Matched</b>	Adrian D Moon



## Select A Case

**Adrian D Moon is a plaintiff in 16 cases.**

<u>2:12-cv-01514-UA-KK</u>	Adrian Moon v. Michael Johnson et al	filed 02/22/12	closed 03/08/12
<u>2:12-cv-04972-UA-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. Michael Johnson et al	filed 06/07/12	closed 06/11/12
<u>2:12-cv-05754-UA-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. Leroy Baca et al	filed 07/03/12	closed 07/16/12
<u>2:12-cv-07697-UA-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. Leroy Baca et al	filed 09/07/12	closed 09/18/12
<u>2:14-cv-09781-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. Douglas F. McCormick et al	filed 12/22/14	closed 06/02/15
<u>2:15-cv-07501-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon et al v. Kimberly Seibel et al	filed 09/24/15	closed 10/21/15
<u>2:17-cv-03459-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. Juanita Garbutt et al	filed 05/08/17	closed 05/19/17
<u>5:12-cv-00632-UA-MLG</u>	Adrian Moon v. Michael Johnson et al	filed 04/25/12	closed 05/07/12
<u>5:13-cv-01042-UA-MAN</u>	Adrian Moon v. A. Matthews et al	filed 06/11/13	closed 06/27/13
<u>5:15-cv-01491-SVW-FFM</u>	Adrian Moon v. D Hurley et al	filed 07/24/15	closed 08/13/15
<u>5:16-cv-00009-VAP-SP</u>	Adrian Moon et al v. Kimberly Seibel et al	filed 01/04/16	closed 01/07/16
	Adrian Moon v. Leroy David Baca et al	filed 02/10/17	closed 02/21/17

*Motion 5*

2:19-cv-04510-  
SVW-FFM

Adrian D. Moon v. Terri McDonald

filed 05/23/19 closed 07/10/19

2:19-cv-06623-  
SVW-FFM

Adrian D. Moon v. Terri McDonald

filed 07/31/19 closed 08/13/19

Motion 6



1  
2  
3 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
4 **IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**  
5 **BAUCHET COURTHOUSE**  
6

7 **PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA,**

8 Under the Rule of SATAN,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 **ADRIAN D. MOON,**

12 By Authority of The Almighty Creator

13 Defendant(s)  
14

) **Lead Case no. 9PR07719**

) **Related Cases: 8PR07553;9PR07943;0PR00351**

) **( proposed ) ORDER**

) **Date: March 13, 2020**

) **Dept.: 80**

15 As This Matter came by way on regular motion before the Court on Petitioner's for Order to Empanel an  
16 Investigative Los Angeles County Grand Jury to hear motion to impose monetary (sanctions) damages  
17 of Seven (7) Billion Dollars for Violations of the Eleven Commandments, USA Patriot Act, The  
18 R.I.C.O. act, the Freedom Reform Act the Behest of SATAN the Devil, upon consideration of  
19 Petitioner's motion and the declaration of Adrian D. Moon and the Memorandum of Points and  
20 Authorities , it appears to the satisfaction of the Court that this is proper case for granting the relief  
21 sought,

22 **FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,**

- 23 1. The Hearing on the above-mentioned motion will be turned-over to the Los Angeles County  
24 Grand Jury for investigation, indictment then prosecution, forthwith.

25 Dated: March , 2020  
26

27 **SUCCESSOR JUDGE HONORABLE KEVIN S. ROSENBERG**  
28 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION: p

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
Plaintiff and Appellant,  
v.  
ADRIAN MOON,  
Defendant and Appellant.

B324374  
Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. 22STRO03447

**COURT OF APPEAL – SECOND DIST.**

**FILED**


**Dec 19, 2022**

**DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk**

apalencia-huerta Deputy Clerk

THE COURT:

The court has read and considered appellant's Ex Parte Emergency Motion Under CRC 5.151 and Supremacy Clause Article VI Paragraph Two of the California and U.S. Constitutions to Recused Defendants Nora A.M. Anella and Elizabeth A. Grimes for Request to File Litigation Respondent Moon's Answer in Intervention Retroactively to May 12, 2022 In Lower Court Case No. 22STCP00120 Pre-Filing Order Already Granted Pre-Filing Order Never Required filed December 1, 2022. The motion is denied.



---

Nora Manella, Acting Administrative Presiding Justice



IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT


DIVISION p

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
Plaintiff and Appellant,  
v.  
ADRIAN MOON,  
Defendant and Appellant.

B324374  
Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. 22STRO03447

THE COURT:

It appearing that the appellant is in default pursuant to Rule 8.100(g), California Rules of Court, the appeal filed October 24, 2022, is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora Manella, Acting Administrative Presiding Justice

**COURT OF APPEAL – SECOND DIST.**

**FILED**

**Dec 19, 2022**

**DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk**

apalencia-huerta **Deputy Clerk**

NOTICE: This order becomes final in 30 days and thereafter is not subject to rehearing or modification. This time cannot be extended (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.264(b)(1)). Any party desiring reinstatement must file a motion within 15 days of the date of this order.

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Respondent-Defendant Intervenor-Victim,

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**UNLIMITED CIVIL-PROBATE-FAMILY LAW DIVISIONS**  
**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES- C.C.B. INC.**

**KATHERINE AND ERINN WILKES ET. AL.,**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil,**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**ESTATE OF INA SMILEY CLAYTON ET.**  
**AL.**

**At the Behest of Satan the Devil ,**

Defendants,

**ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,**

**By the Authority of the Almighty Creator,**

Respondent-Defendant-Intervenor,

**" JUDGEMENT IS COMING "**

Case No. 20STCV28426 AND 22STPB08696  
AND 22STRO03521

Identical Lead Pending Related Case : Moon v.  
County of Los Angeles et. al. case no. 2:20-cv-  
02291-DOC (res) U.S. Central District Cts.

**NOTICE TO DEFENDANT SHERRI A.**  
**CARTER OF FORMAL CRIMINAL**  
**COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE**  
**SECTION 182 (a-c)**

**DATE: NOVEMBER 18, 2022**  
**TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard**  
**DEPT. : 1**  
**LOC.: 111 North Hill Street, L.A. CA 90012**

**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX OR HOLY SPIRIT**

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN DAMICO MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Respondent, Defendant Intervenor and**  
**Victim , chosen one, begotten Son of the Almighty Creator in the Mighty Name of Yeshua Jesus**  
**Christ the Messiah... paragon , with integrity and veracity, proclaim and decree as follows.**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Peshua Da Messiah...**


2. On November 17, 2022, Defendant Sherri A. Carter , Court Clerk , actively participated , aided, and abetted in a criminal conspiracy , then directed her underlings to not file 'daredeemer's pleading on November 17, 2022, Defendants Claudette Jasper, Reggie Pappachan and Lucy Cortez and Sharon Harris by the decree of Mob Boss Sherri A. Carter would not duly file an Ex Parte Application in Cases entitled , Moon v. Clayton et. Al. case no. 22STCV28426, 22STPB08696, AND 22STRO03521, whereby 'daredeemer' is an active party to these actions as Respondent-Victim-Defendant Intervenor and Appellant. 'daredeemer' was illegally forced into filing the documents as a " EX PARTE APPLICATION REQUEST FOR NEW LITIGATION VL110 AND ORDER VL115" to be heard on November 18, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. 1 or 74.

3. On November 18, 2022, the Honorable Michelle Court , Judge in dept. 74 was assigned to hear the Ex Parte Application in Defendants David Julian Cowen's absence. Then made a ruling that Judge Court would not hear the matter and that it would be litigated based on Defendant David Julian Cowen's New illegal policy that a Request by a Vexatious Litigant would allow Defendant David Julian Cowen illegally ninety ( 90) days to rule on the Requests !!! violation of the R.I.C.O. ACT. 'daredeemer' was then forced to try and file a Notice to withdraw the Ex Parte Application Request to File New Litigation and Order, VL110, VL115, but the Negro slave Claudette Jasper , Supervisor of Clerks refused to file the document , nor her supervisors... see, read, and consider attached Motion 1 incorporated by this reference.

4. 'daredeemer' requests that this criminal complaint be turned over to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Special Operations Unit and the Los Angeles Police Department for investigation for indictment and prosecution. Please Provide immediately the Contact Person (s) Name, phone number , email address and address to 'daredeemer'

'daredeemer' proclaim and decrees under the penalty of sin that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: November 18, 2022

'liberia nos a malo'  


ADRIAN D. MOON, VICTIM



Adrian D. Moon  
955 North Lake Ave  
Pasadena CA 91104  
cell (626) 360-9896

Candette Jespar  
Regi

Pappachan  
unif Carter

Superior Court of California  
In the County of Los Angeles

Andi'lkes at al  
v.

Clayton et al

Adrian D. Moon

Defendant Intervenor

Case No 22STCV28426

Notice to withdraw  
VL-110 and VL-115 due  
to the fact that it is  
not new litigation and  
Defendant Intervenor Moon  
got permission to file  
on-going motions in this  
case by Judge Conner on  
Nov 2, 2022 (Proposed) ORDER  
DATE: Nov. 18, 2022 TIME: 8:30 A.M.  
Perf. 1

I am ADRIAN D. MOON, Defendant Intervenor.  
and I wish to withdraw VL 110; VL 115 as  
the Ex Parte Application is not new  
litigation and I received a pre-filing  
order to file moving papers in this case  
by Judge Conner on November 1, 2, 2022  
dated: Nov 18, 2022

(Proposed) ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED  
that 1. The VL 110 and VL 115 is withdrawn  
2. And the motion filed on Nov. 9, 2022 shall  
be heard this day of Nov. 10, 2022.  
dated: Nov. 10, 2022

Motion 1



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**

955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104 PH. (626) 360-9896 EMAIL : [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
ATTN: CELIA ZAVALA, Executive Officer  
KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION  
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET Rom 383  
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL UNLIMITED DIVISION – C.C.B. INC.  
IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

**ADRIAN D. MOON,**

By Authority of EL ELYON.

Plaintiff-Victim,

vs.

**SATAN THE DEVIL** ( People of  
California/Washington, D.C.) **ET. AL. ,**  
At the Behest of Satan the Devil,

Defendants,

CLAIM NO. **22-439882**  
22-439002 ET. AL.

NOTICE OF ADDRESSING THE BOARD ON  
JUNE 8, 2022 AT 9:30 A.M. ON ITEMS CS-1  
CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL –  
ANTICIPATED LITIGATION AND CS-2  
DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE  
EVALUATIONS AND STIPULATION  
SETTLEMENT AGEEMENT ET. SEQ.

DATE: JUNE 8, 2022  
TIME: 9:30 A.M. or soon thereafter to be heard  
DEPT.: Meeting Room  
LOC.: 500 WEST TEMPLE ST. L.A. CA 90012

**HONORABLE CELIA ZAVALA**  
**EXECUTIVE OFFICER , presiding**

COVID-19: HOST, HOAZ OR HOLY SPIRIT

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Plaintiff- Victim In Pro Se, chosen one ,  
begotten Son of The Almighty Creator. EL ELYON in the Mighty Name of Yeshua Jesus Christ  
the Messiah... paragon, with integrity and veracity, proclaim and decree as follows,

1. I shall make Public comment on items CS-1 , regarding the C.E.O. AND THE ENTIRE  
BOARD AGENDIZING 'daredeemer's' Motion by Executive Order to pay 'daredeemer'  
restitution in the sum of Seven Billion Dollars ( \$7,000,000,000.00 ) at the next Board Meeting on  
June 14, 2022 and CS-2 , regarding the C.E.O. and Board Members Arrest for their most recent

" CZAR OF  
Homelessness "



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**

955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104 PH. (626) 360-9896 EMAIL : [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

**STIPULATION AGREEMENT**

**Parties:**

2. ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Plaintiff-Victim In Pro Se, being represented by and through his attorney of record, Adrian D. Moon, In Pro Se ,

3. FESIA DAVENPORT, HOLLY J. MITCHELL, HILDA L. SOLIS, JANICE HAHN , SHEILA KUEHL, KATHRYN BARGER-LIEBRICH, ( hereinafter, 'C.C.B. INC. ' ) Defendants being represented by and through self-representation in Pro Per.

**STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS:**

4. The Above-mentioned Defendants are active participates and members ( MOSTLY LAWYERS AND FORMER LAWYERS) of an Notorious Organized Crime Syndicate established to Undermine the National Security of the Earth, and 'daredeemer' through the guise of being employed by Federal and State Employees of the County of Los Angeles and other counties in the State of California , and Washington D.C . known to 'daredeemer' as ' C.C.B. INC.'

5. WHEREAS, The City Of Los Angeles ( underlings of C.C.B. inc. ) has settle with 'daredeemer' for \$ 5, 000,000,000.00 in one Federal Court case regarding their keeping 'daredeemer' Homeless for Decades, but the Mob Bosses ( C.C.B. INC. ) LOS ANGELES COUNTY have not !!! and have also criminally conspired with their underlings in violation of Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor's Eviction Moratorium Order of February 23, 2020 to evict 'daredeemer' making 'daredeemer' once again homeless.

6. WHEREAS, Mob Boss Kathryn ( The Sinner ) Barger-Liebrich has falsely accused and imprisoned 'daredeemer' without cause for STANDING IN FAITH IN THE MIGHTY NAME OF YESHUA JESUS CHRIST THE MESSIAH!!!

7. WHEREAS, Mob Boss Kathryn ( The Sinner ) Barger-Liebrich directed underlings Michael Johnson and Craig Mitchell, Christopher Allen Darden, Walter Mueller and others to falsely and imprisoned 'daredeemer' with a sentence of Twenty (20) years , Eight (8) month to LIFE in a criminally conspired Attempted Murder Scheme !!! in cases People v. Moon, case nos. BA332095 and BA362256.

8. WHEREAS, 'C.C.B. INC. ' collectively over the span of a Decade stole Real and Personal

---

NOTICE OF ADDRESSING THE BOARD ON JUNE 8, 2022 AT 9:30 A.M. ON ITEMS CS-1 CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL- ANTICIPATED LITIGATION AND CS-2 DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS AND STIPULATION SETTLEMENT AGREED ET. SEQ. - 2



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**

955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104 PH. (626) 360-9896 EMAIL : [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Property of 'daredeemer s of over One (1) Billion Dollars.

**IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED BETWEEN THE PARTIES ADRIAN D. MOON, FESIA DAVENPORT, SHEILA KUEHL, JANICE HAHN , KATHRYN BARGER-LIEBRICH, HOLLY L. MITCHELL AND HILDA L. SOLIS THAT BY EXECUTIVE ORDER THE PAYMENT FOR THE LUMP SUM OF SEVEN BILLION DOLLARS IS TO BE PAID TO ADRIAN D. MOON BY JUNE 15, 2022 FORTHWITH !!!**


///

**This Stipulation Can Be Signed And Filed With the Executive Officer Celia Zavala Separately by the Parties...**

///

**DATED: June 5, 2022**

by:

  
**ADRIAN D. MOON**, Plaintiff- Victim being represented by and through his attorney of record, Adrian D. Moon, 955 N. Lake Ave, Pasadena CA 91104, ph. (626)360-9896 email: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

**DATED: JUNE , 2022**

by:

**KATHRYN BARGER-LIEBRICH**, 5<sup>TH</sup> District Board of Supervisor, Authorized Capacity

**DATED: JUNE , 2022**

by:

**HOLLY J. MITCHELL**, 2<sup>ND</sup> District Board of Supervisor , Chair Authorized Capacity

**DATED: JUNE , 2022**

by:

**HILDA L. SOLIS**, 1<sup>ST</sup> District Board of Supervisor , Authorized Capacity

**DATED : JUNE , 2022**

by:

**JANICE HAHN**, 4<sup>TH</sup> District Board of Supervisor, Authorized Capacity

**NOTICE OF ADDRESSING THE BOARD ON JUNE 8, 2022 AT 9:30 A.M. ON ITEMS CS-1 CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL- ANTICIPATED LITIGATION AND CS-2 DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE**



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**

955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104 PH. (626) 360-9896 EMAIL : [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

DATED: JUNE , 2022

by: SHEILA KUEHL, 3<sup>RD</sup> District Board of  
Supervisor, Authorized Capacity

DATED: JUNE , 2002

by: FESIA DAVENPORT, C.E.O. Authorized  
Capacity

**ORDER**

**FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND BY STIPULATION OF ALL PARITES OR  
ON THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER CELIA ZAVALA OWN MOTION IT IS SO  
ORDERED,**

**THAT:**

- 1. BY EXECUTIVE ORDER OF CELIA ZAVALA , EXECUTIVE  
OFFICER A LUMP SUM PAYMENT OF SEVEN (7) BILLIONS  
DOLLARS SHALL BE PAID TO ADRIAN D. MOON BY JUNE 15, 2022  
FORTHWITH !!!**

**DATED : JUNE , 2022**

**HONORABLE CELIA ZAVALA, EXECUTIVE OFFICER**



AGENDA FOR THE SPECIAL CLOSED SESSION MEETING OF THE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 8, 2022, 9:30 A.M.

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION  
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

Holly J. Mitchell  
Chair  
Second District

Hilda L. Solis  
Supervisor  
First District

Janice Hahn  
Supervisor  
Fourth District



Sheila Kuehl  
Chair Pro Tem  
Third District

Kathryn Barger  
Supervisor  
Fifth District

Executive Officer  
Celia Zavala

AGENDA POSTED: June 3, 2022

To listen by telephone please call (877) 873-8017 and enter the access code when prompted:  
Access Code for English: **111111** Access Code for Spanish: **222222**

To address the Board on the following matters please call **(877) 692-8955** and enter participant code **1336503** during the live virtual meeting (**Press 1 then 0 to address the Board**):

---

**CS-1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION**  
(Paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

Initiation of litigation (one case). (22-2169)

Attachments: Public Comment/Correspondence

**CS-2. DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS**  
(Government Code Section 54957)

Department Head performance evaluations. (11-1977)

Attachments: Public Comment/Correspondence

*Motion 1*



**CS-3. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS**  
(Government Code Section 54957.6)

Agency designated representatives: Fesia Davenport, Chief Executive Officer and designated staff

Employee Organization(s) for represented employees: All individual member unions of the Coalition of County Unions, AFL-CIO; Local 721, SEIU; All affiliated member unions of AFSCME Council 36; Association of Deputy District Attorneys; Los Angeles County Association of Environmental Health Specialists; Los Angeles County Public Defenders; and

Unrepresented employees (all). (17-0363)

Attachments: Public Comment/Correspondence

END



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Peshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Respondent-Intervenor-Appellant-Victim

**COURT OF APPEAL THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT , DIVISION 8 AND P**  
**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES- C.C.B. INC.**

**COVER PAGE**

**ALEX VILLANUEVA, ADRIAN D. MOON**  
**ET. AL. ,**

**By Authority of the Almighty Creator,**

**Respondents-Appellants,**

**vs.**

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR**  
**COURT- ELAINE LU ET. AL. ,**

**At the Behest of Satan The Devil,**

**Petitioners-Appellees,**

Case No. ~~B324525 AND B324374~~ <sup>AM</sup>

U.S. Central Dist. Ct.: 2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)  
C.O.A. # ~~B324101~~  
Superior Court Related Cases: ~~BA332095~~  
~~BA362256; 22STRO03447; 22STRO03521~~  
~~21PDUD00319; 21STRO04791; 22STRO00624~~  
~~22CHRO00727; 20STCP04250; 21STCP03412~~  
~~21STCP03366 ET. AL.~~

**SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF**  
**OPPOSITION AND WITHDRAWAL OF**  
**STAY ORDER OF NOVEMBER 17, 2022**  
**AND PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO**  
**ELIZABETH A. GRIMES PURSUANT TO**  
**C.C.P. SECTION 170.6 AND**  
**CONSOLIDATION OF CASE NO. B324374**  
**INTO LEAD PENDING RELATED CASE**  
**NO. B324374 AND REMOVAL FROM**  
**VEXATIOUS LITIGANT LIST ( Proposed)**  
**ORDER THEREON**

~~Dec - 7, 2022~~  
DATE: November 21, 2022  
TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard  
DIV.: P AND 8  
LOC. : 300 S. Spring Str., 2<sup>nd</sup> fl. L.A. CA 90013

**SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF**  
**1. OPPOSITION AND WITHDRAWAL...**

PAGES 2 - ~~18~~

**2. PROOF OF SERVICE**

PAGE ~~18~~

*Page 1*



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Respondent-Intervenor-Appellant-Victim

**COURT OF APPEAL THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION 8 AND P**  
**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES- C.C.B. INC.**

**ALEX VILLANUEVA, ADRIAN D. MOON**  
**ET. AL. ,**

**By Authority of the Almighty Creator,**

Respondents-Appellants,

vs.

