

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

MARY C. WICKHAM County Counsel

June 23, 2016

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1609 FACSIMILE (213) 626-2105 TDD

(213) 633-0901 E-MAIL

rgranbo@counsel.lacounty.gov

TO:

LORI GLASGOW

Executive Officer Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Prepara

FROM:

ROGER H. GRANBO

Senior Assistant County Counsel

Executive Office

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda

County Claims Board Recommendation

<u>Redgate Partners, LLC v. County of Los Angeles</u> Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 562 274

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached is the Case Summary and Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

RHG:scr

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize the Department of Parks and Recreation to expend up to \$163,150 of existing Parks and Recreation funds on Park improvements in settlement of the matter entitled Redgate Partners, LLC v. County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 562 274.

This inverse condemnation lawsuit alleges that a portion of the Whittier Narrows Equestrian Center – Horseman Park operated by the Department of Parks and Recreation encroached onto plaintiff's property and blows dust which interferes with plaintiff's trucking operations business, calling for the County to complete corrective remedies.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Redgate Partners, LLC. v. County of Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER

BC 562274

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

October 31, 2014

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Parks and Recreation

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

163,150

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Arnold Graham

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Michael S. Simon

NATURE OF CASE

Inverse Condemnation: Plaintiff claims that a portion of the County's equestrian center extends on to Plaintiff's property, and that dust from the equestrian center blows on to Plaintiff's property and interferes with Plaintiff's trucking operations business.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

16,590

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 10

Case Name: Redgate Partners, LLC v. County of Los Angeles

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 562274

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	October 31, 2014 – lawsuit filed
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	The Claimant, owner of the adjacent property to the County alleges County has constructed within the boundaries of their property.
	Claimant also alleges continuing nuisance caused by significant quantities of dust and sand blowing from the County property onto his.

- Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/lawsuit:
 - County encroached on private property: driveway and entrance, landscaping, signage and fencing located on private property.
 - Much of the surface of County's property is undisturbed soil and sand causing dust to be blown onto Claimant's property with additional dust generated during the use of the two horse exercise arenas found on County property.
 - 3. Current water system is insufficient to provide adequate water to the irrigation systems that provide dust control in the arenas.
- Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Department took action to ensure that they do not encroach on other property and take reasonable dust control methods at our equestrian facilities that are in close proximity to homes or businesses. Furthermore, we developed the following corrective action plan to help guard against any reoccurrence of these types of issues in the future:

- Survey property boundaries before purchasing "new" property or before making improvements on existing County property;
- Ensure that dust control measures, including irrigation systems and mulch, are installed and monitored by staff on a regular basis;
- Install fencing with windscreens and/or landscaping barriers, when needed, to help control dust and debris on adjacent property.

3. Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide systems.	em issues?
☐ Yes – The corrective actions address department-wide sys	stem issues.
No - The corrective actions are only applicable to the affer	cted parties.
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
$\sim 10^{-1}$	
Signature:	Date: 5/23/16
Name: (Department Head)	
Signature: Jh Wich	Date: 5/24/16
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General US	
☐ Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide a	policability.
No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this depart	`` *
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	+
Destroy Castro	
Signature:	Date:
Doctory Cotro	5/23/2016