

1	ATTENDEES
2	Commission Executive Director, Brian K. Williams
3	Commission Chair, Sean Kennedy
4	Commission Staff, Ingrid Williams
5	COMMISSIONERS:
6	Robert Bonner
7	Patti Giggans
8	Irma Hagans Cooper
9	James P. Harris
10	Luis S. Garcia
11	Hans Johnson
12	Special Counsel: Bert Deixler, Kendall, Brill & Kelly,
13	LLP
14	Special Counsel: Anthony Pacheco, Vedder Price
15	County Counsel: Danielle Vappie
16	Witnesses Page
17	1 Neal Tyler, LASD Executive, retired 11
18	2 Eric Strong, LASD Lieutenant 94
19	Exhibits Page
20	1 "Sergeants' Mentoring Initiative Resource Guide" 38
21	2 "Background Information About LASD and 58
22	Deputy Subgroups"
23	3 "Considerations for LASD Action Concerning 59
24	Deputy Subgroups"
25	

1	Pub	lic Comments	Page
2	1	Steve Krueger	133
3	2	Vanessa Perez	136
4	3	Jacqueline Venters	138
5	4	Ron Dowell	139
6	5	Ernest Moore	140
7	6	MJ King	142
8	7	Stephanie Luna	143
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Γ

Τ

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. It's 9:02, so I think we are going
3	to I think we're going to start our seventh special hearing
4	on deputy gangs. I think the first thing we need to do is do
5	the roll call.
6	MS. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Bonner?
7	COMMISSIONER BONNER: (No audible response.)
8	MS. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Cooper?
9	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Here.
10	MS. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Garcia?
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Here.
12	MS. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Harris?
13	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Here.
14	MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, I'm sorry. I skipped Commissioner
15	Giggans?
16	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Here.
17	MS. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Hicks?
18	VICE CHAIR HICKS: (No audible response.)
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Johnson?
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: (No audible response.)
21	MS. WILLIAMS: Chair Kennedy?
22	CHAIR KENNEDY: Here.
23	MS. WILLIAMS: And Commissioner Rubin?
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: (No audible response.)
25	CHAIR KENNEDY: She may be attending via Zoom, but I don't

see her. 1 2 Well, I think we -- I think we have enough 3 commissioners to proceed so we're going to start this. 4 First -- first thing on the agenda are reports from 5 myself and our able special counsel, Bert Deixler. So why 6 don't we begin. 7 I just want to start... DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: We have one other item. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. You want to begin that? 9 10 DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: Sure. Just very, very quickly. 11 As you know, we've been having these special 12 hearings, and we, as a result, have cancelled our regular 13 commission meetings during the same months to give staff the 14 time and the commission time to prepare for the special 15 hearings. I was thinking that it might be appropriate at this 16 point to just go ahead today and cancel our October 20th, 17 November 17th, and perhaps December 15th meetings until we 18 finish the special hearing period. That'll also take us past 19 activities that are happening early November in terms of the election as well. Some of our staff will be involved in 20 volunteering for the election process. 21 22 CHAIR KENNEDY: I think that we're going to have an eighth 23 special hearing two weeks -- is it two weeks from today? 24 Is that right? 25 MR. DEIXLER: Yes. And I was going to report procedurally

1	that on Monday morning at 8:30, the motion to enforce the
2	subpoena for the testimony of the Sheriff and to have him held
3	in contempt is going to be heard in the Los Angeles Superior
4	Court and
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: This is one of the
6	MR. DEIXLER: One of
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: the contempt hearings; right?
8	MR. DEIXLER: Yes. The one that's being heard on Monday.
9	There's another one that has now been pushed to
10	December 7th, the day that'll live in infamy, and in connection
11	with that, the County Counsel's outside lawyer who had who
12	is handling that matter has asked for a specific date to
13	request the Court to order the Sheriff to appear for his
14	testimony. I suggested October the 28th it's a Friday, and
15	it's two weeks hence. If that doesn't work, then it'd be
16	helpful for me to know so I can communicate that before Monday
17	morning so the counsel will be in a position to inform the
18	Court should should the Court order testimony to proceed.
19	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. How does that sound, Mr. Executive
20	Director?
21	DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: That's fine.
22	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
23	DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: That's fine.
24	CHAIR KENNEDY: I will try to book this room and let you
25	know if I'm successful.

Γ

Τ

1	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Hang on. Hang on before we start
2	the victory lap here. I've got a real hard conflict that day
3	because I I anticipate that day may take more than four
4	hours.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: The problem is that everyone has a
6	conflict no matter what date we have, JP.
7	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: What is the actual date? What's he
9	talking about?
10	CHAIR KENNEDY: He said October 28th.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Two weeks from today.
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, let's hold that thought because, JP,
13	I don't even know that that I can get this room, you know,
14	so but that's our plan.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And I might I might also say it's
16	outcome-determinative on the hearing on Monday, should the
17	judge decide to not do it or to set a different date.
18	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay.
19	MR. DEIXLER: I have one more administrative matter, if I
20	could attend to it, which is
21	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Oh, okay. There you go. We are
22	confused a lot, I know this.
23	DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: So just to be sure Mr Mr. Chair,
24	we will cancel October 20th and November 17th meeting, and then
25	we will wait to see what happens in December

1	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Sound is not working. These
2	mics I'm not I'm not hearing anyone.
3	DIRECTOR WILLIAMS: Testing. Testing. Yeah. I think
4	I think they're just low.
5	So we'll act accordingly.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Commissioner Bonner has joined us,
8	so we we have an almost full house.
9	JP, we're going to try to work it out.
10	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I I I understand. I
11	appreciate that. As long as you know, and if it can't be
12	worked out, oh, well, we'll we'll work around it.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: So we're going to begin this hearing, and
14	I just it's time to make some initial remarks.
15	So I want to highlight the fact that last February,
16	Sheriff Villanueva openly challenged officials to give him the
17	facts about deputy gangs and to name individuals in the
18	Department who are gang involved. The COC accepted that
19	challenge, which is why we are holding these special hearings.
20	This is our seventh special hearing with live witnesses.
21	Myriad deputies have already testified under oath
22	that deputy gangs exist in the Department, and some witnesses
23	have identified specific individuals who have deputy gang
24	tattoos, including Undersheriff Murakami and the Sheriff's
25	former chief of staff, Larry Del Mese.

The Sheriff's response has been to attack this 1 2 commission and his own deputies and pronounce at a recent 3 televised debate that deputy gangs are like, quote, "unicorns," 4 unquote, meaning they are the stuff of fairy tales rather than 5 things that actually exist. Sheriff Villanueva simultaneously 6 argues during the campaign that he is the only sheriff in LA 7 history to take decisive action to eradicate deputy gangs from the LASD. 8

9 These inconsistent positions cannot be reconciled 10 with each other, and for that reason and others, this 11 commission has subpoenaed Sheriff Villanueva to explain his 12 inconsistent positions, but he has refused to appear, citing 13 these reasons:

14 He is simply too busy to testify. He fears for his safety at Loyola Law School. It is unconstitutional to compel 15 16 him to testify under oath at an oversight hearing. He cannot 17 be expected to testify about deputy gangs without first being 18 given all the questions in advance of the hearing. And 19 finally, the COC has no right to investigate deputy gangs in 20 the department that it exercises oversight over. Most recently, Sheriff Villanueva has barred the 21 22 inspector general, Max Huntsman, from entering all LASD

23 facilities or accessing any LASD documents. The effect of this

24 order is to further inhibit oversight officials from

25 investigating deputy gangs.

1	We will not be dissuaded from our mission to
2	investigate deputy gangs within the LASD. The Sheriff's
3	intimidation tactics will not prevent this commission from
4	moving forward, and to that end, I turn it over to our special
5	counsel to make any remarks and call any witnesses he would
6	like to call today.

7 I wonder, before counsel does that, COMMISSIONER BONNER: I just wanted to perhaps add a footnote to the comments of the 8 Chair here, and that is that the claim by the Sheriff that he's 9 taken decisive action against deputy gangs, cliques, and 10 exclusionary subgroups, I mean, the proof is always in the 11 12 pudding. The reality is the actions that he claims he took in February of 2020, over two years ago, have not been effective. 13 14 They have not been effective. They have not eradicated deputy cliques, gangs, or exclusionary subgroups from the Sheriff's 15 16 Department, and the evidence that's been produced so far by special counsel in this hearing demonstrates that beyond doubt. 17

His policy's been -- it's not been effective, and 18 19 we'd like very much to have an opportunity to discuss that with the Sheriff as to what -- why he believes his policy has been 20 effective when deputy -- deputy gangs and cliques still exist, 21 22 still have pernicious effects, both -- not just on the -- the 23 community, which they do, no doubt about that -- but they have a terrible and disastrous effect on the Sheriff's Department 24 25 itself, its deputies and its personnel, and it's time that they

1	be eradicated. Whatever else the Sheriff may have done, he has
2	not done that. Full stop.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Bert?
5	MR. DEIXLER: Thank you. Good morning.
6	Our first witness is Neal Tyler, and if I can be
7	excused to get him.
8	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
9	Can you state your name for the record.
10	MR. TYLER: Yes. It's Neal, N-e-a-l, Tyler, T-y-l-e-r.
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Can you raise your hand.
12	Do you swear or solemnly affirm, under penalty of
13	perjury, to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
14	the truth?
15	MR. TYLER: I do.
16	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
17	MR. TYLER: Thanks.
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: Bert, could you make sure you speak into
19	the microphone because some commissioners are having a hard
20	time hearing you.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Yes. I will I will endeavor to do so.
22	And I should note for the record that Commissioner
23	Johnson has now joined us.
24	CHAIR KENNEDY: Welcome.
25	Commissioner Johnson is our newly appointed

1	commissioner for Supervisor Solis's district.
2	So welcome.
3	MR. DEIXLER: Let me begin if I can, and if my voice
4	drops, if somebody would make sure to remind me since this is
5	for your benefit, not for mine.
6	Mr. Tyler, have you any experience in law
7	enforcement?
8	MR. TYLER: Yes, I do.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And for whom did you work in law
10	enforcement?
11	MR. TYLER: The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And how long did you serve with the LASD?
13	MR. TYLER: Over a period of 42 years. About 40 full-time
14	years as a regular member of the Department.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And what was the highest position you held
16	in the LASD, sir?
17	MR. TYLER: It was undersheriff.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And during what period of time did you serve
19	as the undersheriff?
20	MR. TYLER: Between February of 2014 and March of 2017.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And would you provide the commission a very
22	brief summary of your career in the LASD, noting that it's 42
23	years so
24	MR. TYLER: Okay.
25	MR. DEIXLER: give us the greatest hits, if you would.

1	MR. TYLER: I began in 1975 as a regular deputy. My two
2	assignments as a deputy were at Lennox well, Inmate
3	Reception Center first and then Lennox Sheriff's Station.
4	As a sergeant, I worked at Central Jail, again at
5	Lennox Station, and also in the Media Relations Section.
6	As a lieutenant, I worked at Lennox again, and then
7	at Temple and then also the Training Bureau. In there
8	sometime, I was on the Crisis Negotiations Team.
9	I was promoted to captain, and I served at a special
10	unit that was created to do audits and ensure accountability,
11	the Training Bureau; and then at Temple Sheriff's Station, I
12	was the station commander for two-and-a-half years. I remained
13	in the Patrol division that Temple was part of, which was
14	called Region One, as a commander, and for ten years as the
15	division chief of that division, overseeing the eight
16	northernmost sheriff stations in LA County.
17	I retired in 2012 as a division chief. I was gone
18	for a year and a half retired but serving as a reserve deputy.
19	Then in 2014, interim Sheriff John Scott asked me to
20	be his undersheriff for the 10 months that he'd be in office;
21	and when he left office, I was prepared to go out with him.
22	But Sheriff McDonnell didn't have a different idea about who to
23	appoint to that position and so he asked me to stick around for
24	a few months and it turned out to be two years. So then he was
25	ready to pick an undersheriff that wasn't inherited from the

1	4	

1	previous guy, and that's when I left.
2	MR. DEIXLER: I've asked you to consider what you would
3	tell me, if I were the sheriff, was a way to address the
4	problems of deputy subgroups, and we'll get into your
5	background about it. And and other than perhaps telling me
6	that a sheriff should honor subpoenas, would you believe you
7	would be advising me on a culture change in the department in
8	which you serve for 42 years?
9	MR. TYLER: That's a general way to say it, and I'd say
10	there's more than one approach potentially available to you,
11	Sheriff Deixler.
12	I would share with you the fact that over the course
13	of my career, I had observed various actions taken about the
14	deputy subgroups that were effective on a small scale but not
15	on the widespread scale necessary.
16	I would share with you that I felt that one of the
17	main issues about the subgroups hasn't been looked at very hard
18	and that is not why do deputies join the subgroups but what can
19	be considered either missing from or lacking in management that
20	enabled the subgroups to flourish, to be created and thrive for
21	up to 50 years? Management covers a lot of ground. There have
22	been hundreds of managers since the 1970s.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Let me let me ask the next question. Are
24	there specific elements that are critical to bringing about a
25	change in culture in your 42 years of experience?

1	MR. TYLER: I believe there are and the ones
2	MR. DEIXLER: And what are they?
3	MR. TYLER: that I've identified myself for my own
4	sanity and thinking through what we were going to do with this
5	idea about what's missing about management are leadership,
6	teamwork, mentoring, and accountability. Four things that
7	don't cost anything. There's no extra budget required to
8	implement better leadership, teamwork, mentoring, and
9	accountability but are critical to the Sheriff's Department in
10	general and, in particular, this issue we're discussing.
11	MR. DEIXLER: We'll come back to the elements, I promise
12	you. But let me ask you to define terms so that we will
13	understand what we are speaking about.
14	What do you want the commission to understand when
15	you use the term "deputy clique" or "subgroup" or "subculture"?
16	MR. TYLER: Well, the term I've been using since I was
17	more deeply involved in addressing this issue is "subgroups."
18	Just happens to be the one I picked. I know cliques, gangs,
19	and secret societies have been named too. My concern about the
20	various names is that they make discussions about them
21	ambiguous.
22	And my thought is that the groups that we that are
23	discussed with concern, about which I have concerns, have three
24	features, and those three features are what raised the
25	concerns. They are exclusiveness about who's a member or who

LACS CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT COMMISSION, October 14, 2022

3 pe 4 if	bout everything concerning the group, membership lists, how eople become members, how people are rejected from membership if they aspire to join, activities, if there are any things, note/unquote, "bylaws," if there are. There's just no readily vailable information about the groups I'm aware of that hables us to understand these things. And the third feature is symbolism that involves graphics that I consider well, in
4 if	they aspire to join, activities, if there are any things, note/unquote, "bylaws," if there are. There's just no readily railable information about the groups I'm aware of that nables us to understand these things. And the third feature
	note/unquote, "bylaws," if there are. There's just no readily railable information about the groups I'm aware of that nables us to understand these things. And the third feature
5 qu	railable information about the groups I'm aware of that mables us to understand these things. And the third feature
	ables us to understand these things. And the third feature
6 av	
7 en	symbolism that involves graphics that I consider well, in
8 is	
9 fa	act, I'm not the only one that considers unprofessional,
10 of	ten ambiguous about power or worse, threatening about power,
11 an	d many cases, about death and violence. Inappropriate
12 sy	mbolism is the third feature about the subgroups of concern
13 to	me.
14	MR. DEIXLER: I should ask in light of Commissioner
15 Ch	air Kennedy's remark, you described the terms "deputy clique,
16 su	bgroup, gangs," and the like. Have you ever heard those
17 gr	oups described as "unicorns" during the 42 years that you
18 we	ere in the LASD?
19	MR. TYLER: No. Not until I heard some news report or
20 so	ome discussion on the speaker in there this morning. I hadn't
21 he	eard that one.
22	MR. DEIXLER: Have you have you been involved in
23 de	evising a plan to address the problem with subgroups?
24	MR. TYLER: I have.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And and would you describe what your

initial involvement was, sir?

1

2 MR. TYLER: Three months after I retired in March of '17, 3 I received a call from Undersheriff La Berge, my replacement, 4 that he and Sheriff McDonnell and Max Huntsman had had a meeting, and an outgrowth of that meeting was that the 5 6 Department would do the first-ever systematic, widespread study 7 of the deputy subgroup issue and that the three of them had agreed that I, because of some experience I'd had previously, 8 would be a logical person to begin undertaking that study. 9

10 So I got that assignment as a reserve deputy, and I 11 worked on it for a year-and-a-half, 2017 and '18, with a fairly 12 large group of representatives from the County Counsel's Office 13 and developed a study methodology and came to some realizations 14 about the proper way to do this study that I thought made it 15 potentially very valuable.

MR. DEIXLER: And tell us a little bit about how you would have proposed to have the study initiated; in other words, (inaudible) first direct your inquiries.

MR. TYLER: Well, if we ask the question what has been lacking in or missing from management, the logical place to start is at the undersheriff level and work our way down toward the deputy level instead of doing surveys and questionnaires and interviews with deputies.

If we had decided on the deputy route first, which I had in my mind for about a week before I thought more clearly,

1	we would have created just one more giant batch of resistance
2	and potentially some bloodletting because there'd be very
3	little the deputies would be justified in thinking or
4	saying, "What's up with that? You always blame the deputies.
5	You always blame the line level. Just like in any
6	organization, rolls downhill 'til it comes to the bottom guy,
7	and it's his fault somehow."

8 And while that doesn't cow me into thinking we 9 shouldn't do it, that inspired me to think about, "Well, isn't 10 there somebody else we should be talking with?" And it's the 11 managers.

12 So the point to starting with them is to start to build a leadership team -- I said teamwork was one of the major 13 14 aspects or elements of what I believe something that could work or be more effective than what we've done in past history --15 16 build a leadership team that's cohesive and raise awareness about management's role with respect to the deputy subgroups, 17 18 which I will admit, as a former manager, all of us have a sense 19 of -- or I mean, a share of the responsibility for being 20 insufficiently attentive to this issue. We've got umpteen reasons for it, and some of them are valid, but none of them 21 22 really explain a justification for having it get to this point when there have been a whole series of sheriffs, undersheriffs, 23 assistant sheriffs, captains, and lieutenants, and sergeants. 24 25 So if we accept the responsibility for missing some bets about

Т

1	addressing the problem, now is the time we can correct it.
2	And the questions that I had devised for the
3	interviewer intended to be the basis for deep introspection
4	about that issue on the parts of the interviewees, which would
5	be, at the time, Undersheriff La Berge and the four assistant
6	sheriffs, to begin with.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Could you just give the commission a feel
8	for the methodology, the question type that you would have
9	asked in the circumstance and how you would have gone about
10	this?
11	MR. TYLER: I have a lot of experience in facilitating
12	discussion groups. So I wrote questions that would facilitate
13	discussion. Not yes/no answers, but
14	MR. DEIXLER: Let
15	MR. TYLER: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	MR. DEIXLER: let's hold up a second and and and
17	let the distraction go
18	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Sorry.
19	MR. DEIXLER: Not a problem.
20	MR. TYLER: Right. I like the song. Okay?
21	So anyway, I wrote questions that would elicit
22	discussion rather than pat, simple "yes," "no," or "I don't
23	know" answers. And it would result in the interviewer who
24	initially I had thought would be me because I was deputized to
25	do the project between the interviewer and the interviewee,

d to be etail
etail
etail
ral
e a
e
У
the
oint.
at's
ecome
learn
ur
o you
ing
l by

Т

1	me reassuring a person that this is not an investigation, it's
2	not an inquisition, it's only an attempt to learn. One of the
3	problems managers had is the secrecy aspect. Nobody comes and
4	asks us if it's okay to form a subgroup. Nobody comes and
5	notifies us how successful they've been in building up the
б	membership. So since we're ignorant, this is a genuine attempt
7	to learn.
8	There are deputies who can at least somewhat
9	convincingly express their support for this idea of a subgroup
10	by saying it's good for morale; it's merely a rite of passage;
11	we're not involved in criminal activity of any kind.
12	That's a myth. I'm not saying nobody who's ever
13	gotten a tattoo has never committed misconduct, but I'm telling
14	you, I haven't and I'm proud of my tattoo and it's no different
15	than the military symbols you see that are either grizzly or
16	about death and violence. It's reflective of the danger of
17	ensuring public safety.
18	That rationale, which I've heard from people who have
19	tattoos and are members, is something we have to deal with, and
20	I want to know more about it. I want to know how they can have
21	that mindset in the face of the drawbacks, which, as I said
22	are exclusiveness is not good for morale, secrecy is not
23	good for their reputation as members of the group, and the
24	symbol is just are not professional. I don't care about the
25	military.

1	So I made sure that the first five minutes would be
2	reassuring. "I want to know about this stuff. I'm not here to
3	judge. I'm here to listen."
4	"Okay."
5	Then part two of the question series was, "Are you
6	now, or have you ever been?"
7	And I was going to make sure, with the Sheriff's
8	concurrence, that the person understood he didn't have to
9	answer that. If he didn't want to say yes or no, he could say
10	"I'd rather not discuss it," and we'd skip over the whole
11	interview series of questions about a person's personal
12	involvement.
13	If they were willing to tell me anything, as I've had
14	people who were subgroup members do, I try to vacuum their
15	brain and make sure we knew all their thoughts about the
16	motivation, why other people join, how they feel about the
17	exclusivity, how the members of the group (inaudible) at the
18	sheriff's stations or the jails or the bureaus where these
19	things have arisen, et cetera.
20	If they didn't want to talk about their own
21	involvement (inaudible) ask the same questions of people and
22	say, "Well, what do you believe to be the case? What is your
23	sense about how the members relate to other deputies?"
24	When I was done with that series of questions, there
25	were two more. One had to do with, again, the peer

1	relationships and the morale aspect of the groups and get in
2	the executive's head or the manager's head about "Does do
3	these things concern you that," you know, "there are"
4	whatever "hardly any women? Certain people have said they
5	were excluded because they work a traffic car instead of a
6	crime car. How do you feel about those things?"
7	And the last series of questions was about what can
8	management do to support this study, as controversial as it may
9	be or it may not be if we play our cards right. We're a
10	manager. What can we all be doing to make sure that the study
11	is explained to people at each successive rank correctly so
12	that they understand it's good to talk to us, not bad to talk
13	to us because we're learning?
14	That was the idea of the interview questions.
15	MR. DEIXLER: So so so that's a that's a lead-in
16	to my next series of questions about the elements you've
17	described. Your first element you mentioned was leadership
18	and, with it, teamwork. What does leadership entail when it
19	comes to changing the culture of of an organization as large
20	as the LASD?
21	MR. TYLER: Well, first of all, the Sheriff is the top
22	leader of the Department, and he has to establish a message
23	about Issue A, B, or C. In this case, Issue A is a deputy
24	subgroup issue. And the first message I'd expect the leaders
25	to get straight in their head is "Here's what the study is for.

1	We have legitimate reasons for concern. This is not a joke.
2	It's a serious matter. If we don't learn about this and figure
3	out how to respond more effectively to public concern and the
4	lack of public trust about this, then we're going to get"
5	and then, you know, whatever "outside monitor, special
6	master, consent decree, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, and,
7	you know, our pants sued off of us has been already happening."
8	So a leader in this case, once the sheriff tells us
9	"Here's what I want leadership about," we have not only resolve
10	about getting the right message out and initially, the
11	message was to be "The study is legitimate. Please cooperate
12	with whoever is conducting it, and it's an honest attempt to
13	learn. It is not an investigation. As the study proceeds, I,
14	the sheriff, tend to be formulating more definitive reactions
15	to what I'm learning."
16	The second thing a leader needs is energy to talk
17	about it on his own volition, actively, frequently,
18	recurrently, not let it slip onto the back burner. And I'll
19	point out that the issue of deputy subgroups has been on the
20	front burner multiple times when something criminal or
21	inordinately notorious like a fight among deputies went on, and
22	it tends to slip to the back burner when all becomes quieter
23	about the issue. That's management's I hate to say
24	"fault" but responsibility. Everybody's busy. We're
25	juggling a lot of balls. There are lots and lots of

considerations about public safety in the modern world besides deputy subgroups, but that mentality is something we ought to get rid of and start keeping it on the front burner, and that's what a leader would do.

5 MR. DEIXLER: You indicated that the place you would start 6 your questioning would be with the undersheriff. Why would it 7 be important for the undersheriff to first be surveyed?

8 MR. TYLER: Well, for one thing, at the time we were 9 planning this, the undersheriff was Anthony La Berge, and I 10 knew that he had arrived at Lennox Station after I left as a 11 lieutenant and he told me that he'd been invited to join the 12 Lennox Station subgroup and that he turned it down.

He told me that years ago. I don't know how it came up. I -- I just -- just I asked him about the Grim Reapers once, innocently, back when he was a commander and I was a chief and I was interested to hear more about the story about how he had the -- take your pick -- courage, temerity, gall to turn down the opportunity.

The second reason is because he's at the top of the organization under the undersheriff, and everybody has to be part of a -- I'm going to mention the second word, teamwork, a cohesive team for this to really work in a department with 18,000 people and an unknown member -- number of participants in the -- in the problematic groups. So it made sense to talk to him -- him first, and immediately thereafter, the four

assistant sheriffs because they're the highest-ranking people
 in the Department.

3 MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you: Would you -- would you 4 agree that having a tattooed member of a known subgroup as the 5 undersheriff is contrary to the goal you're trying to achieve? 6 MR. TYLER: It depends. It depends on, in my estimation, 7 the nature of the tattoo. Some subgroup tattoos are, on the surface of it, unprofessional; some are more neutral or toward 8 the neutral. It depends on what that particular leader says 9 and does about the tattoo. 10

11 If a hypothetical department member who had been 12 promoted multiple times had a tattoo and it became known and he 13 addressed it in a manner that I would consider very positive, I think he could be viewed as not in conflict with department --14 15 whatever you said -- policy or department -- what's good for 16 the Department because he could make a lot of positive hay 17 about the fact that he, at his current station and even years 18 ago, renounced his -- whatever it is -- membership or 19 association, doesn't flash the tattoo around, doesn't brag about it, in fact, he's a little sheepish about it. But hey, 20 21 it's on his ankle and he's not man enough to get it removed, I would respect the guy greatly. And potentially, I believe the 22 23 two sheriffs that I worked for most recently as undersheriff would've also potentially respected that kind of response. 24 25 Otherwise, I'd say it's one of the things that's of

1	concern to me because if the person's going to be part of a
2	cohesive leadership team and he's walking around with a tattoo
3	that is unprofessional, that's a conflict of interest, and I
4	don't see how he can be cohesive with the team.
5	MR. DEIXLER: After the undersheriff would be interviewed
6	under the scenario where I'm the sheriff I, by the way, add
7	I don't have any tattoos what would happen next under the
8	kind of waterfall of interviews and investigation that you've
9	identified?
10	MR. TYLER: Well, you know, we in the working group that
11	did this work between 2017 and '18 had planned to regroup at
12	some point and decide where are we heading from here, but it
13	was clear to me that if we do the interviews, if I do or
14	anyone anyone does the interviews of the four assistant
15	sheriffs and the undersheriff, the next step is not more
16	interviews. The next step is a meeting between the sheriff and
17	the interviewed people where they talk about the issue, the
18	interviews, the questions, any conflicts they had about, you
19	know, preliminarily determined destination or message that
20	might go out. They'd talk about the value of the study.
21	They'd talk about whether the interview questions were spot on
22	or should be revised.
23	And after that, it meeting occurred, I presume
24	that if the sheriff thought this study was worth continuing
25	that in order for the study to begin to transition into not

1	merely a study but an initiative for culture change because the
2	realization too that dawned on me was, "Hey, by merely talking
3	about this, we'll create the Hawthorne Effect." You know, turn
4	the lights up, workers are better. Turn the lights down,
5	workers do better. Why? Because someone is paying attention
6	to them both times.
7	If we pay attention and talk about the paying
8	attention, we're going to have an impact on the culture that
9	we've never had before because we've never done what I'm
10	talking about and that's continuously talk about it.
11	So once the sheriff my realization is "My gosh,
12	you know, we can put together an initiative to change the
13	culture by means of this study."
14	And I created a vision in my head anyway for how and
15	at what stage the transition would occur to the point where the
16	sheriff could say, "Here's what we're going to do. I know
17	enough from hearing from my people, and this is the way I want
18	to proceed," with some large degree of definition in about
19	which groups are considered inappropriate enough to say we need
20	to disband them, retire them, or whatever. I can talk more
21	about that later.
22	But in answer to your question, after the meeting,
23	the next group of people would be the 14 division chiefs, and I
24	had thought that maybe by the end of 2018 very, very
25	ambitious, and I was wrong we could get through at least

Т

25

1	some of the division chief interviews. And, again, a view
2	toward letting them know this is a concern that we're not going
3	to let lie dormant anymore because it never is really dormant.
4	The sheriff's justified in learning more about these. It's
5	compelling because he has to make a decision about what to do
6	about them to respond effectively. So your cooperation is
7	sought. Talk to us about your knowledge.
8	And then after the division chiefs are all 14
9	interviewed, there'd be another meeting where the sheriff can
10	sit with his executive staff group and make himself clear and
11	determine if everybody's really on board or, with help from the
12	assistant sheriffs, spot the people who are dubious about
13	whether this matters. Then the sheriff could make decisions
14	about whether the division chief, for instance, would be
15	effective in this effort or shouldn't be involved as a division
16	chief. Sheriff's prerogative is to use or not use division
17	chiefs and above because they serve at his pleasure. They're
18	not Civil Service protected. This is important enough that any
19	sheriff might decide, well, 13 of you are for me, and one of
20	you is neutral. And if you're neutral, you're against me, so I
21	can't use you as a chief.
22	Now that sounds cold, or maybe it doesn't sound tough
23	enough, I don't know, but that is an option for the sheriff to
24	consider.

MR. DEIXLER: After the buy-in, after you have this

1	meeting and and the sheriff is satisfied that the assistant
2	division chiefs have have bought in, how would it proceed
3	next?
4	MR. TYLER: Well, my thought would be that consideration
5	should be given at that point to getting deputy sheriff-level
6	input.
7	I believe that once it's known that there is a study
8	about the deputy subgroups that genuinely interested in
9	learning about them, there will be members of the deputy rank
10	who are in these groups who will be willing to be interviewed
11	under safe, trustworthy circumstances. And I was hoping to
12	to have some of those interviews conducted; whether I did it or
13	not doesn't matter.
14	But at the same time, the division chiefs would be
15	asked by the sheriff, in my hopes and dreams, "I want you to go
16	interview your two commanders. You interview your five
17	commanders. You interview your one commander with these same
18	questions. Forget this outside reserve deputy, former-retired
19	guy. Let's have the division chiefs find out where their
20	direct assistant managers, who are running entire divisions of
21	the Department with hundreds to even thousands of people in
22	them, can verify with the commanders where their head is on
23	this. `Do you think this is a problem?' `How do you
24	rationalize that it's not a problem?' `Okay. If it is a
25	problem, tell me what you know about it.'"

1	And, of course, they may find that they have tattooed
2	commanders with tattoos that are not flowers or anchors like
3	sailors used to wear, and they can deal with that in a manner
4	that's non-judgmental but also got to do with the ultimate
5	upcoming leadership message because by the time we're talking
6	with commanders and deputies, the sheriff, I believe, would be
7	impatient to say, "Okay. Fine. Look, we've looked into this.
8	On the surface, from what I know now about the depth of it,
9	such as Tyler's gotten it for me, there's enough concern that I
10	want the message to be"
11	And then, as you know from the document that I sent
12	you, there's a little writeup about what a sheriff might think
13	makes sense as a message. I'm not the sheriff. I don't
14	presume to and I've written stuff for the sheriffs, but this
15	is a very personal and potentially emotional issue, and I think
16	the sheriff should be entitled to phrase the message in his own
17	manner. But the short version was something like these things
18	don't correspond to 21st-century law enforcement. They're not
19	good for morale, despite what I've heard, and the symbolism is
20	unprofessional in at least some cases I verified and so the
21	time has come to retire these groups into the past and leave
22	them there, or something to that effect.
23	I don't think the sheriff is well-advised to say that
24	until there have been at least some interviews of deputies if
25	we can get them. Now, if 8,000 deputies tell us pound sand,

1	well, we tried. But if anybody talks to us, and I've already
2	had people talk to me and allow this was before this effort
3	even started, back when I was the undersheriff, I had a
4	sergeant come in and talked to me very candidly about his
5	tattoo, what it means to him, and it was some of the stuff I
6	I cited earlier about "I'm proud of it. It's a morale builder.
7	It recognizes good, energetic police work. Our symbol's not
8	that unprofessional or grizzly" in his mind "and, you
9	know, it's if you outlaw them, you'll just drive them deeper
10	underground."
11	Well, we need to hear that from at least some people.
12	We also need to hear the extent to which it might be true. I
13	don't know that every single deputy subgroup is secretly
14	planning activities that are considered misconduct. I don't
15	know that I in fact, I know that some people got the tattoo
16	practically on a lark and it's not a significant factor in
17	their life, but they feel like you belong to something that I
18	don't necessarily understand.
10	Could depit wort to be throwing too more behing out

So we don't want to be throwing too many babies out with the bathwater, but at the same time, we don't want -- I mean, I would think that a sheriff wouldn't want to be paralyzed by a two-year study. My thoughts are that the consideration for action that I put together as part of that working group, and since then, I've thought about it -- stewing about the publicity that I've heard more and more about the

1	lack of or the impairments to public trust that if we
2	interview down to the division chief level and then start
3	getting deputies to talk with us who are willing to, including
4	deputies who don't belong to the gangs, the deputies who tried
5	to get into one and weren't allowed to, I'd like to hear from
б	them too. But that's about the time when the sheriff would be
7	tapping his foot, expecting this study guy to tell him "Can I
8	now say what I want to do?"

9 And by that time, he would be justified in telling 10 deputies and the union "I have enough information to know that 11 what I'm about to tell you is valid and important, and it's 12 actually for everybody's good. So here it goes," and then put 13 something out that's definite.

MR. DEIXLER: Talk a little bit about your sense of the involvement or possibilities of having the union cooperate with this kind of investigation.

17 MR. TYLER: The working group I was on recognized right away that if the -- the union -- it's basically -- there are 18 19 two primary involved units, and it's ALADS and POPA. One represents deputies, the other, sergeants, lieutenants. 20 Ιf they got wind that there was a secret, confidential thing being 21 22 planned about the deputy subgroups, they would have a negative 23 reaction right away. So we kept our efforts confidential initially and, by some miracle, it remained confidential for a 24 25 year that some former undersheriff was meeting with a bunch of

1	attorneys to figure what to do about this issue.
2	The point of the confidentiality is we were building
3	to the day when the sheriff himself would go to the union and
4	explain "We're conducting a study. No, no. Not an
5	investigation, not an inquisition, a study. We seek your
6	cooperation. Failing your cooperation, we seek your
7	acquiescence. We're telling you, eye-to-eye, face-to-face, it
8	is not a negative thing. We don't know enough about these
9	things. The public's calling them gangs. We need to know more
10	to be effective about managing the loss of public trust and
11	fairness to the deputies. So please, union, understand when we
12	ask deputies to visit us voluntarily, and they will, it's not
13	an issue about for of concern to you. It should be an issue
14	of you're glad we're willing to listen."
15	And hopefully, the unions would at least not fight us
16	on it, not that they'd have a legal basis for it, but they can
17	always use the the dispatcher and the star and shield to do
18	what's most popular and that's point out management's failings.
19	So we didn't need that to be done in an unfair manner about
20	this issue. The less controversy about the study, the better
21	it'll work. The more deputies understand "No. Really, it's a
22	study," the more sergeants, lieutenants understand it so they
23	can tell them that. So when this face or some other face that
24	is helping me shows up as a mere reserve deputy, they'll be
25	willing to answer the questions, or at least we'll maximize the

Γ

1	chance.
2	MR. DEIXLER: So
3	MR. TYLER: We wanted to tell the unions in an official
4	manner. Didn't work.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Before
6	MR. TYLER: Sorry about carrying on.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Well, we appreciate your passion.
8	The before we get to the additional elements, I
9	guess I have to ask, how far did this study get before you left
10	the Department? And then I'll ask you about the other elements
11	of mentoring and accountability.
12	MR. TYLER: From July of 2017 to about July of 2018, we
13	worked on "we" being the County Counsel attorneys and me and
14	one other department member for a year we the interview
15	questions that, you know, I wrote out a list of objectives and
16	everybody thought that they were just fine. I wrote out
17	interview questions. Then it became the subject of a great
18	deal of discussion.
19	The County Counsel attorneys are a lot smarter than I
20	am about potential liability, about employee relations issues;
21	by stepping on toes, it could cost us employee lawsuits, which
22	have cost us way too much money, as well as the ones from the
23	public, and so, therefore, there were lots of discussions about
24	interviews, who should be there. Should a County Counsel
25	attorney be there? Can they have a rep if we try and get

1	voluntary interviews?
2	And, therefore, by July of '18, we had not
3	interviewed anyone. It was a plan at that time, now it's just
4	a bunch of considerations, but at that time, it was a plan, and
5	it was derailed because it came to pass that County Counsel
6	members and the sheriff talked about the potential outcome
7	being viewed by the public as a mere whitewash, as "What's this
8	about? They're investigating themselves? Well, I mean, yeah,
9	sure. Who's that that guy used to be the undersheriff?
10	How how can we trust what he tells us about whether 'Hey,
11	they're not so bad,' or 'hey, only these are the ones that are
12	a problem'?"
13	So it was recommended strongly to the sheriff, and he
14	concurred, that an outside entity undertake the study.
15	Therefore, my efforts were converted to helping the working
16	group interview for consultants. We selected the RAND
17	Corporation. I asked them when meeting whether we could join
18	forces, and they said, "We don't we can't be viewed as
19	objective if somebody from the organization we're assessing is
20	polluting our objectivity." So
21	MR. DEIXLER: And so we we have the RAND study, which
22	we're all familiar with.
23	Let me take you back to if you had been able to
24	proceed, and assuming that the sheriff I as the sheriff
25	had taken the advice to heart, I had communicated within the
1	

1	LSA LASD my strong commitment to ending deputy cliques, and
2	the message has gotten communicated throughout the
3	organization, how would you ensure that the message, once
4	received, was adhered to?
5	MR. TYLER: Well, first of all, we haven't talked about
6	the third component.
7	And so while we're doing this study, and increasingly
8	as we move down the ranks and especially by the time we're
9	interviewing any deputies, which is early on, in truth, there
10	should be mentoring going on because it's very, very, very
11	possible to mentor deputies about the negative aspects of these
12	groups, the ones that I cited as secretive, exclusive, and
13	symbolized unprofessionally. There's tons of room for
14	mentoring, and at the same time, we're, as a leadership team,
15	being cohesive. There should be an expectation that there's
16	already beginning to be mentoring about it whether it's from
17	the chief level or the commander level or whatever level.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And what do you mean by "mentoring"? Let me
19	try to understand
20	MR. TYLER: Mentor
21	MR. DEIXLER: better.
22	MR. TYLER: a wise and trusted counselor-advisor.
23	Mentoring, accelerating the development of wisdom, broadening
24	an employee's keen foresight and broader perspective, talking
25	about past mistakes the mentors made and others have made so

Т

1	that people can learn from them and, in general,
2	accelerating as I said, accelerating the development of
3	wisdom so that the mentee I guess that's a term is
4	experiencing personal and professional growth and development
5	in the right direction.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Did you prepare a resource guide for
7	sergeants on mentoring?
8	MR. TYLER: I did.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask that we display at least the
10	cover sheet of that.
11	I'm going to just ask you a little question about
12	"Sergeants' Mentoring Initiative Resource Guide." And who is
13	the sheriff who commissioned you to do that?
14	MR. TYLER: Well, first it was John Scott. He knew that I
15	had been assembling a career's worth of notes about ethics
16	awareness training during my first year of retirement because I
17	felt like I had piles and piles of disorganized stuff that
18	might be of value if I organized it. As I organized it, it
19	began to look like a resource guide or a book. And because
20	John Scott and I had talked about it before, he said, "Get that
21	thing going."
22	So I started using that material to train sergeants
23	and and lieutenants in how to affect more productive
24	mentoring on a recurrent basis with by talking with their
25	deputies about decisions and conduct instead of at them from a

1	pulpit like they're a minister.
2	And by 2016, when Sheriff McDonnell I'm sorry
3	2015, when Sheriff McDonnell had become the sheriff, I made him
4	aware of it, and he said, "Yeah. Go with it."
5	So I did more training. I finalized that document at
6	some point. He wrote the foreword, and he authorized its
7	publication. By "publication," I mean printing at the Jail
8	Vocational Print Shop. We made several thousand of them up,
9	and I started giving them out to sergeants as I did an
10	eight-hour course with them as I'd piloted at two stations back
11	when John Scott was the sheriff and handed them that book and
12	saying, "Here it is."
13	MR. DEIXLER: This book here
14	MR. TYLER: "Use it"
15	MR. DEIXLER: it is 182 pages. Some portion of this
16	mentoring guide includes advice on mentoring regarding
17	subgroups and deputy gangs.
18	MR. TYLER: Correct.
19	MR. DEIXLER: Could you just give us a brief overview of
20	what you would do or what you did let me withdraw the
21	question.
22	About how many sergeants do you believe were mentored
23	with the use of this document?
24	MR. TYLER: After I developed a team of of Mini Mes, a
25	trusted bunch of lieutenants and sergeants who could mimic what

1	I was doing in training groups of sergeants, we got up to about
2	8- or 900 sergeants and lieutenants who went through the
3	eight-hour course in how to use the material in that booklet
4	with deputies.

5 MR. DEIXLER: So talk, if you would, a little bit about 6 what the mentoring would be on the topic of deputy subgroups or 7 cliques.