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR**  
**COURT- ELAINE LU ET. AL. ,**

**At the Behest of Satan The Devil,**

Petitioners-Appellees,

Case No. ~~B324525~~ <sup>AM</sup> **AND B324374**

U.S. Central Dist. Ct.: 2:20-cv-02291-DOC (res)

~~C.O.A. # B324101~~  
~~Superior Court Related Cases- BA332095~~

~~BA362256, 22STRO03447, 22STRO03521~~

~~21PDUD00319, 21STRO04791, 22STRO00624~~

~~22CHRO00727, 20STCP04250, 21STCP03412~~

~~21STCP03366 ET. AL.~~

**SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF**

**OPPOSITION AND WITHDRAWAL OF**  
**STAY ORDER OF NOVEMBER 17, 2022**

**AND PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO**  
**ELIZABETH A. GRIMES PURSUANT TO**

**C.C.P. SECTION 170.6 AND**  
**CONSOLIDATION OF CASE NO. B324374**

**INTO LEAD PENDING RELATED CASE**  
**NO. B324374 AND REMOVAL FROM**

**VEXATIOUS LITIGANT LIST ( Proposed)**  
**ORDER THEREON**

~~DATE: November 21, 2022~~  
<sup>Dec 7, 2022</sup>

**TIME: 8:30 a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard**

**DIV.: P AND 8**

**LOC. : 300 S. Spring Str., 2<sup>nd</sup> fl. L.A. CA 90013**

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, ( hereinafter, 'daredeemer' ) Respondent and Appellant , chose one ,**  
**begotten Son of the Almighty Creator in the Mighty Name of Yeshua Jesus Christ da Messiah...**  
**paragon , with integrity and veracity proclaim and decree as follows,**

**I. COME NOW :** 'daredeemer' is in opposition and withdraws the Writ for Temporary stay as

Defendants Elizabeth A. Grimes Pursuant to C C P. section 170.6, John Wiley, jr. and

*affected motions 2/10 incorporated by reference  
dated 12/07/22*

**OPPOSITION AND PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO DIVISION EIGHT AND CONSOLIDATION OF INSTANT**  
**CASE B324525 TO LEAD PENDING CASE B324374 ET. AL. - I**

*page 2*



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-441118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

DIVISION EIGHT

FILED

Oct 26, 2022

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

mfigueroa Deputy Clerk

ADRIAN D. MOON,

B324101

Petitioner,

(Super. Ct. Nos. 22STCP00120 &  
22STRO03447)

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE  
OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,

Respondent;

ORDER


COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES et al.,


Real Parties in Interest.


We have read and considered the petition for writ of mandate filed on  
October 25, 2022.

Petitioner has failed to demonstrate entitlement to any relief.

Accordingly, the petition is denied.

  
STRATTON, P. J.

  
GRIMES, J.

  
WILEY, J.

2. "Defendants Stratton, Grimes, Wiley criminally conspired  
denied stay and closed case instead of converting to an  
appeal case whereby obstructing Justice and opening  
this instant case without referencing superior Ct case 22STCP00120  
Penal Code Section 182(a-c) Motion 1



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-441118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

**FILED**

**Dec 05, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,

B323672

Petitioner and Appellant,

(Super. Ct. No. 22PDRO01259)  
Los Angeles County

v.

DISMISSAL ORDER

CHRISTOPHER ALLEN DARDEN,

Respondent.

THE COURT:

On September 16, 2022, declared vexatious petitioner Adrian Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from judgments entered on September 2, 2022 and September 12, 2022. In the notice of appeal, Moon describes the appealed judgments as entered after a court trial and as "Other: C.C.P. 170.6." As a declared vexatious petitioner, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed to do.

On October 3, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed

Motion 2


page 4



B. O. S. Claims # 22-441118

a response to the clerk's October 3, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has expired.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



B U.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

FILED

Dec 05, 2022

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

KATHERINE WILKES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

INA SMILEY CLAYTON,

Defendant and Respondent,

ADRIAN D. MOON,

Appellant.

No. B323685

(Super. Ct. No. 20STCV28426)  
Los Angeles County

DISMISSAL ORDER

THE COURT:

On September 16, 2022, declared vexatious litigant and non-party to the above-captioned action Adrian Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from a judgment entered in September 2022. The exact date of entry of the purported judgment is illegibly handwritten on the notice of appeal. In the notice of appeal, Moon describes the appealed judgment as a judgment entered after a court trial and as "Other: C.C.P. 170.6."

As a declared vexatious litigant, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed

Mr. Moon 4


page 6



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

to do. On October 4, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed a response to the clerk's October 4, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has lapsed.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

**FILED**

**Dec. 05, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

JILL CHRISTIE BODDIE MOON,

B323793

Petitioner and Respondent,

(Super. Ct. No. BD481244)  
Los Angeles County

v.

DISMISSAL ORDER

ADRIAN D. MOON,

Appellant.

THE COURT:

On November 2, 2018, Los Angeles Superior Court entered in the above-captioned matter a status only judgment, pursuant to Family Code section 2337. On February 20, 2020, the superior court entered a judgment on reserved issues.

On September 16, 2022, declared vexatious purported cross-petitioner Adrian Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from a purported judgment entered on September 2, 2022. In the notice of appeal, wherein Moon is identified in the case caption as the cross-petitioner, Moon describes the appealed judgment as a judgment entered after a court trial and as "Other: C.C.P. 170.6/Cross-Petitioner." The superior court case summary reflects that on September 2, 2022, the court entered no order or judgment from which an appeal could have been taken.

Motion to


page 8



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

As a declared vexatious litigant who appeals as a purported cross-petitioner, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed to do. On October 10, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed a response to the clerk's October 10, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has lapsed.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 ("U4") is dismissed.



Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



B.O.S. CLAIMS #22-44111/8

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

FILED

Dec 05, 2022

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

DOROTHEA L. BROOKS,

B323696

Petitioner and Respondent,

(Super. Ct. No. 21STRO04791)  
Los Angeles County

v.

DISMISSAL ORDER

ADRIAN D. MOON,

Appellant.

THE COURT:

On September 16, 2022, declared vexatious litigant Adrian Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from a judgment purportedly entered on September 8, 2022. In the notice of appeal, Moon describes the appealed judgment as a judgment entered after a court trial and as "Other: C.C.P. 170.6." The Los Angeles Superior Court case summary reflects that on September 8, 2022, the superior court entered no judgment or other appealable order. Instead, on September 8, 2022, the superior court denied Moon's request to file new litigation by a vexatious litigant, which is not an appealable order. (*In re Marriage of Deal* (2022) 80 Cal.App.5th 71, 78-79.) The September 8, 2022 order also reflects that the court struck through the language in the proposed order that indicated the recusal of two trial court judges, effectively striking or denying (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.4, subd. (b))

Motion 8

page 10




B.O.S. CLAIM # 22-441118

Moon's request for the disqualification of the two judges. An order striking or otherwise denying a motion or request for disqualification of a trial court judge is not appealable. (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.3, subd. (d).)

As a declared vexatious litigant appealing an order denying his trial court application, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed to do. On October 4, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed a response to the clerk's October 4, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has lapsed.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 ("U1") is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

**FILED**

**Dec 05, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

CHRISTOPHER DARDEN,

B323697

Petitioner and Respondent,

(Super. Ct. No. 22CHRO00727)  
Los Angeles County

v.

**DISMISSAL ORDER**

ADRIAN D. MOON,

Appellant.

THE COURT:

On June 29, 2022, Los Angeles Superior Court entered a restraining order against declared vexatious litigant Adrian Moon. After the restraining order was entered, later on June 29, 2022, Moon filed a request to terminate the restraining order. On August 31, 2022, the court denied Moon's request.

On September 16, 2022, Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from the August 31, 2022 order denying his request to terminate the restraining order, which is appealable as an order refusing to dissolve an injunction (Code Civ. Proc., § 904.1, subd. (a)(6)), and from an order entered on September 9, 2022 described in the notice of appeal as "Other: C.C.P. 170.6." To the extent the appeal from the September 9, 2022 order is an appeal from an order on a motion filed pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure

Motzari 10

page 12




B.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

section 170.6., that order is not appealable. (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.3, subd. (d).)

As a declared vexatious litigant, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed to do. On October 4, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed a response to the clerk's October 4, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has lapsed.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-441118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

**FILED**

**Dec 05, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,

Petitioner and Appellant,

v.

FELICIA TULIKKA CLAYTON,

Respondent.

B323702

(Super. Ct. No. 22PDRO01285)  
Los Angeles County

DISMISSAL ORDER

THE COURT:

On September 16, 2022, declared vexatious petitioner Adrian Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from a judgment and orders dated September 9, 2022, September 12, 2022, and September 13, 2022. The appealed judgment and orders are described in the notice of appeal as a judgment entered after a court trial and "Other: C.C.P. 170.6." As a declared vexatious petitioner, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed to do.


On October 4, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

a response to the clerk's October 4, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has expired.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



B.O.S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION P

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIST.

**FILED**

**Dec 05, 2022**

DANIEL P. POTTER, Clerk

Joshua Dunn Deputy Clerk

CRYSTAL GAIL-WILSON CANTON,

B323704

Petitioner and Respondent,

(Super. Ct. No. 22STRO00624)  
Los Angeles County

v.

DISMISSAL ORDER

ADRIAN MOON,

Appellant.

THE COURT:

On September 16, 2022, declared vexatious litigant Adrian Moon filed in propria persona a notice of appeal from a judgment entered on September 8, 2022. In the notice of appeal, Moon describes the appealed judgment as a judgment entered after a court trial and as "Other: C.C.P. 170.6." The Los Angeles Superior Court case summary reflects that on September 8, 2022, the superior court entered no judgment or other appealable order. Instead, on September 8, 2022, the superior court denied Moon's request to file new litigation by a vexatious litigant, which is not an appealable order. (*In re Marriage of Deal* (2022) 80 Cal.App.5th 71, 78-79.) The September 8, 2022 order also reflects that the court struck through the language in the proposed order that indicated the recusal of two trial court judges, effectively striking or denying (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.4, subd. (b)) Moon's request for the

Motion 14

page 16




B. U. S. CLAIMS # 22-4411118

disqualification of the two judges. An order striking or otherwise denying a motion or request for disqualification of a trial court judge is not appealable. (Code Civ. Proc., § 170.3, subd. (d).)

As a declared vexatious litigant appealing an order denying his trial court application, Moon was required to obtain leave of the administrative presiding justice of this court before filing his notice of appeal in propria persona (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subd. (a)), which he failed to do.

On October 4, 2022, the clerk of this court sent notice to Moon requesting him to demonstrate in writing within 10 days of the date of the notice that his appeal has merit and was not filed for purposes of harassment or delay. (Code Civ. Proc., § 391.7, subds. (b)-(c).) To date, Moon has not filed a response to the clerk's October 4, 2022 notice, and the time for responding has expired.

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 391.7, subdivision (c), the appeal initiated by the notice filed on September 16, 2022 ("U") is dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nora M. Manella, Acting APJ



## PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (Court of Appeal)

Notice: This form may be used to provide proof that a document has been served in a proceeding in the Court of Appeal. Please read *Information Sheet for Proof of Service (Court of Appeal)* (form APP-009-INFO) before completing this form.

Case Name: MOON V. COUNTY OF L.A. -VILLANUEVA

Court of Appeal Case Number: B324374/B324525

Superior Court Case Number: 22STRO00120 / B.O.S. CAAIMS 22-441118

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age.
  2. a. My ☐ residence ☒ business address is (specify):  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104
  - b. My electronic service address is (specify): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com
  3. I electronically served the following documents (exact titles): **SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF**  
OPPOSITION AND WITHDRAW OF TEMPORARY STAY, C.C.P. 170.6 AND REMOVAL OF ADRIAN D. MOON FROM  
VEXATIOUS LITIGANTS LIST, CONSOLIDATION OF CASES.
  4. I electronically served the documents listed in 3. as follows:
    - a. Name of person served: COURT COUNSEL - HARVINDER ANANDA LINDA ASWITT  
On behalf of (name or names of parties represented, if person served is an attorney):  
ELAINE LU, SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF L.A. ALEX VILLANUEVA, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
    - b. Electronic service address of person served: lsavitt@brslaw.com/court counsel@lacourt.org/harv@anar
    - c. On (date): November 21, 2022
- ☒ The documents listed in 3. were served electronically on the persons and in the manner described in an attachment (write "APP-009E, Item 4" at the top of the page).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

**Dec 9 2022**  
Date: November 21, 2022

TOMMIE LONG

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)





## LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON HIV



510 S. Vermont Ave, 14th Floor • Los Angeles, CA 90020 • TEL (213) 738-2816 • FAX (213) 637-4748  
HIVCOMM@LACHIV.ORG • <https://hiv.lacounty.gov>

January 9, 2023

Honorable Holly J. Mitchell, Supervisor  
Honorable Hilda L. Solis, Supervisor  
Honorable Lindsey P. Horvath, Supervisor  
Honorable Janice Hahn, Chair  
Honorable Kathryn Barger, Supervisor  
Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

Dear Chair Hahn and Supervisors Solis, Mitchell, Horvath and Barger,

On behalf of the Commission on HIV, we would like to thank the Board of Supervisors for your motion to proclaim a local emergency for homelessness in Los Angeles County agendized in your January 10, 2023 meeting. Stable housing is closely linked to successful HIV outcomes. With safe, decent, and affordable housing, people with HIV are better able to access medical care and supportive services, get on HIV treatment, take their HIV medication consistently, and see their healthcare provider regularly. Homelessness and poverty are inextricably linked.

The homelessness crisis further exacerbates the hardships faced by people living with diagnosed HIV (PLWDH). Based on data collected in Medical Monitoring Project (MMP), it was estimated that nearly half of PLWDH in the County from 2015- 19 were living at or below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) in the past 12 months. Approximately 11% of PLWDH in 2015-19 experienced homelessness in the past 12 months. Among clients using County-funded HIV care services experiencing homelessness, most (80%) were living at or below FPL in the past 12 months.

We urge you to keep the lives of people of living with HIV at the forefront of the county-wide response to end the homeless crisis. We also would like to reaffirm our appeal to you to remain steadfast in addressing the persistent and ongoing STD crisis in our community. Please see the last communication (attached) we sent to your respective offices regarding our support for sustained and coordinated action to curb the STD crisis.

Thank you,

*Bridget Gordon*   *Luckie Alexander Fuller*

Bridget Gordon and Luckie Alexander Fuller  
2023 Commission on HIV Co-Chairs



## LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON HIV



ATTACHMENT

510 S. Vermont Ave, 14th Floor • Los Angeles, CA 90020 • TEL (213) 738-2816 • FAX (213) 637-4748  
HIVCOMM@LACHIV.ORG • <https://hiv.lacounty.gov>

August 26, 2022

Honorable Holly J. Mitchell, Chair  
Honorable Hilda L. Solis, Supervisor  
Honorable Sheila Kuehl, Supervisor  
Honorable Janice Hahn, Supervisor  
Honorable Kathryn Barger, Supervisor  
Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

Dear Chair Mitchell and Supervisors Solis, Kuehl, Hahn, and Barger,

The Los Angeles County Commission on HIV (COH) applauds and welcomes the motions approved by the Board on August 2 to curb the Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs) crisis in the County of Los Angeles. These motions are steps in the right direction to bring much-needed resources to the County, sustain a coordinated countywide effort, and improve infrastructure to increase testing, treatment, education, surveillance, and disease control efforts.

The motions respond to the testimonies and appeals provided by the COH and the community-at-large to harness focus and urgency to alleviate the impact of STIs on communities across the County. The COH is committed to working with you and various County Departments to reduce the spread of STIs, bring critical health information and services to the community, and advocate for additional resources from our local, State, and Federal partners.

On September 6, 2018, the COH wrote to the Board to advocate for a multi-year investment of \$30 million in funding for increased STI surveillance, disease investigation and intervention, screening, diagnosis, and treatment services, prevention, and evaluation as well as adopt and implement an accelerated contracting process to respond to the urgency of the crisis. The Board responded by approving a motion that directed the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to allocate \$5 million from tobacco settlement funds to support the delivery of STD screening and treatment services specifically targeting underserved geographic areas and sub-populations of the County. Closing the gap between the enormity of the STI epidemic and available resources must continue to be a priority for the County.

Your motions will address what the COH has learned. There is much involved in the STI crisis, and we need solid, accurate, and consistent data. We have also learned that how residents are treated matters. In every area of life, how well or poorly one is received can cause exponential growth or produce what we see daily, desperation, failure(s) to thrive, and rampant incivility.

Often, healthy relationship(s), *at least one*, can produce healthy human beings, families, and communities. We must open the door to discussions and deeper more accurate conversations about healthy relationships in homes, among friends, within families on an age-appropriate basis, and in the streets. In addition to the education laid out in the motion, there is much more involved in the STI crisis, and we need this solid data discussed with everyday residents to



make an impact. A simple and easy place to start is a constant long-standing (5 years) campaign laid out locally, statewide and across the country helping Americans understand how to live within healthy human relationships. This is the door that opens deeper conversations and discussions across communities regarding healthy sexuality and STI education.

