



County of Los Angeles
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

713 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION • LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
(213) 974-1101
<http://cao.co.la.ca.us>

DAVID E. JANSSEN
Chief Administrative Officer

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District

YVONNE B. BURKE
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Third District

DON KNABE
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

June 2, 2006

To: Mayor Michael D. Antonovich
Supervisor Gloria Molina
Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke
Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky
Supervisor Don Knabe

From: David E. Janssen
Chief Administrative Officer

WASHINGTON, D.C. UPDATE

Federal Fiscal Year 2006 Homeland Security Grant Awards

On May 31, 2006, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) announced Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2006 grant awards totaling \$1.7 billion in the following homeland security grant programs: State Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSG); Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program (LETPP); Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI); Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS); and Citizen Corps Program (CCP).

Attached is a DHS press release announcing FFY 2006 homeland security grants, which includes attached tables with the grant awards for each state and urban area. As seen in those tables, California received grant awards totaling nearly \$232 million, including \$136.3 million in UASI grants. The State may retain up to 20 percent of total funding under the SHSG, LETPP, and UASI programs with the balance passed through to counties. There is not any state pass-through requirement for CCP funds. All MMRS funds must be passed through to eligible metropolitan areas, including Los Angeles County, each of which will receive \$232,330 in MMRS funding.

This year, DHS significantly changed how it allocates SHSG, LETPP, and UASI funds. In prior years, all SHSG, LETPP, and CCP funds were allocated on a per capita basis after providing each state with a base amount equal to 0.75 percent of total funding. Using this allocation formula, California received the least per capita funding of any state. UASI funds previously were allocated to high-threat urban areas, based on

Each Supervisor
June 2, 2006
Page 2

factors, such as risk and population density. This year, all state and local jurisdictions submitted grant applications detailing their risks, needs, and proposed solutions to needs. After providing 0.75 percent in base funding to each state, all FFY 2006 SHSG and LETPP funds were allocated based on relative risk and the effectiveness of each applicant's proposed solutions to identified needs. All UASI funds were allocated based on relative risk and the effectiveness of proposed solutions to identified needs.

Under the new allocation formula, California's percentage share of total funding increased from eight percent in FFY 2005 to nine percent under SHSG and 11 percent under LETPP in FFY 2006. The State's combined SHSG and LETPP funding, nevertheless, fell from \$115.4 million to \$90.0 million due to overall FFY 2006 funding cuts. The State has not yet announced LETPP and SHSG allocations to counties.

The Los Angeles/Long Beach urban area was awarded \$80.6 million in UASI funds in FFY 2006, an increase of more than 16 percent above the \$69.2 million in combined FFY 2005 UASI grants for Los Angeles and Long Beach. Our area received this increase even though overall UASI funding to high-threat urban areas fell by more than 14 percent nationally in FFY 2006. As reported in the media, other prominent urban areas received significantly less UASI funding in FFY 2006. New York City's UASI grant fell from \$207.5 million in FFY 2005 to \$124.5 million while the National Capital Region's funding fell from \$77.5 million in FFY 2005 to \$46.5 million in FFY 2006. Those reductions, however, are somewhat deceptive because both of those areas received far more UASI funding in FFY 2005 than in the prior year when the City received \$47.0 million and the Capital area received \$29.3 million. In comparison, Los Angeles and Long Beach received \$40.4 million in combined FFY 2004 UASI funding.

An Urban Area Working Group, which included representatives from the County and the Cities of Long Beach and Los Angeles, prepared the UASI grant application for our area. This work group will reconvene to finalize our UASI spending plan.

We will continue to keep you advised.

DEJ:GK
MAL:MT:cc

Attachment

c: All Department Heads
Legislative Strategist

DHS Announces \$1.7 Billion in Homeland Security Grants

For Immediate Release
Office of the Press Secretary
Contact: 202-282-8010
May 31, 2006

FY2006 Homeland Security Grant Program Allocations

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security announced today the recipients of \$1.7 billion in fiscal year 2006 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) awards. The grants will enhance the ability of states, urban areas, and territories to prepare for and respond to terrorist attacks and other disasters.

