



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County
Board of Supervisors**



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
3. Select search options if necessary:
 - Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.
 - Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.
 - Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.
4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

- Choose Edit > Find Again
- Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: *If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.*



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool **T**, and do one of the following:
To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1 **THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

2 **MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2013, 9:30 A.M.**

3 **2013-14 BUDGET DELIBERATIONS**

4

5

6

7 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** WELL, GOOD MORNING. WE THANK YOU
8 FOR YOUR ATTENDANCE THIS MORNING AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE
9 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PERTAINING TO BUDGET DELIBERATIONS, JUNE
10 24, 2013. QUORUM IS ESTABLISHED. THE APPROPRIATE STAFF PERSONS
11 ARE IN PLACE. WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE AGENDA AS IT IS
12 PRESENTED IN NUMERIC ORDER. I WOULD SAY THAT, BOARD MEMBERS,
13 WITH RESPECT TO -- BOARD MEMBERS, WITH RESPECT TO ANY MOTIONS
14 THAT YOU MAY HAVE, I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO BRING THEM IN UNDER
15 ITEM NO. 5. THE CHAIR WILL NOT ENTERTAIN ANY MOTIONS OF
16 SUBSTANCE PRIOR TO THAT. WE WILL MOVE 1 THROUGH 4. ALL MOTIONS
17 THAT YOU MAY WISH TO BRING FORTH WILL BE HEARD IN THE PROPER
18 ORDER. ITEM NO. 5 IS THE PLACE WHERE THEY SHOULD COME FORWARD.
19 MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

20

21 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
22 BOARD, THIS IS THE BUDGET DELIBERATIONS. AND I'LL TURN IT OVER
23 TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

24

25 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** MR. FUJIOKA?



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES, SIR. GOOD MORNING.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** GOOD MORNING.

5

6 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BEFORE I START, I UNDERSTAND THERE IS AN
7 INDIVIDUAL THAT HAS SOME COMMENTS ON? SHOULD WE DO THAT NOW OR
8 LATER?

9

10 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** SURE. IF YOU WANT TO GO BY NUMERIC
11 ORDER AND WE'LL CALL THEM UP AS WE GO.

12

13 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** GREAT. LET'S START WITH NO. 1. NUMBER 1
14 RELATES TO OUR CURRENT FISCAL YEAR. IT'S FINAL APPROPRIATION
15 ADJUSTMENTS TO A NUMBER OF BUDGET UNITS AND CAPITAL PROJECTS.
16 I ASK YOUR APPROVAL.

17

18 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT.

19

20 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** ON THIS ITEM, THERE IS AN
21 INDIVIDUAL WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE BOARD.

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE TAKE NOTE OF THAT.
24 I SEE THE NAME OF ERIC PREVEN. MR. PREVEN, IF YOU HAVE AN ITEM



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THAT YOU WANT TO ADDRESS ON ITEM NO. 1, PLEASE COME FORWARD,
2 SIR.

3

4 **ERIC PREVEN:** GOOD MORNING, IT'S ERIC PREVEN, THE COUNTY
5 RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3. AND I KNOW THAT THERE ARE
6 CONGRATULATIONS IN ORDER BECAUSE THIS BUDGET IS NOT -- WE'RE
7 NOT IN JEOPARDY, IS THE FEELING. THE MARKETS AT THE MOMENT ARE
8 NOT COLLAPSING BUT THERE IS SOME WORRY THAT PERHAPS WHAT
9 FUJIOKA REFERRED TO AS THE GREAT RECESSION MAY NOT BE
10 COMPLETELY OVER EVEN AS WE SPEAK. SPECIFIC TO ITEM 1, I NOTED
11 THAT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER WOULD LIKE TO TRANSFER \$639,000
12 FROM PROVISIONAL FINANCING USES TO FUND BOARD AND AUDIT
13 COMMITTEE-RELATED AUDITS, ORDERED AUDITS FROM THE AUDIT
14 COMMITTEE. THE AUDIT COMMITTEE, AS YOU KNOW, IS YOUR DEPUTIES.
15 AND YOU KNOW, I'VE BEEN AN ADVOCATE FOR MORE CAREFUL AUDITING
16 DOWN HERE. AND I DON'T KNOW. I MEAN, THIS IS A GROUP THAT
17 REGULARLY APPROVES COMMISSIONS AT 59 PERCENT OR 53 PERCENT OF
18 ATTENDANCE. SO I JUST WONDER IF THAT'S A GOOD USE OF THAT
19 FUNDING. THE C.E.O. HAS REQUESTED 250,000 FROM THE SERVICES
20 AND SUPPLIES APPROPRIATION TO REPLACE OUT-OF-WARRANTY SERVERS.
21 IT SEEMS LIKE ON TOP OF EVERYTHING ELSE, THE C.E.O. GETTING
22 THAT MONEY IS ODD. HE ALSO HAS REQUESTED 654,000 FOR
23 DEPARTMENTAL LITIGATION. LITIGATION IS A RED FLAG, AS YOU
24 KNOW, FOR ME. I THINK THE RISK NUMBERS, AS WE SAW EARLIER, ARE
25 THROUGH THE ROOF. THEY KEEP RISING. MR. KRATTLI'S GROUP IS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BEING INVESTIGATED BY H.B.R. CONSULTING AND I THINK
2 INVESTIGATED IS A STRONG TERM. THEY'RE GOING MAKE SOME
3 RECOMMENDATIONS AT SOME POINT AND WE'LL EAGERLY HEAR WHAT THEY
4 HAVE TO SAY.

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** A POINT OF CORRECTION, PLEASE.
7 IT IS NOT AN INVESTIGATION.

8

9 **ERIC PREVEN:** THAT'S FAIR. IT'S NOT AN INVESTIGATION. IT'S A
10 REVIEW.

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU.

13

14 **ERIC PREVEN:** INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE FUND IS
15 RECEIVING 3 MILLION FOR I.T. INFRASTRUCTURE. AS PLEASED AS I
16 AM WITH MR. SANCHEZ'S WORK, WHO WAS FINALLY ABLE TO RECONNECT
17 MY EMAIL TO THE PUBLIC INTEGRITY DIVISION, THAT SEEMS LIKE A
18 FLOATING 3 MILLION TO ME. THE 2.658 MILLION IN ONE-TIME
19 FUNDING FOR PARKS AND REC IS A HUGE CONCERN. I DON'T KNOW
20 WHERE SUPERVISOR KNABE IS FOR THIS, BUT APPARENTLY WE ARE
21 PROJECTING A SHORTFALL ON GOLF COURSE REVENUE, WHICH, YOU
22 KNOW, THAT IS WORTH A PAUSE RIGHT THERE. HOW IN THE WORLD IS
23 THAT POSSIBLE SINCE WE INCREASED THE RATES? SINCE WE SIGNED A
24 BRAND NEW BANNER DEAL WITH AMERICAN GOLF CORPORATION A YEAR
25 AGO THAT EVERYBODY WAS CONVINCED WAS GOOD FOR THE COUNTY? I'M

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 FLUMMOXED BY THAT ONE. AND LASTLY BUT VERY IMPORTANTLY, AND
2 I'D ASK MOLINA TO PAY ATTENTION ON THIS ONE, APPARENTLY WE ARE
3 REDUCING, DECREASING BY \$10,000 AN APPROPRIATION TO FUND
4 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SHELTER SERVICES, THAT'S \$10,000 REDUCTION
5 IN A CATEGORY WHERE SURELY WE CAN DO BETTER. I MEAN, IT SEEMS
6 TO ME THAT THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE FOR MANY OF US. AND
7 YET THE ANIMAL CONTROL GROUP, AS MAYOR ANTONOVICH WILL REPORT,
8 HAS REQUESTED -- AND WE'LL GO BACK TO THAT ITEM 3 -- A LOT
9 MORE MONEY FOR SERVICES IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY FOR THOSE
10 SHELTERS. SO I JUST THINK THAT THIS IS A PROBLEM.

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
13 TESTIMONY. THAT CONCLUDES THE PUBLIC COMMENT PORTION ON THIS
14 ITEM AS IT IS BEFORE US. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, ANY QUESTIONS
15 OR FURTHER COMMENT ON ITEM NO. 1? SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

16

17 **SUP. MOLINA:** MR. FUJIOKA, I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED. I JUST WANT
18 TO UNDERSTAND SOME OF THESE ALLOCATIONS. IT IS -- THEY SAY --
19 MY MIC'S NOT ON?

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S ON.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT IS ON. I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND SOME OF THIS
24 MONEY. ONE OF THE ALLOCATIONS ON HERE, IT SAYS IT'S CLEANUP,



1 IS THE 31.1 MILLION THAT'S BEEN SET ASIDE FOR THE SHERIFF? AM
2 I ON THE RIGHT ONE?

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ACTUALLY THIS IS WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH TODAY
5 ON ITEM NO. 1 IS THE APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL
6 YEAR BUT NOT FOR THE PROPOSED BUDGET OR THE FINAL BUDGET FOR
7 FISCAL YEAR '13/'14. THIS IS FOR FISCAL YEAR '12/'13.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** MY UNDERSTANDING IT'S FOR 2014/2015. DO I HAVE A
10 MISUNDERSTANDING ON IT?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I THINK YOU'RE REFERRING TO WHAT'S GOING TO
13 HAPPEN --

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** THE 13.1 MILLION.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** UNDER THE SHERIFF WE HAVE A MILLION DOLLARS
18 FOR MEDICAL SERVICES. IN AN EARLIER ACTION, WE APPROVED 22
19 MILLION FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR THAT WOULD ADDRESS THE SHORTFALL
20 IN THE BUDGET TO ALLOW THEM TO CLOSE THE BOOKS WITH A BALANCED
21 BUDGET.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO WE'RE GIVING THE SHERIFF \$22 MILLION TO CLOSE
24 HIS BOOKS ON THE PLUS SIDE.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: FOR THIS YEAR.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR.

4

5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AND THERE'S ANOTHER APPROPRIATION, AN ONGOING
6 APPROPRIATION OF \$22 MILLION TO MEET THE SHORTFALL AND
7 OVERTIME IN SOME PATROL SERVICES.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: AND THAT'S NOT INCLUDED IN THIS ITEM? THAT'S
10 INCLUDED IN THE BUDGET?

11

12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

13

14 SUP. KNABE: IS THAT THE CARPING ISSUE?

15

16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE CARPING IS 18.6. THE 22 IS THE OVERTIME
17 AND SOME MONEY FOR PATROL.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: SO IS THERE A \$31.1 MILLION DESIGNATION FOR THE
20 SHERIFF? YES?

21

22 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: TELL ME WHAT THAT IS.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT CREATES A -- IN ADDITION TO CLOSING THE
2 GAP, IT ALSO CREATES A NEW RESERVE TO HELP DEAL WITH THE
3 SHERIFF'S ONGOING DEFICIT.

4

5 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** MR. FUJIOKA, COULD YOU EXPLAIN
6 WHAT IT'S FOR, PLEASE? IS IT '14/'15 SO THAT ALL OF THE BOARD
7 MEMBERS ARE CLEAR ABOUT THAT?

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE CONCERN -- AT ONE POINT WE HAD, THE
10 REQUEST WAS TO PROVIDE ONGOING DOLLARS FOR THE SHERIFF'S
11 DEPARTMENT. RIGHT NOW, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, UNTIL WE'RE ABLE
12 TO CLOSE THE BOOKS ON SUPPLEMENTAL, WE CANNOT IDENTIFY THAT
13 ONGOING SOURCE OF FUNDS. SO TO INSURE THAT THAT MONEY IS
14 AVAILABLE NEXT YEAR WHEN WE PREPARE THE BUDGET, WE SET ASIDE
15 FUNDS IN A NEW RESERVE SO THAT'LL BE THERE. NOW, AS WE MOVE
16 FORWARD, BECAUSE WE'RE LOOKING AT SOME IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR
17 PROPERTY TAX, WE MAY NOT HAVE TO USE THAT ONE-TIME MONEY. IT
18 COULD THEN, AT THAT POINT IN TIME, USE ONGOING MONEY. BUT
19 THERE ARE SEVERAL OFFICES WHO SAID IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE GIVE
20 THE SHERIFF AN ONGOING ALLOCATION BECAUSE RIGHT NOW, WE'RE NOT
21 ABLE TO IDENTIFY THAT UNTIL WE KNOW EXACTLY HOW MUCH PROPERTY
22 TAX WAS BROUGHT IN. WE SET UP A NEW RESERVE FOR THAT DOLLAR
23 AMOUNT, SO IT'S THERE TO SHOW PEOPLE THAT THERE'S A STRONG
24 COMMITMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT MONEY'S AVAILABLE NOT ONLY NEXT
25 YEAR BUT FOR FISCAL YEAR '14/'15.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND THAT. AND I APPRECIATE THAT YOU'RE
3 DOING THAT. MY ISSUE IS WE'RE MAKING THE SHERIFF WHOLE FROM
4 LAST YEAR, OKAY. WE HAD GIVEN HIM SOME MONEY IN THE INTERIM
5 BACK IN APRIL.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** TRUE.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** WE NOW ARE TRYING TO RESOLVE THE CARPING ISSUE.

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** TRUE.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** WE ARE NOW GIVING HIM MORE MONEY FOR OVERTIME.
14 THE ISSUE IS, IS THAT WE STILL DON'T HAVE -- WE DON'T SEEM TO
15 BE SCRUTINIZING THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET IN ANY WAY TO HAVE SOME
16 CONTROL. I UNDERSTAND HE ALWAYS NEEDS MORE MONEY FROM THE DAY
17 HE ARRIVED HE SAID HE NEEDED MORE MONEY. THE ISSUE IS: HOW DO
18 WE CONTROL HIS EXPENDITURES? WHEN HE TOOK AWAY THE
19 UNINCORPORATED PATROL, HE COULDN'T TELL US WHERE HE SPENT THE
20 MONEY. BILL, I'M A LITTLE TROUBLED BY IT. I MEAN, I KNOW IT'S
21 A DESIGNATION FUND. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT. BUT IT
22 JUST SEEMS LIKE WE'RE NOT PUTTING A LID ON THE SHERIFF'S
23 PROBLEMS WITH HIS BUDGETING. AND I'M NOT SO SURE IT'S
24 RESOURCES, BECAUSE WE KEEP THROWING IN MONEY. THIS YEAR ALONE,

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 INCLUDING IF YOU TOOK IT IN A FISCAL YEAR, HE'S GETTING OVER A
2 HUNDRED AND SOME MILLION DOLLARS.

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND THAT.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW YOU UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT I'M NOT
7 UNDERSTANDING HOW HE'S UNDERSTANDING IT.

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** CORRECT. AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA,
10 THESE ARE EXCELLENT QUESTIONS. MR. FUJIOKA, IS IT NOT THE CASE
11 -- I'M SORRY, PLEASE.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW. BUT IF I CAN'T GET AN ANSWER, WILL I GET
14 AN ANSWER, SHOULD WE MOVE THE BUDGET TILL LATER TILL I
15 GET AN ANSWER?

16

17 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** NO. BUT I THINK YOU SHOULD
18 ACKNOWLEDGE WHEN SOMEONE IS TRYING TO HELP YOU GET THE ANSWER,
19 THAT'S ALL I'M DOING. SO IF YOU WISH TO PROCEED, DO SO. MR.
20 FUJIOKA.

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WITH RESPECT TO UNINCORPORATED PATROL, I THINK
23 YOU, AS WE GET INTO NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, WE WORKED WITH ALL
24 OFFICES TO DEVELOP AN ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE THAT WILL HELP US
25 CLOSELY TRACK THAT.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** NO, I'M ASKING A DIFFERENT QUESTION, BILL. BILL,
3 I'M ASKING A DIFFERENT QUESTION, I'M ASKING A DIFFERENT. I
4 APOLOGIZE. MY QUESTION IS THE ISSUE OF THE ACCOUNTABILITY OF
5 THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET. WHAT I WANT TO UNDERSTAND IS: IT EITHER
6 IS A BUDGET OR IT'S NOT A BUDGET. THE ISSUE WITH WE PAID FOR
7 UNINCORPORATED PATROL LAST YEAR. WE BUDGETED FOR IT IN THE
8 LAST FISCAL YEAR. HE CHOSE TO SPEND IT ON SOMETHING ELSE. MY
9 ISSUE IS -- AND I KNOW WE NOW HAVE A BUDGETING PROGRAM THAT
10 WE'RE GOING TO BE PUTTING FOR AT LEAST UNINCORPORATED PATROL,
11 BUT HOW DO WE KNOW THAT THE SHERIFF IS GOING TO STAY WITHIN
12 HIS BUDGET IF WE KEEP GIVING HIM MONEY EVERY TIME HE SPENDS IT
13 SOMEWHERE ELSE AND THEN WE CAN'T FIND OUT WHERE HE'S SPENDING
14 IT? I THINK IT'S A SIMPLE BASIC QUESTION.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S A FAIR QUESTION. BUT I
17 WANTED A BREAKDOWN. WHAT I WAS SEEKING TO CLARIFY IS A
18 BREAKDOWN OF THIS 31 MILLION. I DIDN'T HEAR A RESPONSE TO
19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S QUERY WHAT THE DISTRIBUTION OF THOSE
20 RESOURCES WOULD BE.

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES, SIR. THIS DOLLAR AMOUNT IS AN ATTEMPT TO
23 SET ASIDE MONEY NOT FOR NEXT FISCAL YEAR BUT THE FOLLOWING
24 FISCAL YEAR, FOR '14/'15. IT ADDRESSES THE REQUEST FROM A
25 NUMBER OF OFFICES TO INSURE THAT THE MONEY WE'RE APPROPRIATING

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR WILL BE PROVIDED ON AN ONGOING BASIS
2 AND NOT ONE-TIME. IT'S GOING TO BE SET ASIDE AS A DESIGNATION.
3 AND WHAT WE CAN DO IS SET IT UP SO THE MONEY DOES NOT MOVE
4 INTO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AS A FORMAL APPROPRIATION UNTIL
5 THE BOARD GIVES SPECIFIC APPROVAL. BUT WE HAD A COUPLE OF
6 OFFICES WHO SAID -- WE HAD CONCERNS THAT THE MONEY WE'RE
7 PUTTING IN IS ONLY ONE-TIME MONEY. AND WE'VE ASKED FOR -- THEY
8 WANTED US TO INSURE THAT THAT MONEY WOULD BE GUARANTEED ON AN
9 ONGOING BASIS. BUT WE CAN SET UP A MECHANISM SO THAT IN LIGHT
10 OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S CONCERNS, THAT THAT MONEY DOES NOT
11 FORMALLY MOVE INTO THE BUDGET. WE CAN SET IT UP IN A
12 DESIGNATION OR P.F.U. ACCOUNT IF YOU LIKE UNTIL THIS BOARD
13 TAKES ACTION. WHAT WE ARE MERELY TRYING TO DEMONSTRATE TO NOT
14 ONLY A NUMBER OF BOARD OFFICES BUT PARTICULARLY THE SHERIFF
15 THAT THIS MONEY, GIVEN HIS ONGOING DEFICIT, WOULD BE THERE NOT
16 ONLY THE NEXT YEAR BUT THE FOLLOWING YEAR AND THEN SUBSEQUENT
17 YEARS.

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** SHOULD I TRY AGAIN?

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** PLEASE.

22

23 **SUP. MOLINA:** ONE MORE TIME. I KNOW THAT. GOT IT.

24

25 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** OKAY.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** HOW WILL I INSURE THAT WHEN THE SHERIFF NEEDS
3 MONEY FROM THIS POT OR ANY OTHER POT THAT IS SET UP FOR HIM
4 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE ACCOUNTABILITY THAT THE SHERIFF IS
5 UTILIZING THE APPROPRIATE DESIGNATION? I MEAN, WE FUND
6 UNINCORPORATED PATROL, HE CHOOSES TO MOVE IT AROUND AND FUND
7 SOMETHING ELSE. I'M ASKING AN ACCOUNTABILITY QUESTION NOT AN
8 ISSUE. THE DOLLARS I UNDERSTAND ARE THERE AND I KNOW WHERE
9 THEY COME FROM, AS WELL. I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND -- AND
10 THERE'S A MECHANISM THAT WE'LL HAVE TO VOTE ON -- BUT WHEN IT
11 COMES HERE, IT'S GOING TO BE ONE OF THOSE ISSUES WHERE HE TOOK
12 MONEY FROM UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND HE SPENT IT ON BUYING MORE
13 PLANES. HOW DO I KNOW THAT? USUALLY HE NEVER TELLS US WHY HE
14 HAS A DEFICIT IN A CERTAIN AREA.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I THINK SIMILAR TO WHAT WE'VE DONE WITH THE
17 UNINCORPORATED PATROL ISSUE, YOU COULD AS PART OF THIS
18 RECOMMENDATION -- IN FACT GIVEN WHERE YOU'RE GOING, I THINK IT
19 WOULD BE A GREAT IDEA -- FOR THE SHERIFF TO SUBMIT A FORMAL
20 REQUEST DESIGNATING EXACTLY WHERE THAT MONEY IS GOING TO GO
21 AND THEN REQUEST MYSELF AND THEN YOUR AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO
22 TRACK THAT ALLOCATION TO ENSURE IT'S EXPENDED FOR THOSE
23 SERVICES HE REQUESTED.