Well, much of the material in that guidebook 8 MR. TYLER: consists of situation narratives, which are interrupted by 9 questions to be asked by the presenter or the facilitator of a 10 discussion about "Deputies Joe and Harry went to this call and 11 12 here's what happened, and then they had this decision to make." 13 They're all based on real incidents where deputies' judgment 14 was lacking or where it was excellent and where there were consequences that were negative for the public and the 15 16 Department and the deputies.

So the person who's doing their mentoring would take 17 somebody through that -- well, take a group of deputies through 18 19 that situation at a briefing or in a training session -- there are ample opportunities for doing this -- and get the deputies 20 to talk with each other, try and find peer leaders who will 21 22 talk about what makes sense to do instead of what the deputies 23 actually did or why what the deputy that looks like the hero did was so smart or so natural or so, "Well, of course, what 24 25 else would you do?"

1	The more they hear from each other's peers, the
2	better the message sinks in, in my opinion. But failing that,
3	the sergeant is there to point out, well, now here is here's
4	the results of Option C and here's the results of Option D.
5	They didn't work so well so why wouldn't a deputy consider
6	Option A, which is maybe the harder, takes longer, or it was
7	more the right thing to do and discuss why. What are the
8	incentives to tell them the truth when you've made a mistake,
9	as opposed to lying because you're terrified of being
10	looking stupid or caught red-handed?
11	MR. DEIXLER: And how does this all apply to deputy
12	subgroups?
13	MR. TYLER: Well, there's one section in that book
14	there are 11 sections about issues in law enforcement that have
15	ethical considerations that are sometimes not obvious: Use of
16	force, anger management, power, honesty.
17	One of the sections is titled "Pride, Arrogance, and
18	Counterculture." It is about prides not tempered with
19	humility. Basically, it transitions into arrogance too often.
20	Arrogance among two or more people leads to a syndrome about
21	lionizing the bad guys and starting to mimic them in some
22	cases. And so there's that material, about three pages worth
23	of my thoughts about how things can go wrong about pride and
24	how valuable pride is if it is tempered with humility.
25	And then there's a situation narrative that I based

on an actual Sheriff's Department misconduct investigation which is fairly well known, in which it was a textbook example of everything that deputies could possibly think of to do that would create an unprofessional, ill-advised, potentially borderline illegal subgroup, and it is designed to be told as a discussion, not just reading a narrative about a story like you read a fairy tale to a kid.

8 There are points at which the mentor, whom I or 9 somebody has trained, stops and says, "Well, what do you think 10 the deputies did? Here are four symbols they might have picked 11 for their group, and three of them are professional and one's 12 not and that happens to be the one they picked."

13 So the challenge for the mentor is to get the deputies to talk with each other about yeah, you know, it was 14 15 kind of dumb to have a gun pointing at the viewer and say that it's appropriate to put smoke coming out of the barrel only if 16 17 you've shot a guy in the line of duty because if a deputy says 18 that, and they start talking with each other after the 19 discussion's over, we're making culture change, I hope. MR. DEIXLER: How -- how important do you assess the role 20 21 of sergeants in mentoring to help eliminate the deputy 22 gang/clique culture? 23 MR. TYLER: It cannot be overestimated. I don't have words to describe how important. I tried in that book you had 24 25 up there on the slide a minute ago by writing it redundantly,

1	multiple times, that the sergeants are so important to
2	deputies' welfare and, therefore, to the public welfare that it
3	cannot be overstated.
4	MR. DEIXLER: We've talked about leadership. You've
5	talked about teamwork. We've talked about mentoring. I'd like
6	to ask you about accountability, and who, in an organization
7	focused on eliminating deputy gangs, would be the first line of
8	accountability?
9	MR. TYLER: The Sheriff.
10	As I said, he needs to meet with his assistant and
11	undersheriffs and make sure he's far enough into their heads to
12	reliably trust them. When he's at a meeting he's got to be, to
13	say the right things about the subgroup issue. Whatever he
14	determines are the right things and to do the right things
15	about them once he gets to steps beyond mentoring, which you
16	haven't asked me about yet, but I will let you know is one of
17	the considerations that I listed in the document. So it's not
18	all just about mentoring because I know it won't work for some
19	people.
20	After mentoring comes accountability, which in the
21	case of these subgroups in the past has taken the form of
22	actions taken by management administrative actions to
23	disrupt or halt the either existence of or the nefarious impact
24	of Subgroup X, Y, or Z, such as the Jump Out Boys, the one I
25	was referring to earlier. It was clear that there had better

1	not be a Jump Out Boys organization or subgroup within the
2	Gang Enforcement Team anymore because it was a real loser from
3	the day one. Someone should be held accountable for making
4	that message loud and clear so that there are no more Jump Out
5	Boys groups, and that is the entire chain of command that I'm
6	calling the leadership team. If they're a team, they're all on
7	the same sheet of music about the message, which would be no
8	more Jump Out Boys, no more ideas like that, no more creeds
9	that say you can bend the law to or break the law to enforce
10	a law, or whatever bend it, or whatever they said.
11	And so, you know, accountability starts at the top,
12	but the sheriff cannot possibly even ensure that every one of
13	80 captains is responsibly conveying the same message, which is
14	why he has a tier of managers in an 18,000-person organization.
15	Twenty to 30 commanders and 14 division chiefs, everybody's got
16	to be pulling the same amount of weight about the team idea and
17	about accountability or constantly getting the message out
18	there and for dealing with people who don't respond to the
19	message in a hopeful way.
20	MR. DEIXLER: So so yes. Take that as the example,
21	since I think we have about a 50-year history of people not
22	getting the message. How, under accountability under your
23	accountability doctrine, would somebody ensure that the message
24	is delivered or there are consequences for not getting the
25	message?

1	MR. TYLER: Permit me to correct one thing. We have a
2	50-year history of some people not getting the message. The
3	majority of deputies, by my rude, unprofessional calculations,
4	are not members of subgroups. At stations where they exist, a
5	sizable number of deputies are not members of subgroups. Some
6	of those deputies, like Deputy Anthony La Berge, turned down
7	the opportunity. So about getting to the ones who don't get
8	the message.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
10	MR. TYLER: Good grief. I've forgotten the structure of
11	the question. I'm sorry.
12	MR. DEIXLER: So my question is if if you have
13	MR. TYLER: How do we hold
14	MR. DEIXLER: a deputy or somebody through in the
15	chain who doesn't get the message
16	MR. TYLER: Okay.
17	MR. DEIXLER: how do you hold that person accountable?
18	MR. TYLER: Okay.
19	Well, depending on the extent to which they're not
20	energetically singing the leadership team theme song, that's
21	not necessarily misconduct, it's lass of lack of conduct.
22	But the options for ensuring accountability range
23	from marginalization, not social, but structural. There are
24	places we can assign people that are not quite so mainstream,
25	not quite so likely to become involved with or have a negative

1	impact on the study and the initiative, and they can be moved
2	to those positions. At multiple at the deputy rank, there
3	are any number of potential management responses, all of which
4	we've used in the past, as I said earlier, on a small scale
5	when problems erupt and get sufficiently big. There are
6	attentions directed at it for a long period of time.

7 Transfers from units to other units, which can involve disruption to their personal life and is a -- a 8 disincentive to commit misconduct sufficient to get 9 transferred. Most people want to stay at the unit they're in 10 11 until they choose to go, and they're normally entitled to, but 12 management has prerogatives about transferring, and that has been used in the past and is a potential -- lower evaluation 13 14 ratings for either managers who are confidentially or secretly saying things to deputies that support the idea of certain 15 16 subgroups even though the sheriff has said those are the groups I'd no longer wish to have existent. 17

18 That's really a bad undercutting, but there are other 19 ways to undercut the message without being so obvious, and if the sheriff or the supervisor of that executive detects it, he 20 should be held accountable by a lower performance evaluation 21 22 rating or not promoted to chief or -- or the next rank, 23 whatever it is, or making his life miserable by telling him how he's failing the leadership team and being honest in your 24 25 discussions with him when you detect that that ambassador for

1	the leadership team is not doing the right kind of
2	ambassadorship.
3	At the ground level, again, we have multiple, more
4	overhanded, more severe administrative actions that can be
5	taken beyond mentoring if it's necessary for people who just
6	aren't openminded to the message and to the mentoring, and I've
7	named some of them, evaluation ratings the same things we
8	talk about for
9	MR. DEIXLER: Does does does all accountability,
10	though, sort of start with the sheriff who has to send the
11	message and ensure that this message is going to be followed?
12	MR. TYLER: I can't think of any way to say it but, yes.
13	I mean, if the sheriff's not part of the team, it's like the
14	team doesn't have a head.
15	The undersheriffs now, that's an important rank,
16	but he's only the sheriff if the sheriff's out of town or ill.
17	People are going to look to the sheriff, who is just
18	phenomenally highly respected in that avenue. No matter how
19	many jokes people might tell about him, that position is worthy
20	of great respect; and if he's not the main cheerleader, I mean,
21	he'd better have cheerleaders that can yell as loud as he can,
22	but he needs to be yelling as loud as they are, in my opinion.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Let me raise with you some specifics that
24	others have discussed with the commission or in interviews for
25	the commission. We've had two witnesses who felt the problem

1	at certain stations such as East LA, Compton, and Century, that
2	the solution was burning the stations down and starting all
3	over. I I assume you're not an advocate of of burning,
4	but how would you approach the stations that are at the core of
5	the problem and have been for years or decades?
6	MR. TYLER: Okay. First of all, the person who advocated
7	burning them down, I'm just wondering if that person believes
8	that by starting over there automatically would not be a deputy
9	subgroup in 10 years. My fear is or concern is that there
10	would be. I don't know why. I'm not a sociologist; I'm not a
11	psychologist.
12	That's why the study intrigues me because there's
13	something about stations that are what we call "faster."
14	Stations that are in higher-crime areas, stations that have a
15	policing jurisdiction with more crime problems, more violent
16	crime than property crime or disproportionate amounts compared
17	to other stations, and they're tough to work in many ways.
18	They're also desirable to work at because you learn so fast,
19	and they're exciting. Lennox is one of them. I was there
20	because I wanted to be there because I wanted to learn fast and
21	have a lot of stuff happen that I could test myself with.
22	I don't know why those are the places where these
23	things arise other than insecurity about something, which is
24	why I wonder about management, in general, or some other factor
25	I don't know about, but burning a station down wouldn't

Т

1	necessarily solve the problem. So we're right back to going
2	into a a place where the loyalty to a subgroup and its
3	symbolism is as strong as it is to the badge and the patch and
4	trying to undo it.
5	Well, to my knowledge, nobody's done this yet, but if
6	I I picture, at some juncture, at the Sheriff's, probably,
7	direction, "This is the time. Do it."
8	Going into every briefing and ending up having talked
9	to every person in that station without trying to identify
10	who's got a tattoo and who doesn't, I'm just saying a variation
11	of the sheriff's message, which appears you know, a
12	suggestion appears in the document, in which a sheriff's the
13	one who's entitled to conceive, write, or initially articulate,
14	but have it parroted by everybody in the chain of command down.
15	And whether the captain is accompanied by division chiefs or
16	commanders or whether he just goes in there with a couple of
17	lieutenants or whether he goes in alone and says, "Subgroups
18	our subgroup here does not compute anymore in the 21st century.
19	We managers have cast a blind eye to an extent, maybe even
20	approved them in some cases, maybe we've winked at them, maybe
21	we've taken them on and failed, maybe we've expressed ourselves
22	poorly, but tonight, I'm going to express myself well and
23	clearly.
24	"I'm imploring you to have it be the case that there
25	is no longer a group at this station called" pick your

1	favorite. "I cannot have them at the station anymore. The
2	symbolism is hideous. I'm I'm open to anybody's input about
3	how it looks like the military one for the Big Red One or
4	whatever 101st Airborne but my sense is that it's
5	unprofessional to have a depiction of death for our Sheriff's
6	Station; therefore, I'm begging you to retire the thing
7	volitionally.
8	"Those of you who have the tattoo, I'm not asking you
9	get rid of it, just keep it covered, and don't flash it around
10	anymore.
11	"Those of you who are members or have considered
12	yourself even leaders, I'm asking you to no longer consider
13	yourself a member of the group.
14	"Those of you who aren't, I'm asking you to help be
15	leaders, and not overtly, necessarily, but slyly,
16	confidentially or gently talk to your partner deputies about
17	why it makes sense that these things not exist here anymore."
18	That kind of a conversation with a group of deputies
19	at Lennox or Temple, the two stations I worked at, potentially
20	would fall on some percentage of deaf ears. Maybe I'd have an
21	impact on, what, 10 percent of the group members, 20, 30? Am I
22	dumb enough to hope for 50? I don't know. I have no way of
23	knowing initially.
24	Once everybody gets the word though, if I take my
25	thumb off, then I might as well be a criminal as far as I'm

1	concerned because once we've decided on the leadership team and
2	the constant, redundant mentoring, we've decided on making sure
3	we're accountable for keeping that message out in front. No
4	deputy's going to rest 'til I'm pretty convinced there are no
5	more recruitment efforts to become a member of the group.
б	I imagine I'd have a string of people coming to my
7	office singing the blues, or I'd probably have civil claims
8	from deputies who are violating their I who knows what.
9	We don't know because we've never tried it.
10	But at the stations you asked about, the ones where
11	they're entrenched and have been for years, there's a large
12	group of deputies there who don't belong to the groups and do
13	not have the tattoos.
14	Once I've communicated my heartfelt request or
15	begging or imploring, I watch what happens, and I ratchet up as
16	necessary. I need my commanders' support about some decision I
17	want to make. I can count on it because the Sheriff created a
18	leadership team that has tested integrity. I won't have some
19	commander who says, "Look, I got a tattoo, and it doesn't
20	bother anybody. So what are you so jacked up about?"
21	MR. DEIXLER: So it it for you, it is still
22	leadership, mentoring, accountability, teamwork, and not
23	burning down the station. Is that a fair summary of your point
24	of view?
25	MR. TYLER: Correct. Yes, that's correct.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you about another another
2	suggestion we've had from some witness that new academy of
3	graduates not go first to jails, but rather serve as Patrol
4	deputies, a team with highly-regarded mentors for the purpose
5	of seeing every encounter as not a battle with an enemy but
6	rather a way to serve the public. How do you react to that as
7	a means of trying to tamp down or eliminate the gang culture?
8	MR. TYLER: The sheriff's department looked at a variety
9	of options to minimize the amount of time deputies are forced
10	to stay in Custody at the beginning of their career. I I
11	did three years and ten months and now or at some
12	junctions after that, people were doing two years or one year
13	and then later it was seven years, and I don't know what the
14	deal is there, but the jail has to be staffed.
15	I I know we've tried a variety of different ways
16	to do a variation of just flipping everything and saying,
17	"Okay. All you new guys, go out there and then stand by
18	because after two years you're coming back to the jail and
19	you're going to work there for seven years."
20	And I don't really have the authoritative information
21	about custody and staffing to give an authoritative answer. My
22	reaction is if it would work, and I had some evidence that it
23	would have an effect, I'd be for considering it much stronger.
24	But I work came out of the jail in 1979 with no
25	such attitudes. I'm just one of hundreds to thousands of

Т

1	deputies who have come out of the jail without a jaundiced view
2	of humanity just because you were guarding inmates for three or
3	four years.
4	MR. DEIXLER: You were never a Jump Out Boy?
5	MR. TYLER: No, I was not
6	COMMISSIONER BONNER: He he was never a 3000 Boy.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Yeah. 2000 or
8	COMMISSIONER BONNER: 3000 Boy; right?
9	MR. TYLER: No, I wasn't.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And you never had a tattoo?
11	MR. TYLER: You know, I I I know this may sound
12	incredible, but I have never knowingly worked in a unit that
13	had a deputy subgroup. Lennox did not have one when I was a
14	deputy there. Lennox did not have one when I was a sergeant
15	there. Now, I took an oath; I'm virtually sure that they
16	didn't.
17	When I was a lieutenant, I went back there a year and
18	a half after, I think, being a sergeant there, and I or two
19	years and I detected a change in attitudes and stuff. Never
20	heard the word "Grim Reaper," but I had a bad feeling that that
21	might have been in the mid-1980s when that group got invented.
22	When I went to Temple, even though there had been a
23	history of that little cartoon character, the Tasmanian Devil
24	being their mascot, I knew that that had been emasculated by a
25	previous captain and that if there were a Tasmanian Devil

1	symbol in the building, it wasn't meant to be a statement of
2	we're the best deputies here, but kind of a mascot for the gym.
3	MR. DEIXLER: Well, let's put a pin in that. Perhaps one
4	of the commissioners would want to follow up with some
5	questions
6	MR. TYLER: Oh, okay. All right.
7	MR. DEIXLER: at their at their time. I I'm
8	going to guess maybe they will.
9	One of the many recommendations of the Citizens
10	Commission on Jail Violence, of which Judge Bonner was a
11	member, was that deputies be more frequently rotated so as to
12	break up affinity groups and minimize the prospect for
13	subgroups in any station. How do you assess that as a strategy
14	based on your 42 years?
15	MR. TYLER: First of all, you mean rotated within the jail
16	facility or rotated between jail facilities?
17	MR. DEIXLER: (Inaudible)
18	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Between stations. Between stations,
19	sir.
20	MR. TYLER: Okay
21	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Moved out of stations, rotated.
22	MR. TYLER: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Yeah. There's we've already done
24	that in the jails, and that was had a real effect, I
25	believe, against the 3000 Boys and 2000 Boys. I'd like to hear

1	whether you agree with that statement.
2	We're really talking about rotation as which is
3	transfer also. So I think you're you're using a term that
4	he's thinking jails, and we're we're thinking
5	MR. DEIXLER: (Inaudible.)
6	COMMISSIONER BONNER: rotation in the sense of of
7	transfer.
8	MR. TYLER: Oh, yeah. Well, I I'll use the term
9	"transfer."
10	You mean as a matter of regular course, rotating
11	deputies in and out of sheriff stations and/or jails; right?
12	MR. DEIXLER: We'll focus on rotation or transfer between
13	stations
14	MR. TYLER: Okay. All right.
15	MR. DEIXLER: every two or three years.
16	MR. TYLER: You know, there are drawbacks and there are
17	advantages, and I've I know that somebody had testified
18	about that being a practice in another agency. I'm openminded
19	about that.
20	You know, the traditional thing to think about is
21	that deputies go to stations they want to be at. They
22	configure their brains about how far from home and where, the
23	community and what they like, and then they become part of the
24	community to the extent we can encourage that to happen and
25	that's all on the good for keeping them there. But facing the

Т

<pre>3 transfer for c 4 about just tra 5 that might hav 6 are the most p 7 don't know</pre>	re facing, I can understand how having it be a
4 about just tra 5 that might hav 6 are the most p 7 don't know	of course instead of an occasional, like,
5 that might hav 6 are the most p 7 don't know	ause because of misbehavior you're talking
6 are the most p 7 don't know	nsfer on GP, general principles. I can see how
7 don't know	e a good effect on stations where the subgroups
	roblematic because it would depopulate their I
8 My n	their most fundamental people.
	ext concern would be to what extent would that
9 affect the inc	oming the the station to which you're
10 going? Right	now, I don't think there's anything called the
11 "Crescenta Val	ley Whatevers." I don't know if there'd become
12 one because 17	guys from an inner-city station end up going
13 there. I I	just don't know.
14 I'm	not averse to I mean, I wouldn't be averse to
15 experimenting	with that idea after we cut through all the
16 employee relat	ions stuff about transfers that are not
17 requested. Bu	t it's this horrific consideration if among
18 the many thing	s we could do either along with mentoring or
19 instead of, if	mentoring doesn't have a decent effect in a
20 quick order of	time.
21 MR. DEIXL	
22 We'v	ER: Let me move on.
23 strongly that,	ER: Let me move on. e we've interviewed witnesses who feel
24 mentoring, acc	
25 necessary is t	e we've interviewed witnesses who feel

1	department leadership has its feet held to the fire.
2	How do you evaluate the value of a consent decree
3	supervised by a federal judge and a monitor as the best way to
4	achieve elimination of these gangs?
5	MR. TYLER: We've had more than one settlement agreement
6	and consent decree in my department experience. Every time
7	we've had one, we've ended up working with, not against, the
8	monitor, to to my knowledge. I I know some of the ones I
9	was personally involved in, we worked with the monitor. We got
10	a lot of good stuff done. When the monitoring period was over,
11	we were able to sustain our reform efforts for some period of
12	time, but I also know that, on occasion, we haven't. We've
13	backslid or slipped. I think that if it's necessary, then the
14	monitorship, however painful or however potentially
15	embarrassing it is, can be helpful. I've seen it be helpful.
16	But if there were a federal monitor appointed today
17	to the Department about the deputy subgroup issue, and if he
18	ordered us to do everything legally appropriate and severe,
19	most dramatic kinds of things to break up the gang, the groups,
20	or whatever, I'm saying that leadership, teamwork,
21	accountability, and mentoring would still be vital because I
22	want the deputies to think beyond the end of their nose about
23	the effect these groups are having on public trust and not have
24	them drop their membership in a subgroup because they were told
25	to, rather because they figured out it wasn't good for them,

1	their families, the public, or the Department.
2	MR. DEIXLER: You spent an inordinate amount of time
3	writing documents that express in more detail than you provided
4	today your belief on these issues. I've distributed to the
5	commissioners one document entitled "Background Information
6	About LASD and Deputy Subgroups." Is that a document of which
7	you were an author?
8	MR. TYLER: Yes, it is.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And does that set forth your views of the
10	background issue that informs your strategy to attempt to fix
11	this problem?
12	MR. TYLER: Well, it primarily details my awareness of
13	efforts to deal with the deputy subgroup issue in the past. I
14	originally wrote it when I first started hearing from Board of
15	Supervisors members and other people in the public that we'd
16	never done anything, when I knew we had.
17	As I pointed out in that document, I didn't list
18	everything that we might have done. I don't know everything we
19	might have done. I'm not that old, and I'm not that widely
20	experienced, but I had some pretty special examples of efforts
21	on the parts of specific individuals. I was aware of which
22	things I had had a hand in, and so I before I left so I
23	represented those in there as among the efforts that the
24	Department had undertaken to address the issue.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And and another

1	MR. TYLER: But it's not comprehensive as it
2	MR. DEIXLER: Right.
3	MR. TYLER: is accurate.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And a second exhibit, which I have placed
5	before each of the commissioners entitled "Considerations for
6	LASD Action Concerning Deputy Subgroups," is that a document
7	that you were the author of?
8	MR. TYLER: Yes, it is.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And does that set forth accurately and in
10	some detail your strategy for addressing the deputy subgroup
11	problem?
12	MR. TYLER: I I titled it "Considerations for Action."
13	I I'm not a reserve deputy even now. I haven't
14	been in the Department for four years, and I do not feel that I
15	have the standing to develop a plan or proposal. It's a whole
16	bunch of thoughts that came out of that study we started and
17	out of here about where it could go profitably that are still
18	viable today if anyone is interested.
19	MR. DEIXLER: Pardon my asking, but you spent an
20	inordinate amount of time on this, as I've mentioned before,
21	thinking about these problems, and particularly since you've
22	left the Department. Are you being paid for the time that you
23	have spent on this project?
24	MR. TYLER: No.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Why are you spending time doing this, sir?

1	MR. TYLER: Well, I've been interviewed by RAND, by
2	Mr. Kennedy, by various attorneys in lawsuits, by I don't
3	know who but because primarily because the tattoo
4	committee, which we haven't even talked about, that I oversaw
5	for a couple years and this study and potentially partly
6	because of that booklet you showed.
7	So my brain's been cogitating on this stuff all that
8	time, and I am highly distressed about the controversy that
9	swirls around my beloved former organization. I don't even
10	feel like its former. Most of us don't when we leave, even if
11	we're officially guys are cut. And I love police work. I
12	love the concept of what we do in society. I love the whole
13	idealistic picture of a stalwart person who's enforcing the law
14	that is incorruptible and always civil with the public and
15	polite and can get his job done without one degree of
16	unreasonable force and understands how to talk people to jail
17	in every possible case without clobbering them. And these
18	some of these subgroups seem inimical to that love.
19	And so because I'm a former manager who feels that,
20	just like everybody else, to some extent or another, I was
21	insufficiently attentive, not that I ever worked a place that
22	had one of these groups that I recall know of, but just that
23	as a manager, collectively, we all potentially could have done
24	better, certainly can do better, and because present just ended
25	a couple of split seconds ago; so now we only have the future

1 to consider, and those considerations for action matter to me
2 that they be evaluated.

3 It doesn't matter at all to me if somebody thinks I'm 4 full of hooey, and I don't have an ego thing with this. I'm 5 not interested in pay; I only care about the Department. There 6 are people in that agency that are suffering about this 7 reputation damage caused by some of these groups, and it's not that they're mad at any individual specific deputy, it's just 8 the whole darn thing's big and it's a problem, and we -- I'm 9 hoping some of those ideas might help someday in the future. 10 11 MR. DEIXLER: And help the public.

MR. TYLER: And it's worth it to me to try -- yeah. And the public's the whole purpose. I don't say "public trust" like it's some buzzword. It has to do -- I'm looking at people that I know are dying to get this mic out of my hands -- thank you for acknowledging that.

17 I put together -- I was on that working group that 18 formed this body. Okay? People came in public hearings and 19 described situations, some percentage of which were 100 percent valid wherein deputy sheriffs had done unprofessional things, 20 were very hurtful to them, and it's excruciating to hear 21 22 especially when I have reason to believe they're telling every 23 bit of the truth and they're not shading it or exaggerating it. I -- I care enough to help if I can. If it's 24 25 something I know something about, I normally say yeah instead

Τ

1	of no, if I can, and I'm retired and happily very incredibly
2	lucky to have a retirement salary so money is not an issue to
3	me. What is is making things better if I can. If I can help,
4	I will.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Thank you for your testimony and for your
6	service.
7	I pass the witness.
8	MR. TYLER: Thank you.
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner questions?
10	Irma.
11	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Thank you for coming today.
12	I noticed you mentioned several times about
13	accountability and the sheriff being at the top and the
14	message. I'm really concerned about how you felt about the
15	message because with my military background, commanders give an
16	order, and they expect them to be followed. And if they don't
17	get followed, then the commander is expected to take action,
18	because if they don't then the general will take actions on the
19	commander.
20	So my concern is the fact that accountability the
21	message not getting out, holding people accountable, even
22	though you mentioned several times there was a lack in
23	management, but yet there was the accountability was just
24	too soft.
25	You mentioned begging or asking them to stop. Where

Т

	1	does telling them to stop and then holding them accountable
	2	I'm very concerned about the language because if you just say
	3	"Please, I'm begging you to stop," nothing's going to happen.
	4	You need to tell people to stop. "This is my expectation, and
	5	this and if it's not done, I'm going to hold you
	6	accountable." So I'm getting sort of mixed messages from
	7	leadership, accountability.
	8	And then you mentioned the the the stations
	9	that had more problems. Why wasn't more psychologists, social
	10	workers, and people put in there to help with that mentoring
	11	that you called to to keep the message out front? I'm sort
	12	of concerned about how that sort of worked within this
	13	Department as you were working on this study.
	14	MR. TYLER: Okay. Well, gosh. There were three questions
	15	in there. I'm going to try and do them all but tell me when
	16	I've talked too long.
	17	First of all, when I role-played a captain imploring
	18	his deputies to bust up the group volitionally, Mr. Deixler was
	19	concerned about how long I talk, and I with good reason
	20	because I probably violated his expectations already, but I
	21	will re-emphasize "When does the accountability part kick in?"
	22	"The next week."
	23	After he starts getting the stream of deputies coming
	24	in saying, "Captain, you're full of garbage. We're not going
	25	to be you've been here two years, and you're going to be
- 1		

gone in another two years, and we're going to have a gang 1 2 to -- " whatever. 3 There's going to be some accountability. There's 4 going to be marginalization, and he's not going to have a 5 trainee assigned to him anymore. He's going to be transferred 6 if necessary. He's going to be put in a different job where he 7 can't affect people in the manner that has -- has taken place 8 in the past. So the reason that the word "accountability" is in 9 there is to make it clear that the considerations for action 10 11 include the kind of thing you're talking about, giving orders 12 and expecting them to be obeyed and, you know -- what do you 13 call it? -- sanctions if -- or not, which include discipline and include lower evaluation ratings and include denial of 14 15 promotions and include termination from employment in the most 16 dramatic cases, potentially. There's mention in there about 17 the new Penal Code section about mandatory terminations for 18 officers who join groups that are exclusive and, therefore, 19 violate the rights of people of whatever, you know, protected 20 classes. 21 CHAIR KENNEDY: Law enforcement gangs, as the statute 22 says. MR. TYLER: Correct. Yeah. To -- of 13670 Penal Code, 23 24 new statute. 25 That's enforceable if there is evidence that -- and

1	its investigable if there's evidence that someone as a group
2	now I mean, it's my understanding that they are exclusive
3	and not everybody gets to play. And if some of those decisions
4	about who's in and out are based on race, creed, color,
5	nationality, religion, et cetera, et cetera, they're ripe for
6	termination.
7	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I'm sorry. I can't really hear the
8	witness
9	COMMISSIONER COOPER: (Inaudible) termination
10	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I can't I can't I'm sorry.
11	I just can't hear the witness very well because
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: (Inaudible) speak into the mic.
13	COMMISSIONER BONNER: he's speaking right at you and
14	MR. TYLER: They're ripe for a termination is what I last
15	said. Okay. So that that component is in the documentation
16	that I supplied as considerations.
17	About the past, I'm I have a lot of knowledge
18	about some things and have some knowledge about maybe a lot of
19	things but I sure don't have all the knowledge about
20	everything.
21	At the station that used to be called Lynwood, which
22	polices that city and the adjacent county areas and is now
23	called Century Station, policing the same area and some more
24	county areas, there have been at least one or two instances,
25	about which I know very little, where there was very special

1	managerial focus on those stations because of either the
2	Vikings, the Spartans, or the Regulators.
3	Am I okay on this?
4	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Yeah. I can hear you now.
5	MR. TYLER: Those three groups were associated with that
6	policing area over the past excuse me past 40 or 50
7	years. I don't know the details about what was done about
8	whether psychological services was brought in. I'm not saying
9	they were. I just don't know so I can't answer your question
10	very well. And you're right. I did cite, you know, management
11	hasn't been sufficiently, successfully attentive to the
12	big-scale picture. So all I can say about why didn't you
13	X, Y, Z is mea culpa. Speak for hundreds
14	COMMISSIONER COOPER: But the the higher groups, the
15	the undersheriff, those are nonunion people; right? So
16	management
17	MR. TYLER: Mostly, yeah. Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER COOPER: should be able to take action
19	without a union telling them what they can and cannot do?
20	MR. TYLER: Correct. But we also get told what's legal
21	and what's ill-advised by attorneys who advise us about very,
22	very complicated and protective arena of employee relations.
23	So there as Mike Gennaco testified I watched
24	his stuff, I know him it's not always as simple as directly
25	addressing what's perceived to be unfairness or some nexus to

1	misconduct between the symbol on the ankle and the misconduct.
2	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Uh-huh.
3	MR. TYLER: It's often it's usually very difficult with
4	the in the case that I talked about, the Jump Out Boys,
5	which is in that booklet, it was easy. They put stuff in
6	writing and left it in the trunk of a radio car and somebody
7	found it. But for the most part, to the best of my knowledge,
8	there's not a lot of stuff in writing that management's ever
9	going to be privy to.
10	Ordering them to give it up, who would we order? I
11	mean, if it's in somebody's house, we're not going to end up
12	getting a search warrant for it, most likely, so
13	I mean, there are challenges for managers who try to
14	do something. Century had a massive Century Station had a
15	massive infusion of some of the highest quality supervisors and
16	managers. I believe that this occurred when Sheriff Baca was
17	in office. At some juncture I'm not sure that's right. I
18	don't remember whether it was Baca or Sheriff Block but
19	because of the concern about, at the time, the Regulators, the
20	Sheriff hand-picked a set of lieutenants and sergeants to send
21	there en masse put on every shift. I knew at least two or
22	three of the people very personally. I knew they are the
23	cream-of-the-crop supervisors. They will be promoted soon.
24	And so they're just the kind of bullet we need to go in there
25	and, you know, do the military-style stuff about misconduct

1	and, if necessary, about the deputy subgroup issue, which was
2	implicated, I believe, in the things you typically hear:
3	Controlling the station, the captain doesn't run the place, the
4	deputies do, the Regulators, or whoever, the scheduling
5	deputy's a member, blah, blah, blah.
6	And there were, at least on two occasions I'm
7	generally aware of without remembering dates and people,
8	large-scale transfers from that station, or from those two
9	Lynwood and Century. Same policing area, two different
10	stations.
11	So those for want of the better way to put it
12	hammer-type techniques are certainly available. But they
13	happened. The excellent sergeants, lieutenants did a year to
14	a year and a half there, they went and got their richly
15	deserved promotions, they didn't likely promote and remain.
16	They probably got transferred elsewhere where they were needed,
17	and I'm not saying these subsequent sergeants, lieutenants were
18	deficient, but over time, we got to today.
19	So the mass transfer-hammer approach is a sound
20	approach for an immediate problem, but the long-term issue
21	about how this happens, why is it phenomenon, the sociological
22	aspects about which I'm no expert, I think we should be trying
23	to take a bite out of, at the same time, the federal monitor,
24	if he's in the in the cards, tells us what else to do.
25	I'm committed to this approach because I know that talking with
	1

1	deputies about conduct and decisions is more effective than
2	telling them do right and be good and no more talking about it.
3	Just get out there and do your job.
4	This is a very, very, very complicated job. I mean,
5	street police work and jailing are very complicated. There are
6	rules that are available to break that are fairly detailed and
7	sometimes inconsequential seeming are many and varied, and I've
8	made mistakes out there that are in good faith that weren't so
9	hot. It's kind of a scary job in terms of not getting shot but
10	looking stupid or dumb and not knowing exactly the right thing
11	to do. Talking with deputies in advance of making those
12	decisions does help, and I can tell you that without a
13	sociology degree.
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: I want to get to everyone's questions.
15	MR. TYLER: Okay.
16	CHAIR KENNEDY: Irma, did you have more?
17	COMMISSIONER COOPER: No.
18	MR. TYLER: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER COOPER: No.
20	CHAIR KENNEDY: Rob, you had a question?
21	COMMISSIONER BONNER: You know, I'd like to say one
22	question. I I actually really appreciate the thoughtful
23	and and insightful testimony that you've given to this
24	commission, Mr. Tyler. I think you you nailed it when you
25	said that, you know, a big part of the problem here is the lack
1	

Τ

1	of sustained attention on the part of management to the deputy
2	clique/gang/exclusionary subgroup issue. And I think you
3	also you know, you've made it very very plain that
4	what's what what is desperately needed is leadership at
5	the highest levels of the Sheriff's Department that, you know,
6	makes the goal clearer. And the goal, you know, I I
7	seems to me, you're even you're temporizing a little bit
8	here, but the goal has to be to eradicate deputy cliques,
9	gangs, exclusionary subgroups, and we know what they are.
10	We know what by the way, you said the symbols are
11	unprofessional. Their names are unprofessional, sir. The
12	Banditos is not a professional name for law enforcement
13	group the Executioners. I mean, it's I mean, it's
14	ridiculously unprofessional, and you're right; it's inimical to
15	the best interests of the Sheriff's Department.
16	You know, I I'm here because I want the Sheriff's
17	Department of LA County not just to be the biggest sheriff's
18	department in the country; I want it to be the best sheriff's
19	department in the country. I want it to be among the best and
20	finest and most professional law enforcement organizations in
21	the country, and I know you do too, by the way, and that's why
22	you're here.
23	MR. TYLER: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER BONNER: So I just want you to know we
25	we're we're identifying here but

1	MR. TYLER: I understand.
2	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I guess that the root of my
3	questions would be, you know, you you talked about, you
4	know, imploring people to do things. You alluded to that. You
5	talk about, you know, you could be a member of the Executioners
6	right now and, you know, and unless you commit some misconduct,
7	well, it's okay to be a member of the Executioners; it's okay
8	to recruit other people to be members of the Executioners.
9	That's not acceptable.
10	So if you read I all I'm going to ask you
11	first of all, I should ask you, and when you answer my
12	question, I want to know whether you've read the proposed
13	policy of the Civilian Oversight Commission that would make it
14	as a matter of policy in the Sheriff's Department that it would
15	be and subject to discipline for any person to become a
16	member, join a deputy clique or gang or sub exclusionary
17	subgroup or solicit others to do that, and if they did that,
18	you don't have to show further misconduct. Just that I'm
19	not talking about past; I'm talking about in the future. That
20	sends a strong message, does it not, that it's just not
21	acceptable. It's no longer acceptable in the Department. I
22	don't know why that policy wasn't adopted by Sheriff
23	Villanueva, but it wasn't.
24	But what why wouldn't you or would you?
25	how what would be your comments on just being very clear

Т

1	about this to everybody in the Sheriff's Department that
2	these these organizations, these these subgroups, which
3	are exclusionary, and by the way, not just exclusionary, by the
4	way, you know there are insubordination that goes on all the
5	time with these subgroups; right? They they control the
6	assignments in some of these stations. They control who gets
7	training.
8	In any in any event, I'm asking you, basically, in
9	terms of accountability, why don't we have a policy in the
10	Sheriff's Department that just flat out prohibits joining,
11	participating in, or recruiting others to join these deputy
12	exclusionary subgroups?
13	MR. TYLER: How much time have I got? Really. I mean, I
14	need
15	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, we can have a side meeting
16	after this, but
17	MR. TYLER: All right.
18	COMMISSIONER BONNER: but try try to be
19	MR. TYLER: I've not seen the proposed policy.
20	We tried to write a policy that addressed cliques and
21	tattoos when Sheriff Scott assigned me to attempt to do that in
22	2014. It's mentioned in your document there. The group worked
23	on it your next witness was a member of it for
24	a year-and-a-half or two. We had multiple versions. They were
25	submitted to the County Counsel's Office. There were cited

1	problems with First Amendment issues that caused us to pause
2	and rethink it. We ended up with a very different kind of
3	policy draft from what had initially occurred, and it still
4	wasn't viewed as the ideal thing to put out in that manner. In
5	other words, I failed, so
6	COMMISSIONER BONNER: But it failed because County Counsel
7	said it was
8	MR. TYLER: I'm not blaming
9	COMMISSIONER BONNER: you couldn't constitutionally
10	MR. TYLER: I'm not blaming the County Counsel. I
11	refuse to
12	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, who who else who else
13	are we to blame?
14	MR. TYLER: They've helped us tremendously over decades
15	and me personally, and they represent the County well, and
16	because we don't always agree does not mean that they're the
17	reason why. I mean, to blame the County Counsel for some issue
18	with the deputy subgroups is not
19	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, I'm not talking about over the
20	past 50-year period.
21	By the way, that's an interesting question you raised
22	because County Counsel has often taken positions in civil
23	litigation that have been, I think, counterproductive to
24	getting rid of deputy gangs and cliques within the Sheriff's
25	Department, but let's not debate that.

74

1	They certainly killed your 2014 policy proposal by
2	essentially saying opining it was unconstitutional and not
3	proposing any other alternative policy that you might have
4	considered that would have been equally or more effective than
5	the the one that was being discussed in terms of tattoos.
6	Is that isn't that a fair statement?
7	MR. TYLER: I suppose that they I don't like the word
8	"destroyed," but they did impair the progress, and I submitted
9	to Sheriff McDonnell in my, like, last week in office an
10	alternate proposal, which this group would not find adequate at
11	all, but it was a a workaround that I came up with, for what
12	little it's worth, and it's the method of using existing
13	sections and enhancing one other one. But the whole idea about
14	finding people in violation of policy because they are a member
15	of a tattoo which we tried or because they
16	COMMISSIONER BONNER: They're a member
17	MR. TYLER: (Inaudible)
18	COMMISSIONER BONNER: they're they're a member
19	that's associated with a deputy exclusionary subgroup that's
20	been identified as as as you know. I I'd like you
21	to read our policy, but in a word, can you the my
22	question to you is why would you be opposed to such a policy
23	being implemented? That is to say
24	MR. TYLER: Oh, I would not be.
25	You know, if

2 MR. TYLER: I don't I got to get this out. 3 I'm normally supposed to answer his questions, 4 primarily, but 5 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. 6 MR. TYLER: if I gave the impression that all we're 7 going to do is implore these people to not be in the things and 8 then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the 9 situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. 10 Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't 11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd 21 say the captain should do a lot more than implore them wi	1	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Oh, okay.
4 primarily, but 5 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. 6 MR. TYLER: if I gave the impression that all we're 7 going to do is implore these people to not be in the things and 8 then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the 9 situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. 10 Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't 11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	2	MR. TYLER: I don't I got to get this out.
 5 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. 6 MR. TYLER: if I gave the impression that all we're 7 going to do is implore these people to not be in the things and 8 then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the 9 situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. 10 Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't 11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd 	3	I'm normally supposed to answer his questions,
 MR. TYLER: if I gave the impression that all we're going to do is implore these people to not be in the things and then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give him a chance. So a week later, if they don't respond, if the sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd 	4	primarily, but
7 going to do is implore these people to not be in the things and 8 then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the 9 situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. 10 Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't 11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
8 then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the 9 situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. 10 Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't 11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	6	MR. TYLER: if I gave the impression that all we're
9 situation and failed my guidance counselor over here. 10 Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't 11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	7	going to do is implore these people to not be in the things and
10Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't11committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership12teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical13undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give14him a chance.15So a week later, if they don't respond, if the16sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the17seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or18how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive19exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in20existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	8	then walk away and hope it works, then I misstated the
<pre>11 committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership 12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd</pre>	9	situation and failed my guidance counselor over here.
12 teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical 13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	10	Obviously, I'm trying to get the guys who aren't
13 undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give 14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	11	committed to it to turn into cheerleaders with the leadership
14 him a chance. 15 So a week later, if they don't respond, if the 16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	12	teams. I mean, ones with tattoos, like that hypothetical
So a week later, if they don't respond, if the sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	13	undersheriff who could renounce it wearing it, I want to give
16 sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the 17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	14	him a chance.
17 seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or 18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	15	So a week later, if they don't respond, if the
18 how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive 19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	16	sheriff told the captains here are the the six groups or the
<pre>19 exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in 20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd</pre>	17	seven groups, or I don't care what the heck group they are or
20 existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd	18	how innocuous the name, there better not be any secretive
	19	exclusionary subgroups, and I if I find out they're still in
21 say the captain should do a lot more than implore them within a	20	existence in a month, you, Captain, are in trouble, then I'd
	21	say the captain should do a lot more than implore them within a
22 week, as I said. I'm not averse to that personally.	22	week, as I said. I'm not averse to that personally.
23 I started this study before this issue had boiled	23	I started this study before this issue had boiled
24 over due to two different depositions which occurred in 2017	24	over due to two different depositions which occurred in 2017
25 and 2018, and that's when the thing came to greater, greater,	25	and 2018, and that's when the thing came to greater, greater,

1	and greater attention by wider wider-spread amount of the
2	public. I certainly understand it. All it means is we were
3	living on borrowed time, we managers, and now it's not just our
4	problem to attend when it boils over and then forget about when
5	we're so busy with other stuff.