"Our goal is to greatly enhance the collective preparedness of the nation while making certain that finite resources are directed to areas most at risk and to solutions that are innovative and regionally driven," said Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff. "The department is committed to ensuring that our partners have the training, equipment, and resources they need to become better prepared."

In fiscal year 2006, DHS adopted a risk and effectiveness-based approach to allocating funding for certain programs within HSGP. This approach aligns federal resources with national priorities and targets capabilities established by the Interim National Preparedness Goal to generate the highest return on investment in increasing the nation's level of preparedness.

"Preparedness must be a shared responsibility," said Tracy Henke, Assistant Secretary for the DHS Preparedness Directorate's Office of Grants and Training. "State and local homeland security professionals from across the nation played a significant role in the design and implementation of this fiscal year's grant program. By collaborating, we ensure consistency of effort and a common vision of preparedness."

HSGP funds can be used for planning, organization, equipment, training, exercises, management, and administration costs. The 2006 funds are in addition to the approximately \$10 billion already delivered to state and local governments by the department's Office of Grants and Training since September 11, 2001.

HSGP includes five separate grant programs: State Homeland Security Grant Program, \$544.5 million; Urban Areas Security Initiative, \$757.3 million; Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program, \$396 million; Metropolitan Medical Response System, \$29.7 million; and Citizen Corps Program, \$19.8 million.

Under the State Homeland Security Grant Program and Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program, each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico receives a base amount of .075 of the total award. The territories of Guam, American Samoa, Northern Mariana Islands, and Virgin Islands receive a base amount of .025 of the total award. The remaining funds are allocated on risk and the effectiveness of applicants' proposed solutions to identified needs. All Urban Areas Security Initiative funds are allocated based on risk and the effectiveness of the applicants' proposed solutions to identified needs. The Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS) grant program distributes funds equally among each of the 124 MMRS jurisdictions. Under the Citizen Corps grant program, each state and territory receives a base allocation, with remaining funds distributed based on population.

Grants and Training, a component of the DHS Preparedness Directorate, partners with federal, state, local, and private sector officials to strengthen the capabilities of state, local, tribal, and regional authorities to prepare for, prevent, and respond to terrorist acts and other catastrophic incidents. Grants and Training provides a broad array of assistance to America's emergency responders through funding, coordinated training, exercises, equipment acquisition, and technical assistance.