24

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT WOULD BE TRUE OF EVERY SINGLE DESIGNATION
2 WITHIN HIS BUDGET. COULD WE DO THAT WITHIN EVERY DESIGNATION?
3 THAT THE SHERIFF HAS TO BRING ACCOUNTABILITY THAT HE'S
4 SPENDING THAT MONEY WHERE IT'S DESIGNATED?

5

6 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT WOULD BE A POLICY DECISION AND STRONG
7 RECOMMENDATION.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** CAN WE MAKE THIS A POLICY DECISION?

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I'LL SECOND THAT.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** I WOULD, TOO. BUT I DON'T THINK WE CAN MAKE SUCH
14 A POLICY DECISION. LOVE TO HAVE IT. WANT TO DO IT. CAN I? (OFF
15 MIC CONVERSATION).

16

17 **JOHN KRATTLI, COUNSEL:** SUPERVISOR, I WILL NEED TO REFER BACK
18 TO A PREVIOUS MEMO WE SENT YOUR BOARD. I'LL HAVE THAT SENT
19 DOWN AND TALK TO YOU A LITTLE LATER ABOUT IT AT THIS SESSION.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** SURE.

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THE QUESTION IS CLEAR

24 --

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT WE WILL HOLD ON VOTING ON THIS ITEM UNTIL WE
2 GET THAT ANSWER.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE WILL TABLE ITEM 1
5 PURSUANT TO THE REQUEST OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA. COUNTY COUNSEL
6 WILL RETRIEVE THE MEMO THAT WOULD PRESUMABLY ADDRESS THE ITEM,
7 AT WHICH TIME WE WILL DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE MOTION THAT
8 SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS CONTEMPLATING AND SUPERVISOR KNABE IS
9 CONTEMPLATING SECONDING IS IN ORDER OR NOT. ALL RIGHT. THAT
10 HAVING BEEN TABLED WITHOUT OBJECTION --

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I ASK FOR A POINT OF
13 PERSONAL PRIVILEGE?

14

15 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** YOU MAY INDEED.

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THANK YOU. AS I THINK ALL THE BUDGET
18 DEPUTIES KNOW, AND I THINK MANY IF NOT ALL THE BOARD NUMBERS
19 KNOW, MY BUDGET DEPUTY IS GOING TO BE LEAVING COUNTY SERVICE
20 AFTER TOMORROW. THIS IS HER LAST BUDGET. I THINK THIS IS HER
21 SEVENTH BUDGET IN A ROW, RIGHT? SEVENTH? AND ONE THING SHE
22 DIDN'T INCLUDE IN THE BUDGET IS FUNDS TO KEEP THIS MICROPHONE
23 FROM SAGGING. THAT'S WHY SHE'S LEAVING. JEANIE, COME ON UP
24 HERE. [APPLAUSE.] JEANIE CHO HAS SERVED WITH DISTINCTION SINCE
25 FEBRUARY OF 2006 AS THE BUDGET FINANCE DEPUTY FOR ME, HAVING



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PREVIOUSLY WORKED WITH A WIDE VARIETY OF FISCAL, HEALTH,
2 SOCIAL SERVICE AND CHILD PROTECTION POLICY CAPACITIES FOR THE
3 WHITE HOUSE, THE GORE FOR PRESIDENT CAMPAIGN, THE CALIFORNIA
4 GOVERNOR'S OFFICE AND LOCAL AND PRIVATE SECTOR AGENCIES, AS
5 WELL. SHE'S APPROACHED HER POLICY AND FISCAL ANALYSIS
6 RESPONSIBILITIES WITH A SHARP EYE AND A SHARPER PENCIL,
7 HELPING TO INSURE THAT PROGRAMS MEET THE HIGHEST STANDARDS OF
8 EFFICIENCY, TRANSPARENCY AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS FOR BOTH THE
9 CLIENTS WHO DEPEND ON THEM AND THE TAXPAYERS WHO FUND THEM.
10 SHE HAS PROVEN HERSELF AN INVALUABLE -- AND THESE ORCHIDS HERE
11 MAKE IT HARD TO READ -- INVALUABLE ANALYST AND ADVISER, ONE
12 WHO IS ASTUTE, THOUGHTFUL AND DILIGENT AS WELL AS A CONGENIAL,
13 SUPPORTIVE, AND HELPFUL COLLEAGUE. AND HER EFFORTS HAVE
14 CONTRIBUTED POSITIVELY BOTH TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE
15 COUNTY GOVERNMENT AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ITS PROGRAMS FOR
16 THE BENEFIT OF THE PUBLIC IT SERVES. JEANIE CHO HAS NOW
17 ELECTED TO EMBARK ON THE NEXT CHAPTER OF HER PROFESSIONAL
18 CAREER AND ASSUME THE ROLE OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER FOR
19 FIRST FIVE L.A., A POSITION FOR WHICH SHE IS EMINENTLY SUITED
20 AND IN SHE WILL EXCEL, ALTHOUGH I THINK SHE WILL BE NO DOUBT
21 BE LESS HAPPY THAN SHE IS HERE. I HOPE THAT'S NOT THE CASE,
22 BUT I WARNED YOU. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ALL FIVE SIGNED
23 THIS, YOU COULD NEVER GET FIVE VOTES ON ANYTHING, BUT FOR YOU
24 ON RETIREMENT, WE WERE ABLE TO GET FIVE VOTES. THE BOARD OF
25 SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CONGRATULATES JEANIE



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 CHO, COMMENDS HER FOR ALL OF HER CONTRIBUTIONS AND
2 ACHIEVEMENTS AND HAS EXTENDED SINCERE THANKS FOR DEDICATED AND
3 CONSCIENTIOUS SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES WITH BEST
4 WISHES FOR CONTINUED PROFESSIONAL SUCCESS AND PERSONAL
5 FULFILLMENT IN ALL FUTURE ENDEAVORS. THAT'S WHAT THE
6 RESOLUTION SAYS. I'LL JUST SAY ONE WORD, A COUPLE OF WORDS
7 FROM THE HEART. JEANIE CAME INTO OUR OFFICE SEVEN YEARS AGO
8 AND HIT THE GROUND RUNNING. SHE HAD AN INCREDIBLE CAPACITY TO
9 DEAL WITH THE CHALLENGES, THE FISCAL CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE
10 TO DEAL WITH IN ALL OF OUR OFFICES. WHAT SHE KNEW SHE REALLY
11 KNEW AND WHAT SHE DIDN'T KNOW, SHE LEARNED IN A HURRY. SHE'S
12 BEEN INCREDIBLY EFFECTIVE AND VERY EASY TO WORK WITH BOTH
13 INSIDE OUR OFFICE AND I THINK THE SAME GOES FOR THE COUNTY
14 FAMILY. SHE'S BEEN A REAL STAR FOR US. AND I WISH YOU AND I
15 SPEAK FOR ALL OF US WISH YOU WELL IN YOUR NEW
16 RESPONSIBILITIES. LORD KNOWS FIRST FIVE CAN USE A SHARP PENCIL
17 AND A BIT OF CONGENIALITY, TOO. AND I THINK YOU'RE GOING TO
18 BRING BOTH TO THAT AGENCY. SO, JEANIE, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY
19 OF LOS ANGELES, I WANT TO PRESENT YOU WITH THIS FIVE-SIGNATURE
20 SCROLL AND WISH YOU THE BEST. [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU, MR.
21 CHAIRMAN.

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR
24 YAROSLAVSKY AND CONGRATULATIONS, JEANIE. BEST WISHES AS YOU
25 EMBARK ON ANOTHER PHASE OF YOUR CAREER. LET'S, THEN, MOVE TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ITEM NO. 2, C.E.O.'S SUMMARY OF ISSUES. WE HAVE PERSONS WHO
2 WISH TO BE HEARD. AND I WILL TAKE THEM UP AT THIS POINT IN
3 TIME. THEY WOULD BE DIANA ZUNIGA AND ERIC PREVEN.

4

5 **DIANA ZUNIGA:** HELLO.

6

7 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** PLEASE PROCEED, YES.

8

9 **DIANA ZUNIGA:** HI, GOOD MORNING EVERYONE, MY NAME IS DIANA
10 ZUNIGA, AND I'M REPRESENTING CALIFORNIANS UNITED FOR A
11 RESPONSIBLE BUDGET. WE ACTUALLY SUBMITTED A LETTER WITH A FEW
12 UNMET NEEDS AT THE DEADLINE AND WE'RE KIND OF WONDERING HOW
13 THOSE NEEDS WERE GOING TO BE MET. WE DID GET SOME INFORMATION
14 FROM SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S OFFICE AND SUPERVISOR
15 ANTONOVICH'S OFFICE IN REGARDS TO THE BUDGET BREAKDOWN AND
16 SPECIFICALLY THE A.B.109 FUNDING BREAKDOWN FROM THE LAST TWO
17 YEARS, BUT WEREN'T REALLY ABLE TO RECEIVE ANY, LIKE, ITEMIZED
18 LIST AS TO HOW THAT MONEY WAS SPENT WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S
19 DEPARTMENT, WITHIN THE PROBATIONS DEPARTMENT. WE KNOW THAT A
20 LOT OF THE HEALTH- RIGHT 360 AND MANY OF THE ORGANIZATIONS
21 THAT GET A.B.109 FUNDING HAVE TO ITEMIZE THEIR ACTUAL, THE
22 ACTUAL FUNDING THAT THEY RECEIVE, AND WE WERE JUST WONDERING
23 IF MAYBE IN THE FUTURE, WE CAN KIND OF WORK ON SOME
24 TRANSPARENCY IN REGARDS TO HOW A.B.109 FUNDING IS BEING
25 ALLOTTED WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND WITHIN THE



1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT. WE ARE MORE THAN WILLING TO WORK WITH
2 ALL OF THE SUPERVISORS' OFFICES AND FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN HAVE
3 SOME MORE COMMUNITY INPUT WITHIN THESE BUDGET PROCESSES. IT
4 JUST SEEMED THAT IT WAS A LITTLE BIT -- THERE WAS A LACK OF
5 TRANSPARENCY AND THERE WASN'T A LOT OF INPUT FROM THE
6 SUPERVISORS' OFFICES AS TO HOW WE CAN -- HOW THE COMMUNITY CAN
7 HELP FIX THAT WHOLE PROCESS. SO ON BEHALF OF CALIFORNIANS
8 UNITED FOR A RESPONSIBLE BUDGET, WE ARE INVITING YOU ALL TO
9 WORK WITH US ON TRYING TO FIGURE OUT SOME PROCESS FOR THE NEXT
10 YEAR TO REALLY FIGURE OUT HOW THE COMMUNITY CAN GIVE MORE OF
11 AN INPUT IN THIS BUDGET PROCESS AND REALLY HAVE MORE
12 INFORMATION AS TO WHERE A.B.109 FUNDING IS GOING. AGAIN, WE
13 CONTINUE TO SAY WE NEED THIS MONEY TO GO ALTERNATIVES TO
14 INCARCERATION, TO MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, TO SUBSTANCE ABUSE
15 SERVICES, TO SERVICES THAT BRING WOMEN BACK TO THEIR CHILDREN.
16 AND WE JUST WANT TO HELP WITH THAT PROCESS AND WE HAVE A FEW
17 IDEAS, SO WE'LL BE CONTACTING ALL OF YOUR BUDGET DEPUTIES TO
18 SEE IF WE COULD HAVE A MEETING AND TALK ABOUT THOSE IDEAS AND
19 KIND OF FIGURE SOMETHING OUT FOR THE COMING YEAR SO THAT THERE
20 IS MORE TRANSPARENCY AND DIALOGUE WITH WHAT'S GOING ON WITH
21 THIS BUDGET. THANK YOU.

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, MS. ZUNIGA. MR.
24 PREVEN, PLEASE.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **ERIC PREVEN:** YES. IT'S ERIC PREVEN, THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM
2 DISTRICT 3. AND I MUST SAY IT HAS BEEN VERY CHALLENGING TO GET
3 A SENSE OF THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET. WE'VE NOTED VARIOUS ITEMS
4 THAT SORT OF WHIZ BY, INCLUDING A WEEK OR SO AGO HIS DECISION
5 TO CLOSE A PORTION OF THE PITCHESS DETENTION CENTER, THEREBY
6 GIVING BACK CERTAIN BEDS. WHILE HERE WE ARE TWO OF THE FIVE
7 SUPERVISORS REFERENCE A MASTER JAIL PLAN, AND THE DESIRE TO
8 INCREASE BED CAPACITY, WHICH IS IN DIRECT CONTRAVENTION TO
9 WHAT MS. ZUNIGA AND THE PEOPLE FROM CURB HAVE DILIGENTLY
10 REFERENCED DOWN HERE DURING THE LAST MONTHS. WE VERY MUCH
11 APPRECIATE THE COMPLEXITY OF THESE ISSUES, BUT I THINK
12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S LEANING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION BY SHINING
13 MAXIMUM LIGHT ON THE BUDGET OF THE SHERIFF. AND I THINK THAT,
14 YOU KNOW, HE IS TRICKY. AND I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY MR. FUJIOKA
15 WOULD OFFER A \$1 MILLION ADDITIONAL RESERVE FUND. TO ME,
16 ADDING RESERVE FUNDS HERE AND THERE DOES NOT CREATE
17 TRANSPARENCY, IT CREATES COMPLEXITY. AND I DON'T KNOW WHY WE
18 WOULD BE GOING IN THAT DIRECTION. SUPERVISOR KNABE, UNDER HIS
19 LIST OF UNMET NEEDS, HAS REQUESTED SOME ADDITIONAL REGIONAL
20 PLANNING ENERGY, AS YOU CAN IMAGINE. AND HE WANTS TO EXPAND
21 FIELD OFFICE COUNSELING AND MORE CODE ENFORCEMENT FUNDING. I
22 CERTAINLY HOPE THAT THIS IS NOT IN CONNECTION WITH THE RATHER
23 UNSAVORY CHINESE MATERNITY HOTEL TASKFORCE, THAT REGIONAL
24 PLANNING HAS BEEN HEADING. I REALLY GOT UPSET WHEN I LEARNED
25 THAT SENIOR PEOPLE FROM D.C.F.S. WERE ON THAT GROUP. I MEAN,

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 YOU KNOW, WE HAVE SOME SUBSTANTIAL PROBLEMS IN OUR DEPARTMENT
2 OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. AND THAT LEADS TO THE UNMET
3 NEEDS OF MR. BROWNING. MR. BROWNING, AND YOU NEED TO TAKE A
4 DEEP BREATH ON THIS ONE, MR. BROWNING APPARENTLY HAS AN UNMET
5 NEED OF OVER 1600 POSITIONS IN HIS GROUP. NOW, WE ALL KNOW
6 THAT THIS IS PART OF THE GAME THAT'S PLAYED WITH MR. FUJIOKA
7 ANNUALLY, BUT WE ALSO KNOW THAT WE HAVE FALLEN, AND BY WE I
8 MEAN THE PUBLIC AND OUR ELECTED LEADERS HAVE FALLEN FAR SHORT
9 IN THIS CATEGORY OF CHILD PROTECTION. WE DON'T UNDERSTAND
10 THAT, AND SEVEN FOLKS WHO HAVE BEEN ADDED TO AUDIT, THAT IS
11 NOT THE KIND OF SHIFT THAT WE NEED, I CAN BE SURE OF THAT. I
12 ALSO JUST WANT TO MAKE A NOTE THAT SOME FOLKS SENT IN THEIR
13 UNMET NEED REQUESTS VIA MAIL, EMAIL. AND I DIDN'T THIS TIME.
14 BUT I DID COME DOWN TO THAT MEETING ON MAY 15. AND THE OTHER
15 TWO SPEAKERS, ACTUALLY THERE WAS ONE OTHER SPEAKER, RUBEN SOTO
16 AND I ADDRESSED THE BOARD. HE GOT A NICE LITTLE SUMMARY ON HIS
17 DOCUMENT. I GOT A NOTHING, MORE OR LESS ERIC PREVEN WAS THERE.
18 I DO THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE, AND I HAVE SUBMITTED TO THE CHIEF
19 EXECUTIVE OFFICE JUST A SHORT DESCRIPTION, BECAUSE IT
20 UNDERSCORES SOME OF THE THEMES THAT I'VE BEEN HITTING, WHICH
21 ARE ESSENTIALLY LET'S WATCH THIS BOND TRICKERY. BECAUSE WE ARE
22 NOW VERY WORRIED ABOUT THE INCREASING BOND SPENDING. EVEN
23 THOUGH WE ARE THE LARGEST MUNICIPALITY FOR MILES AROUND AND
24 CAN'T TOUCH THE PERCENTAGES THAT WOULD APPLY TO OTHER SMALLER
25 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE GONE OUT OF BUSINESS, WE NEED TO BE



1 VERY DILIGENT ON THIS. AND I CERTAINLY HOPE THAT THE BOARD IS
2 TAKING THAT VERY SERIOUSLY.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** MR. FUJIOKA, PLEASE? ITEM NO. 2.

5

6 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THIS SPEAKS TO ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED DURING
7 OUR BUDGET HEARING SEVERAL WEEKS AGO. IT'S ESSENTIALLY A
8 RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT. UNLESS THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS, I ASK
9 THAT IT'S APPROVED AS A RECEIVE AND FILE.