6 Because I started then, I was bending over backwards with my interview question series to sell people on the idea of 7 cooperating, I can feel a sea change about the situation. 8 And whether it's a federal monitor or this commission or who the 9 heck it is from outside, if there's some direction that we're 10 not advised against taking by some learned attorney or other 11 12 factor that I can't quess about in advance of it to eradicate 13 Groups X, Y, and Z, my personal thought would be sir, yes, 14 sir -- got that military reference there -- three bags full and see to it. 15

16 But the way I would feel that it's right to see to it is to explain the whys and talk with the people involved about 17 the whys. The people who consider these things innocuous and 18 19 good sport and who never got in a bar fight, didn't get the tattoo when they were drunk and genuinely believe that it 20 accords recognition to deputies who are energetically doing 21 22 good police work, whether misguided or not, they're entitled to 23 an explanation about why the drawbacks outweigh the advantages. And I take your point there, and I 24 COMMISSIONER BONNER: 25 totally agree with you that you have to understand the

1	rationale for people that actually join deputy cliques and
2	gangs and subgroups, whatever we want to call them. We know
3	what they are, by the way. It's not and and a learned
4	attorney has opined that it would be constitutional to
5	essentially ban joining or participating in the deputy cliques,
6	gangs, or exclusionary subgroups, that learned attorney being
7	me.
8	But but nonetheless, here's here's here's a
9	question, and then I'll I'll I'll turn it over to my
10	fellow commissioners. But as I've looked at this thing, you
11	know, one of the things one of the reasons I think that
12	maybe management hasn't had sustained accountability here
13	within the Sheriff's Department is the structure of the
14	Sheriff's Department itself, and I I would like your comment
15	on it. And what I'm talking about is that the it seems that
16	it has a much more decentralized structure than I would have
17	expected between headquarters and the station captains. And
18	and if you have the station captains having, let's say, a
19	significant degree of autonomy or they're not around that long,
20	you're not going to be able to actually manage the organization
21	effectively in terms of whatever your policy is.
22	And I I don't know whether you I I just
23	it just it just struck me as I've been looking at this issue
24	now over going back to the CCJV of a decade ago that this is
25	a problem, and it's a problem also in the sense that there

Τ

1	doesn't appear to be sort of the vigorous CompStat kind of
2	approach for this kind of a problem that would be important,
3	and that is that the captain you you have a clear policy.
4	No cliques or gangs in your in your station happen, and
5	and then you have a policy of holding them to account for that
6	by the essentially, by the commanders andand the and
7	the chiefs and so forth.
8	But I I don't know. I mean, I this is
9	probably it could be a very long answer, I realize, but
10	and we could talk about this more later, but would you see a
11	structural issue here in terms of the ability to actually
12	effectuate and carry out effective policy against deputy
13	cliques, gangs, subgroups?
14	MR. TYLER: I understand you, sir. And I've often thought
15	that the Department is close to unmanageable because it's so
16	big. But then we're in the big county, we're in the most
17	populous county, et cetera, et cetera, excuses, excuses
18	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Yeah.
19	MR. TYLER: explanations, whatever. Okay.
20	About decentralization, I'm going to cite my
21	predecessors. They're named in there. Bob Mirabella and John
22	Ingebretsen (phonetic), still with us, and they just ran Temple
23	Station before I got there so effectively they didn't need a
24	commander parked out there looking over their shoulder like in
25	Barney Miller all the time. They knew what they were doing.

1	The contact between a division chief and the commanders was
2	frequent, and commanders are supposed to be going to the
3	stations. That's what I did when I was in that job and
4	visiting with the captains on site and then monkeying around,
5	visiting with the deputies and the whoevers, and ride-along if
6	you can still fit in the uniform. That's an offset to the lack
7	of central, you know, authority controlling the stations, but
8	fine. Those two guys were great, and I just cited them
9	publicly.
10	What about in circumstances where
11	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Other people. Other captains.
12	MR. TYLER: the accountability is not on a tether
13	that's that strong.
14	Answer: Well, gosh, if we had more commanders, there
15	could be one for each station but we're not budgeted for it,
16	and they could park at the stations and actually work there but
17	that's not in the budget.
18	The City of Los Angeles, if I understand correctly,
19	and I'm not very familiar with them, but I understand they have
20	two captains running what they call divisions, the things we
21	call stations. Two people of captain rank splitting the duties
22	between either Investigative and Patrol, or between
23	Administrative and Operational, I don't know.
24	But holy mackerel, when I was a captain, if I was
25	only responsible for half of what was going on at Temple, I

1	could have managed in much greater depth with or without a
2	commander overseeing me and theoretically been more effective.
3	I didn't have that.
4	So if we not saying money is the issue, I'm
5	everything I said about earlier had nothing to do with money.
6	Getting more management in the field does, unfortunately.
7	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. TYLER: Can I make one more oh, I'm sorry. Go
9	ahead.
10	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Okay.
11	MR. TYLER: I'm no, my comment's the last one I want to
12	make, so go ahead. Maybe you'll give me a chance to bring it
13	up.
14	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Okay.
15	Well, we've had quite a scholarly discussion today,
16	and I really appreciate looking at management, leadership, all
17	of these things which are really important to any organization.
18	But I I want to bring up the public. I want to
19	bring up the community. And we can have lawyers tripping all
20	over themselves about the finer points of, well, maybe this
21	would work, or maybe this would work, or you can't do this
22	because of this. What's happening is that the community and
23	the public becomes more and more disinfect you know,
24	disaffected.
25	MR. TYLER: Yeah.

1	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: They feel that they're left out of
2	the impact on them. Then we have us-and-them more and more,
3	deeper us-and-them clearly, there's going to be us-and-them
4	within the Department also going on. So I'm not so sure this
5	is a question, but I think it's a big question.
6	Exact you know, if you want to we're talking
7	about changing culture a lot, and I really do appreciate the
8	fine points of changing culture. Very challenging. Not just
9	for organizations. I mean, our entire society right now is in
10	huge upheaval around our culture and our habits and our
11	behaviors and our policies and how we treat one another, and so
12	my impression is that the public would like clarity. The
13	public would like to know what is a clear policy, and then yes,
14	if it takes some time to change the culture, do all the things
15	that you can do to support having a healthier, better,
16	less-contentious culture within so that it impacts the
17	community in a healthier way.
18	So I'm so I I I guess it's more of a
19	comment, but this is I I want to speak to the frustration
20	that is out there and within our within our own commission
21	about how do we move this needle? How do we even how can we
22	work with the Department to move this needle? You know, it's
23	not going to go away in the next two months. It's been around
24	for a long time and there have been some attempts, but the
25	attempts have not worked. So if it if it if something

1	isn't working, it needs to be refurbished, rethought.
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: So what about the public? What about
3	these
4	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: So what about the public?
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: these law enforcement gangs' impact on
6	community policing, constitutional policing, the rights of
7	accused people to get impeaching information about deputies
8	testifying at their trial what about that aspect of the
9	problem?
10	MR. TYLER: Well, if I didn't mention much about the
11	public in my explanations, either I got the wrong questions or
12	I didn't think to include them. I know I said public trust
13	about
14	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Yeah, you did.
15	MR. TYLER: three to ten times. I'm acutely aware of
16	it. Now, I will respond to everything I wanted to to
17	Mr. Bonner Judge Bonner mentioned. I didn't really want to
18	do this, but here it goes.
19	There are some subgroups that I'm embarrassed to even
20	mention the names of for the reason you cited. The one you
21	picked and the one from my old station are the two most
22	egregious examples of horrifying symbology and naming for any
23	deputy, any cop, any police officer, period. I was not a
24	member of the Department. I mean, I was a reserve deputy when
25	the Executioners first came to my attention, and I was

Τ

1	absolutely aghast at that name. Grim Reapers was bad enough.
2	Every bit of this, what I care about is whether the
3	public is getting served. I didn't become a policeman I
4	I became a policeman for the corny reason I wanted to help
5	people and I thought they were heroes and I wanted to be a
6	hero. I used to get beat up when I was a kid. I wanted to not
7	have that happen; right? Sorry about this. I didn't expect
8	it.
9	So the public is in every single one of my thoughts.
10	I spent ten years in Region One as a chief hawking courtesy
11	more than anybody I know ever did in the Department.
12	How effective was it?
13	Don't ask me. I I have no way of knowing for
14	sure.
15	So I can brag about it, but I don't I can't tell
16	you that I made a big, giant sea change and how courteous
17	people were. I had some pretty clever techniques for
18	acknowledging it, but I don't know that it was successful.
19	I understand if that if I I mean, I am a
20	member of the public, and I think it's embarrassing that there
21	are guardians of the law naming themselves with subgroups that
22	are either racially based, about death, about violence, or
23	about power in ambiguous or threatening ways. Period.
24	So you said nothing that I don't agree with and
25	understand on a deeper level than you can tell from my

1	testimony, and I hope that I made that clear in what
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: So when when you
3	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Just one second.
4	I just want to say, I wasn't saying don't
5	misinterpret. I wasn't saying there we we got a good
6	sense of how much you care about the public, so I wasn't saying
7	that.
8	MR. TYLER: Oh, yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: But I think just as an important
10	for our testimonies, people coming here, that we always have to
11	hold that very high because sometimes it gets things get
12	lost in some of the obscurity in the fine details, quote, "of
13	the law," of which good, faithful people disagree about. But
14	it's still and those disagreements about how to interpret a
15	law or a policy impact the community and impact and impedes
16	change. So I just wanted to bring that up about
17	MR. TYLER: I understand.
18	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: somehow we got to move off that
19	needle.
20	MR. TYLER: I understand, and I took nothing you said
21	wrong at all.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, what what I want to know is,
24	aside from courtesy, you talked about the Jump Out Boys. They
25	have a black book memorializing the shootings; right?

Γ

Τ

<pre>2 investigation report, yes. 3 CHAIR KENNEDY: And the tattoo gets to 4 smoke when you shoot someone; right?</pre>	t they authored. Jump Out Boys are the
4 smoke when you shoot someone; right?	t they authored. Jump Out Boys are the
	Jump Out Boys are the
	Jump Out Boys are the
5 MR. TYLER: That was in the creed that	
6 CHAIR KENNEDY: And the creed says, "C	but never become the
7 alpha dogs who think and act like the wolf	
8 wolf"; right?	
9 MR. TYLER: Correct.	
10 CHAIR KENNEDY: And they understand wh	nen the line needs to
11 be crossed and crossed back; right?	
12 MR. TYLER: That's what I was referring	ng to when I said
13 it's okay to bend the law to enforce the la	aw.
14 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah.	
15 MR. TYLER: In their creed.	
16 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.	
17And the Jump Out Boys are not af	raid to get their
18 hands dirty without disgrace, dishonor, or	hesitation.
19 MR. TYLER: I am extremely distressed	by that creed as I
20 was back then when I first learned about it	Ξ.
21 CHAIR KENNEDY: So as someone who was	number two in the
22 Department, what is the Sheriff's Department	nt doing to disclose
23 that information to accused people who are	on trial with Jump
24 Out Boys testifying in their criminal tria	ls? Are you all
25 are you telling the prosecutors that these	people are tattooed

1	members of a group with that creed?
2	MR. TYLER: Well, first of all, I haven't been in the
3	Department for five years
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, the the Jump Out Boys are I
5	mean, that's you know
6	MR. TYLER: Well
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: that's more than five years ago.
8	MR. TYLER: I understand that.
9	The answer I guess your question is we comply with
10	Brady versus Maryland, or we try to when the DA doesn't have
11	concerns about our method of compliance. We answer bring
12	forth information to prosecutors when it's appropriate. I'm
13	not aware of anybody in the Jump Out Boys subgroup or gang, or
14	whatever you call it, who had the chance to
15	CHAIR KENNEDY: I think "gang" is probably appropriate;
16	right?
17	MR. TYLER: In that case, I might be tempted to use the
18	word myself because they did mimic the street the culture of
19	street gangs to an extent even greater than some of the other
20	groups I'm aware of. But they didn't really have an
21	opportunity the thing didn't last very long and there were
22	only seven members that we know of that we found out about. We
23	know that other deputies told us "I didn't join it. I didn't
24	like the looks of it."
25	So I can't say much about to what extent we notified

1	prosecutors or defense attorneys about people that have these
2	tattoos, and it's a perfectly valid question. I just don't
3	have a lot of information about legalities and
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Is that because the answer is "nothing"?
5	Nothing was done to notify prosecutors who was in the Jump Out
6	Boys?
7	MR. TYLER: Well, I don't know that that's true either.
8	I I I know this sounds like a copout, but I had
9	a break in service. The Jump Out Boys thing happened while I
10	was retired writing up that material, and it was investigated
11	and they were discharged before I returned as the undersheriff.
12	So they were a historical fact.
13	I do not know the details about to what extent the
14	investigators who were assisting DAs in prosecuting cases of
15	people arrested by those seven people with that tattoo, to what
16	extent they were notified about anything because I wasn't the
17	undersheriff, and I wasn't even employed at the time.
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: You are aware that the Sheriff has stated
19	repeatedly in public that all the Jump Out Boys have been
20	rehired or reinstated?
21	MR. TYLER: I'm not aware of that. I do not know much
22	about the Sheriff's Department today. I have no personal
23	knowledge about it. I know what I read in the papers. I know
24	what I hear from people inside who are occasionally willing to
25	talk to me. I don't happen to know about that quotation and so

1	I don't know that he has said that
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: What about the Vikings?
3	MR. TYLER: or it's incorrect. Just so everybody
4	knows, I do know that that statement is incorrect.
5	I'm sorry.
6	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
7	The Vikings, you were you were in leadership when
8	the Vikings scandal broke; right?
9	MR. TYLER: By by the "Viking scandal," you mean the
10	class-action lawsuit at Lynwood?
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: And the statement by U.S. District
12	Judge
13	MR. TYLER: Hatter.
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: Terry Hatter that they were a White
15	Supremacist, neo-Nazi gang.
16	MR. TYLER: I was a lieutenant at the time that occurred,
17	and I'm sorry, I I lost track of the question. I do know
18	about that.
19	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Do you know if the Department did
20	anything to notify accused people in criminal trials that the
21	testifying deputy was a tattooed member of the Vikings?
22	MR. TYLER: I do not know.
23	CHAIR KENNEDY: If you don't ask if leadership doesn't
24	ask deputies if they are in these tattooed law enforcement
25	gangs, how will leadership ever be able to advise prosecutors

1	in who are calling them in criminal trials that they have
2	this affiliation so that the prosecutors can meet their Brady
3	obligation to people who are accused of, sometimes, extremely
4	serious crimes?

5 MR. TYLER: Well, I don't know every provision of the 6 Brady versus Maryland decision. I believe that the Sheriff's 7 Department complied with it as required by the LA County District Attorney's Office during a time period I was working, 8 but I was not at a level where I was involved in individual 9 cases one at a time. I know that we attempted to give Brady 10 11 information to the District Attorney's Office at one juncture, 12 and they were hesitant to take it because there was some concern about the legality of police personnel records. And I 13 don't know whether the Brady versus Maryland decision directly 14 15 addresses subgroup tattoos so I'm -- I'm afraid I can't answer 16 the question capably.

17 CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, it does address impeaching
18 information about testifying deputy witnesses; right?

MR. TYLER: I'm sure it does and --

19

20 CHAIR KENNEDY: And do you think if someone is a member of 21 the Wayside Whities and the defendant is African-American, 22 wouldn't that be impeaching information about a deputy? 23 MR. TYLER: Potentially. But I'd have to know a little 24 bit more about the Wayside Whities and what they stood for. I 25 understand that wasn't about being white, but I'm not sure I'm

Τ

1	right.
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: The name "Wayside Whities" isn't about
3	being white?
4	MR. TYLER: I'm telling you what I've heard about an
5	explanation concerning that group that is no longer in
6	existence, to my knowledge.
7	And I happen to agree with you that potentially
8	impeaching information should be offered to the DA and
9	discussed with him. If he decides, well, that's not really a
10	Brady requirement so we don't want to risk forking it over to
11	the defense attorney, that's his decision. Discussing it with
12	them makes sense to me so I agree with that part.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: But the prosecutor won't know unless the
14	Sheriff's Department tells the prosecutor.
15	MR. TYLER: Unless they have information on our personnel
16	who are still working, who have Brady material in their past
17	history, you're correct.
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: It's 11:08. We have gone over two hours.
19	You've been a good sport. We're going to take a 15-minute
20	break.
21	Thank you so much.
22	(A break was taken from 11:08 a.m. to 11:24 a.m.)
23	CHAIR KENNEDY: All right. We're we need to resume
24	this hearing because we have another witness after Mr. Tyler.
25	Neal, can I trouble you to take the stand again?

1	I don't have any questions. I just want to make sure
2	that anyone else who has a question, then we're going to
3	MR. TYLER: Oh, okay. I thought you were kidding. It was
4	a break. I'm sorry.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: We we really appreciate all of your
6	testimony.
7	MR. TYLER: Oh, thank you.
8	CHAIR KENNEDY: So I don't have any questions.
9	Does any other commissioner?
10	Hans. All right.
11	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Hi, there. Thank you, Mr. Tyler.
12	Thank you for your presence here today and for your testimony
13	and your observations about troubleshooting, to say the least,
14	within the Department.
15	The question I wanted to ask was about whether the
16	law and changes in the law and policy which didn't figure, I
17	don't believe, into your expressions of the leverage that
18	commanders have over accountability whether changes in
19	policy and the law might be factored into those forms of
20	accountability and the messages that commanders can share with
21	deputies in eradicating or at least stigmatizing and bringing
22	to their attention the presence of deputy gangs. How does the
23	law figure into those series of pieces of leverage and/or how
24	might it? How might the articulation of law and policy be
25	factored into those leverages?

1	MR. TYLER: It very much does. It is a valuable piece of
2	leverage, as you put it, if you want to put it that way, for
3	any mentoring process because what part of what mentoring is
4	about is how to not get in trouble in a business where it's as
5	easy as falling off a log to get in trouble because there's so
6	much liability out there about the decisions that policemen
7	have to make.

8 It's mentioned in the document there, the considerations document, that there's a Penal Code section that 9 makes at least one of the highly suspected aspects of the 10 groups illegal, and that's exclusion of people on the wrong 11 12 basis. I mentioned in there that -- I updated the interview 13 discussion questions with that awareness after Max Huntsman 14 told me the law had been passed with that provision because I realized that, as you say, a mentor who is either interviewing 15 16 with those questions in that format or doing his own thing should have among his information valuable to increase the 17 wisdom of the mentee the fact that it's illegal to do that 18 19 thing, exclude people on the basis of -- et cetera.

So it factors in directly, and -- to answer the question how does it factor in and if there were additional -if there were -- my concern about policy, and I'll stick with the one I was working on, is that it be enforceable and respected. I don't mean liked. I mean respected as okay. That's the rules Okay? And failing that, especially failing

1	enforceability, I almost don't see a need for it.
2	Now, we can split hairs all the time about, you know,
3	policy statement should be general and the rules are what you
4	enforce. I don't want to go there. I'm considering policy,
5	regulations, rules, procedures all in one glump. Okay? So if
6	that stuff isn't enforceable what they're doing in the
7	Department Manual of Policy and Procedures? Maybe it's
8	symbolic of intent, but it's not as useful as it could be
9	unless it is enforceable and respected and also known about.
10	So part of the mentoring would be making people aware
11	"Hey, not only did we want to rap with you about our concerns
12	about the deputy subgroups" there I go going soft again
13	but also we want to inform you that there is new legislation
14	that's been approved, and it's in state law now. It's in that
15	thing we enforce called a Penal Code that certain activities
16	that these subgroups are known or suspected to be involved in
17	could be considered illegal and result in your termination.
18	That's a long yes.
19	CHAIR KENNEDY: Anyone else?
20	Okay. I think we can excuse you, Mr. Tyler. If we
21	wanted you to come back, is that an option?
22	MR. TYLER: Yes. If you're brave enough to have me.
23	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you so much.
24	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you so much.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Before Mr. Tyler leaves, I just thought I'd

1	also tell the commission he has volunteered to assist us in the
2	report-writing aspect of this so we'll have all of his
3	experience and judgment to assist us further. So
4	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Excellent.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Oh, thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Thank you.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: You're a scholar and a gentleman.
9	MR. TYLER: That's the first time I heard that.
10	(Inaudible) tell my family (inaudible).
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Mr. Deixler?
12	MR. DEIXLER: Mr. Pacheco has the next witness.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Mr. Pacheco, I am so sorry.
14	MR. PACHECO: We call Eric Strong. If you'll give me a
15	minute, I'll
16	CHAIR KENNEDY: Sure.
17	Would you state and spell your name.
18	MR. STRONG: Eric Strong.
19	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
20	MR. STRONG: E-r-i-c S-t-r-o-n-g.
21	CHAIR KENNEDY: Mr. Strong, could you raise your hand.
22	Do you swear or solemnly affirm, under penalty of
23	perjury, to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24	the truth?
25	MR. STRONG: Yes, I do.

1	CHAIR KENNEDY: You may be seated.
2	Mr. Pacheco?
3	MR. PACHECO: Thank you.
4	Good morning. Thank you for
5	MR. STRONG: Good morning.
6	MR. PACHECO: being here today.
7	You are here pursuant to a subpoena; correct?
8	MR. STRONG: Yes.
9	MR. PACHECO: Have you worked in law enforcement
10	previously?
11	MR. STRONG: Yes, I have.
12	MR. PACHECO: Can you tell us where you first started in
13	law enforcement?
14	MR. STRONG: I first started my career with the Compton
15	Police Department.
16	MR. PACHECO: And what was your job when you were at the
17	Compton Police Department?
18	MR. STRONG: I had a number of assignments. I obviously
19	started off as a Patrol trainee. I was a field training
20	officer, I worked the Gang Unit, I worked on the SWAT team, and
21	I was also on what we called a task force Metro Task Force,
22	and more or less a field supervisor.
23	MR. PACHECO: How long did you work in Compton?
24	MR. STRONG: Little over seven years.
25	MR. PACHECO: And after that position did you take another
L	l.

2	MR. STRONG: Actually, yes. I had two. I I did a
3	short stint at Pasadena, less than a year, and I when I left
4	Compton, I actually went back to Compton PD, and then I was
5	absorbed into the Sheriff's Department in 2000.
6	MR. PACHECO: So in 2000 is when you started with the
7	Los Angeles Sheriff's Department?
8	MR. STRONG: Yes, sir.
9	MR. PACHECO: And what was your first position with the
10	Sheriff's Department?
11	MR. STRONG: My first position was actually, I worked
12	in Court Services as a bailiff.
13	MR. PACHECO: Okay.
14	And how long were you with the Sheriff's Department?
15	MR. STRONG: I've been there since 2000, so a little over
16	22 years now.
17	MR. PACHECO: And can you share with us some of the
18	positions that you've held in the Sheriff's Department?
19	MR. STRONG: Yes.
20	I worked with our STAR Unit where I worked in the
21	schools teaching our DARE and SANE programs.
22	I worked at Lennox Station for a while, and I was
23	assigned to the Youth Center as the PAL coordinator, Clergy
24	Council coordinator, and we put together curriculums and
25	programs for youth. I worked in the Lennox Station side as an

1	operations deputy.
2	I promoted to sergeant, and I worked at Century
3	Station. I left Century Station and went to Internal Affairs
4	Bureau.
5	I then promoted to lieutenant, and I went to Custody
6	where I worked at Men's Central Jail and Twin Towers for a
7	couple of years. I left there, and I was the unit commander of
8	our Advocacy Bureau.
9	After leaving Advocacy, I went to South LA Station
10	where I was the watch commander, operations lieutenant, and
11	unit commander. Kind of held a lot of different hats there
12	depending on what was going on.
13	I then went to Audit and Accountability Bureau where
14	I was the operations lieutenant as well as the unit commander,
15	and I'm now assigned to Court Services Bureau.
16	MR. PACHECO: And did you also attend training at the
17	federal level?
18	MR. STRONG: I did, yes.
19	MR. PACHECO: And what was that training?
20	MR. STRONG: The FBI National Academy.
21	MR. PACHECO: And when did you do that?
22	MR. STRONG: 2016.
23	MR. PACHECO: And what did that training consist of?
24	MR. STRONG: It's a residential executive leadership
25	training that's pretty highly coveted in law enforcement. It

1	speaks to leadership. It speaks to national-level issues.
2	It's 200 and my class had 231 students
3	representing 48 states, and I think 15 or 16 of those were
4	international. So it really gives you a very broad-view
5	perspective of of law enforcement, not only domestic but in
6	other in other areas of the world as well.
7	MR. PACHECO: And at the Department, you've worked in
8	Custody and on Patrol and as a supervisor; is that correct?
9	MR. STRONG: Yes, sir.
10	MR. PACHECO: And when you were in the Sheriff's
11	Department, other than the stations to which you had been
12	assigned or the areas to which you'd work, did you visit other
13	areas in the Department?
14	MR. STRONG: Yes.
15	MR. PACHECO: Do you feel that you have a very good
16	understanding of the Department as a whole and its deputies?
17	MR. STRONG: I think I have a good understanding, yes.
18	MR. PACHECO: Much of our discussions in the commission
19	here have been about sheriff deputy gangs so I'd like to focus
20	your attention on that.
21	Do sheriff deputy gangs, also referred to as law
22	enforcement gangs, cliques, or subgroups, exist in the Los
23	Angeles Sheriff's Department?
24	MR. STRONG: I believe they do. Absolutely.
25	MR. PACHECO: How widespread is that problem?

1	MR. STRONG: I don't think it's as when you are you
2	talking about numbers or, you know, as far as the different
3	stations? I mean, there's reports out there that there are,
4	you know, 17, 18, 19 different subgroups, cliques, gangs. As
5	far as numbers, I don't have an accurate number. I know OIG
6	has identified at least, positively, I think a little over 40.
7	But it's been something that's been talked about for a very
8	long time.
9	MR. PACHECO: Have sheriff deputy gangs existed in the
10	Department for a long time?
11	MR. STRONG: To my knowledge, well before I joined the
12	Department, yes.
13	MR. PACHECO: And were you a member of a sheriff deputy
14	gang?
15	MR. STRONG: Never.
16	MR. PACHECO: In terms of sheriff deputy gangs, there's a
17	qualitative aspect I want you to focus on. What types of
18	behaviors did you see gang members involved in in different
19	aspects of the station?
20	MR. STRONG: You know, it could be criminal behavior, and
21	I speak specifically to I investigated a case where deputy gang
22	members assaulted other deputies at a at a party. It could
23	be biased policing, excessive force, you know, any number of
24	things that that violate the public trust and do things that
25	are are are opposed or against policy and the law.

1	MR. PACHECO: And in your experience, did sheriff deputy
2	gang members control shifts and assignments in the various
3	stations?
4	MR. STRONG: They can.
5	MR. PACHECO: And did they have a voice in certain areas
6	in the Department with regard to promotions?
7	MR. STRONG: Yes.
8	MR. PACHECO: Did certain gang members impose financial
9	obligations on other gang members, particularly the younger
10	deputies?
11	MR. STRONG: Yeah. I I've heard that often. Not that
12	I personally saw, but there is a a a common term in the
13	Department that you're being "taxed."
14	MR. PACHECO: Getting "taxed." So that's sort of a a
15	street-gang-type of term; is that correct?
16	MR. STRONG: That's exactly what it is.
17	MR. PACHECO: And this commission has heard testimony
18	about "chasing ink." Are you familiar with that concept, and
19	can you explain it?
20	MR. STRONG: Yes.
21	I've heard that many times and, you know, what it
22	boils down, in my opinion, to is a person that wants to be
23	accepted, wants to be included in a group, and therefore, they
24	may do things that they think are going to get them noticed or
25	favorably looked upon by those people who are controlling the

1	ink or who have the tattoo and pretty much give you the
2	blessing to say, yes. You're allowed to get it now.
3	MR. PACHECO: Do sheriff deputy gangs, law enforcement
4	gangs, operate openly in the Department?
5	MR. STRONG: Well, when you say "openly," can you clarify
6	just a little bit?
7	MR. PACHECO: Sure.
8	Do they have a list of their members?
9	MR. STRONG: No.
10	MR. PACHECO: Do they have an open process for people to
11	apply?
12	MR. STRONG: No. Not that I'm aware of.
13	MR. PACHECO: Do they hide their identity from from
14	certain members of the public or certain members of the
15	Department?
16	MR. STRONG: Yes.
17	MR. PACHECO: Do they do they operate openly with
18	regard to their voice about shift work or promotions?
19	MR. STRONG: You know, when you when you speak
20	"openly," I just want to clarify something, that within their
21	station or their facilities they may operate openly. However,
22	when you're speaking to somebody like myself that's a a
23	sergeant or lieutenant that's not that's not part of that,
24	then it's not something that they're going to come to me and
25	say, "Hey, we're the deputy gang, and we want to make sure that

1 all of these people are on this shift."

2	So within their groups and, you know, within their
3	their training and scheduling, they may speak openly about who
4	they want, where they want them, how they want them, but it's
5	not something that is is openly like you said, there's
6	there's no list of the qualifications that are needed to join a
7	deputy gang. It's you know, I think if it was ethical
8	behavior, you'd post it on the wall like you do our Baker to
9	Vegas times, you know, but that's not the case. So it's
10	it's I'd say it's pretty secretive.
11	MR. PACHECO: And what effect does the existence of law
12	enforcement gangs have on the community?
13	MR. STRONG: It it violates the community, it violates
14	the trust, it creates an atmosphere of of oppressive
15	behavior, illegal detentions, excessive uses of force. It has
16	a number of of impacts on our communities, and it and it
17	just really damages the entire reputation of of the
18	Department and law enforcement.
19	MR. PACHECO: And so when deputies testify in criminal
20	cases in court, does the existence of sheriff deputy gangs
21	impact their credibility?
22	MR. STRONG: I would think so, yes. Especially if it's
23	known, if it's brought out that they're a member of of a
24	deputy gang and overall, it has, you know, hampered the public
25	trust of the Sheriff's Department. You know, whether you're a

1	deputy gang member or not, if you wear that uniform and you
2	wear that badge, it is tarnished because of the deputy gangs.
3	MR. PACHECO: So you've been in law enforcement, sir, a
4	long time. You dedicated your career as a guardian of the
5	public and so do you think that in criminal court proceedings
6	it's important for the parties to know if a deputy who's
7	testifying is a member of a law enforcement gang or a clique or
8	a subgroup?
9	MR. STRONG: I think that's vitally important.
10	MR. PACHECO: And why is that?
11	MR. STRONG: We've seen, you know, throughout history that
12	if if you have less of an if you don't have ethical
13	behavior, if you don't have an ethical standard that you stand
14	to that you stand for, you are going to exert that, and
15	you're going to have that influence over evidence, over witness
16	testimony, over, you know, the reports that you write. And as
17	we've seen, if we find out that you have conducted this
18	unethical behavior in one area, it oftentimes carries over and
19	transfers into other areas.
20	And I I think that's something that needs to be
21	vetted; it's something that needs to be brought out in the
22	public especially when you're dealing with criminal cases. If
23	we're putting away somebody that we lied or we fabricated
24	evidence on, number one, we could possibly be putting away an
25	innocent person. Number two, the greater harm is that we've

1	left the true perpetrator out in the public to continue to harm
2	people. So it it's a vast variety of violations. It
3	just it goes on and on in in what it does to the public.
4	MR. PACHECO: Are law enforcement gangs the source of
5	civil liability for the Sheriff's Department and, thus, the
6	County of Los Angeles, in your experience?
7	MR. STRONG: Yes.
8	MR. PACHECO: Can you explain that a bit?
9	MR. STRONG: You know, there's reports out there that
10	directly connected to law enforcement gangs. The County's paid
11	out over \$50 million. I think it's even higher than that. You
12	know, bottom line is the County oftentimes will settle many of
13	these cases because they don't even want it to go to court.
14	There's huge amounts of money that is paid out in lawsuits and
15	settlements based on, you know, some some nefarious
16	behavior, or even some just poor decision-making.
17	And if that particular behavior is part of that
18	"chasing ink" concept that we talked about if that
19	particular behavior is is is a failure on the leadership
20	and a failure on the station and on the training, it again,
21	you know, the the the barometer for that is is is
22	never-ending. It's just going to keep going.
23	So absolutely. It it it has a negative effect,
24	and it and it impacts our taxpayer dollars.
25	MR. PACHECO: Do you think that the existence of law

1	enforcement gangs serves the end of ends of justice in the
2	investigation and in the prosecution of criminal cases by law
3	enforcement?
4	MR. STRONG: Can you repeat that one more time?
5	MR. PACHECO: Sure.
б	Does the existence of law enforcement gangs serve the
7	ends of justice in the investigation and in the prosecution of
8	criminal cases by law enforcement?
9	MR. STRONG: Does it serve it? Is it kind of like
10	noble-cause corruption? No. If I'm if I'm understanding
11	your answer I'm sorry your question. Does law
12	enforcement gangs have are they a means to an end or are we
13	looking at the end result, if I'm hearing you correctly?
14	MR. PACHECO: Let me break it down this way. So law
15	sheriff deputy gangs exist. You'd agree with that. And do
16	does their mere existence have an impact in connection with the
17	investigation of criminal cases?
18	MR. STRONG: Oh, yes. Yes. I would say yes.
19	MR. PACHECO: And explain that a bit for me.
20	MR. STRONG: Again, what it really boils down to is the
21	behavior and anything that is unethical. If it's abuse of
22	their power in any way, if you are a member of a law
23	enforcement gang, you cannot be trusted, you cannot be relied
24	upon, your credibility is lacking. So, therefore, how can you
25	write reports? How can you present evidence? How can you get

Τ

1	on a stand and testify and have people believe you?
2	And the the reality of it is is that, if we
3	don't know that you're on the gang and we give you that
4	credibility, we believe those reports and we look at the
5	evidence and it's not verified, it's not looked upon, or it's
6	not it's not questioned, yes, it can absolutely have a
7	negative impact.
8	I mean, just by the mere fact that we have illegal
9	detentions, that right there is the start of a criminal
10	investigation, and if that detention is illegal from the very
11	get-go, then everything behind that is as well.
12	MR. PACHECO: So, in your view, we can't think about
13	sheriff deputy gangs simply as an isolated policy event in the
14	Sheriff's Department. It has a greater place in the community;
15	is that accurate?
16	MR. STRONG: Very accurate, yes.
17	MR. PACHECO: And that's accurate because it infect
18	affects the investigation of criminal cases; is that right?
19	MR. STRONG: I think it affects in the investigation of
20	criminal cases, it it affects the Department; it affects the
21	other deputies that are working in those stations as well.
22	Where whereas, it it it just has a an effect on the
23	public and public trust. I mean, yes, it goes on and on. But
24	definitely, yes, in criminal cases.
25	MR. PACHECO: So you you mentioned something important,

1	that the existence of sheriff deputy gangs affects other
2	deputies. I'm assuming you're saying the other non-gang member
3	deputies. What's the effect on a non-gang member deputy of the
4	existence of sheriff deputy gangs?
5	MR. STRONG: Well, if you're not a member of the gang,
6	then you're pretty much excluded. You know, a lot of times, if
7	you are not part of their group, you may not get the choice
8	assignments in terms of what car you're working or what area
9	you're working. You might not get the backup, especially if
10	you're vocal or adverse to to them, you know. And what that
11	does is it it it influences other personnel,
12	because when you're out there in the middle of the night and
13	you're by yourself, what do you want when you go to a dangerous
14	call? You want backup. So therefore, what do you feel like
15	you have to do in order to be out there and be safe? You have
16	to either remain silent. You have to kind of go along to get
17	along. There's a number of things that it that it causes
18	deputy personnel. I can tell you, me personally, it it
19	causes a great amount of anguish. Just I meet people, and
20	"Hey, what do you do for a living?"
21	"I'm in law enforcement."
22	"Where do you work?"
23	"The Sheriff's Department."
24	And whether it be a joke or whether they're serious,
25	"Oh, are you one of the gang members?"

1	You know, and I know lots of deputies who have had
2	these types of interactions with just people. So it it just
3	tarnishes. And if you don't feel good, if you don't feel proud
4	about what you're doing, you're more liable to go park under a
5	tree and and and not be proactive and not get out there
6	to do, you know, the positive work for the public that you're
7	there to do. You're just going to wait 'til the radio call
8	comes, and you're going to go handle those calls.
9	MR. PACHECO: So is the existence of sheriff deputy gangs
10	consistent with the principles of professional policing?
11	MR. STRONG: No.
12	MR. PACHECO: Is it consistent with the values of
13	professional policing for the Sheriff's Department to have
14	former or current members of the sheriff's of sheriff's
15	deputy gangs who are in the leadership positions?
16	MR. STRONG: Definitely no, for current. Former, I think
17	it depends on what their current state of mind or position is
18	with that.
19	MR. PACHECO: So why don't you explain a bit more why it's
20	not a good idea for the Department to have members of its
21	leadership who are current members of sheriff deputy gangs?
22	MR. STRONG: Well, I I guess I could equate it to would
23	you want to put, you know, a a person of any type of of
24	lacking lacking in any type of ethical behavior over any
25	kind of organization? And so if deputy gangs and when I say

<pre>2 our hill. And so you have a leader the very top leader 3 that's refusing to even acknowledge that they exist. So what 4 does that mean to everybody beneath him? It means we do the 5 same thing. Many of them will say, "Well, they don't exist." 6 And if you have one of those people beneath him that is a 7 current member, I think it emboldens them. It gives them the 8 ability to continue to foster that type of of environment 9 and leadership. 10 It is something that it when somebody comes to, 11 let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a 12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department? 25 MR. STRONG: I don't believe it's true at all.</pre>	1	"if" deputy gangs right now are are our nemesis. They're
4 does that mean to everybody beneath him? It means we do the 5 same thing. Many of them will say, "Well, they don't exist." 6 And if you have one of those people beneath him that is a 7 current member, I think it emboldens them. It gives them the 8 ability to continue to foster that type of of environment 9 and leadership. 10 It is something that it when somebody comes to, 11 let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a 12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	2	our hill. And so you have a leader the very top leader
<pre>same thing. Many of them will say, "Well, they don't exist." And if you have one of those people beneath him that is a current member, I think it emboldens them. It gives them the ability to continue to foster that type of of environment and leadership. In It is something that it when somebody comes to, let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end result. MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the Department?</pre>	3	that's refusing to even acknowledge that they exist. So what
And if you have one of those people beneath him that is a current member, I think it emboldens them. It gives them the ability to continue to foster that type of of environment and leadership. In It is something that it when somebody comes to, let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end result. MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the Department?	4	does that mean to everybody beneath him? It means we do the
<pre>7 current member, I think it emboldens them. It gives them the 8 ability to continue to foster that type of of environment 9 and leadership. 10 It is something that it when somebody comes to, 11 let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a 12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?</pre>	5	same thing. Many of them will say, "Well, they don't exist."
ability to continue to foster that type of of environment and leadership. It is something that it when somebody comes to, let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he did something," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? Is mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end result. MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the Department?	б	And if you have one of those people beneath him that is a
9 and leadership. 10 It is something that it when somebody comes to, 11 let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a 12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	7	current member, I think it emboldens them. It gives them the
10 It is something that it when somebody comes to, 11 let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a 12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	8	ability to continue to foster that type of of environment
11 let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a 12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	9	and leadership.
<pre>12 complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he 13 did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and 14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?</pre>	10	It is something that it when somebody comes to,
did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? In mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end result. MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the Department?	11	let's say a current member, and they say, "Hey, we have a
14 we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly 15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	12	complaint that," you know, "this deputy is a gang member and he
15 believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate 16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	13	did something," you know, "unethical, illegal, immoral, and
<pre>16 it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing 17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?</pre>	14	we're submitting this complaint to you," can you really truly
17 that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust? 18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	15	believe that he's going to evaluate or she's going to evaluate
18 I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody 19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	16	it in such a way that it it gives an objective standing
<pre>19 with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of 20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?</pre>	17	that's in the best benefit of the public and the public trust?
20 drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end 21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	18	I mean, it's just it's it's kind of like putting somebody
21 result. 22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	19	with an addiction over over a pharmacy full of full of
22 MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to 23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	20	drugs, you know. It's it's just not going to be a good end
23 deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the 24 Department?	21	result.
24 Department?	22	MR. PACHECO: Is it a truthful statement if someone was to
	23	deny that sheriff deputy gangs exist presently in the
25 MR. STRONG: I don't believe it's true at all.	24	Department?
	25	MR. STRONG: I don't believe it's true at all.

