

### STEVE COOLEY LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

18000 CLARA SHORTRIDGE FOLTZ BLDG. 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-3210 (213) 974-3501

April 16, 2001

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S 2001-2002 UNMET NEEDS AND PROGRAM PRIORITIES

#### **Dear Supervisors:**

The weaknesses which have been revealed in our local Criminal Justice System must be addressed, in part, on the County Government level. The District Attorney's Office is of paramount importance in maintaining the integrity, fairness, and equality of justice in our local system through conscientious oversight of the arrest review process, use of force by public officers, and criminal case filings.

No less important is the District Attorney's ability to assist law enforcement agencies in the objective and timely review of criminal allegations against police officers, and other public officers.

Furthermore, the Los Angeles County public demands accountability on the part of all public officials. The public depends on the District Attorney's Office to objectively review all complaints and allegations of a criminal nature concerning public officials.

Certain critical law enforcement investigations also depend heavily on the leadership and resources of the District Attorney, in order to effectively oppose organized criminal enterprises and fraud operations.

I am recommending to your Board a number of public protection programs, which are priorities for this Department's unmet needs. These programs are not addressed in the recommended 2001-2002 County Budget.

A brief summary of the program recommendations is as follows:

1.	District Attorney Response Team	\$ .9 Million
2.	Justice System Integrity Division	1.5 Million
3.	Unincorporated Area Code Enforcement	1.8 Million
4.	Organized Crime/Hate Crime Division	2.1 Million
5.	Court Unification: Impact on DA	2.3 Million
6.	Prosecution Support Operations	.2 Million
7.	Attorney Transition: Family Support Bureau	<u>.75 Million</u> \$9.55 Million

Included with this correspondence is a detailed description of the prioritized programs and associated costs. I look forward to meeting with you and your staffs in the near future to further explain the necessity and importance of these programs to Los Angeles County.

Sincerely,

S. L. Con

STEVE COOLEY

District Attorney

ld

Attachments

### DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S PRIORITY PROGRAMS:

#### **UNMET NEEDS 2001-2002 FY**

Priority Number: 1

**Program**: District Attorney's Response Team (Roll Out)

Positions: 9

**Cost**: \$851,000

**Justification**: The Federally-funded grant which enabled Los Angeles County to continue the District Attorney's Response Team (DART) during 2000-2001 is due to expire very soon.

The ability to respond Countywide at any hour of the day or night with a Deputy District Attorney and an Investigator, as a team, is the highest demonstration of our responsiveness to the Community, and to law enforcement. In the highly charged aftermath of a police shooting, the District Attorney's Response Team is an invaluable asset in the objective evaluation of the circumstances.

Since the program's inception, DART has responded to 86 shootings and five incustody deaths where it was shown that a suspect expired while in the custody of law enforcement. Funding for the first year of the program has been through a Bureau of Justice Administration grant in the amount of \$1,000,000. These funds will be expended by the end of the fiscal year. There is a need to fund the continuation of this program.

Fifty-nine law enforcement agencies within the County of Los Angeles have signed the protocol that sets out the procedure that will be followed with the District Attorney's Office in the handling of these cases.

The Justice System Integrity Division (JSID) of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, of which the DART program is a component, has filed one criminal case against a Los Angeles Police Department officer resulting from a non-fatal shooting. The DART team member who responded to this shooting, as well as another deputy district attorney assigned to DART, will be vertically prosecuting this case.

A DART team consists of a deputy district attorney and a senior district attorney investigator, and is ready for immediate response to the scene of an officer-involved shooting, or in-custody death of a suspect where use of force may be the cause of death.

Eight experienced deputy district attorneys have been assigned to the DART team on a part-time (50%) basis. If there is a call for a second shooting, the Assistant Head Deputy and a back-up investigator are on-call to respond if needed. In addition, the Assistant Head Deputy assists each deputy on the DART team with his/her first shooting investigation. This practice will continue with any additional personnel assigned to the DART program. Nine senior district attorney investigators are assigned to DART.