Finally, we are living in traumatic times, many in the HIV community have lived a lifetime experiencing relentless trauma. Trauma often precedes the acquisition of an STI (or alcoholism, drug abuse, or addictions), so the last key factor, often ignored in reducing STIs, is tackling the ways trauma impacts human beings and their relationships. Trauma is a byproduct of every epidemic we deal with today. This matters if we want to stop the rampant and long-standing STI epidemic that continues to devastate women, men, adolescents, and children in America and Los Angeles County.

We appreciate and recognize your leadership in tackling the STI epidemic in the County.

Sincerely,

*Danielle  
Campbell,  
MPH, Co-  
Chair*

*Bridget Gordon,  
Co-Chair*

Miguel Alvarez

Everardo Alvizo, LCSW

Jayda Arrington

Al Ballesteros, MBA

Alasdair Burton  
(Alternate)

Michael Cao, MD

Mikhaela Cielo, MD

Erika Davies

Kevin Donnelly

Felipe Findley, PA-C, MPAS,  
AAHIVS

Alexander Luckie  
Fuller

Jerry D. Gates, PhD

Joseph Green

Thomas Green

Felipe Gonzalez

Karl Halfman, MA

William King, MD, JD,  
AAHIVS

Lee Kochems, MA

Jose Magaña  
(\*Alternate)

Eduardo Martinez  
(Alternate)

Anthony Mills, MD

Carlos Moreno

Derek Murray

Dr. Paul Nash,  
CPsychol, AFBPsS  
FHEA

Katja Nelson, MPP

Jesus "Chuy" Orozco

Mario J. Pérez, MPH

Mallery Robinson  
(Alternate)

Ricky Rosales

Harold Glenn San Agustin,  
MD

Martin Sattah,  
MD

LaShonda Spencer, MD

Kevin Stalter

Justin Valero, MPA

**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

*original*

ADRIAN D. MOON  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
PASADENA CA 91104  
CELL: (626) 360-9896  
EMAIL: [adriandaredeemer@gmail.com](mailto:adriandaredeemer@gmail.com)

Attorney For Petitioner-Respondent Intervenor-Victim,

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**STANLEY MOSK- UNLIMITED CIVIL AND PROBATE DIVISION**  
**IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES- C.C.B. INC.**

**ADRIAN D. MOON, *WILKES ET. AL.***

Petitioner-Victim,

vs.

**ESTATE OF INA SMILEY CLAYTON ET.  
AL. ,**

Respondents-Defendants,

Case No. ~~20STCV28426~~ **AND 22STPB08696**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO  
ADVANCE PROVE-UP HEARING SET FOR  
MARCH 7, 2023 AND MOTION TO  
INTERVENE SET FOR APRIL 25, 2023 TO  
AND TO INCLUDE DECEMBER 7, 2022 IN  
DEPT. 67 ( Proposed ) ORDER THEREON**

**DATE: DECEMBER 7, 2022**  
**TIME: 8:30a.m. or soon thereafter to be heard**  
**DEPT.: ~~45~~ or 67**  
**LOC.: 111 N. Hill Street L.A. CA 90012**  
**COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT**

**DECLARATION OF ADRIAN D. MOON**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I AM ADRIAN D. MOON, (hereinafter , 'daredeemer' ) Petitioner-Plaintiff-Defendant Intervenor,  
Victim , chosen one , begotten Son of the Almighty Creator in the Mighty Name of Yeshua the Messiah  
, paragon , with integrity and veracity , proclaim and decree as follows,


1. Notice is hereby given to Defendants Eric Castelblanco and Catalina Rodas that on December 7,  
2022 at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. 67 , located at 111 North Hill Street, L.A. CA 90012, 'daredeemer' shall  
move this court by way of a notice motion to advance Default Prove-up hearing set for March 7, 2023  
and Motion to Intervene set for April 25, 2023 to and to include December 7, 2022 in dept. 67 initially.  
Any opposition to this Noticed Motion shall be filed by the hearing date on this matter , December 7,  
2022 in Dept. 67...



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood Of Yeshua Da Messiah...**

2. 'daredeemer' decrees that there should be no need to hear a prove-up hearing continued three times by Defendants Castelblanco, Recana and Rodas when in fact there are no standing defendants in the case no. 20STCV28426 and has terrorist threatened False Imprisonment and Fines given the fact that 'daredeemer' is already a party to the action as an Defendant Intervenor for the Estate of Ina Smiley Clayton et. al.

'liberia nos a malo'



DATED: November 29, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON, Attorney for Defendant  
Intervenor, Petitioner, Victim

**ORDER**

**As This matter came by regularly to the court , and by Stipulation And Agreement From the Parties to the satisfaction that the court ought to grant this matter !**

**FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWING AND BY STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF THE PARITES , IT IS SO ORDERED,**

**THAT:**

**1. DEFAULT PROVE -UP HEARING SET FOR MARCH 7, 2023 AND MOTION TO INTERVENE SHALL BE ADVANCED TO DECEMBER 7, 2022 IN DEPT. 67 AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THAT THE COURT DEEMS, PROPER, JUST AND EQUITABLE**

**DATED: DECEMBER 7, 2022**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**HONORABLE JUDGE:  
SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>ADRIAN D. MOON</b> <b>955 NORTH LAKE AVE</b> <b>PASADENA CA 91104</b>		FOR COURT USE ONLY          
Chosen One      TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 360-9896 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner, De		
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</b> STREET ADDRESS: 111 NORTH HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.		
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: ADRIAN D. MOON  RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: ESTATE OF INA SMILEY CLAYTON ET.AL.		CASE NUMBER: 20STCV28426-22STPB08696
<b>PROOF OF PERSONAL SERVICE—CIVIL</b>		

(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons and Complaint.)

- I am over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action.**
- I served the following **documents** (specify):  
**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADVANCE DEFAULT PROVE-UP HEARING AND MOTION TO INTERVENE TO AND TO INCLUDE DECEMBER 7, 2022**

☐ The documents are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Documents Served)* (form POS-020(D)).

- I personally served the following **persons** at the address, date, and time stated:

- Name: ERIC CASTELBLANCO AND CATALINA RODAS
- Address: 10944 VENTURA BLVD STUDIO CITY CA 91604
- Date: NOV. 29, 2022
- Time: 4:00 P.M.

☒ The persons are listed in the *Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Persons Served)* (form POS-020(P)).

- I am

- ☒ not a registered California process server.
- ☐ a registered California process server.
- ☐ an employee or independent contractor of a registered California process server.
- ☐ exempt from registration under Business & Professions Code section 22350(b).

- My name, address, telephone number, and, if applicable, county of registration and number are (specify):

**TOMMIE LONG 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104**

- ☒ I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
- ☐ I am a California sheriff or marshal and certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: NOVEMBER 29, 2022

**TOMMIE LONG**

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>ADRIAN DAMICO MOON</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>CHOSEN ONE</b></span> 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 360-9896 <span style="float: right;">FAX NO. (Optional):</span> E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): adriandaredeemer@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner-Creditor-Victim	<b>FOR COURT USE ONLY</b>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</b> STREET ADDRESS: 111 NORTH HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.	
ESTATE OF (Name): INA SMILEY CLAYTON  <div style="text-align: right;">DECEDENT</div>	
<b>NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF</b> <b>(Name): INA SMILEY CLAYTON</b>	CASE NUMBER: 22STPB28426

1. To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of (*specify all names by which the decedent was known*):  
 INA SMILEY CLAYTON
2. A **Petition for Probate** has been filed by (*name of petitioner*): ADRIAN DAMICO MOON  
 in the Superior Court of California, County of (*specify*): LOS ANGELES - C.C.B. INC.
3. The Petition for Probate requests that (*name*): ADRIAN DAMICO MOON  
 be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.
4. ☐ The petition requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.
5. ☒ The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.
6. **A hearing on the petition will be held in this court as follows:**

a. Date: DECEMBER 7, 2022	Time: 8:30 A.M.	Dept.: 67 OR T.B.D.	Room:
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	-------

b. Address of court: ☒ same as noted above ☐ other (*specify*):
7. **If you object** to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
8. **If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent**, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the **later** of either (1) **four months** from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) **60 days** from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.  
**Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.**
9. **You may examine the file kept by the court.** If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a *Request for Special Notice* (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A *Request for Special Notice* form is available from the court clerk.
10. ☐ Petitioner ☒ Attorney for petitioner (*name*): ADRIAN D. MOON  
 (*Address*): 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  
 (*Telephone*): (626) 360-9896

**NOTE:** If this notice is published, print the caption, beginning with the words NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE, and do not print the information from the form above the caption. The caption and the decedent's name must be printed in at least 8-point type and the text in at least 7-point type. Print the case number as part of the caption. Print items preceded by a box only if the box is checked. Do not print the italicized instructions in parentheses, the paragraph numbers, the mailing information, or the material on page 2.

ESTATE OF (Name):

IWA SMILEY CLAYTON

DECEDENT

CASE NUMBER:

22STTB08696

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

1. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred.
2. My residence or business address is (specify): 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104
3. I served the foregoing *Notice of Petition to Administer Estate* on each person named below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below **AND**
  - a. ☐ **depositing** the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service on the date and at the place shown in item 4, with the postage fully prepaid.
  - b. ☒ **placing** the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown in item 4 following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
4. a. Date mailed: NOV. 16, 2022                      b. Place mailed (city, state): PASADENA CA
5. ☒ I served, with the *Notice of Petition to Administer Estate*, a copy of the petition or other document referred to in the notice.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: NOV. 16, 2022

TOMMIE LONG

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

## NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM NOTICE WAS MAILED

Name of person served	Address (number, street, city, state, and zip code)
1. Defendants Eric Castelblanco	10944 Ventura blvd Studiio city , CA 91604
2. Defendant Catalina Rodas	10944 Ventura blvd Studio City CA 91604
3. Defendant Felicia Tulikka Clayton	10944 Ventura Blvd Studio City Ca 91604
4.	
5.	
6.	

☐ Continued on an attachment. (You may use form DE-121(MA) to show additional persons served.)

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available upon request if at least 5 days notice is provided. Contact the clerk's office for *Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Order* (form MC-410). (Civil Code section 54.8.)







ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): <b>ADRIAN DAMICO MOON</b> <b>955 NORTH LAKE AVE</b> <b>PASADENA CA 91104</b>		TELEPHONE AND FAX NOS.: <b>(626) 360-9896</b>	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>PETITIONER-CREDITOR-VICTIM</b>			
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.</b> STREET ADDRESS: <b>111 NORTH HILL STREET</b> MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>LOS ANGELES CA 90012</b> BRANCH NAME: <b>CENTRAL -C.C.B. INC.</b>			
MATTER OF (Name): <b>INA SMILEY CLAYTON</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DECEDENT <input type="checkbox"/> CONSERVATEE <input type="checkbox"/> MINOR <input type="checkbox"/> TRUST			
<b>REQUEST FOR SPECIAL NOTICE</b>		CASE NUMBER: <b>22STPB28426</b>	

1. a. ☐ I am a person interested in this proceeding.  
 b. ☒ I am the attorney for a person interested in this proceeding (specify name of interested person):  
**ADRIAN DAMICO MOON**

2. I REQUEST SPECIAL NOTICE of (complete only a or b)

- a. ☒ the following matters (check applicable boxes):

- (1) ☒ **all matters** for which special notice may be requested (Do not check boxes (2)-(8).)  
 (2) ☐ inventories and appraisals of property, including supplements  
 (3) ☐ accountings  
 (4) ☐ reports of the status of administration  
 (5) ☐ objections to an appraisal  
 (6) ☐ petitions for the sale of property  
 (7) ☐ Spousal Property Petition (form DE-221) (Prob. Code, § 13650)  
 (8) ☐ other petitions: ☐ all petitions ☐ the following petitions (specify):

- b. ☒ the following matters (specify):

**"EVERY DAMNED THING"**

3. SEND THE NOTICES to

- a. ☐ the interested person at the following address (specify):

- b. ☒ the attorney at the following address (specify):

**955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104 OR adriandaredeemer@gmail.com**

Date: NOVEMBER 16, 2022

..... **ADRIAN DAMICO MOON** .....  
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

  
 (SIGNATURE)

- ☒ Attorney for person requesting special notice (client's name): **ADRIAN DAMICO MOON**

(Continued on reverse)



**NOTE: A formal proof of service or a written admission of service must accompany this Request for Special Notice when it is filed with the court.**

**You must have your request served on either the personal representative, conservator, guardian, or trustee, or his or her attorney, or obtain a signed Admission of Service (see below).**

### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL


1. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred.
2. My residence or business address is (specify):  
955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104
3. I served the foregoing Request for Special Notice on each person named below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below AND
  - a. ☐ **depositing** the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid.
  - b. ☒ **placing** the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown in item 4 following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business' practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
4. a. Date of deposit: NOVEMBER 16, 2022      b. Place of deposit (city and state): PASADENA CA

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: NOVEMBER 16, 2022

..... TOMMIE LONG

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

  
(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

### NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM NOTICE WAS MAILED

DEFFENDANTS ERIC CASTELBLANCO, CATALINA RODAS, FELICIA TULIKKA CLAYTON  
10944 VENTURA BLVD STUDIO CITY CA 91604

☐ List of names and addresses continued in attachment.


### ADMISSION OF SERVICE

1. I am the ☐ personal representative, conservator, guardian, or trustee ☒ the attorney.
2. I ACKNOWLEDGE that I was served a copy of the foregoing Request for Special Notice.

Date: NOVEMBER 16, 2022

..... ADRIAN D. MOON

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

  
(SIGNATURE)



**FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'**  
**By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...** DE-121

<small>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):</small> <b>ADRIAN DAMICO MOON</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>CHOSEN ONE</b></span> 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  <small>TELEPHONE NO.:</small> (626) 360-9896 <small>FAX NO. (Optional):</small> <small>E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):</small> adriandaredeemer@gmail.com <small>ATTORNEY FOR (Name):</small> Petitioner-Creditor-Victim	<small>FOR COURT USE ONLY</small>  <b>CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED</b> Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles  <b>NOV 18 2022</b>  Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</b> <small>STREET ADDRESS:</small> 111 NORTH HILL STREET <small>MAILING ADDRESS:</small> <small>CITY AND ZIP CODE:</small> LOS ANGELES CA 90012 <small>BRANCH NAME:</small> CENTRAL - C.C.B. INC.	
<small>ESTATE OF (Name):</small> INA SMILEY CLAYTON <div style="text-align: right;"><small>DECEDENT</small></div>	
<b>NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF (Name): INA SMILEY CLAYTON</b>	<small>CASE NUMBER:</small> 22STPB20426 08696

1. To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of (specify all names by which the decedent was known):  
INA SMILEY CLAYTON
2. A **Petition for Probate** has been filed by (name of petitioner): ADRIAN DAMICO MOON in the Superior Court of California, County of (specify): LOS ANGELES - C.C.B. INC.
3. The Petition for Probate requests that (name): ADRIAN DAMICO MOON be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.
4. ☐ The petition requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.
5. ☒ The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.
6. A hearing on the petition will be held in this court as follows:

a. Date: DECEMBER 7, 2022	Time: 8:30 A.M.	Dept.: 67 OR T.B.D.	Room:
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	-------

b. Address of court: ☒ same as noted above ☐ other (specify):
7. If you object to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
8. If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) **four months** from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) **60 days** from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.  
**Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.**
9. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a *Request for Special Notice* (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A *Request for Special Notice* form is available from the court clerk.
10. ☐ Petitioner ☒ Attorney for petitioner (name): ADRIAN D. MOON  
(Address): 955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104  
(Telephone): (626) 360-9896

**NOTE:** If this notice is published, print the caption, beginning with the words NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE, and do not print the information from the form above the caption. The caption and the decedent's name must be printed in at least 8-point type and the text in at least 7-point type. Print the case number as part of the caption. Print items preceded by a box only if the box is checked. Do not print the italicized instructions in parentheses, the paragraph numbers, the mailing information, or the material on page 2.



# FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

## By Proclamation And Decree Under Da Blood of Yeshua Da Messiah...

35

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):  <b>ADRIAN DAMICO MOON</b>  <b>955 NORTH LAKE AVE</b>  <b>PASADENA CA 91104</b></p> <p>TELEPHONE AND FAX NOS.:  <b>(626) 360-9896</b></p>	<p>FOR COURT USE ONLY</p> <p><b>CONFORMED COPY</b>  <b>ORIGINAL FILED</b>          Superior Court of California          County of Los Angeles</p> <p><b>NOV 18 2022</b></p> <p>Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court</p>
<p>ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>PETITIONER-CREDITOR-VICTIM</b></p>	
<p><b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-C.C.B. INC.</b></p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: <b>111 NORTH HILL STREET</b></p> <p>MAILING ADDRESS:</p> <p>CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>LOS ANGELES CA 90012</b></p> <p>BRANCH NAME: <b>CENTRAL -C.C.B. INC.</b></p>	
<p>MATTER OF (Name): <b>INA SMILEY CLAYTON</b></p>	
<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DECEDENT                <input type="checkbox"/> CONSERVATEE                <input type="checkbox"/> MINOR                <input type="checkbox"/> TRUST         </p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>REQUEST FOR SPECIAL NOTICE</b></p>	
<p>CASE NUMBER: <b>22STPB28426-08696</b></p>	

1. a. ☐ I am a person interested in this proceeding.  
 b. ☒ I am the attorney for a person interested in this proceeding (specify name of interested person):  
**ADRIAN DAMICO MOON**

2. I REQUEST SPECIAL NOTICE of (complete only a or b)

- a. ☒ the following matters (check applicable boxes):
- (1) ☒ **all matters** for which special notice may be requested (Do not check boxes (2)-(8).)
  - (2) ☐ inventories and appraisals of property, including supplements
  - (3) ☐ accountings
  - (4) ☐ reports of the status of administration
  - (5) ☐ objections to an appraisal
  - (6) ☐ petitions for the sale of property
  - (7) ☐ Spousal Property Petition (form DE-221) (Prob. Code, § 13650)
  - (8) ☐ other petitions: ☐ all petitions ☐ the following petitions (specify):

- b. ☒ the following matters (specify):

**"EVERY DAMNED THING"**

3. SEND THE NOTICES to

- a. ☐ the interested person at the following address (specify):


- b. ☒ the attorney at the following address (specify):

**955 NORTH LAKE AVE PASADENA CA 91104** OR **adriandaredeemer@gmail.com**

Date: **NOVEMBER 16, 2022**

..... **ADRIAN DAMICO MOON** .....

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE)

- ☒ Attorney for person requesting special notice (client's name): **ADRIAN DAMICO MOON**

(Continued on reverse)



MEET AND Confer with Katherine & Erin Wilkes  
by Friday - Nov. 18, 2022 !!!

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Probate Division  
Stanley Mosk Dept. - 67

22STPB08696

In re: Clayton, Ina Smiley - Decedent

November 10, 2022

8:30 AM

Honorable Daniel Juarez, Judge

Alicia Narayan, Judicial Assistant

Not Reported, Court Reporter

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Non-Appearance Case Review

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

No appearances.

Out of the presence of the court reporter, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

A peremptory challenge under Section 170.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure was filed herein on November 10, 2022 by Adrian D. Moon against the Honorable Daniel Juarez, Judge Presiding.

