



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION  
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

MARY C. WICKHAM  
County Counsel

March 17, 2016

TELEPHONE  
(213) 974-1609  
FACSIMILE  
(213) 626-2105  
TDD  
(213) 633-0901  
E-MAIL  
rgranbo@counsel.lacounty.gov

TO: LORI GLASGOW  
Executive Officer  
Board of Supervisors  
  
Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM: ROGER H. GRANBO *(Signature)*  
Senior Assistant County Counsel  
Executive Office

RE: **Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda**  
**County Claims Board Recommendation**  
**Dalaj International Corporation v. County of**  
**Los Angeles, et al.**  
**Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 512 582**

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached is the Case Summary to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation and the Case Summary be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

RHG:scr

Attachments

## Board Agenda

### MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled Dalaj International Corporation v. County of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 512 582 in the amount of \$350,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Department of Public Works' budget.

This breach of contract lawsuit against the Department of Public Works concerns a construction contract.

## CASE SUMMARY

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Dalaj International Corporation v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
CASE NUMBER	BC512582
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court-Central District
DATE FILED	July 2, 2013
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Department of Public Works
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 350,000.00
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Eric Bensamochan
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Rosa Linda Cruz Mark Worthge, Litchfield, Cavo, LLP
NATURE OF CASE	Action for alleged breaches of construction contract (including the failure to timely release retention sums) by the County. The County cross-complained for return of contract monies paid to the contractor while the contractor's license was suspended by operation of law.
PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$ 229,100
PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$ 31,751