Our division has made a commitment to the involved agencies to complete our investigation within 60 days of receiving the completed investigative reports from the primary investigating agency.

The program has been successful in the first year of its implementation. Virtually every major law enforcement agency in the county has joined the program. In several of the cases, police departments have conducted additional investigations, at our request, to ensure a thorough evaluation of the facts.

We have found that the time period from the incident itself to the completion of investigation reports varies with the complexity of the case and the agency involved.

When officers or deputies use deadly force, the public has a right to expect that a thorough, fair and neutral examination will be conducted of these incidents.

A continuation of this program is a vital component of our role as an independent public prosecutor, and in the public's ability to have confidence that independent reviews are conducted when there is an officer-involved shooting.

This recommended program is consistent with the County's Vision Statement which adheres to the service philosophy of responsiveness, integrity, commitment, accountability and compassion.

# 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

### POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

BUREAU: FRAUD AND CORRUPTION PROSECUTIONS

UNIT: ROLL-OUT TEAM

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
	4 1 4	48 12 48	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IV LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA	\$_	471,766 38,056 283,911
	9	108	SUBTOTAL SAL. SAVINGS	\$_	<b>793,733</b> (158,747)
			<b>NET SALARY</b> EMP. BENEFITS	\$_	<b>634,986</b> 154,067
			SUBTOTAL S&S (Includes space costs) FIXED ASSETS	\$	789,053 61,721 0
			SUBTOTAL REVENUE	\$	<b>850,774</b> 0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	850,774

**Program**: Justice System Integrity Division

Positions: 20

**Cost**: \$1,533,000

Justification: The recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to fund the Justice System Integrity Division is a natural outgrowth of the constitutional responsibility of the District Attorney to investigate and prosecute violations of law by criminal justice employees who break the law in the name of law enforcement, or who set up criminal enterprises under the shield of public authority. This is the worst type of public corruption. The Los Angeles County region has experienced a costly and lengthy wake-up call in this area of concern.

The District Attorney has historically been involved with response and review of cases which concern officer-involved shootings and in-custody deaths in Los Angeles County. As recently revealed in the Rampart case, certain officer-involved shootings were an underlying symptom of corruption in that case.

The Justice System Integrity Division is charged with the responsibility of investigating two types of cases. The first is officer-involved shootings (O.I.S.) occurring in Los Angeles County. These cases average one to two shootings a week. The complexity of an O.I.S. investigation varies depending on the circumstances surrounding the shooting.

The second type of Justice System Integrity Division case involves alleged criminal misconduct by an official in the Justice System. Typical cases involve excessive force by police officers, falsification of evidence, bribery and perjury.

Because of the recent focus on the Justice System by the public, news media and law enforcement officials, the types and number of cases referred to the Justice System Integrity Division are expected to dramatically increase. For example, LAPD Administrators have forecast an increase of 1000 cases being referred to the District Attorney's Office over the next year. Several small police agencies have requested that the Justice System Integrity Division consider handling the entire shooting investigation, including crimes committed by the shooting subject. There is also a suggestion by the local Bar Association that alleged criminal acts by attorneys be referred to the Justice System Integrity Division.

The requirements of the Consent Decree which now affects the LAPD, and the Board's decision to fund the Sheriff's Department's Office of Independent Review will undoubtedly generate a considerable caseload for this office.

# 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

### POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

UNIT: JUSTICE SYSTEM INTEGRITY DIVISION

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
	2	24	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY III	\$	199,470
	2	24	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IV		235,883
	2	24	LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II		76,113
	1	12	LIEUTENANT, DA		88,389
	1	12	PARALEGAL		44,469
	8	96	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		567,823
	1	12	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST.		40,153
	2	24	SENIOR TYPIST-CLERK		60,853
	1	12	SUPERVISING INVESTIGATOR, DA		78,525
	20	240	SUBTOTAL	\$	1,391,678
			SAL. SAVINGS		(278,336)
			NET SALARY	\$	1,113,342
			EMP. BENEFITS		270,130
			SUBTOTAL	\$	1,383,472
			S&S (Includes space costs)	·	149,764
			FIXED ASSETS		0
			SUBTOTAL	\$	1,533,236
			REVENUE	*	0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	1,533,236