The Court finds that it was untimely and is therefore denied. The case remains assigned as previously ordered.

A copy of the Peremptory Challenge was handed to the Petitioner.

Jared Deemer received notice that "DAMNED FOOL"  
Daniel Juarez, Judge had been reassigned to  
the case on Nov. 4, 2022 After the Court Clerk  
certified the order being mailed out on Oct. 26, 2022  
Any Party had until fifteen (15) days after notice  
to file a C.C.P. 170.6 'Jared Deemer' had until  
November 19, 2022 to file against "DAMNED FOOL"  
Daniel Juarez. pursuant to C.C.P. 5 170.3 (c)(1-b)  
'Jared Deemer' shall agree to have Ron Regwan, Probate  
as the replacement Judge in this case and case  
Moon v Clayton et al, 20STCV28426

Nov. 16, 2022



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

**Probate Division  
Stanley Mosk Dept. - 44**

**22STPB08696**

**In re: Clayton, Ina Smiley - Decedent**

**October 21, 2022  
1:30 PM**

Honorable Deborah L. Christian, Judge

Innas Islam, Judicial Assistant

Not Reported, Court Reporter

---

**NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:** Court Order Hearing

The following parties are present for the aforementioned proceeding:

No appearances.

Out of the presence of the court reporter, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

A peremptory challenge under Section 170.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure was filed herein on October 21, 2022 by Adrian D. Moon against the Honorable Deborah L. Christian, Judge Presiding under related Probate Case Number 22STPB09061.


The Court finds that it was timely and in proper format, and it is accepted.

By order of the Supervising Judge of Probate, the Honorable Brenda Penny, the above captioned matter is reassigned to the Honorable Daniel Juarez in Department 67 for all purposes.

The Court orders the Clerk to give notice.

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/  
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

I, SHERRI R. CARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Notice of Entry of the above minute order of October 21, 2022 upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States Mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

 Dated: October 26, 2022

By: /s/ Innas Islam

Innas Islam, Deputy Clerk

*Motion 4*



DE-121

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): CATALINA RODAS, ESQ. (SBN 278694) CASTELBLANCO LAW GROUP, APLC 10944 Ventura Boulevard Studio City, CA 91604  TELEPHONE NO.: (213) 388-6004 FAX NO. (Optional): (213) 388-6090 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): cr@castelblancolaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): CLAIMANT Katherine Wilkes	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL STREET MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL STREET CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse	
ESTATE OF (Name): Ina Smiley Clayton  DECEDENT	
NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF (Name): Ina Smiley Clayton	CASE NUMBER: 22STPB08696 consolidated with case number: 22STPB09061

- To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of (specify all names by which the decedent was known):  
Ina Smiley Clayton
- A **Petition for Probate** has been filed by (name of petitioner): Katherine Wilkes in the Superior Court of California, County of (specify): Los Angeles
- The Petition for Probate requests that (name): Felicia Clayton be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.
- ☐ The petition requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.
- ☒ The petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.
- A hearing on the petition will be held in this court as follows:
 

a. Date: December 7, 2022	Time: 8:30 AM	Dept.: 67	Room:
---------------------------	---------------	-----------	-------

 b. Address of court: ☒ same as noted above ☐ other (specify):
- If you object to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
- If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) **four months** from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) **60 days** from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.  
**Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.**
- You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.
- ☐ Petitioner ☒ Attorney for petitioner (name): Catalina Rodas, Esq., attorney for Erinn Wilkes and Katherine Wilkes  
(Address): 10944 Ventura Blvd. Studio City, CA 91604  
(Telephone): 213-388-6004

**NOTE:** If this notice is published, print the caption, beginning with the words NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE, and do not print the information from the form above the caption. The caption and the decedent's name must be printed in at least 8-point type and the text in at least 7-point type. Print the case number as part of the caption. Print items preceded by a box only if the box is checked. Do not print the italicized instructions in parentheses, the paragraph numbers, the mailing information, or the material on page 2.

Page 1 of 2

False Forged Instrument Penal Code 137, 134  
 125, 115(a)  
 Nov. 17, 2022



ESTATE OF (Name):  
Ina Smiley Clayton

CASE NUMBER:  
22STPB08696

DECEDENT

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL**

1. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred.
2. My residence or business address is (*specify*): 10944 Ventura Blvd. Studio City, CA 91604
3. I served the foregoing *Notice of Petition to Administer Estate* on each person named below by enclosing a copy in an envelope addressed as shown below **AND**
  - a. ☐ **depositing** the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service on the date and at the place shown in item 4, with the postage fully prepaid.
  - b. ☒ **placing** the envelope for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown in item 4 following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
4. a. Date mailed: November 14, 2022                      b. Place mailed (*city, state*): Studio City
5. ☐ I served, with the *Notice of Petition to Administer Estate*, a copy of the petition or other document referred to in the notice.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 11/14/2022

Natalie Gonzalez

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

► *Natalie Gonzalez*

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)

**NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM NOTICE WAS MAILED**

Name of person served	Address ( <i>number, street, city, state, and zip code</i> )
1. Felicia Clayton	5535 Westlawn Ave. #114, Los Angeles, CA 90062
2. Felicia Clayton	5022 W. 58th Pl. Los Angeles, CA 90066
3. Adrian D Moon	955 North Lake Ave. Pasadena, CA 91104
4.	
5.	
6.	

☐ Continued on an attachment. (You may use form DE-121(MA) to show additional persons served.)

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available upon request if at least 5 days notice is provided. Contact the clerk's office for *Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Order* (form MC-410). (Civil Code section 54.8.)





PHONE: (323) 786-5077  
 FAX: (323) 753-2178  
 TTY: (213) 485-6409  
 www.lapdonline.org  
 www.joinlapd.com

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET AREA  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET PATROL DIVISION

JESSE E. BREWER REGIONAL FACILITY  
 7600 S. BROADWAY  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90003

JOIN THE LAPD (866)444-LAPD RECRUITMENT HOTLINE



PHONE: (323) 786-5420  
 FAX: (323) 786-5577  
 TTY: (213) 485-6409  
 www.lapdonline.org  
 www.joinlapd.com

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET AREA  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET DETECTIVE UNIT

JESSE A. BREWER REGIONAL FACILITY  
 7600 S. BROADWAY  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90003

JOIN THE LAPD (866)444-LAPD RECRUITMENT HOTLINE



PHONE: (323) 786-5420  
 FAX: (323) 786-5577  
 TTY: (213) 485-6409  
 www.lapdonline.org  
 www.joinlapd.com

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET AREA  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET DETECTIVE UNIT

**DET. CHENG #38677**

JESSE A. BREWER REGIONAL FACILITY  
 7600 S. BROADWAY  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90003

JOIN THE LAPD (866)444-LAPD RECRUITMENT HOTLINE



PHONE: (323) 786-5420  
 FAX: (323) 786-5577  
 TTY: (213) 485-6409  
 www.lapdonline.org  
 www.joinlapd.com

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET AREA  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET DETECTIVE UNIT

JESSE A. BREWER REGIONAL FACILITY  
 7600 S. BROADWAY  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90003

JOIN THE LAPD (866)444-LAPD RECRUITMENT HOTLINE



PHONE: (323) 786-5077  
 FAX: (323) 753-2178  
 TTY: (213) 485-6409  
 www.lapdonline.org  
 www.joinlapd.com

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET AREA  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET PATROL DIVISION

**#36483**

JESSE E. BREWER REGIONAL FACILITY  
 7600 S. BROADWAY  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90003

JOIN THE LAPD (866)444-LAPD RECRUITMENT HOTLINE



PHONE: (323) 786-5077  
 FAX: (323) 753-2178  
 TTY: (213) 485-6409  
 www.lapdonline.org  
 www.joinlapd.com

**LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET AREA  
 77<sup>TH</sup> STREET PATROL DIVISION

JESSE E. BREWER REGIONAL FACILITY  
 7600 S. BROADWAY  
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90003

JOIN THE LAPD (866)444-LAPD RECRUITMENT HOTLINE



County of Los Angeles  
**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

Jim McDonnell, Sheriff

**JULIAN MANRIQUEZ**  
 DEPUTY SHERIFF

Altadena Station  
 780 E. Altadena Drive  
 Altadena, CA 91001  
 (626) 798-1131  
 jrmanriq@lasd.org

www.LASD.org

*A Tradition of Service  
 Since 1850*



County of Los Angeles  
**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

Alex Villanueva, Sheriff

**MICHAEL ALLEN**  
 SERGEANT

Court Services Central Bureau  
 Stanley Mosk Courthouse  
 111 North Hill Street  
 Los Angeles, CA 90012  
 (213) 974-4809 CELL (213) 265-0635  
 mjallen@lasd.org

www.LASD.org

*A Tradition of Service  
 Since 1850*



DATE: 10/1/22 TIME: 1935 INC. NO.: 3300

1717 W. 57th St

Civil Dispute / Civil Standby  
Unable to make entry to obtain  
property - Surrender

If you wish to comment on the level of service you received, please  
contact a Department supervisor or telephone (800) 339-6868, or  
TTY for the hearing-impaired (213) 485-3604.

DATE: 10/6/2022 TIME: 1930 INC. NO.: 3300

- RANSOM CALL FOR CIVIL STANDBY  
- PR HAS ACTIVE P/O AGAINST PROP  
OWNER, RESID IS LOCKED / UNOCCUPIED  
- ADVISE P/O TO APPROXIMATE TO RETRIEVE  
PROPERTY

If you wish to comment on the level of service you received, please  
contact a Department supervisor or telephone (800) 339-6868, or  
TTY for the hearing-impaired (213) 485-3604.

DATE: 7/26/22 TIME: 0945 INC. NO.: —

- REVIEWED BIV FOR INCH 4525 &  
1160; TRIPASS / P/O VIOLATION (6/18)  
(6/19)
- DISPUTE BETWEEN MOEN VS CLAYTON  
CIVIL MATTER
- NO EVIDENCE OF 182 PC; SPOKE W/  
DII SUPERVISOR FUTAMI; NO REPORT TAKEN

If you wish to comment on the level of service you received, please  
contact a Department supervisor or telephone (800) 339-6868, or  
TTY for the hearing-impaired (213) 485-3604.

DATE: 8/11/22 TIME: 1030 INC. NO.: —

MACTABLE - DET FUTAMI

323-786-5485

911 operator 709-11:05 A.M.

If you wish to comment on the level of service you received, please  
contact a Department supervisor or telephone (800) 339-6868, or  
TTY for the hearing-impaired (213) 485-3604.

DATE: 8/4/22 TIME: 1935 INC. NO.: 3648  
[430] 978-5380  
323 786 5077

323 786 5000

Felicia Clayton 213 797-2430

If you wish to comment on the level of service you received, please  
contact a Department supervisor or telephone (800) 339-6868, or  
TTY for the hearing-impaired (213) 485-3604.

DATE: 8/4/22 TIME: 1935 INC. NO.: 3648

PR ADV OF P/O. ~~PR~~ REQ  
CIVIL STANDBY. HOMEOWNER  
NOT PRESENT @ RESIDENCE  
ADV PR TO RETURN 8/8/22 @ 1000

If you wish to comment on the level of service you received, please  
contact a Department supervisor or telephone (800) 339-6868, or  
TTY for the hearing-impaired (213) 485-3604.

FOR RETRIEVAL OF PROPERTY

22 STPB 08696  
22 PD RO 01259  
22 PD RO 01285  
OWS 22134

0093  
S-W-22

Sgt. Villalobos

#445702

Sgt. Fernandez 893-2031

Sheriff Deputy Bailiff

Dept. 2 - (213) 633-0252

Vasquez 516591

— Powell —



## FROM THE KINGDOM OF 'daredeemer'

"By Proclamation And Decree"

FIRM NAME: 'daredeemer'  
 STREET ADDRESS: 955 NORTH LAKE AVE  
 CITY: PASADENA  
 TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 360-9896  
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: adriandaredeemer@gmail.com  
 ATTORNEY FOR (name): Cross-Petitioner-Victim

STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91104  
 FAX NO.:

Received  
 SEP 28 2022  
 Default Section

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
 STREET ADDRESS: 111 NORTH HILL STREET  
 MAILING ADDRESS:  
 CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES CA 90012  
 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL C.C.B. INC.

Plaintiff/Petitioner: ADRIAN D. MOON  
 Defendant/Respondent: COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

REQUEST FOR ☒ Entry of Default ☒ Clerk's Judgment  
 (Application) ☐ Court Judgment

CASE NUMBER:  
 22STRO03447-2:20-CV-02291-DOC  
 U.S. CENTRAL DIST.

Not for use in actions under the Fair Debt Buying Practices Act (Civ. Code, § 1788.50 et seq.) (see CIV-105)

1. TO THE CLERK: On the complaint or cross-complaint filed

- a. on (date): AUGUST 25, 2022  
 b. by (name): ADRIAN D. MOON

c. ☒ Enter default of defendant (names):

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - KATHRYN BARGER-BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

d. ☐ I request a court judgment under Code of Civil Procedure sections 585(b), 585(c), 989, etc., against defendant (names):

(Testimony required. Apply to the clerk for a hearing date, unless the court will enter a judgment on an affidavit under Code Civ. Proc., § 585(d).)

e. ☒ Enter clerk's judgment

(1) ☐ for restitution of the premises only and issue a writ of execution on the judgment. Code of Civil Procedure section 1174(c) does not apply. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1169.)

☐ Include in the judgment all tenants, subtenants, named claimants, and other occupants of the premises. The Prejudgment Claim of Right to Possession was served in compliance with Code of Civil Procedure section 415.46.

(2) ☒ under Code of Civil Procedure section 585(a). (Complete the declaration under Code Civ. Proc., § 585.5 on the reverse (item 5).) TO BE PROLOGED AT PRE-UP HEARING

(3) ☐ for default previously entered on (date):

2. Judgment to be entered.

	Amount	Credits acknowledged	Balance
a. Demand of complaint	\$ 7,000,000,000.00	\$	\$ 7,000,000,000.00
b. Statement of damages*			
(1) Special	\$	\$	\$
(2) General	\$	\$	\$
c. Interest	\$	\$	\$
d. Costs (see reverse)	\$	\$	\$
e. Attorney fees	\$	\$	\$
f. TOTALS	\$ 7,000,000,000.00	\$	\$ 7,000,000,000.00

g. Daily damages were demanded in complaint at the rate of: \$ 1,000,000.00 per day beginning (date): 9-28-2022  
 (\* Personal injury or wrongful death actions; Code Civ. Proc., § 425.11.)

3. ☐ (Check if filed in an unlawful detainer case.) Legal document assistant or unlawful detainer assistant information is on the reverse (complete item 4).

Date: SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON  
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)

FOR COURT  
 USE ONLY

(1) ☒ Default entered as requested on (date): SEP 30, 2022  
 (2) ☐ Default NOT entered as requested (state reason):

Clerk, by

Deputy

Page 1 of 2

1. General damages

	AMOUNT
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	\$ 1,000,000,000.00
b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emotional distress	\$ 3,000,000,000.00
c. <input type="checkbox"/> Loss of consortium	\$
d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loss of society and companionship (wrongful death actions only)	\$ 1,000,000,000.00
e. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)	\$
f. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)	\$
g. <input type="checkbox"/> Continued on Attachment 1.g.	

2. Special damages

a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical expenses (to date)	\$
b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Future medical expenses (present value)	\$
c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loss of earnings (to date)	\$ 2,000,000,000.00
d. <input type="checkbox"/> Loss of future earning capacity (present value)	\$
e. <input type="checkbox"/> Property damage	\$
f. <input type="checkbox"/> Funeral expenses (wrongful death actions only)	\$
g. <input type="checkbox"/> Future contributions (present value) (wrongful death actions only)	\$
h. <input type="checkbox"/> Value of personal service, advice, or training (wrongful death actions only)	\$
i. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)	\$
j. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)	\$
k. <input type="checkbox"/> Continued on Attachment 2.k.	

3. ☒ Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the right to seek punitive damages in the amount of (specify).. \$ 21,000,000,000.00 when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed against you.

Date: SEPT. 28, 2022

ADRIAN D. MOON

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)

(Proof of service on reverse)

Page 1 of 2



*Court of Appeal Case No. B324525*

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION EIGHT**

---

**HON. ALEX VILLANUEVA, SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES  
COUNTY,  
*Respondent and Petitioner,***

**v.**

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
*Respondent,*  
  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
*Petitioner and Real Party in Interest.***

---

Petition for Writ of Mandate or Other Extraordinary Relief from  
the Superior Court for California, County of Los Angeles  
The Honorable Elaine Lu, Case No. 22STCP00120

---

**REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S PRELIMINARY  
OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE**

---

ANAND LAW GROUP, P.C.  
HARVINDER S. ANAND (SBN 243913)  
790 E. COLORADO BOULEVARD, SUITE 900  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101  
T: 626.239.7250 | F: 626.239.7150  
harv@anandlawgroup.com

*Attorneys for Real Party in Interest*  
County of Los Angeles

Document received by the CA 2nd District Court of Appeal.

<b>COURT OF APPEAL</b> <b>SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION 8</b>	COURT OF APPEAL CASE NUMBER: B324525
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:      STATE BAR NUMBER: 243913 NAME: Harvinder S. Anand FIRM NAME: ANAND LAW GROUP, P.C. STREET ADDRESS: 790 E. Colorado Boulevard, Suite 900 CITY: Pasadena      STATE: CA      ZIP CODE: 91101 TELEPHONE NO.: 626.239.7250      FAX NO.: 626.239.7150 E-MAIL ADDRESS: harv@anandlawgroup.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Real Party in Interest County of Los Angeles	SUPERIOR COURT CASE NUMBER: 22STCP00120
APPELLANT/ Hon. Alex Vilanueva, Sheriff of Los Angeles County PETITIONER: RESPONDENT/ Superior Court of the State of California for the County of REAL PARTY IN INTEREST: Los Angeles/County of Los Angeles	
<b>CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS</b>	
(Check one): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INITIAL CERTIFICATE <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE	
<b>Notice: Please read rules 8.208 and 8.488 before completing this form. You may use this form for the initial certificate in an appeal when you file your brief or a prebriefing motion, application, or opposition to such a motion or application in the Court of Appeal, and when you file a petition for an extraordinary writ. You may also use this form as a supplemental certificate when you learn of changed or additional information that must be disclosed.</b>	

1. This form is being submitted on behalf of the following party (name): County of Los Angeles

2. a. ☒ There are no interested entities or persons that must be listed in this certificate under rule 8.208.  
 b. ☐ Interested entities or persons required to be listed under rule 8.208 are as follows:

Full name of interested entity or person	Nature of interest (Explain):
(1)	
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
(5)	

☐ Continued on attachment 2.

The undersigned certifies that the above-listed persons or entities (corporations, partnerships, firms, or any other association, but not including government entities or their agencies) have either (1) an ownership interest of 10 percent or more in the party if it is an entity; or (2) a financial or other interest in the outcome of the proceeding that the justices should consider in determining whether to disqualify themselves, as defined in rule 8.208(e)(2).