10

11 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. ANY FURTHER, COMMENT,
12 QUESTIONS, OR DISCUSSION PERTAINING TO ITEM NO. 2? MEMBERS OF
13 THE BOARD, ANY QUESTIONS, COMMENTS ON ITEM NO. 2? IT IS BEFORE
14 US. WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE WILL RECEIVE AND FILE THAT ITEM.
15 SHALL WE THEN PROCEED TO ITEM NO. 3?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO. 3 IS THE RECOMMENDED CHANGES FOR OUR
18 '13/'14 BUDGET. BEFORE I GET INTO IT, THERE'S A COUPLE THINGS.
19 I WAS ACTUALLY WAITING TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS UNTIL WE STARTED
20 WITH NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET AND NOT WITH ITEM NO. 1. I THINK WHAT
21 NEEDS TO BE RECOGNIZED IS WHERE L.A. COUNTY'S AT AS IT
22 COMPARES TO NOT ONLY OTHER ENTITIES WITHIN OUR COUNTY BUT ALSO
23 ON A STATEWIDE IF NOT NATIONWIDE BASIS. L.A. COUNTY HAS
24 ACHIEVED, I THINK, AN AMAZING FEAT TO BE WHERE WE'RE AT RIGHT
25 NOW, AND WHICH SHOULD HAPPEN AND WHAT DOESN'T HAPPEN ENOUGH IS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT THIS BOARD, BECAUSE OF THE STRENGTH OF OUR FISCAL
2 POLICIES, BECAUSE OF THE FACT YOU HOLD EACH ONE OF US
3 ACCOUNTABLE TO MANAGE OUR FINANCES, NOW WE'RE IN A POSITION
4 RIGHT NOW WHERE WE HAVE A ZERO DEFICIT. WE'RE ABLE TO LIVE
5 WITH ONGOING FUNDS. WE ACTUALLY HAVE SOME MONEY THAT WILL ROLL
6 OVER NEXT YEAR, AND THAT WAS AN ATTEMPT WE TRIED TO DO FOR
7 OTHER CRITICAL NEEDS. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE RECOGNIZE THAT.
8 IT'S BECAUSE OF THE FISCAL POLICIES OF THE BOARD THAT WE'RE
9 HERE. BUT EQUALLY IMPORTANT AND BEHIND ME ARE YOUR COUNTY
10 DEPARTMENT HEADS. YOUR COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEADS, THEIR
11 EXECUTIVE TEAMS, THEIR MANAGEMENT TEAMS, THEIR RANK AND FILE,
12 WHAT THEY'VE DONE OVER THESE PAST FIVE YEARS I THINK HAVE BEEN
13 EXTRAORDINARY. BEING ABLE TO BE IN THIS POSITION TODAY WITHOUT
14 ANY SIGNIFICANT REDUCTIONS IN SERVICES OVER THESE LAST FOUR
15 YEARS, WITHOUT ANY FURLOUGHS, WITHOUT ANY LAYOFFS IS
16 EXTRAORDINARY. AND THE SHARED SACRIFICE THAT WE ACHIEVED NOT
17 ONLY FROM THE JUST ON THE COUNTY SIDE BUT OUR LABOR
18 ORGANIZATIONS IS ALSO A GREAT STORY. WE WERE ABLE TO GO FOUR
19 YEARS WITHOUT PAY -- WITHOUT THE ACRIMONY YOU WOULD SEE IN
20 OTHER JURISDICTIONS. OUR LABOR PARTNERS HAVE STEPPED UP DURING
21 THESE FOUR YEARS AND HAVE STOOD BY US TO THE POINT WHERE WE
22 WERE ABLE TO GET AND TO BE AT THIS POINT RIGHT NOW. AND YOU
23 LOOK AT OTHER PEOPLE. THEY'RE NOT THERE YET. WE ARE HERE. WE
24 ARE AT A BALANCE POINT. IT WAS RECOGNIZED BY WALL STREET LAST
25 YEAR WHEN WE GOT OUR BOND RATING INCREASE. IT WAS AGAIN

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 RECOGNIZED WHEN WE WENT BACK SEVERAL WEEKS AGO AND AGAIN
2 ACHIEVED THE BEST INTEREST RATES AVAILABLE FOR AN ENTITY OUR
3 SIZE. WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT WHAT WE'RE GOING TO PUT IN
4 NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, BUT I FEEL STRONGLY THAT WE NOW HAVE THE
5 FOUNDATION AND A SOLID FOUNDATION TO ALLOW THIS COUNTY TO MOVE
6 FORWARD. AND MOVING FORWARD INCLUDES, AGAIN, TALKING TO OUR
7 LABOR PARTNERS ABOUT THEIR SACRIFICE AND HOW MUCH WE
8 APPRECIATE IT. I ALSO NEED TO THANK YOUR BOARD DEPUTIES,
9 PARTICULARLY YOUR BUDGET DEPUTIES. THE RELATIONSHIP MY OFFICE
10 HAS WITH THEM, THE FACT AND JEANIE, WE'RE GOING TO MISS YOU,
11 JEANIE, I'LL SHOUT OUT A LITTLE BIT, THE RELATIONSHIP NOT ONLY
12 WITH ALL OF FIVE, BUT PARTICULARLY JEANIE, GIVEN THAT THIS IS
13 YOUR LAST MEETING, AND WE'LL SEND YOU A LITTLE EMAIL ONCE IN A
14 WHILE AND SAY WE MISS YOU DURING THE BUDGET MEETING. BUT THAT
15 RELATIONSHIP HAS ALLOWED US TO WORK IN A VERY COLLABORATIVE
16 BASIS NOT ONLY WITH YOUR BOARD DEPS, NOT ONLY WITH THE C.E.O.,
17 BUT THE WOMEN AND MEN BEHIND ME, YOUR DEPARTMENT HEADS. AND
18 THAT KIND OF ENVIRONMENT IS WHAT MAKES THE COUNTY STRONG. IT
19 STARTS WITH YOUR LEADERSHIP, BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY IT'S THE
20 WORK OF ALL YOUR STAFF. THE LAST GROUP I NEED TO THANK IS ALL
21 OF OUR C.E.O. STAFF. WHAT YOU SEE TODAY IS A BUDGET THAT
22 REPRESENTS MONTHS AND MONTHS AND MONTHS OF WORK FROM OUR
23 STAFF, OUR COUNTY LINE STAFF, THEIR FISCAL OFFICERS. SO LET ME
24 GET INTO THE CHANGES FOR NEXT FISCAL YEAR. AND ONE THING I DO
25 WANT TO HIGHLIGHT, I'LL GET INTO IT MORE, IS A POLICY --

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
3 WE ARE NOW PROCEEDING WITH ITEM NO. 3 WITH THE ADJUSTMENTS TO
4 '13/'14 BUDGET. PLEASE GIVE THE C.E.O. YOUR UNDIVIDED
5 ATTENTION.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SO WHAT WE HAVE, I'LL MAKE A NUMBER OF
8 RECOMMENDATIONS, ESPECIALLY THE TWO THAT ARE VERY SIGNIFICANT.
9 WE'RE ASKING TO RESCIND THE HARD HIRING FREEZE FOR ALL
10 DEPARTMENTS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PROBATION AND SHERIFF'S
11 DEPARTMENT. AND ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS, IF THERE'S ANY ACTION
12 RELATED TO HIRING PROCESS, PARTICULARLY HIRING FREEZE, WE WILL
13 COME BACK TO YOUR BOARD FOR VERY SPECIFIC APPROVAL. WE'RE ALSO
14 ASKING THAT YOU DISCONTINUE THE APPROPRIATION FREEZE ON
15 NONESSENTIAL SERVICES AND SUPPLIES FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS. NOW
16 THAT'S ALL DEPARTMENTS, PLEASE. AND THEN I'LL SPEAK TO THE
17 CHANGE IN THE BOARD'S POLICY ON BUDGET IN A LITTLE BIT. WE
18 ALSO ASK THAT YOU UNFREEZE A SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITION IN OUR
19 OFFICE, AND THAT'S TO ADDRESS AND TO FACILITATE THE
20 INTEGRATION OF SERVICES FOR OUR PROGRAMS THAT SUPPORT CHILDREN
21 AND CHILDREN WHILE BEING, BUT NOT JUST WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT
22 OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES BUT ALSO YOUR PROBATION
23 DEPARTMENT AND HEALTH SERVICES. AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF
24 REQUESTS FOR A NUMBER OF CAPITAL CHANGES, FUNDING FOR THE
25 CAPITAL PROGRAM. THE POLICY I MENTIONED IS SOMETHING THAT I



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THINK IS -- WOULD STRENGTHEN OUR ALREADY VERY STRONG FISCAL
2 POLICIES. OUR CURRENT POLICY CALLS FOR A RAINY DAY FUND TO
3 PROTECT ESSENTIAL COUNTY PROGRAMS AGAINST UNFORESEEN
4 EMERGENCIES IN AN ECONOMIC DOWNTURN. THE GOAL IS TO ACHIEVE A
5 10 PERCENT FUNDING LEVEL OF OUR ONGOING, LOCALLY GENERATED
6 REVENUES. A POLICY ALSO REQUIRES US TO PHASE IN FUNDING OF
7 UNFUNDED LIABILITIES, THE LARGEST BEING THE UNFUNDED LIABILITY
8 FOR OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS, THAT'S ESSENTIALLY RETIREE
9 HEALTHCARE. NOW, THIS PAST YEAR, WE MADE A HUGE STEP IN
10 LOOKING AT THAT UNFUNDED LIABILITY WHEN WE TRANSFERRED \$448
11 MILLION INTO A TRUST FUND, A TRUST FUND THAT'S ADMINISTERED BY
12 OUR RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION. WHAT I'M RECOMMENDING NOW, AND
13 THIS IS WITH THE INTENT TO ADDRESS THIS UNFUNDED LIABILITY BUT
14 ALSO INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY IN OUR RAINY DAY ECONOMIC
15 RESERVE IS THAT YOU ADOPT A POLICY THAT STATES THAT AT A
16 MINIMUM, 10 PERCENT OF OUR EXCESS FUND BALANCE AT THE YEAR END
17 BOOK CLOSING, THAT'S THAT SUPPLEMENTAL, WOULD BE SET ASIDE FOR
18 EITHER THE RAINY DAY FUND OR THE OPEP TRUST FUND. AND ONCE WE
19 HIT THE 10 PERCENT STANDARD OR POLICY STANDARD IN OUR RAINY
20 DAY FUND, THE ENTIRE AMOUNT FOR NOW AND FOREVER WOULD BE
21 PLACED IN THE OPEP TRUST FUND. LET ME SEE WHAT ARE THE LAST
22 THINGS? THE OTHER THING THAT I THINK IS WORTH NOTING IS WHAT
23 WE'RE TRYING TO DO IN OUR DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CARE AND
24 SERVICES. WE RECOGNIZE THAT OVER THESE PAST FOUR YEARS -- AND
25 THIS IS NOT UNIQUE. THIS IS EVERY SINGLE DEPARTMENT HAS TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SUSTAIN A NUMBER OF BUDGET CURTAILMENTS AND ALSO WE'RE UNABLE
2 TO FILL OR ADD ADDITIONAL POSITIONS OR RESOURCES TO THEIR
3 DEPARTMENTS. BUT IN THE CASE OF ANIMAL SERVICES, WE HAVE SOME
4 VERY SIGNIFICANT SHORTFALLS THAT HAVE TRANSLATED INTO
5 SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL ISSUES. SO IN YOUR RECOMMENDED BUDGET,
6 WE'RE ASKING THAT YOU APPROVE FIVE ADDITIONAL COUNTYWIDE FIELD
7 OFFICERS. WE'RE ASKING THAT YOU APPROVE PERSONNEL PROTECTIVE
8 EQUIPMENT AND ALSO SOME ADDITIONAL TRUCKS BECAUSE THE AGE OF
9 THOSE VEHICLES. WHAT WE'RE PUTTING IN P.F.U. IS UNTIL WE GET
10 SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT ANOTHER NINE
11 POSITIONS FOR THE CRITICAL CASE PROCESSING UNIT, THREE
12 POSITIONS FOR THE MAJOR CASE UNIT AND THEN SOME MONEY TO SET
13 ASIDE ON A CAPITAL PROGRAM FOR THEIR COMMUNICATIONS CENTER.
14 THE OTHER ISSUES, I THINK THE BIG ONE IS WE TOUCHED ON IT A
15 LITTLE BIT IS WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.
16 WE'RE ASKING THAT YOU APPROVE 22 MILLION TO RESTORE THE
17 OVERTIME FUNDING THAT WAS CURTAILED IN THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET IN
18 THE PAST FEW YEARS BUT ALSO APPROVE 18.6 MILLION IN FUNDING TO
19 START OVER -- THIS WOULD TAKE TWO YEARS -- TO ELIMINATE THE
20 CADRE OF ADMINISTRATIVE RESERVE PERSONNEL PROGRAM, COMMONLY
21 REFERRED TO AS THE CARP PROGRAM. THE TOTAL AMOUNT TO ELIMINATE
22 THAT PROGRAM WOULD BE 36.6 MILLION, BUT BECAUSE OF THE NUMBER
23 OF DEMANDS WE HAVE ON OUR BUDGET AND THE FACT THAT WE'RE JUST
24 AT THE POINT OF STABILIZING, WE'RE ASKING THAT YOU APPROPRIATE
25 18.6 THIS YEAR AND THAT WE ADDRESS IT, THE BALANCE OF THAT



1 AMOUNT IN THE FOLLOWING FISCAL YEAR. WE HAVE ASKED YOU TO MOVE
2 MONEY, TO APPROVE MONEY FOR A SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM,
3 AGAIN, SOMETHING THAT IS -- GIVEN WHERE WE'RE AT IN THE
4 ECONOMY, TO PROVIDE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR YOUNG KIDS THROUGHOUT
5 OUR COUNTY TO HAVE A JOB THIS SUMMER IS ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL.
6 THE LAST THING IS: AS WE SET UP THE FUNDS, AS WE PROVIDE FUNDS
7 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF L.A. COUNTY, WE'VE WORKED WITH
8 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER IN YOUR RESPECTIVE OFFICES TO PUT
9 TOGETHER -- IDENTIFIED FOUR BUDGET UNITS THAT WOULD ALLOW US
10 TO CLOSELY TRACK HOW THOSE FUNDS ARE BEING USED. WE'LL BE
11 WORKING WITH EACH ONE OF YOUR OFFICES TO IDENTIFY THE SPECIFIC
12 NUMBER OF OFFICERS AND CARS THAT WILL BE ALLOCATED TO YOUR
13 UNINCORPORATED AREAS, AND WITH THAT A TRACKING MECHANISM TO
14 INSURE THAT THE MONEY THAT'S BEEN APPROPRIATED TO THE
15 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS PROPERLY USED FOR THOSE PARTICULAR --
16 FOR THAT PARTICULAR PROGRAM. AND ESSENTIALLY THAT'S IT. I ASK
17 IF YOU COULD APPROVE OUR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ASK IF YOU HAVE
18 ANY QUESTIONS RIGHT NOW. BUT THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S BEEN A
19 DIFFICULT FOUR YEARS, BUT THE COUNTY'S BEEN STRONG.

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, MR. FUJIOKA. MEMBERS
22 OF THE BOARD, YOU'VE HEARD THE C.E.O.'S ADJUSTMENT
23 RECOMMENDATIONS. WHAT IS YOUR PLEASURE? I RECOGNIZE SUPERVISOR
24 ANTONOVICH.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD LIKE TO --

2

3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: AND FOLLOWED BY SUPERVISOR
4 KNABE.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: -- SEPARATE ITEM 3, DIVIDE THE QUESTION.
7 BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE WE NEED TO INSURE THAT OUR PROBATION
8 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ARE ABLE TO MEET NEEDS THAT THIS BOARD
9 HAS STRONGLY SUPPORTED IN THE PAST AND WILL IN THE FUTURE. AND
10 THEN ON ITEM NO. 6, I HAVE AN AMENDMENT THAT I WOULD LIKE TO
11 INTRODUCE BECAUSE CURRENTLY THE DEPARTMENT, THE DEPUTY C.E.O.
12 FOR CHILDREN FAMILY WELL-BEING CURRENTLY HAS 57 BUDGETED
13 POSITIONS, INCLUDING A PRINCIPAL ANALYST. SENIOR MANAGER AND A
14 MANAGER, THE SERVICE INTEGRATION BRANCH OF THE SECTION HAS 50
15 POSITIONS ALREADY AT A COST OF \$224.7 MILLION. THAT PRIMARY
16 OBJECTIVE OF THE SERVICE INTEGRATION BRANCH IS TO MAXIMIZE THE
17 EXISTING RESOURCES AND PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS THROUGH THE
18 PROGRAM EVALUATION AND DATA MANAGEMENT. BUT THE FUNCTION OF
19 THE NEW 265,000 SENIOR MANAGER IS ALREADY INCLUDED WITHIN
20 S.I.B.'S BUDGET. SO IT'S ALREADY INCLUDED IN THAT BUDGET. EVEN
21 THOUGH THE NEW SENIOR MANAGER POSITION WOULD NOT INCREASE THE
22 APPROPRIATION FOR THE C.E.O.'S BUDGET, IT'S REDUNDANT OF
23 EXISTING SERVICE INTEGRATION BRANCH FUNCTIONS. AND AGAIN THEY
24 ALREADY HAVE 50 POSITIONS IN THAT POSITION FOR THE DEPUTY
25 C.E.O. SO THE MOTION WOULD BE THAT, I'LL READ THE MOTION. THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MOTION WOULD BE TO DEVELOP THE ANNUAL SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE
2 BENEFITS -- SO THEN I WOULD JUST MOVE THAT THIS ITEM WOULD BE
3 MOVED TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET TO HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING WHY
4 THE EXISTING 57 POSITIONS IN THE D.C.O.'S CLUSTER COULD NOT
5 ALREADY BE USED TO ACHIEVE THIS GOAL.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR KNABE, PLEASE.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** I THINK I NEED A CLARIFICATION OF THAT.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** WHAT THAT MIGHT MEAN FROM THE C.E.O. OR SOMEONE.

16 THE OTHER PIECE BEING: AS IT RELATES TO IF HARD HIRING FREEZE

17 FOR PROBATION AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THAT THE PIECE

18 THAT RELATES TO PROP 109, WHICH IS MY CONCERN, IS EXEMPT FROM

19 THE HARD HIRING FREEZE; IS THAT CORRECT?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** RIGHT NOW THE HARD HIRING --

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** IS IT EXEMPT?

24

25 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT IS NOT EXEMPT.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** 109 IS NOT EXEMPT?

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I'M SORRY. 109 IS EXEMPT.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** I'M OUT AT MEMORIAL SERVICES AND I SEE A CLASS
7 GOING ON OUT THERE. MR. POWERS GIVES US UPDATES ON HIS. SO IT
8 IS EXEMPT? CORRECT?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES, SIR.

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** AND THEN I JUST NEED A CLARIFICATION ON MIKE'S
13 MOTION. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THAT REALLY MEANS. CAN WE SEE THE
14 MOTION?

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THE MOTION BASICALLY IS TO REFER TO THE
17 SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET.

18

19 **SUP. KNABE:** YOU MEAN LIKE IN SEPTEMBER?

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** YES.

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE'LL RESPECT THE WISH OF SUPERVISOR
24 ANTONOVICH.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ONE MOMENT, MR. FUJIOKA. I'M NOT
2 SURE THAT CLARITY HAS BEEN WROUGHT. LET'S GO THROUGH THE
3 EXECUTIVE OFFICES FOR A MOMENT, AND THEN MR. FUJIOKA IF YOU
4 WOULD WEIGH IN THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED.

5

6 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS
7 REQUESTING TWO THINGS ON THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 3, HE'S ASKING
8 THAT THE PROBATION AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT NOT BE -- TO
9 ALLOW THEM TO BE EXEMPT FROM THE HARD HIRING FREEZE. AND THEN
10 ON ITEM NO. 6, HE'S ASKING FOR THIS PORTION OF IT TO BE MOVED
11 TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** TWO SEPARATE ITEMS. MR. FUJIOKA,
14 YOU SOUGHT TO ADDRESS PART A OR PART B?

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** FOR THE REPORT BACK, WHY THE 57 POSITIONS NOW
17 COULD NOT BE USED TO ACHIEVE THAT GOAL?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE CAN PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION, SIR.

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** I THINK THAT'S PART B, RIGHT?

22

23 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** THAT WAS ITEM NO. 6, PART B,
24 CORRECT.

25



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SO PART A, WHICH IS --

2

3 SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER: PART A IS TO ENSURE THAT BOTH THE
4 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND PROBATION ARE NOT UNDER THE HARD
5 HIRING FREEZE.

6

7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: SO DO WE HAVE CONSENSUS ON PART
8 B FOR THE PURPOSES OF OUR CONVERSATION RIGHT NOW? ANY
9 OBJECTION TO PART B OF SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S?

10

11 SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER: CORRECT.

12

13 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: YES.

14

15 SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER: CORRECT.

16

17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN: YES. ALL RIGHT. SO WE'RE FINE IN
18 THAT REGARD. RIGHT? PROCEED TO PART A, WHICH IS THE LARGER
19 ISSUE. MR. FUJIOKA, PLEASE?

20

21 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES. I THINK THERE WAS A QUESTION FROM
22 SUPERVISOR KNABE REGARDING WHETHER OR NOT ALL 109 POSITIONS,
23 WHETHER IT'S IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, PROBATION, MENTAL
24 HEALTH, I THINK EVEN PUBLIC HEALTH ARE EXEMPT FROM THE HIRING
25 FREEZE, AND THAT ANSWER IS YES. I BELIEVE SUPERVISOR



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 ANTONOVICH WOULD LIKE TO EXEMPT THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT
2 TOTALLY FROM THE HIRING FREEZE.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I
5 THINK YOU HAD A QUESTION, ALSO?

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** (OFF MIC) -- YOUR RECOMMENDATION THAT WE
8 ELIMINATE THE HIRING FREEZE FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS EXCEPT THE
9 TWO?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** CAN I ASK THE RATIONALE?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL ACTUALLY, OUR FIRST RECOMMENDATION WAS TO
16 EXEMPT ALL DEPARTMENTS FROM THE HIRING FREEZE WITH THE
17 EXCEPTION OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. WE HAVE VERY ACTIVE
18 DISCUSSION WITH STAFF FROM ALL OFFICES. AT THAT POINT THE
19 RECOMMENDATION WAS TO ADD THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND IF
22 THEY'RE FUNDED FOR POSITIONS, THEY WOULD BE FROZEN AND NOT BE
23 ALLOWED TO HIRE IN THOSE POSITIONS IF WE'RE FUNDING THESE
24 POSITIONS?

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** OUR INITIAL RECOMMENDATION WAS TO EXEMPT --
2 ACTUALLY TO STOP THE HIRING FREEZE PERIOD.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOUR RECOMMENDATION IS. I'M
5 TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE RATIONALE. SO YOU'RE SAYING THE
6 RATIONALE IS BECAUSE BOARD OFFICES WANTED IT TO BE HELD UP?