**Program**: Code Enforcement For Unincorporated Areas

Positions: 22

**Cost**: \$1,809,000

Justification: The recommendation for a Code Enforcement Division is a direct response to a request from at least two Board offices (First and Fifth Districts). The Board Offices have asked this office to enhance the County Code enforcement prosecutions, particularly in the unincorporated areas of the County. Our Contract Cities Section only has two deputy district attorneys for the entire county. While they can accommodate County Code enforcement in unincorporated areas, their primary purpose for being is to provide the same service for contract cities who reimburse us. We presently do not have personnel dedicated exclusively to nuisance prosecutions. These types of cases are very labor intensive in terms of administrative, investigative and prosecutorial follow-up. The persons who are the targets of such enforcement activity are often entrenched emotionally in their defense of their property and personal rights and are not easily persuaded or coerced into compliance with County Codes without considerable effort on the part of County agencies.

Our program recommendation parallels very closely the existing program of the City of Los Angeles Nuisance Abatement Section. This approach in consistent with the County Vision Statement which calls for high quality public services that promote self-sufficiency and which are responsive to needs.

# 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

### POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

UNIT: UNINCORPORATED AREAS - CODE ENFORCEMENT

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
	4	48	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY II	\$	329,961
	4	48	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY III		398,941
	1	12	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IV		117,941
	1	12	HEAD DEPUTY, DA		126,646
	2	24	HEARING OFFICER, DA		102,258
	2	24	LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II		76,113
	6	72	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		425,867
	2	24	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST.	_	80,306
	22	264	SUBTOTAL	\$	1,658,033
			SAL. SAVINGS	_	(331,607)
			<b>NET SALARY</b> EMP. BENEFITS	\$	<b>1,326,426</b> 321,831
			SUBTOTAL S&S (Includes space costs) FIXED ASSETS	\$	1,648,257 160,702 0
			SUBTOTAL REVENUE	\$	<b>1,808,959</b> 0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	1,808,959

**Program**: Organized Crimes Division/Hate Crimes Suppression

Positions: 25

**Cost**: \$2,145,000

Justification: Local, County, State and Federal agencies, prosecution and law enforcement, in California and throughout the country have traditionally worked together in task forces directed at individual organizations that operate together to commit complex financial crimes as well as violent crimes. The violent financial crimes are often used as a means to finance further criminal activities and enterprises which empower organized criminal syndicates. The District Attorney's Office must become a part of this effort to control organized crime groups that include traditional organized crime, motorcycle gangs, prison gangs, Asian, Eurasian, South American and criminal groups which originate in African countries. These groups are responsible for multi-million dollar fraud schemes as well as organized violent crimes which run the gamut from extortion to murder. At the present time, most of this type of criminal activity is not being effectively investigated or prosecuted by local law enforcement or this office. The requested staff augmentation is intended to fill that gap.

The Organized Crimes Division will also handle hate crimes which are the outgrowth of organized hate groups or cliques. We expect an increase in such filings as the result of recent Board action to enhance law enforcement activity by the Sheriff concerning hate crimes.

Six deputy district attorneys supervised by a Head and Assistant Head Deputy and eleven investigators, including a Lieutenant and Supervising Investigator, are necessary to immediately begin operating as a part of these Organized Crime task forces to ensure the most effective and efficient investigation and prosecution of these groups. During investigations, the deputies will work together with law enforcement and our bureau investigators to provide legal guidance and support. These cases are difficult and time consuming to investigate. Working with informants is routine and they present many legal pitfalls, if not handled appropriately. One investigation could conceivably necessitate the use of an entire team of investigators as well as one or two deputies. It is impossible to file cases without the assigned deputies to handle them; consequently, the requested staffing is necessary. The expanded staffing of the Organized Crime Division will likely lead to a future request for additional secure office space in the Civic Center area.