###

FY 2006 HSGP Allocations

State/Territory	Total HSGP Allocation	State Homeland Security Grant Program Allocation	Law Enforcement Terrorism and Prevention Program Allocation	Urban Areas Security Initiative Allocation	Metropolitan Medical Response System Allocation	Citizen Corps Allocation
Alabama	\$15,578,025	\$8,300,000	\$6,030,000		\$929,320	\$318,705
Alaska	\$8,294,137	\$4,430,000	\$3,230,000		\$464,660	\$169,477
Arizona	\$20,170,965	\$8,660,000	\$6,290,000	\$3,920,000	\$929,320	\$371,645
Arkansas	\$8,342,875	\$4,550,000	\$3,310,000		\$232,330	\$250,545
California	\$231,950,605	\$47,580,000	\$42,370,000	\$136,290,000	\$4,181,940	\$1,528,665
Colorado	\$21,079,809	\$8,080,000	\$7,600,000	\$4,380,000	\$696,990	\$322,819
Connecticut	\$13,520,893	\$11,160,000	\$1,850,000		\$232,330	\$278,563
Delaware	\$10,296,370	\$6,070,000	\$4,050,000		\$0	\$176,370
District of Columbia	\$54,015,142	\$4,270,000	\$3,110,000	\$46,470,000	\$0	\$165,142
Florida	\$100,122,080	\$25,590,000	\$18,610,000	\$53,470,000	\$1,626,310	\$825,770
Georgia	\$44,406,375	\$13,360,000	\$11,430,000	\$18,660,000	\$464,660	\$491,715
Hawaii	\$12,935,242	\$4,490,000	\$3,260,000	\$4,760,000	\$232,330	\$192,912
Idaho	\$11,758,809	\$6,690,000	\$4,870,000		\$0	\$198,809
Illinois	\$90,405,480	\$19,080,000	\$18,200,000	\$52,260,000	\$232,330	\$633,150
Indiana	\$21,129,053	\$10,820,000	\$5,090,000	\$4,370,000	\$464,660	\$384,393
Iowa	\$13,480,048	\$7,520,000	\$5,470,000		\$232,330	\$257,718
Kansas	\$14,273,884	\$7,850,000	\$5,710,000		\$464,660	\$249,224
Kentucky	\$24,118,634	\$10,510,000	\$4,320,000	\$8,520,000	\$464,660	\$303,974
Louisiana	\$30,436,715	\$12,020,000	\$8,740,000	\$8,430,000	\$929,320	\$317,395
Maine	\$7,784,686	\$4,390,000	\$3,200,000		\$0	\$194,686
Maryland	\$24,290,987	\$8,120,000	\$5,910,000	\$9,670,000	\$232,330	\$358,657
Massachusetts	\$41,246,241	\$11,710,000	\$10,240,000	\$18,210,000	\$696,990	\$389,251
Michigan	\$46,898,876	\$15,650,000	\$11,390,000	\$18,630,000	\$696,990	\$531,886
Minnesota	\$13,395,399	\$4,790,000	\$3,490,000	\$4,310,000	\$464,660	\$340,739
Mississippi	\$8,528,314	\$4,650,000	\$3,390,000		\$232,330	\$255,984
Missouri	\$42,860,979	\$17,980,000	\$5,610,000	\$18,440,000	\$464,660	\$366,319
Montana	\$7,929,901	\$4,490,000	\$3,260,000		\$0	\$179,901
Nebraska	\$21,746,103	\$11,200,000	\$1,540,000	\$8,330,000	\$464,660	\$211,443
Nevada	\$20,508,913	\$8,110,000	\$4,180,000	\$7,750,000	\$232,330	\$236,583
New Hampshire	\$7,886,573	\$4,320,000	\$3,140,000		\$232,330	\$194,243
New Jersey	\$51,982,785	\$9,170,000	\$7,540,000	\$34,330,000	\$464,660	\$478,125
New Mexico	\$8,270,273	\$4,530,000	\$3,290,000		\$232,330	\$217,943
New York	\$183,673,552	\$27,460,000	\$26,010,000	\$128,160,000	\$1,161,650	\$881,902
North Carolina	\$30,483,786	\$10,780,000	\$9,560,000	\$8,970,000	\$696,990	\$476,796
North Dakota	\$10,788,443	\$6,270,000	\$4,350,000		\$0	\$168,443
Ohio	\$41,347,339	\$12,630,000	\$9,180,000	\$17,560,000	\$1,393,980	\$583,359
Oklahoma	\$19,496,662	\$8,480,000	\$6,170,000	\$4,102,000	\$464,660	\$280,002
Oregon	\$17,955,904	\$4,680,000	\$3,400,000	\$9,360,000	\$232,330	\$283,574
Pennsylvania	\$49,335,020	\$12,810,000	\$11,050,000	\$24,390,000	\$464,660	\$620,360
Rhode Island	\$7,837,616	\$4,460,000	\$2,960,000		\$232,330	\$185,286
South Carolina	\$14,679,434	\$10,040,000	\$4,100,000		\$232,330	\$307,104
South Dakota	\$7,733,780	\$4,380,000	\$3,180,000		\$0	\$173,780
Tennessee	\$13,761,872	\$4,780,000	\$3,480,000	\$4,200,000	\$929,320	\$372,552
Texas	\$89,880,352	\$26,140,000	\$24,740,000	\$34,960,000	\$3,020,290	\$1,020,062
Utah	\$8,271,012	\$4,520,000	\$3,280,000		\$232,330	\$238,682
Vermont	\$10,907,921	\$7,220,000	\$3,520,000		\$0	\$167,921
Virginia	\$16,888,018	\$8,720,000	\$6,340,000		\$1,393,980	\$434,038
Washington	\$32,221,988	\$12,730,000	\$9,260,000	\$9,150,000	\$696,990	\$384,998
West Virginia	\$13,293,669	\$7,570,000	\$5,510,000		\$0	\$213,669
Wisconsin	\$24,430,858	\$8,710,000	\$6,330,000	\$8,570,000	\$464,660	\$356,198
Wyoming	\$7,673,562	\$4,420,000	\$3,090,000		\$0	\$163,562
Puerto Rico	\$7,723,959	\$4,300,000	\$3,130,000		\$0	\$293,959
Virgin Islands	\$2,742,177	\$1,560,000	\$1,130,000		\$0	\$52,177
American Samoa	\$4,695,210	\$2,115,000	\$2,530,000		\$0	\$50,210
Guam	\$2,733,947	\$1,550,000	\$1,130,000		\$0	\$53,947
Northern Mariana Islands	\$2,720,668	\$1,700,000	\$970,000		\$0	\$50,668