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** JUST FOR SHERIFF AND PROBATION.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** THEY DO?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND AS I UNDERSTAND, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS
15 ASKING THAT THEY NOT BE HELD UP?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** TRUE.

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** I SECOND HIS MOTION.

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE
22 ITEM AT THIS POINT?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I WOULD SUPPORT LIFTING THAT HIRING FREEZE FOR
25 PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** LOOKING AT WHAT?

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** I WOULD SUPPORT REMOVING THE
5 HIRING FREEZE FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS INCLUDING PROBATION.

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** AGAIN, YOU'RE ONLY GOING TO HIRE TO YOUR FUNDED
8 LEVEL, RIGHT?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I AGREE.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO EVEN WITH THE SHERIFF, WHICH IS TRICKY ALL THE
13 TIME, HE'S NOT GOING TO HIRE BEYOND HIS FUNDED LEVEL, CORRECT?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT'S HOPED.

16

17 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** WE'RE HAVING SOME DIFFICULTY
18 FOLLOWING AT THIS POINT, MR. FUJIOKA, PURSUANT TO WHAT IS
19 EMBEDDED IN ITEM NO. 3 GENERICALLY PERTAINING TO THIS
20 PARTICULAR PIECE. I SEE THE RATIONALE FOR THE RECOMMENDATION,
21 I'M UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT OTHER BOARD MEMBERS DO, AS WELL.
22 AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE SHIFTING OF POSITION WOULD BE AS IT
23 RELATES TO THE C.E.O. AT THIS POINT. SO I THINK WE NEED TO
24 LAND WITH CLARITY HERE. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, DO YOU WISH TO
25 BE HEARD?

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YES. FIRST, ON THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, ALL
3 SWORN PERSONNEL, AM I CORRECT THAT ALL SWORN PERSONNEL IN THE
4 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ARE EXEMPT?

5

6 **SPEAKER:** YES, SIR, THAT IS CORRECT. ALL SWORN ARE EXEMPT FROM
7 ALL DEPARTMENTS.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** FROM ALL DEPARTMENTS. THE ONLY PEOPLE IN THE
10 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THAT ARE SUBJECT TO THE HIRING FREEZE IF
11 THIS RECOMMENDATION WERE TO GO FORWARD, IF THE C.E.O.'S
12 RECOMMENDATION WERE TO GO FORWARD WOULD BE CIVILIAN POSITIONS?

13

14 **SPEAKER:** THAT'S CORRECT. GENERALLY CORRECT, YES.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU KNOW, I SUPPORT MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION
17 AS IT RELATES TO THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. BUT I THINK ON THE
18 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, TO BE CONSISTENT WITH WHAT YOU'VE BEEN
19 DRIVING AT FOR QUITE A WHILE, I DON'T THINK ANY DAMAGE IS DONE
20 TO PUBLIC SAFETY TO MAKE THEM -- CONTINUE TO MAKE THEM SUBJECT
21 TO THE HIRING FREEZE BECAUSE THE SWORN PERSONNEL ARE NOT
22 SUBJECT TO IT IN ANY CASE, AND A.B.109 ISN'T EITHER. I DON'T
23 WANT TO CALL IT SUPERFLUOUS BECAUSE NONE OF THE POSITIONS ARE
24 SUPERFLUOUS BUT THE MORE MARGINAL POSITIONS IN THAT
25 DEPARTMENTS, AND WE DON'T HAVE CONTROL OF THE BUDGET ONCE --

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 AS WE DO WITH ALL THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT
2 WE KEEP THE HIRING FREEZE ON WITH THE SHERIFF BUT GO AHEAD
3 WITH THE PROBATION THE WAY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAS
4 RECOMMENDED IT.

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

7

8 **SUP. MOLINA:** I WANT TO UNDERSTAND A LITTLE BIT ABOUT -- I
9 WOULD ASSUME, AGAIN WITH THE SHERIFF IT'S HARD TO TELL. BUT IT
10 SEEMS AS THOUGH THERE SHOULD BE PERMISSION SOMEWHERE
11 ADMINISTRATIVELY THAT YOU CAN'T HIRE A POSITION UNLESS THERE'S
12 FUNDING FOR IT. SO I UNDERSTOOD WHEN THERE WAS A HARD HIRING
13 FREEZE YOU WERE NOT REPLACING PEOPLE WHO WERE RETIRING, YOU
14 KNOW, TERMINATING OR CHOSE TO MOVE ON TO ANOTHER JOB. AND SO
15 AT THAT TIME WE WERE TRYING TO CREATE FUND SAVINGS FROM THOSE
16 OPPORTUNITIES. AND SO IN THIS INSTANCE, I DON'T SEE
17 NECESSARILY THE NEED FOR IT BECAUSE FINANCIALLY WE HAVE A
18 FAIRLY SOLID BUDGET. BUT I AGREE WITH THE SHERIFF. YOU CAN'T
19 TRUST THAT HE'S GOING SPEND THE MONEY WHERE HE'S SUPPOSED TO
20 SPEND IT. NOW, I TALKED TO JOHN, AND HE'S TELLING ME THAT THE
21 SHERIFF IS NOT ENTITLED TO MOVE MONEY FROM ONE BUDGET UNIT TO
22 ANOTHER BUDGET UNIT, WHICH I DON'T KNOW HOW WE'RE GOING TO
23 KEEP ACCOUNTABILITY OF THAT. I MEAN, I THINK WE'RE GOING TO
24 ASK -- I'M GOING TO ASK WHEN WE GO BACK ON 1 TO ASK FOR
25 ONGOING REPORTS THAT HE SUBMIT TO US. I DON'T KNOW HOW THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BUDGETING HANDLES IT, BUT I THINK WE NEED TO MONITOR THAT THE
2 SHERIFF IS STAYING WITHIN HIS BUDGET UNIT.

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IF I MAY.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** SURE.

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WITH OUR NEW ITEM CONTROL SYSTEM, ONE REASON
9 WHY WE'RE ADVOCATING TO REMOVE THE HIRING FREEZE FROM ALL
10 DEPARTMENTS, WE HAVE A NEW ITEM CONTROL SYSTEM THAT ALLOWS US
11 TO TRACK EXPENDITURES. AND WE ON A REAL-TIME BUT ALSO ON A
12 FORECAST BASIS WE CAN DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT A DEPARTMENT
13 WILL EXCEED OR MEET HIS BUDGET -- HIS OR HER BUDGET
14 ALLOCATION. AND SO WITH THAT, SHOULD A DEPARTMENT -- THAT'S
15 WHY WE'RE ASKING TO REMOVE FROM THE HIRING FREEZE. IF WE SEE A
16 DEPARTMENT WHO'S HIRED A LOT AND FOR WHATEVER REASON IS GOING
17 TO EXCEED THEIR, THE BUDGET AUTHORITY OF SALARY AND EMPLOYEE
18 BENEFITS, WE WILL COME TO THIS BOARD AND SAY "WE NEED TO PLACE
19 THIS DEPARTMENT ON A FREEZE" AND GET YOUR EXPRESSED APPROVAL
20 FOR THAT REASON. BUT WE CAN TRACK IT.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** BUT, BILL, LET ME UNDERSTAND WHY. I MEAN, WHEN
23 I'M GOING TO HIRE SOMEONE, I KNOW I NEED BUDGET AUTHORITY. IF
24 I'VE ALREADY AT THE MAX OF MY BUDGET, I CAN'T HIRE, ACCOUNTING

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 WON'T ACCEPT. SO WHY ISN'T IT THE SAME FOR THE SHERIFF OR ANY
2 OTHER DEPARTMENT?

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** A DEPARTMENT, WHEN YOU SAY A COUNTY WON'T
5 ACCEPT --

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** I CAN'T UNILATERALLY HIRE 65 PEOPLE BECAUSE I
8 FEEL LIKE IT.

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL, ACTUALLY A FEW YEARS BACK, ONE OF OUR
11 DEPARTMENT HEADS ACTUALLY HIRED ABOUT 400 INDIVIDUALS BEYOND
12 THE BUDGET AUTHORITY.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I REMEMBER THE DAY. BUT THE ISSUE IS THAT WE SAID
15 THAT AT THAT TIME AND TODAY, WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION, WITHOUT
16 BUDGET AUTHORIZATION, YOU CAN'T JUST GO OUT THERE AS THEY DID
17 AT THAT TIME ILLEGALLY, I THINK IT WAS IN THE PROBATION
18 DEPARTMENT --

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I WASN'T GOING TO NAME THEM.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** -- AND THAT'S WHY I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. YOU'D
23 THINK THERE WOULD BE AN ACCOUNTING MECHANISM THAT YOU COULD DO
24 THAT, I GUESS, BUT THEN YOU FIND OUT YOU HAVE NO PAY AUTHORITY
25 FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS. MAYBE THAT'S A CORRECTIVE THING THAT



1 SHOULD BE DONE SOMEWHERE THAT IF THERE'S NOT AN AUTHORIZED
2 PAID POSITION, HOW CAN YOU BE HIRING UP? MY ASSUMPTION, THERE
3 SHOULD BE AN ACCOUNTING MECHANISM. RIGHT NOW I DON'T WANT TO
4 PROHIBIT THE DEPARTMENT FROM FLEXING ITS MUSCLES WITH
5 RESOURCES THAT THEY HAVE AS FAR AS HIRING.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** TRUE.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** PARTICULARLY IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
10 TRAINING, BUT YOU SAY SWORN IS EXCLUDED. BUT YOU STILL NEED
11 TRAINERS, YOU NEED OTHER FOLKS THAT MAY NOT BE SWORN
12 PERSONNEL. YOU NEED ACCOUNTANTS, BOOKKEEPERS, AND ALL THE
13 OTHER THINGS THAT GO WITH IT. BUT I DON'T WANT TO BE IN A
14 SITUATION WHERE THE SHERIFF IS EXCEEDING HIS AUTHORIZED
15 BUDGETED POSITIONS.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND. THE MANAGEMENT OF A DEPARTMENT'S
18 FISCAL RESOURCES, AS YOU KNOW, IS ONE OF THE CRITICAL
19 FUNCTIONS PERFORMED BY OUR DEPARTMENT HEADS. WE HAVE A GREAT
20 GROUP. THEY ARE MONITORING THEIR EXPENDITURES ON AN ONGOING
21 BASIS. THERE HAVE BEEN EXAMPLES, THOUGH, WHERE INDIVIDUALS,
22 BECAUSE OF NOT FOLLOWING IT CLOSELY HAVE EXCEEDED THEIR
23 BUDGET. I FEEL CONFIDENT, ESPECIALLY WITH THE TOOLS WE HAVE IN
24 PLACE NOW, THE NEW ITEM CONTROL, THAT WE WON'T HAVE THAT
25 PROBLEM ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** YOU SEEM TO BE ANSWERING THE QUESTION, BUT I
3 GUESS I'M ASKING FOR MORE SPECIFICS. I DON'T WANT THEM TO BE -
4 - IN OTHER WORDS, WE HAVE RESOURCES TODAY FOR THE SHERIFF'S
5 DEPARTMENT AND EVERY DEPARTMENT, FOR THE MOST PART; BUT IF
6 THEY LOSE AN INDIVIDUAL, SWORN OR OTHERWISE, BECAUSE THAT WAS
7 HOPEFULLY AN ESSENTIAL POSITION TO THE DEPARTMENT, THAT THEY
8 CAN BE REPLACED. YOU CAN'T GO OUT AND HIRE 14 PEOPLE WITHOUT
9 BUDGET AUTHORIZATION. ISN'T THAT SOMEWHERE THAT YOU HAVE THAT,
10 THAT PREVENTS ANYBODY, INCLUDING THE SHERIFF, TO SAY "I WANT
11 THE HIRE 14 PEOPLE" AND THEN HE SENDS IN HIS REQUEST? I KNOW
12 THAT I HAVE ASKED IN VARIOUS AREAS "YOU SHOULD HIRE SOMEBODY
13 TO DO IT", "OH, I DON'T HAVE BUDGET AUTHORITY." WHY IS IT --
14 YOU SAY THAT TO ME AND YET -- SO WE SHOULDN'T NEED TO DO WHAT
15 ZEV IS SAYING AND HAVE A FREEZE AT THIS POINT IN TIME BECAUSE
16 IT SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET CAUGHT, YES OR NO?

17

18 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YOU'RE RIGHT. WE HAVE THAT, AS I MENTIONED,
19 OUR NEW ITEM CONTROL SYSTEM. SO WE HAVE THAT. AND AS I STATED
20 EARLIER, BECAUSE OF THAT SYSTEM, THAT WAS THE PRIMARY REASON
21 WHY WE RECOMMENDED LIFTING THE HIRING FREEZE FROM ALL
22 DEPARTMENTS.

23

24 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO NOW YOU CAN MONITOR CLEARLY.

25



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: THAT HE IS OUTSIDE OF HIS BUDGETED AUTHORITY AND
4 WOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO DO THAT, CORRECT? PERMITTED.

5

6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TRUE.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: ALLOWED. CRUCIFIED IF HE DOES IT.

9

10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: BUT THE ONE DIFFERENCE IS THAT NO LONGER
11 SENDING THE HIRING FREEZE REQUEST TO US. A LOT OF
12 ADMINISTRATIVE PAPERWORK. BUT FOR EVERY HIRE, WE CAN TRACK
13 THAT NOW. WE CAN COME BACK TO IT AND TELL YOU IF THERE'S A
14 PROBLEM.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: I SEE THAT WE SHOULD LIFT IT IF THERE IS
17 ACCOUNTABILITY. NOW, THE ISSUE OF ACCOUNTABILITY, AND I'LL GO
18 BACK ON ITEM 1 IS I'D LIKE TO HAVE A SERIES OF PROBABLY
19 MONTHLY OR QUARTERLY REPORTS OF THE DEPARTMENT STAYING WITHIN
20 BUDGET. THE SHERIFF IS NOTORIOUS BECAUSE HE CAME UP SHORT AND
21 I DO NOT WANT TO BE FUNDING HIS DEFICIT IN JANUARY OF NEXT
22 YEAR.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: OKAY.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. MOLINA: I DO NOT.

2

3 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE CAN DO THAT.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: WE'VE GIVEN HIM A LOT OF MONEY, A LOT OF
6 FLEXIBILITY, LIFTING THE HIRING FREEZE. THERE SHOULD BE NO
7 REASON FOR HIM -- NOW AGAIN, HE'LL ALWAYS ARGUE THAT HE NEEDS
8 MORE MONEY BECAUSE OF THESE UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES. BUT I
9 WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES ARE. AND HE
10 SHOULD COME THROUGH THE BUDGETING PROCESS LIKE ANYONE ELSE TO
11 ASK FOR THAT MONEY. MOVING IT OUT OF ONE UNIT INTO ANOTHER IS
12 SUPPOSED TO BE PROHIBITED, BUT SOMEHOW HE STILL DIDN'T EVER
13 ANSWER THE QUESTION OF HOW HE CAME UP 22 MILLION SHORT IN
14 FEBRUARY. I MEAN, I NEVER GOT THE ANSWER. DO WE HAVE THE
15 ANSWER NOW?

16

17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: OVER THE YEARS, THE DOLLAR AMOUNT, OUR DOLLAR
18 AMOUNT OF WHAT WE IDENTIFIED MAYBE A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN THE
19 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S THE SAME.

22

23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THERE'S CONSENSUS, THOUGH, THAT DURING THE
24 RECESSION WE CUT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BY 128 MILLION. THEY
25 DID NOT LAY PEOPLE OFF. AND SO AS A CONSEQUENCE, THEY HAD TO

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 TAKE OTHER MEASURES, PRINCIPALLY THROUGH THEIR CARP PROGRAM,
2 TO MEET THEIR BUDGET RESPONSIBILITIES.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. BUT THAT'S WHERE BUDGETING
5 RESPONSIBILITIES LIE. AND WE NEED TO FIND OUT IF IN FACT THE
6 SHERIFF IS GOING TO DO THE SAME THING AGAIN THIS YEAR?

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I AGREE.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** THEN WE'RE ON OUR WAY TO A DEFICIT.

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I AGREE.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I MEAN THE ONLY WAY THAT HE CAN BE HIRING UP, IF
15 HE'S SUPPOSED TO LAY OFF -- WE APPLAUDED HIM LAST YEAR FOR
16 HONORING OUR BUDGET. AND THEN HE TURNS AROUND AND DISHONORS
17 THAT STATEMENT BY GOING AHEAD AND OVERSPENDING, ANYWAY,
18 WITHOUT ANY RESPONSIBILITY. THIS YEAR, WE'RE CREATING A
19 BUDGETING MECHANISM WHERE HE'S REALLY FUNDED FOR EVERY
20 PROTECTION WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING LIFTING THE FREEZE. BUT I
21 REALLY NEED SOME SCRUTINY AND ACCOUNTABILITY BECAUSE I DON'T
22 TRUST THE SHERIFF. I'LL SAY IT AGAIN. I DON'T TRUST THE
23 SHERIFF TO STAY WITHIN HIS BUDGET BECAUSE HE'S NOT BEEN
24 HONORABLE WITH THIS BOARD IN UNDERSTANDING THAT. AND WHILE WE
25 ALL SUPPORT PUBLIC SAFETY AND WANT HIM TO CARRY OUT THE WORK,

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 THE ESSENTIAL WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, HE STILL HAS TO LIVE
2 WITHIN HIS BUDGET. AND IF HE DOES HAVE A SHORTAGE SOMEWHERE,
3 HE SHOULD COME TO US AS ANYONE ELSE SHOULD. AND SO I JUST WANT
4 TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE PROTECTIONS ARE GOING TO BE THERE. AND
5 IS IT THROUGH QUARTERLY REPORTS? OR CAN YOU GET MONTHLY
6 REPORTS ON THESE UNITS?

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE CAN DO QUARTERLY? WE COULD DO MONTHLY. TAKE
9 A LITTLE EXTRA WORK. BUT IF THAT'S THE RECOURSE, WE'LL DO
10 MONTHLY.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** THE REASON IS, AND I HAVE TO THINK THAT THERE'S
13 GOT TO BE SOME WAY OF CREATING SOME KIND OF A SANCTION TO HIM
14 IF HE DOES OVERSPEND. BECAUSE HE'S SORT OF LIKE THAT CHILD
15 THAT GETS AN ALLOWANCE AND AT THE END OF THE DAY HE OVERSPENDS
16 IT AND HE SAYS "HEY, SO WHAT, THEY'RE GOING TO MAKE ME WHOLE
17 AT THE END OF THE WEEK." IS THERE SOME WAY THAT THERE COULD BE
18 SOME SANCTION THAT WOULD COME OUT IF HE IS OVERSPENDING IN HIS
19 BUDGET UNIT? COULD IT BE MAYBE LIKE WE'RE NOT GOING TO FUND
20 IT? WE'RE NOT GOING TO FUND THE OVERPAYMENT? INSTEAD OF
21 FUNDING IT ALL LIKE WE'RE DOING THIS YEAR?

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE CAN LOOK AT OPTIONS FOR YOU. I CAN'T THINK
24 OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. BUT WE'D BE HAPPY TO LOOK AT OPTIONS
25 FOR YOU.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT WOULD DEPENDENT ON WHAT JOHN GETS BACK TO US
3 WITH ON THE OTHER ISSUE YOU RAISED ON ITEM 1, WHAT WE CAN OR
4 CAN'T DO.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** WELL I'M TOLD BY JOHN THAT UNDER THESE BUDGET
7 UNITS THAT WE HAVE NOW, FOR EXAMPLE, NOW WE HAVE A BUDGET UNIT
8 FOR PATROL THAT INCLUDES UNINCORPORATED AND CONTRACT CITIES.
9 AND SO THAT HE IS NOW NOT ALLOWED TO SPEND IT WITHOUT
10 AUTHORIZATION TO MOVE MONEY THROUGHOUT THOSE BUDGET UNITS, IS
11 THAT CORRECT, JOHN?

12

13 **JOHN KRATTLI:** THAT'S CORRECT. YOUR BOARD MAY RECALL DURING OUR
14 DISCUSSION A FEW MONTHS BACK WITH RESPECT TO THE
15 UNINCORPORATED AREA PATROL SERVICES, MY OFFICE GAVE YOU A
16 REPORT ON BUDGETARY MODIFICATIONS OR MEASURES YOUR BOARD COULD
17 UNDERTAKE. AS PART OF THAT DISCUSSION, WE INDICATED TO YOU
18 THAT ONCE YOUR BOARD DICTATES, PROVIDES FOR THE INDIVIDUAL
19 BUDGET UNITS WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THAT THE SHERIFF
20 CANNOT MOVE FUNDS BETWEEN THOSE INDIVIDUAL BUDGET UNITS
21 WITHOUT A MAJORITY VOTE OF YOUR BOARD'S APPROVAL.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** WE CAN DO THAT FOR CARPING ISSUE? IF WE BACKFILL
24 FOR CARPING?