Date: December 2, 2022

Harvinder S. Anand  
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF APPELLANT OR ATTORNEY)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS.....	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	3
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	6
I. INTRODUCTION.....	12
II. LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND RELEVANT FACTS .....	18
A.    The Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission Has Broad Subpoena Power To Assist the Board of Supervisors with Its Duty To Supervise the Sheriff and the Sheriff's Department. ....	18
B.    Petitioner Disobeyed Three Consecutive COC Subpoenas in 2021. ....	22
III. LEGAL DISCUSSION .....	24
A.    Petitioner's Unsupported Due Process and Vagueness Claims Are Meritless. ....	24
1.    The Other Trial Court's Advisory Ruling Does Not Support Petitioner's Due Process Claim. ....	25
2.    Petitioner Has No Constitutionally Protected Interest in Not Testifying Before Oversight Bodies. ....	28

B.	Section 25303.7's Plain Text, Legislative History, and Purposes Establish the Legislature Created a One-Step Contempt Procedure.....	31
1.	Sections 11187 and 11188 Require Three Orders, Including Two Orders To Show Cause, Before a Noncompliant Witness Faces Contempt. ....	33
2.	Sections 11187 and 11188 Also Explicitly Create Procedures To Consider a Witness's Objections Before an Order Compelling Compliance Issues. ....	34
3.	Petitioner Improperly Seeks To Rewrite Section 25303.7 by Inserting the Multi-step Process in Sections 11187 and 11188 the Legislature Intentionally Omitted.....	36
4.	Subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B)'s OSC Can Only Be for Contempt. ....	40
5.	Petitioner's Other Statutory Construction Arguments Are Also Meritless. ....	43
6.	Section 25303.7's History and Its Purposes Confirm that the Legislature Intended a One-Step Contempt Process. ....	45



C.	Section 25303.7 Requires Petitioner To Present His Objections in a Contempt Proceeding.....	48
1.	A Two-Step Process Is Contrary to the Legislature’s Conferral of “Deems” Subpoena Authority to Watchdog Agencies.....	49
2.	Petitioner’s Myriad Objections Are Undermined by His Willingness To Answer Questions Voluntarily Without Being Placed Under Oath.....	51
(a)	Petitioner Cannot Avoid Answering Questions About His Motives for Opening Highly Questionable Criminal Investigations.....	52
(b)	The Subpoenas Clearly Seek Information about Important Public Interest Matters within the Jurisdiction of the COC. ....	55
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	60
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	61
	PROOF OF SERVICE .....	62

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<b><u>California Cases:</u></b>	
<i>Baldwin v. Home Sav. of Am.</i> , 59 Cal.App.4th 1192 (1997).....	58
<i>Bd. of Dental Exam'rs v. Superior Ct.</i> , 55 Cal.App.3d 811 (1976) .....	56
<i>Bd. of Supervisors v. California Highway Comm'n</i> , 57 Cal.App.3d 952 (1976) .....	60
<i>Beck v. Cty. of Santa Clara</i> , 204 Cal.App.3d 789 (1988) .....	29
<i>Blanco's Good Car Co. Auto Grp. v. Superior Ct.</i> , 56 Cal.App.5th 86 (2020).....	58
<i>Brown v. Superior Ct.</i> , 34 Cal.2d 559 (1949), .....	40
<i>Campbell v. Regents of Univ. of California</i> , 35 Cal.4th 311 (2005) .....	59
<i>Cty. of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Cty. Employee Relations Cm.</i> , 5 Cal.App.5th 1271 (2016).....	59
<i>Cty. of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Cty. Employee Relations Cm.</i> , 56 Cal.4th 905 (2013).....	60
<i>Dibb v. Cty. of San Diego</i> , 8 Cal.4th 1200 (1994) .....	18, 44, 45, 54
<i>DuBois v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.</i> , 5 Cal.4th 382 (1993) .....	41
<i>Essick v. Cty. of Sonoma</i> , 81 Cal.App.5th 941 (2022).....	54
<i>F.E.V. v. City of Anaheim</i> , 15 Cal.App.5th 462 (2017).....	58



<i>Gonzales v. State of California</i> , 68 Cal.App.3d 621 (1977), <i>abrogated on other grounds by</i> <i>City of Stockton v. Superior Ct.</i> , 42 Cal.4th 730 (2007) .....	58
<i>Goold v. Superior Ct.</i> , 145 Cal.App.4th 1 (2006).....	41, 43
<i>Holman v. Viko</i> , 161 Cal.App.2d 87 (1958) .....	45
<i>In re Jennings</i> , 34 Cal.4th 254 (2004) .....	37, 38
<i>In re Keet's Estate</i> , 15 Cal.2d 328 (1940).....	58, 59
<i>In re Simpson's Est.</i> , 43 Cal.2d 594 (1954).....	45
<i>Int'l Fed'n of Pro. &amp; Tech. Engineers, Loc. 21</i> , <i>AFL-CIO v. Superior Ct.</i> , 42 Cal.4th 319 (2007) .....	30
<i>Kramer v. Intuit Inc.</i> , 121 Cal.App.4th 574 (2004).....	48
<i>LeFiell Mfg. Co. v. Superior Ct.</i> , 228 Cal.App.4th 883 (2014).....	36, 49
<i>Lent v. California Coastal Com.</i> , 62 Cal.App.5th 812 (2021).....	28
<i>Liptak v. Diane Apartments, Inc.</i> , 109 Cal.App.3d 762 (1980) .....	41
<i>Los Angeles Cty. Metro. Transp. Auth. v. Alameda</i> <i>Produce Mkt., LLC</i> , 52 Cal.4th 1100 (2011) .....	51
<i>Marine Forests Soc'y v. California Coastal Com.</i> , 36 Cal.4th 1 (2005) .....	29
<i>McComber v. Wells</i> , 72 Cal.App.4th 512 (1999).....	27, 28, 32 n.3, 57, 58
<i>Med. Bd. of California v. Chiarottino</i> , 225 Cal.App.4th 623 (2014).....	35
<i>Murillo v. Fleetwood Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 17 Cal.4th 985 (1998) .....	51

<i>Naidu v. Superior Ct.</i> , 20 Cal.App.5th 300 (2018).....	28
<i>Parris v. Zolin</i> , 12 Cal.4th 839 (1996) .....	30, 46
<i>People v. Betts</i> , 55 Cal.App.5th 294 (2020).....	37
<i>People v. Bransford</i> , 8 Cal.4th 885 (1994) .....	42, 43, 48
<i>People v. Hatt</i> , 20 Cal.App.5th 321 (2018).....	44
<i>People v. Maciel</i> , 113 Cal.App.4th 679 (2003).....	28
<i>People v. Monismith</i> , 1 Cal.App.3d 762 (1969) .....	47
<i>People v. Taylor</i> , 119 Cal.App.4th 628 (2004).....	28
<i>People v. Vaughn</i> , 230 Cal.App.4th 322 (2014).....	38
<i>Pineda v. Bank of Am., N.A.</i> , 50 Cal.4th 1389 (2010) .....	41
<i>Pitney-Bowes, Inc. v. California</i> , 108 Cal.App.3d 307 (1980) .....	46
<i>Powell v. Cty. of Orange</i> , 197 Cal.App.4th 1573 (2011).....	58
<i>Pro. Eng’rs in California Gov’t v. Brown</i> , 229 Cal.App.4th 861 (2014).....	40
<i>Raymond B. v. Superior Ct.</i> , 102 Cal.App.3d 372 (1980) .....	49
<i>Siry Inv., L.P. v. Farkhondehpour</i> , 13 Cal.5th 333 (2022) .....	53
<i>SJP Ltd. P’ship v. City of Los Angeles</i> , 136 Cal.App.4th 511 (2006).....	48
<i>Spielbauer v. Cty. of Santa Clara</i> , 45 Cal.4th 704 (2009) .....	30
<i>Steven S. v. Deborah D.</i> , 127 Cal.App.4th 319 (2006).....	53



<i>Subaru of Am., Inc. v. Putnam Auto., Inc.,</i> 60 Cal.App.5th 829 (2021).....	53
<i>Sw. L. Sch. v. Benson,</i> 42 Cal.App.5th Supp. 1 (App. Dep’t Super. Ct. 2019) .....	41
<i>Title Ins. &amp; Trust Co. v. Cty. of Riverside,</i> 48 Cal.3d 84 (1989) .....	36
<i>White v. Church,</i> 185 Cal.App.3d 627 (1986) .....	56
<i>Young v. Haines,</i> 41 Cal.3d 883 (1986) .....	36

**Federal Cases:**

<i>Adams v. FAA,</i> 1 F.3d 955 (9th Cir. 1993) .....	50
<i>In Re Investigation of Jud. Council of Eleventh Cir.,</i> 783 F.2d 1488 (11th Cir. 1986) .....	54
<i>Plante v. Gonzalez,</i> 575 F.2d 1119 (5th Cir. 1978) .....	30
<i>United States v. Bell,</i> 57 F.Supp.2d 898 (N.D. Cal. 1999) .....	54
<i>United States v. Trost,</i> 152 F.3d 715 (7th Cir. 1998) .....	31
<i>Watkins v. United States,</i> 354 U.S. 178 (1957).....	57
<i>Webster v. Doe,</i> 486 U.S. 592 (7th Cir. 1988).....	50

**Statutes and Rules:**

Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1209(a)(5).....	43
§ 1211(a) .....	33, 34

Cal. Gov't Code

§ 11180 .....	32, 35
§ 11181 .....	25, 35
§ 11182 .....	35
§ 11184 .....	35
§ 11185 .....	35
§ 11185(a) .....	35
§ 11187 .....	<i>passim</i>
§ 11187(a) .....	33, 34
§ 11187(d) .....	34, 35
§ 11188 .....	<i>passim</i>
§ 11189 .....	32 n.4
§ 11190 .....	32 n.4
§ 11191 .....	32 n.4
§ 11450.30(a) .....	27
§ 11450.30(b) .....	27
§ 11455.10(a) .....	27
§ 11455.20 .....	26
§ 25303 .....	18, 20, 29, 45
§ 25303.7 .....	<i>passim</i>
§ 25303.7(b) .....	45
§ 25303.7(b)(1) .....	48
§ 25303.7(b)(1)(B) .....	19, 28, 52
§ 25303.7(b)(3)(A) .....	29, 35
§ 25303.7(b)(3)(B) .....	<i>passim</i>
§ 25303.7(b)(3)(C) .....	<i>passim</i>
§ 25303.7(d) .....	21, 45, 53
§ 3501(d) .....	59

Los Angeles Cty. Code

§ 3.79.010 .....	17, 54
§ 3.79.020 .....	18
§ 3.79.030.A .....	19, 55
§ 3.79.030.B .....	19, 55
§ 3.79.030.H .....	55



**Other Authorities:**

*The Rutter Group, California Practice Guide:*

*Administrative Law*, Ch. 29-F, Exemptions From Right

of Inspection and Copying, at ¶ 29-740 ..... 30, 31

## I.

### INTRODUCTION

Government Code section 25303.7 (“Section 25303.7”) creates a one-step contempt process. Petitioner Alex Villanueva, Sheriff of Los Angeles County (“Petitioner”), tries to import a multi-step process from an inapplicable, very different statutory scheme. But that is not possible without rewriting Section 25303.7 or ignoring the statute’s plain text. Petitioner’s construction also eviscerates a watchdog agency’s authority to conduct oversight, contrary to the public interest.

In late 2020, the Legislature determined that the public interest requires a new paradigm of checks and balances to oversee sheriffs and sheriff departments across California. The Legislature’s goals were to significantly enhance transparency and create “opportunities for real change.” Exercising its indisputable “plenary” and “paramount” power to regulate the duties of sheriffs, the Legislature vested oversight bodies with authority to subpoena a sheriff for his or her official testimony “whenever” the agency “deems” it is “necessary or important.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7. State and federal courts agree that “deems” power imbues a government agency with considerable discretion to exercise the authority it is granted.

Having broad subpoena power, however, is *meaningless* unless an oversight body also possesses real enforcement



authority. Section 25303.7 thus creates a one-step contempt procedure when a recalcitrant witness defies the Legislature's unmistakable command to comply with a watchdog agency's subpoena. The plain text of Section 25303.7's provisions as a whole, the statute's history, and its undeniable purposes all support this conclusion. Indeed, "real change" cannot occur unless all sheriff department officials, and especially its leaders, comply with California's new oversight paradigm.

Petitioner nevertheless insists that due process and Section 25303.7 require a two-step process so he may always assert objections before complying with an oversight subpoena. Petitioner's approach defies the Legislature's clear dictates. He has denied the residents of the County of Los Angeles (the "County") "real change." Indeed, Petitioner has never complied with a single one of five Section 25303.7 subpoenas, resulting in costly and lengthy litigation for almost two years.

Petitioner's construction of Section 25303.7 is wrong for at least three reasons.

#### Due Process Does Not Protect Testimony about Official Duties

*First*, due process does not protect Petitioner's obligation to testify before oversight bodies regarding his official duties, which clearly furthers the public interest by enhancing transparency. Not only does Petitioner cite no controlling authority to support his due process claim, but his assertion is refuted by settled

principles that public employees do not enjoy the same privacy rights in their official duties as private parties do in their personal affairs. This is especially true here because the Legislature unquestionably has “plenary” authority to regulate Petitioner’s official duties, which the Legislature did by enacting Section 25303.7. The statute reflects the Legislature’s considered judgment to reorder Petitioner’s official duties to include mandatory compliance with oversight subpoenas.

Section 25303.7 Is a One-Step Contempt Process

*Second*, to support his claim that Section 25303.7 creates a two-step process, Petitioner tries to import here the same multi-step process the Legislature clearly *did* create in Government Code sections 11187 (“Section 11187”) and 11188 (“Section 11188”), to enforce California investigatory subpoenas to private parties. His approach backfires. Petitioner’s attempts to read into Section 25303.7 the detailed multi-step enforcement process in Sections 11187 and 11188 only prove that the Legislature intentionally created a very different one-step contempt procedure in Section 25303.7. The different procedures in Sections 11187 and 11188 support the County’s position, not Petitioner’s.

The markedly different enforcement mechanisms in the two statutory schemes are unmistakable when examining their plain text. Sections 11187 and 11188 explicitly create a three-step



(not two step) process, including two orders to show cause, before a recalcitrant faces a contempt charge. In Section 11188's first-step order to show cause ("OSC"), a court considers the subpoena recipient's objections to determine whether the subpoena was "regularly issued." *If* it was regularly issued, the second step is for the court to issue an order compelling the witness to comply. *If* the witness does not comply, the third step is for the witness to face a second OSC, this one re: contempt.

In stark contrast, Section 25303.7 creates a distinct one-step contempt procedure in which a disobedient witness immediately *must* face a single OSC re: contempt. For example, the Legislature clearly omitted from Section 25303.7 the "regularly issued" procedures it included in Section 11188. Tacitly admitting the clear differences in the two statutory schemes, Petitioner would judicially rewrite Section 25303.7 to recreate and insert the multi-step process explicitly set forth in Sections 11187 and 11188. This is not permitted. The Legislature could have replicated the structure and text of Sections 11187 and 11188, but it did not choose to do so. The text that it did choose in Section 25303.7 cannot be ignored.

Petitioner's attempt to read a multi-step enforcement process into Section 25303.7 cannot be correct because it is contrary to the mandatory contempt wording of subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C). Gov't Code § 25303.7(b)(3)(C) (stating the

“same proceedings *shall be had*” and “same penalties imposed” as for a “person who has committed contempt” in a court proceeding) (emphasis added). In stark contrast, Section 11188 specifically states that only *if* a witness does not comply with the second order (compelling compliance) does he or she face an OSC re: contempt. Subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B)’s mandatory OSC (why a disobedient witness “should not be ordered to comply with the subpoena”) can only mean an OSC re: contempt when subdivisions (b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) are read together.

It is not surprising that the Legislature created a one-step contempt procedure in Section 25303.7. The Legislature enacted a historic reform in passing that statute to create a new paradigm of checks and balances over sheriffs and sheriff departments, all in the public interest. The Legislature reasonably determined that recalcitrant public officials, like Petitioner here, must face an immediate contempt charge without a protracted multi-step process that only subverts oversight and undermines the public interest by denying residents “real change.”

Petitioner’s alternative construction contravenes the Legislature’s intent and is contrary to the public interest. Under his approach, he may stonewall and do nothing (except force the County to litigate) every time he receives an oversight subpoena, without any consequence for failing initially to do what the



Legislature clearly intended: answer under oath an oversight body's questions about his official duties. This is not the compliance with oversight that Section 25303.7 demands. And it does not permit "real change."

Petitioner Must Present His Objections in a Contempt Hearing

*Third*, because Petitioner has no due process right to deny an oversight body testimony about his official duties and because Section 25303.7 requires a one-step contempt charge, Petitioner must raise his objections during a contempt hearing, not before. In any event, Petitioner already has proven that all his "objections" are insincere and pretextual. Petitioner concedes that he is willing to answer without being sworn the very same questions he refuses to answer under oath. Petitioner thus reveals that his two real and only disputes are that (1) he refuses to admit any oversight body has subpoena power over him; and (2) he refuses to be placed under oath.

The Legislature loudly and clearly rejected these two objections by enacting Section 25303.7. Petitioner clearly is required to submit to oversight as commanded by the Legislature. He chose time and again to take the opposite approach. The County thus was forced to initiate contempt proceedings to uphold the law. The Court should deny the petition.

## II.

### LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND RELEVANT FACTS

A. The Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission Has Broad Subpoena Power To Assist the Board of Supervisors with Its Duty To Supervise the Sheriff and the Sheriff's Department.

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (the “Board”) is required to supervise all county officers, including Petitioner, to ensure they “faithfully perform their duties.” Gov’t Code § 25303; *see also Dobb v. Cty. of San Diego* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 1200, 1209 (explaining “the operations of the sheriff’s . . . department[] and the conduct of employees of those departments are a legitimate concern of the board of supervisors”). The Board created the Los Angeles County Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission (the “COC”) in 2016 to assist in supervising Petitioner and the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (the “Sheriff’s Department” or the “Department”). *See* L.A. Cty. Code § 3.79.010.

As its name declares, the Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission’s very purpose is to provide “oversight” of the Department. *Id.* The COC’s mission is:

[T]o improve public transparency and accountability with respect to the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department, by providing robust opportunities for



community engagement, ongoing analysis and oversight of the Department's policies, practices, procedures, and advice to the Board of Supervisors, the Sheriff's Department, and the public.

*Id.* § 3.79.020.

The Board has directed the COC, *inter alia*, to “make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors . . . on the Sheriff's Department's operational policies and procedures that affect the community” and to “[i]nvestigate . . . and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors . . . on systemic Sheriff-related issues or complaints affecting the community.” *Id.* § 3.79.030.A.–B.