FY 2006 HSGP UASI By Urban Area

Urban Area	Allocation
AZ - Phoenix Area*	\$3,920,000
CA - Anaheim/Santa Ana Area	\$11,980,000
CA - Bay Area	\$28,320,000
CA - Los Angeles/Long Beach Area	\$80,610,000
CA - Sacramento Area*	\$7,390,000
CA - San Diego Area*	\$7,990,000
CO - Denver Area	\$4,380,000
DC - National Capital Region	\$46,470,000
FL - Ft. Lauderdale Area	\$9,980,000
FL - Jacksonville Area	\$9,270,000
FL - Miami Area	\$15,980,000
FL - Orlando Area	\$9,440,000
FL - Tampa Area*	\$8,800,000
GA - Atlanta Area	\$18,660,000
HI - Honolulu Area	\$4,760,000
IL - Chicago Area	\$52,260,000
IN - Indianapolis Area	\$4,370,000
KY - Louisville Area*	\$8,520,000
LA - Baton Rouge Area*	\$3,740,000
LA - New Orleans Area	\$4,690,000
MA - Boston Area	\$18,210,000
MD - Baltimore	\$9,670,000
MI - Detroit	\$18,630,000
MN - Twin Cities Area	\$4,310,000
MO - Kansas City Area	\$9,240,000
MO - St. Louis Area	\$9,200,000
NC - Charlotte Area	\$8,970,000
NE - Omaha Area*	\$8,330,000
NJ - Jersey City/Newark Area	\$34,330,000
NV - Las Vegas Area*	\$7,750,000
NY - Buffalo Area*	\$3,710,000
NY - New York City	\$124,450,000
OH - Cincinnati Area	\$4,660,000
OH - Cleveland Area	\$4,730,000
OH - Columbus Area	\$4,320,000
OH - Toledo Area*	\$3,850,000
OK - Oklahoma City Area*	\$4,102,000
OR - Portland Area	\$9,360,000
PA - Philadelphia Area	\$19,520,000
PA - Pittsburgh Area	\$4,870,000
TN - Memphis Area	\$4,200,000
TX - Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Area	\$13,830,000
TX - Houston Area	\$16,670,000
TX - San Antonio Area	\$4,460,000
WA - Seattle Area	\$9,150,000
WI - Milwaukee Area	\$8,570,000

*Sustainment Urban Area