25



1 **JOHN KRATTLI:** YOUR BOARD HAS CREATED BUDGET UNITS AND THAT'S A
2 TERM OF ART AND IT'S SPECIFIED IN THE GOVERNMENT CODE. SO WHAT
3 YOU DID WITH RESPECT TO UNINCORPORATED AIR PATROL SERVICES,
4 THERE USED TO BE ONE BUDGET UNIT FOR PATROL SERVICES, YOU
5 BROKE THAT UP INTO SEVERAL ADDITIONAL BUDGET UNITS, ONE OF
6 WHICH IS FOR UNINCORPORATED AREA SERVICES. THEN YOU CREATED
7 ANOTHER BUDGET UNIT FOR CONTRACT CITIES AND ANOTHER ONE FOR
8 ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD.

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** SO WE COULD PUT THE CARPING DOLLARS INTO THE
11 PATROL DESIGNATION AND THAT WOULD NOT ALLOW HIM TO MOVE IT
12 FROM ANYTHING ELSE BUT THAT WITHOUT OUR APPROVAL?

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S WHAT I'M TOLD.

15

16 **JOHN KRATTLI:** I DON'T KNOW HOW THE CARPING FUNDING RELATES TO
17 THE PATROL.

18

19 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S ALL IT IS. THAT'S ALL IT IS, IS PATROL.

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT'S NOT COMPLETELY PATROL. YOU HAVE -- RIGHT
22 NOW WE HAVE INDIVIDUALS, SAY, FROM DETECTIVE ASSIGNMENTS BUT
23 ALSO FROM ADMINISTRATIVE ASSIGNMENTS.

24

25 **SUP. KNABE:** WHERE DO THEY WIND UP? WHAT DO THEY END UP DOING?

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THEY END UP IN PATROL.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S MY POINT.

5

6 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SO WE CAN. WE ARE TRACKING THAT, ABSOLUTELY,
7 IN THESE BUDGET UNITS. SO ON THAT PARTICULAR EXAMPLE, WE CAN
8 DO THAT.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO I THINK AGAIN AT THE END OF THE DAY, IF WE
11 HAVE THIS ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURE IN PLACE, IT PERMITS US TO DO
12 THAT SO I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH LIFTING THE FREEZE
13 BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE ACCOUNTABILITY, SUPPOSEDLY.
14 BUT IT'LL BE INTERESTING TO SEE IF IN FACT THE SHERIFF, WHO
15 SAYS HE'S GOING TO HONOR OUR SYSTEM AND THEN DOES NOT WHETHER
16 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO HAVE SOME LEVEL OF
17 SANCTIONS OTHER THAN JUST FUNDING UP FOR HIS MISDEEDS
18 THROUGHOUT THE BUDGET YEAR.

19

20 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WE HAVE
21 SEVERAL ITEMS TO RESOLVE OR DISENTANGLE DEPENDING UPON YOUR
22 POINT OF VIEW. WE WILL GO BACK TO THE FIRST ITEM WHERE WE WERE
23 TABLING THE DISCUSSION PENDING COUNTY COUNSEL OPINING ON THAT
24 ISSUE AS TO THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE MOTION. WE DO HAVE A
25 MOTION ON -- HOLD ON FOR A MOMENT -- ON ITEM NO. 3 WITH

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 RESPECT TO AN AMENDMENT OFFERED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND
2 I WANT TO POSE THE QUESTION PERTAINING TO ITEM NO. 3 ON
3 PROBATION IN TERMS OF WOULD THE PROBATION BUDGET BE BALANCED,
4 MR. FUJIOKA OR STAFF, WITHOUT THE EXISTING VACANCIES AND
5 SAVINGS FROM UNSPENT A.B.109 FUNDS. PERHAPS THAT THE BOARD
6 COULD BENEFIT FROM YOUR POINT OF VIEW IN THAT REGARD. I
7 SUSPECT THERE WAS A RATIONALE FOR THE PROPOSAL THAT WAS MADE
8 BY YOUR OFFICE. I'M WONDERING IF THIS QUESTION CAN BE
9 SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSED FOR THE BOARD'S EDIFICATION.

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THIS IS SEPARATE FROM THE A.B.109 FUNDS. THE
12 DEPARTMENTS, WE'RE PROJECTING ACTUALLY A SURPLUS IN THE
13 PROBATION DEPARTMENT. I THINK THAT THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF
14 FISCAL CONTROLS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT IN PLACE BY THE CHIEF
15 PROBATION OFFICER. THERE'S BETTER OVERSIGHT IN THAT DEPARTMENT
16 OF HOW THEY EXPEND FUNDS. I KNOW WITH RESPECT TO 109, THERE'S
17 CONCERNS HOW QUICKLY THEY'RE HIRING. BUT I ACTUALLY SEE IT,
18 FROM MY PERSPECTIVE THEY'RE BEING VERY DILIGENT IN THAT
19 REGARD.

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SO THE RATIONALE FOR THE
22 RECOMMENDATION AS IT ORIGINALLY CAME TO THE BOARD WAS WHAT?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I HAD MENTIONED EARLIER THAT IT WAS OUR
25 POSITION THAT ALL DEPARTMENTS SHOULD BE -- WE SHOULD LIFT THE



1 HIRING FREEZE IF ALL DEPARTMENTS. THERE WAS CONCERN EXPRESSED
2 FROM A NUMBER OF OFFICES REGARDING BOTH THE SHERIFF'S AND
3 PROBATION DEPARTMENT. THAT'S WHY OUR MEMO TO THE BOARD, GIVEN
4 THE MOTION HERE, BUT INITIALLY RECOMMENDED LIFTING THE HIRING
5 FREEZE FROM ALL DEPARTMENTS -- OR ACTUALLY RECOMMENDED ALL
6 DEPARTMENTS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PROBATION IN THE SHERIFF'S
7 DEPARTMENT.

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** I'D LIKE TO PROCEED.

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE ONLY REASON I SUGGESTED TREATING THE
12 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HIRING FREEZE DIFFERENTLY THAN THE
13 PROBATION IS THAT WE HAVE CONTROL OVER THE PROBATION'S BUDGET
14 IF HE GETS OUT OF LINE, WHICH I DON'T BELIEVE ANY REASON HE
15 WOULD, THERE'S ACTION WE CAN TAKE ON IT. WE DON'T HAVE THE
16 SAME DEGREE OF CONTROL IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. EVEN
17 THOUGH YOU CAN'T MOVE MONEY FROM BUDGET UNIT TO BUDGET UNIT,
18 SOME OF THOSE BUDGET UNITS ARE BILLION DOLLARS OR HALF A
19 BILLION DOLLARS BUDGET UNITS. PATROL IS A PRETTY BIG BUDGET
20 UNIT. AIR SUPPORT, WHATEVER THE CIVILIAN ADMIN BUDGET IS A
21 PRETTY BIG BUDGET, PROBABLY A BUDGET UNIT IN THE SHERIFF'S
22 DEPARTMENT THAT'S BIGGER THAN HALF THE BUDGETS OF OUR
23 DEPARTMENTS. SO WE DON'T HAVE ANY CONTROL OVER WHAT HAPPENS
24 THERE. AND IF WE SEE SOMETHING GO WRONG, ALL WE CAN DO IS
25 SQUAWK ABOUT IT OR TAKE EXTRAORDINARY ACTIONS BUT IT'S NOT THE



1 SAME AS THE DEPARTMENTS OVER WHICH WE HAVE CONTROL. THAT'S THE
2 ONLY DISTINCTION. I DON'T THINK IT'S THE BIGGEST ISSUE IN THE
3 HISTORY OF MANKIND, BUT I DO THINK THAT IF YOU'RE CONCERNED
4 ABOUT, AS YOU ARE ABOUT THE TRUST OF THAT DEPARTMENT BEING
5 FAITHFUL TO THE BUDGET, THEN THIS IS ONE WAY SOMEONE ONCE SAID
6 TRUST BUT VERIFY. THIS IS A WAY TO ENSURE THAT THEY --

7

8 **SUP. MOLINA:** I'M WILLING TO VERIFY, BUT, ZEV, THE QUESTION
9 THAT I'M ASKING IS IT'S REALLY INCUMBENT UPON US JUST LIKE
10 NOW, WHEN WE FUNDED HIM IN FEBRUARY, IN APRIL, WHATEVER IT
11 WAS, HIS SHORTAGE, WE'RE THE CULPRITS. IT'S LIKE THE PARENT
12 WHO TELLS THE KID "YOU'VE GOT AN ALLOWANCE" AND THEN AT THE
13 END OF THE DAY "OH, BUT I DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY TO GO TO THE
14 SHOW." "WELL HERE'S THE MONEY FOR THE SHOW." IN OTHER WORDS,
15 IF THERE'S NO ACCOUNTABILITY, NOW IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING WE'RE
16 THE ONES THAT CAN HOLD HIM ACCOUNTABLE. THAT WOULD MEAN THAT
17 HE'S NOT GOING TO GET THAT FUNDING. AND KIND OF CREATE A
18 MECHANISM OF ACCOUNTABILITY BY NOW LOOKING AT IT MONTHLY TO
19 SEE WHERE HE'S SWAPPING OUT, RIGHT? NOW, IF HE'S GOING TO COME
20 UP SHORT, WE'LL KNOW BECAUSE OF THE FUNDING BY QUARTER AND BY
21 MONTH AS TO WHAT PERCENTAGE HE'S USING. AND HE SHOULD BE USING
22 MONTHLY 1/12 OF THAT AMOUNT. AND SO I KNOW IT REQUIRES MORE,
23 BUT I THINK THE ONUS IS ON US NOT TO FUND HIM. AND I'M ASKING,
24 BESIDES HOLDING HIM ACCOUNTABLE, IT'S HOLDING OURSELVES
25 ACCOUNTABLE AND BILL ACCOUNTABLE TO NOT COMING IN WITH SOME

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 GOOFY RECOMMENDATION, LET'S FUND HIM, ANYWAY, RIGHT? YOU'RE
2 NOT GOING DO TO DO THAT, RIGHT? LET'S AVOID THE GOOFY ONES.
3 THE ISSUE IS IF WE HAVE ACCOUNTABILITY THAT IS GOING ON, THEN
4 HE SHOULD NOT BE SHORT. AND IF HE'S GOING TO BE SHORT, HE'S
5 GOT TO FIND A WAY AND WE'VE GOT TO FIND A WAY OUT UNDER WHICH
6 UNIT. HE TOOK IT OUT OF UNINCORPORATED PATROL SO WE'D ALL GO
7 NUTS ON HIM, WHICH WE DID VERY EFFECTIVELY AND WE NEEDED IT TO
8 BE FUNDED. WE'VE GOT TO FIND A WAY, MAYBE THAT'S A QUESTION TO
9 BE ASKED, IF HE IS DOING THAT, LET'S SAY SIX MONTHS FROM NOW
10 WE CATCH HIM OVERSPENDING IN SOME ADMINISTRATIVE LEVEL LIKE
11 TRAVEL, WHAT CAN WE DO?

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. LET ME JUST SEEK TO
14 TIE UP THE LOOSE ENDS HERE. THERE WAS AN ORIGINAL MOTION MADE
15 BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. COUNTY
16 COUNSEL IS PREPARED TO WEIGH IN ON THAT AT THIS POINT. IT
17 SEEMS TO ME FOR THE PURPOSES OF CLARITY AND CONTINUITY HERE WE
18 SHOULD PROBABLY DISPOSE OF THAT ITEM BECAUSE IT HAS BEARING ON
19 HOW WE MAY ELECT TO DISPOSE OF ITEM NO. 3. IS THAT ACCEPTABLE
20 TO THE BOARD? I ASSUME THAT IT WOULD BE. WITH THAT IN MIND,
21 MR. KRATTLI, PLEASE ADDRESS ITEM NO. 1 WITH RESPECT TO THE
22 REQUEST MADE BY THE CHAIR TO FIND OUT THE APPROPRIATE ANSWER.

23

24 **JOHN KRATTLI:** WITH RESPECT TO ITEM NO. 1, AS I PREVIOUSLY
25 INDICATED, YOUR BOARD HAS AUTHORITY OVER THE COUNTY BUDGET.

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 AND ONE OF THE MANNERS THE GOVERNMENT CODE PROVIDES THAT YOUR
2 BOARD CAN EXERCISE THAT AUTHORITY IS THROUGH THE CREATION OF
3 BUDGET UNITS. AND ACTUALLY, YOU COULD ACTUALLY IF YOU WANTED
4 TO, CREATE ADDITIONAL LEVELS OF BUDGET, I'LL CALL THEM UNITS.
5 YOUR BOARD, WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTRACTS, WITH RESPECT TO THE
6 PATROL SERVICES ITEM, CREATED SOME ADDITIONAL BUDGET UNITS SO
7 THAT YOUR BOARD COULD HAVE GREATER CONTROL OVER THE ALLOCATION
8 AND EXPENDITURE OF RESOURCES FOR PATROL SERVICES, AND
9 SPECIFICALLY YOUR BOARD CREATED A NEW BUDGET UNIT FOR
10 UNINCORPORATED AREA PATROL SERVICES AND A SEPARATE BUDGET UNIT
11 FOR CONTRACT CITY BUDGET UNITS. AND THE GOVERNMENT CODE
12 PROVIDES THAT ANY COUNTY DEPARTMENT, INCLUDING THE SHERIFF'S
13 DEPARTMENT, CANNOT EXCEED OR CANNOT MOVE MONEY BETWEEN THOSE
14 BUDGET UNITS WITHOUT COMING TO YOUR BOARD FOR APPROVAL BY
15 MAJORITY VOTE. THE C.E.O. HAS INDICATED TO YOUR BOARD THAT THE
16 BUDGET REPORTING CAPACITIES OF THE C.E.O. ARE SUCH THAT EITHER
17 QUARTERLY OR MONTHLY THE C.E.O. CAN REPORT TO YOUR BOARD AS TO
18 EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS VIS-A-VIS THE BUDGET. SO YOUR BOARD WILL
19 HAVE THE ABILITY TO MONITOR THE EXPENDITURE LEVELS AND HAVE
20 THE SHERIFF COME BEFORE YOUR BOARD WITH RESPECT TO THOSE
21 LEVELS AND REQUIRE ADJUSTMENTS BEFORE THOSE BUDGET UNITS ARE
22 EXCEEDED. OR, AS SOME OF YOUR MEMBERS HAVE POINTED OUT, TO
23 APPROVE A TRANSFER OF FUNDS BETWEEN THOSE BUDGET UNITS.
24

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. KNABE:** CAN WE JUST ADD TO YOUR REQUEST AS IT RELATES TO
2 REPORTING BACK EITHER MONTHLY OR QUARTERLY?

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND COULD I ADD TO THAT, DON, I THINK WHAT WE
5 COULD DO IS, LET'S DO THIS, AND I KNOW YOU ALL CAN DO IT. WHAT
6 YOU CAN DO IS IF IN FACT HE IS NOT STAYING WITHIN HIS
7 VARIANCE, LET'S SAY 1/12 OF THAT BUDGET, IF HE IS AT 24
8 PERCENT IN THE FIRST THREE MONTHS OR 30 PERCENT IN THE FIRST
9 THREE MONTHS, YOU KNOW THAT HE'S GOING TO BE SOMEWHAT OVER,
10 THAT YOU NEED TO HELP US UNDERSTAND THAT, SO THAT YOU WOULD
11 CREATE AN EXPLANATION OF WHY IT'S OVER. SO THAT THERE IS MORE
12 ACCOUNTABILITY. SO IT WORKS, AS WELL, I THINK, IF IN FACT YOU
13 GIVE US THE INFORMATION. BECAUSE AT THAT POINT IN TIME WE KNOW
14 HE'S GOING TO COME UP SHORT. AND UNLESS HE CAN EXPLAIN IT AT
15 THAT POINT IN TIME, HE KNOWS THAT HE IS NOT GOING TO GET
16 FUNDING IN THE LAST THREE MONTHS OR FOUR MONTHS BECAUSE HE'S
17 COMING UP SHORT. SO YOU COULD DO THE TRENDING, RIGHT? YOU
18 COULD. UNDER YOUR NEW COMPUTER PROGRAM.

19

20 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** SO WITH THE TRENDING, I THINK IF WE ADD THAT TO
23 THE REPORT, NOT JUST WHAT THEY'VE BEEN SPENDING BUT WHAT THIS
24 MEANS OVERALL THROUGHOUT THE YEAR, WE'LL KNOW IF THE SHERIFF
25 IS OVERSPENDING. IF HE DOES NOT -- IF HE HAS HIRED POSITIONS



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THAT ARE UP TO AUTHORIZED STRENGTH AND WHATEVER AND HE STARTS
2 SPENDING BEYOND THAT AUTHORIZED STRENGTH, WE'LL BE ABLE TO
3 KNOW, RIGHT?

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES. OUR REPORT FORMAT WILL INCLUDE HIS
6 CURRENT LEVEL EXPENDITURE AND HOW IT PROJECTS OUT FOR THE
7 YEAR.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT'S TRENDING FOR THAT FISCAL YEAR.

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

12

13 **SUP. MOLINA:** OKAY, I THINK SO IF WE COULD AMEND IT TO SAY
14 THAT, I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THE CHAIR WOULD OFFER
17 THE FOLLOWING BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF IMPORTANT DETAIL AND I
18 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS DONE CORRECTLY. CAN WE NOT
19 ACCEPT IN CONCEPT THE NOTION OF BUDGET CONTROLS WOULD COME
20 BACK TO US AT A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME, MONTHLY OR WHATEVER
21 THE CASE MAY BE, BUT THE SPECIFICS OF THAT WOULD COME BACK IN
22 A SUBSEQUENT MEETING SO THAT WE KNOW FULLY WHAT IT IS THAT
23 THEY ARE GOING TO IMPLEMENT?

24

25 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S CORRECT.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SO IF WE ACCEPT IN CONCEPT THE
3 MOTION MADE BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
4 KNABE, THAT THEN GIVES INSTRUCTIONS TO THE C.E.O. TO MOVE
5 FORWARD WITH THAT, COME BACK TO THE BOARD WITH A MORE
6 SPECIFICALLY LAID-OUT APPROACH TO IT THAT WE CAN FORMALLY
7 ADOPT. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT? SEEING NO OBJECTION,
8 THAT WILL BE THE ORDER. NOW THEN ITEM NO. 1 IS BEFORE US.
9 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, WE'RE ON ITEM NO. 1 NOW.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THE REASON I MOVED TO SEPARATE ITEM 3 OF 1 IS
12 BECAUSE OF THOSE CONCERNS BEING RAISED HERE RELATIVE TO
13 PROBATION AND ALSO THE SHERIFF.

14

15 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** NO, NO, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH,
16 THAT'S ITEM NO. 3. I'M TRYING TO DISPOSE OF ITEM NO. 1, AMID
17 YOUR BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS. HOLD ON FOR A SECOND, CAN YOU?

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO PROBLEM.

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING BACK TO
22 THE TOP, WHICH WAS TABLED. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADOPT
23 ITEM NO. 1, THAT IS TO APPROVE IT. IT'S A FOUR-VOTE ITEM.
24 SUPERVISOR KNABE MOVES. SUPERVISOR MOLINA SECONDS. IF THERE
25 ARE NO OBJECTIONS, WE WILL THEN PROCEED TO A UNANIMOUS VOTE.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 WITH THAT, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH THE FLOOR IS YOURS ON ITEM
2 NO. 3.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** YOU WANT TO DO 2 BEFORE YOU DO 3?