The Board also requires the COC to “[f]unction as a bridge between the Sheriff's Department and the community by . . . bringing an additional perspective to the Sheriff's Department decision-making to ensure an ongoing balance between the sometimes competing factors of ensuring public safety and [protecting] constitutional, civil and human rights.”

*Id.* § 3.79.030.H.

To perform these important functions, the COC “shall . . . obtain[] answers from the Sheriff to community concerns about the Sheriff's Department's operations, practices and activities.” *Id.*.

The COC has three sources of broad subpoena power to fulfill its mission and duties: Section 25303.7, County Code section 3.79.032 (“Section 3.79.032”), and Measure R.

Government Code Section 25303.7. Effective January 1, 2021, the Legislature enacted Section 25303.7 to confirm the Board’s authority to create oversight bodies to assist the Board with its duty to supervise Petitioner and the Sheriff’s Department under Section 25303. Gov’t Code § 25303.7; (see also 1 Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Pet. (“AE”) 144 [Legislative Counsel’s Digest]). Section 25303.7 grants the COC subpoena power “whenever” it “deems it necessary or important to examine . . . [a]ny officer of the county in relation to the discharge of their official duties on behalf of the sheriff’s department.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(1)(B).

In enacting Section 25303.7, the Legislature made clear that it intended to improve the functioning of government by adding “additional checks and balances to counties in California.” (1 AE 351.) The Legislature wants to “provide transparency to citizens and create opportunities for real change.” (2 AE 933.)

“It is a fundamental principle of good government in the United States of America that checks and balances are important in order to provide effectiveness and transparency.” (1 AE 351.) Indeed, to fulfill the Legislature’s goals in enacting Section 25303.7, the statute itself affirms that a watchdog agency’s



investigative powers and authority “shall not be considered to obstruct the investigative functions of the sheriff.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7(d).

County Code Section 3.79.032. Consistent with its duty to supervise all county officers, the Board has broad authority to compel any person’s testimony “whenever” the Board “deems it necessary or important *to* examine any person as a witness upon any subject or matter within the jurisdiction of the board.” Gov’t Code § 25170. The Board delegated its broad subpoena power to the COC in January 2020 by enacting Section 3.79.032, entitled “Access Information.” (1 AE 21:28-22:2 & 22:19-24.) The Board explained that it granted the COC subpoena power “to access Sheriff’s Department information, documents, and testimony necessary for its oversight function.” (1 AE 22:3-5.) Consistent with the Board’s broad subpoena power, Section 3.79.032 states that the COC is authorized to “compel production of . . . information . . . when *deemed* necessary by action of the Commission.” L.A. Cty. Code § 3.79.032 (emphasis added).

Measure R. The COC’s third source of subpoena power is Measure R, which 72.85% of County’s voters approved in March 2020. (1 AE 23:1-2 & 23:18-20.) Measure R amended Los Angeles County Code Section 3.79.190 to provide that “[c]onsistent with state law, including, but not limited to the Peace Officer’s Bill of Rights, the Commission [*i.e.*, the COC] has

the power to subpoena and require attendance of witnesses and the production of books and papers pertinent to its investigations and oversight, and to administer oaths.” (1 AE 23:2-6 & 23:21-22.)

**B. Petitioner Disobeyed Three Consecutive COC Subpoenas in 2021.**

Petitioner serially defied three subpoenas in 2021, referred to as the September 2021 Subpoena, October 2021 Subpoena, and November 2021 Subpoena (together, the “Subpoenas”). (1 AE 23-27.)

Petitioner claimed he was unavailable to appear for the September 2021 Subpoena, but that assertion was revealed to be pretextual when the COC offered to accommodate Petitioner’s purported schedule by asking him to appear in October 2021. (*Id.* at 38.) Petitioner flatly refused. (*Id.*; 1 AE 24-25.) He stated unequivocally that he would “not agree to be placed under oath” and that he would only appear “voluntarily.” (*Id.*) Petitioner asserted the same positions with respect to the November 2021 Subpoena. (*Id.* at 38.) It is undisputed that Petitioner had no scheduling conflict that precluded him from appearing at the COC’s October and November 2021 meetings. (*Id.*; 1 AE 24.)

The COC issued the September 2021 Subpoena to examine Petitioner about “whether [he] is abusing his power by



attempting to intimidate public officials with oversight responsibilities of the Sheriff and the Sheriff's Department.” (1 AE 23.) The COC made clear that it wanted to examine Petitioner about his motives for opening unprecedented investigations into oversight officials. (*Id.* at 24.) Commissioner Robert C. Bonner, a retired federal judge and a former United States Attorney, explained that the purpose of examining Petitioner was to ask, “Why did he authorize these investigations?” (*Id.*) Commissioner Bonner observed that it is “unusual to publicly announce criminal investigation of anybody” and even “unethical.” (*Id.*)

The COC issued the October 2021 and November 2021 Subpoenas to obtain Petitioner's testimony regarding the important topic of “deputy cliques” or “subgroups” in the Department. (*Id.* at 25-26.) A survey commissioned by the County found that deputy subgroups “negatively impact[] community trust, and community members want[] to see that [the Sheriff's Department] [is] taking the matter seriously.” (*Id.* at 25) The COC determined it was necessary and important to hear directly from Petitioner in October 2021 regarding the Department's policy on deputy cliques. (*Id.*)

The issue of deputy cliques in the Department is so critical that the COC decided to devote its entire November 2021 meeting to that topic. (*Id.* at 26.) The COC felt it was imperative that Petitioner respond under oath to the COC’s questions about deputy cliques. (*Id.*)

### III.

#### LEGAL DISCUSSION

##### **A. Petitioner’s Unsupported Due Process and Vagueness Claims Are Meritless.**

Petitioner wrongly claims that he has a “due process” right to challenge a subpoena before being subjected to a contempt proceeding. (Petition (“Pet.”) at 27.) He also incorrectly asserts that “section 25303.7(b)(3)(C) is unconstitutionally vague to the extent it is read to establish a one-step contempt process.” (*Id.* at 32.) Petitioner cites no legal support for his due process claim other than a ruling by a different trial court evaluating different subpoenas issued to third parties by state department agencies. (*Id.* at 18-19.) The other court’s non-binding ruling does not support Petitioner’s due process claim because that court also did not cite any caselaw to support its conclusion. (*Id.*) Indeed, the other court did not identify any constitutionally protected interest that permits Petitioner to withhold *official* testimony from a watchdog agency. (*Id.*) Petitioner has none. Nor did the court consider fundamental differences between the nature of



information sought by subpoenas issued under Section 25303.7 and Section 11181 (*id.*), which support the County's position that the Legislature properly exercised its authority to intentionally create a one-step contempt procedure for Section 25303.7 oversight subpoenas (*see infra*, Section III.A.2). Petitioner does not cite any legal authority to support his vagueness claim. (Pet. at 32.) Accordingly, his constitutional claims are meritless.

1. **The Other Trial Court's Advisory Ruling Does Not Support Petitioner's Due Process Claim.**

The other trial court stated only that "it **would appear** that due process requires that the validity of an investigative subpoena must be decided by a superior court before the agency may invoke the contempt process." (Pet. at 19 (*italics omitted; bold added*)). The other court expressly noted that it had "not fully researched the issue." (*Id.*) The court, however, cited no caselaw to support its conclusion. (*Id.*) Moreover, the trial court raised the due process issue *sua sponte* and stated that its ruling was "advisory" because the parties had not briefed the issue. (2 AE 515, 526.)

The other trial court's advisory due process conclusion appears to be based largely, if not exclusively, on what the court described as a one-step procedure for adjudicative subpoenas under the Administrative Procedures Act and a two-step procedure for California state department investigative

subpoenas. (1 AE 369-70.) The court apparently felt that “there are due process reasons to distinguish between the enforcement of investigative and adjudicative subpoenas,”<sup>1</sup> but it did not elaborate or support its conclusion with any caselaw establishing that due process always mandates a two-step procedure to enforce investigatory subpoenas. (*Id.* at 371; Pet. at 18-19.) Nor does Petitioner cite *any* case so holding. (Pet. at 27-37.)

The other court’s apparent conclusion that due process always mandates a two-step procedure to enforce investigatory subpoenas (1 AE 369-71) is erroneous. This Court should not read a multi-step process into Section 25303.7 to substitute for the Legislature’s considered decision to create a one-step process to enforce watchdog agency investigatory subpoenas to conduct oversight in the public interest.

The apparent starting point for the other trial court’s analysis—that the Legislature distinguishes between investigatory and adjudicatory subpoenas by creating a one-step process only for the latter—is not supported by the other statutory schemes the court relied on to reach its conclusion. (*See* Pet. at 18 (citing other trial court’s reliance on Gov’t Code § 11455.20 for proposition that adjudicative subpoenas are

---

<sup>1</sup> The other trial court also felt that “the plain language of Section 25303.7 creates a two-step process.” (1 AE 371.) It creates a one-step proces. This issue is discussed *infra* in Section III.B.



enforced through a one-step procedure).) It appears the other trial court did not consider that, before facing contempt, an adjudicative subpoena recipient “may object to [the subpoena’s] terms by a motion for a protective order, including a motion to quash.” Gov’t Code § 11450.30(a). The objection is “resolved by the presiding officer.” *Id.* § 11450.30(b). The person is “subject to the contempt sanction” for, *inter alia*, “[d]isobedience of or resistance to a lawful order.” *Id.* § 11455.10(a). Nor does Petitioner discuss these provisions. (Pet. at 27-29.)

Accordingly, the Legislature explicitly created a two-step contempt process to enforce adjudicative process. The Legislature clearly knows how to create a multi-step process when it wants. It deliberately elected to create a very different one-step procedure in Section 25303.7. (*See infra*, Sections III.B.1-4.)

Notably, Petitioner cites no authority other than the other trial court’s advisory opinion to support his claim that due process requires what he also describes as a two-step contempt procedure for an investigative subpoena. (Pet. at 18-19, 27-29.) Petitioner’s unsupported and conclusory due process and vagueness claims therefore fail.<sup>2</sup> *McComber v. Wells* (1999) 72

---

<sup>2</sup> Petitioner makes no attempt whatsoever to support his conclusory vagueness argument. (Pet. at 32.)

Cal.App.4th 512, 522 (treating contentions as waived when unsupported by legal argument or citation to authority); *People v. Taylor* (2004) 119 Cal.App.4th 628, 643 (“A legal proposition asserted without apposite authority necessarily fails.”). “Statutes are presumed valid and must be upheld unless their unconstitutionality is positively and unmistakably demonstrated.” *People v. Maciel* (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 679, 684.

**2. Petitioner Has No Constitutionally Protected Interest in Not Testifying Before Oversight Bodies.**

Petitioner also makes no attempt to meet his burden to show that Section 25303.7’s one-step process is invalid as applied to him. *Lent v. California Coastal Com.* (2021) 62 Cal.App.5th 812, 849 (“The party challenging a statute that is facially valid has the burden of evincing facts to show that it was unconstitutional as applied.”) (internal quotations omitted). He cannot because he has no constitutional right to avoid oversight of his work as public official.

Petitioner is not deprived of any constitutionally protected interest by testifying before a watchdog agency regarding his performance of official duties. Under both the United States and California Constitutions, the due process inquiry analyzes the “private interest” at stake. *E.g., Naidu v. Superior Ct.* (2018) 20 Cal.App.5th 300, 311 (emphasis added). The Subpoenas here



compel Petitioner to testify only “in relation to the discharge of [his] official duties on behalf of the sheriff’s department.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(1)(B). The Legislature clearly had authority to enact that historic reform “to assist the board of supervisors with [its oversight duties under Government Code section 25303] as they relate to the sheriff.” (1 AE 144 (Legislative Counsel’s Digest).)

For more than 140 years—since California enacted its original Constitution in 1879—the “Legislature has always had, and still enjoys plenary power to define the sheriff’s duties.” *Beck v. Cty. of Santa Clara* (1988) 204 Cal.App.3d 789, 800 (tracing Legislature’s historical power); *see also Marine Forests Soc’y v. California Coastal Com.* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 1, 39 (“California decisions long have made it clear that under our Constitution the Legislature enjoys plenary legislative powers unless there is an explicit prohibition of legislative action in the Constitution itself.”). It thus remains true today that “the California Constitution defines a system of government under which the state Legislature has paramount control over the definition of the sheriff’s duties.” *Beck*, 204 Cal.App.3d at 796.

With Section 25303.7, the Legislature exercised its authority to compel individuals to provide testimony to intra-county oversight bodies in the public interest, or face an immediate contempt proceeding. *See* Gov’t Code

§ 25303.7(b)(3)(A)-(C); *Parris v. Zolin* (1996) 12 Cal.4th 839, 851 (“[T]he purpose of a . . . contempt proceeding is to maintain the dignity of the administrative tribunal and enforce its orders.”) (*see also infra*, Section III.B).

This is not prohibited by due process. Indeed, in a variety of contexts, the law recognizes that public employees do not have the same right to privacy in performing their official duties as private citizens do in their personal affairs.

For example, “in light of the strong public policy supporting transparency in government,” public officers and employees are accorded a lesser right of financial and employment-related privacy than private-sector employees. *Int’l Fed’n of Pro. & Tech. Engineers, Loc. 21, AFL-CIO v. Superior Ct.* (2007) 42 Cal.4th 319, 331; *accord Plante v. Gonzalez* (5th Cir. 1978) 575 F.2d 1119, 1136 (“Even in financial matters, public officials usually have less privacy than their private counterparts.”).

Even with respect to potentially inculpatory statements, peace officers “do not have an absolute constitutional right to refuse to account for their official actions and still keep their jobs; their right, conferred by the Fifth Amendment itself, . . . is simply that neither what they say under such compulsion nor its fruits can be used against them in a subsequent prosecution.” *Spielbauer v. Cty. of Santa Clara* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 704, 722; *see also The Rutter Group, California Practice Guide: Administrative*



*Law*, Ch. 29-F, Exemptions From Right of Inspection and Copying, at ¶ 29-740 (explaining “the law is significantly less solicitous of the privacy interests of public officials and employees than of private parties”). Any argument that “an elected official . . . somehow has a right to more, not less, privacy despite the fact that his office is a repository of public records” is “exactly upside down.” *United States v. Trost* (7th Cir. 1998) 152 F.3d 715, 722.

These authorities establish that the nature of a Section 25303.7 oversight subpoena seeking testimony about an individual’s public duties is fundamentally different from a subpoena to a private party about the person’s personal affairs. Accordingly, Petitioner’s premise for comparing Section 25303.7’s one-step enforcement procedure to Section 11188’s multi-step process is itself faulty.

**B. Section 25303.7’s Plain Text, Legislative History, and Purposes Establish the Legislature Created a One-Step Contempt Procedure.**

Petitioner’s claim that Section 25303.7 actually creates a two-step enforcement procedure for investigative subpoenas (or that one should be read into the statute) (Pet. at 29-30) cannot be squared with the statute’s plain text, and especially not when

Section 25303.7 is compared to Sections 11187 and 11188.<sup>3</sup> Section 25303.7—a single statute—plainly is nothing like the eight Government Code sections comprising procedures to issue and enforce state department investigatory subpoenas. *Compare* Gov’t Code § 25303.7 *with* Gov’t Code §§ 11180-88.<sup>4</sup> Section 25303.7 omits detailed, carefully crafted procedures the Legislature created in Sections 11187 and 11188. Together, those two sections contemplate the issuance of three orders (not two), including two orders to show cause, before a noncompliant witness faces a contempt charge. Gov’t Code §§ 11187-88. In stark contrast, Section 25303.7’s plain text creates a distinct one-step contempt procedure through a single OSC, which can *only* refer to an OSC re: contempt. (*See infra*, Sections III.B.3-4.)

---

<sup>3</sup> Petitioner does not argue that Section 3.79.032 or Measure R requires a two-step process before the issuance of an OSC re: contempt. He has waived any such argument. *McComber*, 72 Cal.App.4th at 522. Those two separate sources of COC subpoena power provide independent bases to support the trial court’s decision to issue an OSC re: contempt. To the extent Petitioner’s due process claim is also directed at section 3.79.032 and Measure R, his unsupported claim fails for the same reasons discussed above. (*See supra*, Section III.A.)

<sup>4</sup> State agencies may also compel the deposition of persons “[i]n any mattter pending before a department head.” Gov’t Code §§ 11189-91.



Section 25303.7's legislative history confirms that sheriffs must submit to oversight without requiring multiple lengthy and costly enforcement proceedings. (*Id.* Section III.B.6.) Petitioner's construction is contrary to Section 25303.7's plain text and purposes.

1. **Sections 11187 and 11188 Require Three Orders, Including Two Orders To Show Cause, Before a Noncompliant Witness Faces Contempt.**

Sections 11187 and 11188 require three orders, including two orders to show cause, before a disobedient witness faces a contempt charge. *See* Gov't Code §§ 11187-88; Code Civ. Proc. § 1211(a). *First*, the court issues an order requiring a noncompliant witness to show cause why he has not attended, testified, or produced documents required by a subpoena:

- If a witness “refuses to . . . attend or testify or produce” subpoenaed documents, the department head “may petition” a court “for an *order compelling* the person . . . to attend and testify or produce” the documents. *Id.* § 11187(a) (emphasis added).

- “Upon the filing of the petition the court shall enter an *order* directing the person to appear before the court . . . [*to show cause why* he or she has not attended, testified, . . . or produced [the documents].” *Id.* § 11188 (emphases added).

*Second*, “[i]f it appears to the court that the subpoena was *regularly issued* . . . , the court shall enter an order that the person appear before the officer named in the subpoena . . . and testify or produce [the subpoenaed documents].” *Id.* (emphases added).

*Third*, “[u]pon failure to obey the [second] order, the person shall be dealt with as for contempt of court.” *Id.* (emphases added). Noncompliance with the second order compelling compliance requires a second OSC, this one re: contempt, because the violation occurs outside the presence of the court. Code Civ. Proc. § 1211(a).

Petitioner details the first two steps under Sections 11187 and 11188. (Pet. at 28.) He omits the third step. (*Id.*)

**2. Sections 11187 and 11188 Also Explicitly Create Procedures To Consider a Witness’s Objections Before an Order Compelling Compliance Issues.**

Sections 11187 and 11188 also are fundamentally different from Section 25303.7 because the former explicitly include procedures for a witness to object before a court issues the second order, compelling compliance.

Section 11187(d) permits a witness to object before the department head seeks the first order to show cause under Section 11187(a). It states that “[i]f any witness objects and based on that objection refuses . . . to attend or testify or produce



[documents] as required by a subpoena, the witness shall state the objection and the validity of the objection shall be determined exclusively in a proceeding brought . . . to compel compliance.” Gov’t Code § 11187(d).

If the witness does not object before the first OSC is issued, he can object or explain his noncompliance when he appears in response to the OSC, before the court finds that the subpoena was “regularly issued.” *Id.* § 11188 (requiring an order to “show cause why he or she has not attended, testified, . . . or produced [documents]”). “The term ‘regularly issued’ means in accordance with the provisions of sections 11180, 11181, 11182, 11184 and 11185 of the Government Code providing for the matters which may be investigated, the acts authorized in connection with investigations, and the service of process.” *Med. Bd. of California v. Chiarottino* (2014) 225 Cal.App.4th 623, 627.