1	MR. PACHECO: Is it misinformation if someone was to deny
2	the existence of law enforcement gangs in the Department
3	currently?
4	MR. STRONG: Yes.
5	MR. PACHECO: Let's shift our attention briefly to the
6	investigation of sheriff deputy gangs. And you've investigated
7	a variety of matters in the Department; correct?
8	MR. STRONG: Yes.
9	MR. PACHECO: And so you're got skills as an
10	investigator in the Department?
11	MR. STRONG: Yes.
12	MR. PACHECO: How important are station tattoos in
13	investigating law enforcement gangs?
14	MR. STRONG: I I think the station tattoo if you're
15	saying "station tattoo," they've been along around for a
16	long time. They are there are station tattoos that are not
17	necessarily affiliated with, you know, the deputy gang culture.
18	However, when we're looking at investigations which have
19	occurred wherein a shooting happens, and after that shooting, a
20	deputy gets a tattoo and it's a tattoo affiliated or associated
21	or directly connected to one of the the known deputy gangs,
22	that is a problem. This has been brought about in depositions.
23	It's one of the things that has cost the County a lot of money.
24	Yeah. I think that goes to kind of like the "chasing the ink"
25	concept.

1	In these investigations, I I think it's important,
2	but I also think is what's really important is to
3	investigate the behavior, the intent of the behavior, and
4	and whether or not that behavior was part of, at least for me
5	as an Internal Affairs investigation, did it violate policy?
6	Did it violate the law and, you know, was it consistent with,
7	you know, what our our ethics and morals tell us to do?
8	MR. PACHECO: And as an investigator, how important are
9	gang names like the Grim Reapers, the Executioners, the
10	Regulators, the Banditos when investigating law enforcement
11	gangs?
12	MR. STRONG: If you're investigating deputy gangs, then
13	that's very important.
14	MR. PACHECO: And how important is it when investigating
15	sheriff deputy gangs to look at gang membership rituals?
16	MR. STRONG: I again, when you're investigating those
17	gangs excuse me all of those things are are vitally
18	important when that's what the the basis of your
19	investigation is.
20	MR. PACHECO: And there are investigators and detectives
21	in the Sheriff's Department that investigate street gangs;
22	correct?
23	MR. STRONG: Yes.
24	MR. PACHECO: And those investigators look at indicia of
25	gang membership like gang tattoos, gang names, and gang

1	rituals; is that right?
2	MR. STRONG: Yes.
3	MR. PACHECO: And so that's a a a a bona fide
4	investigative tool that one uses when investigating gangs,
5	whether it's a street gang or a law enforcement gang; is
6	that
7	MR. STRONG: Yes.
8	MR. PACHECO: Want to take you back to 2010. Can we focus
9	on Quiet Canyon Cannon that's a banquet hall and
10	that's an investigation, I believe, that you were the lead
11	investigator for; is that correct?
12	MR. STRONG: Yes, it is.
13	MR. PACHECO: Can you tell us what Quiet Cannon was about?
14	MR. STRONG: Quiet Cannon was a Christmas party for Men's
15	Central Jail, which is something that most stations or
16	facilities have, and basically what happened was a group of
17	deputies, six of them, jumped on and assaulted and honestly,
18	what I call it is did a gangster beatdown on two other
19	deputies, and that was caught on video. There were dozens and
20	dozens of of witnesses. And the basic premise behind that
21	is that the two deputies that were working Visiting or that
22	worked Visiting disrespected members of the 3000 floor
23	deputies.
24	MR. PACHECO: And just for some folks that don't know, the
25	3000 Boys, it's a sheriff deputy gang in the jails?

1	MR. STRONG: Yes, it was.
2	MR. PACHECO: And did did you work with other
3	investigators on the matter?
4	MR. STRONG: Yes.
5	MR. PACHECO: And did they report to you?
6	MR. STRONG: Yes.
7	MR. PACHECO: And did you prepare a report?
8	MR. STRONG: Yes.
9	MR. PACHECO: And did you share that report with anybody
10	in the Sheriff's Department above your rank?
11	MR. STRONG: Yes.
12	MR. PACHECO: And who did you share a report of your
13	findings with?
14	MR. STRONG: Well, it would've went through my immediate
15	chain of command. My team lieutenant, my captain at Internal
16	Affairs Bureau, and then that case was presented to Paul
17	Tanaka.
18	MR. PACHECO: And at the time what was Paul Tanaka's rank?
19	MR. STRONG: He was an assistant sheriff.
20	MR. PACHECO: And, you know, there's been some press on
21	Quiet Cannon that referred to it as a drunken brawl. Was it
22	more than that based on your investigation?
23	MR. STRONG: Yes.
24	MR. PACHECO: And was it more than that because it
25	involved sheriff deputy gang members beating up other deputies?

1	MR. STRONG: That was part of it, yes.
2	MR. PACHECO: What's the other part of it?
3	MR. STRONG: You know, a drunken brawl is, to me, people
4	get drunk, they get intoxicated, they get aggravated,
5	irritated, and a fight ensues.
6	This, like I said, was a jump jumping. I mean,
7	you have six deputies that literally I don't want to say in
8	a coordinated fashion but at the same time, jump on, and not
9	only punch on, but while they're on the ground, kick and stomp
10	on other deputy sheriffs. That was an absolute criminal
11	assault and that is behavior that, you know, street gang
12	members partake in.
13	MR. PACHECO: So you shared your findings of this with
14	immediate supervisors and, ultimately, with Paul Tanaka. What
15	did Tanaka share with you about the findings that you raised
16	with him about Quiet Cannon?
17	MR. STRONG: Well, what I think is important to note is,
18	as I stated, there was video, and there were numerous people
19	that were there. And, of course, there were probably if I
20	don't if I remember, I know there was over 100 interviews,
21	and in those interviews, there were a lot of people who
22	basically said they weren't there, they didn't see anything.
23	And we had video evidence that very clearly showed that not
24	only were they there present, but they either protected those
25	that were doing the assaulting by keeping other people away,

1 and they lied in their interview.

2	So when it was presented to to Paul Tanaka at the
3	time, we presented him with those six that were obviously
4	identified as as as the culprits of the assault. And
5	then we began to identify other members that we felt should've
6	been made subjects, or could be made subjects in the
7	investigation for giving false statements in an investigation,
8	and we were told no, that that was not of his concern. He was
9	simply going to make an example out of the six, and they were
10	going to get fired.
11	And, you know, I remember my lieutenant even pressing
12	and saying, "Yeah. But we have we probably have another
13	half-a-dozen, maybe even to a dozen, that we can make
14	subjects."
15	And he got a little probably a little indignant
16	and basically basically, very firmly said, "No. We're not
17	doing that. We're not looking at any of that. We're going to
18	fire these six and that's it."
19	And that was his his stance on it.
20	MR. PACHECO: And was this an opportunity for
21	investigators in the Sheriff's Department and the assistant
22	sheriff, Paul Tanaka, to investigate sheriff deputy gangs, in
23	particular, the 3000 Boys?
24	MR. STRONG: Yes. It it was an opportunity.
25	It was also an opportunity to set a standard and a

1	tone, you know. You don't lie in an Internal Affairs
2	investigation where you're ordered to tell the truth, and you
3	set that standard so people will know.
4	MR. PACHECO: Did Mr. Tanaka dissuade you and others from
5	investigating sheriff deputy gang members further in that
6	investigation?
7	MR. STRONG: I I won't say that he dissuaded us from
8	investigating further. I mean, at that point, the
9	investigation was pretty much done, and, like I said, you know,
10	we we dug into why the assault occurred, which, like I said,
11	boiled down to a level of disrespect. But he definitely did
12	dissuade the decision makers, because as the investigator, I'm
13	simply the fact finder, but he definitely dissuaded the
14	decision makers, which should have been the the chief of
15	that division against naming any other personnel as subjects
16	and disciplining them.
17	MR. PACHECO: Were if the discipline only went to six
18	members and more people were involved and some of whom lied,
19	what happened to them? Did they go back into the Department?
20	MR. STRONG: They stayed on the Department, and actually,
21	I I know of at least one or two who've promoted since.
22	MR. PACHECO: And Mr. Tanaka was promoted after this;
23	right? He became undersheriff?
24	MR. STRONG: Yes.
25	MR. PACHECO: The question has arisen, asked by some of

1	the commissioners on the commission, about how is it that law
2	enforcement gangs have endured in the Department?
3	Can you address that?
4	MR. STRONG: The leadership has allowed it. You know,
5	not not there's a saying, you know, "What do deputies
6	do?" And basically, they do what they're allowed to do.
7	We have been hearing about this this issue before
8	my time, before I was even old enough to probably read since
9	the 1970s early 1970s, and I I think it's it's mostly
10	been ignored. I think, you know, whenever it comes up, they
11	say, "Well, let's do a report. Let's put together a
12	commission. Let's do a panel on it," but I don't think it's
13	been truly addressed.
14	I can tell you, you know, in in my 22 years on the
15	Department, I have lots of conversations with my wife, who's
16	been on the Department her entire career closer to 28, 29
17	I have never heard the sheriff or any other executive-level
18	member of this Department come and say, "Deputy gangs shall
19	stop, they will stop, and they're not allowed."
20	So if if you're not willing to make that
21	statement, if you're not willing to to to establish that
22	as your as your expectation and stance, it's just going to
23	continue to to fester.
24	MR. PACHECO: One of the commissioners, this morning,
25	asked a question about rank and compared it to the military.

1	Do you think that the Sheriff's Department, if it got a
2	direction from the Sheriff to stop the existence of sheriff
3	deputy gangs, that there would be a response to that clear,
4	definite direction?
5	MR. STRONG: It would not be overnight, but yes.
6	You know, I have seen, in my career, three different
7	sheriffs, and with those three different sheriffs, you see a
8	shift almost immediately in the mindset of the leadership based
9	on what they've established as their expectations.
10	And just to give you a quick example, you know, under
11	Sheriff McDonnell, when I worked at Audit and Accountability
12	Bureau and part of the Shooting Analysis Committee, Sheriff
13	McDonnell was very serious about accountability, and you know,
14	he wanted to send a message, you know, "The unethical behavior
15	will not be tolerated."
16	So when you go to these hearings, when you go to
17	these when you present disciplinary cases, you hear
18	executives in the decision-making process say, "This is what
19	the sheriff has said. This is the standard the sheriff has
20	established."
21	Now, soon after, you know, again, at Audit and
22	Accountability Bureau as the as the unit commander, now
23	Sheriff Villanueva comes in, and we go to a shooting analysis,
24	and he has set the tone.
25	You know, one of the things he tried to do was bring

1	back a number of deputies that'd been fired. So now you see
2	people in this decision-making process saying "Oh, well, you
3	know, this discipline might be a little bit too harsh. I don't
4	think this is what the sheriff wants."
5	So will it stop it overnight? No. But will it set
6	the tone of what's expected? Absolutely.
7	MR. PACHECO: Want to bring this back somewhat to Quiet
8	Cannon, and it sounded to me that it was a lost opportunity to
9	address sort of this law enforcement gang issue and other
10	things.
11	Is Quiet Cannon, in in your view, an explanation
12	in whole or in part about why sheriff deputy gangs have
13	persisted over the years in the Department?
14	MR. STRONG: I think it's a good example of why they have.
15	MR. PACHECO: And why is that?
16	MR. STRONG: Again, if you if you don't have leadership
17	that takes it seriously, and if you don't have the leadership
18	that that sets the tone for what's expected and what's
19	accepted in the Department, people follow that.
20	You know, there's a lot of writing and effort that
21	goes into justifying deputy behavior a lot of times, even in
22	our complaints of our uses of force. I reviewed complaints and
23	uses of force and things that are clearly out of policy or
24	wrong. You will have sergeants and lieutenants that go through
25	a great deal to make it right. I call it "writing it right."

1	W-r-i-t-e it until it's r-i-g-h-t.
2	And they and they go through this justification
3	process, and when they get called on it, I've heard repeatedly
4	"Why "You know, Eric, I thought that's what we're supposed
5	to do. We're supposed to support the deputies."
6	It's a mindset, and that mindset comes from the
7	higher-ups.
8	MR. PACHECO: If Sheriff Villanueva wanted to rid the
9	Department of sheriff deputy gangs, do you think he could do
10	so?
11	MR. STRONG: Again, I don't know if if ridding the
12	Department it's definitely not an overnight fix. I don't
13	know if it can happen even in one term, but he could have made
14	serious inroads and progress in doing so and shutting down
15	the the continuation of them.
16	MR. PACHECO: Let's talk about some ideas that you have
17	that would be important for this commission to consider on how
18	to eliminate sheriff deputy gangs, law enforcement gangs. Can
19	you share some of those ideas with us?
20	MR. STRONG: How much time do we have?
21	MR. PACHECO: The floor is yours. Keeping in mind, we're
22	looking at the lunch hour.
23	MR. STRONG: Right.
24	You know, I think first and foremost is you you
25	have to acknowledge it. You have to say that they exist, and

1	you have to acknowledge that they have been a problem. You
2	have to acknowledge what they do to our public. You have to
3	acknowledge all of the all of the negatives that go along
4	with it, and you basically have to set the standard. And like
5	I said, it starts with the messaging. It starts with the
6	messaging from the very top about what's accepted, what's not,
7	what's tolerated, what's not, what's and what the
8	expectations are.
9	Then there comes the accountability piece. You know,
10	when you have people, for example, this investigation, where
11	people that worked 3000 floor clearly supported their comrades
12	or their partners, whatever you want to call them, and they
13	lied in internal investigation. They have to be fired.
14	There's no room for them on this Department.
15	You know, we have depositions, and we have deputies
16	who unfortunately feel like they have to testify under a
17	disguise. Why? Because when the deputies come out and
18	actually speak up against it, they're the ones that are
19	ostracized. And who are they ostracized by? The leadership.
20	They're ostracized by the guy that's, right now, at the very
21	top. So, you know, there's accountability, there's the
22	acknowledgement.
23	You know, we have depositions, we have criminal
24	investigations, we have Internal Affairs investigations, and
25	what I will say to this is that there has been years and years

1	of discussions and talk about deputy gangs. These deputies
2	that are participating in this, we don't need to continue to
3	give them more warnings. It's time to take action. They have
4	heard it time and time again. And guess what? Nothing's been
5	done, so they continue going, you know. So I think it's time
6	to take action.
7	And I understand and as the former unit commander
8	of Advocacy, I understand there is a huge component of
9	employment law that is going to be at issue, but at some point,
10	you have to start, you know, pressing the issue. I think we
11	have to stop pressing what I call "the Staples commercial." We
12	have to stop pressing the easy button, and you have to get out
13	there and you have to fight those causes.
14	And I know, you know, sometimes our employment law
15	attorneys want to say, "Well, this is a good case to settle,"
16	and sometimes those are not good cases to settle, you need to

15 attorneys want to say, "Well, this is a good case to settle," 16 and sometimes those are not good cases to settle, you need to 17 press the issue. And when Civil Service gives them their job 18 back, you know, you -- you don't put them back in a place where 19 they can continue to harm the public, you know, which takes me 20 to the next, you know.

Deputy gangs, their strength is in their numbers at one particular facility. So if we were to use Compton Station Executioners as an example, where is their strength? How many are really there? You know, most of our stations have 100 -anywhere to 100 to 200 personnel. Are all of those station

1	personnel deputy gang members? No. But if there's 30 of them,
2	and they're in very influential positions and I don't want them
3	going to any other community and harming it, but if you take
4	30, they're a powerful group. But if you take one, and you
5	move them to another station, wherever you can you know what
6	I mean? they're they're they're not going to have that
7	influence.
8	There are a lot of places within our department that
9	we can put deputies where they don't they they don't
10	impact the public. Let's just say we don't have the legal
11	grounds to fire them. Put them in Fleet. Let them count tires
12	and batteries. Put them at Logistics. Let them count
13	flashlights and batteries, you know. So, in other words, we
14	we we we start putting them in these positions where they
15	are not together collectively harming society and and that's
16	a start.
17	You know, and there's there's the there's
18	several different levels that we can go to, but I think it
19	really just starts with with taking a an assertive
20	stance.
21	MR. PACHECO: The Department's extremely large and diffuse
22	and there's a lot of territory and a lot of people. How do you
23	get them all on the same page?
24	MR. STRONG: You know, it it it starts you you
25	know, you have a a rank structure and, you know, within that

1	structure, everybody has their own, you know, area of
2	responsibility. And, again, it starts with the leadership,
3	getting everybody on the same page in those leadership
4	positions, you know, and that's very difficult, especially with
5	the amount of time that has gone on where this issue has
6	continued, you know, to to to fester. It's going to take
7	a very like I said, a very strong stance, and then there's
8	going to have to be accountability to the leadership or for the
9	leadership.
10	One thing I've noticed in the Sheriff's Department is
11	that if you are a deputy, a sergeant kind of slows down as a
12	lieutenant. The higher you go, the less accountability there
13	is, and my theory behind that has always been, and I've worked
14	Internal Affairs, I've worked Advocacy, and I've seen
15	executive's cases where they get in trouble, let a deputy get
16	in trouble, and it's the talk of the town. Let a commander get
17	in trouble, and it's a big secret. I've always felt that
18	because there's a handpicked process here, there's no
19	legitimate, grievable testing process for executives that if
20	people know that the executives are messing up, then that has a
21	bad look on the sheriff because, "Well, I'm the one that
22	selected this person." So they go through a great deal to keep
23	it quiet. I think there needs to be, you know, proper
24	accountability throughout the entire organization.
25	MR. PACHECO: How do you keep a sustained attention on

L

1	this issue of law enforcement gangs?
2	MR. STRONG: You just you keep at it until, you know,
3	you you are able to again, I think it's going to take,
4	honestly, a generation. It's going to take more than a term,
5	and you have to continually acknowledge it. You have to
6	continually hold accountability to it. You have to let, you
7	know, and I'll I'm going to use Compton and East LA you
8	have to let East LA deputies know that a Compton deputy got
9	fired for participating in this. You have to let Compton
10	deputies know that a East LA deputy got fired or got 30 days
11	off because of this, and if you're part of that problem, if we
12	catch you doing it, you're going to get the same thing.
13	You you have to stay on top of, and you have to be

13 You -- you have to stay on top of, and you have to be 14 transparent.

15	MR. PACHECO: How important is civilian oversight to
16	bringing an end to sheriff deputy gangs? And I'd like to focus
17	on groups like this commission, the Office of the Inspector
18	General, the LA Board of Supervisors. How important and what
19	role do you think they play in shaping an outcome here?
20	MR. STRONG: I think they play an important role. Other
21	than these hearings, what else are we hearing about it? You
22	know, and that's we're not hearing about this in the
23	Department until, you know, Alex is called on the carpet, and
24	he has to answer something in the media. So I think that these
25	type of oversights are important. I think they are needed to

2	Not to not to digress too much, but I remember
3	going to a NACOLE Conference and and actually seeing the
4	passion and the energy that is put into oversight and why
5	people are so interested in oversight. And and my my
6	idea is that if you're doing what you're supposed to do when
7	you're supposed to do it, you really don't care who's looking.
8	It doesn't matter, you know. But I think oversight like this
9	is is vitally important. I think we need to open our doors
10	to oversight more and be more transparent. That's the only way
11	we're going to build any trust.

MR. PACHECO: In your view, do you think the Sheriff's Department could disband law enforcement gangs without something like a federal consent decree or an appointed monitored by the court?

MR. STRONG: I -- I think that is a -- I think that's a positive direction, but I do think, in my optimistic view, that they could with the right people. I mean, we have consent decrees now. We've had many. And let's look -- and let's look at those and see how long they take to become -- to -- to get into compliance if they ever do.

I don't think that's the -- the absolute answer. I
think what it is is that we've just not had the right people
giving the right message for a very long time.
MR. PACHECO: Mr. Chairman, I pass the witness.

1	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you so much.
2	Are there any commissioner questions?
3	Patti.
4	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Yeah.
5	Hi. Thank you so much for being here.
6	My my question has to do with within the
7	Department because there are public statements that "We fixed
8	the problem. It's a it's a perception problem. There's not
9	really a problem, but we fixed the problem."
10	What about what about the rank-and-file? How do
11	they feel about that? I'm sure that I'm well, no, I'm not
12	going to make I'd like to hear from you what what what
13	about the rank-and-file with that? Are they do they catch
14	on to some of that? Do they care? Does it matter to them that
15	there's this controversy?
16	MR. STRONG: In those members of the Department that I
17	have talked to, and obviously, with over 9000, I haven't not
18	talked to all of our sworn, but yes, they care.
19	Number one, it's like I said, sometimes you just meet
20	somebody, and they think it's funny to ask if you know, once
21	they know that you're from the Sheriff's Department, "Are you a
22	member of one of the gangs?"
23	I think it's important to know that the vast majority
24	of the deputy sheriffs and even and even sergeants and
25	lieutenants and even executives and and I and I say

1	this not as a pat on my back, but during my campaign, I got
2	phone calls from people that I didn't even realize knew me that
3	were either retired executives or still executives or text
4	messages that said, "Thank you," you know, for for "for,"
5	you know, "speaking to it and and being open and honest."
6	I think when you have examples, and I you know, I
7	want to go back to some of the testimony that happened here,
8	you know. When you have a chief or a former chief sit up here
9	and and say that they were duped into obstructing justice,
10	deputy sheriffs look at that, and and it diminishes the
11	credibility of executives, it diminishes the credibility of law
12	enforcement, and I get phone calls and and, you know,
13	deputies are angry. They're angry that we're still talking
14	about this.
15	So it it has a huge, huge impact on the vast
16	majority. Unfortunately, I think what we don't see is the vast
17	majority coming forward because, as we have seen, when you do,
18	you're the one that's ostracized. And they want to get through
19	their shift safely, they want to get home safely, and they want
20	to make it through their career, you know, as as smoothly as
21	possible.
22	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Just a followup.
23	Do you feel I mean well, in terms of our
24	experience as commissioners, and we've heard a lot about this
25	"us-and-them," you know, the Sheriff's Department on the one

1	side and the community on the other, and then divisions, a lot
2	of divisions. Do you think there is an us-and-them within the
3	Department, also?
4	MR. STRONG: Yes. Absolutely.
5	You know, I've worked three different agencies and,
6	again, you know, when I went to the FBI Academy, most agencies
7	are not as big as the Sheriff's Department. And one of the
8	things that's that's clear to me is that, yes, there has
9	law enforcement has created this us-and-them with the
10	community, which we need to break that barrier down because we
11	all come from some community. But within the Sheriff's
12	Department, when you have deputies that can't even treat other
13	deputies with respect and dignity and and and just common
14	courtesy because they don't work a certain station or they
15	don't work a certain facility or they don't work a certain
16	floor, how could you ever expect that they're going to treat
17	the community right?
18	So there is a absolute division, you know, with
19	with within this Department, and it's something that I have
20	never seen. I mean, I don't I don't care where you go. You
21	know, if you're in high school, you got the kids that are the
22	skaters, you got the kids that are in the band, you got the
23	jocks, and you got the nerds, and you got the rockabillies, you
24	know. There there's always going to be groups of people
25	that that that that commune for a common interest, but

Т

1	this common interest is is nefarious. This common interest
2	is is is divisive, and and that's the problem.
3	There is, absolutely. And and it's known within
4	the Department; there are jokes about it. We call it "the
5	Century nod." You don't work Century, you say hi to your
6	former colleague that you worked Custody with at a training,
7	and that's all you get is a nod. You know, there
8	there it's it's known within the Department. It's not a
9	secret, I mean, and it's it's known all throughout, you
10	know, everywhere you go. So there's I I'm sorry to keep
11	going on, but it's it's absolutely divided within.
12	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: If what you're telling us is true, why do
14	the law enforcement unions like ALADS why are they so
15	supportive of hiding deputy gangs and opposing this body using
16	subpoena power to unearth the evidence of deputy gangs? It
17	it doesn't seem to make sense.
18	MR. STRONG: You know, I I've asked the same question,
19	you know, many times, and I I have no idea. I I think,
20	you know, the the purpose of any union is to protect its
21	members, to make sure that their members are treated fairly, to
22	give equal representation to their members, but it appears to
23	me that this union is and, again, from from what I've
24	heard, seen, and even from the questions that were asked of me
25	during this campaign, they want the person that's going to

allow them to get away with the most, and that is the reality 1 2 of it. 3 I was -- again, when I go back to Internal Affairs, I 4 would think that a union would want to say, "Hey, we're tired 5 of paying money for your legal defense. You keep getting in 6 trouble. Cut it out." You know what I mean? Because there's 7 8000 other deputies that aren't using any money for their legal defense, and you got a small few that are kind of using, you 8 know, are -- are kind of burning through it. 9 I -- I've never been in the union leadership, not on 10 11 this Department. I was in union -- union leadership at my 12 other agency, but it -- it baffles me. It -- it baffles me to 13 this day that they wouldn't want to -- why -- it's -- it should be an embarrassment to them. Not only deputy gangs but, you 14 know, there's a slew of other, you know, illegal conduct and --15 16 and -- and activity that goes on within this Department, and 17 they defend it. 18 If it were me and I was a union leader, I would have 19 a set -- set of criteria. "Look, you're going to pay your union dues, and we're going to -- we're going to support you, 20

22 assaulting a female inmate, we're not going to back you, and 23 we're not going to pay for your criminal defense or your 24 administrative employment law defense."

but I'm sorry, when we have DNA evidence on you sexually

21

25 And -- and you set those standards, and people know

1	what they're going to get, but they pretty much, you know, will
2	spend a lot of money to defend, you know, just about anything.
3	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Just a quick question. It's a
4	little bit snarky.
5	But is there any oversight of unions?
6	MR. STRONG: Not not that I'm aware of.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: So so we're we're coming near the
8	end, and and I just want to get your perspective on this.
9	Sheriff Villanueva has repeatedly stated during the
10	campaign that oversight officials who question the existence of
11	deputy gangs or law enforcement gangs and that's actually
12	the term in the statute that that questioning whether
13	there are law enforcement gangs in the Department is racist.
14	Do you agree with that? He he says that it's racist to ask
15	if there are deputy gangs in the Department.
16	MR. STRONG: I don't see how it could be racist. And if I
17	can be a little snarky back?
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
19	MR. STRONG: I mean, if you ask me, you know, when you
20	when you when you speak specifically to Alex Villanueva,
21	I I ask the question, "What's worse than an actual gang
22	member?" And what's to me and I worked gangs, I've been
23	in in environments and, you know, just in my life I mean,
24	to me, what's worse than an actual gang member is one that
25	wants to be a gang member and he was never accepted, from what

1	I've heard, when he was, you know, working these stations
2	anyway. Because somebody that wants to be one is willing to do
3	whatever it takes to to to get accepted and and be
4	part of that group.
5	So I think it's a far, far reach to claim that it's
6	racist, and I think it's a ridiculous claim. But I think, you
7	know, I've seen him over the years, and I've known him for many
8	years. He makes a lot of ridiculous claims.
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
10	Anyone else? Otherwise, we'll move to we'll
11	excuse the witness and move to public comment.
12	Mr. Strong, thank you so much
13	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you so much.
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: for testifying here.
15	We're going to unless any commissioners want to
16	make a comment, I think we're going to hear from the public
17	because the public probably has a lot to say.
18	MS. WILLIAMS: Our first speaker, Steve Krueger, followed
19	by Vanessa Perez; Vanessa Perez, followed by Jacqueline
20	Venters.
21	MR. KRUEGER: How you doing?
22	I'm here today this has been quite a show. You're
23	going to hear the low end of it.
24	CHAIR KENNEDY: We're listening.
25	MR. KRUEGER: I back in 2015, I was a transient in San

1	Dimas, homeless, and I came upon the Sheriff's Department over
2	there, and they do have a sheriff gang there called the
3	Ruthless Cowboys. And I was homeless for about five-and-a-half
4	years, and I kept trying to figure out, you know, why I'm
5	having problems. Why am I being stopped? Why am I getting
6	arrested? Why are these guys falsifying reports? And I was
7	just amazed, you know.
8	August 1st, 2020, I was almost shot to death behind
9	7-Eleven just eating my breakfast. This is LA County.
10	So I want to pass you guys a little picture of
11	something. You guys can keep it and make a paper airplane out
12	of it.
13	Here you go, sir.
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
15	MR. KRUEGER: Here you go.
16	And we're going to take this to a whole new level.
17	At one time I was in custody, and when I was in
18	custody of the sheriffs, I went out for in-custody surgery, and
19	what you're looking at on that paper right there is a real-time
20	satellite tracking device. The rectangle is a lithium battery,
21	in the lower left corner would be a chip, there are metal
22	prongs. When you actually look at the real MRI, you see it
23	embedded in the back of my leg behind the calf. I had to go
24	out and get a ligament fixed. They never repaired the injury.
25	They figured I was they were banking on being a career
1	

1	criminal. So they decided to drop this in me and then stalk
2	me, harass me, and do a lot of fabulous stuff, and over the
3	course of that duration, you know, I was kind of going cuckoo.
4	So I finally on I believe it was August 30th of 2018, I
5	went and had surgery done.
6	I'm going to try to sum this up.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Because your time is up.
8	MR. KRUEGER: I'm
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: So I think your
10	MS. WILLIAMS: Sorry, sir. Your time is up.
11	MR. KRUEGER: Anyway. The surgery revealed what you guys
12	are looking at right there.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
14	MR. KRUEGER: So I don't want any of this to sound like a
15	rant. I'm asking for help. I need somebody from Department of
16	Justice to sit down and go through my file. I'm willing to
17	leave the satellite file here with you people.
18	MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
19	CHAIR KENNEDY: This is your number on here?
20	MR. KRUEGER: Yes, sir.
21	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. I will talk to you personally.
22	MR. KRUEGER: I strongly suggest if there's any
23	defendants, do not get medical attention in the Sheriff's
24	Department because they will slide this in without your consent
25	and violate your Fourth Amendment right to privacy, and

CHAIR KENNEDY: We'll talk more later. MR. KRUEGER: point seven. 52.7 MS. WILLIAMS: Our next speaker, Vanessa Perez, followed by Jacqueline Venters, followed by Ron Dowell. MR. KRUEGER: I'll be back if you don't call. CHAIR KENNEDY: I I will call you. Go ahead. MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's mom. This is my son.	
 MS. WILLIAMS: Our next speaker, Vanessa Perez, followed by Jacqueline Venters, followed by Ron Dowell. MR. KRUEGER: I'll be back if you don't call. CHAIR KENNEDY: I I will call you. Go ahead. MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's 	
 5 by Jacqueline Venters, followed by Ron Dowell. 6 MR. KRUEGER: I'll be back if you don't call. 7 CHAIR KENNEDY: I I will call you. 8 Go ahead. 9 MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's 	
 6 MR. KRUEGER: I'll be back if you don't call. 7 CHAIR KENNEDY: I I will call you. 8 Go ahead. 9 MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's 	
 7 CHAIR KENNEDY: I I will call you. 8 Go ahead. 9 MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's 	
8 Go ahead. 9 MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's	
9 MS. PEREZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa. I'm Joseph Perez's	
10 mom. This is my son.	
11 I want to say my son's out of jail now after being -	-
12 after two years of being beat by the sheriffs. Nothing's been	
13 done at all for his case.	
But since my son's release, one of the deputies that	
15 beat my son, Paul Saldana, 619121, is now at my daughter's high	h
16 school campus with my daughter every day for seven to eight	
17 hours a day walking around school with my daughter on campus,	
18 and she has to see him daily. The amount of fear and	
19 intimidation she endures at school that's supposed to be a	
20 safe zone with this man that tried to kill her brother is	
21 every is with her every day, is unnecessary.	
I tried getting a restraining order and, of course,	
23 they denied it, but gave us a hearing in which they pushed	
24 postponed it for a-month-and-a-half, in the middle of November	,
25 with the restraining order not granted.	

1	I'm not a lawyer, but I'm sure this deputy and his
2	lawyer bought more time. I say this to say I say that to
3	say this: How much longer does my daughter have to go to
4	school in her safe spot with a deputy that attempted to kill
5	her brother?
6	The man that just testified up here said
7	"accountability." I want to know who was accountable on
8	putting this deputy, not only back on the field, but put this
9	attempted murderer on the high school campus with my daughter.
10	The lack of disregard for, not only one of my
11	children, but for two of my children is beyond imaginable.
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: What what high school?
13	MS. PEREZ: Nogales High School in West Covina. West
14	Covina La Puente.
15	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
16	MS. PEREZ: All right. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Could we make sure the staff gets
18	this information so we can do some follow up?
19	MS. PEREZ: Okay.
20	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yep.
21	MS. PEREZ: Thank you.
22	CHAIR KENNEDY: Could someone get
23	MS. WILLIAMS: Ms. Perez, if you could please come over
24	here. Thank you.
25	CHAIR KENNEDY: Go ahead.

T 2 0		3	8
-------	--	---	---

1	MS. VENTERS: Good morning. Jacqueline Venters.
2	First of all, I just want to say thank the
3	commission Oversight Commission. I've been coming here
4	since 2018 addressing the issue with my son, Tennell Billups,
5	that was shot five times in the back by Deputy Gonzalo Inzunza
6	from the Century Station. And I'm glad to hear that they're
7	talking about the Jump Out Boys, because Deputy Gonzalo Inzunza
8	was a Jump Out Boy, you know. Their MO is jump out and shoot,
9	and that's just what he did, jumped out and shot my son five
10	times in the back.
11	Accountability. If we start exposing them, it would
12	bring closure. You know, a lot of this stuff has been swept up
13	under the rug with no accountability, and this is why they
14	continue to do these things. If you notice now, it haven't
15	been that many shootings prior to the last few years of
16	innocent people getting shot.
17	I took some notes the Executioners, they're from
18	Compton, and they still exist there. You know, I was just told
19	a few days ago that our new captain is, you know, part of it.
20	So we got to be careful who we put in positions in power and
21	control and not only him, it's a few more over there. I'm
22	trying to get some names, but they still exist.
23	And a lot of the community is afraid, you know,
24	afraid because of the things that happened in Compton for
25	years. And not only Compton, but Century Station is some of

1	their deputies come over to our area and do the same things to
2	our male and females, and it's black and brown, you know. And
3	we are all one community, and if we don't come together as one
4	and help each other, we're going to destroy each other. The
5	law enforcement have destroyed some of our communities with
6	their behaviors and have not been held accountable for their
7	actions. Let's now start holding them accountable.
8	I had a meeting with the Deputy District Attorney in
9	regards to Tennell's case, and I hand over all that documents,
10	and that's a blessing from you guys because y'all helped me
11	push their line.
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
13	MS. WILLIAMS: Our next speaker, Ron Dowell, followed by
14	Ernest Moore, followed by MJ King.
15	CHAIR KENNEDY: Go ahead.
16	MR. DOWELL: We traced the Jump Out Boys activity in
17	Compton all way back as early as 2009 with the murder of
18	Avery Cody, Jr., and they were there before then.
19	And to Commissioner Giggans's and Kennedy's comments
20	to change the culture, LASD needs to reconstruct, not tweak
21	tweak the existing structure. For example, in the city of
22	Compton, we face an existential threat, and we need the
23	sheriff, a leader, with a community-oriented mission that's
24	clear and ask questions like how can we best service the
25	community considering what the community says it needs,

1	We're asking for mechanisms to collect and assess
2	those needs to have ways to measure and report the performance
3	towards addressing those needs that are unique to the city of
4	Compton. All areas within the county don't have the same so-
5	called law enforcement needs, and this requires that the
6	sheriff move away from arrest numbers, response times, and
7	changes in part one and part two crimes over time. We suggest
8	adopting Nibras as a way to collect information to get us
9	useful information.
10	Compton weak leadership has not dismantled or has
11	not demanded such accountability and, therefore, are complicit
12	and responsible for the existence of deputy gangs and for poor
13	service from the Sheriff's Department. They don't even know
14	who in in the Sheriff's Department works in the city. So
15	reconstruction might include (indiscernible) membership to help
16	mitigate their tendency to backslide, which is what law
17	enforcement generally does over time. So the accountability
18	can start, really, at the local level in Compton.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
21	MS. WILLIAMS: Our next speaker, Ernest Moore, followed by
22	MJ King.
23	CHAIR KENNEDY: Go ahead.
24	MR. MOORE: My name is Ernest Moore. I've been coming to
25	these commission meetings ever since the very first meeting

1	many years ago. I have set up a support group for the victims
2	of the LA Probate Courts called Domata (phonetic). The judges
3	in the probate courts are totally corrupt and another type of
4	LA County gang that I call the "Black Robe Mafia Syndicate."
5	I have contacted the LA County Sheriff deputies at
6	the at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse several times over the
7	years demanding to make citizens' arrests of the specific
8	judges that are operating that were operating in the
9	Stanley Mosk Courthouse. Some are still operating now, but
10	they refuse my arrests, which is a felony, and will not even
11	take a crime report against these corrupt judges and lawyers
12	and court-appointed fiduciaries that are operating the Stanley
13	Mosk Courthouse.
14	I have filed several complaints with the Sheriff's
15	Department and to the Inspector General. There is an
16	investigation going on now with the Internal Affairs Department
17	of the LA County Sheriff's Department, but nothing has been
18	done yet.
19	Sheriff's Sheriff's Department is totally corrupt.
20	It always has been. It's always been racist against black
21	Americans, and I want to set up a specialized law enforcement
22	agency made up of black American citizens to police and do
23	internal affairs of LA County Sheriff's Department.
24	This is this commission is a waste of time. This

25 is just a dog-and-pony show. We need enforcement now. We

Т

1	don't need to be shucking and jiving and doing hearings and
2	and nothing is done.
3	Thank you very much.
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
5	MS. WILLIAMS: Our next speaker, MJ King, followed by
6	Stephanie Luna.
7	MS. KING: What's there to say when the Sheriff and
8	Undersheriff continue to deny deny subpoenas to appear, when
9	the Sheriff will raid a supervisor and Civilian Oversight
10	Committee member's home in retaliation for calling for
11	transparency in his department, when the Sheriff sends deputies
12	to surveil and intimidate sheriff's deputies who testify in
13	front of the Oversight Commission?
14	What are you doing to protect our community members
15	who have been telling you this week after week? When will you
16	protect our community members from the Sheriff and his deputies
17	who harass and intimidate when they speak out against their
18	loved ones who are I'm sorry about their loved ones who
19	are whose lives are stolen by LA Sheriff deputies, when
20	they're followed, when they're harassed, when they're put in
21	danger by the LASD? The families shouldn't have to stand in
22	front of you pleading for protection, the trauma of recounting
23	their story each and every time.
24	Tell the Board of Supervisors to stop increasing LASD
25	funding. This year, the Board approved \$1.3 million for an

1	armored armored vehicle for LASD. They haven't done enough
2	damage with weapons that they already have? They can't figure
3	out how to stop killing our community members? What do they
4	need a tank for? Are they going to patrol East LA with a tank?
5	With a \$3.6-billion budget, surely they can find room in their
6	current budget to find to fund such frivolities.
7	Eric Strong testified that taxpayers paid \$50 million
8	or more in settlements for LASD misconduct. That's an
9	underestimate. We pay \$86 million a year for LASD misconduct.
10	We, taxpayers, not LASD. What message does that send? We're
11	rewarding them for their gang activity, textbook negative
12	reinforcement that they're above the law and will be rewarded
13	for it.
14	LA County lawyers just recommended an \$8-million
15	settlement for the parents of 18-year-old Andres Guardado,
16	murdered by a sheriff's deputy prospect Executioner gang member
17	in 2020 and then slandered in the press.
18	Tell the BOS to stop funding LASD budget increases
19	and stop funding LASD misconduct. It's an insult to taxpayers.
20	When will enough be enough?
21	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
22	Is that it?
23	MS. WILLIAMS: Stephanie Luna.
24	MS. LUNA: There's a lot to there's a lot to unpack
25	that came out of this meeting today. I'm not even, honestly,

2	I guess I'll start with the officer that testified,
3	Neal. A lot of the language he was using was extremely
4	problematic because he is the reason why this crisis of deputy
5	gangs has lasted 50 years. The way that he was talking, he is
6	the reason why a lot of these deputies are emboldened to act
7	the way they act. There is a extreme lack of accountability.

8 I also want to raise a point that burning down the 9 sheriff's departments, that statement is extremely problematic 10 as well because that's also criminalizing the people that are 11 just saying that we don't need sheriffs patrolling our areas 12 and killing our kids. What we need is an increase in funding, 13 and we need an increase in systems that are actively working, 14 not systems that are put into place to actively destroy us.

Another comment that was made was about the tattoos hanging in the gym -- the symbols hanging in the gym, and I'd like to reference that these tattoos are trophies for killing our loved ones. Jonathan Rojas and Nicholas Perez, which one of them -- whichever one of them got the tattoo for killing my nephew, wears that tattoo with pride and wears that tattoo as a trophy of my nephew's murder.

The leadership, the mentoring, and the teamwork that we heard the officer mention, it's been thriving in the Department, and it's actively working together to keep these deputy gangs going and to keep them rolling. You know, the

1	fact that we have ex-deputies sitting up here saying that they
2	could've joined the gangs but they didn't is exactly the
3	problem because that shows that they're part of the problem.
4	They haven't tried to stop it. They've consistently tried to
5	keep it quiet.

Another quick -- I know my time is running out, but another quick thing is talking about these police associations. That's why a lot of people don't consider them a union because unions protect people. Unions don't allow their employees to actively hurt people, and that's why we don't consider ALADS a police union. It's considered nothing more than an association that protects and emboldens the deputy gangs.

I appreciate the commission consistently holding these hearings. This is really one of the only places aside from community activism where we're seeing people challenging Alex because everyone here knows Alex runs from accountability the way that he runs from the people. And this is a fight that we're going to be in for the rest of our life, and we're here for it.

Thank you.

20

22

21 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.

That concludes public comment.