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** WE HAVE ALREADY DISPOSED OF 2.
7 IT WAS A RECEIVE AND FILE. WE ARE NOW AT NO. 3, WHICH IS THE
8 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT. AND THAT'S WHERE YOU WERE MAKING YOUR
9 RECOMMENDATIONS.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** PROBATION AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ARE
12 ESPECIALLY CRITICAL TO THE WELL-BEING AND SAFETY OF THIS
13 COUNTY. WITH 109 I KNOW OF APPROXIMATELY 11,700 WHO HAVE BEEN
14 NOW PLACED UNDER COUNTY PROBATION INSTEAD OF STATE PAROLE,
15 APPROXIMATELY 5 TO 6,000 HAVE BEEN RE-ARRESTED. AND THEN WHEN
16 WE GET DOWN TO THOSE WHO ARE BEING SENT TO COUNTY JAIL NOW,
17 INSTEAD OF STATE PENITENTIARY, WE HAVE A VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM
18 BECAUSE SOME OF THESE INDIVIDUALS ARE BEING SENTENCED TO MORE
19 THAN EIGHT YEARS. AND I UNDERSTAND ONE WAS SENTENCED TO MORE
20 THAN 40 YEARS. AND YOU LOOK AT THE COUNTY INMATES WHO ARE
21 SENTENCED TO A YEAR OR LESS IN A COUNTY JAIL, MANY OF THEM ARE
22 SERVING A MATTER OF HOURS OR DAYS. THERE IS A SHORTAGE OF
23 MANPOWER IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ONLINE TO PROTECT OUR
24 COMMUNITIES. 109 WE'VE HAD A SPIKE IN CRIME. THE F.B.I. JUST
25 GAVE THEIR RELEASE OF SHOWING THE INCREASE IN CRIME THAT'S

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 TAKING PLACE. I KNOW IN SOME OF THE AREAS WHERE I REPRESENT,
2 THERE'S BEEN A RASH OF CAR THEFTS AND OTHER TYPES OF CRIMES.
3 IN FACT, THERE'S BEEN KILLINGS THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE. SO THIS
4 IS A SERIOUS PROBLEM. I UNDERSTAND THE SHERIFF, IF HE HAS TO
5 HIRE ADDITIONAL PEOPLE, SHOULD COME BEFORE THE BOARD FOR THAT
6 REQUEST. BUT TO DENY THE SHERIFF AND PROBATION THAT
7 OPPORTUNITY TO BRING ON THE NECESSARY PERSONNEL TO PROTECT OUR
8 COMMUNITY, BE IT PROBATION OR SHERIFF, IS CRITICAL. SO THAT'S
9 WHY RESCINDING THAT HARD HIRING FREEZE FOR ALL OF THE
10 DEPARTMENTS, I MEAN I'M GLAD WE DID IT FOR CONSUMER AFFAIRS,
11 BUT ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT CONSUMER AFFAIRS DEPARTMENTS
12 THAT WE HAVE, THERE ARE TWO, AND THAT'S PROBATION AND THE
13 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

14

15 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** SO THAT'S THE --

18

19 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** RATIONALE.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** -- CONCERNS I HAVE BECAUSE OF 109.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT 109 POSITIONS ARE EXEMPT. THE QUESTION I HAVE,
24 ARE CUSTODY ASSISTANTS EXEMPT SINCE THEY'RE NOT POST-

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CERTIFIED? THAT ADDRESSES MR. ANTONOVICH'S ISSUE IF THEY'RE
2 NOT EXEMPT.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISORS, I THINK THE
5 CONSENSUS HAS BEEN REACHED, WE HAVE WROUGHT SUCH CONSENSUS
6 WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUE OF AN ANTONOVICH AMENDMENT WHICH
7 WOULD ESSENTIALLY LIFT THE HIRING FREEZE ACROSS-THE-BOARD. I
8 THINK WE HAVE EFFECTIVELY ACCOMPLISHED THAT WITH ALL
9 DEPARTMENTS PURSUANT TO A COUPLE OF THINGS, ITEM NO. 1 WHERE
10 WE ARE NOW INSTITUTING A CONTROL SYSTEM WITH RESPECT TO
11 SHERIFF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS AND THEN THE STATEMENT BY THE CHIEF
12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAT IT IS HIS VIEW THAT PROBATION IS
13 OPERATING WITH A SURPLUS. THEREFORE THE RATIONALE FOR HAVING
14 THEM UNDER A HIRING FREEZE PROBABLY IS NOT AN EASILY
15 DEFENSIBLE ONE. WITH THAT, IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT WE SHOULD
16 MOVE FORWARD WITH THE ANTONOVICH AMENDMENT AND PROCEED TO
17 ADOPT THE ITEM, THAT IS, ADJUSTMENTS TO BUDGET YEAR '13/'14 AS
18 AMENDED. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THAT?

19

20 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** ON BOTH ITEMS 3 AND 6.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** CORRECT. AS AMENDED. PURSUANT TO
23 THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER. ANY FURTHER
24 DISCUSSION ON THE ITEM AT THIS POINT? SEEING NONE, THEN PLEASE
25 RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE. SHALL WE THEN PROCEED TO ITEM NO. 4?



1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** EXCUSE ME. ITEM NO. 4 IS TO APPROVE AND
3 INSTRUCT OUR CHAIR TO SIGN AN AMENDMENT TO THE 1994 FUNDING
4 AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUSEUM
5 OF NATURAL HISTORY FOUNDATION TO INCREASE THE BASE AMOUNT OF
6 COUNTY'S FUNDING OBLIGATION BY \$1 MILLION ANNUALLY.

7

8 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** PLEASE DO.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** BILL, I'M JUST A LITTLE BIT TRYING TO FOLLOW THE
11 MONEY HERE. SO WE HAVE A LOT OF ONE-TIME FUNDING LAST YEAR
12 THAT WE PUT IN ONE-TIME MONEY TO RESTORE SERVICES. AND I WANT
13 TO KNOW IN THIS BUDGET, NOW WHAT YOU DID FROM THE ONGOING FUND
14 BALANCE IN THE ONGOING REVENUE THAT WE HAD, WE HAVE RESTORED
15 THOSE DEPARTMENTS THAT WERE UTILIZING ONE-TIME MONEY? YES OR
16 NO?

17

18 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVEN'T COMPLETELY RESTORED EVERY
19 DEPARTMENT YET. WE'RE LOOKING AT AND WE'VE TOLD OUR
20 DEPARTMENTS THAT THOSE RESTORATIONS, WE DID SOME. THE MAJORITY
21 WILL HAPPEN ONCE WE GET TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL PHASE OF THE
22 BUDGET BECAUSE IT'S CRITICAL TO SEE HOW WE END THE YEAR. I
23 UNDERSTAND THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT SOME -- THERE'S A POTENTIAL
24 FOR A LITTLE STRONGER PROPERTY TAX REVENUE. SO I WANTED TO SEE
25 HOW WE WOULD END THE YEAR, HOW WE WOULD CLOSE THE BOOKS. AND

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 THEN WE'D COME BACK TO YOU AT SUPPLEMENTAL FOR SOME OF THOSE
2 RESTORATIONS. BUT WE HAVE NOT -- BY FAR, WE HAVE NOT RESTORED
3 ALL OF OUR DEPARTMENTS.

4

5 **SUP. MOLINA:** THERE'S A FIGURE IN HERE THAT YOU'RE USING, 51
6 MILLION. IS THAT FIGURE IN HERE AS UNPAID TO YOUR BOARD
7 LETTER. IS THAT 51.5 MILLION THE MONEY THAT YOU USED TO
8 RESTORE?

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ARE WE ON NO. 4?

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** I KNOW, BUT HE PASSED IT. NO. THAT'S WHAT I'M
13 SAYING. I'M JUST ASKING A QUESTION BECAUSE I WAS BUSY TRYING
14 TO GET THE ANSWER AND I DON'T THINK I HAVE IT YET.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** WHERE ARE YOU, THEN, SUPERVISOR
17 MOLINA?

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT'S JUST THAT I'M BACK ON 3. I KNOW YOU PASSED
20 IT. BUT I'M GETTING SOME CLARIFICATION.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SO SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS SEEKING
23 A POINT OF CLARIFICATION OR INFORMATION. PROCEED.

24



1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES. THE \$51 MILLION REPRESENTS AN INCREASE IN
2 PROPERTY TAX FUNDS FROM THE INITIAL PROJECTION OF 2.88 TO
3 4.23. SO WE WERE REPORTING OUT THAT FROM THE POINT OF OUR
4 RECOMMENDED BUDGET IN APRIL TO THE POINT OF RELEASING THIS
5 DOCUMENT, THE ESTIMATE OF OUR PROPERTY TAX REVENUE ROSE 2.88
6 TO 4.23. THAT WE BELIEVE IT COULD BE SLIGHTLY STRONGER, BUT WE
7 WANTED TO REPORT TO YOUR BOARD THAT THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY IS
8 AVAILABLE.

9

10 **SUP. MOLINA:** ALL RIGHT. I GUESS I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW
11 MUCH FROM ONE-TIME MONEY THAT WE USED LAST YEAR WAS NOW
12 RESTORED SINCE WE HAVE NEW REVENUE THAT HAS COME IN. RESTORED
13 SO THAT IT'S NOW ONGOING MONEY. IT ISN'T ONE-TIME MONEY. I
14 THINK ONE OF OUR BUDGETING SUCCESSES OVER THE DRY YEARS HAS
15 BEEN THAT WE DON'T CONTINUE TO FUND SERVICES WITH ONE-TIME
16 MONIES. WE USE ONE-TIME MONEY FOR ONE-TIME EXPENSE. LAST YEAR,
17 WE HAD A LOT OF ONE-TIME EXPENDITURES BECAUSE WE JUST DIDN'T
18 HAVE ONGOING MONEY. THIS YEAR WE HAVE ONGOING MONEY SO I WOULD
19 LIKE TO SEE THAT TRANSITION. THAT'S WHAT I'D LIKE TO
20 UNDERSTAND.

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE CAN GIVE YOU A REPORT ON THAT. BUT AS I
23 STARTED MY PRESENTATION, WE'RE AT A POINT IN TIME WHERE WE'RE
24 FUNDING OUR BUDGET WITH ONGOING REVENUE. WE'RE NOT USING ONE-

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 TIME DOLLARS TO FUND AN ONGOING APPROPRIATION. BUT WE'LL GIVE
2 YOU A BETTER ACCOUNTING OF THAT IF YOU'D LIKE.

3

4 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I WANT TO KNOW. AND I GUESS I
5 HAVEN'T SEEN THAT IN HERE, THAT BREAKDOWN. BUT IF YOU COULD
6 GIVE IT TO US, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT. I'D JUST LIKE
7 TO SEE THE RESTORATION OF THOSE DEPARTMENTS THAT USED ONE-TIME
8 MONEY TO KEEP SERVICES AVAILABLE, THAT WE WOULD KNOW IF WE ARE
9 FUNDING THEM FROM ONGOING FUNDS SO THEY CAN BRING THEM UP TO
10 THEIR FULL BUDGETED STRENGTH.

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** ALL RIGHT.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
17 SO ITEM NO. 4 IS BEFORE US. THE C.E.O. HAS MADE HIS
18 PRESENTATION. LET ME OFFER AN AMENDMENT THAT I THINK WOULD BE
19 SUPPORTED BY THE BOARD WITH RESPECT TO THE NATURAL HISTORY
20 MUSEUM, WHICH WE ALL KNOW IS OUR OWN COUNTY-WIDE ASSET. YOU
21 WILL BE PLEASED TO KNOW THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 800,000
22 VISITORS ON AN ANNUAL BASIS AT THE MUSEUM. ALONG WITH THE
23 DISNEY CONCERT HALL, THE HOLLYWOOD BOWL AND THE LACMA. IT IS A
24 CULTURAL ICON WHICH REPRESENTS THE RICH DIVERSITY OF OUR
25 COUNTY. INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, IT CELEBRATES ITS CENTENNIAL



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS YEAR. AND THE MOTION HERE SIMPLY CALLS FOR AN UPDATE OF
2 THEIR CURRENT AGREEMENT, AN AGREEMENT THAT WAS PUT IN PLACE IN
3 1994. AND AN AUGMENTATION IS DUE. THE AMENDMENT WOULD JUST
4 INCREASE THE AUGMENTATION BY \$500,000. AND SO I WISH TO INVITE
5 THE BOARD TO INSTRUCT THE C.E.O. TO REPORT BACK DURING THE
6 SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET CHANGES IN OCTOBER TO IDENTIFY AN
7 ADDITIONAL \$500,000 TO ADDRESS THE INCREASES IN OPERATING
8 COSTS AT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM. IS
9 THERE A SECOND? GOING ONCE, IS THERE A SECOND TO THAT ITEM?

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SECOND.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY
14 OBJECTION? ITEM NO. 4. NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM. THANK YOU.
15 PLEASE RECORD FOUR VOTES, ONE ABSTENTION, THAT BEING
16 SUPERVISOR KNABE'S. ALL RIGHT. LET'S PROCEED TO ITEM NO. 5.,
17 WHICH WOULD THEN EFFECTIVELY BE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR BOARD
18 MEMBERS TO READ IN THEIR MOTIONS. WE'LL BEGIN WITH DISTRICT 1?
19 ANY READ-IN MOTIONS, SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** THIS IS A JOINT MOTION BY MYSELF AND THE CHAIR.
22 AND WE'RE ASKING THAT -- WE DIRECT THE C.E.O. TO TRANSFER -- I
23 THINK IT'S BEEN PASSED OUT -- TRANSFER THE 15 MILLION THAT THE
24 COUNTY HAS RECEIVED FROM THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
25 LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND, DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 PROCESS, TO THE C.D.C., ACTING AS THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
2 OF THE C.D.C., WE FURTHER MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE
3 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE C.D.C. TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE 15
4 MILLION TO CREATE AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND FOR THE
5 UPCOMING NOFA. THE C.D.C. SHALL RETAIN ANY INTEREST EARNED
6 FROM THE ACCOUNT TO BE USED IN SUPPORT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

7

8 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. IT IS BEFORE US. ARE
9 THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS WITH RESPECT TO THE MOTION
10 ADVANCED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND CO-AUTHORED BY THE CHAIR?
11 HEARING NONE, PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE.

12

13 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** MR. CHAIR?

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** BILL HAS A QUESTION.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I BELIEVE WE ACCOMPLISHED THIS ALREADY.

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** I WAS TOLD THAT IT HAS NOT BEEN RELEASED. AND
20 WE'RE ALSO AUTHORIZING AS A C.D.C. BOARD FOR THEM TO RELEASE
21 THE MONEY SO THEY CAN GO OUT FOR THE R.F.P.

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** OH, I'M SORRY. I STAND CORRECTED.

24

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AS
2 ORIGINALLY INSTRUCTED, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER. NOW, SUPERVISOR
3 MOLINA, ANY OTHER MOTIONS THAT YOU HAVE FOR US TO CONSIDER AT
4 THIS POINT?

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** I DON'T THINK I HAVE ANY OTHER MOTIONS.

7

8 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A COUPLE. LET'S GET
11 THIS IN ORDER HERE. YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, PURSUANT TO A MOTION BY
12 YOU ON APRIL 2, THE BOARD DIRECTED THE CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER
13 IN COLLABORATION WITH THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE LOS ANGELES
14 COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION TO REPORT BACK IN WRITING WITH
15 COMPELLING RATIONALE FOR THE INCLUSION OF THE NEWLY IDENTIFIED
16 PROJECT CHANGES IN THE FINAL SCOPING DOCUMENTS FOR THE CAMP
17 VERNON KILPATRICK REPLACEMENT PROJECT. STRUCTURAL REFORM OF
18 THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, IN LOS
19 ANGELES COUNTY MUST ADDRESS THE MANNER IN WHICH WE
20 REHABILITATE THOSE YOUTH WHO ARE PLACED WITHIN OUR PROBATION
21 CAMPS. CAMP VERNON KILPATRICK REPLACEMENT PROJECT IS AN
22 OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE ALONG THE PATHWAY TO ACHIEVE THIS
23 NECESSARY GOAL. ON MAY 2, 2013, THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT
24 ISSUED A REPORT AND DESCRIBED THE RECOMMENDED ADDITIONS TO THE
25 SCOPE OF WORK. THE REPORT STATES IN DETAIL THE RATIONALE FOR

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 EACH ADDITION. THE NEWLY-IDENTIFIED CHANGES WILL INCREASE THE
2 PROJECT BUDGET BY \$7.073 MILLION FROM 41.1 TO 48.2 MILLION.
3 FOLLOWING OUR ADDITIONAL COSTS, I WON'T READ, DETAIL THEM ALL,
4 PROBATION HAS IDENTIFIED 3-1/2 MILLION IN ONE-TIME FUNDING
5 THAT WOULD REDUCE THE OUTSTANDING OVERAGE TO 3.5 MILLION. WE
6 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.E.O.
7 TO IDENTIFY THE \$3.573 MILLION IN SUPPLEMENTAL CHANGES TO THE
8 F.Y. '13/14 BUDGET THAT THE FINAL SCOPING DOCUMENT FOR THE
9 CAMP VERNON KILPATRICK PROJECT CAN MOVE FORWARD. SO THIS IS TO
10 KICK IT OVER TO SEPTEMBER, BUT I'LL ASK THE C.E.O. TO IDENTIFY
11 THE FUNDS.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR
14 YAROSLAVSKY.

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU ARE THE COAUTHOR OF THIS MOTION.

17

18 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** I WOULD TAKE NOTE OF THE MOTION
19 FROM SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY MOVING THE BUSINESS OF THE
20 PROBATION CAMPS AND INNOVATION FORWARD. IS THERE ANY FURTHER
21 DISCUSSION ON THAT ITEM? SO IT IS PROPERLY MOVED AND SECONDED.
22 IF THERE IS NO OBJECTION, PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE FOR
23 THAT PARTICULAR MATTER.

24



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE ONE MORE
2 MOTION.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** SIR.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AS PART OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2013/14
7 RECOMMENDED BUDGET, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER INCLUDED
8 \$989,000 IN NEW ONGOING NET COUNTY COSTS FOR THE PARKS AND
9 RECREATION DEPARTMENT TO COVER A SHORTFALL IN GOLF REVENUE.
10 THIS AMOUNT IS IN ADDITION TO \$2 MILLION INCLUDED IN THE F.Y.
11 '12/'13 BUDGET. THE DECLINE IN REVENUE HAS RESULTED FROM
12 SEVERAL GOLF COURSE OPERATORS NOT MEETING THEIR CONTRACTUAL
13 RENT OBLIGATIONS AS WELL AS A DECREASE IN ROUNDS OF PLAY SINCE
14 THE ECONOMIC DOWNTURN. WITH THE ECONOMY SHOWING EARLY SIGNS OF
15 IMPROVEMENT AND THE DEPARTMENT MAKING SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS IN
16 TRANSITIONING TO NEW GOLF COURSE OPERATORS, IT IS PREMATURE TO
17 ALLOCATE ONGOING REVENUES TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR WHAT MAY
18 ULTIMATELY PROVE TO BE A SHORT-TERM PROBLEM. SO I THEREFORE
19 MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE
20 OFFICER TO ALLOCATE 989,000 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND
21 RECREATION ON A ONE-TIME BASIS INSTEAD OF ON GOING AND RE-
22 VISIT THE NEED TO ALLOCATE ADDITIONAL FUTURE FUNDS DURING
23 SUPPLEMENTAL CHANGES TO THE F.Y. 2014/'15 BUDGET.

24

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. YOU'VE HEARD THE
2 RECOMMENDATION OF THE MOTION BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. IS
3 THERE A SECOND? WE NOTE THAT SUPERVISOR KNABE SECONDS. IS
4 THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE ITEM? SEEING NONE, PLEASE
5 RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE ON THAT MOTION.

6

7 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT'S ALL I HAVE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, MR. YAROSLAVSKY.
10 SUPERVISOR KNABE, PLEASE.

11

12 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE A COUPLE MOTIONS
13 HERE. FIRST OF ALL, THE 10,000 JOBS PROGRAM UTILIZED FEDERAL
14 STIMULUS DOLLARS TO CREATE TEMPORARY SUBSIDIZED JOBS FOR
15 11,000 ADULTS AND 15,000 DISADVANTAGED YOUTH. THE PROGRAM
16 BECAME A LIFELINE FOR MANY LOCAL COMPANIES AND PREVENTED -- AS
17 WELL AS COMMUNITY-BASED GROUPS - AND PREVENTED PEOPLE FROM
18 GOING ON WELFARE. MORE THAN 1500 PEOPLE WERE ABLE TO MOVE INTO
19 PERMANENT UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF THE 10,000 JOBS
20 PROGRAM. THIS IS ONE OF THE BEST USES OF STIMULUS FUNDING IN
21 OUR NATION. THIS PROGRAM UTILIZED SMART SPENDING AND TAXPAYER
22 MONEY WISELY, IN THE END SAVING MONEY TO ALL. THIS WAS NOT A
23 HANDOUT BUT A HAND UP. THANKS TO THE DEMONSTRATED SUCCESS OF
24 THE 10,000 JOBS PROGRAM, THE STATE HAS SET ASIDE A SIGNIFICANT
25 PORTION OF CALWORKS FUNDING TO CONTINUE SUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 I THEREFORE MOVE THAT OUR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES
2 REPORT BACK IN 30 DAYS WITH A PLAN TO TAKE FULL ADVANTAGE OF
3 THE FUNDING AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CALWORKS PROGRAM FOR
4 SUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT, INCLUDING HOW THE PROGRAM WILL BE
5 IMPLEMENTED TO EMPLOY AS MANY INDIVIDUALS AS POSSIBLE.