Thus, in response to the first OSC, the witness may argue, for example, that the agency was not “authorized” to issue the subpoena, *see* Gov’t Code § 11181, or that that agency did not serve the witness, *id.* § 11184, or that, although served, the witness did not have an obligation to attend because the subpoena was beyond the witness’s territorial reach, *id.* § 11185(a). If the court determines that the subpoena was “regularly issued,” it issues the second order, requiring the witness to comply with the subpoena. *Id.* § 11188.

3. **Petitioner Improperly Seeks To Rewrite Section 25303.7 by Inserting the Multi-step Process in Sections 11187 and 11188 the Legislature Intentionally Omitted.**

Despite the many differences between Sections 11187 and 11188 on the one hand and Section 25303.7 on the other, Petitioner would read them as having the exact same effect. But “[t]he cardinal rule of statutory construction is to ascertain and give effect to the intent of the Legislature.” *Young v. Haines* (1986) 41 Cal.3d 883, 894; accord *Title Ins. & Trust Co. v. Cty. of Riverside* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 84, 95 (explaining “the intent of the Legislature is the end and aim of all statutory construction”). The “starting point” for interpreting a statute is its plain text. *LeFiell Mfg. Co. v. Superior Ct.* (2014) 228 Cal.App.4th 883, 893.

Section 25303.7’s enforcement provisions are nothing like the multi-step provisions in Sections 11187 and 11188. To enforce a Section 25303.7 subpoena, an oversight body must “certify the facts” regarding a witness’s “fail[ure] to attend.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(3)(A). “The court shall thereupon issue an order directing the person to appear before the court and show cause why they should not be ordered to comply with the subpoena,” and the Superior Court shall have jurisdiction of the matter. *Id.* § 25303.7(b)(3)(B). “The same proceedings shall be had, the same penalties imposed, and the person charged may purge themselves of the contempt in the same way as in a case of



a person who has committed a contempt in the trial of a civil action before a superior court.” *Id.* § 25303.7(b)(3)(C).

Section 25303.7 clearly does not include the above-described detailed procedures the Legislature included in Sections 11187 and 11188. *See* Gov’t Code § 25303.7. Petitioner thus asks the Court to: (1) insert, apparently in between subdivisions 25303.7(b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C), nonexistent procedures to consider his objections; and (2) first *create* and then insert some unspecified, non-existent standard to evaluate his objections because Section 25303.7 omits Section 11188’s “regularly issued” requirement. But the Court must presume that the Legislature rejected that approach and intentionally created a very different statutory scheme for enforcing watchdog agency subpoenas. *In re Jennings* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 254, 273; *see also* *People v. Betts* (2020) 55 Cal.App.5th 294, 299 (explaining courts “cannot insert words into [a] subdivision that the Legislature has omitted”); (*see also* 2 AE 935:15-936:2 (trial court citing *Jennings* and reaching same conclusion)).

Petitioner attempts to evade the rule in *Jennings* by arguing that it was “only one of several bases” for the court’s ruling. (Pet. 34-35.) His argument is unpersuasive. Omission of a single provision from related statutes “is significant to show that a different legislative intent existed with reference to the different statutes.” *In re Jennings*, 34 Cal.4th at 273. The rule

applies here *a fortiori* because the Legislature omitted from Section 25303.7 multiple important procedures it included in Sections 11187 and 11188, indicating its unmistakable intent to create a different procedure to enforce watchdog agency subpoenas. This “is a settled rule of statutory construction,” *id.*, that courts apply repeatedly, *e.g.*, *People v. Vaughn* (2014) 230 Cal.App.4th 322, 330.

Moreover, as in *Jennings*, additional bases exist here to confirm the Legislature’s intent. *First*, when subdivisions 25303.7(b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) are considered together, the OSC issued under subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) can *only* be for contempt. (*See infra*, Section III.B.4.)

*Second*, to adopt the Sheriff’s construction, the Court also would have to disregard that after the OSC required by subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) is issued, a witness *must* face a contempt charge. *See* Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(3)(C) (stating “[t]he same proceedings shall be had . . . as in the case of a person who has committed a contempt in the trial of a civil action before a superior court”). Those mandatory contempt procedures would make the objection procedures that Petitioner seeks to insert totally unnecessary and superfluous. That is, even if the two insertions Petitioner seeks as described above were permitted (they are not), he still could not avoid subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C)’s mandatory contempt procedures. (*See* 2 AE



934:21-22 (trial court concluding subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C) “would be completely redundant and unnecessary under [Petitioner’s] interpretation”).)

In stark contrast, Section 11188’s third step—which Petitioner contends is the equivalent of the OSC issued under subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) (Pet. at 35)—is *conditioned* on the witness’s noncompliance with the order compelling compliance: “*Upon failure to obey* the [second] order, the person shall be dealt with as for contempt of court.” Gov’t Code § 11188 (emphasis added). In other words, Petitioner also implicitly asks the Court to recharacterize the order in subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) from an OSC re: contempt into an OSC to compel compliance, which can only be accomplished by rewriting subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C) to eliminate its mandatory language.

It is thus evident that Petitioner engages in multiple contortions of Section 25303.7’s plain statutory text in an attempt to make Sections 25303.7 and 11188 the same, even while tacitly conceding the two statutes are quite different. He contends that “section 25303.7(b)(3)(B) uses different language—requiring an OSC why a witness ‘should not be ordered to comply with the subpoena’—to *achieve* the same *two-step process* as section 11188.” (Pet. at 35 (emphases added).) But such a construction is *not* possible without completely rewriting Section 25303.7 or disregarding its plain text. Petitioner wrongly asks this Court to

rewrite the single sentence in subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B)—an OSC why a witness should not be ordered to comply with the subpoena—to encompass and recreate the same detailed procedures in Sections 11187 and 11188 that the Legislature chose to omit from Section 25303.7. *E.g., Pro. Eng’rs in California Gov’t v. Brown* (2014), 229 Cal.App.4th 861, 873 (“[A] court has no power to rewrite [a] statute so as to make it conform to a presumed intention which is not expressed.”).

**4. Subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B)’s OSC Can Only Be for Contempt.**

Unlike the Sheriff’s impossible construction, the plain text of subdivisions 25303.7(b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) confirm that the Legislature created a one-step contempt procedure. Subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C) sets forth the consequences when a court issues the order to show cause described in subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) (“directing the person to appear before the court and show cause why they should not be ordered to comply with the subpoena”). The “same proceedings shall be had” and “same penalties imposed” as for a “person who has committed contempt” in a court proceeding. Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(3)(C). “[T]he person *charged* may *purge* themselves [sic] of *the contempt*” to avoid contempt penalties. *Id.* (emphases added).

The italicized words can only mean that the order issued under subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) is an OSC upon pain of



contempt. Otherwise, the words “charged,” “purged,” and “the contempt” would all be meaningless when the two subdivisions are read together. *Sw. L. Sch. v. Benson* (App. Dep’t Super. Ct. 2019) 42 Cal.App.5th Supp. 1, 7 (“[Courts] . . . consider the structure of the statute and the interrelationship of its parts in ascertaining its intent.”); *Goold v. Superior Ct.* (2006) 145 Cal.App.4th 1, 10 (explaining “the language of [a statute] must be read as a whole and each of its provisions must be construed in the context of its other provisions”); *DuBois v. Workers’ Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 382, 388 (“[T]he various parts of a statutory enactment must be harmonized by considering the particular clause or section in the context of the statutory framework as a whole.”).

The Legislature’s inclusion of the word “the” before “contempt” in subdivision 25303.7(b)(3(C) also is highly significant. “*The* contempt” refers to and defines *the nature* of *the* OSC issued under subdivision 25303.7(b)(3(B)—confirming that it can only mean an OSC re: contempt. *See Pineda v. Bank of Am., N.A.* (2010) 50 Cal.4th 1389, 1396 (explaining “use of the definite article ‘the’ . . . refers to a specific person, place, or thing”); *see also Liptak v. Diane Apartments, Inc.* (1980) 109 Cal.App.3d 762, 770 (explaining the “presumption” is that “every word . . . in the statute is intended to have meaning . . . [and] effect should be given, whenever possible, to the section as a

whole and to every word”). When subdivisions 25303.7(b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) are read together, they can only mean that subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B)’s order is an OSC re: contempt.

In stark contrast to subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C), Section 11188’s last sentence states that “[u]pon failure to obey *the [second] order*, the person shall be dealt with as for contempt of court.” Gov’t Code § 11188 (emphasis added). “The order” refers to *the order compelling compliance* after a court determines a subpoena was regularly issued, *id.*, one of the procedures the Legislature omitted from Section 25303.7. Moreover, as discussed above, Section 11188’s last sentence conditions a contempt charge on the witness’s “failure to obey,” *id.*, whereas the contempt procedure in subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C) is mandatory.

In summary, Petitioner’s contention—that “section 25303.7(b)(3)(B) uses different language . . . to achieve the same two-step process as section 11188” (Pet. at 35)—simply cannot be true because (1) subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C) requires a recalcitrant witness to face a contempt charge under subdivision (b)(3)(B)’s OSC; and (2) subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C)’s plain text defines the nature of the OSC to be for contempt.

For all these reasons, subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B)’s order can only mean an OSC re: contempt. *See People v. Bransford* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 885, 890 (adopting the “only one reasonable”



interpretation although it was “possible to read the statute” another way).

**5. Petitioner’s Other Statutory Construction Arguments Are Also Meritless.**

Petitioner’s remaining contentions also are unpersuasive. His claim that “subdivision (b)(3)(C) [does not] create[] a one-step contempt process” (Pet. at 32 (emphasis added)) disregards that subdivisions 25303.7(b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) must be read together, not in isolation. *E.g., Goold*, 145 Cal.App.4th at 10. Petitioner makes no attempt, nor could he, to harmonize subdivisions 25303.7(b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) or to explain why subdivision (b)(3)(C)’s clear provisions do not define the nature of the OSC issued under subdivision (b)(3)(B). (*See* Pet. at 27-36.)

Petitioner’s apparent contention that a court is always required to first evaluate whether a subpoena is “lawful” before he may face contempt (Pet. at 34 (citing Code of Civil Procedure section 1209(a)(5))), is unsupported and faulty. Petitioner identifies no rule of statutory or constitutional procedure requiring a two-step contempt procedure, and especially not for a watchdog subpoena seeking testimony regarding Petitioner’s official duties.

Petitioner’s attempts to analogize Section 25303.7 subpoenas to other statutory schemes (both Section 11188 and the APA’s adjudicatory procedures) disregard that the

Legislature has “plenary” and “paramount” authority to define Petitioner’s official duties. (*See supra*, Section III.A.2.) Section 25303.7 reflects the Legislature’s considered judgement that the public interest requires a reordering of his duties. The statute thus commands Petitioner to comply with watchdog agency subpoenas upon pain of contempt because transparency and “real change” can only be accomplished with enforcement mechanisms that carry real consequences. (*See infra*, Section III.B.6.)

For these and additional reasons, Petitioner’s reliance on *Dibb v. San Diego* is misplaced. (Pet. at 36-37.) Petitioner asserts that “*Dibb*’s reference to motions to quash, and citation to Code of Civil Procedure section 1987.1, contradicts the notion that due process is satisfied by forcing a witness to face contempt proceedings to challenge an investigative subpoena’s legality.” (*Id.* at 37.) As Petitioner concedes, the Court also stated that “potential misuse of the subpoena power may be addressed through . . . contempt actions to enforce . . . subpoenas.” *Dibb*, 8 Cal.4th at 1218. The Supreme Court did not require that contempt requires a multi-step process as Petitioner implies. *Dibb* therefore does not aid Petitioner. *People v. Hatt* (2018) 20 Cal.App.5th 321, 326 (“A case is not authority for a proposition not considered.”).

Petitioner’s misreading of *Dibb* also reflects his refusal to admit the Legislature’s clear authority and decision to regulate



his conduct by enacting Section 25303.7. *First, Dibb* concerned a county’s grant of subpoena power by a charter amendment, 8 Cal.4th at 1204, not a state statute enacted by the Legislature in exercise of its plenary authority over Petitioner. *Second*, the Legislature undoubtedly was aware of *Dibb*, and it resolved any concern expressed in that case by: (1) explicitly granting in Section 25303.7(b) broad subpoena authority and discretion to oversight bodies whenever they deem it necessary or important, and (2) declaring in Section 25303.7(d) that testimony provided to an oversight body under that section “shall not be considered to obstruct the investigative functions of the sheriff.” *See In re Simpson’s Est.* (1954) 43 Cal.2d 594, 600 (1954) (explaining statutory language is “presumed to have been deliberately made, and presumably the Legislature knew existing . . . decisions”); *Holman v. Viko* (1958) 161 Cal.App.2d 87, 93 (1958) (“The legislature is presumed to have been familiar with existing . . . court rulings.”).

**6. Section 25303.7’s History and Its Purposes Confirm that the Legislature Intended a One-Step Contempt Process.**

A one-step contempt process is consistent with Section 25303.7’s history and its aims. The Legislature enacted the statute “to assist the board of supervisors with [its oversight duties under Government Code section 25303] as they relate to

the sheriff.” (1 AE 144 (Legislative Counsel’s Digest).) “It is a fundamental principle of good government in the United States of America that checks and balances are important in order to provide effectiveness and transparency.” (1 AE 351 (Office of Senate Floor Analyses).) Section 25303.7 “seeks to add additional checks and balances to counties in California.” (*Id.*) The Legislature wanted to “make it clear that Sheriff Oversight Boards have the authority to properly review the actions of Sheriffs, provide transparency to citizens and create opportunities for real change.” (2 AE 933 (trial court citing Section 25303.7’s legislative history).)

A one-step contempt procedure effectuates the Legislature’s intent because a witness must comply quickly with an oversight subpoena on pain of contempt. This vindicates the authority of the oversight body and furthers the public interest by providing transparency to the community and permitting *prompt* opportunities for real change. *See Parris*, 12 Cal.4th at 851 (“[T]he purpose of a . . . contempt proceeding is to maintain the dignity of the administrative tribunal and enforce its orders.”); *Pitney-Bowes, Inc. v. California* (1980) 108 Cal.App.3d 307, 314 (“[C]ourts will construe [a] statute with a view to promoting rather than to defeating its general purpose and the policy



behind it.); *People v. Monismith* (1969) 1 Cal.App.3d 762, 766 (explaining courts interpret statutes by “look[ing] at the evil which the statute is designed to remedy”).

Petitioner’s proposed multistep procedure, in stark contrast, will undermine the purpose of oversight, create endless and needless delays, deny “transparency to citizens,” and virtually eliminate “opportunities for real change.” (2 AE 933.) Under Petitioner’s proposed construction, he has *no* duty or obligation to ever do *anything* when he receives a watchdog agency subpoena. Rather, Petitioner is free to disregard the subpoena and always require the County to resort to lengthy, expensive litigation to first consider his objections.

As the record overwhelmingly establishes, this indeed has been Petitioner’s approach for more than two years. (1 AE 27:9-28:13; 2 AE 517:28; 2 AE 532-74.) Petitioner has serially defied six consecutive subpoenas, three of which are at issue here. (*Id.*) Petitioner has never voluntarily complied with a single subpoena. (2 AE 517:28.) Petitioner’s approach has not permitted any oversight, much less “real change,” in the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department under his tenure.

The Court therefore should reject Petitioner’s proposed construction of subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(B) not only because it contradicts the plain text and mandatory wording of the contempt provision in subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)(C), but also

because his proposal is contrary to the statute's clear purposes. *Kramer v. Intuit Inc.* (2004) 121 Cal.App.4th 574, 579 (“[I]t is . . . well recognized that the literal meaning of the words of a statute may be disregarded to avoid absurd results or to give effect to manifest purposes that, in the light of the statute’s legislative history, appear from its provisions considered as a whole”); *SJP Ltd. P’ship v. City of Los Angeles* (2006) 136 Cal.App.4th 511, 517 (rejecting “interpretation that would eviscerate the very purpose of the statute”). The principle that “any uncertainties or ambiguities must be resolved in favor of the accused” (Pet. at 30) is inapplicable here because “only one reasonable” interpretation of Section 25303.7 is possible, *Bransford*, 8 Cal.4th at 890.

**C. Section 25303.7 Requires Petitioner To Present His Objections in a Contempt Proceeding.**

Petitioner contends that he “has valid defenses . . . that he should be permitted to raise and have resolved before contempt proceedings are brought.” (Pet. at 38 (bold and capitals removed).) He details myriad objections over more than six pages of the petition. (*Id.* at 38-44.) As discussed above (*supra*, Sections III.A & B), Petitioner must present his claims during a contempt proceeding because he identifies no constitutional or statutory rule of law requiring a multi-step contempt procedure and Section 25303.7 mandates a one-step procedure. Petitioner’s proposal is also contrary to the public interest and the



Legislature’s decision to grant the COC “deems” subpoena power to promote transparency (2 AE 933) and create checks and balances over Petitioner (1 AE 351). And in any event, Petitioner’s objections are meritless.

1. **A Two-Step Process Is Contrary to the Legislature’s Conferral of “Deems” Subpoena Authority to Watchdog Agencies.**

A watchdog agency’s subpoenas issued under “deems” authority are presumed valid, making a multi-step process fundamentally contrary to the purpose of oversight. Here too, Section 25303.7’s plain text controls. *LeFiell Mfg. Co.*, 228 Cal.App.4th at 893.

Section 25303.7 grants an oversight body authority to subpoena a sheriff for official testimony “whenever” it “deems” necessary or important. Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(1). State and federal courts uniformly interpret conferral of “deems” authority broadly. For example, one California Court of Appeal explains that a provision requiring a “probation officer [to] make such investigation ‘[a]s he deems necessary to determine whether proceedings in the juvenile court should be commenced’” means “whatever investigation [the officer] thinks is reasonable for the exercise of his discretion.” *Raymond B. v. Superior Ct.* (1980) 102 Cal.App.3d 372, 377. The United States Supreme Court interprets “deems” authority to “exude deference” to the

government agency. *Webster v. Doe*, 486 U.S. 592, 600 (1988) (“In allowing termination whenever the Director [of the Central Intelligence Agency] ‘shall *deem* [it] necessary or advisable,’ and not simply when the dismissal *is* necessary or advisable, [the statute at issue] fairly exudes deference to the Director . . . .”) (emphases in original). The Ninth Circuit likewise construes “deems” authority to mean an issue is committed to the “administrator’s discretion.” *Adams v. FAA* (9th Cir. 1993) 1 F.3d 955, 956 (per curiam).

The Legislature’s grant of “deems” subpoena authority “exudes deference” to the COC, and Section 25303.7 commits the decision to issue oversight subpoenas to the COC’s “discretion.” It follows that in the overwhelming majority of cases, the COC’s subpoenas will be valid and Petitioner’s objections will fail. It is also evident the Legislature concluded that oversight boards require broad subpoena power to “provide transparency” and effectuate “real change.” (2 AE 933.)