23 Mr. Deixler, we're almost done. I just need to ask 24 you: My understanding is that we have an outstanding subpoena 25 duces tecum to the Sheriff that was never responded to; is that

1	correct?
2	MR. DEIXLER: Yes, it is.
3	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
4	And my request to the County Counsel, who I think is
5	on the Zoom, would be that as with all of the other subpoenas
6	that the Sheriff's Department has flouted that we seek to
7	enforce the subpoena duces tecum as soon as possible. We
8	cannot have the top law enforcement officer of Los Angeles
9	County willfully violating duly-issued subpoenas.
10	MS. VAPPIE: Yes, Chair. We will do so. Thank you.
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
12	I really want to thank Mr. Deixler and Mr
13	Mr. Pacheco for your efforts today.
14	I read on social media often deputies think that
15	you're all profiting from these hearings. The truth is that
16	you're volunteering your time to develop this evidence on
17	behalf of the public good, and we really, really express our
18	gratitude to you. We we know your time is valuable, and we
19	greatly appreciate it.
20	With that, this meeting is adjourned. We will resume
21	tentatively the eighth special hearing on deputy gangs at this
22	location on October 28th at 9:00 a.m.
23	Thank you.
24	(The hearing was concluded.)
25	-000-

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
4	
5	I, ANN BONNETTE, California CSR No. 6108, Louisiana Court
6	Reporter No. 85135, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
7	Manager of Reporting Services, AAERT CERT D-368, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That said digitally recorded audio of the Civilian
10	Oversight Commission Hearing, October 14th, 2022, was
11	transcribed into computer-generated text under my direction and
12	supervision, and I hereby certify the foregoing transcript to
13	the best of my ability.
14	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor
15	related to any party to said action nor in any way interested
16	in the outcome thereof.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name
18	this 16th day November 2022.
19	Ann. Bonnette
20	VUIVO P CIUTO
21	ANN BONNETTE, CSR 6108
22	AAERT CERT D-368
23	
24	
25	

WORD INDEX	2014 12:20 13:19	7th 6:10	121:9, 21 124:8,	adequate 74:10
	72:22 74:1		12, 24 125:6	adhered 37:4
<\$>	2015 39:3 133:25	< 8 >	137:7 138:11, 13	adjacent 65:22
\$1.3 142:25	2016 39:2 97:22	8 40:2	140:11, 17 144:7	adjourned 146:20
			-	
\$3.6-billion 143:5	2017 12:20 17:11	8,000 31:25	145:16	administrative
\$50 104:11 143:7	27:11 35:12	8:30 6:1	accountable 44:3	7:19 43:22 47:4
\$86 143:9	75:24	80 44: <i>13</i>	45:17 46:21 51:3	79:23 85:1
\$8-million 143:14	2018 28:24 35:12	8000 131:7	62:21 63:1,6	131:24
	75:25 135:4	85135 147:6	137:7 139:6, 7	admit 18:18
<1>	138:4		accurate 59:3	adopted 71:22
1 2:17, 20 3:2	2020 10:13 134:8	< 9 >	99:5 106: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> ,	adopting 140:8
10 13:20 48:9	143:17	9:00 146:22	17	advance 9:18
50:21	2022 1:7 147:10,	9:02 4:2	accurately 59:9	69:11 76:12
100 61: <i>1</i> 9 114:20	18	900 40:2	accused 82:7	advantages 55:17
122:24, 25	20th 5:16 7:24	9000 127:17	85:23 88:20 89:3	76:23
101st 50:4	20th 5.10 7.24 21st 49:18	94 2:18	achieve 26:5 57:4	adverse 107:10
		94 2.10		
11 2:17 41:14	21st-century 31:18		acknowledge	advice 36:25
11:08 90:18, 22	22 96:16 117:14	< A >	109:3 120:25	39:16
11:24 90:22	231 98:2	a.m 90:22 146:22	121:1, 2, 3 125:5	advise 66:21
13 29:19	28 117: <i>16</i>	AAERT 147:7, 22	acknowledgement	88:25
133 3:2	28th 6:14 7:10	ability 78:11	121:22	advised 76:11
136 3:3	146:22	109:8 147:13	acknowledging	advising 14:7
13670 64:23	29 117:16	able 5:5 36:23	61:16 83:18	Advocacy 97:8, 9
138 3:4		57:11 66:18	acquiescence 34:7	122:8 124:14
139 3:5	< 3 >	77:20 88:25	act 8:5 85:7	advocate 48:3
14 1:7 28:23	3 2:23 3:4	125:3	144:6, 7	advocated 48:6
29:8 44:15	30 44:15 50:21	absolute 114:10	Action 2:23 9:7	Affairs 97:3
140 3:6	123:1, 4 125:10	126:22 129:18	10:10 32:23 59:6,	111:5 113:16
140 3.0 142 3:7	3000 53:6, 8		$10.10 \ 52.23 \ 59.0,$ $12 \ 61:1 \ 62:17$	116:1 121:24
	-	absolutely 83:1		
143 3:8	54:25 112:22, 25	98:24 104:23	64:10 66:18	124:14 131:3
14th 147:10	115:23 121:11	106:6 119:6	122:3, 6 147:15	141:16,23
15 98: <i>3</i>	30th 135:4	129:4 130:3, 11	actions 10:12	affect 38:23 56:9
15-minute 90:19	38 2:20	absorbed 96:5	14:13 43:22 47:4	64:7
15th 5:17		abuse 105:21	62:18 139:7	affiliated 110:17,
16 98: <i>3</i>	< 4 >	academy 52:2	actively 24:17	20
16th 147:18	4 3:5	97:20 129:6	144:13, 14, 24	affiliation 89:2
17 17:2 56:12	40 12: <i>13</i> 66:6	accelerating 37:23	145:10	affinity 54:12
99:4	99:6	38:2	activism 145:15	affirm 11:12
17th 5:17 7:24	42 12:13, 22 14:8,	accept 18:25	activities 5:19	94:22
18 17:11 27:11	25 16:17 54:14	acceptable 71:9,	16:4 32:14 93:15	afraid 85:17
36:2 99:4	48 98: <i>3</i>	21	activity 21:11	89:15 138:23, 24
18,000 25:23		accepted 8:18	131:16 139:16	African-American
18,000-person	< 5 >	100:23 119:19	143:11	89:21
44:14	5 3:6	121:6 132:25	actual 7:8 42:1	agencies 129:5, 6
182 39:15	50 14:21 50:22	133:3	132:21, 24	agency 55:18
18-year-old 143:15	66:6 144:5	accessing 9:23	acutely 82:15	61:6 131: <i>12</i>
19 99:4	50-year 44:21	accompanied	add 10:8 27:6	141:22
19 99.4 1970s 14:22 117:9	45:2 73:20	49:15	add 10.8 27.0 addiction 109:19	
			additional 35:8	agenda 5:4
1975 13:1	52.7 136:3	accords 76:21		aggravated 114:4
1979 52:24	58 2:21	account 78:5	92:21	aghast 83:1
1st 134:8	59 2:23	accountability	address 14:3	ago 10:13 25:13
		13:10 15:6,9	16:23 58:24	26:18 42:25
<2>	<6>	35:11 43:6, 8, 20	89:17 117:3	60:25 77:24 86:7
2 2:18, 21 3:3	6 3:7	44:11, 17, 22, 23	119:9	138:19 141:1
20 50:21	6108 147:5, 21	45:22 47:9 51:22	addressed 26:13	agree 26:4 55:1
200 98:2 122:25	619121 136:15	56:24 57:21	72:20 117:13	73:16 76:25
2000 53:7 54:25		62: <i>13</i> , <i>20</i> , <i>23</i> 63:7,	addresses 89:15	83:24 90:7, 12
96:5, 6, 15	<7>	21 64:3, 9 72:9	addressing 15:17	105:15 132:14
2009 139:17	7 3:8	77:12 79:12	19:1 59:10 66:25	agreed 17:8
2010 112:8	7-Eleven 134:9	91:18, 20 97:13	138:4 140:3	agreement 57:5
2012 13:17		118:11, 13, 22		
	1	I	I	I

		26 17 40 10		116 10 110 1 7
ahead 5:16 80:9,	Anthony 2:14	36:17 40:10	ATTENDEES 2:1	116:19 119:1,7
12 136:8 137:25	25:9 45:6	43:16 51:10	attending 4:25	122:18 128:1,7
139:15 140:23	anticipate 7:3	116:25 117:25	attention 28:5, 7,	131:3, 22 132:17
Airborne 50:4	anybody 32:1	130:18, 24	8 70:1 76:1	133:25 134:23
airplane 134:11	51:20 83:11 86:13 113:9	asking 20:12	82:25 91:22 98:20 110:5	136:6 137:8
ALADS 33:19 130:14 145:10		50:8, <i>12</i> , <i>14</i> 59: <i>19</i> 62:25 72:8	124:25 135:23	138:5, <i>10</i> 139: <i>17</i> Background 2: <i>21</i>
Alex 125:23	anybody's 50:2 anymore 29:3	135:15 140:1	attentions 46:6	14:5 58:5, 10
Alex 125:25 132:20 145:16	44:2 49:18 50:1,	asks 21:4	attentive 18:20	62:15
allow 20:3 32:2	10, 17 64:5	aspect 21:3 23:1	60:21 66:11	backslid 57:13
131:1 145:9	anyway 19:21	82:8 94:2 99:17	attitudes 52:25	backslide 140:16
allowed 33:5	28:14 133:2	aspects 18:14	53:19	backup 107:9, 14
101:2 117:4, 6, 19	135:11	37:11 68:22	attorney 35:25	backwards 76:6
alluded 71:4	appear 6:13 9:12	92:10 99:19	76:11 77:4, 6	bad 23:12 36:11
alpha 85:7	78:1 142:8	aspire 16:4	90:11 139:8	41:21 46:18
alternate 74:10	appears 49:11, 12	assault 114:11	attorneys 34:1	53:20 83:1
alternative 74:3	130:22	115:4 116:10	35:13, 19 60:2	124:21
amazed 134:7	apply 41:11	assaulted 99:22	66:21 87:1	badge 49:3 103:2
ambassador 46:25	101:11	112:17	122:15	baffles 131:12
ambassadorship	appoint 13:23	assaulting 114:25	Attorney's 89:8,	bags 76:14
47:2	appointed 11:25	131:22	11	bailiff 96:12
ambiguous 15:21	57:16 126:14	assembling 38:15	audible 4:7, 18, 20,	Baker 102:8
16:10 83:23	appreciate 8:11	assertive 123:19	24	balls 24:25
ambitious 28:25	35:7 69:22 80:16	assess 42:20	audio 147:9	ban 77:5
Amendment 73:1	81:7 91:5 145: <i>13</i>	54:13 140:1	Audit 97:13	band 129:22
135:25	146:19	assessing 36:19	118:11, 21	Banditos 70:12
American 141:22	approach 14:10	assign 45:24	audits 13:10	111:10
Americans 141:21	48:4 68:19, 20, 25	assigned 64:5	August 134:8	banking 134:25
a-month-and-a-	78:2	72:21 96:23	135:4	banquet 112:9
half 136:24	appropriate 5:15	97:15 98:12	author 58:7 59:7	bar 76:19
amount 44:16	20:14 42:16	assignment 17:10	authored 85:5	Barney 78:25
52:9 58:2 59:20	57:18 86:12, 15	assignments 13:2	authoritative	barometer 104:21
76:1 107:19	approved 49:20	72:6 95:18 100:2	52:20, 21	barred 9:21
124:5 136:18	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25	72:6 95: <i>18</i> 100:2 107:8	52:20, 21 authority 79:7	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12,	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12,	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18,	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17,	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B >	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12,
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11 75:3 78:9 79:14	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13 articulation 91:24	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 assisting 87:14 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21 attempted 89:10	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18 back 15:11 24:18,	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12, 15
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11 75:3 78:9 79:14 86:9, 11 87:4	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13 articulation 91:24 aside 84:24	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21 attempted 89:10 137:4, 9	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18 back 15:11 24:18, 22 25:15 32:3	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12, 15 beatdown 112:18
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11 75:3 78:9 79:14 86:9, 11 87:4 89:15 92:20	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13 articulation 91:24 aside 84:24 145:14	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21 attempted 89:10 137:4, 9 attempts 81:24, 25	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18 back 15:11 24:18, 22 25:15 32:3 36:23 39:10 49:1	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12, 15 beatdown 112:18 beating 113:25
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11 75:3 78:9 79:14 86:9, 11 87:4 89:15 92:20 105:11 125:24	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13 articulation 91:24 aside 84:24 145:14 asked 6:12 13:19,	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21 attempted 89:10 137:4, 9 attempts 81:24, 25 attend 7:20 76:4	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18 back 15:11 24:18, 22 25:15 32:3 36:23 39:10 49:1 52:18 53:17	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12, 15 beatdown 112:18 beating 113:25 began 13:1 38:19
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11 75:3 78:9 79:14 86:9, 11 87:4 89:15 92:20 105:11 125:24 126:22	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13 articulate 9:12 aside 84:24 145:14 asked 6:12 13:19, 23 14:2 19:9	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21 attempted 89:10 137:4, 9 attempts 81:24, 25	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18 back 15:11 24:18, 22 25:15 32:3 36:23 39:10 49:1 52:18 53:17 77:24 85:11, 20	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12, 15 beatdown 112:18 beating 113:25
124:5 136:18 amounts 48:16 104:14 ample 40:20 Analysis 118:12, 23 anchors 31:2 and/or 55:11 91:23 Andres 143:15 ANGELES 1:5 6:3 12:11 79:18 96:7 98:23 104:6 146:8 147:3 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anger 41:16 angry 128:13 anguish 107:19 ankle 26:21 67:1 ANN 147:5, 21 answer 22:9 28:22 34:25 52:21 66:9 71:11 75:3 78:9 79:14 86:9, 11 87:4 89:15 92:20 105:11 125:24	approved 49:20 93:14 142:25 area 65:23 66:6 68:9 103:18 107:8 124:1 139:1 areas 48:14 65:22, 24 98:6, 12, 13 100:5 103:19 140:4 144:11 arena 66:22 argues 9:6 arisen 22:19 116:25 armored 143:1 arrest 140:6 arrested 87:15 134:6 arrests 141:7, 10 arrived 25:10 Arrogance 41:17, 19, 20 articulate 49:13 articulation 91:24 aside 84:24 145:14 asked 6:12 13:19,	72:6 95:18 100:2 107:8 assist 94:1, 3 assistant 18:24 19:5 26:1 27:14 29:12 30:1, 20 43:10 113:19 115:21 associated 66:5 74:19 110:20 association 26:19 145:11 associations 145:7 assume 48:3 assuming 36:24 107:2 atmosphere 102:14 attack 9:1 attempt 21:2, 6 24:12 58:10 72:21 attempted 89:10 137:4, 9 attempts 81:24, 25 attend 7:20 76:4	52:20, 21 authority 79:7 authorized 39:6 automatically 48:8 autonomy 77:19 available 14:10 16:6 68:12 69:6 avenue 47:18 averse 56:14 75:22 Avery 139:18 aware 16:6 39:4 58:21 68:7 82:15 86:13, 20 87:18, 21 93:10 101:12 132:6 awareness 18:16 38:16 58:12 92:13 < B > babies 32:19 Baca 67:16, 18 back 15:11 24:18, 22 25:15 32:3 36:23 39:10 49:1 52:18 53:17	barred 9:21 barrel 42:16 barrier 129:10 based 40:13 41:25 54:14 65:4 83:22 104:15 113:22 118:8 basic 112:20 basically 33:18 41:19 72:8 112:16 114:22 115:16 117:6 121:4 basis 19:3 20:17 34:16 38:24 92:12, 19 111:18 batch 18:1 bathwater 32:20 batteries 123:12, 13 battery 134:20 battle 52:5 beat 83:6 136:12, 15 beatdown 112:18 beating 113:25 began 13:1 38:19

	1	1		
begging 50:6	83:2 89:24 101:6	86:4, 13 87:6, 9,	busy 9:14 24:24	84:6 126:7
51:15 62:25 63:3	104:8 105:19	19 112:25 115:23	76:5	127:14, 18 129:20
beginning 20:24	108:19 119:3	138:7 139:16	button 122:12	career 12:22
37:16 52:10	132:4	Brady 86:10 89:2,	buy-in 29:25	14:13 20:18
behalf 146:17	bite 68:23	6, 10, 14 90:10, 16	buzzword 61:14	52:10 95:14
behavior 99:20	black 84:25	brag 26:19 83:15	bylaws 16:5	103:4 117:16
102:8, 15 103:13,	139:2 141:4, 20,	brain 22:15	G	118:6 128:20
<i>18</i> 104: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>	22	brains 55:22	<c></c>	134:25
105:21 108:24	blah 68:5	brain's 60:7	calculations 45:3	career's 38:15
111:3, 4 114:11	blame 18:4, 5	brave 93:22	calf 134:23	careful 138:20
118:14 119:21	73:13, 17	brawl 113:21	California 136:1	carpet 125:23
behaviors 81:11	blaming 73:8, 10	114:3	147:1, 5	carries 103:18
99:18 139:6	blessing 101:2	break 44:9 54:12	call 4:5 10:5, 6	carry 78:12
belief 58:4	139:10	57:19 69:6 87:9	17:3 40:11 48:13	carrying 35:6
believe 14:6 15:1	blind 49:19	90:20, 22 91:4	64:13 77:2 79:20,	cartoon 53:23
18:14 22:22	Block 67:18	105:14 129:10	21 86:14 94:14	case 20:1 22:22
26:22 30:7 31:6	bloodletting 18:2	breakfast 134:9	107:14 108:7	23:23 24:8 43:21
39:22 54:25	blues 51:7	BRIAN 1:12 2:2	112:18 119:25	49:24 60:17 67:4
61:22 67:16 68:2	board 29:11	brief 12:22 39:19	121:12 122:11	86:17 99:21
76:20 89:6 91:17	58:14 125:18	briefing 40:19	130:4 136:6, 7	102:9 113:16
98:24 106:1, 4	142:24, 25	49:8	141:4	122:15 136:13
109:15, 25 112:10	Bob 78:21	briefly 110:5	called 13:14	139:9
135:4	body 61:18	Brill 2:12	49:25 56:10	cases 16:11 31:20
believes 10:20	130:15	bring 80:12, 18,	63:11 65:21, 23	41:22 49:20
48:7	boiled 75:23	19 84:16 86:11	93:15 95:21	64:16 87:14
belong 32:17	116:11	118:25 119:7	120:3 125:23	89:10 102:20
33:4 51:12	boils 76:4 100:22	138:12	134:2 140:5	103:22 104:13
beloved 60:9	105:20	bringing 14:24	141:2	105:2, 8, 17
bend 44:9, 10	bona 112: <i>3</i>	91:21 125:16	calling 34:9 44:6	106:18, 20, 24
85: <i>13</i>	BONNER 1:14	broadening 37:23	89:1 142:10	118:17 122:16
bending 76:6	2:6 4:6, 7 8:7	broader 37:24	calls 108:8 128:2,	124:15
beneath 109:4, 6	10:7 53:6, 8	broad-view 98:4	12	cast 49:19
benefit 12:5	54:10, 18, 21, 23	broke 88:8	campaign 9:6	catch 125:12
109:17	55:6 65:7, 10, 13	brother 136:20	128:1 130:25	127:13
Berge 17:3 19:5	66:4 69:21 70:24	137:5	132:10	caught 41:10
25:9 45:6	71:2 72:15, 18	brought 66:8	campus 136:16,	112:19
Bert 2:12 5:5	73:6, 9, 12, 19	102:23 103:21	17 137:9	cause 56:3
11:4, 18	74:16, 18 75:1	110:22	cancel 5:16 7:24	caused 61:7 73:1
best 54:2 57:3	76:24 78:18	brown 139:2	cancelled 5:12	causes 107:17, 19
67:7 70: <i>15</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>	79:11 80:7 82:17	budget 15:7	candidly 32:4	122:13
109:17 139:24	94:4	79:17 143:5, 6, 18	Cannon 112:9, 13,	CCJV 77:24
147: <i>13</i>	BONNETTE	budgeted 79:15	<i>14</i> 113:2 <i>1</i> 114: <i>16</i>	Center 13:3
bets 18:25	147:5, 21	build 18: <i>13</i> , <i>16</i>	119:8, 11	96:23
better 15:8 28:4,	book 6:24 38:19	126:1, 11	Canyon 112:9	Central 13:4
5 34:20 37:21	39: <i>11, 13</i> 41: <i>13</i>	builder 32:6	capably 89:16	79:7 97:6 112:15
41:2 43:25 47:21	42:24 84:25	building 21:5	captain 13:9	Century 48:1
60:24 62:3 68:11	booklet 40: <i>3</i> 60:6	34:2 54:1	49:15 53:25	49:18 65:23
75:18 81:15	67:5	bullet 67:24	63:17, 24 68:3	67:14 68:9 97:2,
beyond 10:17	borderline 42:5	bunch 33:25 36:4	75:20, 21 78:3	3 130:5 138:6, 25
43:15 47:5 57:22	borrowed 76:3	39:25 59:16	79:21, 24 113:15	CERT 147:7, 22
137: <i>11</i>	BOS 143:18	Bureau 13:7, 11	138:19	Certain 23:4
biased 99:23	bother 51:20	97:4, 8, 13, 15	captains 18:24	46:15 48:1 93:15
big 46:5 50:3	bottom 18:6	113:16 118:12, 22	44:13 75:16	100:5, 8 101:14
61:9 69:25 78: <i>16</i>	104:12	bureaus 22:18	77:17, 18 79:4, 11,	129:14, 15
81:5 83: <i>16</i>	bought 30:2	burner 24:18, 20,	20	certainly 60:24
124:17 129:7	137:2	22 25:3	car 23:5, 6 67:6	68:12 74:1 76:2
biggest 70:17	Boy 53:4, 6, 8	burning 48:2, 3, 7,	107:8	Certified 147:6
big-scale 66:12	138:8	25 51:23 131:9	cards 23:9 68:24	certify 147:8, 12,
Billups 138:4	Boys 43:24 44:1,	144:8	care 21:24 61:5,	14
bit 17:16 33:14	5, 8 54:25 67:4	business 92:4	24 75:17 83:2	cetera 22:19 24:6
10.5 61.22 70.7		1	1	65 5 70 17 00 10
40:5 61:23 70:7	84:24 85:6, 17, 24	bust 63:18		65:5 78:17 92:19

chain 44:5 45:15	chiefs 28:23 29:8,	closure 138: <i>12</i>	71:13 76:9 81:20	142:14, 16 143:3
49: <i>14</i> 113: <i>15</i>	17 30:2, 14, 19	COC 8:18 9:19	94:1 98:18	145:15
CHAIR 1:13 2:3	44:15 49:15 78:7	Code 64:17, 23	100:17 117:1, 12	community-
4:2, 17, 18, 21, 22,	children 137:11	92:9 93:15	120:17 125:17	oriented 139:23
25 5:9, 22 6:5, 7,	chip 134:21	Cody 139:18	138:3 140:25	compared 48:16
19, 22, 24 7:5, 10,	choice 107:7	cogitating 60:7	141:24 142:13	117:25
12, 23 8:7, 13	choose 46:11	cohesive 18:16	145:13 147:10	compel 9:15
10:9 11:4, 8, 11,	Christmas 112:14	25:22 27:2, 4	commissioned	compelling 29:5
16, 18, 24 16:15	circumstance 19:9	37:15	38:13	complaint 109:12,
62:9 64:21 65:12	circumstances	cold 29:22	COMMISSIONER	<i>14</i>
69:14, 16, 20 75:5	30:11 79:10	colleague 130:6	1:14, 15, 16, 17, 18,	complaints 119:22
82:2, 5 84:2, 23	cite 66:10 78:20	collect 140:1, 8	19 4:6, 7, 8, 9, 10,	141: <i>14</i>
85:3, 6, 10, 14, 16,	cited 32:6 37:12	collectively 60:23	11, 12, 13, 14, 16,	compliance 86:11
21 86:4, 7, 15	72:25 79:8 82:20	123:15	19, 20, 23, 24 7:1,	126:21
87:4, 18 88:2, 6,	citing 9:12	color 65:4	7, 8, 18, 21 $8:1, 7,$	complicated 66:22
11, 14, 19, 23	Citizens 54:9	come 15:11	10 10:7 11:22, 25	69:4, 5
89:17, 20 90:2, 13,	141:7, 22	31:21 32:4 53:1	12:1 16:14 19:18	complicit 140:11
18, 23 91:5, 8	city 65:22 79:18	93:21 101:24		
93:19, 23 94:5, 7,	139:21 140:3, 14	117:18 121:17	53:6, 8 54:18, 21, 23 55:6 62:9, 11	complied 89:7 comply 86:9
93:19, 25 94:5, 7, 11, 13, 16, 19, 21	Civil 29:18 51:7	129:11 137:23	65:7, 9, 10, 13	component 37:6
95:1 127:1	60:14 73:22	139:1, 3		65:15 122:8
130: <i>13</i> 132:7, <i>18</i>	104:5 122:17	comes 18:6 21:3,	66: <i>4</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 67:2 69: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>21</i>	comprehensive
		<i>comes</i> 18:0 21:5, <i>4</i> 23:19 43:20	70:24 71:2 72:15,	59:1
133:9, 14, 24	CIVILIAN 1:6			
134:14 135:7, 9,	71: <i>13</i> 125: <i>15</i> 142:9 147:9	108:8 109:10	18 73:6, 9, 12, 19	CompStat 78:1 Compton 48:1
13, 19, 21 136:2, 7		117:10 118:23	74:16, 18 75:1	-
137:12, 15, 20, 22,	claim 10:9 133:5,	120:6 121:9	76:24 78:18	95:14, 17, 23 96:4
25 139:12, 15	6	coming 42:16	79:11 80:7, 10, 14	122:22 125:7, 8, 9
140:20, 23 142:4	claims 10:12	51:6 52:18 62:11	81:1 82:4, 14	138:18, 24, 25
143:21 145:21	51:7 133:8	63:23 84:10	84:3, 9, 18 91:9,	139:17, 22 140:4,
146: <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>11</i>	clarify 101:5, 20	128:17 132:7	11 93:24 94:4, 6,	10, 18
Chairman 126:25	clarity 81:12	138:3 140:24	8 127:2, 4 128:22	compute 49:18
challenge 8:19	class 98:2	command 44:5	130:12 132:3	computer-
42:13	class-action 88:10	49:14 113:15	133:13 137:17	generated 147:11
challenged 8:16	classes 64:20	commander 13:12,	139:19 COMMISSIONER	comrades 121:11
challenges 67:13	clear 27:13 29:10 43:25 44:4 64:10	14 25:15 30:17	S 2:5 5:3 11:19	conceive 49:13
challenging 81:8		37:17 51:19	54:4 58:5 59:5	concept 60:12
145:15	71:25 78:3 81:13	62:17, 19 78:24 80:2 97:7, 10, 11,	77:10 117:1, 24	100:18 104:18
chance 35:1	84:1 118:3 129:8		,	110:25
75:14 80:12	139:24	14 118:22 122:7	128:24 133:15	concern 15:19, 23
86: <i>14</i>	clearer 70:6	124: <i>16</i>	commit 46:9 71:6	16: <i>12</i> 23: <i>3</i> 24: <i>1</i> ,
change 14:7, 25	clearly 17:25	commanders	commitment 37:1 committed 21:13	<i>3</i> 27: <i>1</i> 29:2 31:9 34: <i>13</i> 48:9 56:8
20:13 28:1, 12	49:23 81:3	30:16, 17, 22 31:2,		
42:19 53:19 76:8	114:23 119:23	6 44:15 49:16	68:25 75:11	62:20 67:19 89:13 92:22
81:14 83:16	121:11 Clargy 06:23	51:16 62:15 78:6	committee 60:4	
84:16 139:20	Clergy 96:23	79:1, 2, 14 91:18, 20	118:12 142:10	115:8
changes 91:16, 18	clever 83:17		common 100:12	concerned 51:1
140:7 abanging 23:10	clique 15:15	comment 77:14	129:13, 25 130:1	62:14 63:2, 12, 19
changing 23:19	16: <i>15</i> 71: <i>16</i> 103:7	81:19 133:11, 16	commune 129:25	Concerning 2:23
81:7, 8 character 53:23		144:15 145:22	communicate 6:16	16:2 59:6 90:5 concerns 15:23,
	clique/gang/exclusi	Comments 3:1 10:8 71:25	communicated 36:25 37:2 51:14	concerns 15:23, 25 86:11 93:11
chasing 100:18 104:18 110:24	onary 70:2	10:8 /1:25 139:19	communities	concluded 146:24
cheerleader 47:20	cliques 10:10, 15, 21 15:18 37:1	comment's 80:11	102:16 139:5	concludes 146:24 concludes 145:22
cheerleaders	40:7 70:8 72:20	commercial	community 10:23	concurred 36:14
47:21 75:11	73:24 77:1, 5	122:11		concurrence 22:8
	-		55:2 <i>3</i> , 2 <i>4</i> 80:19,	conduct 38:25
chief 8:25 13:15, 17 25:16 29:1, 14,	78:4, 13 98:22 99:4	COMMISSION	22 81:17 82:6	
17 25:16 29:1, 14, 16, 21 33:2 37:17		1:6, 12, 13, 20 $2:2,$	84: <i>15</i> 102: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> 106: <i>14</i> 123: <i>3</i>	45:21 69:1 131:15
46:22 79:1 83:10	clobbering 60:17 close 78:15	<i>3, 4</i> 5: <i>13, 14</i> 9:2, <i>11</i> 10: <i>3</i> 12:21	106:14 125:5 129:1, 10, 11, 17	conducted 30:12
46:22 79:1 85:10 116:14 128:8	close 78:15 closer 117:16	15:14 19:7 47:24,	138:23 139:3, 25	103:17
110.14 120:0		25 54:10 69:24	130.23 139.3, 23	103.17
1				
		25 54.10 09.24		

conducting 24:12	continuation	Counsel 2:12, 14,	67:23	danger 21:16
34:4	120:15	15 5:5 6:17 10:5,	create 28:3 42:4	142:21
Conference 126: <i>3</i>	continue 104: <i>1</i>	7, 17 35:13, 19, 24	created 13:10	dangerous 107:13
confidential 33:21,	109:8 117:23	36:5 73:6, 10, 17,	14:20 18:1 28:14	Danielle 2:15
23, 24	122:2, 5, 19	22 146:4 147:14	51:17 129:9	DARE 96:21
confidentiality	138:14 142:8	counselor 75:9	creates 102:14	darn 61:9
34:2	continued 124:6	counselor-advisor	credibility 102:21	DAs 87:14
confidentially	continuing 27:24	37:22	105:24 106:4	date 6:12 7:6, 8,
46:14 50:16	continuously 28:10	Counsel's 6:11	128:11	17
configure 55:22	contrary 26:5	17:12 72:25	creed 65:4 85:5,	dates 68:7
conflict 7:2, 6	control 72:5, 6	count 51:17	6, <i>15</i> , <i>19</i> 86: <i>1</i>	daughter 136:16,
26:14 27:3	100:2 138:21	123:11, 12	creeds 44:8	17 137:3, 9
conflicts 27:18	Controlling 68:3	Counterculture	Crescenta 56:11	daughter's 136:15
confused 7:22	79:7 100:25	41: <i>18</i>	crime 23:6 48:15,	dawned 28:2
connected 104:10	controversial 23:8	counterproductive	<i>16</i> 141: <i>11</i>	day 6:10 7:2, 3
110:21	controversy 34:20	73:2 <i>3</i>	crimes 89:4 140:7	34:3 44:3 131:13
connection 6:10	60:8 127:15	country 70:18, 19,	criminal 21:11	136:16, 17, 21
105:16		-		
	conversation 50:18 conversations	21 COUNTY 1:5	24:20 50:25 85:24 88:20 89:1	147:18 days 125:10
consent 24:6	117:15		85:24 88:20 89:1 99:20 102:19	days 125:10 138:19
57:2, 6 126:14, 18		2:15 6:11 12:11		
135:24	converted 36:15	13:16 17:12	103:5, 22 105:2, 8,	deaf 50:20
consequences	conveying 44:13	35:13, 19, 24 36:5	17 106:9, 18, 20,	deal 21:19 31:3
40:15 44:24	convinced 51:4	65:22, 24 70:17	24 114:10 121:23	35:18 52:14
consider 14:2	convincingly 21:9	72:25 73:6, 10, 15,	131:23 135:1	58:13 119:25
16:8 26: <i>13</i> 29:24	COOPER 1:17	17, 22 78:16, 17	criminalizing	124:22
41:5 50:12 61:1	2:8 4:8,9 7:18	89:7 104:6, 12	144:10	dealing 44:18
76:18 120:17	62:11 65:9 66:14,	110:23 134:9	Crisis 13:8 144:4	103:22
145:8, 10	18 67:2 69:17, 19	140:4 141:4, 5, 17,	criteria 131:19	death 16:11
consideration	94:6	23 143:14 146:4,	critical 14:24	21:16 50:5 83:22
30:4 32:23 56:17	cooperate 24:11	9 147:3	15:9	134:8
Considerations	33:15	County's 104:10	crossed 85:11	debate 9:3 73:25
2:23 25:1 36:4	cooperating 76:8	couple 49:16	CSR 147:5, 21	decade 77:24
41:15 43:17 59:5,	cooperation 29:6	60:5, 25 97:7	cuckoo 135:3	decades 48:5
12 61:1 64:10	34:6	courage 25:17	culpa 66:13	73:14
65:16 92:9	coordinated 114:8	course 14:12	culprits 115:4	December 5:17
considered 14:19	coordinator 96:23,	31:1 39:10 40:3,	culture 14:7, 25	6:10 7:25
28:19 32:14	24	24 55:10 56:2	23:19 28:1, 8, 13	decent 56:19
50:11 74:4 93:17	cop 82:23	114:19 135:3	42:19, 22 52:7	decentralization
145:11	copout 87:8	136:22	81:7, 8, 10, 14, 16	78:20
considering 52:23	core 48:4	Court 6:4, 13, 18	86:18 110:17	decentralized
93:4 139:25	corner 134:21	96:12 97:15	139:20	77:16
considers 16:9	corny 83:4	102:20 103:5	current 26:17	decide 7:17
consist 97:23	Corporation 36:17	104:13 126:15	108:14, 16, 17, 21	27:12 29:19
consistent 108:10,	correct 19:1	147:5	109:7, 11 143:6	decided 17:24
12 111:6	39:18 45:1 51:25	court-appointed	currently 20:19	51:1, 2 135:1
consistently 145:4,	64:23 66:20 85:9	141:12	110:3	decides 90:9
13	90:17 95:7 98:8	courteous 83:16	curriculums 96:24	decision 29:5
consists 40:9	100:15 110:7	courtesy 83:10	Custody 52:10, 21	40:12 51:16 89:6,
constant 51:2	111:22 112:11	84:24 129:14	97:5 98:8 130:6	<i>14</i> 90: <i>11</i> 116: <i>12</i> ,
constantly 44:17	146: <i>1</i>	Courthouse 141:6,	134:17, 18	14
constitutional	correctly 23:11	9, 13	cut 56:15 60:11	decision-making
77:4 82:6	79:18 105:13	Courts 141:2, 3	131:6	104:16 118:18
constitutionally	correspond 31:18	cover 38:10		119:2
73:9	corrupt 141: <i>3</i> , <i>11</i> ,	covered 50:9	< D >	decisions 29:13
consultants 36:16	19	covers 14:21	D-368 147:7, 22	38:25 65:3 69:1,
contact 79:1	corruption 105:10	coveted 97:25	DA 86:10 90:8	12 92:6
contacted 141:5	cost 15:7 35:21,	Covina 137:13, 14	daily 136:18	decisive 9:7 10:10
contempt 6:3, 7	22 110:23	cow 18:8	damage 61:7	decree 24:6 57:2,
continually 125:5,	could've 145:2	Cowboys 134:3	143:2	6 126:14
6	Council 96:24	cream-of-the-crop	damages 102:17	decrees 126:19

dedicated 103:4	14 14:7 15:9	45:3, 5, 6 46:15	18 122:1, 21	124:4
deep 19:3	17:6 23:22 25:22	50:16, 18 51:8, 12	123:1 124:11, 15	diffuse 123:21
deeper 32:9 81:3	26:2, 11, 14, 15, 16	52:4,9 53:1 54:2,	125:8, 10, 16	digitally 147:9
83:25	30:21 35:10, 14	11 55:11,21	127:24 128:10	dignity 129:13
deeply 15:17	40:16 42:1 52:8	57:22 63:18, 23	130:15, 16 131:14	digress 126:2
defend 131:17	57:1, 6, 17 58:1,	68:4 69:1,11	132:11, 15 137:1,	Dimas 134:1
132:2	24 59:14, 22 61:5	76:21 79:5 82:7	4, 8 138:5, 7	diminishes 128:10,
defendant 89:21	63:13 70:5, 15, 17,	86:23 88:24	139:8 140:12	11
defendants 135:23	18, 19 71:14, 21	91:21 98:16	143:16 144:4, 25	direct 17:18
defense 87:1	72:1, 10 73:25	99:22 100:10	145:12 146:21	30:20
90: <i>11</i> 131:5, <i>8</i> , <i>23</i> ,	77:13, 14 78:15	102:19 106:21	deputy's 51:4	directed 46:6
24	81:4, 22 82:24	107:2, 3 108:1	68:5	direction 38:5
deficient 68:18 define 15:12	83:11 85:22 86:3 87:22 88:19 89:7	112: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>23</i> 113:25 114:7	derailed 36:5 describe 16:25	49:7 76:10 118:2, 4 126:17 147:11
definite 33:13	90:14 91:14 93:7	117:5 119:1	42:24	directly 66:24
118:4	95:15, 17 96:5, 7,	120:5 121:15, 17	described 16:15,	89:14 92:20
definitely 106:24	10, 14, 18 98:7, 11,	120.5 121.15, 17	<i>17</i> 23:17 61:19	104:10 110:21
108: <i>16</i> 116: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i>	13, 16, 23 99:10,	125:8, 10 128:13	deserved 68:15	DIRECTOR 1:12
108.10 110.11, 15	13, 10, 23, 99.10, 12, 100:6, 13	129:12, 13 131:7	designed 20:12	2:2 5:8, 10 6:20,
definition 28:18	101:4, 15 102:18,	136:14 139:1	42:5	21, 23, 7:23, 8:3
definitive 24:14	25 104:5 106:14,	141:5 142:11, 12,	desirable 48:18	dirty 85:18
degree 16:1	20 107:23 108:13,	16, 19 144:6	desperately 70:4	disaffected 80:24
28:18 60:15	20 109:24 110:2,	146: <i>14</i>	despite 31:19	disagree 84:13
69:13 77:19	7, 10 111:21	deputized 19:24	destination 27:19	disagreements
Deixler 2:12 5:5,	113:10 115:21	DÉPUTY 1:9	destroy 139:4	84:14
25 6:6, 8 7:11, 15,	116:19, 20 117:2,	2:22, 24 4:4 8:17,	144:14	disastrous 10:24
<i>19</i> 11:5, <i>21</i> 12: <i>3</i> ,	<i>15, 16, 18</i> 118: <i>1</i>	22, 23 9:3, 7, 17,	destroyed 74:8	disband 28:20
9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 25	119: <i>13</i> , <i>19</i> 120: <i>9</i> ,	19, 25 10:2, 10, 14,	139:5	126:13
14:2, <i>11</i> , <i>23</i> 15:2,	12 121:14 123:8	21 13:1, 2, 18	detail 20:5 58:3	discharged 87:11
11 16:14, 22, 25	124:10 125:23	14:4, 14 15:15	59:10	disciplinary
17:16 19:7, 14, 16,	126:13 127:7, 16,	16:15 17:7, 10, 22,	detailed 69:6	118:17
<i>19</i> 20:7 23:15 25:5 26:3 27:5	21 128:25 129:3,	24 18:17 23:23	details 58:12 66:7 84:12 87:13	discipline 64:13
29:25 33:14 35:2,	7, 12, 19 130:4, 8 131:11, 16 132:13,	24:19 25:2 30:5, 8, 9, 18 32:13	detect 46:25	71: <i>15</i> 116: <i>17</i> 119: <i>3</i>
<i>5</i> , 7 36:21 37:18,	15 134:1 135:15,	33:22 34:24 37:1	detected 53:19	disciplining 116:16
21 38:6, 9 39:13,	24 140:13, 14	39:17 40:6, 23	detectives 111:20	disclose 85:22
<i>15, 19</i> 40:5 41: <i>11</i>	141:15, 16, 17, 19,	41:5, 11 42:17, 21	detects 46:20	discuss 10:19
42:20 43:4 44:20	23 142:11 144:24	43:7 45:6, 14	detention 106:10	22:10 41:7
45:9, 12, 14, 17	146:6	46:2 48:8 53:13,	detentions 102:15	discussed 15:23
47:9, 23 51:21	departments 144:9	14 57:17 58:6, 13	106:9	47:24 74:5 90:9
52:1 53:4, 7, 10	Department's	59:6, <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> 61:8,	determine 29:11	discussing 15:10
54:3, 7, 17 55:5,	123:21	20 68:1 70:1,8	determined 27:19	90:11
12, 15 56:21 58:2,	depending 45:19	71:16 72:11	determines 43:14	discussion 16:20
9, 25 59:2, 4, 9, 19,	97:12	73:18, 24 74:19	develop 59:15	19:12, 13, 22
25 61:11 62:5	depends 26:6, 9	77:1, 5 78:12	146:16	35:18 40:11 42:6
63:18 93:25	108:17	82:23, 24 88:21	developed 17:13	80:15 92:13
94:11, 12 145:23	depiction 50:5	89:18, 22 91:22	39:24	discussions 15:20
146:2, <i>12</i>	depopulate 56:6	93:12 97:1 98:19,	development	35:23 46:25
Del 8:25	depositions 75:24	21 99:9, 13, 16, 21	37:23 38:2, 4	98:18 122:1
delivered 44:24	110:22 121:15, 23	100:1 101:3, 25	device 134:20	discussion's 42:19
demanded 140:11 demanding 141:7	depth 31:8 80:1	102:7, 20, 24	Devil 53:23, 25	disgrace 85: <i>18</i> disguise 121: <i>17</i>
demonstrates	deputies 8:21 9:2 10:25 14:18	103:1, 2, 6 105:15 106:13 107:1, 3, 4,	devised 19:2 devising 16:23	dishonor 85:18
10:17	17:23 18:3, 4	100.15 $107.1, 5, 4,18$ $108:9, 15, 21,$	different 7:17	disincentive 46:9
denial 64:14	20:13 21:8 22:23	25 109:1, 12, 23	13:22 21:14	disinfect 80:23
denied 136:23	24:21 31:6, 24, 25	110:6, 17, 20, 21	52:15 64:6 68:9	dismantled 140:10
deny 109:23	33:3, 4, 10, 20	111:12, 15 112:25	73:2 75:24 97:11	disorganized 38:12
110:1 142:8	34:11, 12, 21 37:9,	113:25 114:10	99:2, 4, 18 118:6,	dispatcher 34:17
	11 38:25 40:4, 11,	115:22 116:5	7 123:18 129:5	display 38:9
DEPARTMENT				
1:9 8:18, 22 9:20	13, 16, 18, 20, 22	117: <i>1</i> 8 118: <i>3</i>	difficult 67:3	disproportionate