# 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

### POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

UNIT: ORGANIZED CRIMES DIVISION

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
	7	84	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IV	\$	825,590
	1	12	HEAD DEPUTY, DA		126,646
	1	12	LAW CLERK		25,295
	2	24	LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II		76,113
	1	12	LIEUTENANT, DA		88,389
	1	12	PARALEGAL		44,469
	9	108	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		638,801
	1	12	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPP ASST		40,153
	1	12	SENIOR TYPIST-CLERK		30,427
	1	12	SUPERVISING INVESTIGATOR, DA	_	78,525
	25	300	SUBTOTAL	\$	1,974,408
			SAL. SAVINGS	_	(394,882)
			NET SALARY	\$	1,579,526
			EMP. BENEFITS	_	383,240
			SUBTOTAL	\$	1,962,766
			S&S (Includes space costs)		182,177
			FIXED ASSETS	_	0
			SUBTOTAL	\$	2,144,943
			REVENUE	_	0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	2,144,943

**Program**: Court Unification

Positions: 29

**Cost**: \$2,277,000

Justification: The recent consolidation of municipal and superior court branches in Los Angeles County has created a movement within the Court's Administration to reshape the delivery of court operations throughout Los Angeles County. In some cases, this has involved consolidation of branch offices into larger regional courts, expansion of activity at branch courts and an overall increase in active courtrooms.

The District Attorney's Office has been particularly affected by the expansion of courtrooms at the Alhambra, Inglewood, Airport and Beverly Hills Courts.

The Court operations restructure phase is expected to continue, with unknown impact on this office.

The attached summary of recommended additional positions in the District Attorney's Office is consistent with the County Vision of high quality of public services that are responsive, efficient and which promote community well being.

### 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

### POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

UNIT: COURT UNIFICATION

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
	5	60	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY II	\$	412,451
	3	36	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY III		299,206
	2	24	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IV		235,883
	3	36	HEAD DEPUTY, DA		379,938
	3	36	LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II		114,169
	3	36	PARALEGAL		133,406
	4	48	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		283,911
	3	36	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST.		120,459
	3	36	WITNESS COORDINATOR II	_	86,292
	29	348	SUBTOTAL	\$	2,065,715
			SAL. SAVINGS	_	(413,143)
			NET SALARY	\$	1,652,572
			EMP. BENEFITS	-	400,964
			SUBTOTAL	\$	2,053,536
			S&S (Includes space costs)	Ф	223,865
			FIXED ASSETS		223,803
			TIALD ASSETS	-	
			SUBTOTAL	\$	2,277,401
			REVENUE	~	0
				-	
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	2,277,401