It would be odd indeed—if not inconceivable—for the Legislature to have created an enforcement procedure that permits the subject of oversight to interpose endless objections and create interminable delays in response to every subpoena, as Petitioner has done here. Indeed, Petitioner’s proposed multi-step process reads out of Section 25303.7 the COC’s “deems” authority and eviscerates the purpose of the statute. Courts



reject such interpretations. *Murillo v. Fleetwood Enterprises, Inc.* (1998) 17 Cal.4th 985, 990 (explaining a court “must attempt to effectuate the probable intent of the Legislature, as expressed through the actual words of the statutes in question”); *Los Angeles Cty. Metro. Transp. Auth. v. Alameda Produce Mkt., LLC* (2011) 52 Cal.4th 1100, 1114 (explaining courts are “required to follow the public policy choices actually discernible from the Legislature’s statutory enactments”). Petitioner is permitted to state objections, but he must do so in a one-step contempt hearing pursuant to subdivision 25303.7(b)(3)’s provisions. (*See supra*, Section III.B.4.)

**2. Petitioner’s Myriad Objections Are Undermined by His Willingness To Answer Questions Voluntarily Without Being Placed Under Oath.**

In any event, Petitioner’s objections are meritless. The starting point for evaluating—and the key to understanding—all of Petitioner’s objections is his position that he *is* willing to appear before the COC and answer its questions, but *only if* he appears voluntarily and without being placed under oath. (1 AE 38:22-25.) In other words, according to Petitioner, the very same questions that are acceptable if he is not sworn become impermissible if he is sworn. Petitioner thereby totally undermines all his other objections and admits that they are pretexts for his real objections: *to wit*, Petitioner simply refuses to

admit the COC has oversight subpoena power over him and he refuses to be placed under oath. Viewed in this context, Petitioner's objections are clearly invalid and intended merely to evade oversight.

**(a) Petitioner Cannot Avoid Answering Questions About His Motives for Opening Highly Questionable Criminal Investigations.**

Petitioner contends that the September 2021 Subpoena, seeking testimony regarding certain criminal investigations, is “well beyond the COC’s . . . constitutional and statutory jurisdiction.” (Pet. at 38.) Petitioner, however, made the topic relevant by *publicly announcing* the Department’s opening of criminal investigations of *oversight officials*. (1 AE 23:7-24:8.) Exercising its broad subpoena power, the COC reasonably determined it was necessary and important to examine Petitioner regarding his motives for employing that highly unusual tactic, which was made all the more suspect because none of the investigations had ever (and still have not) resulted in any criminal charge. (*Id.*; 1 AE 48.) Petitioner cannot avoid answering reasonable questions “in relation to” his questionable motives, which plainly fall within his “official duties on behalf of the sheriff’s department.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7(b)(1)(B). The September 2021 Subpoena is clearly within the COC’s Section 25303.7 jurisdiction.



Petitioner also cannot graft onto Section 25303.7 a non-existent exception for “law enforcement duties” (Pet. at 38). *See Steven S. v. Deborah D.* (2006) 127 Cal.App.4th 319, 327 (explaining “that where [the Legislature] does not create an exception, it is presumed that it did not intend to do so”). Rather, it is settled that “if . . . statutory language is clear and unambiguous . . . the plain meaning of the statute is controlling.” *Subaru of Am., Inc. v. Putnam Auto., Inc.* (2021) 60 Cal.App.5th 829, 836; *Siry Inv., L.P. v. Farkhondehpour*, 13 Cal.5th 333, 363 (2022) (emphasizing courts must not “construe otherwise clear and unambiguous standalone language so as to withhold, rather than afford, that which its full and natural words provide”).

Petitioner does not and cannot explain how merely answering questions about investigations he chose to make public would direct how he performs any of his duties (Pet. at 38), much less obstruct Petitioner’s investigative function (*id.* at 39). Indeed, Section 25303.7 anticipates and rejects blanket assertions of interference, clearly stating that subpoenaed testimony “shall not be considered to obstruct the investigative functions of the sheriff.” Gov’t Code § 25303.7(d).

The exception that Petitioner seeks to create is also contrary to Section 25303.7’s legislative history, which explicitly states “[t]his bill permits the legislative body of a county, a board of supervisors, to investigate the activities of an executive body of

the county, the county sheriff” and “appoint [oversight bodies] for that purpose.” (1 AE 351.) Caselaw likewise makes clear that “the operations of the sheriff’s . . . department[] and the conduct of [its] employees . . . are a legitimate concern of the board of supervisors.” *Dibb*, 8 Cal.4th at 1209; *see also Essick v. Cnty. of Sonoma*, 81 Cal.App.5th 941, 953 (2022) (“A central role of the Board of Supervisors, like any other legislative body, is to investigate the conduct of executive officials and thereby shine a light on matters that the voters of the County may wish to know.”) (sheriff of County of Sonoma).

Petitioner claims he is exempt from testifying based on Evidence Code Section 1040. (Pet. at 39.) The appropriate way to deal with any sensitive testimonial issue is on a question-by-question basis, and Petitioner is not excused from testifying completely. *E.g., In Re Investigation of Jud. Council of Eleventh Cir.* (11th Cir. 1986) 783 F.2d 1488, 1518 (“It is well settled that a witness whose testimony is subpoenaed cannot simply refuse to appear altogether on grounds of privilege, but rather must appear, testify, and invoke the privilege in response to particular questions.”) (collecting cases); *United States v. Bell* (N.D. Cal. 1999) 57 F.Supp.2d 898, 907 (“Blanket assertions of [a] privilege are not permitted.”).



**(b) The Subpoenas Clearly Seek Information  
about Important Public Interest Matters  
within the Jurisdiction of the COC.**

Petitioner variously asserts that the COC “does not seek to enforce any law or ordinance by its three subpoenas” (Pet. at 41); that Petitioner’s under-oath testimony “is not reasonably necessary” (*id.*); and the subpoenas are “political grandstanding, lack any proper defined purpose, and constitute an abuse of power” (*id.*). Each claim is meritless.

The COC clearly has jurisdiction over Petitioner under its ordinance and Section 25303.7. The mission of the COC is to “improve public transparency and accountability with respect to the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department.” L.A. Cty. Code § 3.79.010. It accomplishes that goal by conducting “ongoing analysis and oversight of the Department’s policies, practices, procedures.” *Id.* The Board has directed the COC, *inter alia*, to “make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors . . . on the Sheriff’s Department’s operational policies and procedures that affect the community” and to “[i]nvestigate . . . and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors . . . on systemic Sheriff-related issues or complaints affecting the community.” *Id.* § 3.79.030.A.–B. The COC “shall . . . obtain[] answers from the Sheriff to community concerns about the Sheriff’s Department’s operations, practices and activities.” *Id.* § 3.79.030.H.

The October and November 2021 Subpoenas, seeking Petitioner’s testimony regarding “deputy cliques” (1 AE 25:9-26:2; 1 AE 26:8-16), are clearly within the COC’s jurisdiction. The record establishes that “deputy cliques” have negatively impacted community trust and community members want to see the matter taken seriously (1 AE 25:9-16), a point that Petitioner does not and cannot dispute. Indeed, the matter is so serious that the COC commenced a comprehensive investigation of deputy gangs in Spring 2022 aimed at finally eradicating them from the Department. (2 AE 522:10-11; 2 AE 443:1-4.)

The Sheriff’s attacks on the COC and the purposes of the Subpoenas (Pet. at 41) likewise are unavailing. Courts presume, as the record establishes here, that the COC properly exercised its discretion in issuing the Subpoenas and that the COC is conducting its investigation in accordance with law. *E.g., Bd. of Dental Exam’rs v. Superior Ct.* (1976) 55 Cal.App.3d 811, 814 (explaining “proceedings [are] supported by a presumption of regularity” and “[i]t [is] not the function of the superior court . . . to inquire into the reasoning processes underlying the [agency’s] decision”); *White v. Church* (1986) 185 Cal.App.3d 627, 631 (reciting “the time-honored presumption that public officers have discharged their duties properly”). The Sheriff cannot overcome the presumption of regularity and the discretion accorded to the COC by Section 25303.7.



Petitioner relies on *Watkins v. United States* (Pet. at 41), but that case actually supports the County's position. There, the Supreme Court reversed a conviction for contempt of Congress because the "subcommittee of Un-American Activities Committee" went too far in questioning a witness about his "past [Communist] associates." (1957) 354 U.S. 178, 182-85. The Supreme Court explained that "[t]here is no general authority to expose the *private* affairs of individuals without justification." *Id.* at 187 (emphasis added). Here, in stark contrast, the COC seeks testimony from Petitioner regarding the performance of his *official* duties.

Petitioner consistently disregards the *Watkins* Court's directive that "[i]t is [the] unremitting obligation [of all citizens] to respond to subpoenas . . . and to testify fully with respect to matters within the province of proper investigation." *Id.* at 187-88. Petitioner has never complied with a single oversight subpoena. (2 AE 517:28.) The Court also explained, consistent with California law, that it was "not [the Court's] function" to "test[] the motives of committee members for" conducting their investigation. 354 U.S. at 200. Here, the COC had a clear oversight rationale for the Subpoenas that fell well within the COC's broad discretion.

Petitioner claims that the November 2021 Subpoena "exceeded the scope of the [authorizing] motion." (Pet. at 42.) His unsupported, conclusory argument is waived. *McComber*, 72

Cal.App.4th at 522 (treating contentions as waived when unsupported by legal argument or citation to authority). Moreover, Petitioner cannot relitigate the validity of the subpoena after his first challenge before a different trial court failed. (2 AE 522:15-523:9.) Petitioner’s second challenge was a disguised motion to reconsider or a collateral attack on the first court’s order. *Powell v. Cty. of Orange* (2011) 197 Cal.App.4th 1573, 1577 (explaining “a motion asking the trial court to decide the same matter previously ruled on is a motion for reconsideration under Code of Civil Procedure section 1008” “regardless of the name”); *F.E.V. v. City of Anaheim* (2017) 15 Cal.App.5th 462, 471 (“A collateral attack is an attempt to avoid the effect of a judgment or order made in some other proceeding.”) (citations omitted); *accord Gonzales v. State of California* (1977) 68 Cal.App.3d 621, 632, *abrogated on other grounds by City of Stockton v. Superior Ct.* (2007) 42 Cal.4th 730.

The trial court here did not have jurisdiction to reconsider the first court’s order. *Baldwin v. Home Sav. of Am.* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1200) (holding “section 1008 is the exclusive means for modifying, amending or revoking an order [because] [the] limitation [in the statute] is expressly jurisdictional”); *Paul Blanco’s Good Car Co. Auto Grp. v. Superior Ct.* (2020) 56 Cal.App.5th 86, 101 (explaining “the well-established and good-sense rule that one trial judge cannot overrule another”); *In re Keet’s Estate* (1940) 15 Cal.2d 328, 333 (1940) (“On a collateral



attack, any attempt to show mere error of the court must necessarily fail. The judgment is conclusive unless wholly invalid on its face . . .”).

Finally, Petitioner baselessly claims he should not be held in contempt because of a non-binding report in an administrative proceeding to which he is not a party. (Pet. at 42.)

The report is based on challenges brought by two unions. (2 AE 523:13-15.) Because Petitioner is elected and he is not a member of either association, the report does not apply to him. Gov’t Code § 3501(d) (providing elected persons are not entitled to collective bargaining). It is settled that the Sheriff is not permitted to seek judicial relief before administrative proceedings are complete. *Campbell v. Regents of Univ. of California*, 35 Cal.4th 311, 321 (2005).

The Employee Relations Commission (“ERCOM”) cannot order the County to cease and desist from enforcing its subpoenas authorized by state and county law. Petitioner relies on *Cty. of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Cty. Employee Relations Cm.* (2013) 56 Cal.4th 905 (2013), but that case does not discuss the issue. *City of Palo Alto v. Public Employment Relations Board* (2016) 5 Cal.App.5th 1271, supports the County’s position, not the Sheriff’s. There the court explained that “an action *in quo warranto* is the exclusive remedy to challenge [a] ballot initiative,” 5 Cal.App.5th at 1320, like Measure R here. Moreover, “it would violate the basic constitutional concept of the

separation of powers” for a quasi-judicial agency like ERCOM to “vacate” or “direct” purely legislative acts, like the COC’s exercise of its subpoena authority. *Bd. of Supervisors v. California Highway Comm’n* (1976) 57 Cal.App.3d 952, 962.

Indeed, the unions who filed the ERCOM complaint have no right to bargain with the County regarding the Legislature’s enactments and ERCOM has no jurisdiction over Section 25303.7 subpoenas. Only labor challenges regarding County legislative enactments are brought to ERCOM. *Cty. of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles Cty. Emp. Rels. Com.* (2013) 56 Cal.4th 905, 916 (explaining “[a]llegations of unfair labor practices *by the County* must be brought to ERCOM”) (emphasis added). ERCOM cannot prevent compliance with a presumptively valid state law like Section 25303.7.

#### IV.

#### CONCLUSION

Real Party in Interest, the County of Los Angeles,  
respectfully requests that the Court deny the petition.

Dated: December 2, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

ANAND LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: /s/ Harvinder S. Anand  
HARVINDER S. ANAND

Attorneys for Real Party in  
Interest County of Los Angeles



**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

Pursuant to Rule 8.204(c) of the California Rules of Court, I hereby certify that this brief contains 10,475 words, including footnotes. In making this certification, I have relied on the word count of the computer program used to prepare the brief.

Dated: December 2, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

ANAND LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: /s/ Harvinder S. Anand  
HARVINDER S. ANAND

Attorneys for Real Party in  
Interest County of Los Angeles

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 790 E. Colorado Boulevard, Suite 900, Los Angeles, California 91101.

On December 2, 2022, I served, in the manner indicated below, the foregoing document REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S PRELIMINARY OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE, on the interest parties in this action as follows:

- ☒ BY MAIL: By causing true and correct copy(ies) of the document(s) listed above to be delivered to the trial court by placing them in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United States mail at La Canada, California, addressed as follows:

Honorable Elaine Lu  
Los Angeles County Superior Court  
Department 26  
111 N. Hill Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

- ☒ BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: By causing true and correct copies of the document(s) listed above to be served via the TrueFiling system to all parties who have registered to receive service of documents in the above-captioned case. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[CONTINUED NEXT PAGE]



☒ BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: By electronically mailing true and correct copies of the documents(s) listed above in PDF format to the following email address(es) or in the attached service list. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. Email address(es) served: [lsavitt@brgslaw.com](mailto:lsavitt@brgslaw.com)  
*[Attorneys for Hon. Alex Villanueva, Sheriff of Los Angeles County]*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 2, 2022, at Pasadena, California.



---

Harvinder S. Anand

**From:** [ExecutiveOffice](#)  
**To:** [PublicComments](#)  
**Subject:** FW: COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT: B.O.S. MEETING JANUARY 10, 2022 ITEM 3 PROCLAMATION AND DECREE TO APPOINT "daredeemer" AS CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI- SEMITIC REFORM  
**Date:** Monday, January 9, 2023 1:50:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [COLA COC Prelim Opp Writ FINAL 12.2.22.pdf](#)

---

The following/attached correspondence is being forwarded to you for your review/information.

Note: Constituent states the attached is supporting documentation for his comment below pertaining Agenda Item 3.

---

**From:** Adrian Moon <adriandaredeemer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 7, 2023 5:55 PM  
**To:** ExecutiveOffice <ExecutiveOffice@bos.lacounty.gov>; Fesia Davenport <fdavenport@ceo.lacounty.gov>; First District <firstdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Third District <ThirdDistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Barger, Kathryn <Kathryn@bos.lacounty.gov>; Dawyn Harrison <dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov>; Supervisor Janice Hahn (Fourth District) <fourthdistrict@bos.lacounty.gov>; Holly J. Mitchell <HollyJMitchell@bos.lacounty.gov>; Stallworth-Tait, Wendy <WTait@bos.lacounty.gov>  
**Cc:** Sean Kennedy <sean.kennedy@lls.edu>; harv@anandlawgroup.com; lsavitt@brgslaw.com; Stacy Luster <sluster@carlwarren.com>; Sylvia Hernandez <shernandez@carlwarren.com>; Robb Sahli <rsahli@carlwarren.com>; Tamatha Chipp <tchipp@carlwarren.com>; press@karenbass.com; lamayornews@lacity.org; mayor.scheduling@lacity.org; Tlc@projectbyproject.com; pr@abc7.com; lisa.bartley@abc.com; sid.garcia@abc.com; KABCTV.ASSIGNMENT@abc.com; julie.sone@abc.com; ealmeida@scng.com; Stephen Scauzillo <sscauzillo@scng.com>; cory.minderhout@charter.com; kate.cagle@charter.com; bobby.cuza@charter.com; pctips-losangeles@fbi.gov; Scott.garringer@usdoj.gov; govlegalunit@gov.ca.gov; Lance.Winters@doj.ca.gov; cbs2investigates@cbs.com; kcal9investigates@cbs.com; action9@wsoc-tv.com; ktla@ktla.com; KTVU2Investigates@foxtv.com; Cora Jackson Fossett <cora@lasentinel.net>; Loyola Law School-Innocent Project <laurie.levenson@lls.edu>; fox11news@foxtv.com; webmail@da.lacounty.gov; info@jewishfoundationla.org; 2strongpodcast@gmail.com; cnntips@cnn.com; contact@hopeoftheworld.org; piu@doj.ca.gov; legalsmart@ktla.com; Aron Laub <laub.bestdefense@gmail.com>; tips@nbcla.com; news.tips@abc.com; Harvey, Mark <Mark.Harvey@calbar.ca.gov>; jw@pr.judicialwatch.org  
**Subject:** COVID-19: HOST, HOAX, OR HOLY SPIRIT: B.O.S. MEETING JANUARY 10, 2022 ITEM 3 PROCLAMATION AND DECREE TO APPOINT 'daredeemer' AS CZAR OF HOMELESSNESS AND ANTI-SEMITIC REFORM

**CAUTION:** External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Dear Beloved Celia Zavala, Executive Officer, All Praises and Glory to the Almighty Creator in da Mighty Name of Yeshua da Messiah... As this document was too big to add to 'daredeemer's three filings for item three (3) at the meeting for January 10, 2023 ! Again over 50% of the Homeless Population is as a result of Profit Racketeering of Defendants Kathryn Barger-Liebrich , Alex Villanueva , David Julian Cowen , Mel Red Recana, Jacqueline Lacey, George Gascon ( 22-0-16350) and others , Defendant Maria Stratton, Elizabeth Grimes and John Wiley, jr. illegally stayed enforcement of the arrest of Criminal Defendants Alex Villanueva and Kathryn Barger-Liebrich on November



21, 2022 after receiving a bribe to do so by Mob Bosses Kathryn Barger-Liebrich and Alex Villanueva , see read and understand attachment ! AMEN AND AMEN WE've Loved You All Through Eternity ♡