٦

48:16	20:11	effects 10:22	73:2	eradicated 10:14
disregard 137:10	doubt 10:17, 23	effectuate 78:12	ends 105:1,7	11: <i>1</i>
disrespect 116:11	Dowell 3:5 136:5	effort 29:15 32:2	endured 117:2	eradicating 91:21
disrespected	139:13, 16	119:20	endures 136:19	Eric 2:18 94:14,
112:22	downhill 18:6	efforts 33:23	enemy 52:5	<i>18</i> 120:4 143:7
		36:15 51:5 57:11		
disrupt 43:23	dozen 115:13		energetic 32:7	E-r-i-c 94:20
disruption 46:8	dozens 112:19, 20	58:13, 20, 23	energetically	Ernest 3:6
dissuade 116:4, 12	draft 73:3	146: <i>13</i>	45:20 76:21	139:14 140:21, 24
dissuaded 10:1	dramatic 57:19	ego 61:4	energy 24:16	erupt 46:5
116:7, 13	64:16	egregious 82:22	126:4	especially 37:8
distraction 19:17	drawbacks 21:21	eight 13:15 20:11	enforce 6: <i>1</i> 44:9	61:22 92:25
distressed 60:8	55:16 76:23	136:16	85:13 93:4, 15	102:22 103:22
85:19	dreams 30:15	eighth 5:22	146:7	107:9 124:4
distributed 58:4	drive 32:9	146:21	enforceability 93:1	essentially 74:2
district 12:1	drop 57:24 135:1	eight-hour 39:10	enforceable 64:25	77:5 78:6
88:11 89:8, 11	drops 12:4	40:3	92:23 93:6,9	establish 23:22
139:8	drugs 109:20	either 14:19	enforcement 12:7,	117:2 <i>1</i>
divided 130:11	drunk 76:20	21:15 43:23	10 31:18 41:14	established 118:9,
division 13:13, 15,	114:4	46:14 56:18 66:1	44:2 64:21 70:12,	20
17 28:23 29:1, 8,	drunken 113:21	79:22 82:11	20 82:5 88:24	estimation 26:6
14, 15, 16 30:2, 14,	114:3	83:22 87:7 92:15	95:9, 13 96:1	et 22:19 24:6
19 33:2 44:15	dubious 29:12	107:16 114:24	97:25 98:5, 22	65:5 78:17 92:19
49:15 79:1	duces 145:25	128:3	101:3 102:12, 18	ethical 41:15
116:15 129:18	146:7	election 5:20, 21	103:3, 7 104:4, 10	102:7 103:12, 13
divisions 30:20	due 75:24	element 23:17	105:1, 3, 6, 8, 12,	108:24
79:20 129:1, 2	dues 131:20	elements 14:24	23 107:21 110:2,	ethics 38:15
divisive 130:2	dug 116:10	15:11 18:14	<i>13</i> 111: <i>10</i> 112:5	111:7
DNA 131:21	duly-issued 146:9	23:16 35:8, 10	117:2 119:9	evaluate 57:2
doctrine 44:23	dumb 42:15	elicit 19:21	120:18 125:1	109:15
document 31:11	50:22 69:10	eliminate 42:21	126:13 128:12	evaluated 61:2
39:5, 23 43:17	duped 128:9	52:7 120:18	120:13 120:12	evaluation 46:13,
49:12 58:5, 6, 17	duration 135:3	eliminating 43:7	132:11, 13 139:5	<i>21</i> 47:7 64: <i>14</i>
59:6 72:22 92:8,	duties 79:21	elimination 57:4	140:5, 17 141:21,	event 72:8 106:13
9	duty 42:17	emasculated 53:24	25 146:8	everybody 25:20
documentation	dying 61:15	embarrassed	enforcing 60:13	35:16 49:14
65:15	uying 01.15	82:19	enhanced 85:3	50:24 60:20 65:3
documents 9:23	< E >	embarrassing	enhancing 74:13	72:1 88:3 109:4
58:3 139:9	earlier 32:6	57:15 83:20	ensues 114:5	124:1, 3
doesn 29:22	43:25 46:4 80:5	embarrassment		Everybody's
30:13	early 5:19 37:9	131: <i>14</i>	ensure 13:10 37:3 44:12, 23	24:24 29:11
	117:9 139:17	embedded 134:23	47:11 56:25	33:12 44:15
dog-and-pony 141:25	ears 50:20	emboldened 144:6		
			ensuring 21:17	everyone's 69:14
dogs 85:7	East 48:1 125:7,	emboldens 109:7	45:22	evidence 10:16
doing 17:22 20:4	8, 10 143:4	145:12	entail 23:18	52:22 64:25 65:1
23:10 37:7 40:1,	easy 67:5 92:5	emotional 31:15	entering 9:22	103:15, 24 105:25
17,20 47:1 52:12	122:12	employed 87:17	entire 30:20 44:5	106:5 114:23
59:25 76:21	eating 134:9	employee 35:20,	81:9 102:17	130:16 131:21
78:25 85:22	effect 9:23 10:24	21 56:16 66:22	117:16 124:24	146: <i>16</i>
92:16 93:6 108:4	28:3 31:22 52:23	employees 145:9	entitled 31:16	Exact 81:6
114:25 115:17	54:24 56:5, 19	employee's 37:24	46:11 49:13 58:5	exactly 69:10
120:14 125:12	57:23 102:11	employment	59:5 76:22	100:16 145:2
126:6 133:21	104:23 106:22	64:15 122:9, 14	entity 36:14	exaggerating
142:1, 14	107:3	131:24	entrenched 51:11	61:23
dollars 104:24	effective 10:13, 14,	en 67:21	environment 109:8	example 42:2
Domata 141:2	18, 21 14:14	enabled 14:20	environments	44:20 115:9
domestic 98:5	18:15 29:15	enables 16:7	132:23	118: <i>10</i> 119: <i>14</i>
don 29:23	34:10 69:1 74:4	encounter 52:5	equal 130:22	121:10 122:23
doors 126:9	78:12 80:2 83:12	encourage 55:24	equally 74:4	139:21
dormant 29:3	effectively 24:3	endeavor 11:21	equate 108:22	examples 58:20
	0.6 77.01 70.02	and 57.7 (0.24	eradicate 9:7	00.00 100.6
double-spaced	29:6 77:21 78:23	ended 57:7 60:24		82:22 128:6
double-spaced	29:0 17:21 18:23	ended 57:7 60:24	70:8 76:12	82:22 128:0

excellent 40:14	existing 74:12	facilities 9:23	76:9 97:17	17:18, 24 22:1
68:13 94:4	139:21	54:16 101:21	126:14	23:17, 21, 24 25:7,
excessive 99:23	expect 23:24	112:16	feel 19:7 20:9	25 37:5 38:14, 16
102:15	62:16 83:7	facility 54:16	22:16 23:6 32:17	43:7 48:6 52:3
exciting 48:19	129:16	122:22 129:15	56:22 59:14	54:15 58:14
				63:17 71:11 73:1
exclude 92:19	expectation 37:15	facing 55:25 56:1	60:10 76:8, 16	
excluded 23:5	63:4 117:22	fact 8:15 14:12	81:1 98:15	82:25 85:20 86:2
107:6	expectations	16:9 26:17, 20	107:14 108:3	94:9 95:12, 14
exclusion 92:11	63:20 118:9	32:15 62:20	121:16 127:11	96:9, 11 120:24
exclusionary	121:8	87:12 92:18	128:23	133:18 138:2
10:11, 15 70:9	expected 9:17	106:8 116:13	feeling 53:20	140:25
71:16 72:3, 12	62:17 77:17	145:1	feels 60:19	first-ever 17:6
74:19 75:19 77:6	119:6, 18	factor 32:16	feet 57:1	fit 79:6
exclusive 37:12	expecting 33:7	48:24 76:12	fellow 20:1 77:10	five 20:2 22:1
64:18 65:2	64:12	92:21	felony 141:10	30:16 86:3, 7
exclusiveness	experience 12:6	factored 91:19, 25	felt 14:16 38:17	138:5,9
15:25 21:22	14:25 17:8 19:11	factors 92:20	47:25 62:14	five-and-a-half
exclusivity 22:17	57:6 94:3 100:1	facts 8:17	115:5 124:17	134:3
excruciating 61:21	104:6 128:24	failed 49:21 73:5,	female 131:22	five-minute 20:25
excuse 66:6	experienced 58:20	6 75:9	females 139:2	fix 58:10 120:12
93:20 111:17	experiencing 38:4	Failing 34:6 41:2	fester 117:23	fixed 127:7, 9
133:11	experimenting	46:24 92:25	124:6	134:24
excused 11:7	56:15	failings 34:18	fide 112:3	flash 26:19 50:9
excuses 78:17	expert 68:22	failure 104:19, 20	fiduciaries 141:12	flashlights 123:13
ex-deputies 145:1	explain 9:11	fair 51:23 74:6	field 80:6 95:19,	flat 72:10
Executioner	18:22 34:4 76:17	fairly 17:11 42:2	22 137:8	Fleet 123:11
143:16	100:19 104:8	69:6 130:2 <i>1</i>	fight 24:21 34:15	flipping 52:16
Executioners	105:19 108:19	fairness 34:11	76:19 114:5	floor 112:22
70:13 71:5, 7, 8	explained 23:11	fairy 9:4 42:7	122:13 145:17	120:21 121:11
82:25 111:9	explanation 76:23	faith 69:8	figure 24:2 34:1	129:16
122:23 138:17	90:5 119:11	faithful 84:13	91:16, 23 134:4	flourish 14:20
EXECUTIVE	explanations	fall 50:20	143:2	flouted 146:6
1:12 2:2, 17 6:19	78:19 82:11	falling 92:5	figured 57:25	flowers 31:2
20:1 29:10 46:20	exposing 138:11	false 115:7	134:25	focus 55:12 66:1
97:24	express 21:9	falsifying 134:6	file 135:16, 17	98:19 99:17
executive-level	49:22 58:3	familiar 20:18	filed 141:14	112:8 125:16
				focused 43:7
117:17	146:17	36:22 79:19	finalized 39:5	
executives 20:13	expressed 49:21	100:18	finally 9:19 135:4	folks 112:24
118:18 124:19, 20	expressions 91:17	families 58:1	financial 100:8	follow 54:4
127:25 128:3, 11	extent 32:12	142:21	find 30:19 31:1	119:19 137:18
executive's 23:2	45:19 49:19	family 94:10	40:21 74:10	followed 47:11
124:15	55:24 56:8 60:20	far 10:16 35:9	75:19 103:17	62:16, 17 133:18,
exercises 9:20	86: <i>19</i> , <i>25</i> 87: <i>13</i> ,	43:11 50:25	143:5, 6	19 136:4, 5
exert 103:14	16	55:22 99:2, 5	finder 116:13	139:13, 14 140:21
exhibit 59:4	extra 15:7	133:5	finding 74:14	142:5, 20
Exhibits 2:19	extreme 144:7	fashion 114:8	findings 113:13	followup 128:22
exist 8:22 9:5	extremely 85:19	fast 48:18, 20	114:13, 15	foot 33:7
10:21 45:4 50:17	89: <i>3</i> 123:2 <i>1</i>	faster 48:13	fine 6:21, 23 31:7	footnote 10:8
98:22 105:15	144:3, 9	fault 18:7 24:24	35:16 56:24 79:8	force 41:16 60:16
109:3, 5, 23	eye 49:19	favorably 100:25	81:8 84:12	95:21 99:23
120:25 138:18, 22	eye-to-eye 34:7	favorite 50:1	finer 80:20	102:15 119:22, 23
existed 99:9		FBI 97:20 129:6	finest 70:20	forced 52:9
existence 43:23	< F >	fear 48:9 136:18	finish 5:18	forces 36:18
75:20 90:6	fabricated 103:23	fears 9:14	fire 57:1 115:18	foregoing 147:12
102:11, 20 104:25	fabulous 135:2	feature 16:7, 12	123:11 123:11	foremost 120:24
105:6, 16 107:1, 4	face 21:21 34:23	features 15:24	fired 115:10	foresight 37:24
108:9 110:2	139:22	February 8:15	119:1 121:13	foreword 39:6
118:2 132:10	face-to-face 34:7	10:13 12:20	125:9, 10	Forget 30:18 76:4
140:12	facilitate 19:12	federal 56:25	firmly 115:16	forgotten 45:10
existent 46:17	facilitating 19:11	57:3, 16 68:23	first 4:4 5:4	forking 90:10
existential 139:22	facilitator 40:10		9:17 11:6 13:3	
	•			

ſ

form 21:4 43:21	116:5 119:9	138:16	63:3, 5, 15, 24, 25	ground 14:21
format 92:16	123:1 132:21, 24,	giant 18:1 83:16	64:1, 3, 4, 5, 6	47:3 114:9
formed 61:18	25 134:2 141:4	GIGGANS 1:15	67:9, 11 71:10	grounds 123:11
former 8:25	143:11, 16	2:7 4:15, 16 7:8	75:7 77:20, 24	group 16:2 17:12
18:18 20:1 33:25	gang/clique 42:22	8:1 19:18 80:10,	78:20 79:2, 25	21:23 22:17
60:9, 10, 19	GANGS 1:9 4:4	14 81:1 82:4, 14	81:3, 4, 23 90:19	27:10 28:23
108:14, 16 122:7	8:17, 22 9:3, 7, 17,	84:3, 9, 18 93:24	91:2 93:12 97:12	29:10 32:24
128:8 130:6	19, 25 10:2, 10, 15,	94:8 127:4	100:24 101:24	33:17 36:16
former-retired	21 15:18 16:16	128:22 130:12	103:14, 15 104:22	40:18 42:11
30:18	33:4 34:9 39:17	132:3 133:13	108:7, 8 109:15,	49:25 50:13, 18,
forms 91:19	43:7 57:4 64:21	Giggans's 139:19	20 115:9, 10, 17	21 51:5, 12 53:21
formulating 24:14	70:9 73:24 77:2,	give 5:13 8:16	117:22 122:5,9	61:17 63:18 65:1
forth 58:9 59:9	6 78:4, 13 82:5	12:25 19:7 20:7	123:3, 6 124:6, 8	70:13 72:22
78:7 86:12	86:19 88:25	39:19 52:21	125:3, 4, 7, 12	74:10 75:17 86:1
forward 10:4	91:22 98:19, 21,	62:15 67:10	126:3, 11 127:12	90:5 100:23
128:17	22 99:4, 9, 16	75:13 80:12	129:16, 24 130:11,	107:7 112:16
foster 109:8	101:3, 4 102:12,	89:10 94:14	25 131:19, 20, 22,	123:4 133:4
found 67:7 86:22	20 103:2 104:4,	101:1 106:3	23 132:1 133:15,	141:1
four 7:3 15:6	10 105:1, 6, 12, 15	118:10 122:3	16,23 134:16	groups 15:22
19:5 20: <i>1</i> 25:25	106:13 107:1,4	130:22	135:3, 6 139:4	16:6, 17 19:12
27:14 42:10 53:3	108:9, 15, 21, 25	given 9:18 30:5	141:16 143:4	20:16 23:1 25:24
59:14	109:1, 23 110:2, 6,	69:23	144:25 145:18	28:19 30:10
Fourth 135:25	<i>13</i> , <i>21</i> 111: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i> ,	gives 98:4 109:7,	Gonzalo 138:5, 7	31:21 37:12 40:1
frequent 79:2	15, 17, 21 112:4	16 122:17	Good 11:5 21:10,	44:5 46:16 51:12
frequently 24:17	115:22 117:2, 18	giving 39:9 64:11	22, 23 23:12	54:12 57:19, 23
54:11	118:3 119:12	115:7 126:24	26:15 31:19 32:7	60:22 61:7 64:18
Friday 6:14	120:9, 18 122:1,	glad 34:14 138:6	33:12 45:10	66:5, 14 75:16, 17
frivolities 143:6	21 125:1, 16	glump 93:5	55:25 56:5, 23	76:13 86:20
front 24:20 25:3	126:13 127:22	go 5:16 7:21	57:10, 25 63:19	92:11 102:2
51:3 63:11	130:15, 16 131:14	13:21 19:17	69:2, 8 76:19, 22	125:17 129:24
142:13, 22 frustration 81:19	132:11, 13, 15, 22 140:12 144:5, 25	27:20 30:15 34:3 39:4 41:23 46:11	84:5, <i>13</i> 90: <i>19</i> 95: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> 98: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i>	growth 38:4 Guardado 143:15
full 8:8 11:2	140.12 144.5, 25	52:3, 17 55:21	108:3, 20 109:20	guardian 103:4
61:4 63:24 76:14	gangster 112:18	59:17 67:24 80:8,	119:14 122:15, 16	guardians 83:21
109:19	garbage 63:24	12 81:23 93:4, 12	138:1 146:17	guarding 53:2
full-time 12:13	GARCIA 1:19	104:13 107:13, 16	gosh 28:11 63:14	guess 35:9 38:3
fund 143:6	2:10 4:10, 11	108:4, 8 116:19	79:14	54:8 71:2 76:12
fundamental 56:7	general 9:22 14:9	118:16, 23 119:24	gotten 21:13 31:9	81:18 86:9
funding 142:25	15:10 20:7 38:1	120:2 121:3	37:2	108:22 122:4
143:18, 19 144:12	48:24 56:4 62:18	123:18 124:12, 22	GP 56:4	144:2
funny 127:20	93:3 125:18	128:7 129:20	graduates 52:3	guidance 75:9
further 9:24	141:15	130:10 131:3	granted 136:25	Guide 2:20 38:6,
71:18 94:3 116:5,	generally 68:7	134:13, 15, 23	graphics 16:8	12, 19 39:16
8 147:14	140:17	135:16 136:8	gratitude 146:18	guidebook 40:8
future 20:4 60:25	generation 125:4	137:3, 25 139:15	great 35:17	gun 42:15
61:10 71:19	Gennaco 66:23	140:23	47:20 79:8	guy 14:1 18:6
	gentleman 94:8	goal 26:5 70:6, 8	107:19 119:25	26:22 30:19 33:7
$\langle \mathbf{G} \rangle$	gently 50:16	goes 33:12 49:16,	124:22	36:9 42: <i>17</i> 121:20
gall 25:17 gang 8:18, 23	genuine 21:6 genuinely 30:8	<i>17</i> 72: <i>4</i> 82: <i>18</i> 104: <i>3</i> 106:2 <i>3</i>	greater 75:25 76:1 80:1 86:19	guys 41:21 52:17
gang 8.18, 25 44:2 52:7 57:19	76:20	1104.3 100.23	103:25 106:14	56 :12 60:11
64: <i>1</i> 71: <i>16</i> 86: <i>13</i> ,	get-go 106:11	131:16	greatest 12:25	75:10 79:8 134:6,
15 88:15 95:20	getting 24:10	going 4:2, 3 5:3,	greatly 26:22	10, 11 135:11
99:14, 18, 21	30:5 33:3 44:17,	22, 25 6:3 8:9, 13	146:19	139:10
100:2, 8, 9 101:25	22, 24 45:2, 7	15:4 16:1 20:25	green 20:23	gym 54:2 144:16
102:7, 24 103:1, 7	62:21 63:6, 23	22:7 24:4 25:21	grief 45:10	
105:23 106:3	67:12 69:9 73:24	27:1 28:8, 16	grievable 124:19	< H >
107:5, 25 109:12	80:6 83:3 100:14	29:2 37:10 38:11,	Grim 25:14	habits 81:10
110:17 111:9, 15,	124:3 131:5	21 47:11, 17 49:1,	53:20 83:1 111:9	HAGANS 1:17
25 112:5, 25	134:5 136:22	8, 22 51:4 52:19	grizzly 21:15 32:8	2:8
113:25 114:11		54:8 56:10, 12		hairs 93:2
		'		'

half 13:18 53:18	47:14	hesitant 89:12	61:10	106:7 123:10
68:14 79:25	heading 27:12	hesitation 85:18	horrific 56:17	128:15
half-a-dozen	headquarters	hey 26:20 28:2	horrifying 82:22	impacts 81:16
115:13	77:17	36:10, 11 93:11	hot 69:9	102:16 104:24
hall 112:9	heads 43:11	101:25 107:20	hour 120:22	impair 74:8
halt 43:23	healthier 81:15, 17	109:11 131:4	hours 7:4 90:18	impairments 33:1
hammer-type	hear 25:16 32:11,	Hi 91:11 127:5	136:17	impatient 31:7
68:12	12 33:5 41:1	130:5 136:9	house 8:8 67:11	impeaching 82:7
hampered 102:24	54:25 61:21 65:7,	Hicks 4:17, 18	huge 81:10	89:17, 22 90:8
hand 11:11 58:22	11 66:4 68:2	hide 101:13	104:14 122:8	impedes 84:15
94:21 139:9	87:24 118:17	hideous 50:2	128:15	implement 15:8
handed 39:11	127:12 133:16, 23	hiding 130:15	humanity 53:2	implemented
handle 108:8	138:6	high 84:11	humility 41:19, 24	74:23
handling 6:12	heard 6:3, 8	129:21 136:15	hundreds 14:22	implicated 68:2
handpicked	16:16, 19, 21	137:9, 12, 13	30:21 52:25	implore 75:7, 21
124: <i>18</i>	21:18 31:19	higher 66:14	66:13	imploring 49:24
	32:25 53:20 90:4	104:11 124:12	Huntsman 9:22	51:15 63:17 71:4
hand-picked 67:20				
hands 61:15	94:9 100:11, 17,	higher-crime	17:4 92:13	important 25:7
85:18	21 117:17 120:3	48:14	hurt 145:10	29:18 33:11
Hang 7:1	122:4 128:24	higher-ups 120:7	hurtful 61:21	42:20, 24 43:1
hanging 144:16	130:24 133:1	highest 12:15	hypothetical	47:15 78:2 80:17
HANS 1:16 2:11	144:23	67:15 70:5	26:11 75:12	84:9 103:6,9
91:10	HEARING 1:9	highest-ranking		106:25 110:12
happen 27:7	4:3 5:18, 23 7:16	26:1	<i></i>	111:1, 2, 8, 13, 14,
48:2 <i>1</i> 55:2 <i>4</i> 63: <i>3</i>	8:2, 13, 20 9:16,	highlight 8:15	idea 13:22 15:5	18 114:17 120:17
78:4 83:7 87:25	18 10:17 11:20	highly 47:18 60:8	21:9 23:14 44:16	125:15, 18, 20, 25
90:7 120:13	28:17 58:14	92:10 97:25	46:15 56:15	126:9 127:23
happened 40:12	90:24 105:13	highly-regarded	74:13 76:7	impose 100:8
68:13 87:9	117:7 125:21, 22	52:4	108:20 126:6	impression 75:6
112:16 116:19	136:23 146:21, 24	hill 109:2	130:19	81:12
128:7 138:24	147:10	historical 87:12	ideal 73:4	Inappropriate
happening 5:19	hearings 5:12, 15	history 9:7 18:15	idealistic 60:13	16:11 28:19
24:7 80:22	6:7 8:19 61:18	44:21 45:2 53:23	ideas 44:8 61:10	inaudible 17:18
happens 7:25	118:16 125:21	90:17 103:11	120:16, 19	22:17, 21 54:17
15:18 42:12	142:1 145:14	hits 12:25	identified 8:23	55:5 65:9, 12
51:15 68:21	146:15	hold 7:12 19:16	15:3 27:9 74:20	74:17 94:10
110:19	heart 36:25		99:6 115:4	incentives 41:8
		45:13, 17 63:5		
happily 62:1	heartfelt 51:14	84:11 125:6	identify 49:9	incidents 40:13
harass 135:2	heck 75:17 76:10	holding 8:19	115:5	include 64:11, 13,
142:17	he'd 13:20 25:11	62:21 63:1 78:5	identifying 70:25	14, 15 82:12
harassed 142:20	47:21	139:7 145: <i>13</i>	identity 101:13	140:15
hard 7:2 11:19	held 6:2 12:15	holy 79:24	ignorant 21:6	included 100:23
14:17	44:3 46:21 57:1	home 55:22	ignored 117:10	includes 39:16
harder 41:6	96:18 97:11	128:19 142:10	ill 47:16	including 8:24
harm 103:25	139:6	homeless 134:1, 3	ill-advised 42:4	33:3
104:1 122:19	help 29:11 42:21	honest 20:2	66:21	incoming 56:9
harming 123:3, 15	50:14 61:10, 11,	24:12 46:24	illegal 42:5 92:11,	inconsequential
HARRIS 1:18	24 62:3 63:10	128:5	18 93:17 102:15	69:7
2:9 4:12, 13 7:1,	69:12 83:4	honestly 112:17	106:8, 10 109:13	inconsistent 9:9,
7,21 8:10 137:17	135:15 139:4	125:4 143:25	131:15	12
Harry 40:11	140:15	honesty 41:16	imaginable 137:11	incorrect 88:3, 4
harsh 119:3	helped 73:14	honor 14:6	imagine 51:6	incorruptible
hate 24:23	139:10	honey 61:4	immediate 68:20	60: <i>14</i>
hats 97:11	helpful 6:16	hope 42:19 50:22	113:14 114:14	increase 92:17
Hatter 88:13, 14	57:15	75:8 84: <i>1</i>	immediately	144:12, 13
			-	
hawking 83:10	helping 34:24	hoped 20:23	25:25 118:8	increases 143:18
Hawthorne 28:3	36:15	hopeful 44:19	immoral 109:13	increasing 142:24
hay 26:16	hereunto 147:17	hopefully 34:15	impact 28:8	increasingly 37:7
head 23:2, 25	hero 40:23 83:6	hopes 30:15	43:23 46:1 50:21	incredible 53:12
00 14 00 00				
28:14 30:22	heroes 83:5	hoping 30:11	81:2 82:5 84:15 102:21 105:16	incredibly 62:1

in-custody 134: <i>18</i>	34:5	intrigues 48:12	119:9 122:9, 10,	judgment 40:13
indicated 25:5	inroads 120:14	introspection 19:3	17 124:5 125:1	94:3
indicia 111:24	insecurity 48:23	introspective 20:3	138:4	juggling 24:25
indignant 115:15	inside 87:24	invented 53:21	issues 14:17	July 35:12 36:2
indiscernible	insightful 69:23	investigable 65:1	35:20 41:14 58:4	Jump 43:24 44:1,
140:15	inspector 9:22	investigate 9:19	73:1 98:1	4, 8 53:4 67:4
individual 61:8	125:17 141:15	10:2 111:3, 21	it'd 6:15	84:24 85:6, 17, 23
89:9	inspired 18:9	115:22	item 5:8	86:4, 13 87:5, 9,
individuals 8:17,	instance 29:14	investigated 87:10	it'll 34:21	19 114:6, 8 138:7,
23 58:21	instances 65:24	99:2 <i>1</i> 110:6	its 10:25 39:6	8 139:16
infamy 6:10	insubordination	investigating 9:25	49:2 57:1 60:10	jumped 112:17
infect 106:17	72:4	36:8 110: <i>13</i>	65:1 98:16	138:9
influence 103:15	insufficiently	111:10, 12, 14, 16	108:20 130:20	jumping 114:6
123:7	18:20 60:21	112:4 116:5, 8		junctions 52:12
influences 107:11	insult 143:19	investigation 21:1	< J >	juncture 49:6
influential 123:2	integrity 51:18	24:13 27:8 33:16	jacked 51:20	67:17 89:11
inform 6:17	intended 19:3	34:5 42:1 85:2	Jacqueline 3:4	jurisdiction 48:15
93:13	intent 93:8 111:3	105:2, 7, 17	133:19 136:5	justice 105:1,7
Information 2:21	interactions 108:2	106:10, 18, 19	138: <i>1</i>	128:9 135:16
16:6 33:10 52:20	interest 27:3	110:6 111:5, 19	Jail 13:4 39:7	justification 18:22
58:5 82:7 85:1,	129:25 130:1	112:10 113:22	52:14, 18, 24 53:1	120:2
23 86:12 87:3 89:11, 18, 22 90:8,	interested 25:16 30:8 59:18 61:5	115:7 116:2, 6, 9	54:10, 15, 16 60:16 97:6	justified 18: <i>3</i> 29:4 33:9
<i>15</i> 92:17 137:18		121:10, 13 141:16		
13 92:17 137:18	126:5 147:15 interesting 73:21	investigations 110:18 111:1	112:15 136:11 jailing 69:5	justifying 119:21
informs 58:10	interests 70:15	121:24	jails 22:18 52:3	< K >
infusion 67:15	interim 13:19	Investigative	54:24 55:4, 11	keen 37:24
Ingebretsen 78:22	Internal 97:3	79:22 112:4	112:25	keep 50:9 63:11
INGRID 1:20 2:4	111:5 113:15	investigator	JAMES 1:18 2:9	104:22 124:22, 25
inherited 13:25	116:1 121:13, 24	110:10 111:8	jaundiced 53:1	125:2 130:10
inhibit 9:24	124:14 131:3	112:11 116:12	jiving 142:1	131:5 134:11
inimical 60:18	141:16, 23	investigators	job 60:15 64:6	144:24, 25 145:5
70:14	international 98:4	87:14 111:20, 24	69:3, 4, 9 79:3	keeping 25:3
initial 8:14 17:1	interpret 84:14	113: <i>3</i> 115:21	95:16 122:17	51:3 55:25
initially 19:24	interrupted 40:9	invited 25:11	jocks 129:23	114:25 120:21
20:11 24:10	interview 20:13,	involve 46:8	Joe 40:11	Kelly 2:12
33:24 49:13	25 22:11 23:14	involved 5:20	John 13:19 38:14,	Kendall 2:12
50:23 73:3	27:21 30:16, 17	8:18 15:17 16:22	20 39:11 78:21	KENNEDY 1:13
initiated 17:17	33:2 35:14, 17	21:11 29:15	JOHNSON 1:16	2:3 4:2, 21, 22, 25
Initiative 2:20	36:16 76:7 92:12	33:19 45:25 57:9	2:11 4:19, 20	5:9, 22 6:5, 7, 19,
28:1, 12 38:12	115: <i>1</i>	76:17 89:9 93:16	11:23, 25 91:11	22, 24 7:5, 10, 12
46: <i>1</i> injury 134:24	interviewed 27:5, 17 29:9 30:10	99:18 113:25 116:18	join 14:18 16:4	8:7, 13 11:4, 8, 11,
ink 100:18 101:1	36:3 56:22 60:1	involvement 17: <i>1</i>	22:16 25:11 36:17 64:18	16, 18, 24 60:2 62:9 64:21 65:12
104:18 110:24	interviewee 19:25	22:12, 21 33:15	71:16 72:11 77:1	69:14, 16, 20 75:5
Inmate 13:2	interviewees 19:23	involves 16:8	86:23 102:6	82:2, 5 84:2, 23
131:22	interviewer 19:3,	Inzunza 138:5, 7	joined 8:7 11:23	85:3, 6, 10, 14, 16,
inmates 53:2	23, 25	IRMA 1:17 2:8	99:11 145:2	21 86:4, 7, 15
inner-city 56:12	interviewing 37:9	62:10 69:16	joining 72:10	87:4, 18 88:2, 6,
innocent 103:25	92:15	irritated 114:5	77:5	11, 14, 19, 23
138:16	interviews 17:23	isolated 106:13	joke 24:1 107:24	89:17, 20 90:2, 13,
innocently 25:15	27:8, 13, 14, 16, 18	issue 15:10, 17	jokes 47:19 130:4	18, 23 91:5, 8
innocuous 75:18	29:1 30:12 31:24	17:7 18:20 19:4	Jonathan 144:18	93:19, 23 94:5, 7,
76:18	35:24 36:1 47:24	23:23, 24 24:19,	Joseph 136:9	11, 13, 16, 19, 21
inordinate 58:2	114:20, 21	23 27:17 31:15	JP 7:6, 12 8:9	95: <i>1</i> 127: <i>1</i>
59:20	intimidate 142:12,	34:1, 13, 20 43:13	Jr 139:18	130:13 132:7, 18
inordinately 24:21	17	56:1 57:17 58:10,	judge 7:17 22:3	133:9, 14, 24
input 30:6 50:2	intimidation 10:3	13, 24 62:2 68:1,	54:10 56:25 57:3	134:14 135:7, 9,
inquiries 17:18	136:19	20 70:2 73:17	82:17 88:12	13, 19, 21 136:2, 7
inquisition 21:2	intoxicated 114:4	75:23 77:23	judges 141:2, 8, 11	137:12, 15, 20, 22,
		78:11 80:4 117:7		25 139:12, 15

	140:20, 23 142:4	83:11, 18 84:23	45:6 48:1 70:17	lawyers 80:19	47:3 83:25 89:9
					1
	Kennedy's 16:15	88:1, 4, 17, 19, 22	137:14 141:2, 4, 5,	leader 23:22 24:8,	levels 70:5 123:18
kick63:21114:94.5.20,23100:21lack24.433:1139:23Herenges91:25kidding91:324.25103:6,1192:2579:640:2150:12,1516:10:10:1516:10:14:1216:10:14:14:1216:10:14:14:1416:10:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:1416:10:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:	139:19	89:5, 10, 14, 23	17,23 142:19	16 25:4 26:9	leverage 91:17, 23
	kept 33:23 134:4	90:13 93:2 99:2,	143:4, 14	109:2 131:18	92:2
	kick 63:21 114:9	4, 5, 20, 23 100:21	lack 24:4 33:1	139:23	leverages 91:25
	kid 42:7 83:6	101:19 102:2, 7, 9,	45:21 62:22	leaders 23:24	
	kidding 91:3		69:25 79:6	40:21 50:12, 15	-
kill136:20137:410108:10, 6, 2317:2040:141824:927:2Ifed103:23115:7killer14:12, 17, 1911:24110:17111:6, 7116;4, 3100:24105:24105:24144:344:645:2046:2414:812:14kind21:1126:24114:3, 11, 201ap1ap22:265:770:475:1710:23115:17115:17116:1, 3, 9LAPD56:2365:770:475:1720:1688:1667:15, 10, 14124:2164:1167:2469:29118:6, 10, 13, 14128:1851:1797:2498:1610:23115:171124:1273:2778:17, 221:9, 15, 21, 23112:3, 2710:4910:8:15, 2133:2034:2233:2034:2297:1110:5912:9, 15, 21, 231ark32:1611:8, 8119:16, 1748:2339:2540:2110:6110:2412:5, 10, 14, 18, 121ark32:1611:8, 21:1912:19, 1711:2211:1912:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1911:1411:1211:1911:1211:1911:1911:1211:1911:1211:1911:1211:1911:1211:1111:1211:1111:1111:1111:1111:1111:1111:1111:1111:1111:11<				-	
					1
					1
					1
	-				1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					1
					1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
97:11105:9120:4, 11, 13, 24large-scale68:8109:9117:438:2339:2540:2107:16108:25121:9, 15, 21, 23lark32:16118:8119:16, 1749:1767:20109:18110:24122:5, 10, 14, 18,Larty82:5121:19124:2, 3, 8,68:13, 17117:11124:1131:8, 919, 20, 24123:5,LASD2:17, 18, 21,9131:10, 11127:25135:313, 17, 24, 25239.8, 22, 23140:10144:20life32:1746:8,140:22142:5, 731125:2, 7, 8, 10, 22,16:1816:1893:20laren20:18116:4140:22142:5, 721126:4, 7, 6,142:21, 24143:1,774:2, 1338:1light16:1420:2353:2458:166, 18, 21, 24130:7,lase45:21learned76:11line18:542:1767:21, 2278:2510, 18, 19, 20lase45:21learned77:3, 685:10104:12139:11128:2131:6, 9, 15, 25Law9:15126:9learning23:13104:12139:11128:2131:6, 9, 15, 25Law9:15126:9learning23:13104:12139:11128:2131:6, 9, 15, 25Law9:15126:9learning23:13104:12139:11128:220:55135:31135:3137.710:6:1364:21learning <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>					1
					1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			0		1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				-	1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					1
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
					1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
			16:18 23:20 37:1		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		23 126:8 127:20,			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		8, 12, 20, 25 129:5,	8, 9, 10, 18, 19	48:18, 20	liked 92:24
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		6, 18, 21, 24 130:7,	lass 45:21	learned 76:11	line 18:5 42:17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	67:21, 22 78:25	10, 18, 19, 20	lasted 144:5	77:3,6 85:20	43:7 85:10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	128:2	131:6, 9, 15, 25	Law 9:15 12:6,9	learning 23:13	104:12 139:11
15:1819:2320:5, 135:3135:3137:7 138:8, 12, 18, 19, 23:370:12, 2082:5 83:21135:17 141:13, 15101:8102:6 listed23:324:5, 7 25:1323:139:2140:13 25:1385:1388:24 91:16, 19, 23, 24leaving97:9 15:14listed43:17 11:1125:1327:10, 19 28:3, 12, 16144:25145:6 91:16, 19, 23, 24left13:2114:1 11:121listed43:17 11:1128:3, 12, 1629:2, 92:2, 91:15146:18 89:1391:16, 19, 23, 24 92:14left13:2114:1 11:11listening133:24 11:14:132:9, 13, 15 33:1069:1083:13 89:5, 21 99:1596:397:3, 7 96:3littlimin14:20 11:13:2142:14 44:1148:10, 22, 29:729:7 49:557:8 77:825105:2, 6, 8, 11, 10:3, 7leg134:23 11:16, legallittli17:16 18:344:11 44:1148:10, 22, 22:729:7 65:17, 18, 1967:7 65:78 65:17, 18, 1967:7 65:214, 22107:21 11:25123:10 13:15, 711:23:14 38:11131:24 23:1253:11 55:16, 17, 55:16, 17, 55:16, 17, 66:27130:3, 8, 9133:7 133:7126:13 126:13128:11 128:11 129:11 129:128legality87:13 89:13 89:2385:24 89:2389:23 96:1596:10:16 89:2060:3, 22 67:7, 91, 0, 24130:3, 8, 9133:7 133:18, 21, 25141:21 143:12129:14 131:24111:24 <b< td=""><td>know 5:11 6:16,</td><td>132:1, 2, 19, 23</td><td>31:18 41:14 44:9,</td><td>24:15 29:4 30:9</td><td>lionizing 41:21</td></b<>	know 5:11 6:16,	132:1, 2, 19, 23	31:18 41:14 44:9,	24:15 29:4 30:9	lionizing 41:21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 7:13, 22 8:11	133:1,7 134:4,7	10 60:13 64:21	leave 31:21 60:10	list 35:15 58:17
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15:18 19:23 20:5,	135:3 137:7	70:12, 20 82:5	135:17	101:8 102:6
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	16,21 21:20 22:2	138:8, 12, 18, 19,	83:21 84:13, 15	leaves 93:25	listed 43:17
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	23:3 24:5, 7		85:13 88:24	leaving 97:9	listen 22:3 34:14
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	25:13 27:10, 19	144:25 145:6	91:16, 19, 23, 24	left 13:21 14:1	listening 133:24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					1
34:8, 9 $35:15$ knowingly $53:12$ $101:3$ $102:11, 18$ $104:1$ $134:21$ litigation $73:23$ $42:14$ $43:16, 18$ knowledge $20:17$ $103:3, 7$ $104:4, 10, 10, 103:3, 7$ $104:1$ $134:21$ litigation $73:23$ $44:11$ $48:10, 22, 29:7$ $29:7$ $49:5$ $57:8$ 25 $105:2, 6, 8, 11, 102, 121$ $102:22$ $20:22$ $26:20$ 25 $49:11$ $50:22$ $65:17, 18, 19$ $67:7$ $14, 22$ $107:21$ $123:10$ $131:5, 7$ $31:12$ $33:14$ $51:9$ $52:13, 15$ $87:23$ $90:6$ $99:11$ $110:2, 13$ $111:6, 102:11, 13$ $102:17:11$ $1023:10$ $31:12$ $33:14$ 20 $56:7, 11, 13$ $30:7$ $42:2$ $93:9, 119:9$ $120:18$ $1023:11, 18$ $65:25$ $70:7$ $74:12$ 20 $56:7, 11, 13$ $30:7$ $42:2$ $93:9, 119:9$ $120:18$ $102:13, 18$ $89:23$ $95:24$ $57:8, 12$ $58:18$ 16 $102:23, 110:21$ $122:9, 14$ $125:1$ $102:13, 13$ $96:15$ $99:6$ $101:6$ $60:3, 22$ $61:55$ $130:3, 8, 9$ $133:7$ $126:13, 128:11$ $102:14, 13:12$ $132:4, 17, 134:10$ $112:4:19$ $132:4, 17, 134:10$ $66:7, 9, 10, 24$ $145:16$ $131:24, 132:11, 13$ 11 $124:19$ $132:4, 17, 134:10$ $112:4:19$ $132:4, 17, 134:10$ $69:21, 25, 70:3, 5, 133:18, 21, 25$ $140:5, 16$ $625:10, 12, 48:19$ $114:2:19$ $114:21,$					
42:14 $43:16$, 18 knowledge $20:17$ $103:3, 7$ $104:4, 10, 10, 10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:1$			1 1		1
44:11 $48:10, 22,$ $29:7$ $49:5$ $57:8$ 25 $105:2, 6, 8, 11,$ legal $34:16$ $20:22$ $26:20$ 25 $49:11$ $50:22$ $65:17, 18, 19$ $67:7$ $14, 22$ $107:21$ $123:10$ $131:5, 7$ $31:12$ $33:14$ $51:9$ $52:13, 15$ $87:23$ $90:6$ $99:11$ $110:2, 13$ $111:6,$ legalities $87:3$ $38:11$ $40:5$ $53:23$ $53:11$ $55:16, 17,$ known $26:4, 12$ 10 $112:5$ $117:1$ legality $89:13$ $65:25$ $70:7$ $74:12$ 20 $56:7, 11, 13$ $30:7$ $42:2$ $93:9,$ $119:9$ $120:18$ legally $57:18$ $89:23$ $95:24$ $57:8, 12$ $58:18$ 16 $102:23$ $110:21$ $122:9, 14$ $125:1$ legislation $93:13$ $96:15$ $99:6$ $101:6$ $60:3, 22$ $61:15, 25$ $130:3, 8, 9$ $133:7$ $126:13$ $128:11$ legitimate $24:1,$ $115:15$ $119:3$ $64:12, 19$ $65:25$ knows $51:8$ $88:4$ $129:9$ $130:14$ 11 $124:19$ $132:4, 17$ $134:10$ $66:7, 9, 10, 24$ $145:16$ $131:24$ $132:11, 13$ Lennox $13:2, 3, 5,$ live $6:10$ $8:20$ $67:25$ $68:25$ Krueger $3:2$ $139:5$ $140:5, 16$ 6 $25:10, 12$ $48:19$ living $76:3$ $107:20$ $6, 9, 10, 16, 21, 24$ $134:15$ $135:8, 11,$ $146:8$ $96:$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				8	1
53:1155:16, 17, 20known26:4, 12 30:710112:5117:1 19:9legality89:13 89:1365:2570:774:12 89:232056:7, 11, 13 57:8, 1230:742:293:9, 10:21119:9120:18 122:9, 14legality89:13 126:1389:2395:2457:8, 1258:18 60:3, 2216102:23110:21 130:3, 8, 9132:9, 14122:9, 14125:1 legislationlegislation93:13 96:1599:6101:660:3, 2261:15, 25 64:12, 19130:3, 8, 9133:7 130:3, 8, 9126:13128:11 129:9legitimate24:1, 11115:15119:3 132:4, 1764:12, 1965:25 65:25knows51:888:4 129:9129:9130:1411124:19132:4, 17134:1066:7, 9, 10, 24 67:25145:16131:24132:11, 13 139:5140:5, 16 6625:10, 1248:19 19:0lives142:1969:21, 25 70:3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 16, 21, 24134:15135:8, 11, 134:15146:8 144:1696:22, 25LLP2:13 10cal140:18 10cal72:4 72:474:20, 25 77:2, 11, 2278:8 78:8 <l> 60:260:2104:14 104:14letting29:2 10g10g92:579:7, 2380:23LA9:613:16lawyer6:11level17:21, 22logical17:9, 20</l>				-	1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				-	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,		8.	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$ \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccc$				8	
$ \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
					1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1
77:2, 11, 22 $78:8$ < L > $60:2$ $104:14$ letting $29:2$ log $92:5$ $79:7, 23$ $80:23$ LA $9:6$ $13:16$ lawyer $6:11$ level $17:21, 22$ loglog $21:5$		14, 20, 22 150:5, 0			
79:7, 23 80:23 LA 9:6 13:16 lawyer 6:11 level 17:21, 22 logical 17:9, 20					1
					e
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					e i
	01.0, 15, 22 02.12	11.5 19.5 25.9	137.1,2	10.3 33.2 37:17	Logistics 125.12