# DISTRICT ATTORNEY 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
ALHAI					
	1 1	12 12	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY II DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY III	\$	82,490 99,735
	1	12	HEAD DEPUTY, DA		126,646
	1 1	12 12	LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II PARALEGAL		38,056 44,469
	1	12	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		70,978
	1 1	12 12	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST. WITNESS COORDINATOR II		40,153 28,764
	8	96	SUBTOTAL SAL. SAVINGS	\$	<b>531,291</b> (106,258)
			NET SALARY	\$	425,033
			EMP. BENEFITS SUBTOTAL SUB (Included angel costs)	\$	103,126 528,159
			S&S (Includes space costs) SUBTOTAL	\$	63,972 <b>592,131</b>
			REVENUE  NET COUNTY COST	\$	<u>0</u> <b>592,131</b>
BEV	ERLY HILLS	<u> </u>			
	1	12 12	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY II	\$	82,490
	1	12	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY III HEAD DEPUTY, DA		99,735 126,646
	1	12	LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II		38,056
	1 1	12 12	PARALEGAL SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		44,469 70,978
	1	12	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST.		40,153
	8	96	WITNESS COORDINATOR II  SUBTOTAL	\$	28,764 <b>531,291</b>
			SAL. SAVINGS NET SALARY	\$	(106,258) <b>425,033</b>
			EMP. BENEFITS	\$	103,126
			SUBTOTAL S&S (Includes space costs)	<b>—</b>	528,159 63,972
			SUBTOTAL REVENUE	\$	<b>592,131</b> 0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	592,131
ING	LEWOOD 1	12	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY II	\$	82,490
	1	12	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY III	Ψ	99,735
	1 1	12 12	HEAD DEPUTY, DA LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST II		126,646 38,056
	1	12	PARALEGAL		44,469
	1	12 12	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA		70,978
	1 1	12	SENIOR LEGAL OFFICE SUPPORT ASST. WITNESS COORDINATOR II		40,153 28,764
	8	96	SUBTOTAL	\$	531,291
			SAL. SAVINGS NET SALARY	<u> </u>	(106,258)
			EMP. BENEFITS	_	<b>425,033</b> 103,126
			SUBTOTAL S&S (Includes space costs)	\$	528,159 63,972
			SUBTOTAL REVENUE	\$	<b>592,131</b> 0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	592,131
AIRE	PORT COUR	RTHOUSE			
	2	24 24	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY II DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IV	\$	164,980 235,883
		12 <b>60</b>	SENIOR INVESTIGATOR, DA SUBTOTAL	<u> </u>	70,978 <b>471,841</b>
			SAL. SAVINGS NET SALARY	<u> </u>	(94,368) <b>377,473</b>
			EMP. BENEFITS	· <u> </u>	91,586
			SUBTOTAL S&S (Includes space costs)	\$	469,059 31,949
			SUBTOTAL REVENUE	\$	<b>501,008</b> 0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	501,008

**Program**: Prosecution Support Operations (Administration)

Positions: 3

**Cost**: \$238,000

**Justification:** The District Attorney has realigned the Department's organization and management team in order to be more responsive to our client community and also internally.

The Bureau of Prosecution Support has been recently formed to realign internally focused prosecutorial support activity under a unified command. One additional Bureau Chief, a secretary and an office clerk are required to properly administer the new Bureau which oversees the following highly critical Divisions and functions: Training, Trial Support, Appellate Division, Extradition, and Community Prosecution.

This new Bureau, and its administrative component, are indicative of the District Attorney's commitment to provide superior staff resources to the criminal justice community through the efforts of our highly supported prosecutorial presentations in all of the County's Courts.

### 2001-02 BUDGET REQUEST

#### POSITION CHANGE JUSTIFICATION

BUREAU: PROSECUTION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

UNIT: **ADMINISTRATION** 

PR	POS	MOS	ITEM NAME		AMOUNT
	1 1 1	12 12 12	BUREAU CHIEF, DA (UC) MANAGEMENT SECRETARY V SENIOR CLERK	\$	133,311 51,380 29,700
	3	36	SUBTOTAL SAL. SAVINGS	\$ _	<b>214,391</b> (42,878)
			<b>NET SALARY</b> EMP. BENEFITS	\$ _	<b>171,513</b> 41,614
			SUBTOTAL S&S (Includes space costs) FIXED ASSETS	\$	213,127 24,975 0
			SUBTOTAL REVENUE	\$ _	<b>238,102</b> 0
			NET COUNTY COST	\$	238,102

**Program**: Family Support Attorney Transition

**Positions**: 0

**Cost**: \$750,000

Justification: This request is for financial assistance in the transition of Family Support Attorneys into the Criminal Operations of the District Attorney's Office. A total of 43 attorneys have elected to transfer when positions are available in the District Attorney's Department. Some may be able to transfer within the current year and the remainder will transfer during the 2001-2002 period. Each transferred attorney requires orientation, classroom training and one-on-one mentoring for a period of time to render them prepared for the transition from Family Support case work into courtroom prosecution activity. It is a labor intensive, time consuming process which calls for occasional double staffing in order to mentor individuals on the job. We have calculated the impact of this one time transition cost during the coming fiscal year period as \$750,000.