6

7 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR KNABE. THE
8 MATTER IS BEFORE US. JOBS, JOBS, JOBS IS THE MANTRA HERE.
9 THEREFORE, SUPERVISOR KNABE MOVES. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
10 SECONDS. IF THERE IS NO DISCUSSION ON THE MATTER, MADAM
11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE.

12

13 **SUP. KNABE:** AND THEN THE OTHER MOTION THAT I HAVE IS TO
14 INSTRUCT THE C.E.O. TO REPORT BACK AT THE SEPTEMBER BUDGET
15 MEETING HOW TO ALLOCATE THE \$120,000 FROM THE P.F.U. ACCOUNT
16 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CORONER TO FUND ONE CRIMINALIST POSITION
17 ON AN ONGOING BASIS.

18

19 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. IT'S BEEN MOVED BY
20 SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. IF THERE IS
21 NO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE ITEM, PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS
22 VOTE. SUPERVISOR KNABE?

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S IT.

25

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY KINDLY FOR THOSE
2 TWO MOTIONS. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS COAUTHORING THIS MOTION
5 WITH ME, THE 2012/'13 BUDGET INCLUDES A SHIFT OF 768,000 IN
6 WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT FUNDING FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF
7 COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES TO THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER FOR
8 PROGRAM MONITORING. WE HAD MOVED THAT THE BOARD REQUEST THE
9 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AND THE DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY
10 SENIOR SERVICES TO REPORT TO THE BOARD IN 30 DAYS ON HOW THESE
11 FUNDS WILL BE USED TO IMPROVE THE MONITORING OF THE WORKFORCE
12 INVESTMENT ACT AGENCIES.

13

14 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** I THANK YOU. AT THE REQUEST OF
15 SUPERVISORS ANTONOVICH AND MOLINA, WE HAVE THE WORKFORCE
16 INVESTMENT ACT MOTION BEFORE US. THERE IS NO FURTHER
17 DISCUSSION OR DEBATE ON THE ITEM, PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS
18 VOTE.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MR. CHAIRMAN, TO DEVELOP THE ANNUAL SALARIES
21 AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS APPROPRIATION TO EACH OF OUR
22 DEPARTMENTS, THE C.E.O. CONSIDERS POTENTIAL HIRING DELAYS,
23 ATTRITION AND THE NUMBER OF VACANCIES AND PROMOTIONS THAT
24 OCCUR THROUGHOUT THE FISCAL YEAR, WHICH IMPACT EXPENDITURES
25 FOR SALARIES. AFTER CONSIDERING THE FACTORS AND OTHER

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 INFORMATION, THE C.E.O. THEN FINALIZES THE SALARY, EMPLOY
2 BENEFIT APPROPRIATION FOR EACH COUNTY DEPARTMENT AS PART OF
3 THE TOTAL RECOMMENDED BUDGET TO THE BOARD IN APRIL. IT'S
4 UNCLEAR WHETHER THE SAME LEVEL OF REVIEW AND AN ANALYSIS OF
5 HIRING DELAYS, ATTRITION VACANCIES AND PROMOTIONS OCCUR
6 THROUGHOUT THE FISCAL YEAR. TO RE-ASSESS THE SALARIES AND
7 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT APPROPRIATIONS AND ITS ADEQUACY FOR EACH
8 DEPARTMENT. AS A RESULT, DEPARTMENTS FREQUENTLY CLAIM THEY ARE
9 FORCED TO MAINTAIN A CERTAIN NUMBER OF BUDGETED POSITIONS
10 VACANT IN ORDER TO AVOID EXCEEDING THEIR SALARY AND EMPLOYEE
11 BENEFIT APPROPRIATIONS DURING THAT FISCAL YEAR. AND WE
12 RECENTLY HAD SEEN IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
13 SERVICES, THAT WE APPROPRIATED FUNDS, BUT THEY WERE NOT BEING
14 USED TO HIRE THOSE ADDITIONAL WORKERS. THE CURRENT BUDGET
15 PRACTICE DOES NOT ALLOW FOR AN ACCURATE OR TRANSPARENT
16 REPRESENTATION OF THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF POSITIONS NEEDED TO
17 OPERATE AT ANY GIVEN DEPARTMENT. THE COUNTY'S 1,354 BUDGETED
18 POSITIONS AND 2,164 VACANCIES, IT'S VITAL TO KNOW HOW MONEY
19 ARE HELD VACANT FOR BUDGETARY PURPOSES AND HOW MANY ARE NEED
20 TO OPERATE THE DEPARTMENT. SO I'D MOVE THE BOARD DIRECT THE
21 C.E.O. TO REVIEW EVERY 90 DAYS VACANT BUDGETED POSITIONS,
22 IDENTIFYING THOSE POSITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN VACANT FOR 90 DAYS
23 OR MORE AND THE UNSPENT APPROPRIATION THAT COULD BE RETURNED
24 TO THE COUNTY'S GENERAL FUND AND REPORT TO THE BOARD IN
25 WRITING EVERY 90 DAYS THE AMOUNT OF FUNDS THAT COULD BE

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 RETURNED TO THE GENERAL FUND AND TRANSFER THESE FUNDS IN ALL
2 MID-YEAR BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS.

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THAT MATTER IS BEFORE
5 US?

6

7 **SUP. MOLINA:** CAN I SAY SOMETHING?

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** CERTAINLY.

10

11 **SUP. MOLINA:** BILL, ON THE QUESTION THAT MICHAEL JUST PUT
12 TOGETHER, WHICH IS A GOOD ONE, DO WE HAVE AN AMOUNT -- I MEAN
13 EVERY DEPARTMENT HAS TO PRODUCE AN UNFUNDED -- WHAT IS IT
14 CALLED? A FUND BALANCE, RIGHT? AND SO THEY HAVE TO -- IN OTHER
15 WORDS, THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO TURN OVER SO MUCH OF IT. AND
16 USUALLY IT HAS BEEN THE ISSUE OF POSITIONS THAT ARE UNFILLED
17 OR VACANCIES AND SO ON THAT THEY HAVE THROUGHOUT THE YEAR. BUT
18 IS THERE AN AMOUNT THAT EACH ONE IS DESIGNATED TO TURN OVER?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE'S NOT A SPECIFIC AMOUNT PER SE. THERE IS
21 A SPECIFIC AMOUNT FOR THE SALARY EMPLOYEE BENEFITS. AND WHAT
22 WE TRY TO DO, WE'LL HAVE TO PROVIDE YOU MORE INFORMATION, IS
23 WHEN YOU LOOK AT ANY SINGLE DEPARTMENT, NOT EVERY POSITION IN
24 A DEPARTMENT IS FUNDED AT THE TOP STEP. THEY'RE ALL FUNDED AT
25 THE TOP STEP. THEY'RE NOT FILLED AT THE TOP STEP.



1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** THAT'S CORRECT.

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SO WHAT WE ACCOUNT FOR IS THAT VARIANCE
5 BETWEEN SOME, LIKE THE FIRST STEP, THIRD STEP AND THEN THE TOP
6 STEP. BUT WHAT WE DO TRACK IS WE TRACK TO THEIR DOLLAR
7 ALLOCATION FOR THEIR BUDGETED POSITIONS TO INSURE THAT THEY'RE
8 LIVING WITHIN THAT. BUT THE SYSTEM WE HAVE, I KNOW IT SOUNDS
9 SOMEWHAT COMPLICATED, BUT IT'S ACTUALLY PROVIDED A DEGREE OF
10 FLEXIBILITY FOR THAT DEPARTMENT.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** I UNDERSTAND. AND THIS MOTION, I THINK, IS A GOOD
13 MOTION FROM THE STANDPOINT OF ACCOUNTABILITY. BUT WHAT I DON'T
14 UNDERSTAND IS THE DEPARTMENTS USE THE FACT THAT "I CAN'T FILL
15 THESE POSITIONS EVEN THOUGH I HAVE ALL THESE VACANCIES". A
16 GOOD EXAMPLE IS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN SERVICES. HE HAS
17 ALL OF THESE FUNDED POSITIONS AND ALL OF THESE VACANCIES. AND
18 KIND OF THE EXCUSE HAS BEEN ARE THEY REALLY FUNDED BECAUSE I
19 HAVE TO PRODUCE FUND BALANCE? SO I THINK THAT THIS IS A GOOD
20 THING TO GET AN IDEA OF WHAT THE PROJECTIONS ARE AND SO ON,
21 BUT I MEAN I DO KNOW THAT DEPARTMENTS DO HAVE A DUTY TO TURN
22 IN -- THERE'S SOME TARGETED AMOUNT THEY HAVE TO TURN IN FOR
23 THE POSITION. AND I'M HOPING THAT IT'S FOR KIND OF NATURAL
24 ATTRITION, THE FACT THAT A LOG JAM AS FAR AS HIRING, BUT I
25 GUESS WE'RE UNDER THE IMPRESSION AND HOPEFULLY -- AND I THINK



1 THAT'S A CLARIFICATION THAT MIKE IS TRYING TO GET -- THAT WHEN
2 WE FUND THESE POSITIONS, THEY ARE TO BE FILLED. AND WHAT
3 YOU'RE TELLING US IS CLEARLY THEY ARE FUNDED ALL THE WAY TO
4 THE FULL AUTHORIZATION. SO THIS IS THE VARIANCE FROM THAT. SO
5 I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME ACCOUNTABILITY SO A DEPARTMENT DOESN'T
6 COME IN AND SAY YOU KNOW, I'D FILL IT BUT I CAN'T DO IT
7 BECAUSE I'M SUPPOSED TO TURN IN SO MUCH OF IT UNFUNDED. AND I
8 KNOW THAT IN CHILDREN'S SERVICES, IT CANNOT BE ALL THAT MUCH
9 BECAUSE THEY HAVE WELL OVER 250 POSITIONS THAT ARE FUNDED AND
10 NOT FILLED AT THIS POINT IN TIME. SO COULD WE HAVE A REPORT
11 ALONG WITH WHAT YOU'RE DOING HERE? I'M NOT AMENDING IT IN ANY
12 WAY. BUT JUST TO GET A CLARIFICATION OF THOSE SALARY SAVINGS
13 THAT THOSE DEPARTMENTS ARE SUPPOSED TO BE HEADING IN? BECAUSE
14 THEY HAVE TO TURN IN SO MUCH. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE COULD PROVIDE THAT, BY DEPARTMENT, BY ITEM.
17 THE MAIN THING IS WE DON'T WANT, WHEN THE DAY'S OVER, FOR A
18 DEPARTMENT TO EXCEED THE BUDGET. I KNOW ALL OF US AGREE TO
19 THAT. AND THE SALARY SAVINGS PROCESS I KNOW IS VERY, VERY
20 CONFUSING. BUT WE ALWAYS TRACK TO THE BOTTOM LINE.

21

22 **SUP. MOLINA:** AND I THINK THAT'S A HEALTHY THING. I THINK THAT
23 IS ONE OF THE BUDGETING ROUTINES AND POLICIES AROUND HERE THAT
24 HAS KEPT US ON THE HEALTHY SIDE OF BUDGETING. AND I DON'T HAVE
25 A PROBLEM WITH THAT. IT'S JUST THAT WHEN THERE IS AN ISSUE AND

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 WE LOOK AT FUNDED POSITIONS AND WHY AREN'T THEY FILLING THEM,
2 THEY COME BACK "OH NO." I KNOW IT ISN'T AS HIGH AS 250
3 POSITIONS IN ANY ONE DEPARTMENT BECAUSE THEY'RE FUNDED TO THE
4 FULL STRENGTH. SO I WANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT. SO THIS MOTION IS
5 HELPFUL. AND I KNOW IT'S A REPORT BACK, BUT I'D LIKE IT TO
6 INCLUDE THAT IF YOU COULD.

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SURE.

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. AS AMENDED BY
11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YEAH, I JUST -- THIS IS BEING TREATED AS A
14 REPORT BACK. SO WE'RE NOT DIRECTING TO CAPTURE THESE SALARY
15 SAVINGS AT THIS POINT IN TIME, IS THAT CORRECT?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO. JUST A REPORT BACK.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO I JUST WANT TO, FOR CLARIFICATION
20 PURPOSES THAT IT BE REPORT BACK SO WE CAN UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
21 IMPLICATIONS ARE OF DOING IT, NOT DOING IT, AND THAT SORT OF
22 THING. I THINK IT'S WORTH LOOKING AT BUT I DON'T WANT TO BE
23 COMMITTED TODAY.

24

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** PROPERLY UNDERSTOOD. ALL RIGHT.
2 MOVED BY --

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** EXCUSE ME, MR. CHAIRMAN. ONE LAST COMMENT.
5 IN THE SECOND -- IN POINT 2 OF THE MOTION, IT SAYS REPORT TO
6 THE BOARD IN WRITING EVERY 90 DAYS WITH THE AMOUNT OF FUNDS
7 THAT COULD BE RETURNED TO THE GENERAL FUND, AND TRANSFER THESE
8 FUNDS IN ALL MAJOR BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS. CAN WE JUST STRIKE THAT
9 LAST CLAUSE SO THAT WE CAN ANALYZE IT WHEN THE REPORT COMES
10 BACK?

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** I THINK THE MOTION SHOULD BE
13 INTERPRETED AS A REPORT BACK PURSUANT TO THE AMENDMENTS THAT
14 ARE ON THE TABLE, BOTH MOLINA AND THE INTERVENTION BY
15 YAROSLAVSKY. THE INTENT SHOULD BE UNDERSTOOD AS IT IS THE
16 INSTRUCTIONS ARE ONLY TO BE AS A GUIDE TO A REPORT BACK. LET
17 ALL MEMBERS BE CLEAR THAT IT IS A REPORT BACK.

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY. SO LET ME JUST FOR THE SAKE OF CLARITY
20 JUST ADD RATHER THAN DO WHAT I JUST SUGGESTED, LET ME ADD A
21 CLAUSE AT THE END AFTER ADJUSTMENTS "SUBJECT TO BOARD OF
22 SUPERVISORS APPROVAL".

23

24 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ACCEPTED. RIGHT. SUPERVISOR
25 ANTONOVICH, THAT'S ACCEPTABLE TO YOU, IS IT NOT?

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** I PREFER THE OTHER.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IT'LL WORK.

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL
7 AMENDMENTS HAVING BEEN NOTED. PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE
8 ON THE ITEM BEFORE US.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES YOUR
13 MOTIONS OR DO YOU HAVE ADDITIONAL ONES? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** THAT'S IT.

16

17 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET ME
18 SHARE MY FINAL MOTION. IN THE RECOMMENDED BUDGET FOR THE
19 COMING FISCAL YEAR, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER INCLUDED 1.6
20 MILLION FOR THE HIRING OF 27 NURSES WHO ARE CURRENTLY WORKING
21 UNDER A PILOT PROGRAM AT D.C.F.S. AND BEING FUNDED THROUGH
22 TITLE 4 E WAIVER FUNDS. THESE NURSES, IT'S OUR VIEW, PLAY A
23 VITAL ROLE IN ADDRESSING THE NEEDS OF CHILDREN WHO HAVE COME
24 UNDER THE SUPERVISION AND CARE OF D.C.F.S. IN LIGHT OF OUR
25 ONGOING CONCERNS ABOUT CHILD WELFARE AND SAFETY, I BELIEVE



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 IT'S NECESSARY TO UNDERSTAND THE ROLE AND FUNCTION OF THESE
2 NURSES. MORE IMPORTANTLY I THINK THE HEALTH OUTCOMES OF THESE
3 CHILDREN ARE BEING DOCUMENTED AND OUR RECORDKEEPING IS
4 APPROPRIATELY MAINTAINED. AND THEREFORE I SUBMIT THE MOTION
5 THAT IS BEING CIRCULATED FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AND WOULD HOPE
6 THAT WE CAN INSTRUCT THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
7 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AS WELL AS THE DIRECTOR OF
8 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO REPORT BACK TO US IN WRITING IN
9 30 DAYS A DESCRIPTION OF THE ROLES OF THOSE SPECIFIC NURSES
10 UNDER OUR CONSIDERATION HERE, THE WRITTEN POLICIES AND
11 PROCEDURES THAT GIVE OVERSIGHT TO THEM, THE DATA ON THE
12 WORKLOADS, THE HEALTH OUTCOMES AS WELL AS ANY OTHER DASHBOARD
13 DATA THAT THE BOARD MIGHT FIND USEFUL. AND, FINALLY, A
14 DESCRIPTION OF THE TRAINING THAT EACH DEPARTMENT PROVIDES
15 THESE NURSES, THESE SOCIAL WORKERS AND OTHER STAFF ON THE
16 FUNCTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE INDIVIDUALS THAT WE ARE
17 CALLING FORTH HERE. THIS IS AN IMPORTANT FUNCTION, AND IT
18 SEEMS TO ME THAT WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE UTILIZING
19 THE RESOURCES AT OUR DISPOSAL ON BEHALF OF THIS DEPARTMENT IN
20 THE MOST EFFECTIVE WAY POSSIBLE. IT IS BEFORE YOU, SECONDED BY
21 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE MOTION?

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE CLARITY OF THE MOTION
24 THAT WE'RE NOT PUT IN A POSITION TO LAYOFF THE NURSES.

25



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ABSOLUTELY NOT. AND WE
2 APPRECIATE THAT INTERVENTION, SUPERVISOR KNABE. WE DO NOT WISH
3 TO CAUSE ANY LAYOFFS, BUT WE DO WANT TO MAXIMIZE THE
4 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE WORKFORCE. IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER
5 DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS ON THE ITEM, THEN MADAM EXECUTIVE
6 OFFICER, PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE. THAT APPEARS TO BE
7 THE EXTENT OF THE MOTIONS THAT BOARD MEMBERS WISH TO OFFER AT
8 THIS POINT IN TIME. THEREFORE LET'S MOVE TO THE NEXT ITEM
9 BEFORE US, WHICH WOULD BE ITEM NO. 6. MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER?

10

11 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** ITEM NO. 6 AND THERE IS AN
12 INDIVIDUAL WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

13

14 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. WE'LL HEAR FROM ERIC
15 PREVEN ON ITEM NO. 6.