long 8:11 12:12	lower 46:13, 21	Maryland 86:10	meetings 5:13, 17	57:21 63:10 92:3
46:6 63:16, 19	64:14 134:21	89:6, 14	140:25	93:10 144:22
77:19 78:9 81:24	loyalty 49:2	mascot 53:24	member 12:14	mentors 37:25
86:21 93:18	Loyola 9:15	54:2	15:25 16:1 25:23	52:4
95:23 96:14 99:8,	LSA 37:1	mass 68:19	26:4, 11 35:14	mere 34:24 36:7
10 103:4 110:16	lucky 62:2	masse 67:21	50:13 51:5 54:11	105:16 106:8
126:20, 24	LUIS 1:19 2:10	massive 67:14, 15	68:5 71:5, 7, 16	merely 21:10
longer 41:6	Luna 3:8 142:6	master 24:6	72:23 74:14, 16,	28:1, 2
46:17 49:25	143:23, 24	material 38:22	18 82:24 83:20	Mes 39:24
50:12 71:21 90:5	lunch 120:22	40:3, 8 41:22	88:21 89:20	Mese 8:25
137:3	lying 41:9	87:10 90:16	99:13 102:23	message 23:22, 24
long-term 68:20	Lynwood 65:21	matter 6:12 7:6,	103:1, 7 105:22	24:10, 11 27:19
Look 31:7 38:19	68:9 88:10	19 24:2 30:13	107:2, 3, 5 109:7,	31:5, 10, 13, 16
47:17 51:19		47:18 55:10 56:2	11, 12 117:18	37:2, 3 41:2 44:4,
106:4 111:15, 24	< M >	61: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> 71: <i>14</i>	127:22 132:22, 24,	7, 13, 17, 19, 22, 23,
124:21 126:19	mackerel 79:24	113:3 126:8	25 143:16	25 45:2, 8, 15
128:10 131:19	mad 61:8	127:14	MEMBERS 1:11	46:19 47:6, 11
134:22	Mafia 141:4	matters 29:13	16:3 21:19, 23	49:11 51:3 62:14,
looked 14:17	main 14:17 47:20	110:7	22:14, 17, 23 30:9	15, 21 63:11
31:7 52:8 77:10	mainstream 45:24	Max 9:22 17:4	36:6 45:4, 5	71:20 118:14
100:25 106:5	major 18:13	92:13	50:11, 21 58:15	126:24 143:10
looking 41:10	majority 45:3	maximize 34:25	71:8 86:1, 22	messages 63:6
61:14 69:10	127:23 128:16, 17	McDonnell 13:22	99:18, 22 100:2, 8,	91:20 128:4
77:23 78:24	makers 116:12, 14	17:4 39:2, 3 74:9	9 101:8, 14	messaging 121:5, 6
80:16 105:13	making 42:19	118:11, 13	107:25 108:14, 20,	messing 124:20
110:18 115:17	44:3 46:23 51:2	mea 66:13	21 112:22 113:25	metal 134:21
120:22 126:7	62:3 69:11 93:10	mean 10:11	114:12 115:5	method 74:12
134:19 135:12	male 139:2	18:19 32:21 36:8	116:5, 18 123:1	86:11
looks 40:23 50:3	man 26:21	37:18 39:7 47:13,	127:16 130:21, 22	methodology
86:24	136:20 137:6	20 54:15 55:10	142:14, 16 143:3	17:13 19:8
LOS 1:5 6:3	manage 77:20	56:14 65:2 67:11,	member's 142:10	Metro 95:21
12:11 79:18 96:7	managed 80:1	<i>13</i> 69:4 70: <i>13</i>	membership 16:2,	mic 61:15 65:12
98:22 104:6	management	72:13 73:16, 17	3 21:6 26:18	microphone 11:19
146:8 147:3	14:19, 21 15:5	75:12 78:8 81:9	57:24 111:15, 25	mics 8:2
loser 44:2	17:20 23:8 41:16	82:24 83:19 86:5	140:15	mid-1980s 53:21
loss 34:10	43:22 46:3, 12	88:9 92:24 99: <i>3</i>	memorializing	middle 107:12
lost 84:12 88:17	48:24 62:23	106:8, 23 109:4,	84:25	136:24
119:8	66: <i>10</i> , <i>16</i> 70: <i>1</i>	18 114:6 116:8	Men's 97:6	Mike 66:23
lot 7:22 14:21	77:12 80:6, 16	123:6 126:18	112:14	military 21:15, 25
19:11 24:25	management's	128:23 129:20	mentality 25:2	50:3 62:15 76:14
26:16 35:19	18:17 24:23	130:9 131:6	mentee 38:3	117:25
48:21 57:10	34:18 67:8	132:19, 23	92:18	military-style
65:17, 18 67:8	manager 18:18	meaning 9:4	mention 25:21	67:25
75:21 81:7 87:3	23:10 60:19, 23	means 28:13	64:16 82:10, 20	Miller 78:25
97:11 107:6	147:7	32:5 52:7 76:2	144:23	million 104:11
110:23 114:21	managerial 66:1	105:12 109:4	mentioned 23:17 59:20 62:12, 22,	142:25 143:7,9 mimia 30:25
119:20, 21 123:8, 22 128:24 120:1	managers 14:22 18:11 21:3 30:20	meant 54: <i>1</i> measure 140:2	25 63:8 72:22	mimic 39:25 41:21 86:18
22 128:24 129:1 132:2 133:8, 17	44:14 46:14	measure 140:2 mechanisms 140:1	82:17 92:8, 12	mind 17:25 32:8
135:2 135:8, 17	49:19 67:13, 16	Media 13:5	82:17 92:8, 12 106:25	108:17 120:21
143:24 144:3, 6	76:3	125:24 146:14	mentor 37:11, 20	mindset 21:21
145:8	manager's 23:2	medical 135:23	42:8, 13 92:15	118:8 120:6
lots 24:25 35:23	managing 34:10	meet 43:10 89:2	mentored 39:22	mine 12:5
108:1 117:15	mandatory 64:17	107:19 127:19	Mentoring 2:20	Mini 39:24
loud 44:4 47:21,	manner 26:13	meeting 7:24	15:6, 8 35:11	minimize 52:9
22	31:3, 17 34:19	17:5 27:16, 23	37:10, 14, 16, 18,	54:12
Louisiana 147:5	35:4 64:7 73:4	28:22 29:9 30:1	23 38:7, 12, 24	minister 39:1
love 60:11, 12, 18	Manual 93:7	33:25 36:17	39:16 40:6, 17	minute 42:25
loved 142:18	March 12:20 17:2	43:12 72:15	42:21 43:5, 15, 18,	94:15
144:18	marginalization	139:8 140:25	20 47:5, 6 51:2,	minutes 22:1
low 8:4 133:23	45:23 64:4	143:25 146:20	22 56:18, 19, 24	
	1	1	1	1

Mirabella 78:21	moved 46:1 54:21	needle 81:21, 22	noticed 62:12	146:8
miracle 33:24	moving 10:4	84:19	100:24 124:10	officers 64:18
misbehavior 56:3	MRI 134:22	needs 24:16	notified 86:25	official 35:3
misconduct 21:13	multiple 24:20	43:10 47:22 82:1	87:16	officially 60:11
32:14 42:1 45:21	26:12 43:1 46:2	85:10 103:20, 21	notifies 21:5	officials 8:16
46:9 67:1, 25	47:3 72:24	124:23 139:20, 25	notify 87:5 88:20	9:24 132:10
71:6, 18 143:8, 9,	Murakami 8:24	140:2, 3, 5	noting 12:22	offset 79:6
19	murder 139:17	nefarious 43:23	notorious 24:21	oftentimes 103:18
miserable 46:23	144:21	104:15 130:1	November 5:17,	104:12
misguided 76:22	murdered 143:16	negative 33:22	19 7:24 136:24	Oh 4:14 7:21
misinformation	murderer 137:9	34:8 37:11 40:15	147:18	8:12 19:15 54:6
110: <i>1</i>	music 44:7	45:25 104:23	number 25:23	55:8 74:24 75:1
misinterpret 84:5	Myriad 8:21	106:7 143:11	45:5 46:3 85:21	80:8 84:8 91:3, 7
missing 14:19	myth 21:12	negatives 121:3	95:18 99:5, 23	94:5 105:18
15:5 17:20 18:25		Negotiations 13:8	102:16 103:24, 25	107:25 119:2
mission 10:1	< N >	neither 147:14	107: <i>17</i> 119: <i>1</i>	OIG 99:5
139:2 <i>3</i>	NACOLE 126: <i>3</i>	nemesis 109:1	127:19 135:19	Okay 4:2 5:9
misstated 75:8	nailed 69:24	neo-Nazi 88:15	numbers 99:2, 5	6:19, 22 7:7, 18,
mistake 41:8	name 8:17 11:9	nephew 144:20	122:21 140:6	21 8:7 12:24
mistakes 37:25	70:12 75:18 83:1	nephew's 144:21	numerous 114:18	19:20 21:4 22:4
69:8	90:2 94:17 136:9	nerds 129:23		30:24 31:7 45:16,
mitigate 140:16	140:24 147:17	neutral 26:8, 9	<0>	18 48:6 52:17
mixed 63:6	named 15:19	29:20	000 146:25	54:6, 20, 22 55:14
MJ 3:7 139:14	47:7 78:21	never 21:13 28:9	oath 8:21 9:16	61:18 63:14
140:22 142:5	names 15:20	29:3 51:9 53:4, 6,	53:15	65:15 66:3 69:15,
MO 138:8	70:11 82:20	10, 12, 19 58:16	obeyed 64:12	18 71:7 75:1
modern 25:1	111:9, 25 138:22	76:19 85:7 99:15	objective 36:19	78:19 80:7, 10, 14
mom 136:10	naming 82:22	117:17 129:20	109:16	85:13, 16 88:6, 19
Monday 6:1, 8, 16	83:21 116:15	131:10 132:25	objectives 35:15	91:3 92:24, 25
7:16 money 35:22	narrative 41:25 42:6	134:24 145:25	objectivity 36:20 obligation 89:3	93:5, 20 94:19 96:13 135:13, 21
62:2 80:4, 5	narratives 40:9	never-ending 104:22	obligations 100:9	137:19 146:3
104:14 110:23	National 97:20	new 52:2, 17	obscurity 84:12	old 58:19 82:21
131:5, 7 132:2	nationality 65:5	64: <i>17</i> , <i>24</i> 93: <i>13</i>	observations 91:13	117:8
monitor 24:5	national-level 98:1	134:16 138:19	observed 14:13	once 24:8 25:15
57:3, 8, 9, 16	natural 40:24	newly 11:25	obstructing 128:9	28:11 30:7 37:3
68:23 76:9	nature 20:14 26:7	news 16:19	obvious 41:15	43:15 50:24 51:1,
monitored 126:15	Neal 2:17 11:6,	nexus 66:25	46:19	14 127:20
monitoring 57:10	10 90:25 144:3	Nibras 140:8	Obviously 75:10	ones 15:1 35:22
monitorship 57:14	N-e-a-l 11:10	Nicholas 144:18	95:18 115:3	36:11 37:12 45:7
monkeying 79:4	near 132:7	night 107:12	127:17	51:10 57:8 75:12
month 75:20	necessarily 32:18	noble-cause	occasion 57:12	121:18 142:18
months 5:13	45:21 49:1 50:15	105:10	occasional 56:2	144:18
13:20, 24 17:2	110:17	nobody's 49:5	occasionally 87:24	one's 42:11
52: <i>11</i> 81:2 <i>3</i>	necessary 14:15	nod 130:5, 7	occasions 68:6	open 50:2 101:10
Moore 3:6	47:5 51:16 56:25	Nogales 137:13	occur 28:15	126:9 128:5
139:14 140:21, 24	57:13 64:6 68:1	non-gang 107:2, 3	occurred 27:23	openly 8:16
morale 21:10, 22	need 4:4 28:19	non-judgmental	67:16 73:3 75:24	101:4, 5, 17, 20, 21
23:1 31:19 32:6	32:11, 12 34:9, 19	31:4	88:16 110:19	102:3, 5
morals 111:7	51:16 63:4 67:24	nonunion 66:15	116:10	openminded 47:6
morning 6:1, 17	72:14 78:23	normally 46:11	OCTOBER 1:7	55:18
11:5 16:20 95:4,	90:23 93:1 122:2,	61:25 75:3	5:16 6:14 7:10,	operate 101:4, 17,
5 117:24 138: <i>1</i>	16 126:9 129:10	northernmost	24 146:22 147:10	21
Mosk 141:6, 9, 13	135:15 139:22	13:16	offered 90:8	operating 141:8, 9,
motion 6:1	141:25 142:1	nose 57:22	office 13:20, 21	12 Operational 70:22
motivation 22:16	143:4 144:11, 12,	note 11:22 114:17	17:12 51:7 67:17	Operational 79:23
move 37:8 56:21	13 145:23	notes 38:15	72:25 74:9 89:8,	operations 97:1,
81:21, 22 84:18	needed 68:16	138:17	11 125:17	10, 14
123:5 133:10, 11 140:6	70:4 102:6	nothing's 63: <i>3</i> 122:4 136: <i>12</i>	officer 82:23	opined 77:4
140:0	125:25	notice 138:14	95:20 144:2, 23	opining 74:2
		100000 150.14		

opinion 41:2	OVERSIGHT 1:6	104:17 107:7	46:10 47:5, 17, 19	personally 57:9
47:22 100:22	9:16, 20, 24 56:25	111:4 114:1, 2	51:6 52:12 56:7	67:22 73:15
opportunities	71:13 125:15	118:12 119:12	58:15 60:16 61:6,	75:22 100:12
40:20	126:4, 5, 8, 10	125:11 133:4	14, 18 62:21 63:4,	107:18 135:21
opportunity 10:19	132:5, 10 138:3	138:19 140:7	10 64:7, 19 66:15	personnel 10:25
		138.79 140.7		89:13 90:15
25:18 45:7 86:21	142:9, 13 147:10		67:22 68:7 71:4, 8 74:14 75:7	
115:20, 24, 25	oversights 125:25 overstated 43:3	partake 114:12		107:11, 18 116:15
119:8		participants 25:23	76:7, 17, 18 77:1	122:25 123:1
opposed 41:9	overtly 50:15	participating 72:11 77:5 122:2	79:11, 21 82:7 83:5, 17 84:10, 13	person's 22:11
74:22 99:25	overview 39:19			27:1
opposing 130:15	. D.	125:9	85:23, 25 87:1, 15,	perspective 37:24
oppressive 102:14	< P >	particular 15:10	24 88:20 89:3	98:5 132:8
optimistic 126:17	Pacheco 2:14	26:9 104:17, 19	92:11, 19 93:10	pharmacy 109:19
option 29:23	94:12, 13, 14 95:2,	115:23 122:22	100:25 101:10	phenomenally
41:4, 6 93:21	3, 6, 9, 12, 16, 23,	particularly 59:21	102:1 104:2	47:18
options 45:22	25 96:6, 9, 13, 17	100:9	106:1 107:19	phenomenon
52:9	97:16, 19, 21, 23	parties 103:6	108:2 109:6	68:21
order 6:13, 18	98:7, 10, 15, 18, 25	partly 60:5	114:3, 18, 21, 25	phone 128:2, 12
9:24 27:25 56:20	99:9, <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> 100: <i>1</i> ,	partner 50:16	116:3, 18 119:2,	phonetic 78:22
62:16 67:10	5, 8, 14, 17 101:3,	partners 121:12	19 121:10, 11	141:2
107:15 136:22, 25	7, 10, 13, 17	parts 19:4 58:21	123:22 124:20	phrase 31:16
ordered 57:18	102:11, 19 103:3,	party 99:22	126:5, 18, 23	pick 13:25 25:17
116:2	10 104:4, 8, 25	112:14 147:15	128:2 129:24	49:25
Ordering 67:10	105:5, 14, 19	Pasadena 96:3	131:25 135:17	picked 15:18
orders 64:11	106:12, 17, 25	pass 36:5 62:7	138:16 144:10	42:10, 12 82:21
organization 18:6	108:9, 12, 19	126:25 134:10	145:8, 9, 10, 15, 17	picture 49:6
23:19 25:20	109:22 110:1, 5, 9,	passage 21:10	perceived 66:25	60:13 66:12
36:19 37:3 43:6	12 111:8, 14, 20,	passed 92:14	percent 50:21	134:10
44:1,14 60:9	24 112:3, 8, 13, 24	passion 35:7	61: <i>19</i>	piece 92:1 121:9
77:20 80:17	113:2, 5, 7, 9, 12,	126:4	percentage 50:20	pieces 91:23
108:25 124:24	18, 20, 24 114:2,	pat 19:22 128:1	61:19	piles 38:17
organizations	13 115:20 116:4,	patch 49:3	perception 127:8	piloted 39:10
70:20 72:2 81:9	17, 22, 25 117:24	Patrol 13:13 52:3	Perez 3:3 133:19	pin 54:3
organized 38:18	119:7, 15 120:8,	79:22 95:19 98:8	136:4,9 137: <i>13</i> ,	place 17:20 25:5
originally 58:14	16, 21 123:21	143:4	16, 19, 21, 23	49:2 60:21 64:7
ostracized 121:19,	124:25 125:15	patrolling 144:11	144:18	68: <i>3</i> 106: <i>14</i>
20 128:18	126:12, 25 146:13	PATTI 1:15 2:7	Perez's 136:9	122:18 144:14
other's 41:1	Page 2:16, 19 3:1	127:3	perfectly 87:2	placed 59:4
ought 20:4 25:2	123:23 124:3	Paul 113:16, 18	performance	places 45:24
outcome 36:6	pages 20:11	114:14 115:2, 22	46:21 140:2	48:22 123:8
125:19 147:16	39:15 41:22	136:15	period 5:18	145:14
outcome-	paid 59:22	pause 73:1	12:13, 18 46:6	plain 70:3
determinative 7:16	104:10, 14 143:7	pay 28:7 61:5	57:10, 11 73:20	plan 7:14 16:23
outgrowth 17:5	painful 57:14	131:19, 23 143:9	82:23 83:23 89:8	36:3, 4 59:15
outlaw 32:9	PAL 96:23	paying 28:5, 7	perjury 11:13	planned 27:11
outside 6:11 24:5	panel 117:12	131:5	94:23	33:22
30:18 36:14	pants 24:7	PD 96:4	Permit 45:1	planning 25:9
76:10	paper 134:11, 19	peer 22:25 40:21	pernicious 10:22	32:14
outstanding	papers 87:23	peers 41:1	perpetrator 104:1	play 23:9 65:3
145:24	paralyzed 32:22	Penal 64:17, 23	persisted 119:13	125:19, 20
outweigh 76:23	Pardon 59:19	92:9 93:15	person 17:9 21:1	pleading 142:22
overall 102:24	parents 143:15	penalty 11:12	22:8 40:17 45:17	Please 24:11
overestimated	park 79:16 108:4	94:22	48:6, 7 49:9	34:11 63:3
42:23	parked 78:24	people 16:3	60:13 71:15	137:23
overhanded 47:4	parroted 49:14	21:18 22:14, 16,	100:22 103:25	pleasure 29:17
overnight 118:5	part 13:13 22:5	21 23:4, 11 25:23	108:23 124:22	point 5:16 18:12,
119:5 120:12	25:21 27:1 32:23	26:1 27:17 28:17,	130:25	22 20:14, 18
oversaw 60:4	47:13 55:23	23 29:12 30:21	personal 20:23	24:19 27:12
overseeing 13:15	63:21 67:7 69:25	32:2, 11, 15 38:1	22:11 31:15 38:4	28:15 30:5 34:2,
80:2 overseen 20:16	70:1 90:12 92:3 93:10 101:23	41:20 43:19 44:18, 21 45:2, 24	46:8 76:13 87:22	<i>18</i> 39:6 41:3 51:23 76:24
	95.10 101.25	++.10, 21 +3.2, 24		51.25 /0.24
L				

116:8 122:9	26:22, 24 31:15	probably 49:6	pronounce 9:2	Puente 137:14
136:3 144:8	42:4 50:19 57:14	51:7 63:20 68:16	proof 10:11	pulling 44:16
pointed 58:17	60:5, 23 64:16	78:9 86:15	proper 17:14	pulpit 39:1
pointing 42:15	89:23 90:7	114:19 115:12, 15	124:23	punch 114:9
points 42:8 80:20	pound 31:25	117:8 133:17	property 48:16	purpose 52:4
81:8	power 16:10	Probate 141:2, 3	proposal 59:15	61: <i>13</i> 130:20
police 32:7 60:11	41: <i>16</i> 83:2 <i>3</i>	problem 7:5	74:1, 10	pursuant 95:7
69:5 76:22 82:23	105:22 130:16	16:23 19:1, 19		pursuant 95.7 push 139:11
	138:20		proposed 17:17	
89:13 95:15, 17		30:23, 24, 25	71:12 72:19	pushed 6:9
141:22 145:7, <i>11</i>	powerful 123:4	36:12 47:25 48:5	proposing 74:3	136:23
policeman 83: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>	practically 32:16	49:1 58:11 59:11	prosecuting 87:14	put 28:12 32:23
policemen 92:6	practice 55:18	61:9 68:20 69:25	prosecution 105:2,	33:12 42:16 54:3
polices 65:22	predecessors 78:21	76:4 77:25 78:2	7	61:17 63:10 64:6
policies 81:11	preliminarily	82:9 98:25	prosecutor 90:13,	67:5, 21 68:11
policing 48:15	27:19	110:22 121: <i>1</i>	14	73:4 92:2 96:24
65:23 66:6 68:9	premise 112:20	125:11 127:8, 9	prosecutors 85:25	108:23 117:11
82:6 99:2 <i>3</i>	prepare 5:14	130:2 145:3	86:12 87:1, 5	122:18 123:9, 11,
108:10, 13	38:6 113:7	problematic 25:24	88:25 89:2	12 126:4 137:8
policy 10:20	prepared 13:21	56:6 144:4,9	prospect 54:12	138:20 142:20
26:15 71:13, 14,	prerogative 29:16	problems 14:4	143:16	144:14
22 72:9, 19, 20	prerogatives 46:12	21:3 46:5 48:15	protect 130:20	putting 103:23, 24
73:3 74:1, 3, 14,	presence 91:12, 22	59:21 63:9 73:1	142:14, 16 145:9	109:18 123:14
21, 22 77:21 78:3,	present 60:24	134:5	protected 29:18	137:8
5, 12 81:13 84:15	105:25 114:24	procedurally 5:25	64:19 114:24	
91:16, 19, 24	118:17	procedures 93:5, 7	protection 142:22	< Q >
92:22 93:3, 4, 7	presented 113:16	proceed 5:3 6:18	protective 66:22	qualifications
99:25 106: <i>13</i>	115:2, 3	28:18 30:2 36:24	protects 145:12	102:6
111:5 119:23	presenter 40:10	proceedings 103:5	proud 21:14 32:6	qualitative 99:17
policy's 10:18	presently 109:23	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-	108:3	quality 67:15
polite 60:15	press 113:20	N-G-S 4:1	provide 12:21	question 14:23
polluting 36:20	122:17 143:17	proceeds 24:13	provided 58:3	17:19 19:8 22:5
poor 104:16	pressing 115:11	process 5:21 92:3	provision 89:5	28:22 38:11
140:12	122:10, 11, 12	101:10 118:18	92:14	39:21 45:11, 12
poorly 49:22	presume 27:23	119:2 120:3	psychological 66:8	66:9 69:20, 22
POPA 33:19	31: <i>14</i>	124:18, 19	psychologist 48:11	71:12 73:21
	pretty 51:4 58:20	produced 10:16	psychologists 63:9	74:22 76:7 77:9
popular 34:18	83:17 97:25		Public 3:1 21:17	81:5 86:9 87:2
populous 78:17	101:1 102:10	productive 38:23		
portion 39:15		professional 21:24	24:3, 4 25:1 33:1	88:17 89:16 91:2,
position 6:17	107:6 116:9	38:4 42:11 70:12,	34:10 35:23 36:7	15 92:21 105:11
12:15 13:23	132:1	20 108:10, 13	40:15 43:2 52:6	116:25 117:25
47:19 95:25 96:1,	prevent 10:3	147:6	57:23 58:1, 15	127:6 130:18
9, 11 108:17	previous 14:1	profitably 59:17	60:14 61:11, 13,	132:3, 10, 21
positions 9:9, 12	53:25	profiting 146:15	18 76:2 80:18, 23	questioned 106:6
46:2 73:22 96:18	previously 17:8	programs 96:21,	81:12, 13 82:2, 4,	questioning 25:6
108:15 123:2, 14	95:10	25	11, 12 83:3, 9, 20	132:12
124:4 138:20	Price 2:14	progress 74:8	84:6 87:19 99:24	questionnaires
positive 26:13, 16	Pride 41:17, 23,	120:14	101:14 102:24	17:22
108:6 126:17	24 144:20	prohibits 72:10	103:5, 22 104:1, 3	questions 9:18
positively 99:6	prides 41:18	project 19:25	106:23 108:6	19:2, 12, 21 20:5,
possibilities 33:15	primarily 58:12	59:2 <i>3</i>	109:17 121:2	6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 22
possible 37:11	60:3 75:4	promise 15:11	122:19 123:10	22:11, 21, 24 23:7,
60:17 128:21	primary 33:19	promote 68:15	126:1 127:7	14, 16 27:18, 21
146:7	principles 56:4	promoted 13:9	133:11, 16, 17	30:18 34:25
possibly 42:3	108:10	26:12 46:22	145:22 146:17	35:15, 17 40:10
44:12 103:24	Print 39:8	67:23 97:2, 5	publication 39:7	54:5 62:9 63:14
post 102:8	printing 39:7	116:21, 22	publicity 32:25	69: <i>14</i> 71: <i>3</i> 75: <i>3</i>
			publicly 79:9	82:11 91:1,8
postponed 136:24	prior 138:15	promotions 64:15	publicly 19.9	02.11 71.1,0
postponed 136:24	-	68:15 100:6	public's 34:9	
postponed 136:24 potential 35:20	privacy 135:25	68:15 100:6		92:13, 16 127:2
postponed 136:24 potential 35:20 36:6 46:3, 13	privacy 135:25 privy 67:9	68: <i>15</i> 100:6 101: <i>18</i>	public's 34:9 61:13	
postponed 136:24 potential 35:20	privacy 135:25	68:15 100:6	public's 34:9	92:13, 16 127:2

quick 56:20	real 7:2 40:13	recurrent 38:24	115:11 126:2	response 4:7, 18,
118:10 132:3	44:2 54:24	recurrently 24:18	remembering 68:7	20,24 9:1 26:24
145:6, 7	134:22	Red 50:3	remind 12:4	118:3 140:6
quickly 5:10	reality 10:12	red-handed 41:10	removed 26:21	responses 46:3
Quiet 112:9, 13,	106:2 131:1	redundant 51:2	renounce 75:13	responsibility
14 113:21 114:16	realization 28:2,	redundantly 42:25	renounced 26:18	18:19, 25 20:20
119:7, 11 124:23	11	re-emphasize	rep 35:25	24:24 124:2
145:5	realizations 17:13	63:21	repaired 134:24	responsible 79:25
quieter 24:22	realize 78:9 128:2	reference 76:14	repeat 105:4	140:12
quite 45:24, 25	realized 92:15	144:17	repeatedly 87:19	responsibly 44:13
80:15 133:22	really 18:22	referred 98:21	120:3 132:9	rest 51:4 145:18
quotation 87:25	25:22 29:3, 11	113:21	replacement 17:3	restraining
quote 9:3 84:12	34:21 46:18	referring 43:25	report 5:25	136:22, 25
quote/unquote	52:20 55:2 56:24	85:12	16:19 85:2 113:5,	result 5:12 19:23
16:5	62:14 65:7 69:22	reflective 21:16	7, 9, 12 117:11	93:17 105:13
	72:13 80:16, 17	reform 57:11	140:2 141:11	109:21
< R >	81:7 82:17 86:20	refurbished 82:1	Reporter 147:6	results 41:4
race 65:4	90:9 91:5 98:4	refuse 73:11	Reporting 147:7	resume 90:23
racially 83:22	102:17 105:20	141:10	reports 5:4 99:3	146:20
racist 132:13, 14, 16 133:6 141:20	109:14 111:2	refused 9:12	103:16 104:9	retaliation 142:10
radio 67:6 108:7	122:24 123:19 126:7 127:9	refusing 109: <i>3</i> regard 100: <i>6</i>	105:25 106:4 134:6	rethink 73:2 rethought 82:1
raid 142:9	140:18 145:14	101:18		retire 28:20
raise 11:11 18:16	140:18 145:14	regarding 39:16	report-writing 94:2	31:21 50:6
47:23 94:21	real-time 134:19	regards 139:9	represent 73:15	retired 2:17
144:8	Reaper 53:20	Region 13:14	representation	13:17, 18 17:2
raised 15:24	Reapers 25:14	83:10	130:22	62:1 87:10 128:3
73:21 114:15	83: <i>1</i> 111:9	Registered 147:6	representatives	retirement 38:16
ran 78:22	reason 9:10	regroup 27:11	17:12	62:2
RAND 36:16, 21	25:19 61:22	regular 5:12	represented 58:23	returned 87:11
60:1	63:19 64:9 73:17	12:14 13:1 55:10	representing 98:3	revealed 135:11
range 45:22	82:20 83:4 144:4,	56:2	represents 33:20	reviewed 119:22
rank 23:11 30:9	6	regulations 93:5	reputation 21:23	revised 27:22
46:2, 22 47:15	reasons 9:13	Regulators 66:2	61:7 102:17	rewarded 143:12
79:21 113:10, 18	18:21 24:1 77:11	67:19 68:4	request 6:13	rewarding 143:11
117:25 123:25	reassuring 21:1	111:10	51:14 146:4	richly 68:14
rank-and-file	22:2	rehired 87:20	requested 56:17	rid 25:3 50:9
127:10, 13	recall 60:22	reinforcement	required 15:7	73:24 120:8
ranks 37:8	received 17:3	143:12	89:7	ridding 120:11
rant 135:15	37:4	reinstated 87:20	requirement 90:10	ride-along 79:5
rap 93:11	Reception 13:3	rejected 16:3	requires 140:5	ridiculous 133:6, 8
ratchet 51:15	recognition 76:21	relate 22:23	reserve 13:18	ridiculously 70:14
rating 46:22 ratings 46:14	recognized 33:17	related 147:15	17:10 30:18	right 5:24 6:7 9:19 19:20 23:9
47:7 64:14	recognizes 32:7 recommendations	Relations 13:5 35:20 56:16	34:24 59:13 82:24	24:10 33:17, 23
rationale 21:18	54:9	66:22	residential 97:24	38:5 41:7 43:13,
77:1	recommended	relationships 23:1	resistance 18:1	14 47:1 49:1
rationalize 30:24	36: <i>13</i> 143: <i>14</i>	release 136:14	resolve 24:9	53:8 54:6 55:11,
reach 133:5	reconciled 9:9	reliably 43:12	Resource 2:20	14 56:10 59:2
react 52:6	reconstruct 139:20	relied 105:23	38:6, 12, 19	65:13 66:10, 15
reaction 33:23	reconstruction	religion 65:5	respect 18:17	67:17 69:2, 10
52:22	140:15	remain 68:15	26:22 47:20	70:14 71:6 72:5,
reactions 24:14	record 11:9, 22	107:16	129:13	17 76:16 81:9
read 42:7 71:10,	recorded 147:9	remained 13:12	respected 26:24	83:7 84:25 85:4,
12 74:21 87:23	records 89:13	33:24	47:18 92:24 93:9	8, 11 86:16 88:8
117:8 146: <i>14</i>	recounting 142:22	remark 16:15	respond 24:3	89:18 90:1, 23
readily 16:5	recruit 71:8	remarks 8:14	29:6 44:18 75:15	91:10 106:9, 18
reading 42:6	recruiting 72:11	10:5	82:16	109:1 112:1
ready 13:25	recruitment 51:5	remember 20:12	responded 145:25	116:23 119:25
	rectangle 134:20	67:18 114:20		120:23 121:20
				126:18, 23, 24

$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	129:17 134:19	saying 18:4	seeing 52:5 126:3	sottlo 104:12	sheriffs 18:23, 24
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-	settle 104:12	
		-		-	
rips65:5, 1463:2466:868:17103:11, 17118:6settements114:10118:7rike90:1074:2280:484.7129:20130:24174:15127:24128:10riuals111:15115:12117:5133:775:1786:22144:11112:1119:2144:11sected36:1687:1595:24SHERIFYS19Rob69:20143:11749:1751:19sected36:1687:1595:24SHERIFYS192:6143:41749:1751:19sected14:14133:11sected44:12113:3,117:5Rojas14:44.8scal14:44.15sected36:16112:1991:6224:42.17:13,147:15125:1920scary69:931:1333:1419:1071:1472:1,107:16schoduling68:490:1230:17secta114:1296:17113:1298:10roll 4:3scholar 94:8scholar 94:8secta13:1333:1419:1210:25104:14112:1113:1312:14129:2113:61:6,17,7secta13:1413:2410:2214:1411:1213:1312:14129:2113:1413:912:2110:1214:1411:1210:1211:1210:1210:1211:1410:1210:1411:1210:1411:1210:1411:1210:1411:1210:14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
rike $21:0$ $74:2$ $80:4$ $84:4,5$ $124:14$ $128:17$ $104:15$ $143:8$ $127:24$ $128:10$ rike $21:0$ $10:72$ <					
rine11:1511:17.1711:17.17	1 - /				
Rob69:20145:1124:22136:3, 168:249:110:2, 15,Robe141:4say 2.6942:0sci17:49:1751:19sci18:1414:3:10sci22:1113:3, 11ROBERT11:414:3:10scisci11:4114:3:10scisci22:1113:3, 112:6mole8:172:7sci8:172:7sci15:922:7, 18role8:1772:20scale14:14, 1512:21shading61:231649:61, 1729:442:117:14 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Robe 141:4 ROBERT 1:14says 26:9 17 49:17 51:19sell 76:7 sever 47:4 57:18seventh 4:3 8:20 sever 47:4 57:18sever 47:4 57:18 15:22:1715:9 22:7.18Rojas 14:478 role 18:17 42:2013:274 139:25 46:4sends 71:20 sends 71:20shaping 125:19 shaping 125:1950:5 52:8 70:5. 50:5 52:8 70:5. 18:19 91:2071:14 72:1,10 50:5 52:8 70:5. shaping 125:1950:5 52:8 70:5. 50:5 52:8 70:5. 18:19 91:2071:14 72:1,10 71:14 72:1,10role-played 63:17 role 18:17 42:20scenario 27:6 scenario 27:640:22 50:4,17 40:22 50:4,17114:15 120:19 shared 114:1390:14 96:5,7,10 91:20roling 144:25 roling 144:25scholar 94:8 scholar 94:8sent 31:11 sereant 13:11sheet 38:16 94:17 sereant 13:11sheet 38:16 94:17 114:15 120:19162:22 80:6 sheet 38:16 94:17root 71:2 root 71:2 rotatel 54:11, 55 scholar 94:8Sott 13:19 38:14 39:9,22 23:7.22 13:6:16,17, 18:74 13:21112:11 13:6,19,22 13:6:16,17, 18:97:2 101:23113:17 112:11 112:1 13:16,19,22 113:14:11 112:1 13:16,19,22 113:14:11 112:1 13:16,19,22 113:14:11 112:1 13:16,19,22 113:14:11 112:1 13:16,19,22 113:14:11 112:1 13:14:10 113:10 115:21 113:12 12:14 113:21 12:14112:12:12 113:12 12:14 112:17 113:14:11 112:1 13:14:12 113:12 13:14:11 112:1 13:14:12 113:12 13:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:12 113:12 13:14:11 113:14:11 113:16,19,22 118:14 114:14:10 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:16,19,22 114:16 113:14 114:14:10 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:16,19,22 114:14:14:10 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:14:11 113:17 1					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	rockabillies 129:23				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				U	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-	sense 18:18 20:7,		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	125:19, 20	scandal 88:8, 9			
	role-played 63:17		31:13 33:14	96:17 113:9, 12	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				114:15 120:19	85:22 87:22 89:6
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		scheduling 68:4	55:6 77:25 84:6	shared 114:13	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		
					,
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
Rubin $4:23, 24$ rude $45:3$ seated $95:1$ second $19:16$ $119:24$ $127:24$ series $18:23$ $32:21$ $33:6$ $34:3$ $36:6, 13, 24$ $38:13$ shield $34:17$ shift $67:21$ rug $138:13$ rules $69:6$ $92:25$ $93:3, 5$ $20:22$ $24:16$ $25:19, 21$ $59:4$ $93:3, 5$ $20:10, 22$ $22:5, 11, 89:2, 3, 11 43:9$ $44:12$ $46:16, 20$ $44:12$ $46:16, 20$ $44:12$ $46:16, 20$ $44:12$ $46:16, 20$ $44:12$ $46:16, 20$ $44:12$ $46:16, 20$ $110:5$ $118:8$ $128:19$ run $68:3$ running $30:20$ secrecy $16:1$ $21:3, 107:24$ $118:13$ $19:20$ $145:6, 17$ secret $15:19$ $130:9$ serious $24:2$ $89:4$ $120:14$ 20 $71:22 72:2180:16 87:1881:43130:914:8 29:17 52:3, 13, 16 100:1138:15shoot 85:4 138:881:02130:914:8 29:17 52:3, 13, 16 100:1138:15< S >safe 30:11 107:1575:18 102:10safety 128:1946:14servet 13:9 83:3105:15 106:13107:4 118:17 69:3shoot 13:17 96:3shot 42:17 69:9138:15safety 9:15 21:17Saldana 136:1574:13Section 13:574:1321:1762:6 87:9 122:17111:15 112:25118:2, 11, 12, 19, 133:25118:2, 11, 12, 19, 133:25118:1, 13, 19:24 140:13139:24 140:13139:24 140:13139:25 115:22130:9, 18118:2, 11, 12, 19, 133:25118:2, 11, 12, 19, 133:25118:2, 11, 12, 19, 133:25118:2, 11, 12, 19, 133:25118:2, 114:23114:12118:17 115:25 116:3, 116:6, 134:2134:2, 139:23, 145:3130:14133:25133:25133:25145:3145:3145:3145:3145:4119:1 126:20118:24 119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shueting 120:14<$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		seconds 60:25			
runs $145:16, 17$ secret $15:19$ seriously $119:17$ $74:9$ $75:16$ $87:18$ $118:12, 23$ Ruthless $134:3$ $33:21$ $124:17$ serve $12:12, 18$ $98:19, 21, 23$ $99:9,$ shootings $84:25$ $safe$ $30:11$ $107:15$ $75:18$ $102:10$ served $13:9$ $83:3$ $105:15$ $100:1$ $138:15$ $safe$ $30:11$ $107:15$ $75:18$ $102:10$ served $13:9$ $83:3$ $105:15$ $106:13$ short $31:17$ $safely$ $128:19$ $46:14$ Service $29:18$ $109:23$ $110:6$ $134:8$ $138:5, 9, 16$ $safety$ $9:15$ $21:17$ Section $13:5$ $62:6$ $87:9$ $122:17$ $111:15$ $112:25$ shoulder $78:24$ $safety$ $9:15$ $21:17$ $20:20$ $41:13$ $139:24$ $140:13$ $113:19, 25$ $115:25$ should $29:15$ $sallors$ $31:3$ $64:17$ $92:9$ service $97:15$ $118:2, 11, 12, 19$ should $29:15$ should $29:15$ $sallara$ $136:15$ $74:13$ $147:7$ 23 $119:4, 12$ $133:22$ $141:25$ $sand$ $31:25$ see $5:1$ $7:25$ $serving$ $13:18$ $22:141:25$ $sand$ $31:25$ $76:15, 16$ $78:10$ $8et$ $7:17$ $89:29:23$ $145:3$ $sand$ $31:25$ $76:15, 16$ $78:10$ $8et$ $7:17$ $89:29:23$ $145:3$ <		secrecy 16:1 21:3,	107:24 118:13	62:13 67:16, 18,	shoot 85:4 138:8
Ruthless $134:3$ $33:21$ $124:17$ serve $12:12, 18$ $98:19, 21, 23$ $99:9, 13, 16$ $100:1$ $< S >$ secretive $37:12$ 6 $105:6, 9$ $101:3$ $100:1$ $138:15$ safe $30:11$ $107:15$ $75:18$ $102:10$ served $13:9$ $83:3$ $105:15$ $106:13$ short $31:17$ $136:20$ $137:4$ secretly $32:13$ served $13:9$ $83:3$ $105:15$ $106:13$ short $31:17$ $safely$ $128:19$ $46:14$ Service $29:18$ $109:23$ $110:6$ $134:8$ $138:5, 9, 16$ $safety$ $9:15$ $21:17$ Section $13:5$ $62:6$ $87:9$ $122:17$ $111:15$ $112:25$ shoulder $78:24$ $25:1$ $20:20$ $41:13$ $139:24$ $140:13$ $113:19, 25$ $115:22$ should $29:15$ $salary$ $62:2$ sections $41:14, 17$ $96:12$ $97:15$ $118:2, 11, 12, 19,$ show $71:18$ $saldana$ $136:15$ $74:13$ $147:7$ 23 $119:4, 12$ $133:22$ $141:25$ san $33:25$ see $5:1$ $7:25$ serving $13:18$ $120:8, 9, 18$ showed $60:6$ $santions$ $64:13$ $21:15$ $27:4$ $56:4$ session $40:19$ $124:21$ $125:16$ $114:23$ $sand$ $31:25$ $76:15, 16$ $78:10$ set $7:17$ $58:9$ $128:25$ $132:9$ shows $34:24$ <					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			-		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ruthless 134:3				-
safe30:11107:1575:18102:10served13:983:3105:15106:13short31:1796:3136:20137:4secretly32:13serves105:1107:1,4108:9,21shot42:1769:9safely128:1946:14Service29:18109:23110:6134:8138:5,9,16safety9:1521:17Section13:562:687:9122:17111:15112:25shoulder78:2425:120:2041:13139:24140:13113:19,25115:22should r92:15sailors31:364:1792:9services66:8116:5117:17should ve115:5salary62:2sections41:14,1796:1297:15118:2,11,12,19,show71:18Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4,12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8,9,18showed60:6sand31:2576:15,1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
136:20137:4secretly32:13serves105:1107:1, 4108:9, 21shot42:1769:9safely128:1946:14Service29:18109:23110:6134:8138:5, 9, 16safety9:1521:17Section13:562:687:9122:17111:15112:25shoulder78:2425:120:2041:13139:24140:13113:19, 25115:22shoulder78:24sailors31:364:1792:9services66:8116:5117:17should've115:5salary62:2sections41:14, 1796:1297:15118:2, 11, 12, 19,show71:18Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4, 12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8, 9, 18showed60:6sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
safely128:1946:14Service29:18109:23110:6134:8138:5,9,16safety9:1521:1720:2041:1362:687:9122:17111:15112:25shoulder78:2425:120:2041:13139:24140:13113:19,25115:22shoulder78:24sailors31:364:1792:9services66:8116:5117:17should've115:5salary62:2sections41:14,1796:1297:15118:2,11,12,19,showd've115:5Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4,12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8,9,18showed60:6sand31:2576:15,1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7,9,11,16,19shutting120:14					
safety9:1521:17Section13:562:687:9122:17111:15112:25shoulder78:2425:120:2041:13139:24140:13113:19, 25115:22shoulder78:24sailors31:364:1792:9services66:8116:5117:17should ve115:5salary62:2sections41:14, 1796:1297:15118:2, 11, 12, 19,showd 71:18Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4, 12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8, 9, 18showed60:6sanctions64:1321:1527:456:4session40:19124:21125:16114:23sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14		•			
25.120:2041:13139:24140:13113:19, 25115:22shouldn29:15salary62:2sections41:14, 1796:1297:15116:5117:17should've115:5Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4, 12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8, 9, 18showed60:6sanctions64:1321:1527:456:4session40:19124:21125:16114:23sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14					
sailors31:364:1792:9services66:8116:5117:17should've115:5salary62:2sections41:14, 1796:1297:15118:2, 11, 12, 19,show71:18Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4, 12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8, 9, 18showed60:6sanctions64:1321:1527:456:4session40:19124:21125:16114:23sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14					
salary62:2sections41:14, 1796:1297:15118:2, 11, 12, 19,show71:18Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4, 12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8, 9, 18showed60:6sanctions64:1321:1527:456:4session40:19124:21125:16114:23sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14					
Saldana136:1574:13147:723119:4, 12133:22141:25San133:25see5:17:25serving13:18120:8, 9, 18showed60:6sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3santy15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14					
sanctions64:13 sand21:1527:456:4 56:4session40:19 set124:21125:16 128:25114:23 showssand31:25 SANE76:15, 1678:10 93:1set7:1758:9 59:9128:25132:9 134:2shows34:24 145:3sanity15:4 satellite114:22118:7 119:1115:25116:3 118:24140:6141:5 142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shucking142:1 shutting		-	147:7		
sand31:2576:15, 1678:10set7:1758:9128:25132:9shows34:24SANE96:2193:199:1859:967:20134:2139:23145:3sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14			8		showed 60:6
SANE 96:21 93:1 99:18 59:9 67:20 134:2 139:23 145:3 sanity 15:4 114:22 118:7 115:25 116:3 140:6 141:5 shucking 142:1 satellite 134:20 119:1 126:20 118:24 119:5 142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19 shutting 120:14					
sanity15:4114:22118:7115:25116:3140:6141:5shucking142:1satellite134:20119:1126:20118:24119:5142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19shutting120:14					
satellite 134:20 119:1 126:20 118:24 119:5 142:7, 9, 11, 16, 19 shutting 120:14					
					-
	135:17	128:16 132:16	121:4 131:19, 25	145:25	side 72:15 96:25
satisfied 30:1 134:22 136:18 141:1, 21 sheriff-level 30:5 129:1 saw 100:12 sets 119:18 sets		134.22 130:18	-	sherm-level 30:3	127.1
Saw 100.12 Stto 117.10	5dW 100.12		5013 117.10		

		04.5 59.20 (5.25		100.11.10.140.04
significant 32:16	solicit 71:17	24:5 58:20 65:25	starts 44:11	122:11, 12 142:24
77:19	Solis's 12:1	146:21	63:23 121:5	143:3, 18, 19
silent 107:16	solution 48:2	specialized 141:21	123:19, 24 124:2	145:4
simple 19:22	solve 49:1	specific 6:12 8:23	state 11:9 93:14	stopped 134:5
66:24	somebody 12:4	14:24 58:21 61:8	94:17 108:17	stops 42:9
simply 9:14	18:10 36:19	141:7	147:1	story 25:16 42:6
106:13 115:9	40:18 42:9 44:23	specifically 99:21	stated 87:18	142:23
116:13	45: <i>14</i> 55: <i>17</i> 61: <i>3</i>	132:20	114:18 132:9	straight 23:25
simultaneously 9:5	67:6 101:22	specifics 47:23	statement 54:1	strategy 54:13
singing 45:20	103:23 109:10, 18	spell 94:17	55:1 74:6 88:4,	58:10 59:10
51:7	127:20 133:2	spend 132:2	11 93:3 109:22	stream 63:23
single 32:13 83:9	135:15	spending 59:25	117:21 144:9	street 69:5 86:18,
sinks 41:2	somebody's 67:11	spent 58:2 59:19,	statements 115:7	19 111:21 112:5
sir 12:16 17:1	someday 61:10	23 83:10	127:7	114:11
54:19 59:25	somewhat 21:8	spiel 20:25	states 98:3	street-gang-type
70:11 76:13, 14	119:7	split 60:25 93:2	Station 13:3, 5, 11,	100:15
78:14 96:8 98:9	son 136:10, 15	splitting 79:21	12 25:10, 12	strength 122:21,
103:3 134:13	138:4, 9	sport 76:19 90:19	26:17 48:25 49:9,	23
135:10, 18, 20	song 19:20 45:20	spot 27:21 29:12	25 50:1, 6 51:23	string 51:6
sit 29:10 128:8	son's 136:11, 14	137:4	54:13 56:9, 12	Strong 2:18 37:1
135:16	soon 67:23	ss 147:2	65:21, 23 67:14	49:3 56:23 71:20
site 79:4	118:21 146:7	STAFF 1:20 2:4	68: <i>3</i> , 8 77: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	79:13 94:14, 18,
	sorry 4:14 19:15,	5:13, 20 8:25		
situation 40:0 10			78:4, 23 79:15	20, 21, 25 95:5, 8,
situation 40:9, 19	18 35:6 39:2	29:10 137:17	82:21 96:22, 25	11, 14, 18, 24 96:2,
41:25 75:9 76:8	45:11 65:7, 10	staffed 52:14	97:3, 9 99:19	8, 11, 15, 19 97:18,
situations 61:19	80:8 83:7 88:5,	staffing 52:21	101:21 104:20	20, 22, 24 98:9, 14,
six 75:16 112:17	17 91:4 94:13	stage 28:15	110:12, 14, 15, 16	17, 24 99:1, 11, 15,
114:7 115:3, 9, 18	105:11 130:10	stalk 135:1	122:22, 25 123:5	20 100:4, 7, 11, 16,
116:17	131:21 135:10	stalwart 60:13	129:14 138:6, 25	20 101:5, 9, 12, 16,
sizable 45:5	142:18	stance 115:19	stations 13:16	19 102:13, 22
skaters 129:22	sort 47:10 63:6,	117:22 123:20	22:18 39:10 45:4	103:9, 11 104:7, 9
skills 110:9	11, 12 78:1	124:7	48:1, 2, 4, 13, 14,	105:4, 9, 18, 20
skip 22:10	100:14 119:9	stand 52:17	17 50:19 51:10	106:16, 19 107:5
skipped 4:14	sought 29:7	90:25 103:13, 14	54:18, 21 55:11,	108:11, 16, 22
slandered 143:17	sound 6:19 8:1	106:1 142:21	13, 21 56:5 63:8	109:25 110:4, 8,
slew 131:15	29:22 53:11	standard 103:13	66:1 68:10 72:6	11, 14 111:12, 16,
slide 42:25	68:19 135:14	115:25 116:3	79:3, 7, 16, 21	23 112:2, 7, 12, 14
135:24	sounded 119:8	118:19 121:4	98: <i>11</i> 99: <i>3</i> 100: <i>3</i>	113:1, 4, 6, 8, 11,
slip 24:18, 22	sounds 29:22	standards 131:25	106:21 112:15	<i>14</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>23</i> 114: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> ,
slipped 57:13	87:8	standing 59:15	122:24 133:1	17 115:24 116:7,
slows 124:11	source 104:4	109:16	statute 64:21, 24	20, 24 117:4
slyly 50:15	South 97:9	Stanley 141:6, 9,	132:12	118:5 119:14, 16
small 14:14 46:4	Spartans 66:2	12	stay 46:10 52:10	120:11, 20, 23
131:8	speak 11:18	Staples 122:11	125:13	123:24 124:7
smart 40:24	65:12 66:13	star 34:17 96:20	stayed 116:20	125:2, 20 126:16
smarter 35:19	81: <i>19</i> 99:2 <i>1</i>	start 4:3 5:3,7	step 27:15, 16	127:16 129:4
smoke 42:16 85:4	101:19 102:3	7:1 17:21 18:12	Stephanie 3:8	130:18 132:6, 16,
smoothly 128:20	121:18 132:20	25:3, 5 33:2	142:6 143:23	19 133:12 143:7
snarky 132:4, 17	142:17	42:18 47:10	stepping 35:21	S-t-r-o-n-g 94:20
social 45:23 63:9	speaker 16:20	106:9 122:10	steps 43:15	stronger 52:23
146: <i>14</i>	133:18 136:4	123:14, 16 138:11	Steve 3:2 133:18	strongly 36:13
societies 15:19	139:13 140:21	139:7 140:18	stewing 32:24	56:23 135:22
society 60:12	142:5	144:1,2	stick 13:23 92:22	struck 77:23
81:9 123:15	speaking 15:13	started 32:3	stigmatizing 91:21	structural 45:23
sociological 68:21	65:13 101:22	38:22 39:9 58:14	stint 96:3	78:11
sociologist 48:10	128:5	59:16 75:23 76:6	stolen 142:19	structure 45:10
sociology 69:13	speaks 98:1	95:12, 14, 19 96:6	stomp 114:9	77:13, 16 123:25
soft 62:24 93:12	SPECIAL 1:9	starting 18:12	stood 89:24	124:1 139:21
solemnly 11:12	2:12, 14 4:3 5:5,	41:21 48:2, 8	stop 11:2 62:25	students 98:2
94:22	11, 14, 18, 23 8:19,	, -	63:1, 3, 4 117:19	study 17:6, 9, 13,
	20 10:4, 17 13:9		118:2 119:5	14, 17 23:8, 10, 25
		I		,,,,,,,,

24:11, 13 27:20,	successful 6:25	swear 11:12	63:16 67:4 71:3	tecum 145:25
24, 25 28:1, 13	21:5 83:18	94:22	84:24 99:7	146:7
30:7 32:22 33:7	successfully 66:11	swept 138:12	104:18 127:17, 18	televised 9:3
34:4, 5, 20, 22	successive 23:11	swirls 60:9	talking 7:9 18:10	tell 11:13 14:3
35:9 36:14, 21	sued 24:7	sworn 127:18	28:2, 10 31:5	17:16 20:24
37:7 46:1 48:12	suffering 61:6	symbol 21:24	37:24 38:24	22:13 30:25
59:16 60:5 63:13	sufficient 46:9	54:1 67:1	42:18 55:2 56:3	31:25 33:7, 11
75:23	sufficiently 46:5	symbolic 93:8	64:11 68:25 69:2,	34:23 35:3 41:8
stuff 9:4 22:2	66:11	symbolism 16:8,	11 71:19 73:19	47:19 63:4, 15
31:14 32:5 38:17	suggest 135:22	12 31:19 49:3	77:15 81:6 99:2	69:12 83:15, 25
48:21 53:19	140:7	50:2	128:13 138:7	94:1, 10, 23 95:12
56:16 57:10 60:7	suggested 6:14	symbolized 37:13	144:5 145:7	107:18 111:7
66:24 67:5, 8, 25	suggestion 49:12	symbology 82:22	talks 32:1	112:13 116:2
76:5 93:6 135:2	52:2	symbols 21:15	tamp 52:7	117:14 142:24
138:12	sum 135:6	42:10 70:10	Tanaka 113:17	143:18
stupid 41:10	summary 12:22	144:16	114:14, 15 115:2,	telling 14:5 21:13
69:10	51:23	symbol's 32:7	22 116:4, 22	33:9 34:7 46:23
sub 71:16	Superior 6:3	Syndicate 141:4	Tanaka's 113:18	61:22 63:1 66:19
subculture 15:15	supervised 57:3	syndrome 41:20	tank 143:4	69:2 85:25 90:4
	-			
subgroup 15:15	supervision 147:12	systematic 17:6	tapping 33:7	130:13 142:15
16:16 17:7 21:4,	Supervisor 12:1	systems 144:13, 14	tarnished 103:2	tells 24:8 36:10
9 22:14 23:24	46:20 95:22 98:8		tarnishes 108:3	68:24 90:14
25:12 26:4, 7	142:9	< T >	task 95:21	temerity 25:17
32:13 42:5 43:13,	Supervisors 58:15	tactics 10:3	Tasmanian 53:23,	tempered 41:18,
24 44:1 48:9	67:15,23 114:14	take 5:18 7:3	25	24
49:2, 18 53:13	125:18 142:24	9:7 20:10 25:17	tattoo 21:13, 14	Temple 13:7, <i>11</i> ,
57:17, 24 58:13	supplied 65:16	36:23 40:17, 18	26:7, 10, 12, 19	13 50:19 53:22
59:10 68:1 70:2	support 21:9	44:20 50:24	27:2 32:5, 15	78:22 79:25
71:17 74:19	23:8 46:15 51:16			
		62:17, 18 66:18	49:10 50:8 51:19	temporizing 70:7
86:13 89:15	81:15 120:5	68:23 76:24	53:10 60:3 74:15	tempted 86:17
103:8	131:20 141:1	89:12 90:19, 25	76:20 85:3 87:15	ten 13:14 52:11
		95:25 112:8		
Subgroups 2:22,	supported 121:11		101:1 110:14, 15,	82:15 83:10
24 10:11, 15 14:4,	supportive 130:15	122:3, 6 123:3, 4	20 144:19,20	tend 24:14
14, 17, 18, 20	suppose 74:7	124:6 125:3, 4	tattooed 26:4	tendency 140:16
15:17 16:12, 23	supposed 75:3	126:20 134:16	31:1 85:25 88:21,	tends 24:22
18:17 24:19 25:2	79:2 120:4, 5	141:11	24	Tennell 138:4
30:8 33:22 39:17	126:6, 7 136:19	taken 10:10	tattoos 8:24	Tennell's 139:9
40:6 41:12 43:21	Supremacist 88:15	14:13 36:25	21:19 26:7 27:7	tentatively 146:21
				•
45:4, 5 46:16	Sure 5:10 7:23	43:21, 22 47:5	31:2 51:13 72:21	term 15:15, 16
49:17 54:13 56:5	11:18 12:4 22:1,	49:21 64:7 73:22	74:5 75:12 87:2	38:3 55:3,8
58:6 59:6 60:18	7, 15 23:10 36:9	90:22	89:15 110:12, 16	100:12, 15 120:13
70:9 72:2, 5, 12	43:11 51:2 53:15	takes 41:6 81:14	111:25 144:15, 17	125:4 132:12
73:18 75:19 77:2,	65:19 67:17 81:4	119:17 122:19	taxed 100:13, 14	termination 64:15
6 78:13 82:19	83:14 89:19, 25	133:3	taxpayer 104:24	65:6, 9, 14 93:17
83:21 93:12, 16				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	91:1 94:16 101:7,	tale 42:7	taxpayers 143:7,	terminations 64:17
98:22 99:4	25 105:5 127:11	tales 9:4	10, 19	terms 5:19 15:12
subject 35:17	130:21 137:1, 17	talk 22:20 23:12	teaching 96:21	16:15 69:9 72:9
71:15	144:1	24:16 25:24	Team 13:8 18:13,	74:5 77:21 78:11
subjects 115:6, 14	surely 143:5	27:17, 20, 21 28:7,	16 25:22 27:2, 4	99: <i>16</i> 107:8
116:15	surface 26:8 31:8	10,20 29:7 32:2	37:14 39:24 44:2,	128:23
submitted 72:25	surgery 134:18	33:3, 14 40:5, 21,	6, 16 45:20 46:24	terrible 10:24
74:8	135:5, 11	22 42:14 47:8	47:1, 13, 14 51:1,	terrified 41:9
submitting 109:14	surveil 142:12	50:16 60:16	18 52:4 95:20	territory 123:22
subpoena 6:2	surveyed 25:7	63:19 71:5 76:17	113:15	Terry 88:14
-	-			
95:7 130:16	surveys 17:22	78:10 87:25	teams 75:12	test 48:21
145:24 146:7	suspected 92:10	120:16 122:1	teamwork 15:6, 8	tested 51:18
subpoenaed 9:11	93:16	124:16 135:21	18:13 23:18	testified 8:21
-				
subpoenas 14:6	sustain 57:11	136:2	25:21 43:5 51:22	55:17 66:23
142:8 146:5, 9	sustained 70:1	talked 32:4 36:6	57:20 144:22	137:6 143:7
subcombod 147.17				1
Subscribed 147:77	77:12 124:25	37:5 38:20 43:4	techniques 68:12	144:2
subsequent 68:17	77:12 124:25 SWAT 95:20	37:5 38:20 43:4,	techniques 68:12	144:2
subsequent 68:17	77: <i>12</i> 124:25 SWAT 95:20	37:5 38:20 43:4, 5 49:8 60:4	techniques 68:12 83:17	144:2

testify 9:14, 16, 17	80:17 81:14	three 15:23, 24	tolerated 118:15	73:14
102:19 106:1	84:11 99:24	17:2, 7 41:22	121:7	trial 82:8 85:23
121:16 142:12	100:24 107:17	42:11 52:11 53:2	tone 116:1	trials 85:24
testifying 82:8	110:23 111:17	55:15 63:14 66:5	118:24 119:6, 18	88:20 89:1
85:24 88:21	118:25 119:10, 23	67:22 76:14	tonight 49:22	tried 32:1 33:4
89:18 103:7	129:8 138:14, 24	82:15 118:6,7	tons 37:13	42:24 51:9 52:15
133:14	139:1	129:5	tool 112:4	72:20 74:15
testimonies 84:10	thing's 61:9	thrive 14:20	top 23:21 25:19	118:25 136:20, 22
testimony 6:2, 14,	think 4:2, 3, 4	thriving 144:23	44:11 62:13	145:4
18 62:5 69:23	5:2, 22 8:3, 4	throwing 32:19	109:2 121:6, 21	tripping 80:19
84:1 91:6, 12	18:9 26:14 30:23	thumb 50:25	125:13 146:8	trophies 144:17
100:17 103:16	31:12, 15, 23	tier 44:14	topic 40:6	trophy 144:21
128:7	32:21 42:3, 9	time 5:14 8:14	totally 76:25	trouble 75:20
Testing 8:3	44:21 47:12	10:25 11:20	141:3, 19	90:25 92:4, 5
124:19	53:18 55:3, 20	12:18 19:1, 5	tough 29:22	124:15, 16, 17
tether 79:12	56:10 57:13, 22	25:8 30:14 31:5,	48:17	131:6
text 128:3 147:11	68:22 69:24 70:2	21 32:20 33:6,9	Towers 97:6	troubleshooting
textbook 42:2	73:23 77:11 81:5	36:3, 4 37:8, 14	town 47:16	91: <i>13</i>
143: <i>11</i>	82:12 83:20 84:9	46:6 49:7 52:9	124:16	true 32:12 87:7
Thank 8:6 11:3,	85:7 86:15 89:20	54:7 56:20 57:6,	traced 139:16	104:1 109:25
5, 16 61:15 62:5,	93:20 98:3, 17	12 58:2 59:20, 22,	track 88:17	130:13
<i>5, 10</i> 61:15 62:5, <i>8, 11</i> 80:7 84:22	99:1, 6 100:24	12 58:2 59:20, 22, 25 60:8 67:19	tracking 134:20	truly 109:14
90:21 91:7, 11, 12	102:7, 22 103:5, 9,	68:18, 23 72:5, 13	traditional 55:20	117:13
		76:3 78:25 81:14,		
93:23, 24 94:5, 6,	20 104:11, 25	24 87:17 88:16	traffic 23:5	trunk 67:6
7 95:3, 4 127:1, 5	106:12, 19 108:16		train 38:22	trust 24:4 33:1
128:4 130:12	109:7 110:14, 24	89:8, 10 93:2	trained 42:9	34:10 36:10
133:9, 12, 13	111:1, 2 114:17	94:9 99:8, 10	trainee 64:5	43:12 57:23
134:14 135:18	117:9, 10, 12	103:4 105:4	95: <i>19</i>	61:13 82:12
137:15, 16, 21, 24	118:1 119:4, 14	110:16 113:18	Training 13:7, 11	99:24 102:14, 25
138:2 139:12	120:9, 24 122:5,	114:8 115:3	38:16 39:5 40:1,	106:23 109:17
140:19, 20 142:3,	10 123:18 124:23	117:8 120:20	19 72:7 95:19	126: <i>1</i> , <i>11</i>
4 143:21 145:20,	125:3, 19, 20, 24,	122:3, 4, 5 124:5	97:16, 19, 23, 25	trusted 20:2
21 146:10, 11, 12,	25 126:8, 9, 12, 16,	126:24 134:17	102:3 104:20	37:22 39:25
23	17, 22, 23 127:20,	135:7, 10 137:2	130:6	105:23
Thanks 11:17	23 128:6, 16	140:7, 17 141:24	transcribed 147:11	trustworthy 30:11
that'd 119:1	129:2 130:19	142:23 145:6	transcript 147:12	truth 11:13, 14
theme 45:20	131:4 133:5, 6, 16	146:16, 18	transfer 55:3, 7, 9,	37:9 41:8 61:23
theoretically 80:2	135:9 146:4, 14	times 24:20	12 56:3, 4	94:23, 24 116:2
theory 124:13	thinking 5:15	26:12 28:6 43:1	transfer-hammer	146:15
thereof 147:16	15:4 18:3, 8 55:4	62:12, 22 82:15	68: <i>19</i>	truthful 109:22
thing 4:4 5:4	59:21	100:21 102:9	transferred 46:10	try 6:24 8:9
24:16 25:8 33:21	thinks 61:3	107:6 119:21	64:5 68:16	22:14 35:25
34:8 38:21 41:7	third 16:7, 12	130:19 138:5, 10	transferring 46:12	37:19 40:21
45:1 50:6 55:20	37:6	140:6 141:6	Transfers 46:7	61:12 63:15
61:4 64:11 69:10	thought 7:12	tired 131:4	56:16 68:8	67:13 72:18
73:4 75:25 77:10	15:22 17:14, 25	tires 123:11	103:19	86:10 135:6
86:21 87:9 92:16,	19:24 27:24	titled 41:17 59:12	transient 133:25	trying 26:5 49:4,
19 93:15 109:5	28:24 30:4 32:24	today 5:16, 23	transition 27:25	9 52:7 68:22
124:10 125:12	35:16 76:13	7:11 10:6 57:16	28:15	75:10 134:4
145:7	78:14 83:5 91:3	58:4 59:18 62:11	transitions 41:19	138:22
things 9:5 15:6	93:25 120:4	68: <i>18</i> 80: <i>15</i>	transparency	turn 10:4 25:18
16:4, 7 22:19	thoughtful 69:22	87:22 91:12 95:6	142:11	28:3, 4 75:11
23:3, 6 26:25	thoughts 22:15	133:22 143:25	transparent	77:9
31:17 34:9 41:23	32:22 41:23	146:13	125:14 126:10	turned 13:24
43:13, 14 46:15	59:16 83:9	toes 35:21	trauma 142:22	25:12 45:6
47:7 48:23 50:17	thousand 39:8	told 25:11, 13	treat 81:11	tweak 139:20, 21
56:18 57:19	thousands 30:21	42:5 57:24 66:20	129:12, 16	Twenty 44:15
58:22 61:20 62:3	52:25	75:16 86:23	treated 130:21	Twin 97:6
65:18, 19 68:2	threat 139:22	92:14 115:8	tree 108:5	two 5:23 6:15
71:4 75:7 76:18	threatening 16:10	138:18	tremendously	7:11 10:13 13:1,
77:11 79:20	83:23			24 22:5, 25 26:23

30:16 33:19	U.S 88:11	10, 11, 18, 20	variety 52:8, 15	vitally 103:9
39:10 41:20	Uh-huh 67:2	145:8, 11	104:2 110:7	111:17 126:9
47:25 50:19	ultimate 31:4	unions 34:15	various 14:13	vocal 107:10
52:12, 18 53:18	ultimately 114:14	35:3 130:14	15:20 60:2 100:2	Vocational 39:8
55:15 63:25 64:1	umpteen 18:20	132:5 145:9	vast 104:2	voice 12:3 100:5
65:24 67:21 68:6,	unconstitutional	unique 140: <i>3</i>	127:23 128:15, 16	101:18
8,9 72:24 75:24	9:15 74:2	unit 13:10 20:16	Vedder 2:14	volition 24:17
79:8, 20, 21 81:23	undercut 46:19	46:10 53:12	Vegas 102:9	volitionally 50:7
82:21 85:21	undercutting	95:20 96:20 97:7,	vehicle 143: <i>1</i>	63:18
90:18 96:2	46:18	11, 14 118:22	Venters 3:4	voluntarily 34:12
103:25 112:18, 21	underestimate	122:7	133:20 136:5	voluntary 36:1
116:21 136:12	143:9	units 33:19 46:7	138:1	volunteered 94:1
137:11 140:7		unknown 25:23	verified 31:20	
	underground			volunteering 5:21
two-and-a-half	32:10	unmanageable	106:5	146:16
13:12	Undersheriff 8:24	78:15	verify 30:22	
two-year 32:22	12:17, 19 13:20,	unnecessary	version 31:17	< W >
Tyler 2:17 11:6,	25 17:3, 21 19:5	136:21	versions 72:24	wait 7:25 108:7
10, 15, 17 12:6, 8,	25:6, 7, 9, 20 26:5,			walk 75:8
		unpack 143:24	versus 86:10	
11, 13, 17, 20, 24	23 27:5, 15 32:3	unprofessional	89:6, 14	walking 27:2
13: <i>1</i> 14:9 15: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> ,	33:25 36:9 66:15	16:9 26:8 27:3	vetted 103:21	136:17
16 16:19,24 17:2,	75:13 87:11,17	31:20 32:8 42:4	viable 59:18	wall 102:8
19 19:11, 15, 20	116:23 142:8	45:3 50:5 61:20	Vice 4:17, 18	want 5:7,9 8:15
20:10 23:21 25:8	undersheriffs	70:11, 14	victims 141:1	15:14 20:5, 24
26:6 27:10 30:4	18:23 43:11	unprofessionally	victory 7:2	21:20 22:2, 9, 20
31:9 33:17 35:3,	47:15	37:13	video 112:19	24:9 28:17 30:15
6, 12 37:5, 20, 22	understand 8:10	unquote 9:4	114:18, 23	31:10 32:19, 20,
38:8, 14 39:14, 18,	15:13, 14 16:7	unreasonable	view 29:1 51:24	21 33:8 46:10
24 40:8 41:13	23:12 32:18	60:16	53:1 106:12	51:17 54:4 55:21
42:23 43:9 45:1,	34:11, 21, 22	upcoming 31:5	119:11 126:12, 17	57:22 68:11
		updated 92:12	viewed 26:14	69: <i>14</i> 70: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> ,
10, 13, 16, 18	37:19 56:1 71:1			
47:12 48:6 51:25	76:2, 25 78:14	upheaval 81:10	36:7, 18 73:4	19,24 71:12
52:8 53:5, 9, 11	79:18, 19 83:19,	us-and-them 81:2,	viewer 42:15	75:13 77:2 80:11,
54:6, 15, 20, 22	25 84:17, 20	3 128:25 129:2,9	views 58:9	18 81:6, 19 82:17
55:8, 14, 16 57:5	85:10 86:8 89:25	use 15:15 29:16,	vigorous 78:1	84:4, 23 90:10
58:8, 12 59:1, 3, 8,	122:7, 8	21 34:17 39:14,	Viking 88:9	91:1 92:2 93:4,
12, 24 60:1 61:12	understanding	23 40:3 41:15	Vikings 66:2	11, 13 99:17
62:8 63:14 64:23	65:2 98:16, 17	55:8 86:17	88:2, 7, 8, 21	
				101:20, 25 102:4
65:14 66:5, 17, 20	105:10 145:24	122:22 125:7	Villanueva 8:16	104:13 107:13, 14
67:3 69:15, 18, 24	understands 60:16	useful 93:8 140:9	9:5, 11, 21 71:23	108:23 112:8
70:23 71:1 72:13,	understood 22:8	uses 102:15	118:23 120:8	114:7 119:7
17, 19 73:8, 10, 14	undertake 36:14	112:4 119:22, 23	132:9, 20	121:12 122:15
74:7, 17, 24 75:2,	undertaken 58:24	usually 67:3	violate 64:19	123:2 128:7, 18,
		usually 07.5		
6 78:14, 19 79:12	undertaking 17:9		99:24 111:5, 6	19 130:25 131:4,
80:8, 11, 25 82:10,	undo 49: <i>4</i>	< V >	135:25	13 132:8 133:15
15 84:8, 17, 20	unearth 130:16	vacuum 22:14	violated 63:20	134:10 135:14
85:1, 5, 9, 12, 15,	unethical 103:18	valid 18:21 33:11	violates 102:13	136:11 137:7
19 86:2, 6, 8, 17	105:21 109:13	61:20 87:2	violating 51:8	138:2 141:21
87:7, 21 88:3, 9,	118:14	Valley 56:11	146:9	144:8 146:12
	unfair 34:19			
13, 16, 22 89:5, 19,		valuable 17:15	violation 74:14	wanted 10:8 35:3
23 90:4, 15, 24	unfairness 66:25	41:24 92:1, 17	violations 104:2	48:20 82:16 83:4,
91:3, 7, 11 92:1	unfortunately	146:18	violence 16:11	5, 6 84:16 91:15
93:20, 22, 25 94:9	80:6 121:16	value 27:20	21:16 54:10	93:21 118:14
T-y-l-e-r 11:10	128:16	38:18 57:2	83:22	120:8
type 19:8 20:8	unicorns 9:3	values 108:12	violent 48:15	wants 100:22, 23
108:23, 24 109:8	16: <i>17</i>	Vanessa 3:3	virtually 53:15	119:4 132:25
125:25 141:3	uniform 79:6	133:19 136:4,9	vision 28:14	133:2
types 99:17 108:2	103:1	Vappie 2:15	visit 34:12 98:12	warnings 122:3
typically 68:2	union 33:10, 15,	146:10	visiting 79:4, 5	warrant 67:12
	18 34:3, 11 66:19	variation 49:10	112:21, 22	waste 141:24
< U >	130:20, 23 131:4,	52:16	vital 57:21	watch 51:15
	100.20, 20 101.1,	varied 69:7		
			1	

07.10	105 11 100 00			
97:10	127:11 128:23	WILLIAMS 1:12,	20 96:11, 20, 22,	year-and-a-half
watched 66:23	144:10	20 2:2, 4 4:6, 8,	25 97:2, 6 98:7	17:11 72:24
waterfall 27:8	well-advised 31:23	10, 12, 14, 17, 19,	112:22 118:11	years 10:13
way 14:3,9	went 24:21 40:2,	21, 23 5:8, 10	121:11 124:13, 14	12:13, 14, 23
17:14, 21 27:6	11 53:17, 22	6:21, 23 7:23 8:3	129:5 130:6	13:12, 14, 24 14:8,
28:17 35:22	68: <i>14</i> 96:4 97: <i>3</i> ,	133:18 135:10, 18	132:22	21, 25 16:17
44:19 47:12	5, 9, 13 113:14	136:4 137:23	workers 28:4, 5	25:13 26:17 48:5,
50:22 52:6 57:3	116:17 129:6	139:13 140:21	63:10	9 51:11 52:11, 12,
68: <i>11</i> 70: <i>10</i> , <i>21</i>	134:18 135:5	142:5 143:23	working 8:1	13, 18, 19 53:3, 19
72:3, 4 73:21 76:16 77:3 81:17	we're 4:3 5:3, 22 8:9, 13 15:10	willing 22:13 30:10 33:3 34:14,	27:10 32:24	54:14 55:15 59:14 60:5 63:25
83:13 92:2	· · ·	25 87:24 117:20,	33:17 36:15 57:7 61:17 63:13 82:1	64:1 66:7 83:10
83:13 92:2 105:14, 22 109:16	21:6, 11 23:9, 13	<i>23</i> 87:24 117:20, <i>21</i> 133:2 135:16	89:8 90:16 92:23	86:3, 7 95:24
	24:4, 24 28:8, 16	wind 33:21		96:16 97:7
126:10 139:17	29:2 34:4, 7, 14		106:21 107:8,9	
140:8 144:5,7 145:17 147:15	36:19,22 37:7,8, 14 42:19 49:1	winked 49:20 wisdom 37:23	112:21 133:1 144:13, 24	117: <i>14</i> 119: <i>13</i> 121:25 133:7, 8
ways 46:19 48:17	51:3 54:2 55:2,4	38:3 92:18	works 75:8	134:4 136:12
52:15 83:23	56:1 60:11 63:24	wise 37:22	140: <i>14</i>	134.4 130.12
	64:1 67:11 70:25	wise 37.22 wish 46:17	world 25:1 98:6	
140:2 Wayside 89:21, 24	75:6 76:5, 10	wish 46:17 withdraw 39:20	worse 16:10	7 144:5 yell 47:21
90:2	78:16 79:15 81:6	witness 11:6 52:2	132:21, 24	yelling 47:22
weak 140:10	90:19, 23 91:2	62:7 65:8, 11	worth 27:24	Yep 137:20
weapons 143:2	101:25 103:23	72:23 90:24	38:15 41:22	yes/no 19:13
weapons 143.2 wear 31:3 103:1,	109:14 110:18	94:12 103:15	61:12 74:12	younger 100:9
2 wear 51.5 105.1,	115:16, 17 120:4,	126:25 133:11	worthy 47:19	Youth 96:23, 25
wearing 75:13	5, 21 125:22	147:17	would've 26:24	10000 90.23, 25
wears 144:20	126:11 128:13	Witnesses 2:16	113: <i>14</i>	< Z >
week 17:25 63:22	131:4, 20, 22, 23	8:20, 22 10:5	write 49:13	zone 136:20
74:9 75:15, 22	132:7 133:15, 16,	47:25 56:22	72:20 103:16	Zoom 4:25 146:5
142:15	24 134:16 139:4	89:18 112:20	105:25	
weeks 5:23 6:15	140:1 143:10	wolf 85:7, 8	W-r-i-t-e 120:1	
7:11	145:15, 18, 23	women 23:4	writeup 31:12	
weight 44:16	West 137:13	wonder 10:7	writing 42:25	
Welcome 11:24	we've 5:11 18:15,	48:24	58:3 67:6, 8	
12:2	20 28:9 43:4,5	wondering 48:7	87:10 119:20, 25	
welfare 43:2	46:4 47:25 49:20,	word 25:21	written 31:14	
Well 5:2, 20 7:12	21 51:1, 2, 9 52:2,	50:24 53:20 64:9	wrong 28:25	
8:12 13:2 15:16	15 54:23 56:22	74:7, 21 86:18	41:23 82:11	
16:8 17:19 18:9	57:5, 7, 12 80:15	words 17:17	84:21 92:11	
20:7, 10 22:22	103:11, 17, 25	42:24 73:5	119:24	
23:21 25:8 27:10	126:19, 23	123:13	wrote 19:12, 21	
29:19 30:4 32:1,	Whatevers 56:11	work 6:15 8:9,	35:15, 16 39:6	
11 35:7, 22 36:8	WHEREOF	12 12:9 17:21	58:14	
37:5 38:14 40:8,	147:17	18:14 23:5 25:22		
18, 24 41:3, 5, 13	whichever 144:19	27:11 32:7 34:21	< Y >	
42:2, 9 45:19	White 88:14	35:4 41:5 43:18	y'all 139:10	
49:5, 22 50:25	89:25 90:3	48:17, 18 52:19,	Yeah 8:3 36:8	
54:3 55:8 58:12	whitewash 36:7	22, 24 60:11 69:5	39:4 42:14 53:7	
60:1 63:14 65:11	Whities 89:21, 24	76:22 79:16	54:23 55:8 61:12,	
66:10 71:7 72:15	90:2	80:21 81:22	25 64:23 66:4, 17	
73:12, 15, 19	whoevers 79:5	95:23 98:12	78:18 80:25	
79:14 80:15, 20	who've 116:21	101:18 107:22	82:14 84:8 85:14	
82:10 84:23 86:2,	whys 76:17, 18	108:6 113:2	100:11 110:24	
4, 6 87:7 89:5, 17	widely 58:19	129:14, 15 130:5	115:12 127:4	
90:9 97:14 98:6	wider 76:1	workaround 74:11	135:7	
99:11 101:5	wider-spread 76:1	worked 8:12	year 13:18 33:25	
106:11, 21 107:5	widespread 14:15	13:4, 6 17:11	35:14 38:16	
108:22 109:5	17:6 98:25	26:23 35:13	52:12 53:17	
113:14 114:17	wife 117:15	50:19 53:12 57:9	68:13, 14 96:3	
117:11 119:2	willfully 146:9	60:21 63:12 72:22 81:25 05:0	142:25 143:9	
122:15 124:21		72:22 81:25 95:9,		