16

17 **ERIC PREVEN:** YES, IT IS ERIC PREVEN THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM
18 DISTRICT 3. AND IT'S GOOD TO SEE THE SUPERVISORS ENGAGING IN
19 THIS PROCESS. THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS FLYING AROUND, AND I
20 THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT. I DIDN'T GET A COPY OF MR.
21 ANTONOVICH'S UNFUNDED POSITION MEMO, IF I COULD GET ONE OFF TO
22 THE SIDE. BUT ITEM NO. 6 HAS TO DO WITH HIS REQUEST OFF OF THE
23 PIT BULL ATTACK FROM MAY THAT WE ENGAGE IN A FAIRLY ROBUST
24 INCREASE IN THE SIZE OF THE ANIMAL CONTROL DIVISION. HE WANTS
25 TO ADD A NEW CRITICAL CASE PROCESSING UNIT AS WELL AS EITHER



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RESTORE OR ADD SOME BODIES TO THE MAJOR CASE UNIT. AND ON TOP
2 OF THAT, HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A SUBSTANTIALLY LARGER CALL
3 CENTER FOR THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. NOW, THE PART THAT WAS A
4 LITTLE BIT OF A RED FLAG FOR ME IS WE'VE BEEN TOLD SOME
5 CONFLICTED -- WE ARE APPARENTLY GETTING SOMETHING LIKE 700,000
6 PHONE CALLS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, ANIMAL CONTROL. THE NUMBER THAT
7 INVOLVES INCIDENTS OR BITES IS MUCH LOWER BUT STILL WORRISOME,
8 SOMETHING LIKE 10,000. BUT I DON'T SEE THE EXPANSION -- CISCO,
9 APPARENTLY WHO I THINK IS IN THE CALL CENTER BUSINESS, CLAIMS
10 THAT WE ARE 15 POSITIONS SHORT AT OUR CALL CENTER. WE ALREADY
11 HAVE OVER 13. SO BY HAVING A DEDICATED CALL CENTER OUT IN THE
12 ANTELOPE VALLEY, APPARENTLY WE'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH SOME -- I
13 THINK THAT THESE NUMBERS NEED CAREFUL SCRUTINY. I MEAN, I DO
14 NOT UNDERSTAND THOSE NUMBERS AT ALL, FRANKLY. YOU KNOW, FIRST
15 IT'S 700,000, COMES DOWN TO 97,000 AND THEN 10,000 BITES, I
16 JUST FEEL LIKE ADDING ONE POSITION COULD FIELD A LOT OF PHONE
17 CALLS. I MEAN HAVE WE BENCHMARKED THIS KIND OF A CALL CENTER
18 AGAINST EITHER OUR 211 OPERATION? AND OF COURSE IT SHOULD BE
19 NOTED THAT IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY WITH AN ANIMAL, CERTAINLY
20 LIKE THIS HORRIFYING PIT BULL ATTACK, OBVIOUSLY I WANT TO BE
21 CLEAR THIS IS NOT MY -- EVERYBODY IS CONCERNED WHEN THERE ARE
22 DANGEROUS ANIMALS AND SITUATIONS LIKE THAT. BUT I JUST DON'T
23 THINK THAT THIS IS REALLY A REMEDY. I THINK THIS IS A BULKING
24 UP. NOW ONE OF THE REASONS I AM CONCERNED IS BECAUSE TITLE 10
25 WHICH DEALS WITH THE LAWS OF THIS PARTICULAR ENFORCEMENT OF



1 DANGEROUS DOGS, POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS DOGS, WAS CHANGED BY
2 THIS BOARD SOME YEARS AGO. AND IT WAS DONE WITHOUT ANY
3 SUPPORTING EVIDENCE. WE MADE SOME PUBLIC RECORD ACT REQUESTS
4 TO GET HOW MANY CASES WERE COMING AND WHAT THE PRINCIPAL
5 CHANGE THAT TOOK PLACE WAS INSTEAD OF BEING HEARD BY A COURT,
6 IN A COURT OF LAW, THESE CASES ARE HEARD BY ANIMAL CONTROL
7 OFFICERS. SO BY ADDING ALL THESE INSPECTORS AND ENFORCEMENT
8 FOLKS, I THINK THAT THIS IS A REVENUE PLAY. I THINK THAT THE
9 PEOPLE WHO HAVE PETS WHO ARE ALREADY LICENSING OUR ANIMALS IN
10 COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW ARE REALLY NOT CLAMORING FOR THIS. I
11 THINK THIS IS A DIFFERENT KIND OF BUSINESS. I THINK THIS IS AN
12 EXPANSION OF ANIMAL CONTROL. I'VE BEEN HERE FOR A COUPLE
13 YEARS. EVERY YEAR WE'VE GOT A BIG NUMBER THAT SITS ON THE
14 TABLE HOPING TO GET APPROVED. I WOULD URGE THE BOARD TO DO THE
15 RIGHT THING. WE HAVE MANY, MANY PRIORITIES, AND I DON'T THINK
16 THAT INCREASING OUR CALL CENTER IS ONE OF THEM. THANK YOU.

17

18 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.
19 WE'LL PROCEED WITH THE C.E.O.'S REPORT ON THE ITEM, ITEM NO. 6
20 IS BEFORE US.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MOVE IT.

23

24 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S BEEN MOVED. ANY COMMENTS?
25 MR. FUJIOKA?

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I IDENTIFIED THE CRITICAL ELEMENTS OF THIS
3 REPORT IN MY OPENING STATEMENTS. JUST ONE BIT OF CLARIFICATION
4 ON THE CALL CENTER. IT NEEDS TO BE RECOGNIZED THAT IT WILL BE
5 A 24/7 OPERATION. SO WHEN WE LOOK AT A POST POSITION, WHICH IS
6 24/7, IT'S ABOUT 4 INDIVIDUALS FOR THAT SINGLE POSITION. SO I
7 THINK THE STAFFING AND WHAT'S BEING RECOMMENDED IS ABSOLUTELY
8 A RESPONSIBLE THING TO DO.

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. THE ITEM IS BEFORE
11 US. IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY
12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA. SEEING NO OBJECTIONS, PLEASE RECORD A
13 UNANIMOUS VOTE. MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

14

15 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** ITEM NO. 7.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ITEM NUMBERS 7 THROUGH 10 ARE MINISTERIAL.

18

19 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** ITEM NO. THE 9 THERE IS A SPEAKER
20 ON IT. SO IF YOU WANT TO TAKE UP ITEM NUMBERS 7 AND 8?

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IF WE CAN DO 7 AND 8, PLEASE? I WON'T READ IT
23 FOR EVERYONE, IT'S JUST THAT I ASK FOR APPROVAL.

24

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. 7 AND 8 ARE BEFORE
2 US. IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE, SECONDED BY
3 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. SEEING NO OBJECTIONS, PLEASE RECORD A
4 UNANIMOUS VOTE. WE'LL TAKE UP ITEM NO. 9 AT THIS POINT. AND WE
5 NOTE THAT ERIC PREVEN WISHES TO BE HEARD. 9.

6

7 **ERIC PREVEN:** NO. 9? CAN YOU IDENTIFY IT?

8

9 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** MR. PREVEN, ITEM NO. 9 DEALS
10 WITH THE WRAP UP ISSUES FOR OUR BUDGET ITEMS. NOW YOU'VE ASKED
11 TO BE HEARD SEVERAL TIMES. MY ASSUMPTION IS THAT YOU KNOW THE
12 ITEMS THAT YOU WISH TO ADDRESS. DO YOU WANT TO PASS AND PICK
13 IT UP IN THE PUBLIC COMMENT PORTION?

14

15 **ERIC PREVEN:** NO, I'M READY TO GO. I WAS TRYING TO IDENTIFY.
16 OH, IT'S THE MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS. SIR, I THINK YOU WILL
17 AGREE THAT IT IS ERIC PREVEN, THE COUNTY RESIDENT FROM
18 DISTRICT 3. THERE ARE A LARGE NUMBER OF NUMBERED ITEMS SO I
19 WAS CONFUSED. I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH THIS PARTICULAR ITEM AND
20 I AM READY TO ADDRESS IT NOW, THANK YOU.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** WE NEVER EXPECT YOU TO BE
23 CONFUSED.

24

25 **ERIC PREVEN:** UNPREPARED.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** EXACTLY.

3

4 **ERIC PREVEN:** I REFERENCED IN MY LAST REMARK, THE CALIFORNIA
5 PUBLIC RECORD ACT. WE HAD A BIG VICTORY THANKS TO GOVERNOR
6 BROWN REFUSING TO ADD LANGUAGE THAT WOULD HAVE MADE THE
7 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORD ACT WEAKER SUBSTANTIALLY. SO IN LIGHT
8 OF THAT, AND I WANT TO REFERENCE THAT IN ADDITION O TO THE
9 GOVERNOR'S BUSINESS REGARDING THE PUBLIC RECORD ACT, THERE IS
10 GLORIA MOLINA HAS ADDED AN ITEM FOR TOMORROW, 35-B, WHICH IS
11 SUPPORTING THE GOVERNOR'S APPEAL OF THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL JAIL
12 ISSUES IN OUR STATE PRISONS. I MUST TELL YOU, THAT IS
13 EXTREMELY DISTURBING IN LIGHT OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING
14 TODAY ABOUT THE SHERIFF AND EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT GOVERNOR
15 BROWN AND SHERIFF BACA ARE COLLEAGUES AND ALLIES. AND I THINK
16 THEY SHARE SOME RESPONSIBILITY FOR WHETHER IT'S UPSTATE OR
17 DOWN HERE IN THE COUNTY HAS NOT BEEN GOING ESPECIALLY WELL.
18 AND I THINK THE IDEA THAT THE SOLUTION WOULD BE TO LEAVE THE
19 9500 OR SO PRISONERS IN JAIL AND TO PUT MR. KRATTLI AND HIS
20 TEAM ON THAT PROJECT ALONG WITH THE GOVERNOR WHO'S TRYING TO
21 FIGHT THE BATTLE IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH WHAT MANY PEOPLE IN
22 THE PUBLIC WANT, I CAN ASSURE YOU OF THAT. REGARDING THE
23 THINGS THAT -- MR. ANTONOVICH HAD A COMMENT. I DIDN'T HEAR IT.

24

25 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** HOW MANY ARE YOU TAKING?



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **ERIC PREVEN:** HOW MUCH WHICH?

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** ARE YOU GOING TO BE A MENTOR TO THESE PEOPLE?
5 HOW MANY ARE YOU GOING TO MENTOR?

6

7 **ERIC PREVEN:** YOU'RE ASKING WHAT'S MY CIVIC ENGAGEMENT
8 QUOTIENT? IT'S VERY HIGH. VERY, VERY HIGH.

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THE CHAIR IS SUGGESTING THAT YOU
11 HAVE 57 MINUTES -- SECONDS REMAINING.

12

13 **ERIC PREVEN:** FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR,
14 UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE SUPPORT,
15 THOSE OF US IN THE PUBLIC. IF YOU ARE HAPPENED TO BE ENGAGED
16 WITH THE LAW, YOU WIND UP EITHER IN A STATE PRISON OR COUNTY
17 JAIL, PICK YOUR POISON, RIGHT? I'M NOT SUGGESTING WE SHOULD BE
18 CATERING TO CRIMINALS, BUT I AM SUGGESTING THAT WE SHOULD
19 BRING THE CONDUCT OF OUR INSTITUTIONS IN LINE WITH WHAT MOST
20 OF THE OFFICERS SUPPORT. AND I THINK YOU WOULD AGREE WITH
21 THAT, SIR. SO ITEM NO. 9 WAS MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS, AND SOME
22 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS THAT CAME UP WITH ONE WAS A
23 MILLION DOLLARS TO THE NATURAL HISTORY FOUNDATION ON AN ANNUAL
24 BASIS. THIS KIND MUCH WAS APPROVED A MOMENT AGO. I DON'T QUITE
25 UNDERSTAND THAT. I MEAN, THIS IS MR. VOLPERT'S GROUP. THEY'RE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WELL FUNDED. I KNOW THAT YOU ALL HAVE PARTIES AT THE MUSEUM OF
2 NATURAL HISTORY, AND I LOVE THE MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY. BUT
3 IT SEEMS TO ME LIKE OF ALL THE MISCELLANEOUS THINGS TO BE
4 FUNDING, COULDN'T WE FUND AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC RECORDS
5 THAT WE OBTAIN, AND I HAVE TO OBTAIN NUMEROUS AT MY EXPENSE,
6 COULDN'T IT BE HOUSED --

7

8 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU, MR. PREVEN.

9

10 **ERIC PREVEN:** THIS IS MY MISCELLANEOUS ITEM.

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** YES, BUT YOUR --

13

14 **ERIC PREVEN:** MY TIME HAS EXPIRED.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** YOUR MISCELLANY TIME HAS
17 EXPIRED.

18

19 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU. ITEM NO. 9 IS BEFORE
20 US. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OF CLARIFICATION, MR. FUJIOKA?

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO, SIR. I THINK IT'S TIME TO MOVE FORWARD.
23 NUMBER 10 IS JUST

24

25 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** WE NEED APPROVAL ON ITEM NO. 9.

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

2 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** 9 IS BEFORE US. IT'S BEEN MOVED
3 BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. PLEASE
4 RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE.

5

6 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** THANK YOU.

7

8 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** MR. FUJIOKA.

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ITEM NO. 10, PLEASE. IT'S TIME TO PASS THE
11 BUDGET.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** ALL RIGHT. ANY QUESTIONS,
14 COMMENTS WITH RESPECT TO ITEM NO. 10 AT THIS POINT? MS. HAMAI?

15

16 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** ON ITEM NO. 10 IF THE AUDITOR-
17 CONTROLLER IS READY, THEY WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THEIR REPORT.

18

19 **JOHN NAIMO:** WE ARE. GOOD MORNING, JOHN NAIMO, ASSISTANT
20 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER HAS RECORDED ALL OF
21 THE BOARD-APPROVED CHANGES TO THE 2013/'14 BUDGET. THE BUDGET
22 IS BALANCED. AND IT IS NOW READY FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

23

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MEMBERS OF
2 THE BOARD, ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
3 PERTAINING TO ITS RECOMMENDATION FOR ADOPTION OR APPROVAL?

4
5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** MOVE IT.

6
7 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
8 ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. SEEING THAT THERE
9 IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION OR OBJECTION TO THE ITEM BEFORE US,
10 PLEASE RECORD A UNANIMOUS VOTE. THANK YOU, SIR. WE NOW TURN TO
11 PUBLIC COMMENT. WE NOTE ONE CARD IN THAT REGARD. AND THE CHAIR
12 WILL ENTERTAIN A WAGER AS TO WHO THAT MIGHT BE. A BIG PRIZE IF
13 YOU CAN GUESS WHO IT WILL BE. SOMEONE WHO WISHES TO WAX
14 ELOQUENT ON THE MATTER OF CIVIC ENGAGEMENT?

15
16 **ERIC PREVEN:** IS IT ARNOLD SACHS? NO. I GOT IT WRONG.

17
18 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** NO MORE DISORDERLY CONDUCT, MR.
19 PREVEN.

20
21 **ERIC PREVIN:** AS ALWAYS, I OCCASIONALLY GET IT WRONG SIR, BUT
22 MOSTLY I GET IT RIGHT. IT'S ERIC PREVEN, THE COUNTY RESIDENT
23 FROM DISTRICT 3. AND SPEAKING OF CIVIC ENGAGEMENT, SOME WEEKS
24 AGO I REQUESTED ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC THAT WE FIND A WAY TO
25 MAKE A SPACE OR TWO OR FIVE OR 16 IF WE COULD GET 16 PEOPLE

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 FROM THE PUBLIC DOWN HERE OVER AT THE L.A. COUNTY MUSIC CENTER
2 WHERE WE HAVE A BIG VAST PARKING LOT MANAGED BY CLASSIC
3 PARKING WHO REGULARLY WAIVE PARKING FOR OTHER EVENTS. AND I
4 THOUGHT THE CIVIC ENGAGEMENT PARKING SUBSIDY NAMED AFTER
5 ANYBODY AMONG THE BOARD WHO WOULD LIKE TO PUT THEIR NAME ON
6 SUCH A SUBSIDY WOULD BE A GOOD WAY TO GO SO THE MEMBERS OF THE
7 PUBLIC COULD COME DOWN HERE AND WE COULD HAVE A MORE ROBUST
8 TURNOUT THAN WE DO ON A REGULAR BASIS AT OUR BOARD MEETINGS.
9 GOING TO THE ISSUES THAT WERE DANGLING FROM TODAY, I DIDN'T
10 HEAR ONE WORD ABOUT TRIAL COURT FUNDING, AND YET ON SUNDAY'S
11 NEWSPAPER, THERE WAS A DEVASTATING INTERVIEW WITH MR. NASH WHO
12 BASICALLY IS DYSTHYMIC ABOUT THE WAY THINGS ARE GOING. HE'S
13 NOT ENCOURAGED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
14 SERVICES. HE DOESN'T SEE A LOT OF BLUE SKY IN THE EFFORTS TO
15 REPAIR THAT. AND I THINK THAT THE TRIAL COURT ISSUE GOES PAST
16 JUST THE TRIAL COURTS, IT ALSO GOES TO THE SERIOUS CUTS WE'VE
17 TAKEN IN OUR SUPERIOR COURTS. AND I DON'T KNOW HOW WE CAN BE
18 PLEASED WITH HOW THINGS ARE GOING KNOWING THAT THE MAIN ROAD
19 OF DUE PROCESS IS FULLY CLOGGED AND IN JEOPARDY. ANOTHER
20 QUESTION THAT MR. KNABE ALLUDED TO HAD TO DO WITH THE QUESTION
21 OF WHETHER OR NOT CUSTODY ASSISTANTS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE
22 HIRING FREEZE. I WOULD LIKE SOMEBODY OFF TO THE SIDE TO
23 RESPOND THERE BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THE C.C.J.V. ORDERED
24 EFFECTIVELY OR REQUESTED OR RECOMMENDED THAT WE ADD CUSTODY
25 ASSISTANTS. AND I'VE BEEN TRACKING IT VERY CAREFULLY AND I

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 DON'T SEE ANY OF THAT HAPPENING. AND I KNOW WE'VE GOT A 65/35
2 TAPE WE CAN PUT IN TO HEAR THAT CYCLE BACK AND FORTH ABOUT HOW
3 WE CAN'T QUITE HIT THE MARK. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHETHER
4 OR NOT THEY'RE EXEMPT. IT'S A GOOD QUESTION, I WOULD LIKE TO
5 KNOW. THE CAPITAL PROJECT DOWN HERE AT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
6 ARE VERY IMPORTANT. WE HAVE SUBSTANTIAL -- WE'VE DONE SOME
7 GREAT THINGS IN THE PAST. WE CONTINUE TO DO SOME GREAT THINGS
8 GOING FORWARD. THE MEETINGS FOR THAT, UNFORTUNATELY, ARE
9 ELUSIVE. I HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING CAREFULLY AND THERE WAS A
10 MEETING IN WEDNESDAY IN MAY. THEY SAID THE NEXT ONE WOULD BE
11 EVERY THIRD THURSDAY AT 3 P.M. WELL, THERE WAS NO MEETING THE
12 THIRD THURSDAY AT 3 P.M. IN ROOM 739 OR WHATEVER. AND THEN I
13 ASKED WELL WHEN IS IT? AND I'VE YET TO RECEIVE A RESPONSE. SO
14 THIS IS SUBSTANTIALLY WORRISOME. BECAUSE IF THE PUBLIC AND
15 IT'S NOT JUST ME, I WANT TO BE CLEAR, ARE INTERESTED IN
16 CAPITAL PROJECTS, WE SHOULD BE AFFORDED THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE
17 INFORMED ABOUT THOSE. WE KNOW WE HEARD, WE KNOW THAT THERE ARE
18 SOME REPORTS COMING BACK ON SOME OF THOSE PROJECTS, BUT WE
19 HAVEN'T SEEN THOSE, EITHER. FOR EXAMPLE, THE A.E.C.O.M. REPORT
20 ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS A VERY IMPORTANT REPORT THAT WE'D
21 LIKE TO HEAR AND THERE WERE SOME THINGS THAT WERE APPROVED
22 TODAY THAT MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN INFORMED BY THAT REPORT
23 HAD IT COME FORWARD, I HAVEN'T SEEN IT. IN CONCLUSION, I JUST
24 WHAT TO ASK, HAS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S STRUCTURAL PROBLEM BEEN
25 RESOLVED? EVERYONE WAS DELIGHTED THAT WE SEEM TO HAVE NICKED

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 TIME ONCE AGAIN. BUT HAS THAT BEEN RESOLVED? COULD I GET AN
2 ANSWER ON THAT OFF TO THE SIDE?

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** YOU MAY INDEED. THANK YOU VERY
5 MUCH. THAT CLOSES THE PUBLIC COMMENT PORTION OF OUR AGENDA FOR
6 TODAY. MADAM EXECUTIVE OFFICER? EXECUTIVE OFFICER? EXECUTIVE
7 OFFICER?

8

9 **SACHI HAMAI, EXEC. OFFICER:** THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS
10 OF THE BOARD. THAT WILL END TODAY'S MEETING. OUR NEXT REGULAR
11 MEETING WILL BE TOMORROW AT 9:30 A.M.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SEEING AS
14 THERE IS NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME BEFORE US --

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** OFFICE FOR EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR PUTTING THIS
17 TOGETHER AND ALL THE DEPARTMENTS THAT WE WORKED WITH. SO THIS
18 IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF HOW WASHINGTON AND SACRAMENTO AND EVEN
19 LOS ANGELES CITY COULD OPERATE THEIR BUDGET MOVING AHEAD IN
20 THEIR FISCAL YEAR.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS, CHAIRMAN:** WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
23 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT THE DEAN OF
24 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADMONISHES BOTH SACRAMENTO AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WASHINGTON YET AGAIN, AS IS HIS MANTRA. WITH THAT, WE AGREE

2 AND WE ARE ADJOURNED.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**



1 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
2 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
3 hereby certify:

4 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5 Angeles County Board of Supervisors June 24, 2013,
6 were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7 direction and supervision;

8 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9 in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
10 the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
11 me.

12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13 related to any party to the said action; nor
14 in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st
16 day of July 2013, for the County records to be used only for
17 authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18 as on file of the office of the reporter.

19

20 JENNIFER A. HINES

21 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR

22

23